Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School Public Safety Commission Meeting

The Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School Public Safety Commission Meeting was held on August 2, 2022, commencing at 8:30 a.m., at 1 Panther Parkway, Sunrise, Florida 33323. The Meeting was called to order by Bob Gualtieri, Chair.

## PERSONS PRESENT:

Bob Gualtieri, Chair

Jim Martin, Esquire

Chris Nelson

Bruce Bartlett

Mike Carroll Mark Glass

Max Schachter

Melissa Larkin-Skinner

Manny Diaz, Jr.

Simone Marstiller

Eric Hall

Shevaun Harris

James Harpring

Pam Stewart

Douglas Dodd

Lauren Book

Ryan Petty

## **ORIGINAL**

Marsha Powers

Christina Linton

NOT PRESENT:

Grady Judd

ALSO PRESENT:

Sheriff Greg Tony Lt. John Suess

Julie Collins, DOE

Harold Sample, Sound Support

Sylvia Ifft, DOE

Dr. Vickie L. Cartwright, Broward County Schools Superintendent

Dr. Joe Phillips. Chief Information Officer Jaime Alberti

Tim Forson, St. Johns County Schools Superintendent

Ted Roush, Suwanee County Schools Superintendent

Fred Heid, Polk County Schools Superintendent

Jacob Oliva

Tony Montalto

Michael Sirbola

Albert Koenigsberg

Kristina Braziel

Miriam Brighton, Laws Reporting

2.

PROCEEDINGS
CHAIR GUALTIERI: All right. We're going to get started again.

FSSAT UPDATE AND ALYSSA'S LAW UPDATE - SYLVIA IFFT,
DOE

MR. GUALTIERI: We now have a presentation from Sylvia Ifft from the Office of Safe Schools with an update on Alyssa's alert and the FSSAT.

Sylvia.

MS. IFFT: Looks like we're -- there we go.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Commission. It's great to be back and see everybody again. It's been a while.

There are three things that I'm going to cover for the FSSAT update. First of all, I'm going to give a review of the program evolution and include the new language from the past legislative session, so it's new requirements for the FSSAT.

I'll also cover the implementation and timeline from the 2021 as well as the '21-'22 school year, since we were unable to address that last time.

And then, finally, I'm going to provide a final update on where we stand with implementing the recommendations from the third-party review that was concluded in 2019.

Laws Reporting, Inc. 305.358.2700 schedule@lawsreporting.com www.lawsreporting.com

1 Just as a quick reminder, the data and the 2. information related to the School Security Risk 3 Assessments is protected information. Therefore, it 4 stays brief. It will be a high-level overview 5 that's more appropriate to this public forum. 6 So just historically speaking, this program 7 originated from the legislation that passed in 2001. 8 That was the District Best Practices Assessment that ws conducted. 9 10 It -- at the time, it was a pen and paper 11 assessment. It was a self-assessment completed by 12 the districts for everything to ensure that they 13 were meeting and going through the exercise of 14 looking at everything that -- everything that was 15 required, both in state law and rule. 16 Fast forward to 2013. The Department of 17 Education received funds to develop a School 18 Security Risk Assessment program. 19 As you remember, at the time, it wasn't 2.0 required that this assessment be completed, this 21 particular DOE-created assessment, as most of the 22 districts were -- they had their own tool in place, 23 and so they continued to use their own tool. 24 In 2018, it -- the Legislature required that

the School Security Risk Assessment developed by DOE

1 be the instrument that they use for physical 2 security risk assessments. 3 And then in -- also required the third-party evaluation that I will speak to later on. 4 5 In 2019, there was some revisions to the deadline to the School Security Risk Assessments of 6 when those had to be submitted to us. 7 8 And then this past legislative session -- I'm 9 sorry -- in Rule, we have updated the requirement that the districts submit their District Best 10 Practices Assessment to us no later than November 11 st 12 1 . 13 That was not required previously. It was just an ask. And I'll talk a little bit about that when 14 15 we go through the timeline. 16 And then, finally, in 1421 this past session, 17 we're required to address policies and procedures 18 for preparedness response and reunification within the FSSAT. 19 20 So, this is the timeline that we are required 21 under statute to implement. So, the School Security Risk Assessments are 2.2 23 required to be released and available to the schools 24 no latter than May 1 of every year. 25 During the June timeframe when we hold our

1 annual training for our schools -- our District 2 School Safety Specialists, we address a lot of the implementation requirements in FSSAT at that time. 3 We also provide a lot of technical training, and we 4 have technical staff on hand to provide some 5 assistance to the districts. 6 7 October 1 , the School Security Risk 8 Assessments are due to our office. And I'll give 9 you the numbers on that in just a minute. October 15 , all the districts must report to 10 11 our office that all of their schools have completed their School Security Risk Assessments in FSSAT. 12 By November 1 , the districts must report their 13 district Best Practices Assessment and the 14 15 recommendations to their superintendent and to their 16 School Board and the findings of the School Board. 17 And then we are to turn around a report to the 18 governor's office, the Senate president, and House Speaker, the implementation of the FSSAT for the 19 20 previous year. st 21 New language this year. By December 31. 2.2 There was some language attached to the proviso for 23 the School Hardening Funds, which now requires the 24 school districts and the Charter schools who are 25

receiving these funds to report to our office,

again, no later than December 31 , the total estimated cost for their unmet school hardening needs, a prioritized list of those projects, and an anticipated timeline for completion.

So going back to the 20 -- 2020-2021 school year, so we were able to have our assessments available to the districts. That launched on April th 24 of 2020.

By October 1 , 2020, the School Security Risk Assessments that were submitted to our office numbered 3,705.

By October 15 , all of the districts reported that their School Security Risk Assessments had been completed.

st And then by November 1 , all but three districts had submitted their findings and their School Board actions to our office. Those three districts that did not report before -- until November 1 , that was mostly due to the fact that the School Boards, their local School Boards did not meet until after that November 1 timeframe. also, during this timeframe, November 1 was not a requirement; it was an ask of our office.

For the '21-'22 school year, we launched FSSAT, all the School Security Risk Assessments, by April

11 12

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23

th 1 28 2. By October 1 , 3,711 campuses were completed in FSSAT with the School Security Risk Assessment. 3 By October 15 , all the districts reported the 4 5 same. And by November 1 , all of the districts had 6 7 submitted their assessments to us for their district findings. 8 9 In regards to the third-party review that was concluded in 2019, if you recall, that group came 10 11 out and reported to this Commission in April of that 12 year the findings for their review of the assessment 13 tool. And so, there were three categories that they 14 15 presented. First was technical recommendations. 16 They also provided some recommendations on the content, as well as some recommendations for 17 18 implementation to our office. So, I'll cover those 19 and -- and let you know where we're at with each of those items. 20 21 So, the first bullet there, increase the 22 platform security protocols. So that has been 23 completed. 24 A few examples of the measures we implemented

were some enhanced log-in and some timeout features

1 with the tool.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

There was also over the past year a comprehensive audit conducted for the security controls for the system. And so that has been completed and that report has been provided to our office.

The next bullet, revise the survey construction. So, after that initial third-party review, we went through every question in the tool. We revised all of the content, and we added some additional information that -- that was provided to us in the recommendations of that report.

And we also go through this on an annual basis to update every question in the tool. And we go through every question in the tool and provide an update for that.

The -- for the next one on the -- using -- the user experience and the tool functionality, so we continuously collect user feedback. We have weekly planning meetings with the vendor. The feedback, we go over anything that is submitted to our office. And we also provide some product updates monthly with this particular tool.

And then the last -- I'm sorry -- second to the last, pre-populate boiler -- boilerplate sections of

www.lawsreporting.com

schedule@lawsreporting.com

the assessment. So that has been completed. We have a system in place now where typical answers that are the same from year to year, such as utility information, things like that, that carries over.

And we've also made some adjustments to the tool to migrate the information where it makes a little bit more sense.

The very last one, create a knowledge management system for sharing -- sharing and storing critical safety and security information. So, we also enhanced the help section of our tool. We have built out a lot of the information. We've got a lot more information on FERPA, SESIR, emergency management, incident management. So, there's a lot of tools and resources in the section that were not there before.

On content recommendation, so the first one there, revise the content to collect more robust information on safety and security details at the schools. So, this is -- this was done initially after that first review and is also ongoing.

This past year, for instance, we implemented some dependent sections -- dependent questions and dependent sections within the tool. So, a good example is if you answer in the affirmative that you

2.

2.0

have fence, then some additional questions will open up that say, okay, describe the fence, how high is the fence, what's the fence made out of, does the fence encompass the entire perimeter of the property.

The next one, include introductory explanatory briefs to provide users with rationale for the questions. One of the things that we did initially after that third-party evaluation, we introduced purpose statements at the beginning of every section. There's also within the tool helper text, as we call it. So, each question has an info button. You can open up the info button and get additional information on either what the question is asking, or, if there's a statutory requirement attached to it or best practice, there's some reference information there.

As far as implementation of the tool, so the first bullet there, provide user training and support, that is -- we have -- we have built out the tool in -- incredibly. We have added lots of user training. There's a lot of on-demand training.

We also provide quarterly webinars for the districts.

Again, during the District School Safety

2.

2.0

1 Specialist training in the summer, we provide 2. training and support in that forum. There's how-to videos. There's best practice 3 4 videos. 5 So, there's a lot of training that's been 6 introduced into the tool. We've also added the -- there's a two-hour 7 training embedded in the tool on FERPA. 8 mentioned, links to the SESIR training. And then 9 10 there's quarterly FSSAT administrator training that 11 I just mentioned. So those webinars are also posted 12 in the tool. 13 And then, finally, conducting a yearly audit of 14 the FSSAT at the school level. So that's ongoing. 15 Last year, what we had implemented was for our 16 regional team members that are going out and 17 visiting schools. They're looking at the FSSATs 18 when they're going out to the schools and comparing what they're seeing actually on the visits to what 19 2.0 those schools are reporting in their FSSAT, and

Also, along with those monitoring visits, we've really over the past year improved the format for the notification and compliance determinations for these schools.

they're reporting that information back to us.

21

2.2

23

2.4

1 And then this upcoming year, we have introduced 2. a audit and review schedule for the FSSAT 3 assessment. 4 So, between December and January, we're going 5 to be taking a more comprehensive look at the assessment reports and doing a good quality review 6 7 and providing feedback to the districts on what we find there. 8 And that's the FSSAT update, sir. 9 10 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. So, the districtwide assessment, I see you get 67 of those, and you get 11 12 just under 4,000 of the school-specific ones. 13 And somebody had mentioned this earlier, I think Commissioner Carroll mentioned this on a 14 15 different topic but is this another one of those areas where largely -- and because of staffing -- is 16 17 is that the Office of Safe Schools receives the 18 FSSAT but doesn't do any review of the FSSAT for 19 quality assurance to make sure that all the fields 2.0 are completed, to make sure that there's 21 comprehensive answers, et cetera. 22 So, you get it, and that's basically all that's done because you just don't have the people to 23 24 review them. Is that true?

MS. IFFT: So, for the past few years, that has

1 We just have a bandwidth issue on our been true. 2. staffing. 3 This upcoming year, we've -- or have the 4 capability to hire another analyst. So much like 5 the analyst that's helping with the SESIR data --6 MR. GUALTIERI: Right. 7 MS. IFFT: -- we've got somebody that's going to be put in place that's going to be continually 8 looking and monitoring this information, as well as 9 10 now that we have the field staff that are a lot more familiar with the tool, they're going to be able to 11 12 assist with that process as well. 13 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. So up to this point, you 14 haven't had it, but, hopefully, going forward, you'll be able to do that. And then -- and then the 15 16 field staff will start using this as they are 17 dealing with the individual districts to monitor 18 that way. 19 MS. IFFT: Yes, sir. That's correct. So, they will be looking at both the district assessment --2.0 21 because the district --2.2 MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. 23 MS. IFFT: -- assessment goes over a lot of the 24 policies and procedures at the district level, 25 whereas the school is more the physical security and

1 what you're --2. MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. 3 MS. IFFT: -- actually implementing. 4 And so that's some information that they're 5 going to be able to use to helpfully -- hopefully 6 target their visits --7 MR. GUALTIERI: All right. MS. IFFT: -- a little bit more strategically. 8 MR. GUALTIERI: Well, it's really -- it's 9 10 really important that the -- the districts -- and, 11 you know, we'll hear from the superintendents here 12 in a little bit -- but it's really important that --13 that the districts have their own also internal 14 oversight process and they have a quality assurance 15 component to make sure that what is being completed, 16 probably by statute, the School Safety Specialist 17 that's -- that is responsible for this, but, 18 ultimately, it goes to the School Board for approval of the districtwide before it's sent up. 19 2.0 And -- and I can tell you that, from looking 21 at some of these recently, the ones that were 22 submitted for 2021 school year, is is that -- and I brought some to the attention of some 23 24 superintendents -- is that there are quality 25 assurance problems with some of these. When all the -- all the answers -- all the questions are not answered; when it calls for explanations, they're not explained; there's items that are omitted.

But this goes back to, you know, a bandwidth issue where, you know, you're one person and there's only so much that can be done. So, this is another one of those areas.

But ultimately, this is also one where it shouldn't be submitted to the Department unless it's right. And the people that are filling them out shouldn't leave fields blank and shouldn't incomplete the answers when it calls for explanations on it.

So, I just want to, you know, point that out, that this is another one of those areas where -- and these are big instruments. I think you reduced the questions from roughly 400 and something down to 300 and something, but they also have -- it opens up, and I don't remember off the top of my head on the timeline, it opens up around May and it's not -- and they have until October, you know.

So, I was right. May, it opens, and they have until October, so they have a good period of time to do this. And it isn't that people don't know it's coming. It's every year. So, they just need to

2.

2.0

1 prepare for it.

2.

2.0

The -- the schools ones are very large, very different. It's the districtwide ones that will probably give you the best read as to what's going on, so.

Do you get any feedback? Have you had any feedback? I know that you did a School Safety Specialist training conference a month or so ago and had an opportunity to interact with all the School Safety Specialists. Are you getting any -- any feedback from that them about the FSSAT and how they think it's working, what they would like to see? Is there anything that they shared with you about it?

MS. IFFT: Sure. So that goes back to the -- the feedback that we -- we continuously get.

There's a couple of different things that happen. I will say from the summer training, we had a lot of folks that were appreciative of the dependent questions and the -- the carryover answers and how we've restructured that.

The other thing that we do is we pull in some focus group -- anybody from the district level that is responsible for the district assessments and reviewing their school assessments, they're welcome to be part of our focus group. We usually hold a

schedule@lawsreporting.com

Laws Reporting, Inc.

couple between January and -- I'm sorry, December and January, and we do full-day feedback sessions with them.

2.

2.0

And so, we collect a lot of information. And so, for the common suggestions for our tool, we'll usually categorize and prioritize those and put those within the plan to get that implemented over the next year.

MR. GUALTIERI: Is there any one thing or two things in particular that they -- that the specialists are giving you feedback that they like, or that they feel frustrated about about the -- about the process with the FSSAT?

MS. IFFT: The one thing that I hear is that it is a comprehensive tool, and that just can't be helped, because there's a lot of information that has to be covered within the FSSAT.

And I think the -- moving forward, just getting that down to the school-based assessment teams and - and having some more kind of face-to-face training with those guys is going to be very helpful, because the -- you know, the -- they're school administrators, and so they may or may not understand all the nuances of the -- the security process.

1 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Next topic that you're 2. going to cover is going to be just Alyssa's Alert, but before Sylvia does that, any of the Commission 3 4 members have any questions for her on the FSSAT? Commissioner Schachter, go ahead. 5 6 MR. SCHACHTER: Hi, Sylvia. 7 MS. IFFT: Hi, there. MR. SCHACHTER: You -- you mentioned a -- a 8 9 yearly audit. Is -- is that something that you have 10 available? You said that the regionals are doing 11 kind of an audit, comparing the FSSAT to the actual 12 what's happening at the school. Is that something 13 that --14 MS. IFFT: Yeah, so when we first started doing 15 the assessment process -- sorry -- when we first 16 started doing the assessment process, we identified 17 specific questions and things that they're looking 18 at when they go out to a -- see a school. And so, 19 during their visit, you know, looking at the 2.0 exterior, going in and looking for information on 21 their Threat Assessment Teams, things like that. 22 So what we did is we went back and compared 23 where those topic areas were in the School Security

Risk Assessments and said, here are the questions

that you need to specifically go and look at that

24

1 would be sort of a one-to-one comparison to what 2. you're looking at, and see how they're -- the 3 schools are answering that question as -- and what 4 they're actually seeing when they go out for the 5 visits. 6 MR. SCHACHTER: And how is the audits going? 7 mean, is the -- are you finding issues with -- with the way they're answering, or, you know, is there a 8 9 grade? Is there any kind of report that you put 10 out? 11 MS. IFFT: No, there's no grade that we put in 12 there. There is a report that the -- the regional 13 team members will provide back to the school and the District School Safety Specialist so they can see 14 15 kind of the findings on there. 16 So there -- there is a report format that they 17 use when they're doing their visits, and they'll 18 document the things that they saw in that report. And then, Sheriff, the only 19 MR. SCHACHTER: 2.0 other question I had was in light of Uvalde with the 21 horrible tragedy there with the -- the door locks, 22 is there anything that's included in the FSSAT that, you know, is -- is around that issue? 23 24 MS. IFFT: Absolutely. So, we ask about policy 25

1 MR. SCHACHTER: Classroom locks. 2. MS. IFFT: -- we ask about policy for classroom 3 locks, we ask about, you know -- you know, 4 monitoring -- self-monitoring, so the monitors, 5 SROs, the folks that are at the schools, are they checking on that. Our team checks for that as well. 6 7 That -- that's one of our high priority items. MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you very much. 8 MR. GUALTIERI: Are there any other questions 9 10 on the FSSAT? 11 Okay. Alyssa's Alert. 12 MS. IFFT: So, while he's bringing that up, 13 there's two things that I want to cover for the 14 Alyssa's Law update. 15 First of all, I'm going to talk a little bit about the requirements that are in Alyssa's Law, 16 17 but, more specifically, the supporting language that 18 was introduced in Rule that will clarify some of the 19 expectations and provide some considerations for 2.0 implementation. 21 And then, finally, I'll talk a little bit more 22 about where we stand with monitoring and compliance 23 for the new program. 24 So, as you all know, state law requires that 25 each public school, including Charter schools,

implement the Mobile Panic Alert System. It needs
to be capable of connecting diverse emergency
service technologies, real time coordination with
multiple responder agencies, and must integrate with
local Public Safety Answering Point infrastructure
to transmit those 911 calls.

So, something that we did in Rule is first of all recognize the requirement in statute to implement the Mobile Panic Alert System, you know, known as Alyssa's Alert.

In November of '21, Rule language was revised to clarify some -- some of the expectations under Alyssa's Alert deployment in schools, not just to include the mobile devices being placed throughout each school, but also consider device placement, such as, you know, desktop applications, landline capabilities, wearable panic alerts, things like that, so that we have, you know, a -- a -- kind of a comprehensive system of being able to implement this and that s so that any staff member can activate a panic alert silently and easily.

So, the -- the Rule further states that school districts must also include Alyssa's Alert in their local emergency policies and procedures.

This came about as some of the -- trying to

2.

2.0

work with the 911 centers to ensure that the -- the integration that was needed was there and that we had good interoperability.

Also, Alyssa's Alert policies and procedures must be developed by the district, and that needs to be done in consultation with County 911 and local emergency management, again, to make sure that that integration piece is there for the 911 centers.

Currently, our vendors that we have now are here, so we are at eight vendors. What's really been encouraging over the past year with these systems is that many of these vendors are partnering with one another, not just the DOE vendors, but DOE and non-DOE vendors are -- are partner -- partnering with one another to help make sure that they're meeting the needs of the 911 centers for that integration piece.

In terms of training, again, when we provide our update at the District School Safety Specialist training, we go over all the requirements, both in law and Rule, answer any questions that they have.

Also, each of the DOE vendors are required under their contract to provide on-demand training for -- on their specific tool for all of their end users.

2.0

2.2

1 Now, as far as compliance and checking on 2. implementation, so back last summer, before school started, our regional team members that are assigned 3 4 to the districts began, you know, working with their 5 school districts, seeing where they are on 6 implementation. They've kept in contact with them 7 throughout the year and helped answer any questions 8 that they had as this program rolled out.

Also, too, starting last spring, we started having our teams really do a bit more robust, you know, one-on-one with their district contacts, specifically looking to see what the status of their implementation was, has it been fully rolled out to school staff, is testing still in progress or is -- are you showing full integration with the PSAPs, has that been verified, has the district identified the requirement -- or addressed the requirement for the real time coordination between first responder agencies.

And as these improvements are identified and implement, we can see that this program is starting to mature, and there's going to be some additional implementations implemented over the next -- over the next year.

Some of these things include the things that

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

have been brought up last time when we had Sally from 911. That's the integration with the CAD system or the radio equipment or the call processing equipment.

2.

2.0

2.2

From what we can gather, the majority of our districts are reporting that they are at full implementation.

We do -- we have identified a number of districts that we're going to need to do some additional follow up with. For the most part, what we're seeing is that there's some additional testing that needs to be done between the -- the schools, the school districts, and the 911 centers. And that's being done over the next couple of weeks.

A few of the districts are -- are changing vendors from -- for a number of reasons, either because they -- they're using a non-DOE vendor and that contract has run out and so they're switching to a new vendor for this upcoming school year, or that the vendor that they chose just, for -- for one reason or another, just wasn't meeting the needs of the -- the Public Safety Answering Points.

So -- so what we're doing moving forward is we're collecting the testing data from the vendors just to validate what was being reported to us from

Laws Reporting, Inc.

schedule@lawsreporting.com

1 the districts. So, we're going through that 2. information now and receiving the information from 3 the -- those vendors. And that's going to give us 4 some -- some good data on whether or not, you know, 5 these -- this is truly being implemented across the 6 board from the school districts' response to 7 agencies and the PSAPs. And then, of course, any areas for improvement 8 9 or issued non-compliance is going to be reported to 10 our DOE leadership and the district. 11 And that's where we are --12 MR. GUALTIERI: So --13 MS. IFFT: -- with implementation. 14 MR. GUALTIERI: -- so for the Commission 15 members that may not be totally familiar with this, just to -- so Alyssa's Alert law required that there 16 17 be an app that could be installed in the phone of 18 personnel on every school campus. 19 You went through a procurement process. 2.0 awarded the contract to eight different vendors. 21 think there were nine and you went back to eight. 22 And there was somewhere around \$7,000,000 -- is that 23 all accurate? 24 That's right. MS. IFFT: Eight. 25 MR. GUALTIERI: 8,000,000. Okay. And the

concept is is that when the app is installed on your phone, it -- it's geofenced so that it knows that you're at X high school, X middle school, whatever it may be in that county, so that if you're a teacher on that school today and you push the button because there's an active assailant event or another emergency where you need first responders, you push the button, it goes directly to the 911 communication center. Some people call it a PSAP, which is a Public Safety Answering Point. It's the 911 center.

And they know you need help, and they'll automatically dispatch police because you've got that emergency.

If tomorrow you go to a school, because you're a substitute teacher, at the other end of the county, the same thing happens. You push the button; it's going to get to the right school because it's all geofenced.

At the same time, when you push the button on the phone, not only doe sit contact the 911 center so that help is coming, it notifies everybody else on that campus, so within a closed universe, that there's a problem within that campus.

Now, the law required that there be full

2.

2.0

implementation by the end of the school year that just ended. And so, Sally Lawrence from the state 911 coordinators, was here at our meeting last September. And if you recall, she testified that there was not full implementation at that point, that they were working on it.

2.

2.0

And you also have to define implementation. The core basic implementation is is that there are people on every campus -- there's a question as to how many. That's a different issue. But there are people on every campus that have a phone with the app on it, and when the push the button is that it notifies the 911 center.

Now, there's some more advanced integration that deals with CAD and computer aided dispatch and mapping and some of these other things. Not worried about that. That's the -- that's the Cadillac. The basic is is that you push the button, and it goes to the 911 center.

I think -- Sylvia, and you can correct me if I don't have this correct -- is is that we're comfortable that on every campus in every district in Florida, there is at least some people that have a phone that have one of those vendors' apps on it, or another one, but at least these -- that if they

push the button, it is going to notify everybody on that campus in the closed universe that they pushed the button.

2.

2.0

But as we sit here today, now, two weeks out from school starting, we cannot say with a hundred percent certainty that every district has implemented Alyssa's Alert so that if you push the button, that it's going to the 911 center. We don't know that for sure.

MS. IFFT: Yeah, and that's going to be the -the testing part of that so that we can get that
information. I know there was a -- a district a
couple of weeks ago that we were looking at, that
they, you know, were testing their silent alert.
And so, they -- they identified some issues, and so
they're going back and -- and testing that
component.

MR. GUALTIERI: So -- so, as you know, I've been in -- I was in contact with Sally Lawrence over the last couple weeks, and the information that she provided to me is different than the information that you all had.

And the information from here was that there was a huge gap in integration. So, from the state 911 coordinators' perspective. So, we're trying to

1 -- to -- to define this. 2. But the point is is that I think we need you to 3 come back again at the next meeting and hopefully 4 tell us that we've been able to say with certainty and definitively that we have full integration. 5 6 Are -- are any of those vendors, eight vendors 7 -- and this is something else that I'm unclear about, but can -- can -- do you -- can you say of 8 9 those eight vendors that every single one of them 10 has the technical capability of interfacing with a 11 911 center, at least to the extent of the basic 12 integration? 13 There -- are there any of those that are not 14 capable when a -- if their app is on a phone at X 15 school, if that button's pushed, that it has the technical capability of integrating with a 911 16 17 center? Are there any of those that don't have 18 that? MS. IFFT: 19 Oh, absolutely. Every one of them 2.0 has that capability. 21 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. It's -- it's just the nuances of --22 MS. IFFT: of how that integration works at the PSAPs that --23

MR. GUALTIERI: So, do you have any idea why

that we're trying to work out.

24

1 the PSAPs are saying that -- that -- that this 2. integration has not occurred? MS. IFFT: So there -- there's a couple of 3 4 things that are in place. One is the -- the method 5 that the -- the vendors are -- are implementing, 6 their -- their integration. So, they had a project that, you know, this is 7 how we implement. At the PSAPs, they said, well, 8 this is the console that we have set up. We want to 9 10 make sure that those 911 operators can see it in 11 their console, so -- and each PSAP has a different, 12 you know, setup with -- with their infrastructure --13 so those 911 operators can, you know, see specific 14 information that they're looking for, be able to 15 grab it and own it. So -- so there's -- like I say, there -- there 16 17 are some nuances there. The call gets through, but 18 what happens after that, the -- the information that 19 the operator sees on their console is --2.0 MR. GUALTIERI: But --21 MS. IFFT: -- what we're trying to get -- get 2.2 to. 23 MR. GUALTIERI: -- but -- but we don't know --24 but, again, right now, we -- we don't know that --25 that -- we can't say that in all 67 districts that -

1 - that when you push a button on every school campus 2. that it is going beyond the closed universe. 3 And that's what we've got to figure out, if 4 there are still some that -- for whatever reason, 5 that there's not integration with the PSAP at the 6 most basic level. And that's -- we just don't know. 7 Is that right? MS. IFFT: Right. That's the testing 8 9 information that we're collecting right now. MR. GUALTIERI: 10 Okay. 11 MS. IFFT: -- when -- when we get the testing 12 logs from the vendors --13 MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. IFFT: -- it shows, you know, when the call 15 was made, where the call was made from --16 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. 17 MS. IFFT: -- and, you know, how it hit the 18 PSAP. 19 MR. GUALTIERI: So, you know, with the state 20 spending 8,000,000 bucks on this, and the law saying 21 that it had to be done by, you know, the end of the 22 school year that just passed, I mean, how -- why are we now where -- where we don't know? Any idea as to 23 24 -- I mean, is this just -- school starts and it's 25 obviously important, if we're getting help, why --

1 why --2. MS. IFFT: So, it has been a process, doing these additional -- I don't want to say addition, 3 4 but, you know, additional implementation. 5 So, some of the -- the PSAPs are looking for 6 redundancy, testing. 7 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. MS. IFFT: There's been a -- a lot more testing 8 9 than we anticipated that -- that was needed just to 10 make sure that all of these requirements for the 11 PSAPs are met. 12 MR. GUALTIERI: All right. Anybody have any 13 questions for Sylvia on this? 14 Mr. Schachter, go ahead. 15 MR. SCHACHTER: I'm just -- I'm still trying to 16 wrap my head around so if the call doesn't go to the 17 911, to the PSAP, where's it going? 18 MR. GUALTIERI: It -- it -- the only thing it 19 would do is notify -- so let's just make it easy and 2.0 use Stoneman Douglas as an example. So, if a 21 teacher at Stoneman Douglas -- and let me just say 22 this as well is is that the number of people on each 23 campus or within each district that actually have 24 the app installed on their phone is very 25 inconsistent.

And there's a number of reasons for that. Some of the districts, some of the personnel don't want it. some are dealing with union issues. So, it's - it's all over the board as to how many in each district has it.

But if somebody has it and they push the button and they're at Stoneman Douglas, but it is not integrated, the only people that are going to be notified are the people that are on the Stoneman Douglas campus. So other teachers, as an example.

Then you would have to go and pick up the phone and call 911 to get the help coming.

So, this is what we're trying to figure out, is -- is this integrated in all 67 districts and working on every campus. And as we sit here today, what she's saying is is that we can't say that with certainty. Don't know.

So that's what we've got to find out. And hopefully they'll be able to do it and next time come back and tell us that it's done, it's working, it -- because what you don't want is -- is that it has limited value. Without integrating with a 911 center, it's telling everybody else in that closed universe on that campus we've got a problem, but it's not calling for the help, for the calvary.

2.

1 You've still got to go pick up the phone, call 911, 2 and -- and get help. So that's where it is. 3 4 MS. BOOK: So just to go back to this morning. 5 If you're at Marjory Stoneman Douglas and you have Alyssa's Alert, you push the button, we may or may 6 7 not be connected to the PSAP to have --8 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. 9 MS. BOOK: -- somebody come. MR. GUALTIERI: I -- I don't know. And in some 10 11 the districts, too, like down here Broward -- and 12 Superintendent Cartwright's coming up next, she can probably answer it for you -- is the last I -- I 13 14 think that of the eight vendors, I think they have 15 like six here in Broward. Okay? So that -- that's one of the issues is 16 17 is that there are many districts that have multiple 18 vendors, and, you know -- you know, I -- I -- I don't know whether in Broward there's total 19 20 integration. I think there is. I think the 21 superintendent can talk to you about that. But in some districts, there's either not or 2.2 it's unknown. And this is where we can't -- we 23 24 can't say today, on August 2 of 2022, whether 25 there's -- it's fully operational throughout the

1 state. We don't know. 2. MS. BOOK: Well, what's frustrating still is, to your point, \$8,000,000 spent, and, to the point 3 4 from this morning, again, we may still have those 5 911 issues. Alyssa's Alert and the legislative 6 intent --7 Uh-huh. MR. GUALTIERI: MS. BOOK: -- was to ensure that things like 8 9 that didn't happen. 10 MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. 11 MS. BOOK: And so that's where the rubber is 12 meeting the road, clearly. 13 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah, no, I -- I'm with you. 14 You know, I -- I -- Jou know -- you know, laws 15 were put in place, and they're put in place with 16 deadlines. People need to follow the deadlines, and 17 they need to be met. I -- I don't -- so I -- I get 18 frustrated with that, you know. It just -- you 19 know, if it says be done by this time, then have it 20 done by that time. 21 But we don't know. So hopefully by the next 22 time we meet, we'll know. 23 And if it's not, then hopefully it'll be fixed. 24 And -- and -- and again, we have to be careful 25 with this is is that -- that -- the most basic

level. Some of the advanced stuff, that's a different topic, you know. But at least so that we know that if somebody pushes that button, they don't have to tell somebody else to call 911, go to their cell phone, go to something else, because that's not much better than where it was before.

All right. Go ahead.

2.

2.0

MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah, my -- my thought was when they're doing these drills that are -- that are mandated, are -- is this part of the process that they're testing the system to make sure that it works with all these different systems? And especially we know that from the Uvalde report, they tried to use their panic app and it failed because they had wi-fi issues with these -- all these buildings.

So, you know, what are we seeing with that process as the testing goes or is it still a work in progress?

MS. IFFT: Sure. So, to your first question, are they using this part -- as part of their drills. Absolutely. And, as a matter of fact, over this, you know, first semester of school starting back, we've asked our regional folks to get drill schedules from our districts and, you know, go and

1 observe some of these drills, see what is actually 2. going on when, you know, they're doing an active assailant drill and what they're using, what 3 4 technology they're using, and -- and report back to us what they're finding there. 5 6 To the other, you know, component about the wi-7 fi, so that -- that's been one piece of this integration, is identifying those issues. And so, 8 9 there's been some areas where, you know, wi-fi and 10 other connectivity -- you know, signal boosters, 11 things like that are being implemented. 12 And that's part of the hardening grant process 13 as well. 14 So, there's -- there's several different things 15 at play. 16 I -- I will say what I, in -- in speaking with 17 a lot of folks from, you know, other states is what 18 really makes this program unique is that integration 19 with the 911 centers, which a lot of them, you know, 2.0 don't -- aren't having that in place, as well as the 21 coordination with the first responders. 22 So, the first responders that have the app on 23 their phone, they're getting notified the same time 911 is and the school district is. 24 25 MR. GUALTIERI: All right. Thanks, Sylvia.

1	Appreciate it. Thank you.
2	MS. IFFT: Thank you, sir.
3	MR. GUALTIERI: Thank you.
4	BROWARD COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS UPDATE - DR. VICKIE L.
5	CARTWRIGHT, BROWARD COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT
6	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Next, we're going to
7	hear from Broward County Schools Superintendent
8	Vickie Cartwright.
9	Dr. Cartwright, welcome. Thank you for being
10	here, and we appreciate hearing from you an update
11	on safety and security in the Broward County
12	schools.
13	DR. CARTWRIGHT: Absolutely. It's on it's
14	my honor to be here in front the MSD Commission.
15	Thank you very much for having us here today.
16	So, Commissioner Chair Sheriff Gualtieri, for
17	the introduction and that you gave earlier today,
18	I'm very grateful for that.
19	And thank you all, again, for an opportunity to
20	share an update on the work that has occurred in
21	Broward County Public Schools during this past year.
22	Before we start, I want to recognize and thank
23	the Broward County Public Schools staff for their
24	ongoing commitment to improvement at every school
25	level of our organization.

1 Educating students is our focus and ensuring 2. their safety in our schools is our top priority. Unfortunately, school shootings continue to be 3 4 a reality in our nation. 5 On behalf of our district, I offer our deepest 6 condolences to all of the communities affected by these massacres. 7 Without further ado, I'll go ahead and give you 8 9 an update. 10 Broward County Public Schools has undergone 11 significant changes since we last met. 12 In response to prior recommendations by the MSD 13 Public Safety Commission, general concerns about 14 school safety, and findings provided by expert safety organizations, district security staff, law 15 enforcement partners, and the community at large 16 17 through advisory boards and parent, community, and 18 board meetings, the district completed an assessment and restructured the entire organization to improve 19 2.0 teaching and learning and operational effectiveness. 21 This slide presents an updated Safety, 22 Security, and Emergency Preparedness Division 23 leadership and administrative roles first introduced in 2019. 2.4 25 As outlined here, the Chief of Safety and

Security Officer reports directly to me, to reflect my commitment to accountability for all who serve on this organization.

2.2

The district reset its security projects to better align with the district's updated safety and security strategies.

To do that, we also restructured safety and security teams to improve services in the areas of school prevention, response, compliance, and support services.

As a part of the division's reorganization, on th
May 17, 2022, the Broward County Public School
Board approved the creation of a new Behavioral
Threat Assessment Department under the Safety,
Security, and Emergency Preparedness Division to
lead and monitor all behavioral threat assessment
processes for all district schools, including
Charter schools.

Like all the departments under the Safety and Security, this department will be held to the highest standards and will be expected to engage heavily with other district departments and law enforcement partners to ensure the critical sharing of safety and security information.

The Behavior Threat Assessment Department will

Laws Reporting, Inc.

schedule@lawsreporting.com

perform various functions in support of BTAs, including ensuring that all state statute requirements from the Office of Safe Schools are implemented; district policies and procedures regarding threat assessments are implemented with fidelity, as well.

This means they will be supporting schools by creating school-based behavioral threat assessment core teams and provide BTA teams with the required and ongoing training in BTA implementation.

Our ultimate goal is to move beyond just compliance and start to get into the quality of the BTAs being written and executed.

Other duties will include coordinating threat assessment processes with municipalities and law enforcement agencies, ensuring monthly Threat Assessment Team meetings are conducted, completing quality assurance checks, and collaborating with Student Support Services to ensure students receive necessary supports.

One of the district's key priorities was to ensure a seamless transition of records within district schools, between district and Charter schools, and between in-County and out-of-County schools.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0 21

2.2

23

2.4

25

A committee comprised of multiple district departments and school-based staff developed a formal transfer and communication process for students with active monitoring plans and safety plans resulting from a behavior threat assessment and/or suicide risk assessment.

This new process includes updated student registration forms and flow charts containing multiple steps that outline the procedures for various scenarios for the registration, transfer, or withdrawal of students with active monitoring and/or safety plans within Broward County Public Schools, our Charter schools, and schools outside of the district.

In addition, an alert was created in the Student Information System to notify staff immediately when a student with an active monitoring or safety plan is enrolling or withdrawing from the school location.

The district is currently working on agreements with school districts that utilize EDPlan to create a seamless transition of threat assessment and monitoring and safety plan records.

As it relates to data, in the 2021-'22 school year, the district, including public and Charter

1 schools, identified 3,132 transient threats and 624 2 substantial threats. On April 19, 2022, Jaime Alberti was appointed 3 4 as the new Chief Safety and Security Officer. Chief Alberti immediately began working with the 5 leadership within his division to redesign security 6 7 roles and responsibilities, as well as create new, specialized positions that were previously shared in 9 this presentation. Currently, the safety school -- Safety, 10 11 Security, and Emergency Preparedness Division has 12 approximately 960 school-based security staff, which 13 includes campus monitors, security specialists, and 14 armed guardians, who receive role-specific training. 15 Many of these school-based positions are funded 16 with dollars from the 2018 voter-approved 17 referendum. The School Board is committed to -- to 18 continuing to support this additional layer of security and, earlier this year, approved to renew 19 20 the referendum to secure funds for this important 21 task. The referendum is being presented to voters in 2.2 23 the primary elections at the end of the month, on 24 August 23 . 25 The Safety, Security, and Emergency

Preparedness Division also includes the Special
Investigative Unit that has 23 law enforcement
officers on staff, the Chief of School Police, two
lieutenants, a sergeant, and 19 detectives, all of
whom have completed trainings on the Comprehensive
School Threat Assessment Guidelines, and crisis
intervention.

Additionally, district school police are coordinating with the Broward Sheriff's Office and local municipalities' police departments to ensure that all School Resource Officers comply with the statutory CSTAG NSIT (phonetic) training.

Although not physically assigned to schools, staff at the District Security Operations Center provide school safety, security, and school police teams with analytical and operational support 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 365 days a year.

The DSOC, our District Security Operations
Center, is staffed by 14 specialists, three
protective research analysts, and a manager.

In addition to the DSOC staff, the Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Assistance grant funds an analysis that reports to the SSAP and works on threat assessment research and related updates to security programming. Some of that work will be

schedule@lawsreporting.com

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

highlighted in the following slides.

As you may be aware, the Florida Department of Education's Office of Safe Schools issued a 4.8-million-dollar school hardening grant to Broward schools. This funding is being used to help the district push forward projects supported by the findings of our last School Security Risk Assessments, which identified important opportunities related to single point of entry, emergency communications for first responders, and additional camera equipment and technology.

One of the initiatives is the video intercom systems being installed at all single point of entry at schools. This preventative security system allows school staff to communicate with visitors before they enter the building.

As of today, the project is at 98 percent completion with four schools pending installation.

The DOE School Hardening Grant is also helping the district respond to concerns regarding existing intercom systems that, in the event of an active shooter, would not be capable of overriding the fire alarm system to make campus-wide announcements.

System updates that are on target for completion in December of this year will provide

2.

2.0

1 adequate sound coverage in the interior and exterior 2. occupied and communal areas, as well as connected 3 areas such as parking and drop-off and pick up 4 locations. We completed risk analyses following the 5 6 updates made to the National Fire Protection 7 Association permitting requirements, and the 8 equipment was preordered to try to avoid supply chain issues. 9 10 Over the last three years, the district has 11 added 6,000 -- 6,000 analytical cameras across 12 school campuses, and a video management system 13 assessment conducted in the spring shows that more 14 than 90 percent of cameras are online and in 15 compliance with naming conventions. 16 As of last month, 64 cameras were offline, 17 primarily due to supply chain issues. 18 necessary repair parts are scheduled for delivery this month, as well as next month. 19 Naming conventions as well as GPS coordinates 2.0 21 are verified on all modified or added cameras. 2.2 As an added layer of working cameras

As an added layer of working cameras verification, the District Security Operations Center completes an annual full system audit.

Prioritization of cameras installation is based

23

24

1 on data regarding school security incidents. 2. Another project was to ensure geo coordinates were added to all district school cameras to provide 3 4 the Broward Sheriff's Office Real Time Crime Center 5 with easier viewing capabilities of our school 6 cameras. 7 During the last Commission meeting, a concern was raised that some of our school cameras had not 8 been assigned a geo coordinate. 9 10 Thanks to the collaboration of our BSO partner, 11 I'm happy to report that this project is now 12 complete. 13 This slide also supports us with replacing door 14 locks in classrooms and labs at schools with an auto These locks cause the doors to 15 lock door system. 16 lock automatically when they close. The doors will 17 always open from the inside without a key but 18 require a key for entry from the outside. 19 Existing school key systems are not affected by 2.0 this upgrade.

The remainder of the parts needed to complete this project have been ordered and we're expecting full completion by the end of this calendar year.

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to school hardening projects, our teams have continued to develop and improve other

safety initiatives, which I will highlight in the next few slides.

As one example, for data collection and analysis, our area security managers utilize a school safety inspection application when conducting site inspections. The app is used to record administrative, safety, and compliance data that feeds a great district databank.

Additional improvements are being made to this app to ensure new statutory requirements are captured accordingly during inspections.

In April of last year, our School Board -- or last school year, our School Board adopted a new policy for the use of hand-held metal detectors.

Our security team developed a training course and provided instruction to over 1,000 security and school-based staff in the proper use of the security tool following established guidelines.

Metal signs informing the public of this new procedure were delivered to all sites and are currently in the installation process.

Previous to the metal signs, we did have paper signs that were available and used so that the general public was aware.

Also, pilot screenings were completed at

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

several schools in order to align us for a proper roll out this school year.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

The Safety, Security, and Emergency

Preparedness Division currently utilizes Microsoft

communication tools to engage with security and

school-based staff regarding incidents or tips.

In collaboration with other district departments, Safety and Security will research a more comprehensive incident management system during this coming school year.

Security tips will continue to be managed in its current accountability and tracking system until upcoming options become available.

In 2021, Broward County Public Schools received a \$700,000 Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Assistance Grant to support the district's threat assessment initiatives. The grant focused on all threats research, incident response communications, interagency collaborations and sharing of critical information, data warehouses, security tip reporting tools, and on creating a threat recognition and violence prevention campaign.

Last year, our team updated this Commission on this progress made in developing a tip program and on the progress made in improving Fortify Florida tip reporting tool via collaboration with FL -FDLE's Statewide Intelligence Office, and the DOE's
Office of Safe Schools.

Since then, additional updates have been made to our technology, investigative, and administrative processes to continue to refine the security's tip program as research shows tips are essential in preventing negative events.

The SSEP and our information security team continue to collaborate with our strategic partners, including the Broward Sheriff's Office Real Time Crime Center and the University of Michigan National Center for School Safety.

A newly developed SRO District DSOC operations and treat assessment training is scheduled for all district Resource Officers this month, August 2022, and one-on-one trainings with our school administrators is on track to be completed by December 2022.

Lastly, a Charter has been drafted for the Broward's Buddies Safety Student Ambassador program. The goal of this program will be to reprogram the way our youth think about reporting security concerns to officials.

We must remove the stigma around this topic, as

25

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

1 students are some of our best tipsters.

2.

2.0

As stated earlier, the security tips program has continued to be highlighted, updated, including the accountability and tracking tip data system.

Some highlights from the data includes that more than 1,500 tips were received and processed during the 2021-'22 school year. From this count, approximately 800 tips were categorized in the weapons possession, sexual offenses, threat/intimidation, battery and fighting categories, although the tip may fall into more than one category.

The DSOC averages about 18 tips per day.

Fortify Florida, followed by the district's anonymous tip submission box, continues to lead as the preferred mechanisms used by tipsters.

Some of these tips have thwarted negative behaviors, and even criminal actions by individuals that may have had the means to hurt others.

Some of the tips were still non-actionable because of the quality of the information. However, as we continue to promote the use of the tip reporting tools with all stakeholders, we expect that future analysis will demonstrate continued positive results.

August 02, 2022 1 As previously reported to the Commission, all 2. tips, regardless of threat level or even subject, are monitored and assessed at all hours of the day 3 4 and, when not security related, are still processed 5 and reassigned to other departments as applicable. 6 As you are aware, the School Safety Task Force 7 was created to address safety concerns voiced through a survey conducted by the Broward Teacher's 8 Union in 2019. 9 10 The task force, which includes district 11 departments, labor unions, parents, and teacher 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

groups and other key partners, worked to improve processes for the safety of our students, staff, and extended community members. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the task force

shifted its focus to address educational challenges being faced, but I reconvened the group for the '21-'22 school year to bring closure to the initial survey conducted in 2019.

The task force engaged in rich conversations around school safety and security practices, policy updates, and legislative activity.

The district worked collaboratively to address the recommendations shared by the task force. Here are the seven recommendations and the district

1 response for each.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The first recommendation was to prioritize positive school climate practices.

The district currently provides all schools with a multitude of positive behavior intervention programs such as See Something, Say Something, Broward Stops Violence, and Choose Peace, Stop Violence.

Through a grant, the district is implementing the Students Against Violence Everywhere Promise clubs.

To support schools with implementing effective de-escalation strategies, the district ESE

Department -- Exceptional Student Education

Department -- is working with the positive behavior intervention support specialist to provide ongoing professional learning to school-based and district staff.

Initial training was provided to all schoolth based staff on March 28 of this year.

Moving forward, district staff will have the opportunity to participate in a four-part training series that will key on -- on how the use of deescalation strategies can reduce destructive behavior, preventative and de-escalation of

intensive behavior responses, effective proactive practices in classroom management, and the use of trauma-informed strategies to de-escalate classroom conflicts.

2.

2.0

2.2

To ensure all staff and affected families receive annual updates on procedures for assessing support during and after a crisis, the district offers the Employee Assistant Program, which is a free program designed to support Broward County Public Schools employees' total mental and behavioral wellbeing, whether the concerns are personal or work-related.

The district's integrated work -- Workers

Compensation program provides Workers Compensation

benefits in accordance with Florida Statute Chapter

440 to employees injured at an accident arising

during the course and scope of their employment.

To support families requiring support after an incident occurs, the District Recovery Team within the School Counseling Department contact affected families, along with others and -- other individuals involved in the incident, such as the persons directly impacted and other students, family members, or staff who may have been exposed secondarily trauma, lost a loved one, or had

previous traumatic experiences.

2.

2.0

2.2

To provide consistency to emergency procedures during early morning and late afternoon/evening activities, the School Safety, Security, and Emergency Preparedness Division is working to identify a workgroup comprised of stakeholders responsible for extracurricular activities and school events during the 2022-'23 school year to ensure there are consistent expectations across the district.

The fifth recommendation addresses the need for a district-based Threat Assessment Department. As previously stated, I initiated the creation of this department within the Safety, Security, and Emergency Preparedness Division to lead and monitor threat assessment processes in the district.

This department consists of 11 staff members whose sole purpose is to ensure that the procedures are maintained for effective information sharing between the school district, community mental health and law enforcement agencies; to evaluate the effectiveness of the threat assessment process throughout the school year; and to recommend changes to policies and procedures to maintain an effective threat assessment process.

1 Another recommendation was to improve 2. communications between staff and parents and quardians during and after a crisis. 3 The district published the Crisis 4 5 Communications Plan in September 2021. This plan 6 establishes a process for initiating and maintaining 7 timely and accurate communications among essential 8 departments and divisions and internal and external stakeholders during an emergency or crisis. 9 10 The plan also outlines the roles, 11 responsibilities, protocols, and procedures to 12 follow in communicating with district stakeholders, the community at large, and media when faced with a 13 14 crisis. 15 Lastly, during the '21-'22 school year, the 16 district was reorganized into regions to provide 17 more centralized support to schools. 18 Behavior support staff will be assigned to schools within regions based on data-driven 19 decisions. 2.0 21 The Exceptional Student Education Department will work with the Budget Office and Talent 2.2 23 Acquisition Team to increase the number of 2.4 behavioral support staff provided to schools.

I'm extremely grateful for the many individuals

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

who committed multiple hours and their time to the School Safety Task Force to provide the district with recommendations to improve safety and security practices.

As my top priority, I will continue to work closely with all stakeholders and other key partners to ensure the safety of our students, staff, and extended community members.

This is also a large transition that we are taking this school year. The district is transitioning from a color code system to plain language. The Safety, Security, and Emergency Preparedness Division has sent communications to all stakeholders notifying them of the change and will monitor the transition to ensure internal and external stakeholders are communicating in the same language.

This standard response protocol will offer greater predictability to first responders in an emergency.

The transition began as a collaborative effort with law enforcement, school administrators, and various municipal partners that included Broward County's Chief of Police Association, the Broward Sheriff's Office, and all district security

personnel and administrators.

Training is currently underway to ensure that our -- all of our faculty, staff, and administrative sites are introduced to this new protocol before the start of the school year.

There is also a push to train external partners, including all Broward police and fire municipalities as well as Kelly Services substitute teachers. In fact -- in fact, today I'm proud to say we now are having -- starting to roll out our resources onto our school sites for dissemination so as we go through this transition, it's clear and it's up front, and everybody knows what to be looking for.

Another area of importance for the district is compliance. At the height of the COVID pandemic, our security training team continued to develop and update training materials for required security staff trainings, including new subject areas such as handheld metal detectors, plain language, and Safer Watch app with Alyssa's Alert.

Here's a high-level overview of some of the important training district security staff received, upon being hired by the division or as a part of the ongoing and annual training requirements.

2.

2.0

Some highlights include training close to 1,000
new and existing security staff, conducting more
than 1500 tabletop exercises, and training more than
680 district staff and volunteers to assist with
hurricane shelters upon activation.

Best of all, our staff reported greater than 98
percent satisfaction with the training material.

Broward County Public Schools has mental health professionals that are school-based and/or assigned to more than one school. These professionals include family therapists, counselors, social workers, and psychologists.

The mental health staff provide a wide range of services which include assessment; evaluation; individual, group, and family counseling; behavior intervention; and crisis intervention.

The primary referral source for counseling refer -- referrals is our family counseling program, which is comprised of 60 therapists who have offices in zone high schools in more than 30 locations across the County.

Services are available to families residing in Broward County with a school-aged child enrolled in any school within the County, including Charter schools.

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

The therapists provide free, confidential
short--term therapy which includes individual and
family therapy. Teletherapy and evening
appointments are available, and services are
available in the four district languages, our
primary languages, English, Spanish, Portuguese, and
Haitian Creole.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Students with greater needs can be referred to one of our 22 behavioral health partners. Our partner agencies provide services to students regardless of financial resources, and services can be provided in school, home, or office.

This fall, we will launch a new referral option for students with severe mental health issues.

Broward schools entered into an agreement with Broward Behavioral Health Coalition for contractual services. Students will be referred for counseling via the Coalition's online system through a thorough assessment process and wraparound services model.

The district understand that mental health support is not only important for our students and families, this support is equally essential for our employees. And that is why we offer confidential and free employee assistance program to help our staff and their dependents navigate through

schedule@lawsreporting.com

1 difficult situations that may or may not impact 2. their job performance. The district utilizes the Navigate 360 online 3 4 portal to track and record school safety plans, 5 drill logs, school site maps, role-specific 6 protocols, and to log all monthly behavior threat 7 assessment meetings. 8 Additionally, Navigate 360 provides comprehensive school threat assessment guidelines 9 10 training. 11 The district is fully compliance with Senate 12 Bill 70 after selecting Safer Watch with Alyssa's 13 Alert as our approved mobile duress system. 14 We successfully tested system functionality at 15 all district schools through a collaboration with 16 municipalities, law enforcement, and district 17 partners, and we are nearing completion of all 18 Charter schools. 19 To increase access to Safer Watch with Alyssa's 2.0 Alert mobile duress system, our IT Department rolled 21 out a shortcut icon to all district-owned desktops 2.2 and laptop devices. 23 Also, to increase awareness, our communications 2.4 team continues to develop educational materials. 25 The district's reunification plan

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

implementation project is nearing completion. Phase 1 and Phase 2 focused on research, documentation, and negotiations between all stakeholders.

Currently, we are in Phase 3 of our project, where collaborative meetings are happening between school administrators, district staff, city officials, and first responders to finalize our reunification plans for this upcoming school year.

These efforts have increased communications between local first responders and the district.

The response by all stakeholders has been incredibly positive.

The Safety, Security, and Emergency

Preparedness Division established a Charter School

Safety Compliance Unit comprised of clerks that

provide Charter school administrators with a direct

line to obtain compliance support from the district.

This compliance team will help ensure Charter schools are following established benchmarks, including the timely schedule of monthly BTA meetings, as well as ensuring accurate BTA data is being reported to the district.

Another important compliance update is regarding the PROMISE program. The PROMISE program, which stands for Preventing Recidivism through

schedule@lawsreporting.com

Opportunities, Mentoring, Intervention, Support, and Education, is a pre-arrest, pre-diversion program.

Students who commit any of the nine eligible SESIR discipline infractions that would otherwise be non-violent misdemeanor offences if prosecuted by the State Attorney's Office, are required to participate in the PROMISE program.

As of October 2021, all students who participate in the PROMISE program have their information entered into the PreventionWeb, which counts as one of the three civil citations.

Students with three pre-diversion infractions, including PROMISE, will no longer be able to avoid prosecution by the State's Attorneys Office.

Through multiple law enforcement interagency agreements, the Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, and various social service agencies, the district shares information with law enforcement about juvenile offenders for the purposes of ensuring public safety and providing wraparound services to students and their families.

The purpose of PROMISE is to provide rehab -rehabilitative services and support to students and
their families to decrease recidivism and support a
successful transition back to traditional schools

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

1 and their communities.

2.

2.0

So, as you can see, I am committed to ensuring the district works diligently on the recommendations of the prior meetings, that they were taken seriously and addressed promptly.

This is demonstrated by the creation of the new Behavior Threat Assessment Department, reunification plan implementation, handheld metal detector program, enhanced security for single point of entry systems and hardware installation, PreventionWeb data entry, plain language implementation, and updated internal processes that ensure increase compliance and quality assurance.

On behalf of the Broward County Public Schools, I'd like to thank the MSD Commission for the invitation to provide this safety and security update.

I'd also like to thank our law enforcement and mental health partners, the School Board of Broward County, and our internal and external stakeholders for their continued support in meeting the needs of our students, families, and staff.

There's still much work to do, but I hoe you can see that we have made considerable process in safety and in security.

1 Thank you.

2.

2.0

MR. GUALTIERI: Well, thank you, Dr.

Cartwright. Appreciate you being here today. And - and you have made a lot of progress over the last year.

You and I have had a fair amount of communication this year, and you've moved the needle considerably. And you and your staff and the entire district should be recognized for that.

I -- I said it publicly a few months ago, I think you've made more progress in the last -- I said six months, I'll say in the last year than was done in the prior four years because of a commitment to change in culture, change in leadership, putting the right people in the right seats and making sure that things were followed through.

One of the areas that we talked about a lot this morning, which was threat assessment, threat management, and I can tell you I had an opportunity earlier this year to spend some time with staff down here on their threat management system. And I think they went from a place in threat management/threat assessment in Broward County where it was ineffective, it was lacking, and it was a poster child for the way it shouldn't be done to just the

1 opposite.

2.

2.0

And I think it's a model for the way it should be done, and hopefully a model that can be used by others as we -- hopefully, you all agree -- form this committee this afternoon to look at it and come up with a framework, because it has the right cadence, it has the right accountability measures.

They have an automated system that they use through PCG that integrates with their student information system. And the cadre directors are on top of the principals, and the principals are on top of the team, and it's just got everything that it should have with it, everything from safety plans — and what's important is is that it's all sharing information. It all integrates. So, if somebody logs on and they can immediately see what's happening.

So, you all took it to a new level and I think it's great. And there's -- like you said, there's still work to be done, but the -- the level -- something else that is really remarkable they did here, and they built it internally, which is the school safety inspection app.

So, they've got the security people that are stationed at the various schools who are responsible

for making sure that the gates are locked, the doors are closed, that all of those harm mitigation measures are in place, but they've got -- I'll -- you can use the right term. I'll call them area managers -- that go around to the different schools. And they are checking on those people to make sure that they have the right measures in place at the schools.

And so, when they go on, they have an app, and they're just pushing the buttons. Are the gates locked? Are the doors closed? Single point of entry. Is everything done? And they can go back in with metrics and view what was done, where the voids are, if something wasn't the way it should be.

And so, I don't know of any district in the state that's using that. And it's something that they did internally.

So, there's really been a tremendous amount of progress here in Broward County over the last year under Dr. Cartwright's leadership, and a lot of great stuff.

The last thing I have -- I'll turn it over to the Commissioners -- is I just want to make -- be clear on this on PROMISE.

Those things, I'll call them, incidents, are --

2.

2.0

1	that now are referred to PROMISE, are they all
2	crimes or are there some things referred to PROMISE
3	that are not criminal? Do you know? Because I'm
4	I'm still not clear on that.
5	DR. CARTWRIGHT:
6	MR. ALTERTI: For the most part I think for
7	the most part, they're pretty much all crimes.
8	MR. GUALTIERI: They're all crimes?
9	DR. CARTWRIGHT: All crimes.
10	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. All right. And that was
11	important, because, obviously, we spent a lot of
12	time and I don't want to go down that path,
13	because we spent like hours talking about PROMISE
14	previously. And and, as you said in here, so it
15	is a true pre-arrest/diversion program, and it's all
16	entered into PreventionWeb of JJIS.
17	So, all that's resolved as far as PROMISE is
18	concerned.
19	DR. CARTWRIGHT: All of that's been resolved.
20	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay.
21	DR. CARTWRIGHT: That was brought forward by
22	this Commission last year
23	MR. GUALTIERI: Right.
24	DR. CARTRWIGHT: and that was a priority
25	project that got resolved in

1	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay.
2	DR. CARTWRIGHT: in short order.
3	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. All right.
4	Commissioners, anybody have any questions for Dr.
5	Cartwright?
6	Commissioner Larkin-Skinner.
7	MR. LARKIN-SKINNER: I don't actually have a
8	question. I just wanted to say thank you.
9	Because we spent a lot of time listening to
10	people have reasons why they couldn't do a lot of
11	things, and between you and Sheriff Tony, I just
12	want to express my appreciation, even though I'm not
13	a resident of Broward, for taking action and sharing
14	that with us.
15	DR. CARTWRIGHT: Thank you.
16	MR. GUALTIERI: Anybody else have anything for
17	Dr. Cartwright?
18	Yeah, Commissioner Schachter, go ahead.
19	MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you, Dr. Cartwright.
20	Yeah, no, it's it's very, very, very
21	impressive PowerPoint, and it's obviously high
22	praise from the the Chair. And nobody I respect
23	more than him.
24	But just a couple of questions. I remember in
25	some of our previous presentations, we talked about

1 the percentage of adoption of teachers from the 2. Alyssa's Law that have the app on their phone. Sheriff mentioned that -- I think I recall it 3 4 was like 18 percent at one point. This is years --5 maybe a year or two ago. 6 Do you have any idea what the percentage of 7 adoption of students that have -- I mean of staff 8 that have the Alyssa's Alert app on their phone now? 9 DR. CARTWRIGHT: So, I'm going to let you know 10 on the very front, it's not where I want it to be. 11 But we are currently at 26 percent. But that is 12 also why this year we rolled out some of those 13 structures onto our laptops, onto our district 14 laptops so that is available there as well. 15 MR. SCHACHTER: I would -- I would, you know, 16 point out that in a -- in an active assailant 17 situation, they're not going to be on their laptop, 18 so they've got -- it really has to be on their 19 phones. 2.0 So, I hope -- I know you're not alone in this. 21 I hope that many school districts work on this 22 issue, because if they cannot communicate the 23 threat, law enforcement is not going to know what's 24 happening, and we need all teach- -- all teachers 25 and staff to have that app on their phone.

1 And, I mean, you went over so much stuff that I 2. was curious about. Doors, cameras. I was curious on the camera issue. You talked about the 3 4 analytics. 5 Do -- I assume your cameras have the ability to 6 identify weapons on campus, and if they do, are we 7 utilizing that type of feature? I know the Avigilon 8 system does have that kind of ability. 9 Is that something that you're doing? 10 DR. CARTWRIGHT: Dr. Phillips. 11 DR. PHILLIPS: Sure. Yes. Dr. Joe Phillips, 12 Chief Information Officer. 13 So, yes. As we're rolling out the analytical 14 cameras as well as the Avigilon video management 15 system, that is one of the capabilities of the 16 system that we are making sure is up and running. 17 So as the camera project comes to fruition in 18 December of 2023, that should be a capability that 19 we have in place. 2.0 MR. SCHACHTER: And then lastly, I'm really 21 pleased, your transition to plain language. I 2.2 talked about this several years ago. I think 23 Florida's one of the only states that the majority 24 of our districts do not use plain language in -- in 25 an active assailant, and I think that's a big

1 mistake. It's proven to be dangerous using codes. 2. So, I'm glad that you are progressing to that 3 area. 4 And -- and I just want to stress that the 5 leadership that -- that you have -- have exemplified 6 here is that when you looked at -- at an issue, you 7 saw a resurgence of weapons coming onto campus, and you took action. You implemented that -- that metal 8 detector handheld wand, and that's a great thing. 9 10 That -- that never happened in four years. 11 And that's why the SESIR data and these 12 dashboards are so critical, bringing it all 13 together, is that school districts can look at this 14 information and they can see on a monthly basis. 15 That's why it's so great what the state did by making sure that school districts are now reporting 16 17 monthly. It's having that ability, having all that 18 data in front of you to be able to see if you see a 19 surge in weapons coming onto your campus, you're 2.0 able to take action and remedy that situation and 21 put measures in place to make schools safer. 22 So, thank you for your leadership and getting 23 it done. 24 Thank you, sir. DR. CARTWRIGHT: 25 MR. GUALTIERI: Commissioner Harpring, go

1 ahead.

2.

MR. HARPRING: Thank you. And thank you, Dr. Cartwright. Appreciate it. I echo everyone else's comments about the activity that you've been able to accomplish in a very short period of time.

I'll say before my -- my question that it appeared as if historically, you know, many people had come up and just simply paid lip service and patronized this Commission, which I think speaks for a lot of people. And we appreciate your -- your candor and your straightforwardness.

But in that regard, looking back to some of the reporting tools and the difficulty that, you know, the state has regarding evaluating the data and having basically bad data put in, we talked a lot about enforcement mechanisms and teeth in rules to get districts to comply with appropriate reporting.

In that regard, what kind of recommendations do you have, potentially, to -- to help the state and to help Office of Safe Schools and DOE get better data and better information?

Because the information that we saw this morning regarding, you know, a lot of different topics, just -- you know, SESIR and others, just simply showed that the only thing that those reports

1 showed us was the problem with the data collection 2. and the problem with the reports in their entirety. 3 What do you think? 4 DR. CARTWRIGHT: A lot of it boils down to 5 training and making sure that the individuals at the 6 school sites are aware of what should be reported, 7 when it should be reported, and how it should be reported. 8 9 And the more we can have additional training 10 that's made available to us so that we can -- we can 11 ensure that, number one, we are understanding any 12 potential future legislation that's coming out, 13 there's an interpretation and it's clearly laid out 14 so that we are in compliance, the more we're able to 15 make sure that we're providing that detailed, clear 16 direction to -- all the way down to our schools. 17 So, the training component is one thing that's 18 really important. It may also be taking a look at using some type 19 2.0 of statistical analyses, because oftentimes you can 21 use predictive models in order to determine does it 2.2 look as though a -- a school district is about where 23 they should be or where they should not be on even

that's happening.

24

25

just the different categories within the reporting

2.0

So, when you're talking about maybe compliance or support, which is the way I would prefer to go, from the supportive side, having information such as that would help us as school districts oftentimes to say, oh, wait a minute, we know something's up, so it goes back into our training programs so that we can address what those concerns are.

Because I agree with Sheriff Gualtieri, there are some districts that probably well over report, and there's probably some districts that well under report. And so, trying to find that balance and using the tools and the research and the data that is available to us in order to predict or have that predictive analysis done will help us find where is that right fit.

MR. HARPRING: And real quick follow up. On the content and the substance, we talked about SESIR reporting, the volume of data, basically the number of questions and how it was reduced.

Do you think that perhaps separating or bifurcating some of the information in those reports into different reports, or simply eliminating some of the content because it's not actually useful when received and evaluated, would be something that the state should look at?

1 DR. CARTWRIGHT: And that is always something 2. that's helpful for schools and school districts, is the more we can streamline and make the process 3 4 easier, the more attuned people are going to say, 5 okay, it's only going to take me ten minutes, let me 6 go ahead and just get this done and over with 7 versus, oh, my gosh, it's going to take me half --I'm being theoretical -- half an hour to get this 8 9 done, I've got a student at my door, I need to see 10 what's going on. End of the day comes, and they get 11 to work the next day, more stuff gets on their 12 plate, and they simply forgot to report it. 13 MR. HARPRING: And I know there's a, you know, 14 delicate balance between wanting some substantive 15 material and just having a checkbox form, but any way you can enlighten on it -- that -- you know, 16 17 enlighten us on -- on those things and what your 18 views on those I think would be certainly helpful, 19 especially to the state, you know, as well. 20 Because I think the large documents are just 21 unwieldy. If you're providing that much 22 information, it does become a checkbox regarding 23 anything that's in there. 24 I would always say look to the DR. CARTWRIGHT: 25 data that's going to -- to drive change, right?

1 at least be able to monitor progress. 2. And if it's nothing more than just a checkbox, 3 maybe it was someone's desire or wishful thoughts. The ideal situation is to remove those things. 4 5 But if you're getting data out of it that's 6 showing, okay, I can see trends within this area, or 7 I can see that this is going to help inform policy, possibly, at the School Board level, then that's the 8 9 type of data that you want to make sure that we're 10 collecting. 11 But if it's not hitting on those -- on those 12 points of where it's going to cause change or it's 13 not allowing for appropriate progress monitoring, it 14 really needs to go by the wayside. 15 MR. HARPRING: Okay. Great. Thank you so 16 much. I appreciate it, Doctor. 17 Thank you. DR. CARTWRIGHT: 18 MR. GUALTIERI: So -- and Dr. Cartwright'll be 19 on the Superintendent panel, so if you have more 2.0 questions for her about those type of general 21 things, she'll be on the panel here in a few 2.2 minutes. 23 One thing, you -- you touched on it, and just I 24 know the reunification policy and plan that the 25 district has, the way the district passed it, it's a

1 confidential document, so it's not in the public 2. realm, is that -- I've seen it, and it's robust. So, for the family members that were on the 3 4 panel previously, the people that have expressed 5 concern about reunification here in Broward County, I can tell you that it's a comprehensive plan and 6 7 policy and, again, probably a model that others can look to. 8 9 Last thing I want to say is -- but maybe it'll 10 save me from getting some emails -- is we talked 11 about threat assessments, is is that last year, 12 September, when you were here, we talked extensively 13 about the audit that was done, and that audit was 14 for '19-'20. It was highly critical of the threat 15 assessment process of the Broward County schools. 16 You made changes, made -- committed to changing 17 And there was a second audit that was done. it. 18 And that audit was just released in April of this

That audit shows that there were some improvements, but there were still some issues, challenges, flaws.

But one of the things that everybody needs to know, and, again, maybe it'll save me from getting some emails, is is that that audit that was released

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

year.

1 in April of '22 was for data from January through 2. April of '21 that's in there. Okay? So, it's well before all the stuff that I'm talking about here 3 4 with Dr. Cartwright, all the changes this year. 5 So, there's been so much change after that 6 audit period, even though it didn't get released 7 until April of '22, the data that that audit relied on stopped a year prior, so -- but a lot has 8 9 happened recently. 10 So, I jus throw that out to everybody because, inevitably, I'll get a whole bunch of emails saying 11 12 that, you know, you said good things about the 13 threat assessment process and the audit called it 14 out. It did, but it's year-old data, okay? 15 So, wait until you see the audit for the next 16 period of time, and I'm confident you'll see 17 significant improvements. 18 Commissioner Carroll. 19 MR. CARROLL: I, too, want to echo the thank 20 It does -- it appears as though you guys did a you. 21 lot of really good work. 22 So, thanks to you and your team. 23 One quick question. The penetration rate of 24 teachers using the app for Alyssa's Law went from 25 about 18 percent to 26 percent.

2.0

You said that you weren't happy where you're at. Why are folks still resistant to have that app? I don't understand it. Like what -- what is the issue that they don't want the app on their phone?

DR. CARTWRIGHT: There's a variety of different reasons that are provided for that. One of the things I can tell you, it's not due to my teacher union, because they've actually partnered with us on this. We've done a lot of public service announcements for our -- our internal-facing staff, collaboratively, individually.

I know that Ms. Alhadeff has -- had even done recordings for this, trying to encourage staff to go ahead and download it.

Some of the rationale that's given is that, well, the -- the -- the device is my personal device. It is not my work device. You are not paying me for anything for me to put an app on my personal device. That's one rationale.

Another rationale is the fear for the unknown. People don't respect that, no, the app is not going to be tracking you everywhere that you go. It's only when you have the app open and actively using it that -- yes, we want it to track you at that point in time.

schedule@lawsreporting.com

So, it's a lot of different types of concerns that are out there. There's individuals, dependent upon their -- their role and their responsibilities -- believe it or not, some people don't have, you know, a smart phone. And so, they still use a little bit more of the traditional models of phones, so you can't download it on those, either.

The idea of potentially going into a partnership so that the district pays for a phone for everybody, unfortunately, is just cost prohibitive. It's not something -- we have started to look into that, but, at the same time, now you're getting into a teacher having two phones. And so, what are they actually going to be carrying around with them when they actually need that phone. So, it can be just as prohibitive as -- as putting it on the -- on the laptops.

So that's a concern as well.

MR. GUALTIERI: All right. So, we're going to take a ten-minute break to set up for the superintendent panel. So, let's try and keep it right at ten minutes everyone would come back, and then we'll hear from the panel of five superintendents.

Thank you, Dr. Cartwright. Appreciate it.

2.

2.0

1	DR. CARTWRIGHT: Thank you, sir.
2	(THE MEETING RECESSED AT 2.M:56 P.M. AND RECONVENED
3	AT 3:13 P.M.)
4	CHAIR GUALTIERI: All right. We're going to get
5	started again.
6	Good afternoon, everyone.
7	Are we missing Superintendent Husfeldt?
8	MR. FORSON: He's not he won't be here.
9	MR. GUALTIERI: He's not here. He won't he
10	wouldn't make it. Okay.
11	PANEL DISCUSSION BY FOUR FLORIDA SCHOOL
12	SUPERINDENTENTS - FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF DISTRICT
13	SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENTS (FADSS)
14	MR. GUALTIERI: All right. We originally had
15	five superintendents; we have four.
16	We have Ted Roush from Suwanee County, Vickie
17	Cartwright from Broward County, Tim Forson from St.
18	Johns, and Fred Heid from Polk County.
19	We asked the superintendents to be here to
20	share some thoughts with us and for you all to be
21	able to ask questions, since we know we don't have a
22	superintendent on the Commission.
23	And I've asked that they all share their own
24	experiences, but also representative of the 67
25	school superintendents in the State of Florida to

give their perspective on things.

The other thing I asked them -- also asked them to do, and I'm sure they will, is just to be candid and frank and put it all out there in their responses to the questions, because that's the only way we make progress with things.

So, I know they have some thoughts that they're willing to share. What I thought we would do is I have a series of questions. I thought we'd just kind of make this a facilitated panel discussion, a series of questions that I'll ask them.

I'll ask them to keep their responses to a couple of minutes. I don't want to be rude, but I also want to make sure that we get through this.

And then allow them to make any statements they want to make, and then for all the Commissioners to ask them any questions that they may want to ask.

So, as we're going through these questions that I have for you, first one is pretty straightforward. Let's talk about strengths and what we see are the strengths and the positives regarding school safety laws and policies in the State of Florida.

So, from your perspective -- and, again, we have a cross section of counties from medium, small, large, rural, urban, et cetera, and, again, looking

2.

1 at it from what you hear from your colleagues. 2. So why don't we begin with Superintendent 3 Roush, if you would, and then we'll just kind of mix 4 it around as ask these questions. 5 So, what do you see as the strengths of 6 Florida's school safety laws and policies? 7 Thank you, Chairman Gualtieri. MR. ROUSH: And thank you for -- Commission, for having us here 8 9 today. 10 I -- you know, in -- in thinking about this process of where we've come in the last number of 11 12 years since the unfortunate incident at MSD, I -- I 13 really believe that our strengths as a state, and 14 through your work and your recommendations, has --15 has -- has provided a multi-layered approach. 16 And -- and -- and when -- when I say that I 17 mean it wasn't focused on all just one thing. 18 just wasn't focused on mental health; it just wasn't 19 focused on prevention. It was also focused on 2.0 response and building out that multi-layered 21 approach that I believe has put the State of Florida perhaps ahead of -- ahead of most. 22 Are we where we want to be? Certainly not. 23 24 think today's -- today's work that this Commission 25 continues to embark upon, and the conversations, and the data that has been analyzed continues to show us
that we have a lot of -- a lot of work to still do.

But we are headed in the right direction and are much better off than where we were.

MR. GUALTIERI: Thank you.

Superintendent Forson.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FORSON: Yes, and -- and, again, thank you for the opportunity to be here. You may recall I was here in 2019 to discuss S -- SESIR at that time. And that continues, obviously, to be a -- to be a data point, I think, for discussion as we go forward.

But to -- to just piggyback on what

Superintendent Roush shared is -- is I think we're 
- we -- we're all -- every -- every school, every

school district in Florida is so far ahead of where

it was four years ago.

And, yes, we have a long way to go, but when you -- when you look at it and we look at we'll open th school August 10 , this next week, and in that -- in this year -- and I -- and it's not to -- to -- to sing great praise on this Commission, but really think about the difference between now and what happened prior to that is -- is that an annual FSAT is done. It has faults. It's -- it varies in its

range from one place to another.

2.

2.0

Every school district has a school safety specialist, and every school has someone armed to protect the children of that school.

Every child, parent in the State of Florida has the opportunity, through Fortify Florida or some other tool, to provide tips and provide an opportunity for early intervention and early notification.

And -- and I -- and I think all those things play a -- play a big role. I think even to the point of it wasn't new to talk about safety. It wasn't new to talk about the risk of -- of an assailant, but I think teachers today have a more defined approach and knowledge and understanding of if something happens, this is what I do with my children, this is what I do within the four walls of my classroom. And it is going to be much more of a protective and -- and successful manner in that way.

Yes, as -- as was shared earlier, there's a lot of work to be done, but I think as -- just as -- as Suwanee or Broward or St. Johns or any of us move forward, we may be attacking it in different ways in the way that we get to the point we need to get, but in each case, because of the uniqueness of each

1 district, I think there -- there's great progress 2. being made. And children and families are safer because of 3 4 it. 5 MR. GUALTIERI: Superintendent Heid, do you --6 you want to answer that question? 7 MR. HEID: Good afternoon. Thank you for the 8 opportunity today. 9 I would echo, again, the comments of -- of my -10 - my peers. 11 The one thing I would contribute is what I've 12 seen, especially returning to the state recently. 13 was in the state for -- for 17 years, left the state 14 to be a superintendent in Illinois, and I've 15 recently returned this last year to -- to Polk 16 County. 17 I've been very pleased to see the progress 18 being made through the Legislature to not only 19 provide -- or to put additional requirements in 2.0 place and additional expectations in place, but to 21 approach it with a layered approach so that these 22 things are all interrelated and we're not seeing 23 disparate silent systems. 2.4 And that's incredibly important. So, I think 25 that what's been done over the last few years in

1 particular, as a direct result of this Commission, 2. is incredibly valuable and important. 3 But, as my colleagues have said, I think 4 there's still opportunities for more cohesiveness. 5 And, as you talked about the data today, there's 6 clearly an opportunity for us to refine our 7 practices further. 8 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. Superintendent 9 Cartwright, do you have anything you want to add? 10 DR. CARTWRIGHT: I'll just be very brief, but 11 some of -- the implementation of standardizing some 12 of the practices for school safety and security, we 13 see that as a great benefit across the entire state. 14 An example of that would also happen with the 15 comprehensive safety plan, because it requires us to 16 have that collaborative discussion between district 17 personnel, law enforcement, as well as local 18 municipalities in order to create that plan. 19 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. As we go through these 2.0 and I give each of you the opportunity to respond to 21 them, and if you don't feel like you have anything 22 to add because it's already been said, feel free to 23 pass and we can move on. 24 So, Superintendent Cartwright, I'll go back to 25 you with the next one, which is kind of the logical

follow up to the first question is what's going
right, what's going well, what's working, is that
what do you see is the greatest or greatest need for
improvement.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

What areas do we have to, across the state, improve in?

DR. CARTWRIGHT: I would say one of the areas that we need help, at least with the public-school districts at this point in time, is with our Charter school's oversight.

It continues to be a challenge for us because it is an unfunded mandate. The requirement that law enforcement officer be present at each threat assessment, particular in a Charter, places not only the school safety specialist and districts in a difficult position because whereas we are responsible for them, we do not have the teeth that's necessary to really ensure that there's compliance that's occurring.

However, if they're out of compliance, we are the ones who are responsible for it.

And so that is something that is very difficult for us. And the local agencies would need to send a responding unit that is CSTAG certified, which often times is a requirement that's difficult to meet when

they're trying to fill and make sure we have coverage and appropriate reporting requirements for our Charter schools.

An idea, as well, that we think would happen, while it's great that the state has multiple vendors that are available out there, such as the Alyssa's Alert, we would really like to see if -- if it could be limited to just one of those per county.

And the reason we ask for that is because the our PSAPs' ability to be able to manage different
panic alert platforms, depending on what each
Charter governance board and the district have
selected as a vendor, is an area that really needs
to be streamlined so that our County only has one
mobile panic alert vendor and we avoid the
complexity of trying to manage multiple different -multiple vendors when there may be different panics
going on at the same time, which that can lead to
confusion.

And so that's just a recommendation that we would have as well.

Another one that we would request is to include a group of school safety specialists in the creation of any of these type of rules or legislation or compliance requirements.

2.

2.0

2.2

They are on the front line, and they -- they really need to have a voice and a way in which to communicate and to help vet some of the ideas that are going forward, because they can -- again, they're front-line workers, and they'll be able -- be able to really provide some meaningful feedback.

MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Thanks.

2.

2.0

Superintendent Heid, what do you see as the areas of greatest need to change or improve?

MR. HEID: So, I won't reiterate some of the ones form earlier today about, you know, consistency of -- of practice, but I will talk about improving guidance and clarity of policy and procedures.

The greater clarify that we get -- and -- and, at times, we like to believe we live in a black and white world. We don't. We live in a world with a little bit of black, a little bit of white, and a whole lot of grey. That ambiguity leads to disfunction in data systems, data collection, data reporting, and systems.

I'd also like to see the opportunity to explore the possibility of either an endorsement or a specific certification for staff in each school district who are responsible for these -- serving in these roles, especially if it's beyond a traditional

1 law enforcement officer.

2.0

I think that's incredibly important. I think that will lead to more credibility, better value, better outcomes, again.

Additionally -- and -- and we tried to approach that sometimes with what we call a Passport to Success. What are the essential skills, trainings, and characteristics?

I think that Superintendent Cartwright did a great job summarizing for her staff, these are all the trainings that are essential for them to perform their roles.

Why not elevate that to the state level and look for an endorsement or an additional certification so that you get that consistency of practice across the entirety of the state.

I'd also like to say, you know, while I'm a incredible strong proponent for local control, I do believe that we need more oversight. I believe that you -- you inspect what you expect. You know, you trust what you validate. And that's how we function each day in our school systems.

We have incredible rapport and trust with all of our colleagues and stakeholders because we're here to serve our students and our -- our

1 communities.

2.

2.0

But as was brought up, and I think I heard the frustrations throughout the morning and throughout the afternoon that, well, we have these expectations, we have these deadlines, we have these due dates, but they're not being met, and how are we holding school districts accountable.

And, again, I'm not looking for the stick, but

I am -- I am reasonable in the fact that we should

be held accountable. This is part of our role, part

of our responsibility.

So, I believe that there needs to be additional oversight and auditing that takes place. And I was very happy to hear from the Office of Safe Schools that -- and we do. In our annual report, we get feedback that says your data's a little disproportionate in the following areas. You need to explore this.

I'd like to see the analytics go that much further that, on a monthly basis, we get that trigger that says, your data's skewed, or we're seeing a -- an inconsistency in practice or pattern of recording and -- and documentation and then, therefore, we can be more reflective in -- in what's going on and -- and really do a deeper dive.

1	Because there may be some very good reasons
2	behind why the data looks different this time, but
3	we need to be able to explore that, and to get that
4	feedback in real time is incredibly important.
5	MR. GUALTIERI: Are you getting that feedback
6	now in as to metrics in other areas of education,
7	or is that what you're talking about, where you get
8	it from the Department but you're you're not
9	getting it in the area of school safety necessarily,
10	but you are getting it in other areas?
11	MR. HEID: We do.
12	MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. Okay.
13	MR. HEID: We do.
14	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay.
15	MR. HEID: And we do get it from School Safety.
16	I don't want to throw them under the
17	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay.
18	MR. HEID: bus that at the end of the
19	year we get our annual report
20	MR. GUALTIERI: Right.
21	MR. HEID: and they they indicate areas
22	of
23	MR. GUALTIERI: Right.
24	MR. HEID: concern or areas to focus in on.
25	MR. GUALTIERI: Right. Okay.

1 Superintendent Roush, areas for improvement or 2. change? 3 MR. ROUSH: Yeah. Yes, thank you. Just a 4 couple of quick items. 5 I think with the extension, obviously, of this Commission through 2026 -- and I'm glad to hear the 6 7 Chair say that there's some consideration being given to bringing a superintendent voice onto this -8 - onto this Commission. 9 10 And, as you work with your subcommittees, I also implore you to consider bringing in a -- two --11 12 two or three school safety specialists, even perhaps 13 as at-large members, to help inform and -- and --14 and give some -- I think I heard the term earlier --15 granular boots on the ground information of what's 16 going on in school districts. 17 And so that's just one thing for consideration. 18 The second thing is -- and, again, I'm going to 19 qualify this statement by saying this is an opinion 2.0 only of my own and it does not represent the other 21 superintendents up here necessarily, or the 2.2 Superintendents Association. But I feel very, very strongly that we've come 23 24 to a place in school security as a part of our 25 overall multi-layered approach that we need to

mandate a school guardian program of some type in all 67 districts.

2.

2.0

I heard the Commissioner speaker about it this morning briefly and his commitment to making that happen, but we're not there, and we've still got a number of districts that -- that do not have a quardian type program.

In our district, we have five to seven percent of all of our employees districtwide, instructional, non-instructional, and administrative -- we strive for five to seven percent -- trained guardians that work with law enforcement, train with law enforcement each and every day in our schools.

Our goal, as we train and have live simulations and -- and -- and live drills, we seek to strategically place our guardians around our different facilities and schools to be able to identify and eliminate a threat within 30 seconds or less.

That is our bar. 30 seconds or less from the time that the threat is identified.

And so, you know, in my mind, who better to help equip, protect campuses than the people who call that their second home. Those schools are those people -- our personnel, it's their second

1 They love that campus, they love those kids, home. 2. and I believe, based on the training that we've taken part in together, if something, God forbid, 3 4 ever goes down on one of our school campuses, our 5 people are going to swarm to the incident, swarm to 6 the assailant. And that's what we train for. 7 So, you know, we -- we -- we mandate, you know, Alyssa's Law, we mandate number of drills. I 8 9 strongly think that we're at the place where we need 10 to make sure that all of our school districts are 11 better protected by having some form of a quardian 12 program. 13 Yeah. Thanks. MR. GUALTIERI: 14 Superintendent Forson. 15 MR. FORSON: Thank you. 16 Just -- just only to just add just briefly to 17 Dr. Cartwright and -- and the others about, you 18 know, when -- when new legislation comes forward, 19 it's -- it is clearly black and white. This is the 20 statutory requirement, this is what -- what -- where 21 you need to get to. 22 But the path to get there is not always as 23 clear. And I think that's some of the things we 24 work through. 25 So, I -- I would just hope for more capacity,

1 and that is actually building at the state level, 2. the capacity to provide more support and timely 3 support to get from when -- when legislation 4 requirements come forward to help districts kind of 5 work through what their needs are to be able to 6 fulfill and carry that out. 7 And -- and I think that's pretty important to And -- and a collaborative attitude about it. 8 us. And it was mentioned before, and I -- I 9 10 certainly think it's really important, for a lot --11 for most of us, I think our safety specialists --12 our safety specialists is a -- is an employee of the 13 school district, but his experience is in law 14 enforcement. His -- he -- he is a retired -- in --15 from St. Johns as -- as a law enforcement officer. 16 And for us, actually, it was a YRD (phonetic) at a 17 school I was a principal of. 18 So, it -- it is critical that those folks who know it the best can be a contributing element or 19 20 part of that team to -- to help us move forward. 21 That's it. 22 MR. GUALTIERI: Well, that's actually a -- a great seque into the next question that I have. And 23 24 -- and -- and here's the question, because it's not 25 that way in every district, as you describe with the 1 school safety specialist.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, under Florida law, it's 1006.07(6)(A) is we know that every district is required to have a school safety specialist who, in essence, is all things safety and security in the district. That's really the -- the sum of the position.

The law allows the school safety specialist to be either a school administrator or a law enforcement officer from a sheriff's office. So, it would have to be a school administrator or a law enforcement officer from a sheriff's office.

Most school districts around Florida have assigned an educator, an administrator as the school safety specialist. There's only a handful that have a law enforcement officer or a former law enforcement officer as the school safety specialist.

Should the position -- here's the question.

Should the position be transferred from an educator to a law enforcement commander either hired by the district or mutually selected by the superintendent and the sheriff and assigned from the sheriff's office?

Are we in a situation where we've got -- trying to put a round ball in a square hole as far as the school safety specialists are concerned in that

1 you've got somebody who was an AP, a principal, a 2. teacher, and when this law came into being in 2018 that said every district will have a school safety 3 4 specialist, and it was amended later to say that the specialist could be somebody from a sheriff's 5 office, and I only know of a couple today that have 6 7 that relationship between the sheriff and the 8 district where the sheriff's office has assigned somebody, should -- is that a model that we should 9 10 encourage to be expanded further? Is it working how 11 it is? 12 Do the school safety specialists today have the 13 right knowledge the right skill set? That's the 14 question. 15 So, I'll kick it right back to you, Superintendent Forson, since we -- there was a segue 16 17 into that. 18 MR. FORSON: Thank you. And -- and I -- I would just give you a little 19 2.0 bit of our -- our own history in St. Johns. 21 So, we -- we've had a safety officer for a 22 number of years prior to -- even in 2015-16, when we 23 started moving forward with some safety issues. 24 By typically at that time, it was a part of 25 risk management, and the safety officer

1 responsibilities varied far off of what we're 2. talking about today. I -- I think I -- as a former principal and --3 4 and being in education my whole life, I don't know 5 what I don't know. And so, to your point, yes, I 6 think if it's -- if it's not a joint decision, and 7 it may be a decision of the district, there has to 8 be qualifications for that position to have law 9 enforcement expertise and -- and experience. 10 I mean, I -- I -- I don't know how else to say 11 I think it is that simple, that the 12 decisiveness, the clarity that comes with that 13 position and that person is really important, I 14 think, to carrying that out across the district. 15 MR. GUALTIERI: Is it something -- and I'll let the others weigh in on this, too, maybe is is that 16 17 is this something the Legislature should change 18 Should there be some requirement that the school 19 safety specialist either be hired by the district 20 but have prior law enforcement experience or 21 somebody who's active that gets assigned from a sheriff's office, or should -- in your view, should 22 23 it just be encouraged? 24 It -- it -- just one piece of it. MR. FORSON: 25 I -- I also live in a -- in a -- in a great world in

```
1
         which there are only two law enforcement agencies in
 2.
         St. Johns County, a city agency and --
              MR. GUALTIERI:
 3
                              Yeah.
 4
              MR. FORSON: -- and our Sheriff's Office.
 5
         so that certainly aligns and makes it much easier
 6
         where I am.
 7
              So, I'd probably have to defer where you have
 8
         much more complexity and you have so many entities
 9
         that you're trying to coordinate. Because for us,
10
         it's easy to do. It is really the path we did
11
         follow.
12
              And, yes, I do think -- I think it needs to be
         in writing. It needs to be clear.
13
14
              MR. GUALTIERI: So, it needs to be -- my real
15
         question is it -- should it be required?
                                                    Should we
16
17
              MR. FORSON: I --
18
              MR. GUALTIERI: -- transition this?
              MR. FORSON: -- I do think it should be
19
2.0
         required that --
21
              MR. GUALTIERI:
                              Yeah.
              MR. FORSON: -- over time that it should be a -
22
23
         - a law enforcement --
24
              MR. GUALTIERI: So --
25
              MR. FORSON: -- experience requirement.
```

1 Yeah. So, I'll move it over MR. GUALTIERI: 2. now to Superintendent Heid, because you have one of those districts where you do have a law enforcement 3 commander from the sheriff's office that is your 4 5 school safety specialist. So, we have somebody with 6 experience on that, because you have it in your 7 district. 8 What's your view of that? 9 MR. HEID: You don't hire a plumber to run your 10 electrical. And -- and while I have an -- again, an 11 incredible respect, and all of us are practitioners, 12 first and foremost, and there's a value that that 13 brings, understanding how to interact with children 14 and the realities of public schools and school 15 settings, but you need someone who's qualified. 16 And -- and I would go a step further. It's not 17 just somebody who is an LEO, it's someone with 18 directly applicable skills and experiences. 19 So, if you're going to refine the job 2.0 description and you're going to refine it in statute 21 about what the qualifications are, I would go that 2.2 far --23 Uh-huh. MR. GUALTIERI: 24 MR. HEID: -- because you want to have someone 25 -- and I would even go so far as to say you want

1 someone with Florida experience, because I think 2. that's important. I believe the decision should be left to the 3 4 district. However, it should be done in conjunction 5 and collaboration with the sheriff's office or their -- their largest municipality, whomever they're 6 7 partnering with. 8 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. That's kind of why I 9 framed it that way. You know, I -- I -- and see 10 what others think if they want to weigh in here at some point, but I -- is is that you've got to make 11 12 sure you have the right person. It's got to be a 13 good fit between the superintendent, and if it is 14 assigned from the sheriff's office, you know, you --15 you -- you have to have the right temperament and 16 the right person with -- that'll be a good fit. 17 18 MR. HEID: Correct. 19 MR. GUALTIERI: -- Superintendent Cartwright, 2.0 what's your view of that? 21 DR. CARTWRIGHT: I believe that the selection 22 should be left up to the district, because every

DR. CARTWRIGHT: I believe that the selection should be left up to the district, because every district has different resources that are available to them, have different types of relationships that they --

23

24

25

1 MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. DR. CARTWRIGHT: -- have with their local law 2. enforcement. And that has to be taken into 3 4 consideration. 5 As you're aware, this past year, we hired in 6 Broward our -- our own. But one of the things I was 7 committed to was making sure that I reached out to some of my law -- local law enforcement agencies --8 9 MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. DR. CARTWRIGHT: -- and invited them on the 10 11 interview panel along with me. 12 That way, we -- it was a collaborative 13 decision. It was something that I -- an area where 14 I'm not an expert. But I am an expert within how 15 the school's function. So, it was a -- it was a 16 combined approach. 17 And then from there, hired somebody who was 18 previous law enforcement into the position, but they work for the district. 19 2.0 MR. GUALTIERI: Who -- who you have now, and he 21 was here with you this morning -- or this afternoon, 22 was Chief Alberti is your school safety specialist, 23 who was a former lieutenant in the Orange County 24 area and then with the Orange County School Board, 25 correct?

1	DR. CARTWRIGHT: I
2	MR. GUALTIERI: So, he
3	DR. CARTWRIGHT: he was previously in Orange
4	County School Board, but I also believe he worked
5	with the I believe Kissimmee
6	MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah, he was a lieutenant in a
7	police department up there, so he had law
8	enforcement.
9	So, the point is but but do you do you
10	think it's okay to have somebody in the school
11	safety specialist position that has that was a
12	former teacher and has absolutely no law enforcement
13	experience? Do you think that that you know,
14	again, is that okay or or should we, you know,
15	move more toward that model of requiring it?
16	And if it even means the districts have to
17	change personnel, I mean, what what that I
18	guess that's really the crux of the question.
19	DR. CARTWRIGHT: I would not be advocating it -
20	- for it to be a position from somebody who's a
21	at an instructional level.
22	I think it does require somebody who
23	understands how to look at things through a
24	compliance lens
25	MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah.

1 DR. CARTWRIGHT: -- because a lot of the 2. requirements right now are through that compliance lens. 3 4 MR. GUALTIERT: Yeah. 5 DR. CARTWRIGHT: And so, if you have an -- an 6 administrative background, oftentimes your training 7 can lend -- lend you towards that area --8 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. 9 DR. CARTWRIGHT: -- and allow you to do that. 10 So, it -- again, I can see both sides to this. MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. Superintendent Roush, 11 12 what do you think? 13 MR. ROUSH: Yes, just briefly, I -- I'm in a 14 situation where I've had both. My -- our first 15 district school safety specialist was retired 26-16 year MP out of the Army, and subsequently he was 17 promoted to assistant -- one of our assistant 18 superintendents. 19 We replaced him with a high -- a former high 2.0 school principal who works side-by-side with our 21 lead SRO, who has a office right beside our district school safety specialist in the district office that 22 23 oversees the SRO program from the sheriff's office. 24 And so, I have, you know, that sheriff's 25 department employee, the lead SRO, working with our

1 -- our district school safety specialist. 2. I believe that it still need to remain as it is 3 currently, with my experience with our current 4 model. I -- I feel -- I feel hesitant to say that 5 it needs to be moved more toward a sheriff's 6 department employee or necessarily just background 7 where it would be mutually selected. 8 I believe the districts need to completely own their own district security, and I think you do that 9 10 primarily by having your district school safety 11 specialist be that person, as it is in Broward, that 12 reports directly to the superintendent. 13 MR. GUALTIERI: All right. But -- and -- and 14 maybe I missed it, but you said that you -- you've 15 had both, so -- but does your person now who reports 16 directly to the superintendent employed by the 17 School Board, do they have law enforcement 18 background? 19 MR. ROUSH: Currently, he -- he did not come 2.0 from a law enforcement background. 21 MR. GUALTIERI: Did not come from a law 22 enforcement -- okay. But -- but it works for you. 23 MR. ROUSH: Yes. 24 Okay. Okay. Next question is MR. GUALTIERI: 25 -- and I'll kind of combine these two -- does there

1 need to be more specific direction given to the 2 districts on meeting school safety requirements and 3 expectations? 4 So, do you need more clarity, do you need more 5 specifics, do you need more direction on what the expectations are? 6 7 And what changes do you recommend for the Office of Safe Schools? 8 9 So, kind of a two-part question there. Superintendent Cartwright, you want to begin? 10 I think sometimes what is 11 DR. CARTWRIGHT: 12 happening right now, the policy implementation 13 pieces of the legislative requirements seems to be 14 an ambiquous area. 15 So, when we're looking for guidance in order to 16 provide us, okay, when we're saying it has to be 17 done at the end of the school year, does that mean 18 June 30 or does that mean that last day of 19 traditional school year or the last day of summer 20 school? 21 Not getting answers to that type of question lends itself to a very ambiguous area, as a very 2.2 23 grey area, and it lends all school districts to have 24 their own interpretation on that, which I know is 25 not at the heart of what the legislation was

1 designed to do.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, the more specific direction that's given to us to effectively implement safety requirements and expectations from the very beginning, the better we are going to be able to respond and that we are going to meet that expectation.

And that -- those are some of the things that we're -- we're struggling with and that we're seeing, is because when we're asking some of these questions about interpretation, we're not getting clear answers. Or it depends on who you're asking.

The other recommendation that we would give also that would help us as a school district is right now there's an annual training that occurs for the school safety officers. We recommend actually doing it quarterly, because things happen throughout the entire year, and we feel as though we want to be more responsive and that we are getting a little bit clearer direction on a more frequent basis.

It also allows to ensure that we have people who are updated and that they're involved in ongoing discussions. Because on an annual basis, something may have happened during the school year where you have a district who's struggling with something, and not knowing that two districts over, they're

1 struggling from the exact same thing. 2. So as a result, what's happening is a lot of times these school safety -- the individuals are 3 creating their own network offline in order to have 4 5 those conversations, but it's not fluid throughout the entire district -- state, excuse me. 6 Not fluid 7 throughout the entire state. So that's a challenge. So, a recommendation is 8 9 let them meet more frequently, maybe quarterly, so 10 that way we can start asking these pointed what --11 questions and get the quidance that's necessary so 12 that we, as the district, are meeting the state 13 requirements and expectations. 14 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. Any other specific 15 changes you'd like to see in the Office of Safe 16 Schools? 17 DR. CARTWRIGHT: Just really looking towards 18 the interpretation, oftentimes, with legislation --19 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. 2.0 DR. CARTWRIGHT: -- and just be black and white 21 The -- the more clear you can be on that -22 - and in -- in a timely manner, the more we're going 23 to be able to meet that bar. 24 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. You -- you feel like 25 that sometimes you -- you've asked questions and not

1 getting a response definitively and in a timely 2. manner? Is that what you all experience, at least 3 to some degree? 4 DR. CARTWRIGHT: Yes, sir. 5 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Superintendent Roush. 6 MR. ROUSH: Yes, I would concur. My feedback 7 from district school safety specialists after attending the June trainings is that the Office of 8 Safe Schools does a tremendous job with the 9 10 information they have at that time. 11 But more times than not, quite frankly, there 12 are a lot of things that we are trying to get 13 answers to when the answer comes back, we don't know 14 yet because all the legalese has not been sifted 15 through in terms of legislative intent and what the 16 actual implementation looks like --17 MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. 18 MR. ROUSH: -- of the legislation that's been 19 passed. 2.0 And so, I think the idea of those folks meeting 21 quarterly, at least, if -- if -- you know, if not 22 quarterly, at least twice a year so that they can 23 receive those updates timely certainly is a -- is --24 is a great suggestion. 25 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Superintendent Forson.

1 MR. FORSON: I would just reinforce the same 2. thing. I think the -- the idea sometimes, the timing of new legislative requirements is so short 3 4 from a time of a -- of -- of that statutory 5 decision, that -- that legislative decision that was 6 made, that it's difficult. 7 So, I think the capacity of the State Office of Safe Schools has -- would have to be expanded to be 8 9 able to be a responsive like -- like school 10 districts may -- may be asking. 11 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. Superintendent Heid? 12 MR. HEID: You know, and I'll -- I'll kind of 13 oversimplify it. It's really, we're talking about 14 inter-rated reliability. The more frequently our 15 individuals can come together and get additional 16 information and resources and training and support, 17 the more likely it is we're all operating with the 18 same understanding of each definition and 19 operational term. And that will lead to better consistency in our 2.0 21 data and less bad data that's being reported. 2.2 I'll go a step further, because the second part 23 of the question was about enhancements to the Office 24 of Safe Schools. I believe that they need a program 25 enhancement. I think they need additional staff.

1 This has become a main priority in the State of 2. Florida. And to do so with their current resources is not -- is insufficient. 3 4 You heard what Broward County's done. I think 5 each one of us has taken strides to add additional 6 staff in this particular area. The state needs to 7 do that as well. 8 I'd even go as -- based off the guestion you 9 asked previously, it need to be linked back to FDLE. 10 There needs to be a collaborative effort there or 11 brought under one umbrella so that that law 12 enforcement expertise is part of that narrative. 13 The -- right now, the Office of Safe Schools is 14 an incredible repository for information, and it's -15 - it really is a valuable tool. And the state is very blessed to have everything that's there and all 16 17 the support that's there. 18 But they need additional assistance. And I --I don't know the individuals who work in that 19 2.0 department, but I'll advocate on their behalf. 21 But, again, I would encourage us to look at is 22 there a way to create a partnership with FDLE or 23 bring it under an FDLE umbrella, because I believe

MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. So, you all heard this

that that's a missing component as well.

24

1 morning the discussion about SESIR and threat 2. management. And so, like to hear your thoughts, if 3 you have any, on how we make those changes, make 4 those fixes. 5 And also, do you support a statewide SESIR 6 database? How important do you think that is in a 7 common platform for threat management? 8 So, I want to say this about -- before you 9 answer the question -- about a common platform for 10 threat management is is that there are a number of 11 vendors that are currently providing the electronic 12 systems for those districts that have it. 13 As I said this morning, there's a whole bunch 14 on pen and paper still, but there are districts that 15 have it. 16 There's also some districts -- excuse me --17 that have their own proprietary systems. 18 Since we're already so far down this -- down 19 this path is is that it may not be viable to have 2.0 one vendor's system. We may need something that 21 lays over the top that just brings it all together. 22 So, I'd like to hear, again, how important do 23 you think that is, and that's what I'm talking about 24 with that probably at this juncture, but a common

SESIR database for reporting and any other thoughts

1 you have about SESIR and threat management and where 2. do we go from here. 3 So Superintendent Forson, you want to begin? 4 MR. FORSON: Yes, thank you. 5 And -- and I think the one thing about both --6 both SESIR -- because you're -- you're asking people 7 to make a determination about human behavior, something that happened with a child. 8 And -- and it's not about a building, it's not 9 10 about a lock, it's not about cameras or anything 11 else. It's about human behavior. 12 So that lends itself to a judgmental call that 13 -- that has to have some parameters and confines to 14 it. 15 And so, I think it's one of those things I've -- I've -- we've probably thought about -- over 16 17 thought about about SESIR, because as you grow and 18 as you move people into leadership roles, the faces 19 change who are also inputting the data. 2.0 So, I think the common database, the common 21 system is important, but I think the same way a 22 principal assesses the -- the effectiveness of a 23 teacher, it's pretty defined about the behaviors 24 that you're watching in a classroom to say that's a 25 high-quality teacher and we're going to get high

1 quality results.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

I think sometimes we're -- we're not there yet with SESIR when you're looking at a behavior. It might be a seven-year-old child's behavior versus a 17-year-old child's behavior, and -- and in descriptive, they've similar, but I think the -- the struggle comes on the judgment side of that.

So, I think the more we can over time define and even provide instruction that helps people look comparative of behaviors, comparative of -- of things they're seeing and acting upon, I think will provide more consistency.

I think SESIR is -- is going to be that challenge because of the judgment of behaviors.

I -- but I think the other piece of it is just schools and school systems love autonomy and options. And in this world -- in this world, I think we really need to restrict the options and -- and try to more clearly define what this means for each person along the way, if that -- if that makes sense.

I -- I think it's a -- it's a challenge, but I think it's important that we start to squeeze that down somewhat.

MR. GUALTIERI: How important is a -- is a

1	common database?
2	MR. FORSON: I I think it's extremely
3	important.
4	MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah.
5	MR. FORSON: But I think, right now, I would
6	say even if you if you looked at our database
7	and St. Johns falls somewhere in the middle, and we
8	have 50,000 students and 200-and-something cases in
9	in particular levels what you don't know, even
10	when that squeezes down to consistent numbers, you
11	don't know the path by which those 250 got defined.
12	MR. GUALTIERI: Right.
13	MR. FORSON: And I think it is much more than
14	just looking at comparative charts and numbers.
15	I think until we take the time to look at how
16	that check got happened, how it happened in the
17	incident, I think it's important that the database
18	has has robust support and training before you
19	we feel like the answer is in a singular database.
20	I don't know that it's it's that simple
21	MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah.
22	MR. FORSON: that
23	MR. GUALTIERI: Where do you think you all are
24	on threats?
25	And and I apologize if I'm putting you on

1 the spot. I really don't' mean to. I'm just trying 2. to, you know, figure things out and -- and so we all learn from this. 3 4 Do you think you're more on the side of, again, 5 threat assessment or are you all doing threat management where it is more holistic and -- and 6 7 you're closing the circle on it? 8 And just tell us a little bit about where you think St. Johns is overall in threats, dealing with 9 10 threats. MR. FORSON: Well, we -- we have a district 11 12 threat assessment team that reviews the threat 13 assessments that are coming out of schools. 14 MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. 15 MR. FORSON: So, I think from a process of identifying and being thorough about the -- the --16 the case management at the time of an incident or at 17 18 the time of a threat, we're -- we're pretty good. 19 I think from the ideal -- just being very 2.0 honest -- from case management, I -- I would say 21 that -- and -- and Dr. Cartwright spoke to it a 22 little bit, kind of indirectly, but the case 23 management extends beyond the law enforcement and

the school district to a community at whole and all

the resources that are part of that community to try

24

2.

2.0

to provide the right tools, engagement, whatever kind of support it is, for those young adults, many times young children, who might be coming from dysfunctional environments or -- or -- or just isolationism that the threat management, I think, is a much larger task that I would say right now, we have good resources, but we're not there.

We're absolutely not there with long-term management of threat cases.

MR. GUALTIERI: And the model you just talked about is one you see in some places. So, there's a number of districts that have threat assessment teams in every school. They're pretty autonomous. They have limited oversight. People may ask for data periodically, maybe once a month, but they're pretty autonomous.

Then you have the model that Superintendent Forson's talking about with some districts, where the threat assessment teams are functioning in the schools and if it's a transient threat or a non-threat, it pretty much stays there, but if it's a substantiated threat, substantive threat, it gets elevated to a districtwide threat assessment team.

And then districtwide threat assessment takes it to a higher level and they kind of oversee it and

1 That's kind of your model? -- correct? 2. MR. FORSON: Yes. Yes, sir. 3 MR. GUALTIERI: And that's what -- that's why 4 it gets back to the point where there's no 5 consistency. It's all over the place. 6 The other thing, and maybe as the rest of you 7 respond on SESIR and threat assessments, too, is that one of the areas that I see where there's a 8 9 greater need is greater interaction between the 10 threat assessment teams and law enforcement. 11 Like anything that you're assessing, it's only 12 as good as the information that you receive. 13 you have a law enforcement on every threat 14 assessment team, required by statute. 15 If you ask the average cop who's an SRO in a school to go do a background check, what they're 16 17 going to do is go out to their car, they're going to 18 run it in the records management system, the report 19 writing system, they're going to run it in FCIC NCIC and -- for criminal information. That's about all 2.0 21 you're going to get. 22 So, when they come back and say, oh, we don't 23 have anything on Joey, is is they checked this much 24 (indicating) where the universe is this much 25 (indicating). And there's not enough robust

1 information gathering to bring it back to the team. So, you know, now, when it generally goes to the district teams, there might be some more 3 4 information that's gathered, et cetera, but that --5 that, I can tell you, is absolutely a huge void, is that people think that they're making decisions 6 7 based upon the background check. Well, background check is, again, a subjective 8 And if it is only checking a couple 9 10 databased, they're missing the mark on it. 11 So, anyway, Superintendent Roush, you have any 12 thoughts on it? 13 MR. ROUSH: Yes, thank you. I -- I would 14 agree. We absolutely need a standardized platform 15 for both SESIR reporting and for threat management 16 and -- and the threat assessment piece. 17 I'll give you an example. A lot of times, you 18 know, it's -- it's -- it's already challenging just 19 getting stuff moved in -- in district. But what 2.0 concerns us even more as we have students coming 21 from other districts is a lot of times what comes with that student's cumulative record does not 22 23 include the information that you really need to 2.4 know. 25 If we had a statewide database where

information was kept, once we pulled that system -that student into our system and we identified that
student identifier number with that student, that
information would be available to us
administratively upon receipt of that student into
the district.

It -- it -- it's -- it's very troubling when you've had a student on your campus for a week, or two weeks, attending classes, that you learn after the fact you would have handled differently and now have to go back and have some -- some different conversations about.

On the SESIR side, I think what you've also got in play, and I haven't heard a lot of conversation about it, but I believe what's impacting such an influx -- my opinion -- on the SESIR data is that you've got every school district in the state that also has a myriad of their own local definitions in terms of disciplinary inputs that get identified with that particular behavior based on the narrative that is written in that incident report.

And so, for example, you know, you may -- you may have somebody that -- that -- that's committed a simple battery, or under SESIR, it's the physical attack, but in your district code, you've also got

2.

2.0

2.

2.0

2.2

the ability to tag that as an inappropriate touch or strike, and not that physical attack or that simple battery.

And so, you're looking at the narrative and saying, okay, is it serious enough to be a SESIR or do I use our local code that's not a SESIR that is a similar behavior by definition in our Code of Conduct.

And I just brought our Code of Conduct for that. As I was looking over that, I was just amazed at the number of alternative tags that we had in our district that you could use as an alternative to identifying something as a SESIR that could be, depending on who's looking at it, a SESIR incident.

And it's not intentional to try to circumvent reporting something as SESIR, but what I am saying is, to the end user, usually an assistant principal or a dean, it can be very confusing as to which one of these is the most appropriate.

And so, until we have a standardized, more well-defined definition of terms that we deal with with SESIR and a statewide reporting base that interacts with that center -- SESIR data, I think we're going to continue, quite honestly, to experience those challenges.

1 MR. GUALTIERI: And -- and the last things you 2. said are, in your view, the ways we mitigate this, because you don't eliminate it, but -- but there 3 4 needs to be tighter definitions. 5 And how do you deal with the local -- the interaction between SESIR definitions and local --6 7 and local definitions? Do you require that the -the districts do away with local definitions? How -8 - how do you deal with that? 9 10 MR. ROUSH: I don't know how you deal with that 11 unless you go to a statewide level of defining what 12 behaviors exist. 13 So, whether -- whether you use a Skyward, 14 whether you're using Focus, and you go in and you 15 pull up that disciplinary referral --MR. GUALTIERI: 16 Uh-huh. 17 MR. ROUSH: -- and there's an option there for 18 the individual to attach the incident to the narrative and -- and -- and -- and process 19 2.0 that particular disciplinary referral, unless that's 21 standardized, I -- I think you're -- we're going to 22 continue to struggle with that. 23 And so, again, being a proponent of local 24 control, I don't want my cake and eat it, too, but I 25 will say that I think we need a standardized set of

1 behaviors that don't allow such a wide gap or -- or 2. a lack of uniformity in how we -- in how we define what disciplinary referrals are -- are -- are 3 4 attached to when you're listing that indicator, be 5 it SESIR or district definition. 6 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Superintendent 7 Cartwright, do you want to --8 DR. CARTWRIGHT: Certainly. 9 MR. GUALTIERI: -- address those questions on 10 SESIR and threat assessment? 11 DR. CARTWRIGHT: Certainly. So, on the SESIR, 12 I know I answered some -- I already gave some 13 perspective and insight on that. I -- so I'm not 14 going to repeat that from previous. 15 But, again, having a statewide -- and even a 16 statewide set of definitions I do think would help 17 provide that clarity. 18 Related to the statewide threat management model, I appreciate what you said earlier where 19 2.0 districts have models, a lot of them do, but there 21 may be some type of an overlay model that's on top 22 of that that will do a push and a pool of data, so 23 that way, it is shared across the entire state. 24 As mentioned before, in Broward, we use EDPlan, 25 and we have invested an enormous amount of time and

energy. And if the state were to come in and say, okay, we're going to take that away and do something different, the amount of time and energy it's going to take for my staff in order to make a transition is -- is a -- is a very large ask --

MR. GUALTIERI: Right.

2.

2.0

DR. CARTWRIGHT: -- especially when we're really starting to get used to what we're doing right now.

We are currently -- for other districts who use EDPlan, we are pushing that information out so that we can try to -- to the best of our ability, share the information and as well as obtain it.

But it's only as good as the other districts who are using the same company.

MR. GUALTIERI: Right. I know like for what you all have with PCG, which is in EDPlan, I think you have it, Hillsboro has it, Palm Beach has it, then you've got USA Software, you've got a bunch of different ones that are out there, but the -- the -- the question will ultimately be for DOE, you know, and I would say that the caution, at least for me, you all can weigh in, we don't want this, assuming we can get it and the state'll do it, we don't want this to turn into Alyssa's Alert. At least, I

don't, because -- where you've got eight vendors.

So now you've got a situation where you've got

Navigate 360 or you've got USA Software, you've got

PCG, you've got all this, and you have a whole bunch

of districts right now that don't have anything.

You have it because you invested in it. Some other

districts did. But you've got a whole bunch -
Miami-Dade's the biggest -- that don't have

anything.

So, if the state comes up and says, okay, we're going to do this is is that you're going to have a big push by the vendors because they all want a piece of it. And so, do you give it to one vendor, or do you do what happened with Alyssa's Alert, oh, we'll just give it to everybody?

And I think that's a mistake. I -- I think that for those who -- those who already have it, they can keep it, but there needs to be one horse to ride for all the rest. Because otherwise it's going to be a very messy situation, I think.

But I guess that's for somebody else to make the decision on and we can weigh in, but I -- I think that's something they have to be very cautious about, because otherwise we end up back in the situation where we've got a whole bunch of different

2.

2.0

1 vendors, you know, around. 2. So, anyway, Superintendent Heid, go ahead. MR. HEID: Yeah, and I'll -- I'll add to what 3 4 just shared, because I think that's an 5 important point -- important area to clarify 6 further. 7 If we have a system and the state were to adopt something different, a repository or a database, 8 9 it's -- it's absolutely acceptable to provide 10 operating parameters, and -- and that would dictate 11 how our reporting structure has to be programmed so 12 that it would facilitate that information getting 13 into that database. 14 So, there are ways to do that. It's not 15 uncommon for states to put out RFPs and say, here's 16 how the data has to be reported, in the following 17 And that way, they can find one overarching 18 database that would feed into it. 19 I just wanted to touch on something my 2.0 colleague shared and not undersell the importance of 21 a database for the sole reason of student mobility. 2.2 We make sure, and we work incredibly hard to make sure, that student academic data follows them 23 24 from one grade level to the next, and from one

school level to the next, and from district to

1 district.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

And yet we don't have that same thoroughness when it comes to these types of issues. And that creates not just a hardship, but it creates a real significant security breach, because we don't know what level of support an individual student may require so that we can put those safeguards in place. Not to be punitive, not to be restrictive, but to ensure that the wraparound services are well established so that child can hit the ground running and be incredibly successful.

But without that information, we're operating in the dark, and it takes -- it can take us months to figure out what's actually happening with a student.

MR. GUALTIERI: So, one of the things I -- I think it's important that we be clear about when we're talking about threat management and some type of a common platform is is that it's really no different than law enforcement records management systems or report writing systems.

This is not -- and I want to stress what it's not -- it's not artificial intelligence. It's not predictive analytics. This isn't putting -- because there are people that have concerns and -- and

rightfully so, that there's privacy issues and there's certain groups that could be targeted because of it, et cetera. That's not what this is.

2.

2.0

This is so that when you get a complaint, you get an allegation, it can be assessed. It can be determined to be substantive, transient, non-threat, whatever you want to call it, whatever the category is. But if it is, especially, that there can be a sharing of the information. You've got all the assessment instruments in there, and it can be shared intradistrict and interdistrict so that everybody has access to it. And if you had a kid that was assessed years prior or a certain amount of time in the past, and there's ones that are done periodically, people will know.

That's all this is. So, this is not about some type of, again, artificial intelligence or predictive, trying to look at traits or behaviors.

That's not what this is about in any way.

So, from a facilitated discussion, those are the questions. And I'd like to open it up now to each of the superintendents, if there's anything you want to add that we haven't discussed, anything that you've heard, anything from the superintendents across the state perspective that you want to bring

1 up to the Commission, now's a -- a chance where you 2. can do that. And then I'll open it up to questions from any 3 4 of the Commissioners. 5 So, I'll kind of go down the line, beginning 6 with Superintendent Heid and down. If there's 7 anything that you want to bring up that we haven't discussed, please do so. 8 MR. HEID: No, sir. I think I put a lot out 9 10 there. 11 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. 12 MR. HEID: I encourage accountability. 13 encouraging alignment and consistency in practice. 14 I'm encouraging us to explore the possibility of 15 expanding the office to ensure that they have the 16 appropriate resources to man and to ensure that 17 we're getting timely reporting back, that if -- and 18 I believe in analytic -- I believe in the analytics behind the data. 19 2.0 You know, there are some statistical anomalies 21 that were discussed earlier today. 2.2 I'm -- I'm going to be honest. I'm grateful to 23 be in the -- in the quadrant that's over reporting, 24 then, if that's -- if that's the reality, because 25 what that means is, through my threat assessment

1 team and the threat management process, we're re-2. evaluating all those scenarios. We're not missing 3 them. 4 So, I'll accept that data point at this -- at -5 - if -- if that's our current reality. 6 But I think there's a lot of opportunities, and 7 I think we shared a lot of feedback today that would help improve the system overall. 8 9 MR. GUALTIERI: Superintendent Cartwright? DR. CARTWRIGHT: 10 I would like to say that we're 11 very grateful for the support and -- and the 12 relationship that we do have currently. And we 13 really appreciate the information that we do get. 14 The things that I put out today are just 15 recommendations on how we can improve, how we can 16 continue to go forward, how we can keep a growth 17 mindset. 18 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. Superintendent Roush. MR. ROUSH: Yes, I was going to -- I -- I told 19 2.0 myself I wasn't going to be careful about how I said 21 something, but I'm just going to -- okay. 22 say it. Let me say this. Let -- let me do echo, having 23 24 had experience with two different School Safety 25 Directors, what Fred mentioned just a minute ago.

2.

And this is not on the Office of Safe Schools. They have done the absolute best they can with the personnel they -- they -- they -- they -- they have with them.

But the ladies that we've interacted with over the years, Julie Collins, Sylvia Ifft, Brooks

Rumenik, they have a -- that -- that office has an extremely large job to do for an extremely large state. And I think sometimes we lose sight of the fact that Florida's the third largest state in the country, serving almost 3,000,000 students; relatively speaking, has a very small Office of -- of Safe Schools to -- to us that support.

So, nobody from DOE told me or asked me to say that, but I'm -- but I think we are at a point where we really need to look, you know, and -- and give the Department the support they need for the personnel that we are asking them to help implement all these things that we're trying to do.

Second, talking about the -- the standardized platform, whether you're talking about threat management, threat reporting -- the data gathering on that this morning was eye-opening. I had not seen any data points on that previous to this morning -- or whether you're talking about SESIR

1 incidents, the standardization of how we report as 2. school districts to the state to try to clean that 3 data up and make it more accurate so that we can do 4 some real analytics on what it means for us, I'll 5 use the -- maybe it's a poor -- maybe it's a poor 6 example. 7 But it doesn't matter if you're in Broward County, in Suwanee County, or Escambia County, or 8 down in Monroe. It doesn't matter. 9 10 If you report on DCF's website a suspected 11 child abuse situation, that platform, that reporting 12 mechanism and all those data elements are exactly 13 the same in all 67 districts. 14 And in that vein, I think we've got to get to a 15 point where we look at it through the same lens. 16 Whether you're talking about threat management, 17 threat reporting, or you're talking about SESIR 18 incidents, we've got to come up with a better way of 19 standardizing what that looks like on the user end, 2.0 user interface from a data input perspective across 21 our districts. And that's what I would -- that's what I would 2.2 23 offer. 24 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Thank you. 25 Superintendent Forson.

MR. FORSON: Thank you. and I -- I don't know that I have -- have much to add except just to say that, you know, when we talked about a -- a number of different things, and I -- I want to go back to, you know, when you started this morning, you talked about the -- you all talking about the formation of committees to be focused.

We're doing a lot of things, and it's -- it -- it is a -- a full-fledged approach to trying to make schools safer, because there's an urgency about it, and we all love the urgency about it to keep children safe.

But when we're talking about how do we make it better for -- for our safe -- our school safety officers and how they're doing the right thing in making sure FSAT is -- is accurate and -- and working through SESIR, I think, to your point, doing singular things to the best of our ability, whether that's through Office of Safe Schools or however it is.

So, I appreciated, on behalf of FADSS and on behalf of the superintendents sitting here today, the opportunity to be at the table, to -- to -- just to discuss and to share.

But I think moving forward, you know, you have

2.

2.0

1 to walk before you run, and -- and there's a lot of 2. running that's happened quickly to -- to lift things up and to happen and to make it successful. 3 4 But I hope that as we go forward, if -- if it's 5 individuals that we can singularly focus on the one 6 thing we want to move forward the most now, and --7 and by having singular focus, I think we'll have greater success going forward for -- for children 8 and for schools. 9 10 So, I -- I appreciate it. I -- I know we all appreciate the time to be here. 11 12 MR. GUALTIERI: Thanks. 13 So, Commissioners, you have any questions for 14 the superintendents? 15 Yeah, Commissioner Dodd, you have --16 Thank you all for being here. MR. DODD: I'm a 17 School Board member in Citrus County, so I 18 appreciate the work that you all do as 19 superintendents. I know you have big jobs. 2.0 I was just curious, though, how -- in your 21 district, how do you determine when a school would 22 be better served by more than one safe school 23 officer? 24 If you're -- if you're speaking MR. ROUSH: 25 about the on the -- boots on the ground safe

1 schools' officer --2. MR. DODD: That's right. MR. ROUSH: -- at each school, we look at it 3 4 from a strategic standpoint. Every school facility 5 is different. I -- I think -- I think that all the superintendents up here could agree that as you look 6 7 across your schools, whether you've got ten or whether you've got 200, they're all -- they're all a 8 little different. 9 10 And so, in order -- I've used this analogy a 11 number of times. You've got -- depending on the 12 number of buildings you've got, you've got fire 13 extinguishers in every hallway, you've got smoke 14 detectors, you've got all these lives saving 15 preventative type things in place. 16 That's how we determine how many people we need 17 in a school is based on the facility layout and how 18 that school is structured. Is it under one roof or 19 is it multiple wing? That helps us drive how many 2.0 school guardians we want to train and put in place 21 to work with that SRO and that campus as school 22 safety personnel. 23 MR. DODD: Anyone -- yeah. 24 MR. HEID: So, I'll add from Polk's 25 perspective, it's -- it's enrollment, and then the

1 campus layout.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Just as was said previously. If it's a large, spread-out campus, you need multiple bodies. If it's a smaller, condensed, more comprehensive campus, multiple stories, it's a little easier to manage that with fewer individuals. But those are two factors that we use.

MR. DODD: And so, you are working towards campuses that have more than one safe school officer acting.

MR. HEID: We -- yes, sir, we already do.

MR. DODD: Okay. And as we've discussed, I mean, the -- the price of a guardian, where you can -- you know, is about half of the price of a sworn law enforcement officer. And I know in Broward County when we first looked at all these monitors that you all had that were not guardians, you know -- and I -- I appreciate the fact in Broward it looks like you all have moved more towards that guardian model but having the -- those personnel available is a great thing.

So, I'm just kind of curious on how -- you know, how your districts are looking at that.

And -- and Tim -- Mr. Forson, did you have a comment there? It looked like --

schedule@lawsreporting.com

1 MR. FORSON: I -- I think we're -- we are the 2. same. I -- I would give you the example, we're a very fast-growing district. Two years ago, we had 3 4 two high schools over 3,000 students. So, in our 5 agreement with the Sheriff's Office, we actually 6 have -- for 43 schools, have 55 employees -- or --7 or shared employees in that, and so those larger schools, it -- and it is, it's exactly what was 8 9 shared is that in some of those schools, you may 10 have relocatable classrooms for a couple of years, 11 so it's -- it's a constantly changing site. 12 means you have to respond to that with a number of 13 individuals based on that. 14 MR. DODD: And then so it does sound like 15 you're not satisfied with just one person. Sheriff, you had mentioned, you know, two is better 16 17 than one, three is better than two. And -- and I'm 18 -- I'm glad to hear that. 19 I know in our district, we've looked at that at 2.0 our larger -- larger schools, how we can combine a 21 quardian with an SRO and how we can have some 22 different float positions that we need at different 23 events. 24 So, I -- I appreciate the information. 25 MR. GUALTIERI: Anybody else have any questions

1 for the superintendents? 2. Commissioner Carroll, go ahead. MR. CARROLL: Thank you all. Two -- two 3 4 questions, unrelated. 5 The first one, our early work on this 6 Commission was around school hardening, you know, 7 everything -- I think the -- the basic level was making sure the gates were closed and making sure 8 9 the doors were locked, making sure you limited entry 10 to visitors' access points. It was about hard corners in classroom. And there was some money 11 12 appropriated to do that. 13 Are -- are you satisfied where you're at or 14 where your schools, all of your schools are at in 15 terms of school hardening, or even some of the --16 the softer stuff in -- in terms of teachers' 17 abilities to arrange their classrooms so they are 18 providing hard corners and stuff? MR. FORSON: If -- if I can just -- I 19 2.0 would just say in -- where we -- we are, we had a 21 sales tax that we passed in 2015. So, we were 22 already on the -- the school hardening journey. But, honestly, seeing the -- the change in 2018 23 24 did cause us to invest even more. We -- as a school 25 district, we have taken resources and -- and focused

1 on hardening at a level we probably would not have 2. before Marjory Stoneman Douglas. And so, it did cause us to change what we do and how we do it. 3 4 As I said, we always seem to have schools under 5 construction, so we also have someone -- our -- our school safety officer is a part of that early site 6 7 design and -- and layout, that -- that we do that early work. So --8 9 MR. CARROLL: Okay. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FORSON -- it -- it's been important.

MR. ROUSH: I believe that the -- the work on the -- on the school hardening front is one of those things that never really completely gets finished. The -- the projects are just -- are -- are -are never-ending.

And just to -- when you get to a particular place where you've -- you've solved one issue, or you've gotten to a place where you've got the most recent technology, the technology changes and you need to circle back again.

And to -- to say that, you know, I remember when we -- when -- after -- after 9/11 and the -and the Department of Homeland Security, there were grants there. We started doing single points of entry, we started working on changing out locks to a

schedule@lawsreporting.com

1 different key system.

And then from that, you know, we've gone to the badges. We're moving toward a hundred percent of our schools' access to doors, even classroom -- at the classroom level, the access badge that's programmed just for that teacher at that particular door.

And so, you know, the -- the -- things are expensive. We've used every dollar of our school hardening dollars toward true school hardening efforts. We're appreciative.

We need more. Fencing's expensive. Doors are expensive. Everything is expensive.

And -- and so, you know, I would -- I would -- my -- my ask would be is that we not stop looking -- looking at school hardening and continue to ask and press for school hardening allocations for years to come so that we can continue these efforts.

MR. CARROLL: Second question, and -- and,
Superintendent Roush, you actually made me feel a
little bit better on the data, although now I have
even more questions on the data.

And I don't want to keep bringing it, because I think there's some consensus around the fact that we need to fix it.

2.

3

But you said that you had school-based codes that were alternatives to the SESIR data. So, it dawned on me that a lot of these places that are under reporting SESIR data are probably still reporting, but they're -- they're -- I guess this Level 5, a school-based code, because it doesn't make it to a SESIR.

So, an inappropriate touch as opposed to a physical attack, for instance, so there's lots of stuff in between.

And you referenced DCF in -- in terms of the hotline and their ability to report.

One of the things that DCF reports also do is there's a disposition at the end of it, you know. And it would seem to me -- I -- I guess that's what I was looking for on Level 1s and Level 2s, if it was really a Level 1, if you had a rape in a school, I'm thinking at some point -- it might not be immediately, but I'm thinking at some point there's going to be an arrest, and at some point there's going to be an expulsion, you know.

And -- and so I could do some analysis around what the disposition was in that case to begin to understand the differences in how folks are -- are - are handling the data entry.

2.

2.0

1 You guys track dispositions at all on these 2. things? Because I'm not sure of the timing of how you enter SESIR data, when it -- when it's entered, 3 4 is it gone? Or do you guys actually enter 5 dispositions at some point and go back and update 6 this stuff? 7 MR. ROUSH: In -- in our district, when you're looking at SESIR-type incidences, those more serious 8 9 things that take place, that does get discussed at 10 the district level with our lead SRO, our Director 11 of School Safety, our Assistant Superintendent and 12 myself, and many times, the student -- Director of 13 Student Services, depending on the nature of the --14 of -- of the situation. 15 So, we are -- we are following up on the 16 disposition. 17 If we don't get disposition, we come back -- we 18 circle back around and say, hey, we didn't -- we --19 we don't know what happened to Johnny. You know, 2.0 what -- what happened to Johnny? What was the 21 disposition in that particular situation or that 2.2 case? 23 And I -- I think you do have a large variety of 24 -- of -- of points where districts involve or don't

involve law enforcement on these different SESIR

1 incidences. 2. And I was looking at the Level 1, 2, 3, and 4, and I will tell you that, in our district, we have 3 law enforcement in all four levels --4 5 MR. CARROLL: Uh-huh. 6 MR. ROUSH: -- whether it's, you know, down to 7 the Level 4 where you're talking about a tobacco citation issued to a student for \$35 or certain 8 alcohol possession. I mean, we're going to involve 9 10 law enforcement on all levels when you're dealing 11 with a SESIR incident. MR. CARROLL: SO, if there were a statewide 12 13 database to enter this stuff, then folks could go 14 back and enter what the disposition was on some of 15 these -- like how these incidents were resolved. 16 Would you find that helpful, as a school 17 administrator? 18 MR. ROUSH: I -- I wouldn't -- I personally 19 wouldn't have a problem with it. We're finding out 2.0 the disposition anyway. But --21 MR. CARROLL: Yeah. 22 MR. ROUSH: -- if the disposition is of interest to the state through the SESIR reporting to 23 24 try to encapsulate what this data means, back to the 25 great disparity that exists, then to that extent, I

1 would be in favor of it. 2. MR. CARROLL: Okay. 3 MR. GUALTIERI: Mr. Schachter, go ahead. MR. SCHACHTER: 4 Thank you very much for being 5 This -- the insight and the feedback that you 6 guys have given us has really been helpful. 7 I have two questions on SESIR. The first is that we heard a really detailed 8 9 presentation on the analysis of the latest SESIR 10 data on the monthly. And, you know, we heard about 11 all -- you know, some school districts are reporting 12 zero, some school districts are reporting very, very 13 low numbers, and others are -- are just, you know, 14 much higher per 1,000 kids. 15 Is there any explanation that you can give to 16 help us understand the data and the huge 17 discrepancies on -- on both ends of the spectrum 18 that, you know, we didn't talk about today and from 19 -- I think your perspective would really be helpful. 2.0 MR. HEID: I think Superintendent Roush touched 21 on that quite extensively, actually, because if each 22 district adopts within their own documentation, 23 their own form, alternate language to capture --24 MR. SCHACHTER: Uh-huh. Okav. 25 MR. HEID: -- student behaviors --

1	MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah.
2	MR. HEID: that then doesn't rise or trigger
3	that response in SESIR
4	MR. SCHACHTER: Right.
5	MR. HEID: for a fight, for a battery, for
6	something else because we're coding it as something
7	alternative to what it actually was.
8	MR. SCHACHTER: Okay.
9	MR. HEID: And so, each district does that,
10	whether it's it's been done sometimes it's
11	been done intentionally, sometimes it's just a
12	historical manifestation of what takes place over
13	time.
14	But that's a reality. So, again, I think what
15	we talked about earlier was even in our systems,
16	whether it's Focus or some other, if we forced those
17	to all fall within the SESIR designations
18	MR. SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay.
19	MR. HEID: and did not allow any deviation
20	from that
21	MR. SCHACHTER: Uh-huh.
22	MR. HEID: you'd see better consistency in
23	your reporting.
24	MR. SCHACHTER: Okay.
25	MR. HEID: That coupled with training, so that

1 everybody understood the true definition. I go back to what I said earlier. 2. 3 MR. SCHACHTER: Right. 4 MR. HEIG Inter-rated reliability. Do was all 5 -- do we all have the same operational understanding of what a term really means. A fight, a battery --6 7 MR. SCHACHTER: Yes. MR. HEIG: -- an assault. And so, when you can 8 9 achieve that, that's when you'll get more 10 consistency. 11 But I think --12 MR. SCHACHTER: Okay. 13 MR. HEID: -- that's the best justification --14 MR. SCHACHTER: Okay. 15 MR. HEID: -- or explanation. 16 MR. SCHACHTER: Perfect. Yeah, no, thank you 17 for reiterating that. 18 You know, big picture here, we're spending a 19 lot of time and effort on SESIR and, you know, 2.0 collecting this data's great, but nobody wants to, 21 you know, just collect data. Where do you envision 22 and -- and if you had this dashboard -- I'm -- I'm not sure if each of your districts have these kinds 23 24 of dashboards, but what do you ultimately think that 25 we should be doing with this data and how should we

1 be using it to -- to make schools safer? 2. I talked earlier about how Superintendent 3 Cartwright is using this data, you know, to 4 implement, you know, metal detector wands. 5 What would you be able to do, what could you 6 do, and how do you think it would help us? 7 know, why should we continue to collect this data? 8 MR. HEID: It's -- it's a precursor to other 9 issues. So, my -- my current dissertation's on 10 adverse childhood experiences, the use of cognitive 11 behavioral therapy to -- to address those issues. 12 There are patterns of behaviors that emerge 13 early on. And if we can identify those patterns 14 before they -- they result in a behavior, then we're 15 better off. 16 And I -- so having that data and being able to 17 analyze it and find patterns within students -- or 18 populations of students would give us that 19 information to help trigger better or different 2.0 interventions and supports for early intervention, 21 rather than a -- a responsitory intervention after 2.2 the fact. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: And do you feel this specific 24 SESIR data we're collecting is going to help you do 25 that? Or --

1	MR. HEID: I I do.
2	MR. SCHACHTER: Okay.
3	MR. HEID: I do. Because it's not it's
4	because we won't use the SESIR data in isolation.
5	You're looking at academic performance data. You're
6	going to couple that with attendance data. You're
7	going to couple that with disciplinary data.
8	MR. SCHACHTER: Right.
9	MR. HEID: So that collective data set is what
10	informs that practice.
11	MR. SCHACHTER: Would it help if we collect
12	if we did it all if we put it all together for
13	you?
14	MR. HEID: I don't know that I want to open
15	that can of worms, put that burden on the Commission
16	
17	MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah, right.
18	MR. HEID: at this time.
19	MR. SCHACHTER: Go down that route.
20	MR. HEID: But I think that's worth further
21	discussion
22	MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah.
23	MR. HEID: if the Commission is
24	MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah.
25	MR. HEID: another committee

1 MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah. 2. MR. HEID: -- is -- is facilitated --3 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. 4 MR. HEID: -- as a result. 5 MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah. MR. GUALTIERI: 6 You know, one of the -- it's 7 hard question, but I'm going to ask anyway, because you all have a tremendous amount of experience. 8 9 Before you can solve any problem, you've got to 10 know what the problem is, what's causing the 11 We know we've got a problem. We don't 12 know exactly what's causing it. And we can 13 speculate. 14 But just based on your instincts, your guts, 15 your many, many years of collective experience, do 16 we have a problem? Not does it happen. Of course 17 it happens, probably anecdotally, but does -- does 18 it really happen where it's a big problem, where you 19 have, at a line level, let's say a principal level 2.0 or below, do you have non-reporting and under 21 reporting to circle the wagon, that is a big problem 22 that has to be addresses where we've got to change a culture and make people understand that they are not 23 going to get dinged just because their school shows 24

a high number of incidents.

And is that a major issue that has to be addressed as we try and tackle this?

DR. CARTWRIGHT: I think any time you're looking at how the data is going to be viewed, you always have to also look at from a how is the public going to view it. Because if the numbers are high, then there's a perception, or a false perception, that maybe told from others.

And so, there's always that balance that sometimes school administrators feel they have to walk. I'm not saying it's right. Because, frankly speaking, I believe it's important for us to be transparent, and what the data is is what it is.

But it allows us to inform future decisions on how we go forward.

Just as my colleague, Mr. Heid was -- was describing about being able to look at trends and patterns for a student in order to help identify if that child needs additional support, it can also be trends and patterns that are occurring within a school environment as well.

And so, it feeds both ways as far as an analysis is concerned, but what do you do with the data to drive change going forward is the ultimate question, without being really kind of dinged --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

1 dinged by other people because, wow, you've got 2. really high numbers here, what's going on. So, it's that -- it's how do we have this very 3 4 honest conversation that is a very fine line 5 conversation in saying we realize that there's 6 things that are going on, and here is our plan of 7 action on how we're going to address it, and, community, we need you alongside of us because we're 8 in this together. 9 10 MR. GUALTIERI: Anybody else want to offer any 11 view on that question? No? Okay. 12 Anybody else? 13 Yeah, Commissioner Glass, go ahead. 14 MR. GLASS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15 Thank you guys for being here, and I'm -- I'm 16 like getting up to speed on all this for the past 90 17 days, so -- but I do have a question. 18 As we harden our target, we harden the schools, and we make it -- and we talk about behavioral and 19 2.0 we harden everything, with the safety officers and -21 - and the school resource officers, we have a lot of 22 the school district classrooms. moving around, on 23 the roads. 24 So, has your school districts been monitoring -25 - you know, do you have video cameras inside the

1 school buses, or real time monitoring and also 2. tracking where they're moving to that has the 3 capability of getting to the PSAP almost immediately 4 on a 911 call, on an active alert? 5 Because as we harden all this up, that's where 6 that child or that student that's having an issue is 7 going realize, I can't get it here, but I can get it right there. 8 And are we training our school bus drivers in 9 10 the same type of behavioral recognition? 11 Just curious. 12 MR. FORSON: If -- if -- if I can just answer 13 that briefly, I -- I think it is -- that -- that's a 14 very significant issue, and that we have moved --15 yes, we have cameras, multiple cameras, actually, 16 now, multiple cameras on our buses and -- and we 17 also have GPS to track and be able to respond 18 quickly. The -- the other element of that is -- is 19 2.0 having 800-megahertz radio, so even if a bus 21 operator has an incident, they can, right a that 22 time, get law enforcement to a place or anything of 23 that nature. 24 But I -- but I think one of the -- you bring up an important point I think we all have to remind 25

ourselves of. There are some very vulnerable places
for us.

As well as we put up fencing, as well as we do things with buses, when you have bus stops, you have children on playgrounds and athletic fields, there's a -- there's -- there's always a vulnerability that I think that makes us uncomfortable, that we -- we try our best to try to do the best we can to provide -- if it can't take away the risk of 50 children being on a bus, or the behavior that happens there, to -- to apply as much support as we can that if, in fact, there was an occurrence.

I -- I don't know how much else to -- to share
in that.

MR. ROUSH: We -- we also have multiple cameras, and we have GPS tracking, and we've outfitted all of our buses at this point with new radios in the last 12 to 18 months to be better able to communicate not only with the -- with each other, but with the transportation base station and with the schools.

I would also add that we have armed concealed carry transportation employees in our district. And so, what I would say is, to anybody that would want to cause a major issue and try to commandeer a bus,

2.0

1 you want to guess which one or ones they are? 2. And our people -- you know, our people know 3 I mean, we have employees across the spectrum that. 4 that are highly trained. You know, God forbid 5 something bad should happen, you know, on a School Board, I -- I believe it's a deterrent. 6 7 Yeah. Mr. Oliva, go ahead. MR. GUALTIERI: MR. OLIVA: So, I -- I just want to say real 8 9 quick, Chair and Commission, if I can, thank you to 10 the superintendents who I think did an outstanding 11 job bringing a voice from the field. 12 And -- and I think we're really lucky at the 13 Department to be able to work with so many wonderful 14 superintendents across the state. 15 But I -- I just want to thank everybody here for having this discussion, because I think we've 16 17 got some very real -- and I like to like tier things 18 in buckets -- some things that we can start working 19 on right now and somethings that are going to take 20 some time, real practical ideas that I know we can 21 stand by and support the work of this Commission and 22 -- and the support for safe schools, that -- that we're going to see before school starts, some ideas 23 24 come to fruition.

And I -- I welcome the opportunity to provide

1 an update at the next Commission meeting on the 2 progress we made based on some of the feedback we've 3 heard from our superintendents today. MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. Yeah. 4 Thank you. 5 All right. Anybody else? All right. Well, we thank you for being here, 6 7 and we appreciate it. Thank you. 8 UPDATE FROM STAND WITH PARKLAND - TONY MONTALTO 9 MR. GUALTIERI: So, the last presentation today will be from Tony Montalto from -- an update from 10 11 Stand with Parkland. 12 Good afternoon, Mr. Montalto. Thank you for 13 being here. Appreciate you coming. Thank you. Good afternoon. 14 MR. MONTALTO: I'm 15 must begin my third term as the elected president of Stand with Parkland, the National Association of 16 17 Families for Safe Schools. 18 It's my honor to lead this group and speak to this Commission on their behalf. 19 20 The families who had a loved on taken in the 21 Parkland tragedy on February 14 , 2018, have bonded together, forming this organization to help prevent 2.2 23 others from living our nightmare. 24 Stand with Parkland looks at school safety in a 25 uniquely inclusive, non-partisan, and holistic way.

We use the school safety triad, which consists of improving the security of the school campus, improving mental health screening and support 4 programs, and, finally, if you choose to own one, ensuring responsible firearms ownership. These three things push and pull on one another

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

to keep our students and teachers safe at school.

All three of these things failed our families on that terrible February day.

In the wake of the Parkland school massacre, Florida has led the way for this nation in school safety. Much of what Florida has done has been informed by the work of this Commission, by the experts you have had testify, by the recommendations you have made to the Florida Legislature, and by the courageous leadership on both sides of the aisle in Tallahassee, as our politicians came together to change the status quo and help ensure the safety of our students and teachers at school.

Stand with Parkland works on all levels of government, local, state, and federal.

We are focused on issues, answers, and families.

With that guidance, we have been able to build relationships and have meaningful conversations with

1 elected representatives and policy makers on both 2 sides of the aisle for the single purpose of creating a safer environment for our nation's 3 students and teachers at school. 4 5 And now we're going to try this. MR. SAMPLE: I think the other way. 6 7 MR. MONTALTO: The other way. There we are. Nope. Try that way. 9 Our persistent efforts have led to great victories. 10 11 I'm going to concentrate on the federal level 12 of our advocacy for a few moments. Just a few short weeks ago, we saw the 13 Bipartisan Safer Communities Act signed into law. 14 th We achieved 80 percent of our goals for this 117 15 16 Congress through this one law. It has Stand with 17 Parkland's DNA written into it. 18 Similar to what Florida did with the Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School Public Safety Act, the 19 20 Bipartisan Safer Communities Act addresses the need 21 to improve security of the schools, to increase accessibility and explore extra avenues for mental 2.2 health, as well as addressing some firearms 23 24 provisions.

One of the most important features of this new

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

law is that it was passed in a bipartisan fashion. Florida state leaders have shown that this can be done, and we are happy to see these joint efforts move the needle in Washington.

This bill provides for many things such as over 300,000,000 in school security and violence prevention efforts through the Stop School Violence Grants.

It provides for the recently launched nationwide 988 suicide prevention lifeline, and \$500,000,000 for school-based mental health staff and services.

It also provides for federal funding for states to pass crisis intervention orders, or red flag laws, to help ensure responsible firearms ownership.

We saw Florida address all these issues in the wake of the Parkland massacre. Our state has demonstrated how effective red flag laws can be, having used them over 8,000 times since the bill was passed.

With a number that large, I'm sure we prevented tragedies and saved lives along the way.

Additionally, Stand with Parkland had initial success on the federal level as we advocated for the passage of the Fix NICS Act, as well as the

schedule@lawsreporting.com

2.

2.0

Students, Teachers, and Officers Prevent School Violence Act, both of which were included in the omnibus spending bill back in the spring of 2018, shortly after my beautiful daughter Gina, her 13 classmates, and her three teachers were murdered.

We worked with the Trump administration and now with the Biden administration as we helped create and review the content available at schoolsafety.gov, the Federal Clearinghouse of Best Practices for School Safety, and that was launched in 2020 on the second commemoration of the massacre of our loved ones.

The Luke and Alex School Safety Act, during testimony before the US Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee in summer of 2019, Stand with Parkland requested the Federal Clearinghouse Best Practices for School safety, which is accessed through schoolsafety.gov, be codified into law so that the all of government approach which brought together the Departments of Health and Human Services, the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Justice, and the Department of Education would be firmly established as an existing unit instead of conducting business under a Memorandum of

1 Understanding.

With persistence, Stand with Parkland was able to see the Luke and Alex School Safety Act, named after Luke Hoyer and Alex Schachter, two of Parkland's victims, incorporated into the Bipartisan Safer Communities Act.

The schoolsafety.gov website is truly a one stop shop for everyone involved with the safety of our nation's students and teachers at school.

It brings together the work product of the aforementioned agencies. It has everything from how to improve the culture and climate of your school to how to protect kids from COVID to a readiness plan to check on a school's active assailant plan.

Should any deficiencies be found, there are links to the -- to federal documents that can help you repair the issues that are deficient.

There is also a grant finder tool that allows states, school districts, and even individual schools to identify federal dollars available through grants that can help them fix any deficiencies or create improvements regarding school safety insecurity.

This is a vital piece of the puzzle, if we -- as we have secured increased funding through the

2.

3

4

Bipartisan Safer Communities Act. We must now make sure it gets to schools and is used properly.

Stand with Parkland is already working with the staff from schoolsafety.gov to make sure they have a list similar to what this Commission has put out of low-cost, no-cost, and first step items that schools around the country can execute with this increased funding.

We make sure Florida's Office of Safe Schools knows about these opportunities for Florida to access these federal dollars and improve our schools.

We are also proud to report that Florida is among the most proactive states in the firewall protected information sharing section of schoolsafety.gov.

Which brings us to some of our work on the state level.

On the right side of this slide, you can see the bills that have passed in Florida. You may notice consecutively from 2018 to '19 to '20 to '21, and this year, in 2022, Florida has continued to pass a series of legislative efforts to improve school safety.

Many of these items included in these laws came

2.0

1 from the work and expert testimony before this 2. Commission. Additionally, Stand with Parkland has helped 3 4 generate some of the ideas encompassed in these 5 laws. The laws started out in a bipartisan fashion. 6 7 Just three short weeks after the tragedy here in Parkland, we saw the Marjory Stoneman Douglas High 8 9 School Safety Act pass. 10 This important first step passed with 11 bipartisan support. 12 Since then, the Florida Legislature has been 13 able to come together to vote unanimously for the 14 school safety programs listed for the next four 15 years. 16 Through multiple avenues, from letter writing 17 in support of bills to visits to the capital to 18 personal appearances testifying for the reason and the need for these bills, Stand with Parkland's 19 2.0 founding members have been actively involved. 21 has been our mission to help secure the legacy of 2.2 those we lost by creating a safer environment for 23 students and teachers in school. 2.4 Just this year, as discussed earlier, HB 899,

Stand with Parkland was -- was able to insert a

provision that requires each school district to create a districtwide mental health coordinator.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

We believe that having this single individual in charge of making sure that a student who is receiving help through the school district is on a path to a best possible outcome is very important.

Hopefully, this will close the loop that we saw fail and allow the murderer of my daughter and her classmates and teachers get through.

We believe having a single individual in each district to help coordinate with the school safety specialist, the Office of Safe Schools, and the superintendent of each district will make sure that no one, especially those students that have gone through the behavioral threat assessment process, falls through the cracks.

It has been shown that this monitoring piece, or management, as you guys discussed earlier, is important to the successful outcome for these individuals.

In 2021, with the passage of SB 590, we saw the inclusion of our Parents Need to Know initiative.

This bill was the brainchild of Phil and April Schentrup, parents of Carmen, a victim here in Parkland. It requires that information get to

1 families in a timely manner so they can make a 2. decision on how best to protect their children. Before this law was there, there was no legal 3 4 duty to warn parents about threats made to a school. Yet we saw here, through testimony before this 5 6 Commission, that the school officials, the mental 7 health folks, and the police knew about the dangers 8 of the shooter, but nobody sought to inform the parents of the students that attended MSD. 9 10 Parents need to have information so they can 11 make the proper choices and Stand with Parkland was 12 happy to work with Sheriff Gualtieri and the leaders 13 of the Florida House and Senate to get this law 14 passed. 15 This initiative has been forth into a US House 16 bill which will carry once again something that 17 Florida has enacted forward, hopefully into federal 18 law. The initiative is similar to the federal Clery 19 2.0 Act, which applies to information colleges need to 21 notify parents about. 22 We are hopeful to see this applied to America's 23 K through 12 schools. Stand with Parkland has worked in other states. 24

Just this year, we worked with Virginia and also

1 testified in Pennsylvania. As we told them about 2. the laws and procedures we have passed here in 3 Florida, we tried to export Florida's expertise to 4 places where it can be used to protect students and 5 teachers in other states. 6 Sadly, many states have not found the 7 leadership necessary to take urgent steps to improve school safety. 8 9 I'm going to lay the groundwork for some of 10 Stand with Parkland's ongoing efforts. 11 Myself, along with Stand with Parkland members 12 Max Schachter and Ryan Petty, toured the nation with the National Threat Assessment Center Team, also 13 14 known as NTAC, in 2019 and 2020 as they rolled out 15 their Protecting America's Schools Report. 16 Over 5,000 school, law enforcement, and mental 17 health professionals heard our stories to accentuate 18 the reason they needed the training that was 19 presented to them. I also worked with the team from NTAC as they 2.0 21 launched their 2021 report on averted school 2.2 attacks. 23 Both of these reports are available on 24 standwithparkland.org's resources page. 25 Stand with Parkland is advocating in Congress

1 to pass the Eagles Act, named after the mascot at 2. Marjory Stoneman Douglas. It is a bipartisan bill in the US House and Senate that would reauthorize 3 4 the National Threat Assessment Center, which is part 5 of the US Secret Service, to continue its vital 6 research on preventing school attacks, as well as 7 provide additional funding for training in local 8 communities around this nation.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Proper training on the post proactive tool we have to stop school shootings, behavioral threat assessment and monitoring, is vital.

Sadly, as this Commission uncovered, the behavioral threat assessment process was not handled properly at MSD High School. And that failure contributed to the deaths of students and teachers.

Closer to home, Stand with Parkland has had people reach out to us for help. Through our local School Board and Stand with Parkland members Lori Alhadeff and Debbi Hixon, we were told of an increase in weapons being brought to schools. And they asked for our help to come up with a program to keep students safe.

With that in mind, we provided this messaging about one method to teach students to avoid touching guns. It's a very simple message. Gun safety is as

schedule@lawsreporting.com

1 easy as one, two, three. 2. One, do not touch the gun. 3 Two, do not let your friends touch the gun. 4 Three, go get an adult. 5 With these three steps, you can be a hero and 6 prevent tragedy. 7 Our board thought through this and thought it best to create some additional outreach on firearms. 8 9 We know that many firearms were purchased for the 10 first time during the pandemic. 11 Stand with Parkland wants to encourage all 12 firearms owners, whether newly introduced or it's 13 been in your family for years, to make sure your 14 firearms are stored safety, someplace where it's not 15 accessible by children, as well as someplace where it's not likely to be stolen. 16 17 We are hopeful that this Commission can 18 encourage our Legislature to increase funding for 19 public service announcements or other efforts to 2.0 protect Florida students by providing some increased 21 messaging to prevent students from bringing a gun or 22 other weapon to schools and stressing the need for 23 safe storage. 24 Next -- all right. I'm going to touch on a 25 slide -- as the new school year begins -- I guess I

1 left this one out -- but our five guestions that 2. every parent should ask are available on our website. 3 4 The five questions are, one, does the school 5 have an active shooter policy. 6 Two, does the school train all staff members 7 for active shooter scenarios? 8 Three, does the school have a single point of 9 entry being access controlled during school hours? 10 Four, is there a way a student and staff can 11 report threats? 12 Five, how are parents notified when a threat 13 has been made to the school? 14 We believe these five questions are 15 conversation starters with schools, administrators, 16 and school districts to help ensure the safety of 17 students and teachers at school. 18 We provide the answers of what parents should 19 expect to hear free of charge when you sign up at 2.0 standwithparkland.org. 21 We hope that schools will continue to advertise 22 the Fortify Florida app as well as any other 23 applications that are used in districts, such as 24 Safer Watch here in Florida -- or here in Broward, I 25 should say.

We need to get the word out that if you see something, say something.

The NTAC reports have shown that students are the most likely source to see any indication of a threat first, and we must provide them a way to report it to agencies that will act on it to prevent a tragedy. They can't just report their concerns to social media companies.

I'll say that Florida has the right approach. Florida took decisive action by creating this Commission and extending it to 2026, by having elected leaders that had the political courage to work together to find a comprehensive path that would stop what happened here in Parkland from devastating another community in our state.

Sadly, we have seen the people in Texas suffer through two mass school shootings since the Parkland tragedy. Texas failed to make the significant changes required to protect students and teachers.

Florida's changers -- changes are broad based. They address Stand with Parkland's school safety triad: securing the campus, improving mental health screening and support programs, and, finally ensuring that firearms are owned responsibly.

We must continue to see a wide range of

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

solutions on school safety issues. Perhaps helping the Office of Safe Schools to fulfill its dual mandate by bifurcating it to have an enforcement division and a facilitator division is a path we should explore.

Florida's laws on firearms work. The change to age 21 to buy, the introduction of red flag laws, again, used over 8,000 times to help save lives, have all prevented another tragedy like we had here in Parkland.

Preventing school shootings is a public safety issue. It's not a personal rights issue.

The current firearms laws in Florida have prevented another tragedy in our state like Parkland. There is no need to change them.

Thanks to leaders like Sheriffs Judd, Tony, and Gualtieri, Florida has emphasized how law enforcement has a role in preventing school shootings, in improving the terrible response we saw here in Parkland, and how law enforcement can help mitigate these strategies.

Stand with Parkland is proud to have partnered with this Commission. We understand -- or I should say proud to have partnered with the Commission, helping many of the recommendations you have made

schedule@lawsreporting.com

1	find their way into law.
2	We understand the importance of your work, and
3	we look forward to continuing as part of a team that
4	has coalesced to protect students and teachers at
5	school.
6	Florida has led the way, and the families of
7	Stand with Parkland have paid the ultimate price for
8	that progress.
9	Thank you.
10	MR. GUALTIERI: Thank you, Mr. Montalto. And
11	certainly, appreciate you and the work that the
12	families have done through Stand with Parkland.
13	Does anybody have any questions for Mr.
14	Montalto? No? Okay.
15	Thank you very much. Appreciate
16	MR. MONTALTO: Thank you.
17	MR. GUALTIERI: you being here.
18	All right. So that's the last presentation we
19	have on the agenda today.
20	COMMISSION DISCUSSION ON FORMATION OF ACTIVE
21	ASSAILANT MODEL POLICY
22	WORKGROUP, THREAT MANAGEMENT STATEWIDE PROCESS AND
23	POLICY WORKGROUP AND SESIR WORKGROUP
24	CHAIR GUALTIERI: The next topic on the agenda
25	is to talk about the committees that I'd suggested.

I know we're kind of running up on the end of the day here and -- timewise but tell me what you all thing.

2.

2.0

2.2

What I would propose is is that we, you know, form three committees. One chaired by Commissioner Nelson that would bring together law enforcement and the appropriate stakeholders to come up with that active assailant response policy, a model policy that would be brought back and approved by the Commission, and then set out as one that could be adopted by agencies that don't have one, agencies can modify their policies based upon this model policy. And it would be something as a -- as a goby to avoid that situation where nobody has the tool that they need.

And it would help guide their training, hopefully, within the agency, so.

The second one would be on SESIR. And I would propose, because they really are the leaders on it and the subject matter experts are there, along in the districts, but the leadership on it is to have the Office of Safe Schools and the Department of Education lead that SESIR committee but to -- Mr. -- Mr. Schachter's done a tremendous amount of work in this area of SESIR. I know he has a keen interest

1 in it and has a public-facing website that you put 2. out with some of the older data. 3 So, I know this is something that's very 4 important to you. 5 And to have Mr. Schachter participate on that 6 committee with the Department of Education. 7 And all of these have to have all the stakeholders. So, in the workgroup that 8 Commissioner Nelson would lead, it would be 9 10 fire/EMS, school principal/superintendent, Florida 11 Police Chiefs representative, Florida Sheriffs 12 representative, FDLE. 13 I've talked to Commissioner Glass about this, 14 and you said that you'd commit people from FDLE. 15 But on the SESIR workgroup, it's got to get 16 down where you've got some teachers, you've got to 17 have some assistant principals, you've got to have 18 some principals, you've got to have some superintendents. You need the feedback from the 19 2.0 people on the ground, and it really needs to be a 21 cross section. 2.2 And I've spoken with Chancellor Oliva about it, 23 and with DOE, and you all are willing to lead that, 2.4 correct? 25 MR. OLIVA: Absolutely.

2.

2.0

MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. And then the last one, and, really, just because I -- I'm so entrenched in it, I -- I just figured I would do it myself and lead the committee on the threat management.

We can't have more than two Commissioners on any committee. Otherwise, we run into Sunshine Law issues, and I think it just gets very complicated, because then we have to notice meetings and we have to do minutes and we have to do all of what goes with sunshine.

So -- but I would propose same thing on the threat management, that we would have people at a line level, again, teachers, APs, superintendents, all the appropriate stakeholders, and come up with a model statewide process, protocol, and then come back with these -- so all these committees would be fact finding, making these recommendations.

Come back, to a presentation to the Commission, and then you all would have an opportunity to weigh in on the proposals. Similar to what we did when we did our reports is that we did presentations, we sat here, we brainstormed, we came up with ideas, and we tweaked what it was so then the Commission would have an opportunity to have input and to adopt a model threat assessment policy, decide whether we

1 want to recommend that it goes to the state board 2. for rulemaking, whether it goes to the Legislature, whatever we do with it. 3 4 Same thing could be with SESIR. 5 So, these purpose of these committees is just 6 to do a whole bunch of legwork, bring it back, and 7 then we decide where we want to go from there. 8 So, is this something that -- do we have concurrence on it? You all want to do it in some 9 10 other way? Is this -- does anybody not agree with 11 I guess I'll put it that way. Is everybody 12 okay with it? 13 I -- I don't know that we need a motion on it, 14 but -- yeah, Commissioner Larkin-Skinner, go ahead. 15 MR. LARKIN-SKINNER: I support it, definitely. 16 Just one suggestion, to -- to make sure that on 17 the threat management workgroup there's behavioral 18 health provider --19 MR. GUALTIERI: Yes. 2.0 MR. LARKIN-SKINNER: -- representation. 21 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah, so what I had on -- and I 2.2 think on there is is that because they were recently 23 formed, I think we have to have at least 24 representation from the new mental health 25 coordinators with every district.

1 We also need some people on that that are 2. current members of threat assessment teams in districts, which would include behavioral health, 3 4 mental health people. 5 So, I'm a big believer that with all of this is 6 is that it needs to be bottom up driven, not top 7 down driven. I think that's been part of the problem. We need to get input from the people that 8 9 are tasked with doing it every day and have been 10 doing it, because they're probably best suited and 11 will have the best insight about what works and what 12 doesn't work. 13 And I -- and I think that's been part of the 14 problem is is that people have said, this is the 15 best idea. We've pushed it down and told people to do this, but we don't have enough input from them on 16 what works and how they learn and -- and how the 17 18 processes are working so they can push it back up. MR. LARKIN-SKINNER: 19 I -- I would suggest 2.0 considering also the mobile response team 21 representation and CAT, because those are two things 22 that were created after Parkland as part of the bill 23 as more of that coverage across the state. 24 MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. 25 MR. LARKIN-SKINNER: And they're the ones that

1 2. MR. GUALTIERI: Sure. 3 MR. LARKIN-SKINNER: -- get called out. 4 MR. GUALTIERI: Sure. Yeah. So, it seems like 5 we have consensus on this as -- as a way to go with 6 it. 7 So, the next question is, you know, how much time -- the legislative session is late this year, 8 9 meaning it's later than -- because it's a odd year 10 coming up. So that means it doesn't start until 11 March as opposed to even years where it starts in 12 January. That's what I mean by that. 13 And so, we've got a little bit of time. 14 because it's also an election year, no bills can be 15 filed by anybody until after the election. 16 So normally you start seeing bills that are 17 filed and you start seeing committee, you know, 18 meetings and committee weeks. 19 But, you know, generally how it's going to work 2.0 is after the election, then people will start filing 21 some bills and they'll set some organizational 22 meeting weeks, that kind of thing. 23 I don't think -- and, Senator Book, you can 24 weigh in on this if I -- if you -- don't have it 25 right, is most of the work's not going to begin

until the first of the year. That's when you're going to see the substantive committee weeks, and then it'll go into the session in March. So, we've got a little bit of time.

2.

2.0

I -- I think there's two options here. I asked Heather Pence from FDOE to check with the facility here and see what they had available in early November.

I want to have a takeaway from this because I believe it's good to work against deadlines so that we don't just form these committees and then things just sit, is I would suggest that we either try and -- it might be too -- it might be a lot to try and get this work done over the next three months and come back in early November, or we get a little breathing room, perhaps, and then we can go back and check with them, maybe come back in early January.

I suggest that we don't try and meet in

December. There's just too much going on between

Christmas and -- Thanksgiving and Christmas in that

timeframe. That we either shoot for getting this

done by early November or it would probably work

this year to do it in January, early January,

because I don't think much is going to happen,

again, bills being filed. We've got plenty of time

1	to get stuff done with the bill filing deadline
2	being just before session starts.
3	Do you see any problems with so what do you
4	all recommend? What do you all want to do? Do you
5	want to push it and try to do early November, or
6	should we just shoot for maybe early January?
7	MR. LARKIN-SKINNER: I think January.
8	MR. GUALTIERI: January?
9	MR. HARPRING: Mr. Chair, given the multiple
10	pieces, I I think January would probably give us
11	a better
12	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay.
13	MR. HARPRING: substantive view of the
14	multiple pieces and won't hurt our timing relative
15	to the legislative session, I don't think.
16	MR. GUALTIERI: Uh-huh. Secretary Marstiller?
17	MS. MARSTILLER: I don't disagree that January
18	for an ultimate product is
19	MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah.
20	MS. MARSTILLER: where we want to go, but I
21	would suggest, if we can, an interim look at what
22	the committees are doing so that the Commission
23	agrees, right, that we are looking at the right
24	things. And so, if those
25	MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah.

1 MS. MARSTILLER: -- committees need a little 2. bit of tweaking or some guidance from the Commission 3 4 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. 5 MS. MARSTILLER: -- that they have time to make 6 those adjustments in time for January. 7 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. MR. OLIVA: I was going to actually say the 8 9 same thing. I -- I think for us, I'd -- I'd like to 10 be able to bring a product back in November to get 11 feedback and have a runway that if we've got to go 12 back to the workgroups to update the feedback from 13 the general Commission, if we're not -- if the 14 workgroup's not hitting the mark or the expectation, 15 we -- I think it would be wise to have a buffer zone 16 that, yeah, by January, we should have --17 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. 18 MR. OLIVA: -- recommendations, but I think --19 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. 2.0 MR. OLIVA: -- there would be value in having a 21 meeting prior to that. 22 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah, I think, you know, in hearing that I -- I -- I think you all probably --23 24 there's probably some merit, given -- and one of the 25 reasons why I say that and what -- is that our prior 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

processes in this, when we were doing the -- the big reports, is is that it did take more than one meeting to get through, get all the input, and then to formulate it.

So maybe we should try and do something just to bring back some sort of a product in November and then maybe shoot for some sort of product -- final product in -- in January.

I don't know what you all thing about it. I -I -- you know, I'm not sure -- and, again, given as
big as this Commission is and the makeup and our
dynamics in the past, you know, when we've been
working through things, I don't -- I don't think a
Teams or a Zoom type meeting for that's going to
work. I think it has to be an in-person thing. I
just can't see us being able to accomplish that. I
think it has to be in person.

So, it seems like I got head nods on that.

All right. So, the -- is -- is the consensus is we'll try and get something -- so shoot for November and then see what we can work through in November?

Maybe we can get it done, and, if not, take it back to the workgroups, make some modifications, and bring it back for a final product and

1 recommendations in January. 2. Does that work for everybody? Okay. All right. Sheriff --3 MR. CARROLL: 4 MR. GUALTIERI: Anybody else? Yeah. 5 MR. CARROLL: -- I agree with the timeframes. 6 And I also agree with the checkup. 7 Can I ask, though, that -- I love that we're going to look at -- break out in subcommittees and 8 do this work, but could I ask that as the groups 9 10 look at it, they look at it in three distinct way --11 like it's going to be great to get back process, but 12 then a key to that is how we're going to implement 13 and train folks, and then what process are we going 14 to propose to do some follow up. 15 Because as we have seen repeatedly in our 16 experience over the past four years, just having a 17 policy doesn't mean anything. 18 And so, if each of those committees would 19 approach it from the standpoint that what are your 2.0 recommendations for improvement, how are we going to 21 implement, what's the training look like, what's the timeframes look like, and then how are we going to 22 23 know that was actually done, like what would --24 what's the follow up cycle. 25 MR. GUALTIERI: And I think some of that is

going to have to be, you know, through the legislative process or through the rule making process.

You know, one of the things in -- that I have had communications with Commissioner Diaz about is - and while this Commission's sunset was moved from next July until July of 2026, there really needs to be a transition and a segue into the Office of Safe Schools for the long term.

There has to be a successor entity. There has to be a transition plan from this Commission into a permanent -- and I think that that's what Commissioner Diaz has begun the process of by bringing in Chief Cochin (phonetic), by recognizing that there needs to be a separation from the training, mentoring, technical assistance component with the compliance opponent -- component, and trying to, I think with those things that are appropriate, to transition some of that into the Office of Safe Schools.

You know, we've been at this now for four and a half years, and, at some point, is is that there has to be an entity that is going to maintain and advance it in perpetuity.

So, I -- I think, to your point, absolutely,

2.

2.0

Commissioner Carroll, is is that what we've seen is is that if it's just put out there, it sits. And there has to be some permanency to it and somebody - something that is going to advance it as well.

2.

2.0

And -- and I think, to a large degree, it's the Office of Safe Schools that we would rely on to -- to do that.

MR. CARROLL: Well, and I do think that at least I heard that the superintendents were in favor of actually providing the Office of Safe Schools with some more resources to do just that. They -- they were inviting some more oversight.

MR. GUALTIERI: I -- I think I heard the same thing, which is good.

And this is something that, you know, we've heard from the schools from the superintendents consistently I've heard is somebody just tell us what you need, what we're supposed to do, give us definitive answers in a timely fashion, and we'll get it done.

And this is what the feedback has been is they
-- they need and want more of that, is is that don't
come to us and tell us all of what we're not doing
until somebody just tells us, again, definitively
and timely, do this, and we'll get -- and we'll fix

schedule@lawsreporting.com

1 it for you.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

So I think that there -- that it seems this panel was along those lines and willing to do it, and I think that that's the route that we need to go.

So, again, with -- whether it's on active assailant response, whether it's on SESIR, whether it's on threat management, whether it's on any of these other topics, is is that let's give them what they're asking for.

And, you know, the local control issue's important to them, but in this area, what the consistent theme -- theme seems to be is is that they're open to more oversight and open to more of a framework.

So, this is where -- you know, as an example, threat management. You've got some districts, you know, that have the -- the strictly local team at the school, some have a school-based team, some have all these other things.

So, we're going to have to come up with a model that gives a degree of flexibility but some of the timeframes, some of the districts may not like.

And so, what I say to that is, oh, well, is is that, too bad, and it's just what you're going to --

1 so we'll work with you, but you're not going to have 2. -- you can't have a system that has structure that gives everybody their own way of doing it ad hoc. 3 4 You can't have -- and that's where we are now, and 5 this is what we've got. 6 So, we've got to change it. 7 And, you know, one of the challenges is going to be with the active assailant response policy. I 8 9 don't know that that can get mandated, but if it's, 10 which I'm sure it will be, a great product that's compelling, then why wouldn't they adopt it? 11 12 Another -- another one, and we'll see, and this 13 is what the Office of Safe Schools is already tasked 14 with, and it's a big deal. You hope you never need 15 it, but it's a reunification policy. So, a reunification policy is just that. 16 It --17 it needs to be then implemented and they have to 18 train on it. 19 I -- I guarantee you that very few counties, 20 very few areas, very few districts in any active 21 assailant drills, training, or mass casualty events 22 have actually tested their reunification protocols they have in place. Very few -- most don't even 23

So that's another area that, you know, needs to

have it, much less tested it.

24

1 be looked at because -- because it's got to be 2. implemented, so. 3 All right. So, we'll -- we'll work with you 4 and come back and look at those dates in November to 5 try and come back with something. 6 COMMISSION DISCUSSION ON PROPOSED LEGISLATION FOR 7 2023 AND FUTURE COMMISSION MEETINGS 8 MR. GUALTIERI: If -- if you all have, and I 9 quess you can start thinking about it, is if there's 10 any other areas or topics for proposed legislation 11 for 2023, you know, start thinking about that and 12 bring those things forward and we can talk about 13 that at the next meeting as well in November, about 14 proposals unrelated to anything that we're talking 15 about here or that complements it. 16 So, with that, the last thing that we have is 17 public comment, unless anybody has anything else. 18 If there's anything that -- Chancellor Oliva, go ahead. 19 MR. OLIVA: 2.0 Sure. I just -- I just want to --21 I think it would be good, because I -- we heard it 22 mentioned a couple times today about the district 23 mental health coordinator requirement in recent 24 legislation. 25 So, I think it's good for the Commission to

1 know that we have somebody identified from all 73 2. LEAs. We have our 67 districts, our four lab schools, four deaf and blind, and four virtual 3 4 school. 5 We actually did an introductory call with all of them about two weeks ago to talk about roles and 6 7 responsibilities and next steps. 8 So, we're starting to move some great 9 legislation that's been recently passed into law, 10 getting some of their workgroups together to start 11 collaborating with state schools so that that is 12 moving forward. 13 So, I think there's value in making sure the 14 Commission knows that. 15 MR. GUALTIERI: Good. Do you know what -- I'm 16 just curious on this. Do -- if districts assigned 17 that as a collateral responsibility to somebody or 18 are they -- is that a full-time position? Do you know how they're doing it, or you don't know? 19 MR. OLIVA: I think it's -- you're going to 2.0 21 find it's all over the place. 2.2 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. I was just curious, 23 yeah. 2.4 All right. Anybody else? Any -- yeah, Mr. 25 Schachter.

1 I think, you know, this mission MR. SCHACHTER: 2. -- this Commission meeting was another really productive meeting. Thank you for everybody that --3 4 that made the effort to travel all the way down 5 here. 6 And -- and, Sheriff Gualtieri, thank you for 7 not taking your foot off the -- off the pedal. It's 8 been four and a half years, and you're still working 9 -- working hard to -- to protect our schools and 10 make our kids safe and -- and prevent the next 11 Parkland and Uvalde. 12 And we are all in -- in your debt. So, thank 13 you for doing all your work. 14 MR. GUALTIERI: Yeah. Thanks. 15 PUBLIC COMMENT 16 MR. GUALTIERI: All right. So, we'll open it 17 up for public comment. As always, we ask that 18 people keep their comments to three minutes. 19 And we'll begin with Mr. Montalto. 2.0 MR. MONTALTO: Can't get enough of you guys 21 today. 22 I just want to say leadership makes a 23 difference. 24 Thanks to the superintendents that were on the 25 panel today.

1 I'll make a suggestion that you guys have a 2. teacher panel at a future meeting. They need to be part of the solution as well. 3 4 And to echo Commissioner Schachter, Chair 5 Gualtieri, thank you for your leadership, for 6 driving school safety throughout this state. 7 Thanks to all of you on this Commission. 8 Many legislators have shared their admiration for your recommendations and the work you've done. 9 10 Thanks to the people in the Department of 11 Education and the Office of Safe Schools for trying 12 to keep our students and our teachers safe. 13 After hearing Sheriff Tony and Dr. Cartwright, 14 we have seen good leadership equals positive change. 15 With that, I'd like to say thank you to Chair 16 Latvala and Chair Gruters from the Florida Senate 17 and -- Florida House and Florida Senate, to 18 Representatives Hunschofsky, Hawkins, Bailey, and 19 LaMarca, to Speaker Sprowls and President Simpson, and to Governor DeSantis. 2.0 21 I'll say it again. Leadership makes a 22 difference. Good leadership equals success. 23 Thank you, Mr. Montalto. MR. GUALTIERI: The next -- and I can't read the last name. 24 25 First name is Albert.

1	If you would, sir, please just provide your
2	last name when you come up for the record.
3	MR. KOENIGSBERG: My last name is Koenigsberg.
4	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay.
5	MR. KOENIGSBERG: I appreciate you allowing me
6	to speak for a moment today.
7	I started advocating for public safety in 1991.
8	I filed comments back then against Nextel and
9	Motorola taking away the old brick radios.
10	I provided the software into the World Trade
11	Center that was used on the second floor when the
12	building went down by the Port Authority of the City
13	of New York and New Jersey police.
14	I'm here to advocate for the fire and the
15	police right now.
16	You guys have done a great job. I admire it.
17	but there are two common denominators in every
18	school shooting that I've noticed.
19	One is the shooter. And the other is the lack
20	of incident command and control.
21	What you wrote was eloquent in your findings,
22	but not once today have I really heard about how
23	we're going to support the police, how we're going
24	to support the fire.
25	I've heard and I've been speaking before a

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

lot of School Boards, and I've heard about all of what they call layers. The metal detectors, the door access control, Alyssa's Law. All great things.

But layers with legends will never provide a common operating picture, will never provide the Sheriff and his people and our first responders, who are selfless, the tools that they need so that they can walk into a building with confidence.

With a good system, with command and control, you would not need to send 400 responders to a little school in Uvalde, Texas.

You can't have command and control if you have 400 responders going after one gunman.

I heard earlier today, and it was great, integrating cameras with metal detectors. This is being done now.

But too much data is not the way to solve a problem unless you design a way to showcase that data. And that's what I've dedicated the last 15 years of my life to do.

So, I have emailed each and every one of you through the Florida website some information on the work that I do. I would love to work with this organization to find one -- one Florida school that

1 has the courage to deliver to the sheriff and our 2. law enforcement, our fire and our emergency 3 responders the proper tool that they need for 4 incident command and control. 5 Thank you. 6 MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Thank you, sir. 7 Next is Kristina Braziel. 8 MS. BRAZIEL: I actually wrote something down 9 but thank you all so very much for this work. 10 In my heart, all of the families who have lost 11 is just wide open, and I just am so thankful for the 12 work that those that have experienced our tragedy 13 here at MSD, their families have done. As we've 14 seen that we've gotten two unbelievable School Board 15 members that have come forward to serve the Parkland 16 families. 17 It's astounding what people can do when they 18 care. 19 So, on my way here this morning, I tried to write down something, because I'm not good at that. 2.0 21 I am in quite a melancholy mood as I come 22 before you today with so much, I'd like to say. 23 order to do so, I would like to first give you some 24 background on my experience as a volunteer leader

within Broward schools community.

Not all it could be yet, but I am so thankful for so many that have blessed our lives in the process.

One of the most exciting parts of my journey as volunteering and delivering -- with delivering into the necessity to use surveillance as preventative and protective.

I had the opportunity through advisory to help the superintendent to -- suggesting how we utilize our surveillance and the fact that we should come to one central location and be able to utilize that.

And everything was on the ground to do that.

Sorry. I'm going away from -- and it sounds like Sheriff Toney this morning, through our new superintendent, that they're actually going to be able to action that to come to fruition, which is wonderful for the district as well as having a central location to see live school activity. I believe today the surveillance is necessary for preventing injury and assisting with our first responders.

One of my biggest challenges was learning the responsibilities in my role as a volunteer as a server to the community as an advisory chair. I -- we take that on. There's statutes that say we're

2.

2.0

2.2

1 supposed to do certain things when we're given information in those roles. 2. And we need to top down, bottom up, give those 3 4 procedures and policies clearly to those that are 5 serving, equip them with the ability that when they 6 do see something and they say something and they 7 bring it forward to you, there is a concise process. 8 It doesn't matter who the political person is sitting in the seat, it doesn't matter of those 9 10 things. 11 It's what's just. We have to bring the facts 12 forward. And that's what your Commission is doing. 13 The work, I mean, that the families have done, the 14 websites, the information that they have brought --15 MR. GUALTIERI: Ma'am, you --MS. BRAZIEL: -- forward is amazing. 16 17 MR. GUALTIERI: -- you need to wrap -- you need 18 to wrap it up, please. 19 MS. BRAZIEL: I'm wrapping it up. 2.0 MR. GUALTIERI: You're over your time. 21 MS. BRAZIEL: Okay. Thank you. I just thank you all. 2.2 I do -- I just want to -- following policies 23 24 and procedures and statutes to the best of my 25 ability. We need to do that in our service, and we

1 need to make it easy for those that want to. 2. And I thank you for the work, and I know great things are coming for our state and for our kids and 3 4 our families. 5 Parents needs to be stakeholders on those 6 committees. 7 All right. Thank you. MR. GUALTIERI: 8 The last public comment card we have is from Michael Sirbola. 9 10 MR. SIRBOLA: Yeah, so thank you. 11 I congratulate you on finding out that it's 12 about not reporting the truth. There's not a record 13 keeping. You know, in 2014, that was the first 14 thing I spoke to Mr. Runcie about. They had the 15 Broad Foundation come down here. They wanted to --16 all the schools to be independent, just like Charter 17 schools. 18 And that's where -- where politics comes in --19 into play. And that's where you come into play. 2.0 You shouldn't be here. And you, Mr. Gualtieri, 21 in particular. And I think you're a great guy and 22 I'm sure you have good whatever. But when you were 23 talking earlier about the data and how you didn't 24 trust the data from the schools, I thought, well,

let's look at how the police do, because we trust

1 the police and their reporting.

And the police arrested, in Miami, which has 330,000 students, they arrested 3100 students of which 386 were arrested in schools.

The worst district was Marion. They have 43,000 students. They arrested 277 -- they had 270 total -- seven total arrests.

Pinellas, which has 104,000 students compared to Miami's 330,000, arrested 4100 kids. Miami only arrested 3100. And of those, 462 were in-class arrests, and then another 441, they weren't arrests, but they were there. So maybe 800.

So, you were between four to eight times even what Miami was.

And the reason I bring this up isn't about you. It's about the cognitive dissonance that is the cause of why the kids are killing. Okay? It's the cognitive dissonance and a punitive culture of zero tolerance that we promulgate through this type of -- of effort.

When the March for Our Lives came out to -think of it. Kids marching to protect their own
lives. There was a total news blackout of the fact
that a couple weeks ago they threatened to do a
total walkout. A total daily student walkout if we

2.

3

4

1 didn't pass real qun laws. That wasn't reported. 2. So, when we say that the -- the -- the Senate 3 with the Safer Communities Act, they all got 4 together and cooperated, no, they didn't. they 5 didn't get together on Saturday night and announce 6 something on Sunday. They did that to block the 7 news cycle. 8 And there wasn't one single mention of that on 9 any of the news, and that includes Fox and the, 10 quote, unquote, mainstream. 11 It's about business. It's not about kids. You 12 wanted to keep the dang schools open. It has 13 nothing to do with the kids. 14 And the kids are on to you and the fact that 15 you're unwilling to do anything about guns or just 16 about anything else if it doesn't mean you, you, 17 you. 18 Look behind me. Everyone left from the 19 education. Look behind me. There's nobody from the 2.0 community. The news people left. 21 This is what is -- why there's shootings. 22 There's cognitive dissonance when you say one thing

There's cognitive dissonance when you say one thing and you do another. And the kids can't read. They know they can't read. They're -- they're -- they're screwed. They're -- they were going to graduate.

23

24

1	They're all men. They're all
2	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Mr. Sirbola
3	MR. SIRBOLA: the same ages.
4	MR. GUALTIERI: Mr. Sirbola, your
5	MR. SIRBOLA: There's no mention of trauma
6	awareness.
7	MR. GUALTIERI: your time is up. Thank you.
8	MR. SIRBOLA: You've been very kind.
9	So, it's nonsense, and we need to stop. And we
10	are the cause
11	MR. GUALTIERI: Okay. Harold
12	MR. SIRBOLA: not the children. Not the
13	MR. GUALTIERI: Harold
14	MR. SIRBOLA: children in Pinellas.
15	MR. GUALTIERI: please turn off his
16	microphone. Okay.
17	MR. SIRBOLA: Thank you.
18	MR. GUALTIERI: All right. Thanks.
19	ADJOURN
20	MR. GUALTIERI: All right. Does anybody have
21	anything else? Good of the order?
22	All right. Thank you, everybody.
23	We're we're adjourned. Thanks.
24	
25	(Thereupon, the meeting adjourned at 5:22 P.M.)

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTION

The above and foregoing transcript is a true and correct typed copy of the contents of the file, which was digitally recorded in the proceeding identified at the beginning of the transcript, to the best of my ability, knowledge and belief.

## Miriam Brighton

Miriam Brighton, Transcriber September 29, 2022