1	MARJORY STONEMAN DOUGLAS HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC SAFETY
2	COMMISSION MEETING
3	OMNI ORLANDO RESORT AT CHAMPIONSGATE
4	1500 MASTERS BOULEVARD
5	CHAMPIONSGATE, FLORIDA 33896
6	OCTOBER 16, 2019
7	******
8	COMMISSION MEMBERS/ATTENDEES:
	SHERIFF BOB GUALTIERI - CHAIR, SHERIFF, PINELLAS
9	COUNTY
	JASON JONES - PSC GENERAL COUNSEL
10	CHRIS NELSON - CHIEF OF POLICE, CITY OF AUBURNDALE
	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT - CHIEF ASSISTANT STATE
11	ATTORNEY, SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
	RICHARD SWEARINGEN - COMMISSIONER, FLORIDA
12	DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT
	MAX SCHACHTER - VICTIM PARENT
13	LARRY ASHLEY - SHERIFF, OKALOOSA COUNTY
	MELISSA LARKIN-SKINNER - CEO, CENTERSTONE OF
14	FLORIDA
	CHANCELLOR OLIVA - EXECUTIVE VICE CHANCELLOR OF
15	K-12 PUBLIC SCHOOLS
	SECRETARY MARSTILLER - SECRETARY, FLORIDA
16	DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE
	BARBARA BABCOCK - DEPUTY SECRETARY FLORIDA
17	DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN & FAMILIES
	MIKE CARROLL - LUTHERAN SERVICES OF FLORIDA, FORMER
18	SECRETARY OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN &
	FAMILIES
19	JAMES HARPRING - UNDERSHERIFF/GC, INDIAN RIVER
	COUNTY
20	PAM STEWART - COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION
0.1	GRADY JUDD - SHERIFF, POLK COUNTY
21	DOUGLAS DODD - SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, CITRUS COUNTY
0.0	RYAN PETTY - VICTIM PARENT
22	KEVIN LYSTAD - PRESIDENT, FLORIDA POLICE CHIEFS ASSOCIATION
23	CHRISTINA LINTON - COMMISSION STAFF, FLORIDA
-	DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT
24	JOHN SUESS, SERGEANT, PINELLAS COUNTY SHERIFF'S
	OFFICE
25	

Thereupon, the following proceedings were had and taken:

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. Good morning. We're going to call the meeting to order. Just for a couple things, housekeeping.

First, I said to you yesterday that the Broward County School District had released the report on Kelvin Greenleaf and that we would get it out to you. There's a problem with the PDF file that they sent to me, and I'm having trouble e-mailing it out so that it could be distributed to you all. But, nonetheless, Sergeant Suess has a link to it or a copy of it, and it'll be going out to you this morning. They were able to get it from another source, so we'll get that Greenleaf report out to you. Even though I wasn't -- that's why you didn't get it last night, because there was a problem with the file, but you all will get the Greenleaf report this morning.

So should get it from Jennifer Miller, correct, from FDLE.

SERGEANT SUESS: Yes, sir. That's actually going to be a PDF. I'll be able to download it from the (indiscernible) site, so --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. All right. So you'll get that this morning.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

All right. So we pick up from yesterday, with our work yesterday, but what I'm going to do is jump ahead. It doesn't really matter what order we take these chapters in, per se, but I'm going to jump ahead to Chapter 12 and address the chapter concerning mental health for a couple reasons, not the least of which is if we're not done, Commissioner Carroll -- and I want him to be here for this -- he needs to leave by 1:00 o'clock, so I want to make sure that we cover that. And because there's a lot here, so I think we'll just tackle this first thing. It will probably set the tone for the rest of the day as far as time goes.

So before we get into Chapter 12, does anybody have anything before we get started?

Anything you want to bring up? Anything from yesterday that -- no. Okay.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Sheriff, just a recollection that we need to loop back on that one additional finding and recommendation at the appropriate time later on relative to clarifying Mr. Schachter's motion which was voted on and

1 then the entire linked --

2.2

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, if Mr. Schachter brings up his motion again, then we'll address it at that point. Because it's -- his motion's withdrawn. We have a finding. We don't have a recommendation. If he brings it up, then we'll address it. If he doesn't bring it up, then the finding will just stand as it is. It's up to him to bring it up.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: So noted,
Mr. Schachter.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So --

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: So noted.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So maybe he'll just stand with the finding and we won't have a recommendation to go with that finding. I don't know. I guess we'll find out if he brings it up or not, so...

So let's just talk about Chapter 12 then, and I want to begin just with comments about it and some things as we lead into this.

There is a fair amount of statistical information regarding Baker Acts in Chapter 12 that you may not have seen before that I included in here because I think the data is

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

very important to a full understanding of where Florida is on the mental health topic.

Some backdrop. I think it's also impossible to have a decent discussion about mental health in Florida without knowing that Florida is the third largest state in the country, and we rank -- depends upon who ranks it, but it's pretty close -- about 50th in per capita mental health funding, spending only about \$36 per person annually in Florida on mental health.

I said this in previous meetings and I'm going to reiterate it here: Any discussion about increased mental health funding should be prefaced with an analysis of how the current dollars are spent and ensuring there are outcome-driven performance standards.

In too many cases the current system treats people as to what they can afford or that for which there are publicly funded pay points. The community providers in Florida are too driven by funding and provide services to the funding as opposed to the funding provided in appropriate and necessary services, in other words, people getting services what the funding allows them to

2.2

2.3

2.4

receive. This results in providers delivering services but not necessarily driving change behavior that is sustained in the long term, the necessary care and coordination, and working toward a solution to the problem. There are many examples of people who cycle and recidivate through community providers with no, quote, "endgame in sight." And I will provide you some examples shortly.

But before I do that, I want to provide some contextual data. And I realize that this data is in the draft report, but for those here who are watching who have not had access to the draft report, this information is important to an understanding of where we are today.

Baker Acts in Florida are on the rise, but of the 200,000 annual Baker Acts, only about 1 percent of those result in involuntary commitment for treatment.

Some perspective on increased mental health needs: Baker Acts have increased in Florida from 95,000 back in 2002 to 205,000 in 2018 for an increase of 115 percent in the number of Baker Acts.

Now, these numbers are not to deduplicated,

2.2

2.3

2.4

so, in other words, they reflect multiple Baker Acts for some people over and over again.

2.2

2.3

2.4

While most Baker Acts are one-time events for people, there are a percentage of individuals who are very high recidivists, and those people are usually high recidivists in both the mental health and criminal justice systems.

Think about this: In children under 18,
Baker Acts have increased from 15,000 in 2002 to
36,000 in 2018, so an increase of about 140
percent in the number of Baker Acts for kids
under 18 in Florida. Some of this increase is
because of the push -- and there has been a
push -- on law enforcement to recognize mental
health issues as the cause of some misbehavior
and to Baker Act as opposed to arrest.

Commissioner Carroll made reference it that a little bit yesterday, and I'm sure as we get into this today that we'll have some further discussion about that.

And while that push is often the right thing to do, what has resulted in law enforcement Baker Acting these kids to nowhere, and it's only squeezing the balloon and not

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1 accomplishing what it should accomplish.

The Baker Act systems fails to address core problems that cause the misbehavior because there it is a crisis stabilization system and not a solution-based system. Thus, we end up putting the same people through the same revolving door over and over again.

Now, for young adults 18 to 24, Baker Acts have increased from 12,000 in 2002 to 26,000 in 2018, so an increase of 120 percent. In just fiscal year 2018 alone, of the 205,000 Baker Acts in the state of Florida, 73.5 percent were for adults, 12.7 percent of those were people 18 to 24, and the remaining were those under 18.

Now, the increase in Baker Acts is not merely attributable to Florida's population increase, and there has been a significant population increase during the time of span that I have referenced. Between 2007 and 2017, the Florida population increased by 11.2 percent, and the number of Baker Acts increased by 60.7 percent. During that same period, the number of children under age 18 who were Baker Acted increased by 83 percent while the population of Florida children under age 18 decreased by .8

2.2

2.3

2.4

percent.

2.2

2.3

2.4

For fiscal year 2018, the majority of the Baker Acts were initiated by law enforcement, which is the way it has traditionally been for a long period of time. 51.6 percent, so just more than half of all Baker Acts, were initiated by law enforcement, 46.3 percent by professional certificate, so that's mental health professionals, and just 2 percent through a court order.

I think it's a interesting statistic of the professional certificate initiated exams, 68 percent were completed by non-psychiatrist physicians.

The mental health system is lacking, and the greatest void is caused by not having an effective master case management system and the lack of coordinated care. Simply put, if these people who have these mental health issues could fix their problems on their own, they would. They can't. They need help. They need somebody to guide them, they need somebody to navigate them, they need somebody to hold their hand through the process, and we simply don't have that. We don't have effective discharge

800-726-7007

planning, we don't have effective master case management, and we don't have effective care coordination.

Now, we all know that the threat assessment teams are required in every charter, elementary, middle, and high school in the state of Florida. The threat assessment teams are only as good as the information that they have to make the decisions that we ask them to make. Information silos exist today. And the reality is, is that law enforcement officers assigned to these threat assessment teams do not have the knowledge or access to the comprehensive information necessary to help the threat assessment team make an informed evaluation and a good decision. Neither are most school administrators or mental health counselors assigned to the threat assessment team equipped to do that type of research in their respective disciplines for that matter either.

At the last meeting I provided you with a presentation on a threat assessment team information gathering pilot that we implemented in Pinellas County. Under this pilot, when a law enforcement officer is notified that they

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

are needed to participate on a threat assessment team regarding a student, the officer provides the child's name, etcetera, to an analyst, a crime analyst in our office, who prepares a comprehensive report for the officer. The officer then takes that report and shares the results with the threat assessment team so that they can do a proper evaluation and make a good decision.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Remember that PowerPoint from the last meeting when we fleshed this out to check all necessary law enforcement -- this is just law enforcement -- all necessary law enforcement databases? It requires checking 18 different systems in our county.

And I can tell you that the majority of the cops on the street, the SROs, not only do they not have log-ons and passwords and access to all those systems, most of them don't even know that those systems exist.

There's no way an officer assigned to a threat assessment team has the knowledge or access to do this effectively. An average officer would probably check NCIC/FCIC, their records management or report writing system, and

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

that's probably about what they're going to check, that's probably about it, which is not adequate.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So, soon after this pilot began and a few weeks ago in Pinellas County, an officer was assigned to a threat assessment team, and he asked the analyst to do the workup very early on in this pilot. What the analyst uncovered is startling, it's mind-boggling, and shows not only why, without a dedicated threat assessment team gathering process, you will not have all the information necessary to make an informed evaluation, and it eliminated the huge void in our mental health and Baker Act system.

That threat assessment commenced on a 14-year-old boy because of threatening statements he was making at school. He was threatening to shoot up the school and rape teachers, among other violent acts.

When the analyst did the research, she found that that boy, at 14 years old, had been Baker Acted 35 times, 35 times since he was eight years old, and had been arrested 14 times. He's been on and off juvenile probation and electronic monitoring. Several times he

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

threatened to shoot up schools, assault teachers, rape teachers, and there were numerous other allegations of sexual aggression. When arrested, he threatened to get a gun and shoot the deputies.

It was not until this threat assessment report during an information gathering pilot program in one county that anyone had, for the first time ever, put all that existed about this boy together in one place.

When I looked at the kid and I looked at the report, it looked like I was in the mirror looking at Nikolas Cruz. Everything existed in silos. There was no holistic view of this child and, importantly, nobody owned the problems with this kid.

When I read that threat assessment report, it was just a big pause. Nobody knew he had been Baker Acted 35 times. There were no flags, no intervention mechanisms, nothing in the system for somebody to say, "Hold on, something is seriously, seriously wrong here."

So we have to ask: When does the system say we have to stop Baker Acting this kid and do something that changes the course that he's on?

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

After what? The 10th Baker Act, the 15th Baker Act, the 20th, the 25th? No. Not even after the 35th Baker Act did the system realize that something isn't working here. They didn't realize the magnitude of the problem with this kid.

2.2

2.5

This system is broken when a child can be Baker Acted 35 times, have 14 arrests, and nobody puts all this together and stops this nonsense.

Now, in case anybody's sitting there thinking, well, this is an isolated case, it's not. Last week, another Florida sheriff's office issued an alert on a 12-year-old girl who had run away. At 12 years old, 12 -- now, this kid's 14 -- at 12, this girl has been Baker Acted 11 times with 7 Baker Acts in 2019 alone, 3 in 2018 and 1 in 2016.

Also last week, a Florida police department issued an alert on another different -- different girl, she's 17, 4 Baker Acts and 1 Marchman Act.

Nobody puts any of this together. Nobody's looking at this. Nobody's intervening when this happens. This is the tip of the iceberg, and

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

305-376-8800

I'm telling you that it's out there. Somebody and something absolutely has to change in the mental health and Baker Act system if we want a different result. We all know the adage "Do you what you've always done, you're going to get what you always got." We can't sit here and say that this isn't working and we want a different result unless we're willing to do something differently.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And you can't have a system where people are Baker Acted multiple times, they're in and out of the Juvenile Justice system and the adult criminal justice system, because this isn't just about kids. You see the same thing going on with the adults. But 35 Baker Acts and 14 arrests... So there has to be an effective intervention system and ownership of the problem.

This is only one issue. It's a big issue. But the other is effective information gathering by the threat assessment team. So I suggest to you that what this shows two things: One is that every sheriff's office and police department in the state should consider implementing something similar to what we did in

800-726-7007

this data gathering process, because, otherwise, you will have voids, and there's no doubt that your threat assessment teams will not have all the necessary information to conduct a proper evaluation of a child who is the subject of that threat assessment, because there's no way the cops on the street have access to all this information.

So without that, sadly, I feel confident that you have your own examples like these kids that I've mentioned. You just don't know about it because nobody's uncovering it.

So those are the comments that I want to make about this and where I see it. We can get into what's in Chapter 12. But I threw a lot of out there, so if there's anything that anybody wants to talk about before we get into the content of Chapter 12 itself and go through the process we did yesterday with the findings and recommendations.

Sheriff Ashley.

2.3

2.4

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Sheriff, much more articulate than I can be. I agree wholeheartedly with all you've stated this morning.

2.3

2.4

I would also add that I don't see and haven't seen in the last 30 years how we measure the effectiveness of a mental health system, because it keeps doing the same thing over and over. Nikolas Cruz probably received more services than a judge could order in a lifetime, so I'm -- so with all those services, it still didn't work. And with 35 Baker Acts, it didn't work. So how do you -- how do we keep saying that mental health system needs improvement when we've not seen anything that they've done that -- well, I guess why throw good money after bad? Because it's not working. So that's my comments.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Commissioner Carroll.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Good morning. I -first of all, I don't disagree with anything you
said, Chairman. I don't disagree with anything
that's in Chapter 12 of this report.

But I do think there's an element missing from this report that I think drives a lot of mental health services and particularly to kids. Mental health services is just like any other type service you would receive if you had a disease, an affliction, or something wrong with

you. If I had diabetes and went to the doctor, people wouldn't ask why isn't this working if the person wouldn't take their insulin shots, wouldn't engage in treatment, wouldn't regularly go to the doctor. You would know it's not working because they're not compliant with anything that they're being told to do by their doctor.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

It's the same thing in the dependency We've actually brought kids into care in the dependency system because a child has cancer and the family has gone through stage one and stage two, they've had the operation, they've had chemo, but they don't want to go through the second round of chemo because they think they can deal with it some other way. doctors say, well, that's not the normal protocol. They file an abuse report. And there are times when some of those kids actually come into the system. And we have an example of that in the Tampa Bay area where that kid is removed from its parents -- from his parents and forcibly made to undergo that second round of chemotherapy to make sure that the cancer doesn't come back because it's part of the

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

treatment protocol.

2.2

2.3

2.4

We've had kids taken into the dependency system, one morbidly obese, so much so that it threatens their health and they're at risk of, quite frankly, dying because they're so obese, and their parents don't have the wherewithal or the will to implement some of the dietary restrictions on those kids, and they end up in the dependency system to try and get them engaged in the treatment they need to save their life.

We don't have a similar tool in mental health, particularly for kids. We see too many kids -- and I am fully familiar with one of the children that the sheriff mentioned as an example. And much of what the sheriff said is absolutely true.

But in our push to decriminalize mental health, we've moved that line so far to the right that we've began to confuse behavior management with mental illness. We've stopped arresting kids and, instead, we're Baker Acting them and we're taking them to get inappropriate assessments where they're never going to be forcibly admitted into treatment or kept. And

800-726-7007

so it's a total waste of time and resources.

And then the child is back sometimes -- they say
up to 72 hours. I can tell you it's our
experience that they're back within 24 hours.

And so they literally have no consequences for their behavior, and it becomes a learned thing that if I act out and I act outrageously, over-the-top outrageously, I will get Baker Acted, there will be no consequences, and I'm going to be back within 24 hours. It's crazy.

And so I think when you talk about fix the mental health system -- and I looked through the recommendations, and I won't get ahead of it, but I agree with all of them here, but I think the thing that's missing, particularly for kids, we've effectively emancipated kids. And we see them in the child welfare system. And the child that you were referring to, Sheriff, is in the child welfare system, as are many of these kids.

The shocking thing about Nikolas Cruz was that he wasn't in the child welfare system.

It's mind-blowing to me that he was never referred earlier before he was 18 to the child welfare system. But many of the kids you speak of are in the child welfare system.

2.2

2.3

And it is the most frustrating thing for professionals who work with these kids, because you have kids that are 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17 that are allowed to make their own decisions even when it's inherently self-destructive. And you, as a parent, would never allow your kid to make that decision because it's not in their best interest.

And the courts don't have the authority to step in. You can bring this kid in front of a court every time. The courts will tell you they don't have the authority to hold the kids accountable for the decisions they make or parents for the lack of engagement in those decisions because they have a right to make that decision.

If mental health services is ever going to be improved, that side of this coin needs to be looked at. And I would suggest to you that because mental health services are voluntary and, quite frankly, in most cases should be, but when we're talking about juveniles who have entered the child welfare system because they've already been abused and neglected -- or most of these kids that I'm talking about have not been

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

abused and neglected, they entered the child welfare system because their parent can no longer control their behaviors, that is not what the child welfare system was designed to treat.

And so we get a kid who's just out of control from a behavior standpoint, and they have a lot of trauma -- unresolved trauma and they need treatment. But if you can't -- and they won't engage in treatment. If you can't get the parent engaged in treatment with us, then we become babysitters of kids who make their own decisions.

And then from the public looking in, they say "This system isn't working" or "These professionals don't know what they're doing." have a lots of empathy for those professionals because they feel as though their hands are tied.

For those kids, this notion that they're emancipated, that they can make decisions for themselves, needs to go away.

And I think any kid who comes into a child welfare system or the Juvenile Justice system or is ruled to be a threat to -- a legitimate safety threat in the school because of

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

escalating behavior that was identified through a safety threat assessment team, we have got to develop -- empower the courts and judges to apply a set of progressive consequences for kids and/or parents to get them to engage in the necessary services to effect a behavioral change. Because if we don't, we're losing a whole generation of our kids.

And I do think, Sheriff, that the line we have worked so hard to decriminalize mental health -- and I think that's the right thing to do, but we need to reexamine that. Because I see kids -- when -- when you come into a place and you bust up the place, when you physically assault people, when you steal cars, when you are doing all this criminal activity and then the result is a Baker Act, we've moved that line too far.

And I don't think there's anybody in this room, after reading all that we read about Nikolas Cruz, to a person, I think we all felt how did he avoid arrest as long as he did. And it's because of the system that we've set up where Baker Act is our first response to a situation where -- so I think a couple things

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

need to happen. I think that line needs to be pulled back a little bit where we start separating bad behavior from mental health issues, number one.

And number two, I think we need to establish more juvenile diversion type court -- courts in this state where the judge can be empowered to bring a parent and the child into court to get a case plan and a treatment plan in place and then have a set of progressive tools that they can begin to hold the parent and the child accountable for that. They can't do that right now.

You asked me the other day on the particular child you were talking about why don't we petition the court to get this child committed someplace. Well, we can't.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Trust me, we've been before judges before, saying, "My God, can we do something, committed someplace?"

"Well, they don't have enough points with DJJ. They haven't done a serious enough crime."

The judge can't order any child in this state into an involuntary commitment. They

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

2.4

can't even make them go into substance abuse.

We have time and time again where we pick up a kid, they're ordered into mental health services or substance abuse services, you bring them to the facility, and then they refuse to get out of the car. You bring the counseling out to them so the counseling takes place in the car, they refuse to participate. You try and engage law enforcement. They're not afraid of law enforcement. Law enforcement shows up and they're as disrespectful to law enforcement as they were to the case managers. This has to stop.

And if you look at this history of Nikolas Cruz, he was a lot like that.

And so we can put more money into mental health, we can improve care coordination, which I think we need to do, we need to improve case management, which I think we need to do, but if we don't start finding a way that we can engage and hold parents and kids more accountable in their own treatment and recovery, then this is not going to work, because on the other end of it you have somebody that can say no, and if they say no and you can't go any further, it

2.2

2.3

2.4

makes it impossible to treat. 1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What does it take though -- why does it take -- where are the managing entities? Why aren't they stepping up? They're the ones who are supposed to be overseeing this, and the providers and they provide the funding. So why has it taken this forum and this situation with us gathering this information to bring this forward? Because this isn't -- as you said, this is not isolated. This isn't a one-off. This isn't an anomaly. This is happening all the time. So why isn't the system bringing this issue forward and ringing the bell and saying it's got to stop?

Because I agree wholeheartedly is that -we talked about yesterday, one of our recommendations was CIT training for all of the officers that are in the schools. Agree wholeheartedly. But there has been too much of a push, too much of a ringing the bell of this schoolhouse to jailhouse pipeline. Okay.

That's all fine.

When you're talking about people that commit first-time offenses and minor offenses, that is very, very different and very

distinguishable from a kid that's been Baker

Acted 35 times, arrested 14 times, that he just

needs to be locked up. Because somebody needs

to figure this out as opposed to -- and I'll

tell you what they're doing in Pinellas County

with this kid is that the community-based care

provider had him sleeping in their offices

overnight because they had no place to put this

kid. That's the system in Florida. That's what

we're working with. This thing is broken. It's

not working. And -- but who's going to own it

and fix it? Nobody's stepping up. And it takes

this type of stuff coming out. We're -- does

anybody look at this now and say, "You know

what? It's time for a change."

absolutely correct. And just on the kid, there are kids that sleep in offices around the state because they don't have a place to go. I can tell you the overwhelming amount of kids who sleep in offices sleep in offices because they refuse to go to the placement that they have and they decide that they're going to stay, and they usually stay till 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning, then they say, "Okay. Now bring me."

2.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

when we think about foster care, I was a foster parent, and I adopted my child through foster care. Foster parents are regular people just like you and me. And I'm going to knock on their door at 11:00 o'clock at night and I'm going to say, "I'm going to bring you a kid who we have in the system and we have them in the system because their parents feel unsafe around them and their parents can no longer control their behavior. Will you take this child into your home with your children?"

And then people say why can't you find this -- because we have to declare to people before we place them all of the issues with the child. And so you need specialized placement.

But if a child has a track record and people lose patience -- because all treatment providers, they don't have to accept every kid. And if they are serving the kid and the kid is willfully not participating and then willfully becomes unlawful, after a while those people stop participating. That's why I say we need the tools to engage these people.

And I -- when you ask the reason why, I

think mental health professionals -- I think most people believe, and I think you do, too, Sheriff, that we shouldn't criminalize mental health. And I think it was a good thing that we started training officers in mental health in de-escalation skills in trying to get those folks who truly are in a mental health crisis and have mental health issues the services they need.

I just think that line has been pushed too far where we're confusing behavior -- bad behavior with mental health, and so that line has to come back a little. And then the second thing is this notion that kids can thumb their nose and refuse services. There used to be a time when I grew up, if you didn't go to school, you'd end up as a truant and there were consequences to that. Not so much anymore. you didn't go along with what a court said and a court ordered you into treatment, there was a consequence on the other end of that if you didn't participate in that. Not so much anymore.

And so to me, the fix -- I agree with every fix you put in here. I just think without this

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

additional fix, we're still going to end up systemically in the same place.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And Secretary

Poppell -- excuse me -- Secretary Poppell

announced a proposal maybe about a month ago

along these lines that would allow the courts to

involuntarily commit these kids, and it was

reported in the media. And do you know where

that is and is there a bill sponsor for it and

where that's going?

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Right now there's kind of the folks on both sides, and we are still looking at what do we do with these kids. Because I agree with everything that Secretary Carroll said, that we're kind of stuck. There's not a whole lot that we can do.

The other -- the other point that I'd like to make is just so everybody around the table understands, when we talk about Baker Act and the numbers that are reported here, we're talking about examinations. We're not --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: -- talking about admissions.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Absolutely. That's --

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: And so you say how do they have 35 Baker Acts. What we don't know, and this is -- DCF is looking at this, we don't know how much of those 35 Baker Acts resulted in an admission. And so if they're not admitted, how does a CBC or an ME even find out about them?

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So I go to you, though -- but that's a problem with your providers.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Because your providers -- because that's absolutely correct, and that's a frustration and that's a problem.

Because as Secretary Carroll mentioned, up to 72 hours and he mentioned 24 hours, I think he's being generous, a lot of these providers keep these people for an hour or two and they boot them out because they view it as crisis stabilization.

Because the cops, the cops in these cases, with some of these, if you looked at them, and I've looked at some of them with this kid, is that they're over-Baker Acted, because the cops need to solve the problem by removing the kid

800-726-7007

from the situation and because they're -- the cops have been pushed too far, the cops have been told too much -- there's been too much emphasis about don't arrest, don't arrest, drive the arrest numbers down, so what they do is they Baker Act.

And some of this behavior probably should have resulted in criminal charges or should have been held -- dealt with differently. Because the CSU, the crisis stabilization unit, gets this kid and they do a quick evaluation and say "This kid is not in crisis," it's not -- so they throw him out the back door. Then he goes right back out and he's doing the same thing again. The cops come back out again, and because they're pushed not to arrest, they're putting them right back in the Baker Act system again. And here we go. We're on the hamster wheel.

And I couldn't agree with you more. You're absolutely correct. And most people -- and this is the problem I'm trying to get out -- the information I'm trying to get out by this and correct this misnomer is that the Baker Act system is an evaluation system. It is not a treatment system.

2.2

2.3

2.4

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Right.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And so people think and they have this idea, well, if you Baker Act, you're going to solve all the problems because this person's going to get treatment. that's the whole point of saying only 1 percent -- less than 1 percent result in involuntary commitment. It doesn't result in treatment. It results in a revolving door. And when you can't force it because there's not effective discharge planning, there's not effective care coordination, there's not effective case management, so when the person comes in and within two hours they're pushed out the back door with nothing and nobody's following up, then it's -- when they get in crisis again or they get in trouble again and somebody Baker Acts them -- because when they're walking out the back door is that nobody -again, discharge planning, nobody is saying we're going to intervene and do something about it.

So this is -- it's a very, very -- we're not in a good situation in the state in this area. It's a -- and I venture to say we're in a

Veritext Legal Solutions

305-376-8800

bad situation.

2.2

2.3

2.4

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: And I think we actually have two lanes, if you will. So we have the lanes that Secretary Carroll -Commissioner Carroll was talking about. You know, we have the lanes of those kids that are known to systems, DJJ, DCF, but we also have that lane of kids that are not known to a system and/or do not fall under a publicly funded mental health system.

And so we have to -- you know, where I think the -- we can capture really kind of -- you know, get ahead of the wave of these kids over here in the two systems -- and Commissioner Carroll and I were talking about yesterday, what I'm advocating for is, you know, what I'm calling a crossover court so that we can empower the court to make some decisions to help us with these kids that have behavioral health issues.

Because on the mental health side, to be able to bring someone in with a mental health -- what we're saying mental health, they have to meet criteria. They have to meet criteria for a diagnosis, and we do have some diagnoses now that we can use. But our hands are tied as well

on the mental health side. We know there's an issue, but there's nowhere for us to go.

And so it's looking at some of these programs in the community where we can address the behavior piece of it. But we just don't have enough and everything else that you said, that care coordination, data systems aren't talking, we've got things going on in the school, things going on in the community, but we're not all talking to each other, and we're duplicating, replicating services.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, and I hope -and I said this to Secretary Poppell and I'll
say it here, is, is that I applaud him for
bringing forth a solution in his proposal, and I
told him anything I can do to help him in
support of it, I will do. I hope the
legislature listens and realizes this session
that this is truly a crisis situation in Florida
and something has to change.

And for those that say, "Oh, no, no. We just need to keep giving these kids hugs," that isn't working. It isn't going to work, and it's not realistic. And they need to come off of it and realize that there are some kids out there

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

1 that need something more than that.

There are -- the problem I see is that we do all one thing, we do all one other thing.

We're not doing enough that's in the middle, and we're not looking at each individual situation.

We went from a time where people perceived it as it was too harsh, so now we're way over here and everybody's getting criticized for taking effective enforcement action or holding these kids accountable, so we got to swing it all the way back over here again. And it's -- it needs to -- each situation needs to be evaluated on its own with objective criteria and each one of these kids dealt with individually.

Nobody's saying that every kid belongs incarcerated. And the opposite is true. Not every kid should just get a hug and a pass and not be held accountable. There needs to be balance, and we haven't been able to strike that balance in this state.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: And the other thing that we're looking at and, once again, trying to get upstream is this first episode piece. How do we capture those kids that show up at the Baker Act facility the first time --

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

2.2

2.3

2.4

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: -- even if they're not admitted? And so we've got to figure out a way to tap into that.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. And that goes to -- that goes to case management and discharge planning, etcetera. Is that kid who came in, is that truly a one-time, one -- it's just like a kid with Secretary Marstiller's system with diversion. Majority of kids that come into the diversion system, most -- that are diverted -- most of those kids don't recidivate. Most of them are one-and-done and -- but not all of them are.

So is it -- it's differentiating between in looking at that kid who was Baker Acted, does that person need follow-up, do they need something, do they need it, but we're not doing that. And so what we're doing is we're just letting them out. Then they come back again. We let them out. They come back again. We let them out. Because nobody is going out and looking at the individual situation to determine is this an isolated case that doesn't need anything, or is that one where we can have early

305-376-8800

intervention, but we're not doing it.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Commissioner Larkin-Skinner, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Just to add to the picture, I think that part of our problem is that we have different funders. So we have DCF, and DCF really covers people who have no other funder. We have AHCA who oversees Medicaid. A lot of kids in Florida have Medicaid. And then we have the private health insurance. So those streams of information do not mix at the moment. I know that AHCA and DCF are working on having a database to mix those. But what happens is even if a kid has Medicaid, they go off and on Medicaid throughout the course of a year for a variety of reasons. family may pick up and move to another county and the Medicaid they had before is not in that county, so they have to get on a new Medicaid. And the Medicaids are managed by health plans. So a kid could -- even if you used the kid that was Baker Acted 35 times, he could potentially have five different payers in that 35 times, 30 -- five different funders.

And there's no database that mixes the information. So that maybe United Healthcare,

800-726-7007

305-376-8800

because of Medicaid, knows that he was Baker
Acted twice and DCF knows he was Baker Acted
twice and some other Medicaid -- Blue Cross/Blue
Shield's Medicaid might know he was Baker Acted
five times, but nobody knows that he was Baker
Acted 35 times in that system.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So that's what the providers are struggling with. It's not that providers don't want to do their job, it's that we don't have access to the information because nobody's giving it to us. And the parents aren't. I can tell you that right now. And the kids certainly aren't. They're not coming in and saying, "Hey, I've been Baker Acted 34 times before today."

And we can't even half the time get them to tell us they're involved with child welfare, because they want it to be private. You know, people are private, they're embarrassed, whatever their reasons are. We rely a lot on what other people tell us, and we don't have anyplace that we can just go and get it.

Now, I can contact our managing entity and say, "What do you have on this kid," but then

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

they're only going to be able to give me what DCF has. They don't have access to the Medicaid databases and the claims data from all the different Medicaids that somebody could have.

2.2

2.3

2.4

It is a broken system more than just providers. It is a broken system for many reasons. Over the years, we've periodically funded, you know, the latest and greatest idea, and then funding gets cut. And then we -- then we might fund the next latest and greatest, and then the funding gets cut.

I would respectfully disagree with Sheriff Ashley. We do have things that work, and we have proof of those things. We have data. DCF has data. For instance, just on one thing, and that's CAT teams. They do work for kids.

Why this 35 -- this kid Baker Acted 35 times wasn't referred to a CAT team, I can't even fathom. It should have been done a long time ago. Whenever Pinellas had their very first CAT team, that kid should have been referred and the CAT team should have gotten involved.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What about the one from the other sheriff's office that has 11, and

1 | 7 of them just in 2019?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I agree.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Because it's not -this isn't -- again, it's not -- these are, you
know, in -- I'll tell you that the legislature
funded in 7026 some Mobile Response Teams for
people up to age 26. Correct? I can tell you
the one in Pinellas ain't working. The data
shows that they're not working. Is that they
funded it with a million bucks. There's not
enough people. They can't keep people. They
don't come out. They've only responded a few
times.

Where's the oversight? Where's somebody looking at this and saying to the provider that has the contract "What are y'all doing?" Why isn't somebody overseeing this? It's not -- I've got the numbers. I got a report yesterday. It was like there's been like four responses in this. And the way they tried to roll it out was ridiculous. Because it's not being done right. It's just not.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So the other pieces here, there are pockets of excellence, I would call it. There are places where it is, in

1 fact, working.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

2 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I don't disagree with that.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Yeah. So -CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I'm not saying the
whole thing. There are pockets --

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I just don't want to paint a broad brush.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- where it is. I agree with that.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: That's all.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And I don't want to paint the brush too broadly, so I'll be clear with that. There are some places where things -- where things are working well, but those are individual pockets. But overall, there's a tremendous, tremendous amount of room for improvement.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Absolutely.
I completely agree.

And then I'll also say that even with just the Baker Act data, we have a problem in the state of Florida, because we have crisis stabilization units that are funded by DCF, licensed by DCF, we have a whole lot more

private hospitals, psychiatric hospitals that are all private companies, they don't have the same reporting, they don't have the same outcomes measurements they have to meet, and so DCF has this data, but nobody has all the data from the private hospitals.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Which goes to care coordination.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Which goes to in the case of -- let's just use Nikolas Cruz as an example, is, is that private providers, school-based providers, community-based providers, they weren't all together.

I talk to people in the schools in Pinellas County, and the school-based psychologists are treating kids that are being treated by community-based providers and being treated by private providers, and when they do staffings in the schools, none of them come to the school, none of them participate in that. So you got these kids with multiple treatment plans. It's all going this way. Those are the facts. And that's a problem.

And that's why then, when we end up with a

kid like this, or others, sitting there scratching our heads, I don't need to scratch my I can see what it is and why. Because do head. what you've always done, you're going to get what you always got. Everybody's just going along, getting along. You know, somebody needs to ruffle the feathers here a little bit and say it's time for a change.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And I think that we have a good opportunity for that with new leadership everywhere and heightened awareness, certainly from this commission, but also from everything that's happened in the United States.

But I just want to make sure that we understand that it's a system problem, not -and it is not limited to providers or agencies or law enforcement or child welfare. The entire system in Florida, including child welfare, is not the best it can be. Part of that is from year to year we don't know if we're going to get funded. So -- and then funding shifts and funding gets cut, and we're just trying to adapt.

And there is no central repository for

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

data; even in the Baker Act system where you'd think there would be, there is not. So until we find an answer for data and -- you can't even flag a kid if you don't have the data that the kid was Baker Acted, so --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No. I mean, just to be clear, I mean, of course the University of South Florida, the Baker Act reporting center is a repository, but it's de-identified now.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So it's not -- it's data in the sense that it's statistics and numbers, but it's not -- it's de-identified, so it's not providing people this type of information where they can have this type of oversight.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: It's de-identified and it doesn't have -- it -- it doesn't have the voluntary --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- Baker Act.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: It only has

24 involuntary.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Correct. And you also

have -- it's true. And remember what this information -- and I'll go to Secretary Carroll and Commissioner Dodd. But also remember -- and I want to point this out -- with this kid with the 35 Baker Acts, the reason why I was able to determine that, because we have access to the law enforcement systems. Only 51 percent of the Baker Acts are done by law enforcement. So if you got into the system and figured it out with this kid, there's probably more Baker Acts than that, because these 35 were the ones that were done initiated by law enforcement agency. So there's more, but nobody can go in. I don't have access to even go in and figure out the professional certificate ones with this kid.

2.2

2.3

So your point's well-taken. I mean, there's -- it is the epitome of information silos.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Commissioner Carroll.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Two things. If
we -- if we're looking really looking to make
recommendations to improve mental health
services, I think we need to be more surgical in
our approach in that I think what the

commissioner was referring to, when you look at Florida as a state, most would argue that we're toward the bottom of other states in funding, and you can't -- you absolutely can't argue otherwise. You know, if you look at it per capita, we're near the bottom.

But when you look at performance overall, we're somewhere in the middle and, in some cases, above the middle. So we do better -- we get a bigger bang for our buck in Florida and -- because I think we have some cutting edge services in places.

Law enforcement sees the failures in the mental health system because you don't see the folks who were engaged in services and are living healthily as a result of those services. You only see the folks who were not engaging in services or been unsuccessful, and so they become repeat recidivism for you folks.

It used to be -- I remember when I started with the department, I worked in the welfare programs, and I thought everyone was on welfare. And then I looked at the stat and said, my God, at least in my county, Pinellas County, only 10 percent at the time were receiving cash

2.2

2.3

2.4

assistance, but because I worked in it, I thought everybody was receiving cash assistance.

And I think with respect to law enforcement, your touch on the mental health system is with our most severe, challenging cases that have the high recidivism. And so I want to be careful that as we move forward that we're clear that that's the population we're talking about.

Because mental health for a lot of folks in this state who are engaged in treatment and services is working and does work, and they would be very worried about their confidentiality, about their treatment being shared everywhere, and -- which is where all of the pushback would come from by the way.

But you mentioned earlier the secretary's proposal that actually came through a Juvenile Justice local committee in Circuit 13, which was Hillsborough, it was a community group. That recommendation when it came up -- and this is the problem in trying to push recommendations like this -- was immediately met with resistance from child advocates.

Veritext Legal Solutions

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yep.

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 2

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: And I think they improperly or inaccurately portrayed it as this is an attempt to lock kids up. You know, they showed a building with a prison and barbwire on it and stuff, like we want to lock these kids up.

That's not what the intent of that was.

The intent of it was to establish tools for judges to impose progressive consequences up to and including --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- a commitment program for kids who you just can't get to engage in any other way.

And not only do I not think that's abusive, I think it's abusive not to do anything any longer because we have kids that are acting in self-destructive manners from a behavioral standpoint. Where do we expect these kids -- or what do we expect to become of these kids when they're 18? Because right now they make all their own decisions and there are absolutely no boundaries or rails for their behavior. It's all over the place.

The minute they turn 18, I can tell you the

tolerance for that type of behavior ends and there's only one place they're going to end up, and it's going to be the criminal justice system and they will at that point go to jail.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So, to me, to do nothing and stand by, that's an abusive -- is not to try and find ways to engage these kids. But I will tell you it's not going to be without controversy, Sheriff --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Oh, I know.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- because there are many who will paint this as just an attempt to lock kids up. And it's not.

It is a relatively small population. The recidivism among that population is off the charts, and you've given a couple examples.

There are many more. But when you dig into those circumstances, you will find a lot of commonality among it.

And just to point out the data issues, I went back and asked my folks look up and see how many Baker Acts you can find on this kid. You have 35 because you have access to all the -- CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- law enforcement records. We could only find 19. So there's 16

Veritext Legal Solutions

Baker Acts -- now, 19 is a lot. I mean, that's not a big improvement. We're not going from 35 to 1. It's still 19, which is shameful for any kid that age. But it shows you not even we -- and we're serving the kid -- have the complete picture.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, yeah. No. Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Well, as a school board member in my county, I'm notified whenever there's a Baker Act. It's surprising to me to see me the number of younger children, the elementary age children, third, fourth, fifth graders, that we are Baker Acting.

I will say this though. I think what I see FROM our teachers, our educators, our guidance counselors, our administrators, there's a very good system for reporting risky behaviors, suicidal threats, and tendencies. I don't see a problem there. Those threats are being reported and they're being reported usually to law enforcement, the school resource officer. The school resource officer is getting involved. You know, we are recognizing the risk. The SRO recognize the risk.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

My hope was that the Mobile Response Teams, the CAT teams, would bring some relief and keep, especially some of these younger kids -- if we have professionals coming on a Mobile Response Team to address the issue that has been recognized and identified, there should be the ability to make a decision there without having to formally Baker Act the child. If the professionals are there that can make the decision, it'll take it away from the responsibility of the resource officer or the deputy, the police officer, who is arriving on the scene or who is there to look at the case, and it's -- therefore, it's no longer on their shoulders, so to speak. They're not mental health certified professionals who can make those decisions. But these Mobile Response Teams, my hope was that that's where some answers would be. And that hasn't happened that I've seen in our county. Even though they're supposed to respond within an hour, all those things, we're not seeing that result.

And we also don't see the result of these kids who are Baker Acted when they -- and I know it's not -- it's not a treatment plan, it's an

305-376-8800

examination, but we're not seeing the communication back to the school with what's happened as a result of the Baker Act, you know, what the plan is going forward. That information needs to be shared. It needs to be brought back to the school so that, you know, they can act in the best interests of the child.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It's called care coordination.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yeah.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Anyway -- all right. We're going to get into Chapter 12 and start getting into this findings and recommendations unless anybody has anything else you want to bring up at this point.

All right. So, John, would you put up Chapter 12? If you're in the report, it's on page 140. If you're in the PowerPoint, it's what's up on the screen.

So the first finding has as to Chapter 12, number 1: Florida's mental health system is not adequately funded.

Sheriff Ashley.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Based off what measure?

That's what I would -- that would be my biggest

800-726-7007

question on that. We all agree the anecdotal evidence it's unfunded -- underfunded. But based on what measurement?

2.2

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, we could add in there that -- and, again, it depends upon -- and, you know, Commissioner Carroll or anybody else if you have any information on this, is that I hear, you know, differences, and you can look at and do some research on it, but, you know, Florida, by all the measurements that are out there, and it's based on per capita funding, ranks somewhere 49th or 50th consistently. I think the most recent data I saw was 50. Some say it's 49. I don't know that that matters.

Is -- is that you can say Florida's mental health system is not adequately funded because of the inadequate per capita funding, something along those lines, if that's what you're looking for.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Per capita would certainly -- if that's what we're basing it off of. It's just very, very broad. And I make that argument --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, what do you -- what do you want to -- what do you want to add

305-376-8800

1 to it?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SHERIFF ASHLEY: If it's per capita, then per capita. If it's the lowest ranked in the country per capita, then I think that's what it should be -- what it should say.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I don't always trust the actual --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Number.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- rank. But I think if you put it comparatively to other states and you stayed away from like 49 -- CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- versus 50 versus 47, there is no doubt that Florida is in the lower 20 percent of states. I would argue that they're probably in the lowest 10 percent of states, but there's no doubt that Florida's in the lower 20 percent in funding for mental health issues per capita than compared to other states around the country.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So maybe do we just that? Florida's mental health system is not adequately funded and because it is -- it's not adequately compared to other states. I -- or I, you know -- all right, you know.

1	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Would it
2	putting
3	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: We could put in
4	(Indiscernible crosstalk.)
5	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: compared with
6	other states is probably more accurate. And I
7	agree with Sheriff Ashley there has to be some
8	sort of data point, and if we could all
9	generally agree that as compared with other
10	states, it's not adequately funded, then I think
11	that's probably appropriate.
12	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Would it make
13	sense to say as evidenced by per capita funding
14	compared to the other 50 states?
15	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Could do that. Okay.
16	Say that again.
17	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: As evidenced
18	by comparison of per capita funding to the other
19	50 states.
20	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So Florida's mental
21	health system is not adequately funded as
22	evidenced by comparison to mental health funding
23	in other states.
24	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Yeah, per
25	capita I would add.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Per capita funding in other states. Okay.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

John, get that finalized. We'll take a look at it and see if everybody agrees.

So Florida's mental health system is not adequately funded as evidenced by comparison to other states per capita funding.

Are we good with that? Okay.

So, John, is that -- you're either going to take the period out and it's not -- yeah, there you go. There you go.

All right. So Finding 2: Florida's mental health system, specifically the Baker Act system, needs better discharge planning, master case management, and care coordination.

Anything on that one?

Finding 3: There is no system for tracking or flagging high recidivist Baker Acts, such as the 14-year-old from Pinellas County that's been Baker Acted 35 times.

Anything on that one?

All right. Number 4: The Managing Entity system needs to increase provider accountability to ensure outcome driven results and require holistic responsibility for system recidivists.

for, you know, maybe Commissioner Larkin-Skinner
or others as to the ensuring outcome driven
results given the -- mic drop -- you know, given
the -- I guess the inability to measure, at
least my layman's idea, the inability to measure
an outcome in mental health care, whereas if
somebody has a broken bone, you can measure that
it's been put in a cast, it's been healed, or --

recidivism or something else.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: I have a question

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: No. There's actually multiple measures. So, for instance, my organization has 80-some measures that we have to report for the State. And then each health plan has measures as well, insurance plans. So things like, for kids, how many days were they in school, how many days of school were available in a given month, how many days were they actually in school versus somewhere else, how many days out of the hospital or any hospital, how many arrests, things like that. So we have outcome measures, lots of them, to be

that's maybe not the best example, but I just --

result is ultimately measured, whether it's just

I'm not exactly sure how the outcome driven

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

honest with you.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

And then we also have contracts that have penalties built in so that if we don't meet our outcome measures, we are penalized, like a thousand dollars per. For instance, the CAT teams are built that way. If we don't meet our measures in a given month, we are penalized a thousand dollars.

So there are accountability mechanisms that certainly exist. I'm not really sure -- I mean, I don't know. I have the same Managing Entity that Pinellas County does, and I know that we are constantly trying to meet our outcome measures. So I'm not -- I know that we have a high level of accountability. I just don't know what the difference is across the state or anything. But they do --

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: So they're not -- they're not uniform?

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: They -- oh, no. They are uniform. They do already exist. I'm just not sure why there's the feeling, I guess, that there isn't any --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And remember, all this is saying is just generically there are outcome

measures and just saying -- encouraging that the managing entity should ensure that there are outcome driven measures.

Commissioner Carroll, go ahead.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I actually think this ones needs to be a little bit more specific because, as the commissioner mentioned, we have lots and lots of accountability measures, both federal and state imposed, and you would be surprised to know that Florida does pretty well on these performance measures.

What we don't have is performance measures around care coordination and access to care. Because a lot of the folks that we're talking about now are folks who are not engaged, so they wouldn't be -- they wouldn't be captured in those numbers because they're not engaging in services.

And then the care coordination one that you talked about, Chair, is critical in that how are we do -- for this population of high utilizers, we need more performance measures around this, things like recidivism.

But you talked about case management, like do have a warm handoff, how many days pass by

between when a person left an acute care facility until they actually saw a community-based service provider. That's critical. Because I can tell you the longer time it takes from when they're released from a Baker Act facility, then when they get an appointment in a -- in a community-based setting, the longer that time, the less likely the person is to show up. It has to be a warm handoff. It has to be relatively quick.

2.2

2.4

And so there are standards that are developing -- although I would say not fully mature, not fully tracked -- around care coordination particularly, I think, that need to be rising up a little bit. Because, otherwise, if you looked at that, I could list you all of the state and federal measures and I could even show you Florida's comparative performance, and it's -- I think it would surprise a lot of you, because the mental health system does --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Works.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- work well for folks who will engage in the system. And so if you looked at those measures, the performance would be pretty good. We struggle with this

particular population. 1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Go ahead.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: So right now the Department is looking at specific language that we might be able to incorporate into some legislation regarding accountability for both the MEs and CBCs. I would go out on a limb and say that it's not really the Managing Entity that needs to increase that accountability.

It's the Department --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: -- who needs to be overseeing all that, and so we --

> CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okav.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: -- we need to set the standards for the MEs so that we make sure that it's uniform across all MEs and it doesn't matter what part of the state that you live in.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So we should change it then to DCF needs to increase accountability specifically in the areas of --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I think it should be access to care and then coordination.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Coordination.

1	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. So just kind
2	of let's walk John through this.
3	So DCF needs to increase. Go ahead.
4	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: "Higher
5	accountability to ensure outcome driven results
6	that require system" and I'd just put a comma
7	at the end of that and it say "with a focus on
8	access to care"
9	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.
10	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: "coordination of
11	care, and high-end utilization"
12	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: which is getting
14	at the issues that you're talking about.
15	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.
16	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: And that's really
17	where we need to dig in and mature the system.
18	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. John, just kind
19	of tweak that while Chief Lystad, go ahead.
20	VICE-CHAIRMAN LYSTAD: That's not a
21	finding. That's a recommendation.
22	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: That is true.
23	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. So, right.
24	So okay. And point taken. So let's just get
25	it right and then we'll cut it out and put in

1 the Recommendations section.

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So DCF needs to increase provider accountability to ensure outcome driven results and require holistic responsibility for system recidivists with a focus on access to care coordination and high-end utilization.

Everybody good with that as a recommendation?

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I just have two things. One is I would actually argue that the Department needs to be held accountable as well as the Agency for Health Care Administration, and if those two entities are held accountable, they will hold providers, Medicaid plans, etcetera, accountable. Because ultimately they have all the data.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Every individual plan and individual provider doesn't, and we rely on them heavily to get that data so that we can do what we need to do for these kids and their families.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Good. I mean, your point's well taken as far as this gets back to the issue. And obviously Secretary Mayhew

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1	couldn't be here today, she had to leave
2	yesterday, but is, is that and this gets
3	back to you've got different funding sources and
4	different oversight authorities. So I would be
5	more inclined to name names than just say
6	something generically like "state government
7	needs to," because I think we should be
8	specific.
9	So is, is that your suggestion is it should
10	say DCF and AHCA?
11	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: (Nodding
12	head.)
13	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. All right.
14	Anybody have anything else there?
15	All right. So cut that and then put it
16	into a recommendation.
17	DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: I would just add
18	the word "timely access to care."
19	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. What
20	DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Add the word
21	"timely." I'm wordsmithing it.
22	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Hang on a second.
23	Okay. So DCF and AHCA need to increase
24	provider accountability to ensure outcome driven
25	results and require holistic responsibility for

1 system recidivists.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So my suggestion is DCF and AHCA need to be held accountable.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Need what?

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: They need -DCF and AHCA need to be held accountable for
those things. And then what DCF and AHCA will
do is because they rely on the provider network
and the Managing Entities is they will hold them
accountable. But ultimately the responsibility
lies with the State agencies, so they're the
ones that need to be held accountable.

Does that make sense?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You good with that, everybody?

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. DCF and AHCA need to be held accountable to ensure...

And then what was the other recommendation there? Somebody had something else.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: I would just put the word "timely" in front of "access."

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Focus on timely access to care. Okay.

1	All right. And so let's go back to the
2	findings and go back to number 5.
3	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Can I add a finding
4	in at this point?
5	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Pardon me?
6	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Can I add one in at
7	this point?
8	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: For a recommendation?
9	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: No. For a finding.
10	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Finding? Sure.
11	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Because what I would
12	like to say is mental health services in most
13	cases are voluntary and then children and/or
14	parents can choose to not seek or participate in
15	treatment, making it difficult to effectively
16	meet the child's mental health needs. Because
17	it will that's the issue that I was talking
18	about, and I have a similar recommendation that
19	will address that.
20	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.
21	SHERIFF ASHLEY: Let me ask a question
22	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Anybody have any
23	issue
24	SHERIFF ASHLEY: on that.
25	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What?

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

SHERIFF ASHLEY: The question to

Commissioner Carroll on that is what is the

current consequence, if any, for a child or

parent not participating in services.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: There is none.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: None.

2.2

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Because of HIPAA? Because of --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: It's not ordered by court or --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: There are none. And when you -- you know, even in the child welfare system when a kid has a behavioral health issue and a judge says, "This child needs to go into substance abuse treatment" or "This child needs to go to mental health treatment," if that child says, "I'm not going," there's -- and the judge will tell you there's nothing they can do about it.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: The dependency

court -- there's nothing in the law that

allows -- and this is what's going to the

proposal that Secretary Poppell's bringing up,

is, is that there needs to be some changes to

the law that gives the court some authority to do something on a graduated sanctions basis.

And, again, nobody's saying -- of course, the opponents cast this in the worst light because they're just opposed to it as opposed to understanding the problem and it's a legit fix and a necessary fix.

But all he's saying is, is that the courts need something. Because the courts have nothing now. And so as we know, the -- and everybody says "We got the Baker Act system." Well, we'll we've discussed that to death, is that doesn't provide what is necessary. So the courts need something. So there is nothing.

And this is where the problem -- this is where the greatest void is, and this is why those of us that looked at the secretary's proposal support it, because it's filling that void that is out there. That doesn't exist.

And people say, "Well, just get the judge to do something." Well, the judge can only do what the law allows the judge to do. Judges can't just make up stuff and start throwing people into some type of a involuntary program. They have to have legal authority to do it, and

2.2

it doesn't exist.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So as far as that new finding by Commissioner Carroll: Mental health services in most cases are voluntary, parents and children can choose not to participate and making it difficult to ensure the child's needs are met.

I think that's accurate. Does anybody have any concerns with that? Any objections to that?

All right. So let's move -- just put that in there. You got that, John.

So let's move on to number 5. Now, this is based upon what's in the narrative in there. Schools are limited in the type and quantity of mental health services they can provide, and their role is limited to helping the student thrive in the academic environment.

So we heard testimony about that during our meetings. I think that it's important to recognize that the schools are not funded for, designed for, equipped for, etcetera, to be the holistic mental health provider of every kid. Their primary role is to help the kids thrive in the academic environment and refer out to community-based providers or others for the mental health services that are outside the four

corners -- four walls of the school. 1

> So I don't know if Commissioner Stewart and Chancellor Oliva, do you have any concerns about that or agree with it, disagree with it?

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: I think that's a fair statement. So that the school at that tier 1 level would provide access to universal systems of supports.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: Then as that system of care gets more complex for the student --CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: -- there may not be a full relinquish of school-based services --CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: -- but a partnership with outside agencies that need to be part of that care plan.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: But I think what people need to realize, I think -- and, again, you -- everybody pipe in -- and Commissioner Dodd, from the school perspective, I know Commissioner Powers isn't here today, but schools are limited in the -- in the type and quantity. So limited in the type and quantity

800-726-7007

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

is they can't provide all services. And they're limited in time. Schools during the summer, during recess, or during Christmas break, and during all those other periods of time, so they're limited in what they can do.

And their primary focus -- and as an example is ESE students are going to inherently get more services than non-ESE students.

So there's limited resources and only so much to go around, and I think there should be a recognition that we can't dump too much on the schools in this area and that people shouldn't view the schools as the end-all be-all and that the schools are tasked with fixing this problem. I think that's what I'm trying to get out there.

Everybody okay with that one? Good.

All right. So let's go on to number 6. Senate Bill 7026 required that each district prepare and submit a mental health assistance allocation plan. The plan must focus on delivering evidence-based mental health care with a checklist of provisions.

So that's just highlighting that. Any issues with that one?

Okay. 7: While the Broward County Public

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

2.4

Schools and other districts provide mental
health, there continues to be a gap in ensuring
that these services meet the demand and need.
Anything on that one?
Chief Lystad.
VICE-CHAIRMAN LYSTAD: Just add "services"

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

after "mental health."

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. All right. So let's go over to the next Findings section which is -- there's only one. It's on page 142 of the report.

So a gap exists in providing a safety net for high-risk children transitioning into adulthood from child services.

Anybody have any comments on that one?

All right. So we'll move into the recommendations.

First one is: The legislature should consider additional mental health funding and require that entities receiving State funding report data-driven and outcome-based performance metrics establishing effective use of the State money.

Any comments on that one?

All right. Number 2: Entities receiving

State funding should be authorized and required to participate in care coordination with other public and private providers, especially school-based providers.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

That's one of the things that we've heard is, is that one of the reasons why there is a void in care coordination is because some of these community-based providers don't have authorized pay points to go and participate in the staffings at the schools.

So what this is saying is, is that authorize them to do it, and not only authorize them, but require them to do it so that you don't have that void and that hole so that they don't have to worry about whether they're being paid to go and participate in these staffings and coordinate with school-based services.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: And can we add there the expectations and measures --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Sure.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- required for each provider participating within the care coordination plan?

Because, to me, it just says they need to participate. But really each of the parties

needs to be held accountable with respect to care coordination.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So tell me -- and so

John can type it in there, so -- and Harold, can
you turn on Commissioner Carroll's microphone so
John can hear? It's on? Okay.

So entities receiving State funding should be authorized and required to?

commissioner carroll: I was just at the end of that. What you have there, I agree with. But at the end, I would put that expectations and performance measures should be established for all providers in the continuum of the coordination of care plan for any child.

So with respect to Nikolas Cruz, you had community-based care -- or a community-based mental health agency providing services, you had school-based services, you had a private psychiatrist. To me, if they're receiving any tape -- type of State funding, all three of those folks should have had expectations around their responsibility around communicating information and coordinating care for that child. It shouldn't reside with one part. No one owns care coordination. Everybody should

1 own a piece of it.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. All right.

Anything else on -- yeah?

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: I think when we put "required," I don't know if we want to put language in there. We should think about language. Right now there's not a -- you know, and I hate to say this -- there's not a billing mechanism. And so we need to make sure that both Department and AHCA have a mechanism for reimbursement to participate in this care coordination. And I think that's a big, right now, kind of -- probably the biggest reason that I hear is why folks do not participate, there's no way to bill for that. And so we've got to figure out a way for folks to get reimbursed for their time. So that might be, you know, something that we put in here.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That has to come from CCM or, I mean, who -- some of that's -- some of that's Medicaid funded and the -- is --

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Not all care coordination is -- funded is billable.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: So --

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So where does it have to change? Is that -- is that a state level change or a federal level change?

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: It can be state. It can be state. We can come up with --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: State.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: -- billable codes for care coordination and specify both.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I think part of the request upfront was to consider adding funds to the system. To me, the funds should be added in the -- into the system specifically to improve care coordination.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: So it would allow -if they did that, it would allow you to create
the billing mechanism, then to draw down those
funds. So you would tie this recommendation to
your recommendation for more funding.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So could you -- and wherever -- so here could you change -- instead of entities receiving state funding to meet this, could we change it to say the legislature should provide or the legislature should authorize the state funding --

1	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Sure.
2	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: to should
3	authorize state funding and require take out
4	the "to" require participation in.
5	Does that work? Because that's where it's
6	got to come from, the legislature, is what your
7	saying. The legislature has to authorize this?
8	Legislature should authorize state funding
9	and require participation in care coordination
LO	with other public and private providers,
L1	especially school-based providers, etcetera.
L2	DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Or do you want
L3	to put it up in Recommendation 1 where the
L4	legislature should consider additional mental
L5	health funding specifically for services and
L6	care coordination and require entities to, you
L7	know, to report on that?
L8	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I don't I don't
L9	care. Wherever. It doesn't matter to me, I
20	mean.
21	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: But how that's
22	written is accurate.
23	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What's that?
24	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I said how that's

written right now is accurate. But I do think

25

1 you could put it both places.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So put it up there in number 1 also?

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Yeah. Because to say increase mental health funding, that's a -- that's a big, broad ask, and the money could go places where it doesn't have any impact on what we're talking about.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: But the increased funding here is directly related to something that we're going to recommend now will be required that, you know, heretofore is not.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: I think they're exclusive. I would just prefer leave it here because it's directly related to some outcome we're requesting occur that currently doesn't.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: True. Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. So do we want it -- want to leave it where it is, or we want to change it?

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I think where it is spells out the need to specifically fund this and make it a billable --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- thing, but once you do that, you can establish expectations and performance measures around it, so I think...

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. So we're okay with it where it is? Okay.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I have a question. Number 2, when I originally saw it, I thought it was sort of a way to compel school districts to allow State-funded entities in to be part of the threat assessment to provide services. We kind of took a different turn here.

So I'm just wondering if we should have a recommendation. I mean, I would recommend it. Because one of the challenges is a lot of times specific schools will not allow providers in or to participate, and they should be compelled to do so. I mean, we have high -- as high level background checks as school personnel do, so to be able to get State funding, we have those background checks for every single point --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So could you add that by "The legislature should authorize State funding and require provider participation in care coordination with other public and private

800-726-7007

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

providers, especially school-based providers"?

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I would ask not to do that here. But I understand the commissioner's point, and I think that should be a stand-alone.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: With a recommendation?

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Yeah. The next one.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Because if -because, otherwise, it's going to convolute the
meaning of this.

But I absolutely agree that schools ought to be mandated to be working in concert, in collaboration, with community mental health providers to provide a continuum of service and effective communication across treatment. Right now that happens in some places, but doesn't in most.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. All right. So we have got number 1. We got number 2. Let's see if we can add that in at number 3 where you want it. It says -- number 3 is: The Managing Entities should establish and require the

1 implementation -- what? SERGEANT SUESS: I'm sorry, sir. quick, on recommendations to the last line, I 3 got a little sideways at the end of it. Is the 4 5 wording on that accurate? CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, I think it'd 6 be -- the language needs a little tweaking. So expectations and performance measures should be 8 9 established for all providers of the plan. 10 COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I would -- I 11 wouldn't put of the plan. I would just put 12 for --13 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- for coordination 14 15 of care. 16 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There you go. 17 Expectations and performance measures should be

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

All right. So moving on to number 3:

Managing Entities should establish and require
implementation of master case management systems
in all seven reason -- regions.

established for all providers for continuation

of care coordination. And then a few word

tweaks we can fix in there later.

So maybe that should not -- you know, and

305-376-8800

from the discussion here, maybe that shouldn't be that the Managing Entity should be required, maybe it should be somebody else, either the legislature or DCF or somebody, or should that be with the Managing Entities, you know?

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So if it's with the Managing Entities, it only covers people they're responsible for, which is --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- equal to who DCF's responsible for, and it wouldn't include any kids with Medicaid.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So I think with that, it's probably -- in order for that to happen effectively, probably going to require coming out of the legislature. So, I mean, now, and based on this discussion, I'd say changing that to "The legislature should establish and require implementation of master case management systems throughout Florida."

Is that -- what do y'all think?

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I think

that's a better way to say it because it does at

least capture all the different possibilities.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Commissioner Carroll,

800-726-7007

1 do you --

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Well, again, because I don't want to make it so broad that folks misunderstand what we're trying to say. Because what we really want this master case management around is high utilizers. And so if we say "require the implementation of master case management for high utilizers of acute care in all seven regions," so that would get at the -- like the Baker Act issue or whether it be assessed and that type stuff.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So you want -- so the legislature should establish and require the implementation of master case management systems for --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: High utilizers --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- high --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- for acute care.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- high system

utilizers in all seven managing entity regions.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: But I would -- me, I would put high utilizers of acute care

because --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Acute care.

25 Okay.

1	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: if somebody's
2	engaged in treatment and they're going to
3	treatment all the time, that's a good thing.
4	It's if they keep showing up at emergency rooms
5	and Baker Act facilities
6	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That's
7	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: that's a bad
8	thing
9	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No. It's a great
10	point.
11	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: you know.
12	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I certainly appreciate
13	that input. So because we want to be as
14	specific as possible and have everybody
15	understand what we're talking about here within
16	the terminology that's used within that system.
17	Go ahead. Yeah.
18	DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: I would put
19	statewide versus seven managing entity regions.
20	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.
21	DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: That that's
22	specific to us.
23	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So change that for
24	high utilizers of acute care statewide. Okay.
25	Is everybody good with that?

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1	Okay. So we move on to the next one.
2	Baker Act providers need a system.
3	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Can we
4	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: to identify
5	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Can we go back,
6	Sheriff, on
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.
8	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Because I want to
9	make sure Commissioner Skinner's recommendation
10	gets in there. We want to make a separate
11	recommendation around
12	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: That compels
13	school districts to allow community-based
14	providers who receive State funding in the
15	schools to participate in treatment teams, IEPs,
16	threat assessments, all of those things that
17	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So this is a
18	legislative action, so the legislature should
19	go ahead.
20	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Compel or
21	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Require.
22	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: require
23	school districts to allow community to
24	partner with and allow community-based providers
25	in the schools to take part in threat

1 assessments and provide services for kids who need them. CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So the school -- so 3 the legislature should require that -- should 4 5 require that school districts permit. Try it 6 that way. COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Yeah, that's 8 good. CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: The legislature should 10 require that school districts permit community 11 mental health providers. 12 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Who receive 13 State funding. 14 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Who receive State 15 funding. 16 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Because then 17 you know for a fact that they -- every single 18 one of them has the high-level background check 19 because the State requires it. 20 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: To participate on in 21 what? 2.2 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So in the 23 threat assessment teams, for instance. I 2.4 mean --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I don't know about in

2.5

1 the threat assessment teams themselves, I mean. So, you know, I don't know about that. 3 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: If we're a provider, I mean, if we're providing services to 4 5 the kid --6 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. Well, yeah. 7 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- that's the 8 right thing to do. 9 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I think that that's 10 okay, you know. Okay. Fair enough. 11 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I'm not. 12 suggesting that they allow us in to do it just 13 for any kid that we have nothing to do with. 14 But, I mean, if we're providing services to a 15 kid or a kid's been Baker Acted, that's the way 16 you're going to get the data that you need to determine what is the level of threat. 17 18 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: To participate in --19 okay. So you could say "to participate in 20 threat assessments of students for whom the 21 provider is currently treating or has treated" 2.2 or something like that. COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: 2.3 Something 24 like that, yeah.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

2.5

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

So the

legislature require the school district permit community mental health providers who receive State funding to participate in threat assessments of students for whom the provider is currently treating.

But do you want to go -- since we're on this topic and we're going here, is, is that I think it's also appropriate if we're going to do that, that they allow -- and I'm thinking about staffings that are not threat assessments, so there may be -- you know, the whole goal of all this is to avoid having to do a threat assessment --

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- and to catch these things at the earliest possible time for intervention. So if you have a kid that is receiving in-school services and let's say the school and the social worker, psychologist, or whoever, let's say they're having a staffing as it relates to that kid but the kid is also receiving out-of-school services, it'd be a good idea to engage those out-of-school services and the community-based provider in that staffing before it raises -- rises to the level of threat

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 assessment.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So I think that -- I'm not sure what the right wording is.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Can I -- can I make a suggestion?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Sure.

and say school districts -- get rid of the word "permit." I don't like that. But school districts engage community mental health providers who receive State funding in the coordination of treatment plans and threat assessments of students for whom the provider is currently treating.

So -- because I agree with the sheriff, I want them engaged before.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Right.

commissioner carroll: If they're more engaged in the coordination of treatment plan -- plans and treatment, there's less likelihood it will end up moving to a threat assessment. But if there is a threat assessment, they need to participate in that clearly.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You know --

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And maybe if

I offer an example. So we have a CAT team in a

county and there were two schools in that county

4 that would not allow the staff on campus.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, one of the things that I think we got to be careful with this as far as the -- as far as the threat assessment teams, because one of the things that I don't want to have happen here is make this recommendation and it gets, you know, adopted -- obviously, it's got to go through a legislative process and see if they agree with it anyway and it would get tweaked, but we got to make sure that the threat assessment team process doesn't get bogged down. Because there are some providers that are not going to get there and timely engage in the threat assessment, so I am concerned about having this a requirement as far as the threat assessment.

So let's look at this. The legislature should require the school districts engage -- and I think that's a good word, Commissioner Carroll -- engage community mental health providers who receive State funding to participate in the coordination of treatment

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 plans.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Put it in, threat assessments as appropriate of students for whom it provides. I think -- I think the legislation around threat assessments, though, says that the community mental health provider that's treating them -- they're not included in that?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No. It's the school -- they're only required -- the required personnel on a behavioral threat assessment team are school mental health providers. There has to be a mental health provider on it, but it's the school-based personnel.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: That is a recommendation, though, under the threat assessment pieces that these people be involved, so we're going to have it kind of in two places. It is a -- it is under --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: In two? It is in there in that chapter --

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- as well?

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: It is.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So, yeah, we need to be careful about that, because I can see where

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

that's going to cause problems and it's going to bog down the process if we -- if somebody picks this up and requires that the community -- because with just scheduling and everything else, we don't want that process to be halted because a community-based provider can't get there, so court --

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: Yeah.

Recommend -- I'm sorry -- Recommendation 2, under threat assessment, says community-based mental health provider should also participate on threat assessment teams and when they have unique knowledge of the person who is the subject of the assessment.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Yeah. So we should say, "when appropriate."

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So I think what we can probably do is let's get it right here and just eliminate that one. When we get to it, we'll just take that out. Let's settle on that here and just include it here, and then we'll take it out of that one when we get there.

So --

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Mr. Chair, I

was going to suggest maybe what we could do is remove threat assessment from here, leave this one without that --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- and then leave that one.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. All right. We can do that too. So let's just take threat assessments out for now.

So the legislature should require districts engage community mental health providers who receive State funding participate in the coordination of treatment plans of students for whom the provider is currently treating.

Does that does work for -- how's that sound for those -- go ahead, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yeah. I mean, it all -- would it almost be better to say the legislature should require community mental health providers who receive State funding to engage the school districts. I mean, I think it's -- you know, I feel like our school district is open to that. Is -- are school districts standing in the way.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Absolutely.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yes.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Actually, it's actually not districts. It's individual schools. So individual schools, essentially principals, are allowed to decide who can come on campus and who can't or who can provide services and who can't.

So the example I gave is the county that I was talking about probably has around between 50 and 60 schools. There were two where a CAT team had clients, and they wouldn't let us come on campus, and so we're trying to help.

And I agree, this one -- this recommendation here is very much preventative, and so if we can provide the services --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- and we're allowed to provide the services, then we have a greater chance of not having to get to the threat assessment piece if that makes sense.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And here, too, one of the things, the key words here, and I think it's a good word, is "engage." Because it doesn't say -- because at least they have to do is engage. And that could be, you know, ideally is

getting everybody sitting down at the table and everybody's discussing. But let's say at least they have to do is reach out, and if the provider says, "Well, here's some information, but I can't come," it's not bogging the process down.

So "engage" is probably a good word to use because it's not saying that you're trying to force somebody to the table where they just can't meet that.

And, again, we got to remember, Florida's a very diverse state, and you get into some of those North Florida counties that, you know, the mental health provider is providing service maybe three counties away. So we have to be very aware of all that. I think that we got to provide some flexibility and local control over this.

COMMISSIONER DODD: I mean, we've been opening the doors to community health providers. They go through a contract process that actually comes to the board that, you know, make sure they're meeting all the requirements of law. So that is something that we've been doing. But I wasn't --

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Not all the districts operate that way.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So is everybody okay with this? I think this is broad enough and gives enough flexibility to just try to make the point is, is let's open the door and get everybody talking. And I think that does that without being overly constraining as well.

Any objections to that?

Okay. So let's try and move on here. So I think we're at 4. The Baker Act providers need a system to identify and alert a responsible entity of those individuals who are repeatedly Baker Acted, and the responsible entity must develop a course of action to address why the person is repeatedly Baker Acted.

You know, that's just saying that, you know, with all us sitting here trying to figure it out is to be specific as to who. We're just -- somebody needs to own this and somebody needs to figure this out is the gist of that. But for us to sit here and figure out, you know, who specifically in the system and where that responsibility needs to be placed, etcetera, I don't think we can do that.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But I do think a recommendation should be that somebody should do that, so -- and that's what that's trying to get at. So I realize there's some generalities in there, but I think there have to be as a recommendation coming out of this commission.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: There's a spelling change in that on the second --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Where?

1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: Responsibility.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: It should be change responsibility to...

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. You see the spelling, John, in the responsibility?

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Sheriff, just to elaborate briefly on what you said, I understand perhaps the need for the vague generality, but I'm concerned that we're providing a recommendation with little or no guidance as to who the responsible entity is.

And I do not at this stage necessarily want to go down the list of potential responsible entities, but I do have a, you know, concern that we're saying that it should be done without

any direction that the legislature should do something such as evaluate and identify it, you know, create a work group, something along those lines. I totally agree with the -- with the premise and the idea. That's just my thought for what it is.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Then --

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Part of the issue goes back to the lack of tracking system. You might want to or we might want to consider changing this to AHCA and DCF, the capability to be able to identify and drill down on folks with -- you know, once they get to a certain threshold, because then it would be sent to the -- might be sent to DJJ, DCF, AHCA, whoever that responsible party is.

But somebody at that -- I still wouldn't leave it up to the Baker Act provider because they might not be the only receiving facility that the kid is going to. Do you know?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. So I think -so the point, again, this is more passive voice,
make it more active in getting to Commissioner
Harpring's point is, is -- is that DCF and
AHCA --

1	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I think we throw DJJ
2	in there.
3	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Everybody.
4	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I don't have to do
5	it, but they all work well together. But AHCA,
6	DJJ, DCF develop
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Should develop
8	should develop a system.
9	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: alert system that
10	would identify. Because
11	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.
12	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I can tell you we
13	didn't have it in the Department when I was
14	there. We were flying blind a lot. But it sure
15	would be nice to have.
16	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So DJ DCF, DJJ,
17	AHCA should develop an alert system. Got it,
18	John? Go back to the beginning. DCF, DJJ, and
19	AHCA should develop an alert system to identify.
20	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Those individuals
21	who are repeatedly Baker Acted.
22	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There you go. To
23	identify those individuals who are repeatedly
24	Baker Acted, period.
25	The

800-726-7007

1 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I think that just starting the next sentence at "The" and 3 leaving it like that is good. CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What's that now? I'm 4 5 sorry. 6 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I think that if we just -- the next sentence, if we just start it at "The," erase the -- or delete the 8 9 "and," and the responsible entity must develop a 10 course of action. 11 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Yeah. Just 12 take the --13 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I think 14 that's perfect. 15 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- "and" out, John. 16 Take the "and" out, capital the "T." And so it 17 will read: The responsible DJJ -- local board 18 system -- The responsible entity must develop a 19 course of action to address why the person is 20 repeatedly Baker Acted. 21 That seems to work. 2.2 Go ahead. 23 Sheriff, if I may? SERGEANT SUESS: There's some discussion over here. Do you want 24

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

to make this a legislative recommendation?

1 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, we're going to go through -- some of these are and some of 3 these not. I mean, we're not -- we're not going to delineate now what is a legislative 4 5 recommendation. It's going to have to come --6 that -- well, maybe not. I don't know. Let's not get into what needs legislative session stuff at this point. 8 9 That is -- that is something, I think, and 10 you guys could do that on your own.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Exactly.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You don't need the legislature to do that.

> SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Correct.

So, again, DCF, DJJ, and AHCA should develop an alert system to identify those individuals who are repeatedly Baker Acted. The responsible entity must develop a course of action to address why the person's repeatedly Baker Acted.

Any other changes to that?

Okay. All right. Let's move on to number

5. There should be established program to close the gap when high-risk children transition into

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

1 adulthood as it relates to services.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I don't disagree with this, but it is so broad --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: -- I don't know what it's referring to.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. I agree.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: And I know in this case, at least initially, there was a question, particularly with DCF's involvement, because we did not do a child abuse investigation because the child in this case had -- wasn't a child, they had turned 18, so it defaulted into the adult abuse investigation which is much different than child abuse investigations.

And if you look at child welfare, there is a pretty defined process to transition kids and their Medicaid. If a dependent kid turns 18, their Medicaid coverage or their health coverage continues.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Are we talking more about continuity of services as opposed to some --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Well, that's what

I -- is it the continuity of mental health and

Veritext Legal Solutions

1	behavioral health services? Because there's a
2	real gap there, because you do fall off a cliff.
3	And so I think if we put that there, if that's
4	we want to do, there should be established be
5	some formal
6	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: An established
7	program
8	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: substance abuse,
9	mental health
10	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: to provide for
11	continuity of
12	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: transition
13	programs for kids
14	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: services.
15	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: that age out of
16	the system.
17	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Transition from
18	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I don't think we
19	have that.
20	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Transition from the
21	child system to the
22	COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Yeah.
23	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: adult system?
24	So there should be established a better
25	transition.

Veritext Legal Solutions

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: I would suggest that there should be established programs to provide continuity of services when high-risk -- or mental health services when high-risk children --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Yeah.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: -- transition into adulthood.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: And I would say, "continuity of behavioral health services," because I would include mental health and substance abuse with that, so...

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Just one piece of information here. When we started the process and the investigation, this was definitely an issue, but that first year legislature funded CAT teams in every county.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And the age that they serve kids up to is age 21, so all of the kids that are high needs that are already part of a CAT team, that transition actually now exists because they're allowed to stay on the team until they're 21.

I just wanted to point that out because the

legislature did address that issue with that 1 funding and designating that every single county has to have a team that serves it. It's the 3 kids that -- so here's the challenge. Kids like 4 5 the murderer in this case, he wasn't part of a 6 CAT team, he wasn't Baker Acted, so even some of the work that we're doing, which I think is important, wouldn't have addressed the issue 8 with him. If you -- you know what I mean? Because he didn't get on a CAT team and he 10 11 didn't get Baker Acted. But these things are 12 important to prevent future murderers. 13 just kind of wanted to throw that out for the commission to consider. 14

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So who should establish these programs?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Well, I think they're already established.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And that's the CAT teams.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: The issue is are the right kids being referred to those teams and then carrying on with treatment. I would

like to think so. But in the case of the one 1 that was Baker Acted 35 times, clearly that 3 didn't happen. CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So should we say that 4 5 programs should be enhanced --6 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Could do 7 that. CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- to provide better 8 9 continuity of behavioral health services to 10 close the gap when high-risk children transition 11 into adulthood? 12 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I think 13 that's --14 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Would that be more 15 accurate? 16 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. 18 COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I just want to be --19 while I agree with the commissioner, I -- CAT 20 teams is a good solution. I am a big proponent 21 of CAT teams, but they do not serve the whole 2.2 population. 2.3 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: 2.4 COMMISSIONER CARROLL: While they --

2.5

while --

1 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: While they're -they may be widespread now, they don't meet the
need in terms of a capacity issue, so --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So program should be enhanced, comma, and expanded where necessary because of the expansion to...?

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I think that addresses that.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: You can -- and I don't even care if you put in there that the CATs are a good -- CAT teams are a good example of it, but -- because I think they are. I just -- they're just not -- they just don't meet nor do they serve everybody that we're talking about here. So if that's your vehicle, there's not enough capacity.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So you could do programs, comma, such as CAT teams, comma, should be enhanced, comma, and expanded where necessary to provide better continuity.

And we can work out the commas and all that stuff. But that gets you -- that gets set in there.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.

2.2

1	How's that work? Okay.
2	All right. Let's move on to 6.
3	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Can I talk
4	about this one since it's mine?
5	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Sure.
6	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I thought
7	that might help.
8	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yep.
9	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I actually
10	was rereading it and thinking that it was
11	passive, like you mentioned about one yesterday.
12	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yep.
13	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And I would
14	like to potentially revise it to start with
15	require evidence-based prevention.
16	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So just tell John what
17	you want. Do it slowly so he can he can type
18	it.
19	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Yeah. So I
20	would take out "There should be a greater focus
21	on" and just start with "Require evidence-based
22	prevention"
23	So my for those of you who were heard
24	me last time, I do believe the long-term answer

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

to many of these problems that we're having with

305-376-8800

violence and suicide and addiction as well is really prevention and teaching kids from very early, before kindergarten even -- and I don't know, Chancellor Oliva told me people get twitchy about the words "social-emotional learning," but character development prevention, whatever you want to call it, the important thing here is that we're teaching kids very early to develop empathy for other human beings and how to problem solve, how to resolve conflict, how -- all the skills that you need to get through life to thrive, and so that is the reason behind this recommendation.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Right now we do prevention, but it's in pockets and it's only in schools where the principal allows it, and I believe that it should be -- every single year there should be some element of this type of learning for every child in Florida's school system.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Chancellor Oliva, this is like -- this is similar to what you're doing in tier 1, is it not?

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: Well, it can be. So the conversation is flipping a little bit from mental health services to mental health

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007

education, which is a relevant topic, to emphasize some points from Commissioner Larkin-Skinner.

2.2

2.3

2.4

If this is part of the findings, this is something that Commissioner Corcoran and First Lady DeSantis has been very innovative and proactive in, in encouraging the State agencies to collaborate and work together.

So to add to this -- and this wouldn't necessarily be a recommendation, but recently there is required health instruction that's in Florida Statute 1003.42. And we have gone to the State board to expand on health instruction to include mental health requirements, and the State board has recently adopted a rule to have a minimum of five hours of mental health education be required in grades 6 through 12, and in K through 12 require substance abuse prevention education, and also in K through 12 human trafficking prevention education.

And not only do school districts and schools have to develop plans on how they're going to implement that, but they're going to be required to report how and which courses and who taught those classes, and we'll have that first

305-376-8800

1 report this summer by July 1st of 2020.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So from what -- from hearing you -- and this is in the recommendation, of course, this is not a finding, this is in a recommendation -- is, is that this seems consistent with what you're saying. So I don't see anything in there. I think we can and should include it because it is consistent, but I think the point is, is that this is already in the works.

But does anybody see any problems or have any concerns?

Commissioner Dodd.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yes. Before you made the change, I was going to take out the word "prevention" and put "mental health" because I felt like we need to address mental health education. But it doesn't sound good to me -- it doesn't sound right, "Require prevention to every child..."

Would it be "Require evidence-based prevention, character development, social -- to be taught to every child in Florida," or we're requiring evidence-based mental health prevention or --

800-726-7007

305-376-8800

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I see.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER DODD: The word "prevention" is kind of throwing me. Because when I -- you know, I think about prevention, you know, especially for drug and alcohol abuse and those things that we already -- what we have in schools, but, you know, I don't see the word "mental health" in there, which I -- which I think the focus should be included.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I get your point. Because most people, when they hear about prevention, automatically think addiction like --

COMMISSIONER DODD: Right.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- prevention substance abuse, and aren't even thinking about the fact that we can prevent mental health issues as well. So -- and the sentence doesn't make sense, you're right, since we changed that. So I'm fine if we add mental health.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. So require -COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And we need
to rewrite. So "Require evidence-based mental
health prevention," and then after the comma,
behind "social-emotional learning," it would

need to be taught is what you were suggesting, 1 right? COMMISSIONER DODD: Well, that -- I just 3 thought of that in a brainstorm. I don't know. 4 5 Maybe someone else can wordsmith that better. 6 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: It's the --7 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. Not there. COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Behind 8 9 "social-emotional learning" --10 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There you go. 11 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- after that 12 comma, "to be taught to every child in Florida 13 schools." 14 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Secretary Marstiller, 15 go ahead. 16 SECRETARY MARSTILLER: I am fully behind 17 this, by the way, because a lot of what I see 18 and hear translates and transfers to prevention 19 programs that DJJ provides, and these items also 20 address many of the risk factors, right, for 21 juvenile delinquency, so I wholeheartedly 2.2 embrace those. 2.3 My question is do we want to limit it --

you know, evidence-based, yes -- do we want to

limit it to mental health or do we want to say

2.4

2.5

mental health and behavioral? Because a lot of 1 this stuff --3 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. SECRETARY MARSTILLER: -- is behavioral, 4 5 and a lot -- and I see kids who come into my 6 programs haven't learned any of this. 7 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I think that probably --8 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. Yeah. It --10 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- completely 11 aligns with what we've already talked about. 12 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So, 13 14 definitely. SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And it -- it's 17 absolutely correct. For some people, that nuance is lost. But there is a difference 18 between mental health and behavioral health. 19 20 SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah. CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So -- but -- and we 21 2.2 should add that. So it "Require evidence-based mental health and behavioral health" or --23 2.4 SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah. 2.5 COMMISSIONER HARPRING: I think you should

```
1
         change "prevention" to "education." I just --
         the prevention side doesn't make sense to me
         because it's --
 3
              CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I don't know if --
 4
 5
              COMMISSIONER HARPRING: -- saying we're
 6
         requiring evidence-based mental and behavioral
         health.
 8
              CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: This is your
         recommendation, so...
10
              COMMISSIONER STEWART: I don't think we
11
         want to prevent mental health --
12
              CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No, no.
13
              COMMISSIONER STEWART: -- or prevent
14
         behavior, and so I also --
15
              CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Require evidence-based
16
         mental health --
17
              COMMISSIONER STEWART: -- struggle with the
18
         word "prevention."
19
              COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So it's
20
         about --
21
              CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- preventing
23
         specific behaviors and preventing mental health
         issues in the future, depression, anxiety,
24
2.5
         whatever.
```

1 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So what you're saying is "Require evidence-based mental and behavioral health education" --3 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: That's fine. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- "also known as 6 Character Development or Social-Emotional Learning, " which -- to be taught. That makes 8 sense. COMMISSIONER STEWART: Yeah. 10 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Anything else, 11 Commissioner Stewart? 12 COMMISSIONER STEWART: The only other thing 13 that I would say is that there is a connotation 14 with social-emotional learning. COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: That's what 15 16 he said. 17 COMMISSIONER STEWART: And I think that if 18 what you're trying to get at is certainly 19 something that would be acceptable everywhere, 20 but that word connotes something else. So if 21 there could be character development or social 2.2 responsible behavior, something along those

25 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Do you know

lines better represent what you're meaning

rather than what that term usually connotes.

2.3

2.4

what that connotes? Is it the word "social," or 1 is it the word "emotional"? CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What if you took it out of --4 5 COMMISSIONER STEWART: I think it's --6 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- caps? What if you put it in lower case as opposed to -- because that character development and social-emotional 8 9 is, when it's in caps, is define -- is 10 identifying that particular concept as opposed 11 to -- as opposed to the broad term. So maybe 12 you split it by just taking it out of caps as 13 opposed to -- be -- identify this in a specific 14 context. 15 COMMISSIONER STEWART: Or perhaps we could restate "mental health well-being." I think if 16 17 you -- if you try to promote social-emotional 18 learning, it will get -- it will reach barriers 19 in trying to move forward. 20 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: What is the 21 new term that's being used; do you know? 2.2 COMMISSIONER STEWART: I don't know. Т 2.3 don't know. COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Because I --2.4

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

like my school district is talking about

social-emotional learning. So I don't know -- I 1 don't know what the -- what is negative about it, so I don't know how to reword it. 4 COMMISSIONER STEWART: It's just my 5 caution. 6 COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Yeah. Chancellor Oliva shared the same thing with me, so I just don't know what else to call it. 8 9 COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Can I? If we 10 simplify this and just write "Require 11 evidence-based mental health and -- mental and 12 behavioral health education designed to..., " and 13 then cut everything right down to where it says 14 "...designed to help youth develop empathy for 15 others, learn how to..., and so you include 16 all the detail without naming it, would that --17 COMMISSIONER STEWART: Works for me. 18 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Go ahead. 19 DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: I just have a 20 semantics. Mental and behavioral health. 21 Behavioral health is mental health and substance 2.2 abuse. 2.3 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: 2.4 So are you

2.5

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

talking about behavior when you say "behavioral

health," or are you talking about mental health and substance abuse? I think it should just be behavioral health if that's what you're talking about.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I think

Secretary Marstiller's point was to keep -include behavior by itself, so I think it
probably should read "Require evidence-based
mental health and behavioral education..."

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: There you go.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah, there you go. That works.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And then I think Commissioner Carroll's point is a good one. We could just take out the names for it and just say "designed to..."

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. Go ahead, John.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: To help youth

develop.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So "Require evidence-based mental health and behavioral education designed to..."

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: And get rid of it, designed to, and just get to what it's designed

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 to.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Designed to develop empathy for others?

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: There it is, yes.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That? That? Okay.

Where -- and take out the "to be taught" and all that. Yeah, there you go.

So we've got "Require evidence-based mental health and behavioral education designed to develop empathy for others, learn how to make decisions, problem solve, resolve conflict, advocate for themselves in an appropriate way, develop self-esteem, and identify and handle their emotions, period. It's important we help youth...," it should start with "Pre-K and continue through 12th grade."

How's that?

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So --

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- "designed to help youth develop," right after "designed to," right after the red, "help youth develop empathy for others..."

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: For students.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And then I

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 would take out the sentence that says "It is important we help youth..." 3 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, there you go. It is kind of choppy. 4 5 All right. Anything else? Sheriff Ashley. 6 7 COMMISSIONER STEWART: Help youth, not 8 health youth. COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Oh, help, 10 yeah. 11 SHERIFF ASHLEY: I guess my question is, is 12 the commission recommending a new core 13 curriculum course for our schools or --14 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No. 15 SHERIFF ASHLEY: What are we require --16 what are we recommending? 17 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: This is just 18 recommending, again, that this be -- this is 19 something that's really got to be done by DOE 20 and by the districts and let them figure it out. 21 This is just a general recommendation. I don't 2.2 think we can get or shouldn't try and get any 2.3 more specific with this. 24 SHERIFF ASHLEY: I think the lack of

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

specific, we may end up with a new course.

mean, if I'm reading this as a legislator or somebody else, I'm like what are -- what are we actually -- are we recommending a new class in school? Where do you learn this at, if not at home, and you're going to learn it at school and we're recommending it be learned at school?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: But I think -- and this is what Chancellor Oliva said -- I think a lot of this is already in the works.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: That's correct.

So health education is required instruction. Mental health fits within the parameters of health education. But the topics and the skills that are outlined in this -- these recommendations can translate to other courses and activity that align with the Florida standards as well.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: So, Sheriff?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I think this might

have been my recommendation on --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I can't hear you.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: It was mine.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I think I had made

a recommendation about something very similar to

1	this. And the thought process was after
2	Sandy Hook, they came up with three in their
3	after action report, they came up with three
4	recommendations that would have prevented that
5	tragedy. One of them was instituting a social
6	and emotional learning program.
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. We already got
8	off of that.
9	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You were out of the
11	room. We took that out.
12	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It's too there's
14	controversy over that. We took out those
15	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: No, no. I
16	understand that. But all of the other things in
17	there will teach that and hopefully will be able
18	to prevent bullying and
19	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. Yeah. We went
20	through that while you were out of the room,
21	so
22	So okay. So we need to try and move on
23	with this. Is there anything here that anybody
24	can't live with?

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

Okay. All right. So those are the

recommendations in Chapter 12. Let's take a break, and we'll come back and begin again in 10 minutes, come back, and we'll begin with Chapter 7, which is the school hardening chapter and try and get through the rest of this.

2.2

2.4

(Recess from 10:35 a.m. to 10:58 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. Let's go ahead and get started again. I know it's cold in here, and we're working on it. It appears that the air is either on or off and there's no in between, so we've asked them to monitor it. I know that FDLE staff is working on it with the hotel, so we're obviously very aware of the temperature situation and know that it is being worked on, so we'll try and --

SHERIFF JUDD: We're having no more luck with this than we are with getting a tower in Broward County.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You got a point with that. That's very true, very true.

So Chapter 7, we only have a couple of findings, three, and two recommendations, so hopefully this one will go kind of quickly.

This is Chapter 7. It has to do with School Hardening and the Safe School Assessment

Tool.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So the first finding is, is that the Florida schools have underutilized -- remember in this, I just remind you as you look at this finding, is, is that there is two aspects to the FSSAT: One is the school-specific one, and the other is the districtwide one.

It says the Florida schools have underutilized the FSSAT as a statewide physical site security assessment instrument despite the FSSAT having been mandated and funded by the legislature since 2014.

And it is -- you know, if there are any questions about that, I just ask you to reflect back on the statistical information on submissions and that there were many districts that did not submit in previous years the FSSAT as it was required. And this is the discretionary school-specific one, there were very, very few -- I believe the number was around 16 -- that ever, ever used it.

So that's the basis of the finding. Does anybody have any revisions to that or comments on it?

Okay. The second one: Some school

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

districts failed to file their FSSATs with the Department of Education as required by law.

That should speak for itself. It's true.

Okay. The third one is, is that the revised -- and we, of course, had presentations on that this year from DOE, the school-specific and the districtwide FSSAT. We reviewed that quite at length.

The revised FSSAT is superior to the old tool and will lead to better assessments of physical site security on Florida's K through 12 school campuses.

Any questions, concerns, revisions to that one?

So the recommendations, first one is, is that it's imperative that all Florida schools assess their physical site security at least annually and use the FSSAT to develop a remedial plan that addresses deficiencies and improves school hardening.

Any revisions to the first recommendation?

And then the second one. All schools and school districts must comply with the law and submit all school-specific and districtwide

FSSATs in a timely manner.

2.3

2.4

1 Anything on that one?

Sheriff Judd.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHERIFF JUDD: I only think we need to add a 3, that DOE can take disciplinary action against the superintendent for failure to comply.

COMMISSIONER STEWART: And that was -- I was going to make a similar comment. I think earlier we talked about maybe an overarching comment that should these things in law that pertain to Marjory Stoneman Douglas not be followed, there be consequences in the district.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. All right.

Now, I think now -- and I have to go back, but I believe that it -- again, because of what's in 7030 -- and I don't think it is specific. We can certainly add this, Sheriff. There's no -- I agree that it must be done and there should be consequences for noncompliance, and, frankly, there's no excuse for noncompliance.

But there are, again, a number of schemes and different portions of 7030 that provided the Commissioner of Education and the State board to impose sanctions at certain times under certain -- because there's an overall

requirement that when there's -- when reports are required, that does allow for some sanctions.

2.3

2.4

But you're suggesting a specific one for noncompliance with the FSSAT?

SHERIFF JUDD: Well, you know, past conduct is a clear indicator of future behavior, and it's been --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That's right.

SHERIFF JUDD: -- we're into the second year now, and they're still not doing it.

So it's unfortunate that it's not enough just to follow the law because that's what they're supposed do, but it's kind of like our last discussion with Commissioner Carroll who got a -- when he said we have to separate mental health from disciplinary -- or conduct. Right?

This is a disciplinary issue when they don't comply with the rules. So we need to say, look, you've had two years, you're still not doing it, so there has to be consequences.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So what -- so for a recommendation, so that we can develop it, give us some ideas as to what you want to see and what the commission wants to see as far as the

recommendation.

1

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Just the general generic recommendation that there should be -- that the Commissioner of Education and/or the State board should have sanction authority for noncompliance, or do you want it to be more specific than that?

SHERIFF JUDD: I think, yes. I'm not sure, you know, without reading 7030 and 7026, maybe it says in the law there, so all you have -- you could do more of a blanket thing to say there has to be accountability and sanctions against the superintendent who doesn't comply with those two laws. Then we wouldn't have to talk specifically about this, this nuance.

But that's the recommendation, is Commissioner of Education and State board should have the authority to sanction the superintendent and/or school board for noncompliance with the FSSAT.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So consistent with Sheriff Judd's recommendation, John, just put in there the Commissioner of Education and State Board of Education should have sanction authority over the superintendent and school board for noncompliance with the FSSAT

1 submission requirements.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Is that -- that work?

SHERIFF JUDD: That's fine, unless we -- unless we can broaden it to cover all of 7026 and 7030 and this is specifically mentioned there.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I think it's hard to do that, and we talked -- I think it's very hard to do that because there are so many different provisions in there and so many different places. Again, they're in the bills, but those bills have a variety of different statutes, so when they come out of the bills and they go into the variety of statutes, it's all over the place.

SHERIFF JUDD: And I'm okay with this, because this is the mechanism and the tool to ensure that we can enhance safety.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So any other commissioners have any revisions to this? Anybody have any objections to it?

Commissioner Dodd.

COMMISSIONER DODD: No, sir, I wasn't objecting to that recommendation, but I had a thought. I wanted to look at a finding, so when

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1 that's --

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. We can go back.

So on this one, does anybody not concur with this?

Okay. So which -- Commissioner Dodd wants to go back to the one of the findings in this section. Which one?

COMMISSIONER DODD: Well, I just wanted to make sure, because, you know, sometimes I think the public feels like there should be more information shared on the FSSAT. I wanted to say under a finding that it is -- the FSSAT is a confidential document and it's not open for public inspection. Now, that's in the law. I know it's there.

But sometimes I feel like, you know, the board has to approve -- the school boards have to approve the submission of the FSSAT. Our safe school specialist is required to bring that to the board.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER DODD: But yet we can't talk about the confidential specifics of those Florida Safe School Assessment Tools.

So I just -- you know, I want to keep that

out there that, you know, we go into closed session and we talk about individual schools' issues with deficiencies, but it is confidential.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So you just want -you were going to do -- just highlight that,
that -- just so that it's -- it's in the report,
obviously it's in the statute, and, you know,
most people don't know about it. But you -you'd like to see that highlighted in that?

COMMISSIONER DODD: I would, yes.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So, I mean, I don't have a problem with it unless any other commissioners do. I don't have any -- you know, is that you can just say that the finding is the Florida Safe School Assessment Tool is statutorily confidential and is not subject -- it and its contents are not subject to public disclosure.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That work?

COMMISSIONER DODD: That work.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Anybody have any

objections to that?

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Okay. All right. So I think we're done

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

with Chapter 7.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: Can I make a quick comment on Finding 3?

That talked about how we've updated the tool. The school safety specialists in the Office of Safe Schools still have a work group that are constantly reviewing and looking for ways to continually improve the tool, so I don't think it's necessarily a static instrument, but it might be worth mentioning that it's still continually being revised to be updated.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. I think just add in there just what Chancellor Oliva said. You can kind of paraphrase it. The -- the...

Well, and by -- I think it's easy to say that -- by -- the FSSAT is fine. It's a dynamic document that is constantly being revised and updated by the Office of Safe Schools.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: There's a work group with --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: -- the school safety specialists.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There you go. Does that work? Okay.

Anybody -- anybody else on Chapter 7 before we move on?

2.2

2.3

2.4

Okay. Chapter 8, Behavioral Threat

Assessments. And anybody have any -- anything
in the content of Chapter 8 as far -- before we
get to the findings? Anything in the text
portion of Chapter 8?

Okay. Hearing none, we'll move into the findings. Finding 1 begins on page 98. And that first one is, is that the Broward County Public Schools had systemic failure with its behavioral threat assessment process, period. The failure was due, at least in part, to ineffective implementation of its threat assessment policy, a lack of training, inexperience by school personnel, a lack of accountability at the district level, and a failure of school administration to emphasize the importance of the threat assessment team.

Anybody have anything on that one?

I believe that the record is clearly developed and supports all of that.

Okay. Next one. In June 2019, the Broward County Public Schools revised threat assessment -- yeah, in June 2019, Broward County

Public Schools revised threat assessment policy provides the necessary remedial measures -- that needs some language tweak there.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: The June -- okay. That's...

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: The June 2019 BCPS revised threat assessment policy provides the necessary remedial measures -- okay -- to implement an effective threat assessment process in the Broward County Public Schools, comma, including oversight and accountability at the district level.

It works. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Okay. Number 3. This is a result of the information that had been brought forward specifically about what at least had been occurring in Broward County. Using -- it may have been occurring elsewhere, but it was occurring there, and this is what Sheriff Tony brought forward and some other agencies.

Anybody have anything on that one?

Using patrol-assigned law enforcement officers to respond to a call for service at a school that asks them to, the officer, to serve on a school's behavioral threat assessment team

is improper and inconsistent with the requirement that law enforcement meaningfully participates on each school's threat assessment team.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

And then we put in there because it either has been or is in the process of being remediated in Broward County.

Last I talked to people down there recently, this problem seems to have been corrected. It definitely was occurring, at least to some degree. I do think it's appropriate to remain as a finding, but we should also note that it has either been fixed or is in the process of being fixed.

Does anybody have any --

VICE-CHAIRMAN LYSTAD: Just add "in." Use "in."

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: The issue has been or is in the process of being remediated in Broward County.

Anybody else see anything with any other revisions?

All right. We'll move on.

Asking a law enforcement officer -- number 4 -- asking a law enforcement officer to ratify

a threat assessment after the fact is improper and inconsistent with the requirements of Florida law.

2.3

2.4

Again, same thing. What was happening was -- and at least in some cases and there were reports of it -- a patrol officer was being dispatched to a school, being handed the completed threat assessment document, asked to sign it after the fact, and not participating in the team. But that issue, again, is either fixed or is in the process of being fixed, and there's no information that that is currently occurring, so...

Anything else on that one or anything on that one?

Number 5: The statewide threat assessment instrument implemented by DOE on August 1st is an effective tool to evaluate concerning behavior, and the commission supports the use of this instrument by all 67 Florida school districts and charter schools.

We had a chance to review that. It was implemented. And I know DOE, in rolling out that instrument, also rolled out a process. It did a train the trainer and -- here in Orlando

back in August -- and had representatives of every district here.

Correct, Chancellor Oliva?

2.2

2.3

2.5

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: There was three overall, but yes.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. And so everybody's been trained on it, and it's been implemented.

And I don't know what your plan is and what the Department's plan is on this, but I'll just say that I would hope -- I know that the instrument is based largely on the state of Virginia's model. I know that there's a work group that's in place that has to have a report by December 31st of 2019 on a statewide database and the framing of that, including costs.

One of the things I do think is important is, though -- and I know this instrument was required kind of quickly, and it has been implemented -- that we, you, use caution in making too many changes too fast. Let this instrument take effect and take hold and evaluate it as opposed to coming back very quickly.

Because I can tell you -- and it's not a

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

bad thing, but there was delays for us and in some other counties this year this summer when the schools came back in, in for us like implementing the status sharing pilot and some other things, because they had to go and train every school administrator. And in the big districts, it was a lot. And they just finished the training like in the last week.

So to now take it and change it again, I think you should let it bake for a while before you make any changes. Well, just throw that out.

All right. Number 6. This has to do with the portal or the integrated data repository. It was required by law and the responsible State agencies used their best efforts and fulfilled their obligations. However, the richest and most beneficial data to threat assessment process is housed in the myriad of local law enforcement, school, and mental health provider databases across Florida. It's not possible to include these very data — these various databases within the FSSP. It has limited value and should not be the sole source of school data used in a threat assessment. Chapters 9 and 10

2.2

2.3

2.4

review SESIR and the Integrated Data System in detail.

Anybody have anything on that one?

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I don't have anything on that one. But I wonder if we want to reword number 4, because it's that passive approach, again, to say some schools were asking a law enforcement officer to ratify a threat assessment after the fact, period. And then this is improper --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Sure.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- and inconsistent.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And make -- because -- yes, because it narrows it, and it wasn't like blanket across the board. So that's -- I think that's appropriate. That's a good point.

Some schools were asking a law enforcement officer to ratify a threat assessment after the fact, and that is improper and inconsistent.

There you go.

All right. Anything else on Chapter 8? Commissioner Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: This is -- so -- and this is just a summary of a -- this is just

Veritext Legal Solutions

1	a finding from what we put in the you know,
2	above the findings, the explanation.
3	It says: An outside auditor determined
4	that Cruz's botched threat assessment was merely
5	one example of a systemic problem executing
6	threat assessment
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Where where do
8	you is this a new recommend or new
9	finding, or are you reading from
LO	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: This is a finding
L1	that was from our you know, the Chapter 8
L2	that you wrote.
L3	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. So we're in
L <b>4</b>	Chapter 8, and we have right now, what we
L5	have, six findings in Chapter 8. Are you on
L6	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Correct.
L7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: one of those
L8	specific numbers?
L9	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I'm sorry?
20	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Are you talking about
21	one of the we have
22	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: No. No, no. This
23	is a new finding.
24	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So this is
5	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Veah I'm not

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So you're asking -you're asking us to consider this as a new
finding?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: John's going to put it up, but I'm going to read it. So it says: An outside auditor -- and this is just a summation. This is coming straight from the text earlier. I can find it at the beginning of Chapter 8.

It says: An outside auditor determined that Cruz's botched threat assessment was merely one example of a systemic problem executing threat assessments throughout Broward County Public Schools.

There it is.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

For example, 65 percent of the audited threat assessments' samples included exceptions and were incomplete. A.

B says: Not a single high-level threat assessment from any Broward County High School was completed properly.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Why are we -- we're going to repeat in the findings. These

Veritext Legal Solutions

are findings. So you're just asking for a complete repeat of what's in the text in the chapter.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

And look at number 1, it says that they had systemic failure. That's the finding. The Broward County Public Schools had systemic failure with its behavioral threat assessment process. I mean, I --

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- don't think we should be just taking everything that is in the chapter and repeating it in the findings.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Well, we've done that in other chapters, not --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: But to include all of this and here --

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. It just didn't mention anything about, you know, our RSM. It didn't mention anything about --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So we can -- so we can add it if you want. Look at number 1, is, is that based upon the determination by an outside consultant, outside auditor, or we could name RSM by name if you wanted to -- based in part, I should say, because it isn't totally, because

some of it's our work as well -- so based in part upon the determination by an outside consultant, comma, the Broward County schools had systemic failure. I don't -- I mean, that's a pretty strong characterization, and it was systemic failure.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So we can certainly add that if you want. By -- based in part by -- upon the determination by an outside consultant, the Broward County Public Schools had systemic failure.

So that to beef that up or strengthen it or do any -- there's anything you want added to that -- more to that?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I mean, I think C is important. Currently, there's no normalized process or follow-up to monitor the threat assessment process to assess the documents.

I think it's -- I think it's okay. I think what -- I think what you got -- I just -- I just wanted to do --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Because that's not true, because it says "currently."

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yeah. Well, we'd

COMMISSIONER DODD: Okay. Very good.

2.5

305-376-8800

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. So I was talking about anything else in findings in Chapter 8.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Okay. So let's move into recommendations.

So law enforcement and schools must work collaboratively to implement effective, meaningful, and timely threat assessment process using properly training personnel. Only threat assessment trained officers or deputies should participate on the threat assessment team, and patrol officers or deputies should never be dispatched to a school as a call for service to participate on a team.

Anybody have anything with that one?

Okay. Number 2: School behavioral threat assessment teams should have permanent members, including mental health practitioners, to ensure consistency in the process. Community -- this is where we get into this one, Commissioner Swearingen, we were talking about before -- Community-based mental health providers should also participate on threat assessment teams when they have unique knowledge of the person who is subject of the assessment.

You know, there is some concern, and I know

we talked about it and I know others in the schools have concern about this, maybe to -- and, Commissioner Larkin-Skinner, you had raised this -- maybe community-based mental health providers should participate, when feasible, when available, to the -- to the -- something along those lines.

I think we need to put some words in there if we're going to leave this here that provides flexibility, because it's not feasible in some places, and we don't want to hold up the threat assessment process and constrain it; but at the same time, if the community-based provider has relevant information, we also don't want some school somewhere excluding them from the process. So there just needs to be -- we need to strike a good balance with this somehow.

Chancellor Oliva, go ahead.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: I think one of the qualifiers that we had in the other section that helped with that is the community-based mental health providers that receive State funding, because that was a part of the input that you had where that there's -- those folks have screening requirements.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, you know, I mean, you know, you know, let's say you got someone and -- you know, maybe from the school perspective, you all speak up -- because, I mean, again, we've talked about this. You got community-based that provide -- community-based providers that receive State funding and largely DCF-funded providers, you got Medicaid providers, then you have private providers.

But I know as I sit here, you know, I'd suggest to you that if you have a private provider even that has relevant knowledge, I wouldn't want to see a school exclude that private provider that's willing to sit at the table and contribute. But at the same time, I don't think that we should compel it or we shouldn't hold up the process because either they're unavailable or they don't want to.

So maybe we say mental health providers should also participate on threat assessment teams -- what -- I --

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Couldn't we just take the language from 12? We took -- we crafted that language --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Was -- can you do

2.

2.2

1 that, John?

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: -- in Chapter 12.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Go back. Go back and grab it. There you go. Okay.

COMMISSIONER CARROLL: I think the way this is written is fine. If you just add after, on threat assessments, "if feasible, when they have unique knowledge," because then it doesn't make it mandatory.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. That's what we're just trying to do here. Okay. Require participate -- yeah, maybe this -- we don't -- maybe we don't need that Chapter 12 language.

Is that -- yeah, just -- school behavioral threat assessment teams should have permanent members, blah, blah, blah. Mental health -- I think we need to be clear on that, though, because now I'm reading it, it just say mental health providers. I think it should say non-school mental health providers should also participate on threat assessment teams.

What do we want to say? Where feasible?
Where available? Where -- I mean, what are -what are the -- what's the right modifier words

1	on that?
2	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Why don't you
3	just start it out by saying "If possible,
4	non-school"
5	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There you go.
6	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: "mental
7	health"
8	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.
9	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT:
10	"providers"
11	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There you go.
12	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: "shall
13	participate"?
14	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There you go. If
15	possible. There you go. That's works.
16	If possible, non-school mental health
17	providers should also participate on threat
18	assessment teams when they have unique knowledge
19	of the person who is the subject of the
20	assessment.
21	I think that's good. I believe that's
22	and that gives everybody the flexibility they
23	need. So it is not mandated, but it gives them
24	the ability to participate.

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

Anybody have any other changes on that one?

Secretary Marstiller.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: What I'd like to recommend probably shouldn't be included in this recommendation and might be -- and maybe should be a separate one.

My thought is -- and this is really an outgrowth of the case that we've been talking about earlier with the 14-year-old -- is that when threat assessments are being done on students who either are or have been DCF or DJJ involved, that representatives -- and I hate to be speaking for my sister agency here, but that representatives from whichever one of those agencies or both, as appropriate, should be participating on the threat assessment team, because I think from the team's perspective, there's more information that can be had from the agencies who have case management information.

On the other end of that, the fact that the threat assessment has been done helps our agencies make better decisions moving forward on these kids.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I think -- John, you don't need a new one. I think just go back to

the old one. I think you -- I think you can fit it right in here.

It says: If possible, non-school mental health providers and DJJ --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: DJJ and/or DCF.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- and DCF

representatives should also...

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I think you can put it right in here. I think that's a good point. I mean, because certainly with that kid, there were --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- a whole bunch of others that wouldn't have been called to the table that had information.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And as we're hopefully doing a better job of bringing the information to the threat assessment team, you know, previously they wouldn't even know that DJJ or DCF had extensive information, but now that we are going to be hopefully identifying that, they would have an indication in front of them. But they wouldn't have a representative from DCF or

DJJ to participate in the process, and that's what this --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Right.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

And so as my agency moves forward with this particular youth, the fact that the threat assessment has been done and it exists not only informs our decision-making but our recommendation to the court, because the court, in my mind --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yes.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: -- also needs to be aware that this has been done.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. Sure. Okay.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Anybody have any concerns with that? I think it's a great idea.

Okay. All right. So now we go over to number 3. In order to ensure that all statutorily required threat assessment team members meaningfully participate in the threat assessment process from beginning to end and that nobody, quote, "signs off" on a threat assessment after the fact, the legislature should amend this Florida statute to state that all statutorily required members of the threat

assessment team must be involved in the threat assessment process from start to finish.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: In that regard,
Sheriff, the only question I have is the -- is
the involved portion and does that potentially
leave it open to somebody not physically being
present but reviewing something after the fact.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You know, you know, if people want to manipulate the words, that goes back to like, you know, when they said "assigned to a campus," and you had people that went out -- and so if you can think a word than "involved," you could use "participate," you could use -- I don't know what the right word is. But, unfortunately, when people want to manipulate words, they're going to manipulate them.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: And that's the genesis of my concern. And my question is, is for -- maybe it's a comment -- for a valid threat assessment team evaluation to occur, everyone should be present.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So to state that all statutorily required members of the threat assessment team be present?

1	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Well, I think
2	that's probably more
3	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.
4	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: to be at the
5	very end. Instead of involved, have be present
6	in the involved portion.
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So you could say be
8	present and involved. Sad that we even have to
9	have that discussion. Really, it is. It's a
LO	sad commentary.
L1	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: It's just past
L2	actions are driving
L3	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I get it.
L4	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: driving that
L5	comment.
L6	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You're right. But
L7	it's pathetic that we even have to consider
L8	that, but we do, so
L9	All right. Anything else on that one?
20	Okay. Number 4: Because it's impossible
21	to include the information-rich local databases
22	in the Florida Safety School Portal, it's
23	imperative that local threat assessment teams
24	establish processes to ensure all relevant

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

information is obtained so that information

important to the threat assessment teams's decision-making process is not omitted. Law enforcement agencies should consider committing a dedicated analyst familiar with data gathering to conduct the research necessary for an effective threat assessment process.

Y'all okay with that? Like it? Don't like it? Okay with it? All right. Any changes to it?

All right. And then the last one is the legislature -- number 5 -- the legislature should amend Florida law to include a -- as a criminal offense any spoken word threat to conduct a mass shooting.

So just remember that prior to this Senate Bill 7026, the law in Florida was is that if you wrote a threat is that it contained what became shorthanded as the transmission requirement. So if you wrote a threat, "I'm going to shoot up the school, I am going to do something bad to you," is that you could write it on the bathroom wall, you could write it in a notebook, you could write it someplace, but unless you transmitted it -- so you could pull up the e-mail and write it, but unless you push Send,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

it wasn't a crime.

2.2

2.3

So in 7026, the legislature removed the transmission requirement. So if somebody walks into the bathroom at the local elementary school and writes "I'm going to shoot up this school," it's now a crime. If somebody does -- at least my view -- what we heard yesterday from the major from Baker County and writes in a composition notebook his plan to shoot up the school, it is a second-degree felony. It violates Florida law.

But what it stopped short of doing and what we are seeing across the state -- I know

Commissioner Dodd's had this in Citrus County and a whole bunch of us have seen this in a myriad of places around the state -- is we see situations occurring where we have these verbal threats.

So to give you an example is that somebody calls the local middle school and they say on the phone "I'm going to blow up the middle school, I'm going to plant a bomb, I'm going to blow up the school" or they call the mall and say "I'm going to shoot up" -- or "I'm going to blow up the mall," then that is a crime in

800-726-7007

305-376-8800

Florida for making that verbal threat to place a destructive device. That's a crime, it's a felony, has been for a long time.

But if you call the same school where you said, "I'm going to plant a bomb and blow up the school" and you say verbally "I'm going to shoot up the school, "that's not a crime in Florida, and that's the problem we're running into with these verbal threats.

So this proposal is to amend that same statute, the same statute that deals with threatening to place a destructive device, threatening to place an explosive device. And under the case law which has developed under that statute is it has to be intended to cause So that statute has been litigated. been upheld by the appellate courts. And so we're just suggesting adding to that statute that if you threaten a mass shooting, a terrorist type event, that it would be illegal to make that verbal threat.

I can tell you that the Florida Sheriffs Association -- so I think this is important for this commission to consider this and if the will of the group here is to adopt this as a

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

recommendation, I can tell you the Florida

Sheriffs Association has already adopted this as
a priority for the association this year in our
legislative agenda.

And, in fact, a bill in the House has already been filed by Representative Massullo out of Citrus County to implement this. So it's already in the works. It's on that path. But I think that it would also be good to have the support of this commission to support that bill.

Does anybody -- go ahead.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

VICE-CHAIRMAN LYSTAD: I assume this will apply also in Baker County.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, it should apply in Baker County, unless somebody disagrees.

COMMISSIONER DODD: I'd just like to take a second just to explain to the commission what we face in Citrus County.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Sure.

COMMISSIONER DODD: And, of course, we're a small county, but it was front page news on our Citrus County Chronicle, and it was very concerning to our community. We had a 34-year-old male who was upset with the government and was leaving court and made a

threat to shoot up a local elementary school that's adjacent to a high school. And the individual was in custody, and he was on his way to the jail. And then he mentioned to another deputy as we -- they drove by the area that this is what he wanted to do. And then there were other conversations that he had made. And there was a lot of issues with -- his psychologist had thrown up some red flags issue with -- talked about being psychotic. This was all published in our newspaper. The school district decided to obviously alert all the parents at both the primary school and the high school of the incident.

And what was concerning obviously, too, was that there were no charges filed because under the current law, it was not a crime. And so verbal threats are not covered under the law. I appreciate page 90 -- I'm sorry -- page 97 gave a good description of the verbal threat issue. But, you know, considering the world we live in today, whether it's submitted electronically or if it's verbalized, we need to be able to have the ability to address that as a crime and give the -- give the authorities the ability to make

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 an arrest.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So that's what took place in our county, and I appreciate this backing up. Our Representative Ralph Massullo is working on it with the Florida Sheriffs Association, so it's on a lot of radar, a lot of individuals' radar, but it is important.

> CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I just wanted to add we've experienced something similar in my county. A gentleman was going to blow up his It was verbal. The FBI got involved. work. got Baker Acted.

> CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Go figure.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And part of it was because they were trying to gather evidence, you know, be able to arrest him. think anything to stop people from this nonsense is important.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Good. All right. So we'll -- if everybody's good, we'll keep that as a recommendation.

And Commissioner Swearingen.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: One question, Bob. Just knowing how the judge focused on

semantics in the Baker County case, and we address spoken word here, do we want to expand that so that she can't -- this was a written threat, so if you played semantics and he didn't speak it to somebody, just throwing -- playing devil advocate's here because we know they'll use semantics.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So what do you -- what do you --

SHERIFF JUDD: Sign language.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: It's awful to have this conversation, but whether it's spoken, written, electronic.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. And the bill's already drafted. I think we captured it pretty well in the bill.

Go ahead.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: That's my point, is that, you know, we ought to somehow prioritize this, though, because this is a very large problem.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: And it causes law enforcement -- and you know this -- to run around and try to figure out some other way

1 | to --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: -- to make criminal responsibility for the actions of the person making the statements. And it's not just kids. It's older people sometimes that --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It is.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: -- are mentally a little bit off. And, you know, this needs to be something that needs to be acted on for sure in this legislative session to fix it.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yep.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: So I don't know.

It just kind of trails down here by itself in a little bit of a statement. I don't know how you could highlight it and move it up to the top.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, we could, I mean, and I don't have any problem with it at all. It is extremely important, is, is that you can take this -- take this as Recommendation 5, move it up to Recommendation 1. It would highlight it as people look at this chapter. We could just swap it and move it up to the first recommendation. Because it is a big problem.

While you do that, John, just take it and

1	move it up to 1.
2	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: It should be a
3	legislative priority to amend
4	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yep.
5	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: for this
6	year.
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It should be so and
8	then change it to it should a priority for the
9	Florida Legislature to
10	SHERIFF JUDD: Communicate any threat, I
11	mean, of a mass shooting. Just say communicate.
12	So, I mean, communicate means written, verbal,
13	sign language.
14	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So it should be a
15	priority case should be a priority for the
16	Florida Legislature to amend it should be
17	priority for the legislature to amend Florida
18	law to include as a criminal offense any
19	what any communication?
20	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Well, spoken.
21	You start that communication now, then you're
22	getting into what Commissioner Swearingen talked
23	about.
24	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Can't you make it

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

broad and just say any type of threat?

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: To make any kind of a threat. Because then they're going to say how do you communicate. You going to have to be telling it to somebody or --

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: But, you know, there are a lot of those nonverbal things where you have the kid in the classroom who makes a gun out of his finger or whatever and does something. And if it's just simply broad and include as a criminal offense any type of threat to conduct a mass shooting or so on...

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. I don't know. Go ahead, Judd.

SHERIFF JUDD: We're just trying to tweak the law that's already there, and this seems like it would be a slow pitch. But we tried this when we originally -- when the governor originally called us all together for the formation of all of this, and it didn't go anyplace. And we were trying to tell them then that why do we have to write it and deliver it. If you write it or say it and show up tomorrow and shoot somebody and then we're standing there saying, "Well, you didn't deliver it, so we didn't do anything yesterday." That's insanity.

2.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So let me just tell you, so maybe this will help, because this is the bill that Representative Massullo has filed. It is filed in the House, and it's an amendment to 790.162, and it says here: Threats involving a firearm, weapon, or destructive device. It is unlawful for any person to threaten the use of a firearm or any weapon or to throw, project, place, or discharge any destructive device with the intent to do bodily harm to any person or with the intent to do damage to any property of any person.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So I think -- I think the bill gets it.

The bill is right. We got it right. And we gave this language to Representative Massullo.

So I think that we are doing -- I was focused on the bill, and I -- we didn't probably get it as artfully as we should have in the recommendation, but I think that the bill covers what you're talking about.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Should we reference the bill then rather than trying to re-create the language?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: We could do that. We should. And maybe -- we can certainly do that.

1	It should be a priority for the Florida
2	Legislature to amend Florida law you know, we
3	can come up with it and then just reference
4	instead of getting into wordsmithing this, and
5	then we can just come up with referencing this
6	house bill number as filed by Representative
7	Massullo and then do it that way.
8	COMMISSIONER PETTY: If he's already got it
9	right, then
LO	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.
L1	COMMISSIONER PETTY: probably best to
L2	reference it.
L3	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. So
L <b>4</b>	everybody good with doing it that way as opposed
L5	to sitting here trying to get that to conform to
L6	this? Since I think this is good.
L7	Okay. We'll just do that.
L8	John, just make a note of it in there, and
L9	then as we revise this for the final report,
20	we'll get the right language in there that are
21	references it.
22	Okay. All right. Anything else in Chapter
23	8?
24	If not, we'll move on to Chapter 9. So

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

Chapter 9, SESIR. In the narrative portion of

it, before we get to the findings on page 108, 1 does anybody have any revisions to the fact 3 section of Chapter9? DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: I have just a 4 5 quick one. On your table on page 104, the 6 fighting, you have Duval County, I don't think your percentage of incidents reported to LE is correct. You have 3844 as the SESIR incidents. 8 9 38 through -- 34 is reported to law enforcement, 10 but the percentage is only .2 percent. I think 11 it's close to 99 percent. 12 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. We take a look 13 at that? That's in the chart? 14 DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Yes, sir. 15 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. Okay. We made 16 note of that. Thank you. We'll make that 17 correction. 18 CHANCELLOR OLIVA: We noted that as well 19 and we were planning on --20 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Did you? Okay. 21 CHANCELLOR OLIVA: -- telling the team. 2.2 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Thanks.

Veritext Legal Solutions

All right. So we'll go over now to page

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

Appreciate you pointing that out.

108 as far as the findings.

23

2.4

1 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Sheriff?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: The first finding,

3 | number 1 --

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Sheriff?

5 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Can I just ask you one question? And that is the, you know, we gave the Commissioner of Education the authority to withhold the superintendent's salary. Is there anything more that we should be doing to give them more authority concerning this, or does he -- does he have enough --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: -- authority to make sure that --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You know, as we know right now, that the SESIR reporting is required three times a year by the districts. It now has a provision in the law that the superintendent is accountable and responsible for ensuring the timely and accurate submission of the SESIR reports. It provides the Commissioner of Education the authority to direct the school board to withhold the superintendent's salary if those reports are not submitted accurately and

timely.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I think that we need to let it take hold and take effect and see what happens and look at the most recent reports and the next. And when we meet again, we look at it. I don't think we should do any -- or need to do anything. I would expect that the -- that we'll get a hundred percent compliance and hope that we do, and we don't need to worry about it.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Do we have any data since we passed this?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: No, not yet?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Is that the next reporting is due this month, and so we need to wait and see what they report. And I know that there is a -- also -- and Chancellor Oliva can speak to this, if you -- if you know, but I believe that the -- there's a work group that's been put together between the Department, I know, and the superintendents association, or at a minimum, I know the superintendents association has a work group that's dedicated to

1 this topic. So they got the message.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

And I know that there's commitment on the part of the Department, I know there's commitment on the part of the superintendents to fix this, so we need to let them take it and fix it. And then we'll come back and look at it, and, of course, what we expect and hope and we all want is, is that it's right and we have a hundred percent compliance and we have accurate data.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: When are they supposed to report SESIR?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It's three times a year. Is there's one in October, I believe April, and I forgot what the third month is. It's three times a year that it's reported. So there's another one coming up now. And I think by -- we'll have two cycles by the time we meet again, should have two cycles, so that we'll be able to look at this current cycle, the next cycle, look at the reports. So before we meet again, we should have a good picture as to whether there's compliance.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: In the last meeting we talked about how the Office of Safe

Schools was hiring 10 auditors. Would they be the ones that are going to be looking to make sure that this is accurate?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, I know that the Office of Safe Schools was authorized by the legislature for additional positions. I know that they're in the process of hiring 10 people for the field, etcetera, and filling other positions. They had — up to this point, they only had one person. Remember, there was only one person forever in the Department of Education that had anything to do with the training and the oversight of SESIR. So I know they're in the process of building that up and making it more robust.

I don't know if you want to add anything to that, Chancellor Oliva, or not.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: That's correct. So some of those 10 positions have been filled, and some people are being onboarded by the -- by next month that team should be in place. But that -- some of those folks will be housed in Tallahassee and some of them will be housed regionally. But part of their tasks will be to go into schools and look at SESIR, FSSAT

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

compliance with all of the requirements with safe schools.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Is there anything else you think this commission should be doing to help you?

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: I think to go back to
Chair's point about the work group revisiting
SESIR, the codes and definitions, that that's -it's almost the same group that's been looking
at the other tools that includes the Office of
Safe Schools to school safety specialists. They
have another meeting coming up in December, and
I'm tracking the progress they're making. If
some of those recommendations require
legislative fixes, this may be a good platform
to support their recommendations.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yep. Sounds good.

So we'll just wait and see. And we'll have two cycles by the time we meet again, and we'll also have an opportunity for this work group to meet and make any recommendations and then we can help facilitate anything that they need help with at that point.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Great.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So let's look at the

recommendations on page 108. You know, the first one is -- under the findings, I'm sorry, on page 108, that there's been underreporting, nonreporting, and overreporting of SESIR incidents by school districts across Florida.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I think the facts bear that out. I don't think that needs any change unless anybody sees anything they want to revise.

Number 2: The misreporting is the product of definitional ambiguity, misinterpretation of, and confusion over the reporting guidelines, inadequately trained personnel tasked with compiling SESIR data, and the lack of accountability in the reporting process.

Anybody have anything on that one?

So moving over to recommendations. SESIR guidelines should be changed to eliminate confusion over what incidents require -- all right. This is where this whole thing starts getting all over the board, very divergent, and just messes everybody up about this whole consultation with law enforcement versus what is required to be reported.

It's so nuanced, but it is so important, because it takes you down different courses and

different paths.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So SESIR guidelines should be changed to eliminate confusion over what incidents require consultation with law enforcement versus incidents that are required to be reported to law enforcement. Any required action should be tracked and reported so that compliance can be measured. If there is requirement consultation, then that should be documented and report.

Remember now, consultation -- and this is why we can't tell whether there's compliance, because consultation could be passing you in the hallway and, hey, what do you think about X, and it doesn't require that there be any reporting of that or any documentation that it occurred. So that's a big problem.

If an incident is required to be reported, then whether the official report was generated by the officer should be documented. Officer discretion is important. So this is not a recommendation to mandate that officers take action, only that if they are required to be told under SESIR that the result be reported.

So we'd -- again, we don't want to take away the discretion, and we're not saying that

if a cop comes in contact with a kid and he's got, you know, half a joint of marijuana that he no longer has discretion about what to do that. That's not what this is saying. But if it is required that it be reported, then there should be some documentation of it.

So does anybody have anything with recommendation number 1 you want to change?

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I don't know about changing, Sheriff, but from everything we've discussed about this, trying to identify the purpose and goal of SESIR data, it keeps escaping me on if there's nothing attached to that purpose and prioritizing or identifying a problem area or whatever, how do you hold somebody accountable for reporting or underreporting or nonreporting if there's no goal attached or purpose attached to the SESIR data to begin with?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, the way I understand is, is that it's not an option because it's required under federal law that they -- that this be -- they have to do it in some fashion. You know, is there -- but -- and also remember that the SESIR incident categories

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

are broader than just crimes. So if it's done right, I do think it serves a purpose. I think it gives all of us in the community and public as they're looking at schools for their kids, etcetera, does give a snapshot of what is occurring or not occurring in various school campuses.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So I don't think it's -- it's definitely not just a duplication of UCR reporting or crime reporting because there are other things that are required to be reported, you know, but it's got to be done right. And when it hasn't been done right, it is totally useless. It's total -- been totally useless, and it doesn't give you any accurate picture, so -- but I don't think it's an option to not do it.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I guess I'm looking more towards the incentives. What is the incentive for these folks to get it right?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, right now is, is that as a result of 7030 this year, there's a huge incentive because the superintendent doesn't want to lose his salary, and the commissioner of education can direct the school board to withhold the superintendent's salary if

it's not timely and accurate.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So, you know, I would be shocked, but I've been shocked before, I'd be shocked is that if this -- in this next iteration of reporting, given that this will be the first time that we're, in this state, under the authority of the commissioner to direct the school board, not ask, but direct them to withhold the superintendent's salary, I'd be shock if we don't have accurate and timely reporting.

Okay. And, you know, I don't speak for him, but I know him, and anybody who thinks that this commissioner won't exercise that authority is kidding themselves, because he will and he should.

Commissioner Schachter.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: In regard to
Commissioner Ashley's comments, I think that in
the United States we -- the reason the school
shootings continue to happen is I think it's
that mindset that most of America has that it's
not going to happen here. I know that Parkland
had that mindset. And the mindset is fostered
by, number one, Parkland was rated the 15th
safest place to live in this country several

800-726-7007

days prior to the tragedy; and, number two, schools inherently do not report violence on their campus. They underreport violence on their campus. And if we knew, had accurate data, that this will hopefully provide and tell us what's happening on campus, I think that the parents and community members would be outraged and they would demand change.

So I think it's critical that SESIR is reported accurately so we know what's happening on campus.

And then, lastly, we know that -- so US Secret Service is going to come out with their new school attack study for the first time since 2004. It's going to analyze -- it's going to look -- do what we did and look at all the attacks on K through 12, but it's going to go even a lot more depth. It's going to identify commonalities. And I think what we're going to see is that a lot of these school mass murderers were bullied. Well, if we can identify that ahead of time through SESIR, hopefully we'll be able to stop school mass murder, we'll be able to reduce suicide on campus, and we know that suicide is the homicide issue. So if we can

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

stop the suicide, we can stop the homicide as well.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. So let's go to recommendation number 2. To ensure proper reporting, SESIR guidelines should require the gathering and reporting of law enforcement data, including the date, time of law enforcement notification, and name of the officer who is notified. If a case report incident number is generated, that should be included in the data gathered through SESIR reporting.

Again, this just goes to the issue of when it's required to be reported, that there is appropriate documentation of it.

Does anybody have anything on that one?

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I just have a question. I'm assuming since we put that in here, that is not currently a requirement.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay. My second question is -- and maybe we went over this, but I don't remember -- are charter schools also required to do this? Because if not, they should be, and that should be --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, I believe they

1 | are.

schools.

schools.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- one of our recommendations.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. I mean, just I got to think about that for a second, but -
SHERIFF JUDD: Charter schools are public

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, they're public

They are. Yes, correct, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER STEWART: There was a portion of law that charter schools do no fall subject to, but it -- they fall subject to all laws related to school safety.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. And that was changed actually -- well, it wasn't just changed, but yes, because I had to think about it for a second, but it was clarified.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There's a -- there was a portion of 7030 that made clear -- because there was questions being raised about the FSSAT about the safe school officers, about all this, and they took -- the legislature took, in 7030, that took all of this related to school safety and all these various statute numbers and

specifically said in there that it's applicable to charters. So the answer is yes.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I just wanted to be sure. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. So -- okay.

So number 3: The two groups of SESIR data which are expected to include consultation with law enforcement, which there's 21 and those which may not need to include consultation with law enforcement, which there's 5, require clearer direction to school faculty.

Again, this is very confusing to everybody.

The category that includes the 21 more severe incidents should direct that staff will notify law enforcement and that the less severe incidents may not need to include notification.

So is this a recommendation to provide some clarity to this, the -- remove ambiguity to remove confusion because of this whole thing now about consultation and reporting. So the recommendation here is, is that it be changed so that staff are explicitly told, as opposed to reporting in consultation as to 21 is, is that you will notify law enforcement. As to these 5 is that it may not need to include notification

to law enforcement. So it's just a way to try and clear up some of this ambiguity.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So whatever y'all think. We can modify this, we can leave it, we can get rid of it and let somebody else figure out how to clear up the ambiguity. It's just trying to provide a suggestion as a way -- ultimately, somebody else is going to make the decision on this. It's probably going to come out of that work group that is being put together. And ultimately, I think the Department has the authority -- this doesn't require legislative action -- I believe the Department has authority to amend these definitions.

So what do y'all think on 3? You want to leave it, change it, get rid of it?

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: I think you should leave it. I mean, this whole SESIR thing is so screwed up with the definitions of battery and physical attack don't even mirror what -- I mean, it makes no sense. I don't know who the person as that wrote this originally, but they sure as heck didn't know much about the law.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It's all -- a lot of it's circular.

1 CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Yeah.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You walk away from the SESIR stuff just with your head spinning, and if we're doing that, think about what the school staff is doing.

Do you have any issues with that, Chancellor?

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: No. I think that this is timely for the work group to get the input of the commission so --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: -- that we can incorporate that in their work.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. All right.

Number 4: As currently defined within SESIR,

acts of violence against another could be

classified either as a battery or physical

attack or fighting. These categories should be

consolidated to two categories which closely

mirror the criminal definition of battery and

aggravated battery. Both of these categories

should fall under the category that mandates

staff to notify law enforcement.

Anybody have any concerns with that one?

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: You can make the

first category broad, which is the fighting.

Then you can make the second category battery,

which is illegal touching. And then the third

category is aggravated battery.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Because the first category doesn't get reported.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: That's just a school fight, so...

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right, right. It is, is just that to provide something to them. They can figure it out exactly, so...

All right. So we're done with Chapter 9, so -- unless anybody's got anything else. All right. So we already did 12, so we're going to get -- we've got 10, 11, 13 to go. 10 has a lot in it, a lot of stuff in it as far as the findings are concerned.

And so what I suggest we do at this point, it's noon now, lunch is available, if it's all right with everybody, what I suggest we do is, is that we keep it to -- I think we can get through this in fairly short order, but if we keep this break to a tight 30 minutes, just get

it and come back even if you want to eat in here, keep it a tight 30 minutes, we'll start again at 12:30. I think we can probably wrap the rest of it -- when we come back, I think we can probably wrap this up in about an hour when we come back.

Does that work for everybody?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

p.m.)

Okay. So we'll just break for -- it's noon now. We'll start again right at 12:30, and then we'll go to Chapter 10, then 11 and 13, and whatever other business you want to discuss, and then we'll adjourn.

All right. So we'll see you at 12:30.

(Luncheon recess from 12:01 p.m. to 12:37)

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. We'll go ahead and get started again. We're on Chapter 10, which is the Integrated Data Systems and Social Media Monitoring.

Does anybody have any changes to the facts section, the narrative section, in Chapter 10?

Okay. All right. So we'll move to, in the report, page 116 and begin with the findings.

The first one is that the creation of a true centralized integrated data repository requires

that legal authority and the technological capability exists to combine a plethora of data sources and put them in one place, and such authority and resources do not exist.

Anybody have anything on that?

Number 2: The Florida safety school porter -- portal is not a centralized integrated data repository that allows for a unified query capable of searching all relevant data, and in parentheses, named data sets as well as the data that is within each of the 67 counties various data systems, in one place. This is impossible given the legal and technological limitations that exist.

So anything on Finding Number 2 that anybody has, any changes, modifications?

So number 3: SESIR FortifyFlorida, and social media data that can be queried by all members of the threat assessment team, comma, as they are all granted system privileges as school officials.

So SESIR, FortifyFlorida, and social media data can be queried by all members of the threat assessment team.

On that one?

25

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

All right. Number 4: Other than the FortifyFlorida and social media data in the portal, members of the threat assessment teams will only have access to data from systems that they already have access to as education, law enforcement, or mental health professionals.

Any changes on Finding 4?

Social Media Monitoring tool is not monitored live or in real time and has limitations in what it can capture and monitor.

Anything on that one?

Number 6: E-mail notifications are only available to agencies using the tool who have worked with the vendor to configure their e-mail addresses.

So on number 6, though, John, we need to say in there "E-mail notifications from the Social Media Monitoring Tool," to be clear what that is. I think we need to be clear with that. "E-mail notifications from the Social Media Monitoring tool are only available...," etcetera.

Other than that, does anybody have anything on that one?

VICE-CHAIRMAN LYSTAD: Can you combine 6

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.3

2.4

1 and 5?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, we could. Yeah.

Want to try and combine those, 6 and -- 6 and 5?

So you can say "The Social Media Monitoring was not monitored in real time. It has

limitations in what it can capture and monitor."

And then you just -- you don't need to add

the -- you can just say "E-Mail notifications

are only available to agencies...," you can take

out from "The Social Media Monitoring tool..."

then with that combination.

Mr. Petty.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: This may be a question, and I'm not sure if I saw it in here. But, I mean, even we use the term "real time" has a specific meaning, and e-mail's not always monitored, so depending on where that e-mail's going...

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, Social -- so "Social Media Monitoring tool is not monitored live or in real time..."

So as all of this -- let's say you have a key word such as "shoot" --

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- and "Citrus High

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

School." Is there's nobody sitting anyplace, and as that hits on "shoot" and "Citrus High School" is that nobody's sitting there seeing that.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Right.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So what you have do is you'd have to ask the system administrator to send you an e-mail if you got hits on what you have put into the system.

So e-mail notification, so you can go in and query that. So if somebody checks it once every three days, you may have had three days ago "shoot" and "Citrus High School." But unless you configure it and ask them to configure it so that somebody in the district is getting e-mail notifications, they're only available to agencies using the tool have worked to configure their e-mail addresses, so if everything that you have put in there that you want hits on, you have to configure it so these 5 people, these 10 people or whoever get the notifications of that.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Yeah. So I understand that's a limitation. But even the recipient on the recipient's side, depending on whether or

1	not the e-mail address at the agency is a
2	monitored e-mail address, you know, sometimes
3	they
4	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Oh, so that's
5	COMMISSIONER PETTY: sometimes I go
6	several hours
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. So yes.
8	COMMISSIONER PETTY: ignoring my e-mail.
9	Right?
10	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I mean, that's right,
11	too, is, is that somebody the e-mail could be
12	sent, but unless somebody's monitoring their
13	e-mail, that's correct. Then there could be a
14	delay in them getting it if they don't have the
15	e-mail configured so that they get it on a
16	mobile device, etcetera, right away.
17	COMMISSIONER PETTY: Or a group of people
18	or somebody assigned to take action on it in a
19	near real-time basis. Otherwise, it's just an
20	e-mail that sits in an in-box.
21	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So you want to add
22	something there that talks about is that what
23	you're saying, you want to add something in
24	there?

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

COMMISSIONER PETTY: I think so. Somebody

needs to receive the message and act on it in addition.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So, you know, we could deal with that in the recommendations. Just remember, just bring it up here in a couple minutes.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: If I remember.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

Yes, go ahead.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Do you want to put anything in there about the portal, especially on our side of the house, it's not real time -- it's not real time either. In fact, it may be a couple months behind, if not more.

So you have on number 5, you know, the monitoring -- the tool is not monitored live or in real time. Well, neither is the data that probably a lot of us are submitting to it.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So you talk -- what -- so from a DCF standpoint, what is -- what is accessible? Tell me what are you thinking about that would be accessible? Because it requires a link, you know, from the portal. All it is is a link, and only the mental health providers that

have access to it can access it anyway.

2.2

2.4

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Right. So it -then that's part of what we're trying to think
about, how we can incorporate more real-time
data into this threat assessment process and
into this repository, because the -- you know,
if you think about what we're putting into it,
so it's only the exams and it's not current
information either, a live information.

And I don't know that you want to put anything in there. It's just something to throw out for folks to know.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. I'm just looking here and trying to figure this out where -- it probably needs a -- yeah, we combined 5 and 6, but it probably needs a different finding, because I don't see how we should incorporate that in with the social media.

We could -- just do a new one, John, a new 6.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Or do you want to just put it in number 2? The Florida Safe School Safety Portal is not a centralized or real time integrated date repository.

1 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. We can do that.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SERGEANT SUESS: Sheriff, Donna's looking at Finding 14 and saying it's relevant to this discussion current.

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Yep.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Why don't we -- we can do that at 14. Okay. We'll just -- when we get to 14, we'll cover it there. Okay.

All right. So we're at 7: Social Media Monitoring Tool does not include all social media sites; however, new sites can be added.

So that's pretty self-explanatory.

Number 8: Social media searches within the portal do not allow you to query a student's username, which is rarely known, only the student's true name.

Number 9: School districts are required to work with a vendor to input school-specific terminology such as abbreviated names and slang to optimize the social media searches. The school name is only -- is the only default key word that is used for threat detection.

Anybody on any of those last three?

Number 10: The tool will detect threats
made inside the geo-fence, but threats must

Veritext Legal Solutions

include the school specific key words to be detected outside of the geo-fence.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Number 11: The location and identity, which is the username, of the individual making the threat is not recorded unless the threat is made inside the school's geo-fence.

Number 12: Statewide FortifyFlorida data can be queried via the portal, but the percentage of people using this tool versus the school population is still low. As we know, since the August 2019 commission meeting, there has been an uptick in the use of the school based -- or the tool based on the requirements in 7030 to put FortifyFlorida on school-issued devices and websites.

So, yeah, we know that that problem is what it talks about. FortifyFlorida is one reporting platform, but many districts have others, like Sandy Hook Promise, etcetera, and some were promoting more of those other platforms than FortifyFlorida. Now the law requires that they promote FortifyFlorida and, again, that they be installed on these school-issued devices. So there has been some uptick.

Number 13: SESIR data is part of the

system, but only updated three times a year, and the commission has previously established that it, meaning SESIR, has significant data quality issues.

Anything on that one?

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

We go into -- now, this is number 14 where we can add this. Some mental health data is available through the portal, but is only accessible by mental health professionals. portal adds nothing new to anyone's ability to obtain information.

So do you want to say in here that the data is not -- the data -- the mental health data available through the portal is not real-time data or something to that effect?

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Yeah. There you could just add "but is only accessible by mental health professionals and is not real-time data."

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It is not what?

DEPUTY SECRETARY BABCOCK: Real-time data.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Real-time data. That'll work.

Okay. 15: Law enforcement data, while accessible on CJNET, it is not accessible within the portal due to legal constraints. Other than

the statewide data sharing system, which is called LInX, and the Florida Crime Information Center, there is no integration of law enforcement data.

Anything there?

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.2

There are three pillars of behavioral threat assessment management: Identify, assess, and manage. Collecting and analyzing information to conduct a threat assessment is important; however, the challenge will continue to be managing the threat.

Anything on that that one? Okay. So --

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Just an observation.

I don't know if it rises to the level of a

finding. But I think, you know, the legislative

intent -- and it's called out in our report --

in 7026 was that everybody get together,

integrated data, no silos, sharing of

information in as near real time as possible.

That was the intent of all of this.

23 | CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

24 COMMISSIONER PETTY: And while I think all

of our findings are absolutely a hundred percent

spot on and accurate, I'm wondering if we should make a finding or not, at least call out as an observation, that the intent of 7026 is still --we're not seeing the forest for the trees to a certain extent. I don't know that there's a fix. It -- very clearly, we call out the problem of technology, we call out the problem of access, we call out the problem of data not being real time. I don't know that there are fixes that we could recommend as I sit here right now, but this is a massive problem that, you know, unless there's some other, I would guess, legislative activity or some other action, this isn't going to -- this isn't going to get any better.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, I don't -- and I don't think that there's any legislative action that can fix this. I think a couple things.

One, in a previous chapter, remember that we said and made a finding that those within the State government that were tasked with doing this fulfilled the ask and their obligation.

And in some respects they were asked to do something that's impossible to do and they were asked to do the impossible, but they did the

2.2

2.3

2.4

best they could with the requirement that they were given.

And as we have seen, trying to do this is impossible because there is so much diverse data that is primarily at the local level, and that's where the richest information is, the most timely information is.

And you can look at counties such as Broward where they have at least eight different records management systems just for law enforcement and then you've got legal constraints on individuals who can access certain information.

So it's the right thing to do, but it's impossible to do. And this is where at least I come back to is, is that the best solution is to have in every community an identification of those myriad of data resources, law enforcement, in our cases school and mental health.

And as we look at -- I know as Commissioner Swearingen and FDLE looks at it that way, their mandate outside of the schools about the statewide threat assessment process, it's the same thing, is, is that even if it's not a student in school is, is you got to have

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

somebody in that community as it relates to that person that can go to the plethora of sources and draw it in, but it's no way that you're going to be able to consolidate the plethora of sources. And when you look at -- as I said, just in our county for law enforcement, it's 18 different databases. Then you include the schools and there are five, six, seven. Then you look at mental health and there is a laundry list.

So really the only way to do this and to minimize the effect of the silos -- because you can't get away from the silos, you can minimize the effect of them by having a comprehensive process that makes sure that everybody knows what's out there and you glean it. That's really the only way to do this. There's nothing for the legislature to do in this. There's no -- there's no one-button fix.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Yeah. I tend to be a an optimist, particularly with regards to technology. I mean, I can get on my computer and my phone and almost anywhere and type a search term in and have a set of results that are customized to me based on things that I've

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

done in the past within a matter of milliseconds.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

It's certainly a large information systems problem. I don't know if we're ready to tackle it. I don't know if it's a legislative issue. It seems like it's feasible. It would take a lot of work, a lot of effort, a lot of dollars.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Oh, no question. You know, I mean --

COMMISSIONER PETTY: The question is should we do it.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- you know, maybe in that high-level, to some degree, pie in the sky. I mean, it's probably -- it probably is doable. But is it realistically doable, and is it affordable? Those are two different questions.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So, you know, you probably are correct that if there was unlimited resources and you could bring everything together. But is it realistically doable, especially in the near future? I don't think so. I don't see how this can happen.

I mean, we're trying to now -- and we'll get to it here in a minute in the next chapter

with juvenile diversion. And the secretary is taking great strides and making great efforts just to give law enforcement access through FCIC to juvenile diversion information and criminal history information. And there's discussions between the Department and FDLE in trying to integrate their data into FCIC, but -- and I'm not sure what it is, but I know there's a fiscal on that that is not insignificant. And that's just one system.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So it's massive. It's just a massive undertaking, that putting in a bill and saying to -- and they're well-intended. I -- absolutely no criticism of it at all. It was trying to get it to a better place in very short order, but it hadn't been fully vetted as to the ability to do that and say, okay, let's just create this integrated data repository. And then when they got into it, it was, oh, my God, this is just -- it's too much and you can't do it. Then you -- and then you run into not just the technological which bring fiscal impediments, but the legal impediments to it. So, again, they did the best they could.

I don't see anything here to recommend. If

305-376-8800

anybody else does or you want to bring something up, you know, we can certainly consider it. But I think at this -- at this juncture the best approach is for everybody to realize that you need to do a better job of collecting all the information.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Yeah. I don't have a specific one. Perhaps it would be that as people take a look at these systems in the future and they -- and they become obsolete or there's technological enhancements or new versions that come out that they look for opportunities to open up their what are called APIs or application programming interfaces so that others can have -- more easily have access to data. That would be -- that would be a technology solution. But, again, the legal barriers and the financial barriers still exist.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. All right. So let's go on here to page 119 for the next section which deals with the local data wide sharing. So the finding -- the next finding is Section 2.

Finding 1 is, is that to ensure that all available -- this goes along with what we're

800-726-7007

just saying -- to ensure that all available data is collected for a threat assessment, agencies should develop a checklist of systems to search and designate the appropriate personnel who are trained and have knowledge of those systems.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And that's exactly what we have just said.

Anything on that one?

Okay. Number 2: The average officer on the street and the average school resource officer do not have the knowledge, the ability or the time or access to do this type of analytical work.

So now we move into recommendations.

The first one -- the first recommendation for Chapter 10 is, is to manage expectations and eliminate false expectations. Threat assessment teams need to be educated to understand the limitations of the portal and its capabilities.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Mr. Chair, just back to the Finding Number 1, it's written in that way that we've changed in some others where we're not actually making a statement that there's like what's wrong.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So Finding Number 1 there is, again, what's the...?

Veritext Legal Solutions

1	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: You could probably
2	say "Agencies do not have a checklist of systems
3	to search"
4	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.
5	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: "and
6	designate"
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I gotcha.
8	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: "in order to
9	ensure that all available data are collected."
10	Just move some phrases around.
11	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. So it's more of
12	a finding?
13	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Yeah.
14	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. Okay.
15	So, John, why don't we yeah, why don't
16	we tweak that? Go ahead.
17	That's a good way to do it, is, is that
18	yeah, that's fine.
19	Agencies do not have a checklist of systems
20	to search and designate so agencies do not
21	have a checklist of systems to search and have
22	not designated the appropriate personnel who are
23	trained
24	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah.
25	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, you got to

we're going to have to play with that one. But I get the point. Okay. Just that one's -- we're just going -- we just need to rework the wording in it. We'll take care of that, because I get the -- the gist of it needs to be more of a finding, so we can work through that one.

All right. So we're back into Recommendation 1.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Recommendation 2: Agencies should consider a dedicated research component that supports the threat assessment teams to ensure comprehensive data is acquired and available to the team.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And the only question I have is are we specifically talking about law enforcement agencies? If we are, we might want say that.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You know, I don't think we are.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It wasn't my -- is there? Because I say agencies is, is that because you have, again, different disciplines, so schools should do the same thing, mental health should do the same thing, so it's really all agencies should consider a dedicated

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1 research component.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

In the schools, you know, I don't -- I can tell you like in ours, there's -- in our district, I think there's, like I said, five, six, seven different databases. So, again, that that one principal or that one administrator who's assigned to the threat assessment team, they're in the same boat the cop is. They don't know all that stuff. So they should have somebody that is charged within their area of responsibility that is going to gather all that information.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So 3 is the Social Media Monitoring Tool should be renamed to reflect that it's not actively monitoring social media.

I don't know.

Mr. Petty.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Shouldn't it be fixed instead of renamed?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What's that?

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Shouldn't the Social Media Monitoring Tool be fixed so that it is

25 actively monitoring social media?

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I don't know how you do that. I mean, they have to assign somebody, you know, full-time. And who's going to sit there and do that?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: There are companies that do that.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, not with what you're thinking. I don't -- you know, anyway that's available to everybody. Again, you're talking about statewide. You're talking about from Key West to, you know, Pensacola and everything in between with all the social media platforms of Instagram, Snapchat, Facebook, you know, Twitter, you name it, and sitting there trying to actively live real time, you know, and they are doing some of that in some instances in some real-time crime centers, etcetera.

But, you know, I mean, Commissioner

Swearingen, you guys are looking at this and,
you know, personally I don't think it's feasible
to -- you would -- you would need a plethora of
personnel and some entity that's charged with
it, and you're sitting there live real time 24/7
looking at all the social media that's put out
there, I don't see it being realistic.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: No. And I can tell you from my experience, we have gone out and purchased some of these Social Media

Monitoring Tools. The elephant in the room here is that there's maybe a misperception that social media monitoring companies or social media companies want to participate and help law enforcement. And they do not.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: The truth could not be more to the other spectrum.

Every time we have purchased one of these tools, I can tell you within a very short order they pull the API from the most important sources, with Twitter, the biggest feeds, and we wasted a lot of money and get absolutely nothing. So I don't view those tools right now as being very productive simply because the companies are not cooperative. And if that attitude doesn't change -- and that's going to have to be a forced change, they're not going do this on their own -- this is going to be ineffective regardless.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. There are some -- I mean, that's a, you know, very good

point. And some of them now -- and I know that there's discussions on all levels, national level, you have some that are either in the process or have plans to encrypt their data to the point that not only do we not have access to it, but they don't have access to it --

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: Right.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- so that they can't --

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- give it to us because they feel like they need to be protecting those who are posting, and their position is we can't give you that which we don't have, and they're making it so they don't even have access to it. So Facebook is one of those, and those related companies.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So it's -- actually, they're trying to make it harder. I know there's a lot of discussions ongoing in Washington and at the various levels trying to deal with this issue, so I don't think it's anything that we can really, really tackle as part of this commission.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: Right. They're either moving to encryption or they're moving to what's called self-blinding, which is what the chair's talking about --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

1

2.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: -- where they
don't even allow themselves the access. So, in
other words, if law enforcement came to them
with legal process and said we want this
information, their response back would simply be
we can't give you that information because we
don't have access to it.

So -- and without some -- this would take federal legislation. So to go back to the '90s, and, Bob, you remember this when we worked, you know, they had -- they had to pass CALEA, which --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: -- mandated that telecommunications companies, as they moved to digital, provided law enforcement with the ability to get access to telephone information that we had always been able to get before.

If that's not done here in very short order, there will be no ability for law

enforcement to gain access to any of this information.

> CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I know, Sheriff Judd, you just went to a meeting in Washington on that, and, you know, that's -- it's a big issue out there.

And that's what happened in 1994, you're right, with CALEA, the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act. We were in a position then where with phone, you couldn't get access. The phone companies -- the cellular phone -cellular phone companies wouldn't provide it, so Congress had to step in and mandate it.

So, you know, I don't -- again, I don't think there's anything here that we can really This is a very big issue at a bunch of different levels and a bigger situation than what we're facing here.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Fair enough.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. So we're on Recommendation 4. The social media search should allow the portal user to run keyword and username searches of the data.

Number 5: The school districts should provide student social media user nicknames and

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

other relevant information to enhance the social media tool.

So the reason why this is here, again, is the -- really because it is important and to call it out and to remind everybody that the tool is not going to look for -- so if somebody uses a nickname of, you know, Joey 1234, then the tool isn't going to look for that, isn't going to monitor, unless it's been submitted as a nickname to look for.

So it's really important that the schools, the threat assessment teams, the school safety specialists, etcetera, is they know of certain people, they know of certain names and nicknames these people are using, to add that so they can be monitored.

So the point is if you don't submit it, it's not going to be monitored. So you have somebody that uses whatever moniker that they want to use, and if that's what they are using, even when they're saying some things that are of concern, you're not going to be monitoring it unless you have submitted that moniker to the system so that they can flag it and then send you e-mails on it.

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 Sheriff Ashley.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: And I may be out in left field on this, but we in law enforcement might want to start listing these as A/K/As as well in our systems, just another searchable database to search for those usernames, because we put all kinds of A/K/As in on as far as street names goes, so I'm not sure why we couldn't do social media.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

So number 6 is SESIR data quality and frequency needs to be improved if it is to be of value to portal users.

You know, anyway, having SESIR part of the portal is just -- it doesn't mean anything. You know, it really doesn't. I mean, it's just -- anyway...

Number 7: Preparing for a threat assessment meeting should be a ground up process where agencies first collect the information they have locally accessible and then use the portal and other existing mechanisms to augment with whatever else may be available.

Again, it just goes back to the richest data is at the local level, it's in a variety of

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

sources, and don't start from the top down, start from the bottom up in your information gathering because that's where you're getting the richest and most current information.

Number 8: Threat assessment team members should have well-developed list of sources of information and data from their discipline that should be reviewed and prepared for the team meeting. An example is this Pinellas County pilot where such a list was created to ensure that all databases and checked -- are checked and no relevant information overlooked during the process.

So remember I provided that to you all last time -- it's in that packet -- is, is that in each of the three areas, mental health, school, and law enforcement, is that we put together a group of all the stakeholders, everybody, and everybody went through comprehensively and identified every single potential database, every single potential data source. So now when the threat assessment teams convene is, is that they're required to check off whether they have information from that source.

So at the end of the threat assessment

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

process, that checklist is made part of the file for the threat assessment. That way, we don't end in up in a situation where down the road something comes up, something bad happens and somebody says, "Well, what did you know and what didn't you know," we can go back and say, "We checked everybody available database and there's a record of it."

And the contrary is true as well. Because if some team doesn't comprehensively check and there was a whole bunch of data available that they didn't check and then it was there, didn't allow them to make a good decision and something bad happens, then there's accountability for it. So it's, again, creating this checklist, so...

Does anybody have any issues with including that in there as a recommendation that there be some process similar to this in the threat assessment process? No.

All right. Number 9: It's highly recommended that before additional money is spent to consolidate data, time should be spent to evaluate how the process is working with the data currently available to the teams and the systems that have been put in place.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And then last one: Further research to determine the best way to manage students who have been identified as threats. Includes what resources will be needed to manage them and how the management will be transferred when the student ages out of the school system.

2.2

2.3

2.4

All right. Anything else as far as Chapter 10'sconcerned with those recommendations?

Anybody have anything new to add or any other reviews?

All right. We'll move over to Chapter 11 with Juvenile Diversion, and we will go to the first finding. But before we do that, does anybody have any changes to the text section of Chapter 11?

Secretary Marstiller.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: I don't -- Sheriff,
I don't have a change to the text, but I did
want to drop a footnote or an update for the
commission -- for the commissioners.

The Broward County PROMISE program, I received a draft amended agreement that I guess is designed to address -- you know, to sort of revamp that program, but it still is to some extent, as it was before, what we all considered

to be a diversion program. What the draft agreement does not -- still doesn't include is a requirement that to the extent that it is a diversion program that the data be reported to Prevention Web.

2.2

2.3

2.4

As our findings -- or as the narrative here indicates prior to now, DJJ has been a signatory on that agreement. When I saw the draft amendment and it didn't include the requirement to report into Prevention Web, I wrote a letter to the -- to the superintendent saying that DJJ is not going to sign onto this until or unless that requirement is in the revised agreement. And, of course, I offered the technical assistance of my staff to help the school district get there.

But I just wanted you all to know that that's kind of where that stands. So we're still at a bit of a stand still with that program.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Have you had any response from the superintendent?

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: No.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No? Well, hopefully, they'll respond and hopefully they'll get the

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1	message and they need to be putting that in
2	Prevention Web for all the reasons that we
3	discussed previously. So I appreciate the
4	update on that, and hopefully they'll respond.
5	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: We'll see.
6	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So in Chapter 11, page
7	127, we begin with the findings.
8	The Broward County Public Schools is a
9	so that needs to get changed. There's a typo.
10	The Broward County Public Schools
11	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: PROMISE program.
12	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: PROMISE program.
13	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: PROMISE program
14	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah.
15	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: is a civil citation
16	or prearrest diversion program subject to the
17	requirements of 985.12.
18	Okay. Number anybody have anything else
19	on that one?
20	Number 2: Broward County Public Schools is
21	not entering criminal prearrest diversion data
22	in DJJ's Prevention Web as it should.
23	Anybody have anything on that one?
24	Number so I guess that's it. We only

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

have -- wait a minute. I'm sorry. Yeah.

Number 4 -- take that back -- it's number 3. 1 Entering prearrest diversion data in Prevention Web and all diversion decision -- and 3 all diversion decision-makers having access to 4 5 that data is paramount to effective diversion decision-making. 6 7 So Finding 3 is: Entering prearrest diversion data --8 SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Is missing some 10 words. 11 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. It's missing 12 some words there. It's not flowing right. 13 SECRETARY MARSTILLER: I mean, I would 14 suggest "Entering prearrest diversion data in 15 Prevention Web is required by law." Because it 16 is. 17 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It is. SECRETARY MARSTILLER: And then the rest of 18 it is fine. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Don't make sense, 21 right? 2.2 SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah. 23 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So Entering prearrest diversion data in Prevention Web is required by 2.4

law, and all diversion decision-makers having

2.5

1	access to that data is paramount to effective
2	diversion decision-making.
3	Yeah. That I think that flows and
4	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: I think works.
5	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: that's right and
6	okay. We got it.
7	Finding Number 4: The commission
8	COMMISSIONER PETTY: Mr. Chair?
9	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.
10	COMMISSIONER PETTY: Mr. Chair, would we
11	also want to add in 3 it's not just diversion
12	decision-making, it's threat assessment
13	decision-making, it's useful for all kinds of
14	decision-making, not just not just diversion.
15	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. So we could say
16	in there "and all diversion and having access to
17	the data is paramount to effective
18	decision-making regarding youth."
19	COMMISSIONER PETTY: Yeah. Juvenile
20	Justice or discipline or whatever, it's useful
21	for a number of reasons.
22	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There you go. That's
23	fine. No, you're right.
24	Okay. And then Finding Number 4 is: The

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

commission concurs with DJJ's findings in its

July 11, 2019 report.

2.2

2.3

2.4

As I said, to the secretary, I think we talked about this last time, I think DJJ did a great job in that report, along with the Department of Education, and it really hits the mark and is very comprehensive analysis on school-based diversion in Florida. I think we all agreed that we concur with the Department's findings. Without restating them, we can just say this.

So just for the -- Broward County Public Schools, my understanding is, is that they do have your letter and that they intend on responding sometime this week to it, so hopefully you'll get a response to their letter sometime this week and we can move forward with them getting --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- the necessary information into Prevention Web so that everybody in Broward County and elsewhere -- I want to be clear about that is, is that everybody's participation in putting in prearrest diversion civil citation data into Prevention Web benefits everybody statewide.

Because statewide, good decisions -- good decisions should be -- should be made. And especially in the areas like Broward where you have adjoining counties of Palm Beach and Miami-Dade and others is, is that information's not accessible unless it's in Prevention Web.

And the last thing we want, for all the reasons we've discussed here today at length, is we don't want kids slipping through the cracks and having multiple bites at diversion when they should have consequences and they should be arrested but people don't know so they're not making good decisions.

Mr. Schachter.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Are there any other counties that are not putting their information into DJJ's besides Broward?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And answer is no. But there is nothing else in the state -- and that's reflected in DJJ's report -- there is nothing else in the state -- no place else in the state that is like the PROMISE program. Now, there are some variations and there's some -- so this is the only program like this where the school is handling it themselves.

So if you go over to, you know, Palm Beach as an example, is, is that, yeah, they're doing diversion, but it's being handled through the police department --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: The police department.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- and the police department is entering it into Prevention Web.

So this -- Broward is very unique. And so go back to the July 1st DJJ report for an analysis of that, and they were very clear in how they set it forth and articulated it.

This PROMISE program in the Broward County Public Schools is unique, an anomaly of sorts, and it's clear to all of us, and it should be clear to them, that it is a prearrest diversion It is not unlimited to only crimes. program. It didn't used to before be limited to crimes. It's now limited to crimes.

And if a kid commits a crime and you're giving them some sanction other than --

> SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Arrest.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- an arrest or entry into the Juvenile Justice --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Right.

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- it's a diversion program. No matter how you slice it, dice it, look at it --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- and you can put whatever spin or labels or whatever you want on it, but it's in lieu of an arrest, it's in lieu of a charge, it's in lieu of entry into the Juvenile Justice system. And others should know about it. So put it into the system so everybody knows and they can make good decisions. And it's really what needs to be done, so --

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: What does Miami-Dade do?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Same thing. Miami-Dade has their own police department down there, and, again, all that's being handled through the cops. Because when a kid commits a crime, they're referring it to the cop, and then the cops are handling it and they're putting it in.

Now, again, none of this is saying that there isn't discretion being used in certain circumstances. But when there is a crime and

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

somebody is formally entered into a program that's in lieu of something else, then it needs to be reflected as a diversion and entered into JJIS Prevention Web.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: But also

Palm Beach and Miami-Dade both have school

police departments --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: -- that handle their diversionary programs. Is that information submitted to --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Because they're doing it consistent with the community-based program. Remember this, in each of the 20 circuits in Florida, the state attorneys are required to lead stakeholder groups to come up with a criteria for each of those circuits, and they're all being done consistently with the community-based programs that are state attorney led, because the cops are doing the same thing in the schools that they're doing when -- if it's at the mall.

So, again, this os just a very unique

situation in Broward.

2.2

2.3

2.4

commissioner schachter: So the law enforcement, upon finding a child, you know, a youth stealing something from a store can go on and access th school police department records to see if they've had an event, or are there still silos in those?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There's silos with that. I mean, the best -- the best thing -- and this is what Secretary Marstiller's rolling out now, and the training is either occurring or it's coming up --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: It's occurring.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It's occurring. So there will be ways that the cops can check the Prevention Web to be able to determine whether that particular kid -- so the cop gets a call to the mall, the kid stole a necklace, the cop has to make a decision, do I arrest, do I divert. What we don't want is the kid at school and a whole bunch of other places have a whole bunch of other bites at the apple other than arrest and the cop looking it at, says, well, you seem like a good kid, I don't find anything in my system, and then they give them another

diversion when they got all the stuff in the background.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So what the secretary is rolling out until hopefully we can get to a point where there can be integration of the data so the cop can actually plug it into a computer and get comprehensive information, but that's down the road is that there will be a place that they can go and they can make a query and say, okay, I got Joe Smith, he just stole a necklace at the mall, does he have prior diversions anywhere in the state of Florida. But that query and the whole point of this, too, is that query is only as good --

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: As the data.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- as the data that's put into it.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Exactly.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So if Broward is not putting all this school-based bites at the apple, all the school-based diversion into that system, then a cop in Plantation who comes across a kid and the kid's been diverted three times in PROMISE and in the school system and now he's outside, the cop isn't going to know

1 that.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Or if he's in a different -- if he's in Miami or Palm Beach.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: So they're making --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And this is where

we -- you know, and with the secretary's help,

we made great progress over the last several

months and this is moving in the right

direction, but everybody has to contribute.

It's like any system. You only get out of it

what you put into it. Unless everybody's put it

in, you're not going to get it out.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So this is where it's crucial that Broward public schools begin putting all of their criminal diversions that are through PROMISE into Prevention Web.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I mean, I think -I think it's just ridiculous that Broward,
again -- you know, they took a year to even put
an active assailant response policy in place and
it wasn't in place until, you know, we put a
tremendous amount of pressure, and, again, they

are the only county that is -- that is not in compliance and not sharing information, and I think they're making the rest of the state -- you know, they're risking the safety and security of everybody in the state because they're refusing to share information.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Mr. Chair, I'd like to go a step further, but perhaps you want you want to get through these recommendations. I've got one for consideration.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. So let's get through these and then I'll take yours.

So page 128, Recommendations: The commission supports DJJ's recommendation set forth in the July report. A summary of the four key recommendations included in the DJJ report were...

And they're listed there 1 through 4. I'm not going to read them. They come directly out of the DJJ report.

Does anybody have any concerns with the recommendation in number 1? And it gets to the heart of the issue that we just discussed.

Number 2: DJJ should continue its efforts to provide easy and direct access to Prevention

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Web for all law enforcement, and the legislature should support DJJ in its effort with necessary funding.

Anybody on that one?

1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Okay. Mr. Petty, go ahead. You're up.

one up on the -- Detective Suess has got it up on the -- I'd like to go a step further and recommend that we ask the Florida state legislature to prohibit schools and school districts from creating and operating independent -- that means separate from the community-based -- civil citation or diversion programs. Rather, that the legislature be required to participate in the community-based diversion and civil citation programs as currently defined.

I have a whole preamble here and some rationale. We've talked through this in the mental health section today. We've talked through it probably ad nauseam. So I'm happy to read what I wrote.

But I think -- I think we have -- as well-intentioned as the school-based programs are, and I understand their goals are laudable,

we are taking kids who need help out of the one system that we know can drive accountability -- and we saw this in the case with the killer at MSD not being included or part of that system. I would just recommend that school districts not operate their own programs, that they come in -- into alignment with the community-based programs and that we ask the legislature to clarify that.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I think you got a lot of support here for that.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What's that, Sheriff?

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I think he's got a lot of support here for that.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SHERIFF ASHLEY: These secondary and programs that are outside the norm, I think are one of the issues we looked at with the PROMISE program. I thought that maybe the state attorney's office already, in our first report, are required to sign --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: They are.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: -- off on our --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And they do. And the statute also talks about including public school programs and public schools. In 985.12, it

addresses public schools. It just doesn't make it mandatory. And there's nothing that prohibits them now in the law, as Mr. Petty points out, there's nothing that prohibits them -- although they should also fall under the state attorney community-based program. You know, consistency is a good thing. Continuity is a good thing.

I see no reason why the current system that is in place shouldn't be the exclusive system and that every entity, whether you're a school, whether you're a city, whether you're a county, whether law enforcement agency, no matter who you are, that you fall under that community-based state attorney-led paradigm, if you will, that's in that county. Because every circuit has the ability to establish its own criteria for diversion.

And by having only one and not having some run by a school or some run by somebody else, then you have consistency which also leads to fairness. It means you don't have a situation where the school can run a program, you get three bites at the apple, but the community-based only gives you one, the

2.2

2.4

community-based program allows diversion for 15 crimes, the school program only for 3, or whatever combination of scenarios you want to create.

So having consistency in each circuit which is why the legislature passed it that way, to allow for local control, to allow for local preferences, community tolerances, but at the same time, at least in every circuit, you're going to have consistency and continuity with that state attorney-led stakeholder group's decision.

But here, by Broward schools taking the position and having -- being of the opinion that they are not required to fall under 985.12, they take the position that they can just operate their own independent program, which is not necessarily consistent with the community-based program.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: It's not consistent,
Mr. Chair. And, you know, as we -- as we've
seen with the Broward County School District
PROMISE program, there are no graduated series
of consequences, there's no accurate reporting
of who's in there, there -- they couldn't

2.2

2.3

2.4

even -- in the case of Cruz, they couldn't even tell whether he'd participated in the program or not.

2.2

2.4

So, you know, absent that kind of graduated series of consequences and that feedback, I would argue we're -- they're not actually helping the juveniles that they purport to help; they're, in fact, neglecting them.

And I just think they should fall into -- I think all school districts should fall into line with the community-based. It's based on the standards of the community. There's no reason to operate these things independently of that community program. In fact, when they do, they set themselves up as quasi-law enforcement agencies, and they're making decisions about whether or not a crime has been committed, and that is unacceptable in my view, and I think it's contrary to community standards across the state. So that's the basis for my recommendation.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I just have a clarifying question.

Commissioner Petty, are -- when you first said it, I thought you were saying they

shouldn't operate any kind of program. But are you actually saying --

COMMISSIONER PETTY: No.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- they should -- it's okay if schools do, but they need to do it under the structure that's already been defined by the legislature and statute and is kind of overseen by the state attorney's office?

COMMISSIONER PETTY: I'm saying both actually. They shouldn't operate their own.

They should fall under the community-based -
COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: -- and civil citation program.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: And that makes sense to me. The only thing I think we need to consider is I think the school district, in this case Broward, funded that program, and so if we take away their ability to do that at all, we may end up with zero programs because funding doesn't magically appear for these.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Well, there's a -there's a -- in the 17th Circuit in Broward,
there is a community and civil citation program,
so they -- this recommendation, if the

legislature were to pass this into statute, would just force Broward County School District to fall under that --

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: -- existing program.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And that's what's being done in other districts around the state. You get a kid, kid commits a crime on campus. The SRO gets involved. They refer him to the civil citation or prearrest diversion program. Then they go into that program and they're overseen by the same entity that would oversee that if the kid had stolen something at the mall, so whoever it is in that county or in that circuit. And then you have consistency with sanctions, you have consistency with all the requirements for entering the program, etcetera. So there's no difference between what happens inside the school and outside the school, and the kid still avoids an arrest, which is the goal, and hopefully get the kid on the right track.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: With the exception of Broward where it seems like they

were trying to divert them from the diversion 1 program. 3 COMMISSIONER PETTY: It's a prediversion diversion program. It's probably the best way 4 5 you can describe it. 6 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Mr. Schachter, go ahead. COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: How -- what if 8 9 Broward County says that they're not a 10 diversionary program, which they already have, 11 how do we make sure that they're not --12 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: This takes care of 13 that. 14 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: It does? Okav. CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: We can -- we can tweak 15 16 the language to this --17 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. I just want 18 to --19 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- a little bit. 20 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I think that --21 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: We've got the -- we've 2.2 got the spirit it -- probably good to tweak it a little bit. 23 24 But what it -- what Mr. Petty's point is,

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

is that all Florida programs that allow kids to

1	do something other than be arrested fall under
2	985.12 and have to come under the auspices of
3	the community-based program and that schools, as
4	an example, don't operate independent programs.
5	Correct?
6	COMMISSIONER PETTY: Correct.
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So, you know, with
8	some tweaking of that language, but that's the
9	gist of what he has up there.
LO	Commissioner Dodd, then Sheriff Judd.
L1	COMMISSIONER DODD: So I just want to make
L2	sure I understand then. On the first
L3	recommendation, would that then impact number 2
L <b>4</b>	and 3 and 4, then, correct?
L5	I mean, we'd have to that's the
L6	Department of Juvenile Justice recommendations.
L7	Am I missing something as far as the
L8	school-based diversion program?
L9	I'm sorry. It's Recommendation Number 1,
20	subsection 2.
21	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You gave we're
22	there's only one section of recommendations. So
23	you're talking about findings?
24	COMMISSIONER DODD: I'm sorry. The

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

findings -- no. I'm talk -- no, sir, I'm

1	talking about the recommendations.
2	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.
3	COMMISSIONER DODD: Number 2, subsection 2.
4	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Oh, subsection 2.
5	Okay. Amending sections
6	COMMISSIONER DODD: So would there not be a
7	definition for school-based diversion programs?
8	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Right.
9	COMMISSIONER DODD: And would there not be
10	in 3
11	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, I didn't read
12	all three through all these. It's been a
13	while since I looked through them, but so I
14	think that's probably what this gets at, what
15	the department has in their report.
16	Amending Sections 1006.13 and 985.126 to
17	provide a definition specifically for
18	school-based diversion programs and expressly
19	include such programs, among those for which
20	data must be entered into the Juvenile Justice
21	Information System Prevention Web.
22	I think Mr. Petty's okay. Now I see
23	what you're talking about. Mr. Petty's
24	recommendation takes it a step further where he

is proposing really that 1006.13 go away --

25

1 COMMISSIONER PETTY: Right.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- that they not be allowed to operate those programs under that statute and that just everything come under 985.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: That's right.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So what do y'all want to do? Do you want to recommend that or you want to --

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Do you need a motion?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Sheriff Judd, go
ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: I've got -- let me give this illustration. I'm a teacher and I'm doing hallway duty and Student A comes up and bumps Student B and walks on. By the definition of the law, that's battery. And the next thing you know, we're rolling him into a prediversion program. I mean, I may go up to the Student A and said, "Keep your hands off Student B."

I don't want to create an environment where we unnecessarily put people in a diversion program for childish conduct that if you stretch it long enough with a legal life -- with a legal mind, that it's in the finite word a crime. So that -- that's my only concern here.

I think if you're going to have a diversion program and you're going to say, as the PROMISE program did, look, if you steal stuff, if you, you know, gather up your buddies and have a fight, if you create an environment, then... And I get that.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

But I don't -- I don't want, in the purist sense of the word, that we put language here that forces a school district every time somebody puts a hand on another guy and Doug says, "By the way, I didn't like that and I didn't appreciate it, " so that's a battery. You know, I just --

No. But I don't think CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: we're doing that.

SHERIFF JUDD: Well, I -- well, I don't think we mean that. But if we start having to put everything in a diversion pro --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No. Because what I think -- and your point is correct. It's spot This is why I said nothing takes away from the discretion of school personnel or takes away the discretion of law enforcement.

Just like on the street, see? So let's call it the way it is. A cop comes across three

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800 kids in a park and they got a joint. Not every time when the cop comes across those three 16-year-olds in the park and they got a joint is the cop going to issue a notice to appear, make a physical arrest, or even refer them to formal diversion.

2.2

Sometimes the cop is going to take the joint, turn it in for destruction, and tell those kids, "Look, you need to knock it off."

Or the same thing with a can of beer or the same thing when you got a situation that could be any one of...

So nobody is saying that that should change at all.

Or same thing with a school administrator that comes across a kid who picked up something off another kid's desk and took their whatever it is, okay, off their desk, and the school administrator says to himself, you know, "This is generally a good kid, this is just one of those things, I'm just going to have a discussion with him and let him go."

The only thing this is saying is that if the decision is made to elevate it to something formal, then if you're going to elevate it to

something formal, and "formal" includes some type of official sanction, some type of official diversion, some time of entry into something other than making an arrest, is, is that that it needs to be this and it needs to go into that system.

SHERIFF JUDD: And I'm on board.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That's the only thing this is saying. And that is extremely important to be very clear, is, is that discretion at all levels by a number of people, law enforcement officers and teachers and administrators is paramount. That's what we want people to do. We want them to be empowered. That's why we don't have robots. That's why we have people.

But if you're going to do something formal, then it should be a consistent formal program.

That's all we're saying.

SHERIFF JUDD: Okay. So I'm good then.

If -- let's use the PROMISE program -- if you're going to create a PROMISE program that -- and divert criminal conduct there, minor criminal conduct there --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Correct.

SHERIFF JUDD: -- then it has to go on the

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

1 Prevention Web.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That's all --

SHERIFF JUDD: I'm good. As long as --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That's the sum of it.

SHERIFF JUDD: -- we don't -- we don't make everybody who lays hands on somebody --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No, no. And if you get a kid that's got -- you know, you got a kid that's got a couple cans of beers, nobody's saying, "Oh, my God, now we must crack down and every single can of beer has to go into Prevention Web and has to be treated in a

formal" -- nobody's saying that.

It's the -- discretion's a good thing. And sometimes with informal, you know, just like we deal with a whole bunch of things from personnel issues to whatever, is, is that, yeah, you know, is that somebody's going to get a couple bites at the apple.

But what we're saying is, is that we don't want, if there's a been a formal entry into some type of sanction and they've done community service, restitution, etcetera, and they've done this three times for what happened in the school formally, then the cop's at the mall and he's

going to enter him into another formal diversion program where now this is the fourth time in some sort of formal thing but the cop doesn't know about that, that they should know about it. They're not going to know about the informal stuff, and nobody's suggesting they would.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHERIFF JUDD: And I'm solid there. I'm good. Yeah. Okay.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Yeah. And, in fact, yeah, just to -- Sheriff Judd, just to reiterate, nothing in what I'm suggesting would take away discretion from a teacher, an administrator, or a law enforcement officer at that school, and that's certainly not my intention.

In fact, I think this would have the effect of reducing ambiguity and giving that discretion -- making that discretion more clear than it is today where a school runs their own diversion program and creates ambiguity.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Mr. Schachter.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Commissioner

Petty, correct me if I'm wrong, and -- but I

think that we're at this place because the

Broward County School Board is refusing to share

information with Prevention Web. If they had, you know, complied and were sharing information with law enforcement and the entire state, this commission would not be taking this position because we were all working together. But it's this other program when we already have a county civil citation program and they're refusing to cooperate.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I don't think it's that strong, Mr. Schachter, because remember, is, is that they had the PROMISE program. They then took the position that they were going to enter everything into Prevention Web, and when they decided they were going to enter everything into Prevention — into Prevention Web, it was overly broad. So they were entering things into Prevention Web that were not crimes. So when they realized that what they were entering was overly broad, that's when they pulled it back.

And the reason why that -- and when you say that the school board is doing this, it's an interpretation of the statute by their lawyers, and their lawyers are saying that they interpret the law to allow them, under 1006.13, to have a program that's outside the scope of 985.12.

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

As I said last time, and you just apply -get into legalize and statutory construction,
985.12 was enacted after 1006.13, so what's
enacted later trumps what was previously enacted
under statutory construction. 985.12 does
say -- and does say that public schools will be
under 985.12. But their lawyers have taken the
position and have the -- offered the opinion,
which they're following, that they can get
outside of 985.12 and they can use 1006.13.

So, really, what we're saying is, is that legislature, go on and clarify this to the extent that it needs to be.

Personally, I don't think it needs to be, just like some of these other things.

But it's legalese and legal interpretation and legal opinion, and so what we're saying is, is that let's just have one program, it all comes under 985.12, and don't have different programs that are other than the state attorney-led community-based program under 985.12. Right?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Agree.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That's where --

that's --

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: That stops it, yep.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- what we're saying.

And so it's not -- again, and, you know, in fairness to the Broward County Public Schools, they have listened to our concerns, discussion, and criticism of the PROMISE program. They have made revisions to it. And, in fact, earlier this year they reacted by, okay, let's just go ahead and put everything in, but then they realized that because the program at that point had criteria in which you could go to PROMISE for noncriminal activity, harassment, bullying -- I think there were some other things -- that are not crimes. So it's overly broad.

So they revised the program to be only for crimes. They scaled it back to the number of times you can participate. So they have made some changes to it. And then they come up against these different statutes, and they have legal advice and opinions that are given about what they can do, can't do, and which statutes apply.

Again, 985.12 comes after 1006.13, so it's

1	a difference. We really are just making a
2	recommendation to the legislature, take this
3	ambiguity away. This again, we're back to
4	arguable ambiguity. We're back to different
5	opinions. Let's just get this to one place in
6	every circuit; for whatever's done, everybody
7	follow it; doesn't take way anybody's
8	discretion; and just operate under one umbrella.
9	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And the they
10	would operate under the civil citation program
11	currently in Broward County, right? They would
12	operate
13	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: It would operate under
14	the state attorney-led stakeholder agreement
15	program, whatever that is
16	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: in Broward County,
18	yeah. Yes.
19	So we good?
20	COMMISSIONER DODD: Just one clarification.
21	On the creating and operating, the words
22	"creating" and "operating," so that does
23	that's
24	COMMISSIONER PETTY: No one's
2 E	grandfathorod

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: We're going to go in and -- with this and run it by Mr. Petty before we put it in the final report. You'll have a chance to see this again. This -- we got the gist of it. We're going to go in and fix this recommendation. The recommendation you see will not be worded exactly with what's up --

COMMISSIONER DODD: Okay.

2.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- on the screen.

Okay. We're going to get it consistent to what we just talked about if everybody's good with that, okay, and then you'll see it again. And we'll make sure -- John, make sure you make a note of that as we go through this. And we'll get it to you before we put it in there, make sure you're good with it.

Yeah, Secretary Marstiller.

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Just one last thing. If this recommendation is made -- and I, frankly, don't have philosophical difficulty with it -- I think to another commissioner's point, the first recommendation here in the draft which says "The commission is adopting" is going to have to be revised, because this, in my opinion, renders 1 -- or two little i, three

1	little ii, and little iv unnecessary under
2	Recommendation 1. So I think that everything
3	would have those two would have to be redone.
4	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Reconciled it?
5	Because
6	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah.
7	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: under
8	Recommendation 1, subsection ii
9	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Right.
10	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: it's got to be
11	revised.
12	SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah.
13	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: We'll look it this and
14	tweak it. I get it. And we'll fix it and make
15	it all reconciled.
16	COMMISSIONER PETTY: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. All right.
18	So we'll move onto Chapter 13. Everybody's
19	favorite topic, FERPA.
20	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And then, Chair,
21	after you're finished with 13, I would like to
22	address the commissioner's point on the plain
23	talk English.
24	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That's fine.
25	All right. So anybody have anything in the

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 text fact section of Chapter 13?

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

All right. So we go over to page 151 and findings.

FERPA and other privacy laws are important to ensure the protection of individual privacy interests. However, those laws, including FERPA, are often misunderstood, overapplied, and their exceptions underused.

Any questions on that or any thoughts or comments?

Number 2: When FERPA and other privacy laws are misapplied and/or their exceptions underapplied, it adversely affects school safety.

Hearing nothing, we'll move on to number 3.

Comprehensive training of school district legal advisors and staff is necessary to ensure that FERPA and other privacy laws are clearly understood and applied equally across Florida.

Anything on that one?

Number 4: Some law enforcement officers and agencies misunderstand FERPA and its exceptions and erroneously believe they are entitled to receive without restriction otherwise FERPA protected information.

Disclosure of FERPA protected information under applicable exceptions requires a case-by-case analysis, and determination and private information cannot be wholesale released to law enforcement. Like school personnel, law enforcement needs training to better understand the applicable laws and exceptions.

Anything on that one?

2.3

2.4

5: The first determination is whether
FERPA applies, that is, whether information held
by an educational institution is a, quote,
"educational record" within FERPA's definitions.

If it is, then it requires the determination
whether the record fits within one of the
exceptions allowing disclosure.

Number 6: Some districts improperly cite FERPA to deny law enforcement agencies live real-time access to school security video that is essential to effectively responding to an on-campus active assailant or other emergency situation.

So we still have some of that ongoing in Florida.

SROs are, quote, "school officials" under FERPA and, as such, are entitled to access

800-726-7007

student records as part of their duties in that capacity.

And then number 8: SROs may not disclose FERPA protected information to other officers unless the health and safety or another applicable FERPA exception applies.

Sheriff Ashley.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Just a question back on the number 3 finding: Comprehensive training of school district legal advisors and staff as necessary to ensure FERPA and other privacy laws are clearly understood and applied.

Wouldn't that be a recommendation?

SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yep.

Let's so pull that out, John.

You're correct.

Yeah, it really goes -- we probably don't -- I think we've got it in the first recommendation.

DOE should prepare and present FERPA and other privacy law training to all school district and law enforcement legal advisors.

That pretty much covered it. You can probably just ditch the other one, yeah.

800-726-7007

1	COMMISSIONER STEWART: Sheriff?
2	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER STEWART: I don't disagree
4	with this, but I also think there's an
5	association of school board attorneys that I
6	think it would be good for DOE or someone to
7	make the presentation to them as well.
8	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. So DOE should
9	prepare and present FERPA and other privacy law
10	training to all school district, comma,
11	school trying to think what
12	COMMISSIONER STEWART: School board
13	attorneys, I think.
14	COMMISSIONER DODD: School board attorneys.
15	SHERIFF ASHLEY: All school district
16	attorneys.
17	COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I think you
18	would just say school district, comma, school
19	board, comma, and law enforcement legal
20	advisors.
21	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. That would
22	work, yeah. Yeah.
23	COMMISSIONER DODD: And my question, could
24	DOE prepare guidelines and then present FERPA
25	and other privacy law training or I mean,

we're going to do this training --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, the guidelines are out there. The US Department of Education came out with a guidance on this and a specific law enforcement guidance on this earlier this year. That guidance is referenced here in this chapter and the guidance is available.

So I think that we wouldn't want to ask the Florida Department of Education to yet come out with another set of guidelines when the US Department of Education has just come out with a very comprehensive set of guidelines.

It's really about -- I think it's just about educating everybody and ensuring that they have full understanding of the application and the exceptions.

COMMISSIONER DODD: So you think if the legal advisors were educated now they would know that they'd all kind of follow along?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I do.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Because right now we get mixed, you know --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I do. I think it's because people don't understand it and they don't have -- law enforcement too. You know,

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

one of the biggest problems, biggest misconceptions that law enforcement officer have, especially SROs, is they have a hard time with the concept is just because I know it, I can't disclose it.

So if you have a cop that's on a threat assessment team that as a school official has access to student records and to FERPA information and their sergeant says, "Hey, tell us about this and give it to the burglary detective," they can't do that, and they have a hard time understanding that, because they have access to it because they're a school official. That burglary detective doesn't get automatic access to it. It either -- it has to fall under an exception.

So the cops need as much education about this as others do and everybody across the board, and I think if we educate the police legal advisors and the school legal advisors and it comes from that guidance and especially coming from DOE, try to get everybody on the same page, then we can move toward a better place.

Because, again, it's overapplied because

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

it's misunderstood and the exceptions are underapplied because it's misunderstood, and they're misapplied across the board because people don't understand it because it is complicated.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

GENERAL COUNSEL JONES: You might want to change it from legal advisors to just either legal counsel or attorneys since legal advisors has more of a law enforcement connotation.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So DOE should prepare and present FERPA and other privacy law training to all school district, school board, and law enforcement --

GENERAL COUNSEL JONES: Legal counsel.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- yeah, legal

counsel. Just put legal counsel. That -
that's good. Okay.

All right. So Recommendation 2:

Legislature should require that Florida Safe

School Funding be tied to the lawful real-time

access to school security video by law

enforcement agencies and the proper sharing of

videos and photos by school districts with law

enforcement. In order for a district to receive

Safe School Funds, the district must:

Have arrangements that enable law enforcement agencies that serve and respond to incidents on a campus with access to school video feeds if the law enforcement agency has the desired technological capacity to receive the video.

1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5

And require their legal -- you can say -- just change it to "counsel" -- require their legal counsel and principals to attend FERPA training and workshops developed by DOE.

What do y'all think about this?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I like it.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Everybody agree with it? Good.

COMMISSIONER STEWART: I would -- I would make it "administrators" instead of "principals," because you've eliminated superintendents and --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.

COMMISSIONER STEWART: -- several others,

so I would make it "administrators."

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Require their legal counsel and administrators attend FERPA training and workshops developed by DOE.

Okay. Because remember, these are security

cameras and these security cameras that fall within the police units -- again, getting into all this FERPA stuff -- are not under FERPA.

But live real-time access to these security cameras are vitally important, and we all know what happened at Stoneman Douglas when they didn't have access to those cameras.

2.2

2.3

2.4

All right. Anything else on Chapter 13?

All right. So the only thing that is left in the report, which we don't need to go over, is the Glossary Terms and the Additional Information and Resources section. It just lays out where a lot of this came from.

So we'll take your request, Mr. Schachter, and any other ones anybody has, we'll take public comment, and then we'll be wrapping up.

So, Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you.

Yesterday you asked for examples of when using codes were adverse or detrimental. Here's several.

People confuse lockdown and lockout extremely frequently. I had a discussion with Guy Grace. You remember he testified in front of our commission. He's the school safety

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

director of Littleton, Colorado. Littleton, Colorado has had more school shootings in their epicenter than anywhere in the entire country. Guy Grace is a subject matter expert and also helping the School Safety Clearinghouse that's been developed in Washington, DC.

2.3

2.4

He reported to me that in the Arapaho shooting, he put all schools on lockout except for Arapaho after he knew that there was no threat to any of the other schools. The terminology was so close to lockdown that many people were very, very confused and did not implement what he wanted to.

Homicide and a suicide at a high school in Georgia, here are the details. Though the overall response went very well because they had done a robust, full-scale exercise about a month prior, they had problems with substitutes not knowing what code red meant and what to do. In a number of the classrooms, students had to tell the substitutes what to do.

During the Brigham & Williams -- & Women's Hospital shooting several years ago, a murderer killed a cardiac surgeon named Michael Davidson and then turned the gun on himself. In the

midst of chaos, a lot of things went right. And one of the things that they attribute to the fact that law enforcement was able to get on scene quickly and they were able to clear five million square feet of hospital space within 16 minutes is the fact that they had just changed their policy to not use codes anymore. They just switched to English. And when the shooting started to happen, a announcement went over the PA system: A life-threatening situation now exists at Watkins Clinic B, all persons should immediately move away from that location if it is safe to do. If it is not safe to move away, shelter in place immediately.

Under the old plan, this hospital would have been met with a code gray. They feel that this move to plain English saved a lot of lives.

A separate example, a murder-suicide with a handgun occurred in a Georgia school restroom several years ago. A number of teachers did not recognize the code and failed to lock their classroom doors. A teacher who was on the school safety team responded to a code orange in a classroom. Code orange was used for a general emergency. Assuming it was a medical emergency,

2.2

2.3

2.4

the teacher ran into the room where he quickly learned that there was a student with a gun holding the teacher in class -- in class hostage.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Additionally, I had a conversation with Michael Dorn. His -- he's the executive director of Safe Havens. They did the vulnerability assessments on all Broward County schools. Safe Havens has conducted over 8,000 K through 12 school assessments. He said that in Florida, Florida's the only state where they still see widespread color-coded use.

During actual school crisis situations, testing has shown that it is extremely common for school officials to become confused and to implement the wrong protocols even when -- with regular training and drills are conducted to teach staff what codes means.

And he went on to tell me that during a approximately 200 different simulations of school crisis situations using dynamic video and scripted scenarios with scoring tools, many employees did not recall what code was needed to announce the appropriate life-saving protocol.

In fact, two building administrators

305-376-8800

inadvertently ordered a lockdown for a scenario 1 of a tornado approaching their school. 3 So I've put up -- I'd like to withdraw what I -- the finding and recommendation before and 4 5 present both of these new additions. 6 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So the finding yesterday, you want to use this to replace the finding yesterday? 8 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Correct. 10 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So what chapter was 11 that in; do you -- do you remember? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: 5. 13 SHERIFF JUDD: 5. CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: 5. 14 15 VICE-CHAIRMAN LYSTAD: That's the finding 16 from yesterday. 17 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: So that was 18 yesterday's finding. The recommendation was not 19 on the table, so it's only the finding. And the 20 finding yesterday was when communicating a 21 threat on campus using codes or anything other 2.2 than plain speech potentially leads to confusion 2.3 and inadequate response. 2.4 So now you want to go to -- bring up the

2.5

new one -- this? When communicating an active

1	threat on campus using emergency codes can
2	potentially lead to confusing and inadequate
3	responses. Officials and students can implement
4	the wrong protocol even when regular training
5	and drills are conducted. Codes can be one of
6	the weakest links in an otherwise sound school
7	crisis plan. During an active shooter
8	situation, there is no room for the
9	misunderstanding that using codes can create.
LO	Okay.
L1	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: That's it, yes.
L2	That's what
L3	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Anybody have any
L4	problems with that?
L5	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Is that question
L6	is that finding still linked to the
L7	recommendation?
L8	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No. There is no
L9	recommendation on the table.
20	COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: That that's
22	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I'm going to
23	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I figured.
24	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: make a
25	recommendation.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: But let's just deal
with the findings right now.

So what he's proposing is, is use that finding.

Go ahead, Commissioner.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHIEF NELSON: Is that based on information that's in the report? And if not, is that an issue?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I don't know. We'd have to go back and look at that. But if not, we can address that, you know, as far as -- because I'm not sure that there's anything in the -- in the facts section of section -- in Chapter 5 that would cover that, but we could add something to take care of that problem.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I was just going to mention, what I remember during all the various testimony is that the captain from Seminole actually raised this point. I remember --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- him doing that. So if it's not in there, we should go back to his testimony about all the color coding and --

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: We can --

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: -- what a problem it is, yeah.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: We can add something. It doesn't need to be long. We can add something in there to take care of that.

I mean, does anybody -- so as it relates to that finding, I mean, it seems pretty general. You know, personally, I don't have a problem with that. Does anybody have any problems with it, concerns about it, and anybody have any objections to it? Let's put it that way?

Okay. Commissioner Swearingen.

COMMISSIONER SWEARINGEN: Is that -- is that going to create problems? In our first report, didn't we require all schools to create code red policies?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You know, and so I would say to that is, is that it depends whether you're using code red in, again, upper case or lower case. Are you talking about the generic active assailant response policy that, you know, we're calling code red, or is it truly the upper case C and upper case R Code Red? So, you know --

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Did we specifically identify code red, or was it just lockdown procedures or evacuation procedures or -- I don't think we --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You know, you know, you know, there, again, remember, there is no perfect with this. There are --

> SHERIFF ASHLEY: T know.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There are inherent problems with saying, "lockdown."

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I agree. I'm just --CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: There's problems with just about anything. Okay. There's a guy with a gun, he's shooting us. Okay. I get the point.

I mean, and so like Sheriff Judd said yesterday, and I wholehearted agree with it and we know this from experience, is, is that when it happens, people are just going to say what they say. And you can have all this in place, but when that adrenaline's pumping and it is going south is that people are just going to blurt it out, and that's the reality of it.

I think we're getting way too worked up about this whole thing, be honest with you.

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

I'm good with that, and we can do what you want.

I don't care. But I think we're beating a dead horse with this thing.

SHERIFF JUDD: And I think, Mr. Schachter, you know, you don't need to overbuy the refrigerator -- I -- because I agreed. Our emergency procedures already tell all of us to go to plain talk --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right.

SHERIFF JUDD: -- during an emergency.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: In law enforcement. Not in schools though.

SHERIFF JUDD: So -- but what I'm saying is -- let me take that a step further. So all we have to say is in an emergency tell the schools to go to plain talk. Because that's -- we convert to that anyway. And I think that's his whole point.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And I'm -- and I'm absolutely good with that. I'm a hundred percent good with it. I still maintain -- and we'll see what your recommendation is and see what the will of the body is. I still say that that's a fine recommendation. But I don't think it should be in law.

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So let's see what your recommendation is with it.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

All Florida public schools shall utilize plain talk or a singular emergency code in conjunction with -- you know, I mean, there's a little inconsistency. I mean, you're saying that you shouldn't use codes at all, and now you're saying that you should use a code red. But anyway, it --

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Well, that was my argument yesterday --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. So all Florida --

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: -- regarding the previous one.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- public schools shall utilize plain talk or a singular emergency code in conjunction with plain talk when conducting drills in emergency incidents. All subsequent announcements and communications shall be given in plain talk.

And, again, this is just a commission recommendation. This is not anything that we're recommend be put in the statute. So this is strictly a recommendation.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Obviously, I think the safest, you know, and the way to go is to use plain talk. That's what law enforcement uses. That's what most states in the United States use. We are the only state that is, you know, attached to these codes when every other best practice in every -- you know, FBI, all these other agencies, FEMA NIMS, have gone away from codes.

So -- but the reason I'm giving -- I put this option in here, schools should either utilize plain talk, but if they don't want to only use -- go away from codes completely, this gives them the option to still use a code red plus the additional plain talk.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Well, if you -- go back to the first one again. Go back to the finding, John.

Because when communicating, school officials and students can implement wrong, codes can be one of the weakest links in an otherwise sound -- I think anybody reading this who picks up this report and sees that finding and then sees in the recommendation, okay, use plain talk but you could use codes, is going to

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

see a huge inconsistency between the finding and the recommendation, you know.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

I would say if we're going to do this is that all Florida public schools shall utilize plain talk and can -- and just leave it at plain talk is my point.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.

SHERIFF JUDD: Period, period.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Period. You know, I don't think you want to get into that. I think people are going to be confused by it. And it makes your point.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I mean, the reason I put that in there is because I got a lot of pushback from, you know, completely going away from codes. But I agree with you that that's what --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And, again --

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: -- should be done.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- because this is merely a -- and there's validity to the point.

There's validity to the point. But this still allow -- is a recommendation from this commission, but it still allows each district, each board, each superintendent, each district,

to accept or reject it. If they reject it and this recommendation's out here and something goes south because of it, my guess is somebody will probably ask them about it, and that's -- but that's their choice, that's their decision.

As long as we're not saying put it in Florida law, I'm good with it.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Not to continue to beat the dead horse, but since we don't have statutory authority to mandate this, should it be "should utilize plain talk"?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I think so.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: I would just for my part, I'm -- reiterate what I said yesterday, I'm adverse to it. Because a single statement in any emergency has with it a list of things that you automatically do. You don't articulate those.

For example, if we have an emergency and somebody calls, at least in our agency, 1033 radio traffic, that has with it a whole list of things that you don't do and you don't get on the radio for.

Same thing here. I -- my personal opinion is not to -- I don't think the board should make

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

2.4

a recommendation that suggests that schools go away from a practice that they've been engaged in for which there is no evidence or proof that it has adversely affected anyone.

The issues with Marjory Stoneman Douglas
High School had to do with training and with
education of the staff as to who could or could
not do certain thing, i.e., call a code red.

That's just one commissioner's opinion.

iae b Jabe one commissioner b opinion.

So what's up --

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: That's why I'm

adverse to it.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- there now -- what's up there now looks fine, I think. It says: All Florida public schools should utilize plain talk when conducting drills and in emergency incidents.

It's a good recommendation.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI:

All subsequent announcements and communications should -- John, change "shall" to "should" -- should be given in plain talk.

And I think that the point's made. It encompasses it. It gets it out there with what your desire is, and it, again, still leaves local control.

I do think that in light of, especially in Broward County where they have come and where they are today with all the drills, with all the training, with the policy, and with everything, if you try to go into the Broward County Public Schools today with whatever, 230,000 people, students, and you tried to tell them, okay, today right now, from this moment forward, you are prohibited from using code red or some -- I think you cause more confusion.

You know, and so for some places where it is so entrenched and so ingrained, I think you could almost do more harm by trying to change it than leave it the way it is.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: You know, just because this issue did not rear its ugly head at the Marjory Stoneman Douglas shooting does not mean that it should not be fixed. This is fixed most all other places in our community. You don't use codes. So that's why I'm addressing it.

But to just move off this topic for one second, to look at a bigger view here. I'll give you an example. In Clark County, Nevada, they've been doing fidelity testing of their

800-726-7007

2.

2.2

drills for almost a decade. What they do is a district office team shows up at a school, they inform the principal that they're about to have a drill. The external team would pick an employee and present a scenario. That team uses several staff spread around the school to fill out a 16-page scoring rubric to measure performance.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Now, that is -- because you're doing these drills, and what you do, hey, guys, we're going to have a drill today, means absolutely nothing compared to a guy walks on that -- on the campus.

What needs to happen, in my view, is really testing to see what we're doing, is it really working. And this is how you go about doing that is by testing these places, these fidelity testing. You know, what we've done so far does not even scratch the surface of what really should be -- schools should be doing.

So I would like to add an additional recommendation that the Office of Safe Schools should develop an active assailant best practices drill, so -- and methods to fidelity test the understanding and implementation to

everyone on campus. We want to make sure what we're doing is working, the kids are going to understand it, and then under adverse circumstances they're going to be able to react and make the right decisions.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Mr. Schachter, I don't even understand what you're asking. You want -there are drills today, and the schools are
doing drills. So you want the Office of Safe
Schools to develop some standardized drill that
every school must do?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Sheriff, just because we're telling kids to do it and teachers to do a drill under perfect circumstances does not mean they're going to be able to do it accurately and make the right decisions during a real-time active assailant situation. You and I both know that.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Let's just -- what happened to the thought of let's just get what we got right before we impose new requirements on these schools? I mean, they're still not even there where they need to be with all this stuff, and they're working on it. And is trying to impose now something additional in

2.2

2.3

2.4

drilling -- let's just get right what we got.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: We're way behind the curve. Okay. I understand we're making progress and we're making improvements, but if we're going to be -- our recommendation right now as far as drills go is that the legislature should mandate that all schools, you know, implement appropriate decision-based, option-based drills.

All I'm saying is that this is a lot more involved. We're really scratching the surface of what schools should do. And right now we're leaving it up to schools to really go out there and do all the research and figure out how they should develop.

I'm saying that let's task the Office of Safe Schools to take -- have these 67 school safety specialists really delve into this and have experts like Guy Grace, like Michael Dorn, come out here to go over how schools -- what's the most effective thing, and then to really test. Because we can tell schools what to do. It doesn't mean they're going to be able to really do it in a real-time situation.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. And?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: That's why I was 1 making an additional recommendation that the Office of Safe Schools should develop an active assailant best practices so that all schools 4 would know what to do, and a method to fidelity test their drills to ensure that all staff and students are -- really know what they're doing. Because right now, they're just doing drills,

and they're going to do these drills --

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I don't think it's that bad. I really don't. I think that I can tell you that I know a number of districts that have given a lot of thought, a lot of consideration, a lot of planning, a lot of analysis, and taken a lot of input on how to develop their drills. They are decision-based drills. They are matriculated drills that begin early in the year.

I don't think it's as bad as what you say I'm not saying that everybody is where they need to be. But I think that a lot of the districts are working hard to try and have the best drills and the most effective drills they can, you know.

And, again, if somebody -- if it's a

recommendation, you can make a motion on it, somebody seconds it, we can vote on it. But I don't support it at this juncture. It's something we can revisit next year, and we can see more about what people are doing, what they're not doing.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But I think in trying to inject something more into the process at this point is just overloading these schools and these districts where they're trying to get employees now what we've asked them to do, and I think a lot of them are working -- it's not just some ad hoc, you know, bunch of kids run. There's a lot of work being done in a lot of places in how to effectively do these drills from what I see.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And our recommendation to institute option-based drill is a huge improvement.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: All I'm saying is that to really know if the kids are going to be able to perform under stressful situations and the teachers, they need to be doing some kind of --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: As you heard and you

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

know, that the Office of Safe Schools is in the process of hiring 10 people to come in to be these eyes and ears in the field. Let them, over the next several months, get them in place, let them assess, let them get into these schools, they'll attend some of these drills -- I know that's what Director Kelly's plan is -- so they're out there and they're seeing it.

2.2

You know, when we convene next year, we can -- we will get an assessment of the status of a whole lot of things, including drills. If they're not being done and there's a need for this, I personally would entertain it then and perhaps embrace it.

But I just think right now, while all this is in place and so much is developing and evolving, I think it's premature to do it --

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: -- is my take.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. Sounds good.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Commissioner Dodd.

COMMISSIONER DODD: There is one sentence up there that I really have a hard time with on the finding, and that's the second sentence

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

where it says: School officials and students 1 can implement the wrong protocol even when 3 regular training and drills are conducted. Now, we use ALICE protocols: Alert, 4 5 lockdown, inform, counter, evacuate. 6 Those are protocols. That's not a code. So I think if we start talking about the protocols, well, you know, I'd like -- we either 8 have to take that sentence out or we have to 10 change it and put in "the wrong code." 11 Because what you're talking about, 12 Commissioner Schachter, is that code red, code 13 yellow, or a different color code, right. 14 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER DODD: So I -- you know, I 16 would rather just take that sentence out 17 completely. But I don't like the use of the 18 word "protocol." 19 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What do you want to 20 do? 21 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I'm good with 2.2 that. 2.3 CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Take out the sentence, 24 or what do you want to do? You want to change

25

it?

1	COMMISSIONER DODD: I think we're talking
2	about codes, so
3	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER DODD: "Codes can be one of
5	the weakest links," I think it fits better.
6	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: What you're get I
7	think what you're saying maybe, I don't know, is
8	that the school officials and students can
9	implement the wrong action.
10	COMMISSIONER DODD: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I mean, that's what
12	you're talking about
13	COMMISSIONER DODD: Yes, yes.
14	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: is that school
15	officials and students can implement the wrong
16	action
17	COMMISSIONER DODD: But that doesn't deal
18	with the code.
19	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: even when regular
20	training and drills are conducted.
21	I don't know.
22	COMMISSIONER PETTY: It's a separate
23	concept.
24	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, I don't know.
25	SHERIFF JUDD: Let's take it out.

1	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. Let's take
2	it out.
3	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Take it out? Okay.
4	So delete it.
5	All right. So that's what you're left
6	with. Anything you're good with it?
7	COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Okay.
9	All right. Anything else that anybody has
10	they want to bring up before we go to public
11	comment?
12	All right. So we go to public comment. We
13	have, I believe, three public comment cards.
14	Each of you'll have three minutes.
15	And the first one is Maria Bledsoe.
16	Go ahead.
17	MARIA BLEDSOE: Good afternoon. Thank you,
18	everybody. I applaud your commitment and the
19	strategies discussed over the course of the last
20	two days.
21	I'm with the Managing Entity here in
22	Central Florida, and I was directly involved in
23	coordinating the care for the Pulse victims and
24	families.

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

There is a model for care coordination. It

is implemented in the adult system by the Managing Entities. We do not have control over the children's care, only when they come into our funding stream for a short period of time. They are taken care of in the Medicaid and private insurance world.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Yes, care coordination can be improved across all systems. Case management can be improved. I wholeheartedly agree with those strategies.

I can tell you mental health reductions do have an impact on the mental health system in our state. This year -- this fiscal year we have received a reduction in mental health. In my region alone, \$670,000. That has a big impact in the system of care. So when we are discussing strategies or you are discussing strategies, please keep that in mind, because the stats that were outlined earlier today do have an impact on our community.

Let me follow my notes here. One second.

We do -- as Managing Entities, we do try to strive and work very hard to implement strategies across the state in a consistent manner. We do track our care coordination, 30

days, 60 days, and 90 days. We look at the outcomes, we have accountability, and we look to see why an individual was admitted. The Managing Entity care coordinators work with the providers on a daily basis looking at the admissions and the readmissions and why. We also track the number of days out in care, because that is a success. There is some expectation that the mental health population will have some relapse, but you have to look at the amount of time that they have been out -out in the community and what changes have occurred in their lives. Are they now housed? Are they now employed? Are they taking their medication and participating in treatment on an ongoing basis? Those are successes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

With that, I don't have anything else to say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.

Next is Bacardi Jackson.

BACARDI JACKSON: Good afternoon, and thank you for the opportunity to speak to you again.

I am Bacardi Jackson, senior supervising attorney for Children's Rights for the state of

Florida and the managing attorney for the Miami office of the Southern Poverty Law Center.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I will acknowledge that today is a near perfect day outside, and after a day and a half of parsing through some very lengthy findings and recommendations, I am certain that all of you are ready to wrap up as quickly as possible and enjoy the amenities of this beautiful four-star resort.

But I would respectfully ask that you honor your stated mission and the schedule you posted and be present at the time that was publicized for public comment. Given the remoteness and inconvenience of this location, the timing of these meetings which presents significant obstacles to participation by most educators, teachers, and students, consideration should be given to any member of the public who may have made provisions to attend and address the commission today at 4:45 based on your posted agenda.

The work being done here, the scope of your authority is incredibly impactful to all school-age children, to their families and caregivers, and to all Florida taxpayers, and

warrants casting a wide net to allow for public input, especially given the composition of this commission which does not reflect the diversity of the relevant stakeholders. It does not include students, it does not include current on-the-ground educators, it does not include a sufficient number of mental health professionals, it does not include any voting members who are people of color, or advocates who -- for students with disabilities.

Not having this representation causes you to make recommendations that will do more harm than good to significant portions of our student population, and that will make schools less safe, not more.

For example, in your lengthy discussion today of diversion programs, there was nothing at all said about the inherent racial and ability bias that is currently embedded in school discipline which seasoned and conscientious like Superintendent Runcie of Broward County have tempted to address.

I think you hit the nail on the head when you talked about crime is not some objective term that can be parsed out and suggesting that

2.2

2.3

2.4

here in this state we have a six-year-old being arrested for something that could be deemed a crime technically, and just because you -- your intent is to not do that doesn't mean it won't happen.

The current community standards and discretion of school personnel and law enforcement have led to these kinds of outcomes as well as to 36,000 students in one school year being Baker Acted, which is unlike any other state in this nation.

So I would just ask that you please reconsider closing this meeting and instead adjourn it for a short time to allow for public comment by the people who may have made provisions, the students, the activists, and other people who may have made provision to be here to speak to you and give you the input you so sorely and desperately need.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Next is Yasamin Sharifi.

YASAMIN SHARIFI: Thank you for the opportunity to address the commission.

I am addressing you today as the statewide

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Children's Right advocate at Southern Law

Poverty Center and also as a Florida resident

who was educated and raised in Central Florida

public schools and continues to have family and

community remembers in our schools.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Southern Poverty Law Center appreciates the work the commission is trying to do, but we find many of the commission's recommendations deeply concerning.

In response to the commission's request for public input, we have collected and compiled nearly 200 pages of written public comments that I present to you today. These comments come from concerned members of the public, including parents, students, educators, mental health professionals, and former law enforcement officers. We have not modified these comments. We simply present them to you today for review.

We urge you to consider these voices, as they have been largely left out in many of the commission's proceedings and come from those who'd be most impacted by your recommendations. These written comments will also be submitted to you via the commission's online portal for input, and I have a hard copy for you here

today.

2.2

2.3

2.4

In addition, we have reviewed the commission's recommendations and have compiled the results of our analysis in our policy report which I have also brought copies of to present to you. I urge you to review our evaluation and take into consideration our evaluation as you finalize your recommendations to the legislature.

In brief, we are concerned that the commission's guardian program and school safety assistance are endangering our endangering our children by introducing additional guns into schools rather than restricting weapons and firearms on school premises. The commission must consider the disproportionate effect that introducing weapons to school campuses will have on young children, children with disabilities, children of color, and low-income children, all of whom we already know are more likely to be falsely targeted by law enforcement and other armed personnel. This will not lead to greater school safety.

The same applies to the behavioral threat assessments and surveillance of student

800-726-7007

information that the commission has recommended. The commission has not included any measures to ensure that these threat assessments will be applied equitably and will not criminalize and target students of color, nor those with disabilities.

Children's concerning behaviors should be referred to social services rather than criminalized and referred to law enforcement surveillance. We have already very recently seen the dangerous implications of this in Orlando just two weeks ago when two six-year-old children were arrested at school by an SRO for normal behavior.

Secondly, while I commend the commission's efforts to shore up law enforcement responses and internal communication in the event that a mass shooting does occur, the commission has disproportionately focused attention on such reactive measures. There can be no real move to improve school safety without addressing gun violence prevention. This must go beyond threat assessment screening. So we urge the commission to recommend measures that would restrict access to guns and make it more difficult for potential

2.3

2.4

shooters to obtain weapons.

I would like the commission to consider

these documents that I've provided for you. And

if I may, I'd like to read a sample of some of

the -- some of the comments that the public has

submitted.

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay. You're over by about 30 seconds, so please wrap it up.

YASAMIN SHARIFI: Would you like me to discontinue?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah, you're -- yeah.

Yes, you're over your time. You need to stop.

YASAMIN SHARIFI: Would the commission like to honor its original time --

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: I'm not going to --

YASAMIN SHARIFI: -- of 4:45?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: You're -- ma'am, ma'am, you at time for three minutes of public comment. Your at three minutes and 45 seconds. So if you want to say something brief, you can. Otherwise, you're finished.

YASAMIN SHARIFI: Okay. Thank you your consideration.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Okay.

YASAMIN SHARIFI: Can I please hand this to

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1 you or to --

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: No. You can just leave them. There's -- you've submitted them online.

YASAMIN SHARIFI: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: All right. So do any commission members have anything before we adjourn?

I just want to remind you of the timetable, is, is that we will work on all the modifications of the report that we discussed here between now and hopefully Sunday, we'll get them done by Sunday is the plan, turn everything over to the editor for Monday, Tuesday, and as it stands now -- again, this is all fluid -- hopefully get the final version of the report with all the appendices out to you all by next Wednesday. That will give you from next Wednesday until the following Tuesday to have the report to read.

And then we would convene a conference call sometime on the following Tuesday, similar to what we did last December. And really at that point, if there's any last-minute things we can discuss -- but really what we are looking for

there is just a motion and a second and an approval of the report. If we get that, we will then send it for printing and binding and submit it to the Governor and the presiding officers by Friday, November 1st. So that's the plan.

2.

2.2

2.5

One of the things -- and maybe it'll make it easier for that conference call that we do have, assuming it is on the Tuesday -- and, again -- and tell me -- my thought is because everybody's got schedules, etcetera, it should be a pretty short call, is we'll try and pick a time -- you know, again, everybody's got schedules, but we'll give you as much advance notice -- but it might be better to try to do it maybe at noon or something. That may provide the best flexibility for people. Hopefully, it shouldn't take 15 minutes.

But if you do have -- when you get the draft report -- or the final report, when you get that draft, if you have anything that you really think is a concern, a problem, or something we need to address, then send an e-mail to Jennifer Miller, and she'll pass it on to us ahead of time so that -- I really would rather we not get bogged down on that call, try

to resolve anything of substance. It's very difficult on a conference call to do that.

2.2

2.3

So if you see anything that's glaring in there, let us know ahead of time, and we'll try to address it with you. And then, of course, if we need to make any modifications, then we can get certain pages back out to everybody in that interim reading period between next Wednesday and the following Tuesday. But, again, that may change. We'll let you know if there's any changes in that timetable.

So does anybody have anything else before we adjourn?

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: I want to clarify. That's Tuesday, the 29th?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Correct, Tuesday, the 29th. And, again, we'll just have to see on that. You know, my thought would be is we either do it really early in the morning, either at noon, or later in the day, just from the general premise that most people probably have appointments in the middle of the day someplace, and just try to make it as convenient on everybody as we possibly can. But let's see that that is the timetable. Again, that may

adjust depending upon the work that needs to be done and how long the editor takes with it, etcetera. And I want to make sure that we give you all adequate reading period, too, given schedules and other obligations. So -- but we'll -- tentatively, yes, the 29th.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Sheriff, I had sent the commission a list of all the school safety recommendations that we had -- that the Florida Legislature had implemented, or did you -- were you going to get something like that out, or were you going to wait until, you know, after that legislative session?

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. All those that you have in there are all in the PowerPoint that we covered last time, correct?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yeah. Correct.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: And so when you took that and you compiled that, which is a good compilation, now, you didn't -- we didn't send that out to the entire commission, correct?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: No.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Right. Okay.

So it's a compilation, and Mr. Schachter took and put in a different kind of a format,

1 more topic -- topical.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: It's like two pages as opposed to all those slides.

CHAIRMAN GUALTIERI: Yeah. Right.

And, yeah, we can talk about it and see. If you want to send that out to everybody, we can do that. I don't -- I mean, I don't -- I don't have a problem with it if you want to share it, certainly, so...

Because it does give an overview. And, you know, as we said, and we'll keep saying, is, is that we've come a long way. We made a lot of progress. And the schools have made a lot of progress. Nobody's under any illusion that it's perfect, but we're at a better state and a safer state than we were 20 months ago, and a lot of work yet to be done, but it is moving in the right direction thanks to everybody and across the board and all the stakeholders and the participation.

So we'll keep this moving and get this report, work with the legislature, and find the best appropriate time to come back together next year after -- my thought is after the legislative session, see what the legislature

does, and we'll have plenty of time for all of these things that are in the pipeline to take hold and then we'll see where we go from there and see what the will of the group is.

So seeing anybody's got anything else, we're adjourned.

THEREUPON the proceedings were adjourned at 2:33 p.m.

2.2

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 STATE OF FLORIDA 4 COUNTY OF POLK 5 I, Linda S. Blackburn, Registered 6 7 Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Certified Realtime Captioner, do hereby certify 8 that I was authorized to and did report the 9 foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript, 10 11 pages 1 through 302, is a true and correct record 12 of my stenographic notes. 13 Dated this October 29, 2019, at Lakeland, 14 Polk County, Florida. 15 16 17 18 Rinda S. Blackburn 19 20 Linda S. Blackburn, RDR, CRR, CRC 21 22 23 24 25

[**& - 35**] Page 303

&	<b>115</b> 6:23	<b>17</b> 14:21 21:3	<b>20891</b> 302:18
<b>&amp;</b> 1:17,18 263:22	<b>116</b> 187:23	<b>17th</b> 237:23	<b>20th</b> 14:2
263:22	<b>119</b> 204:20	<b>18</b> 7:9,13 8:8,13,14	<b>21</b> 105:20,24 183:8
1	<b>11:00</b> 28:6	8:23,25 11:14	183:13,23
	<b>12</b> 1:15 3:7,17 4:19	20:23 49:21,25	<b>230,000</b> 277:6
<b>1</b> 6:17 14:18,21	4:23 14:14,15,15	103:13,18 201:6	<b>24</b> 8:8,14 20:4,10
33:6,7 51:3 53:21	14:16 16:15,18	<b>19</b> 50:25 51:1,3	31:16
71:6 78:13 79:3	17:19 53:12,17,20	<b>1994</b> 213:7	<b>24/7</b> 209:23
81:22 110:22 135:9	111:17,18,19 125:1	<b>1:00</b> 3:12	<b>25th</b> 14:2
144:4,21 164:21	127:11 149:23	<b>1st</b> 112:1 138:17	<b>26</b> 41:7
165:1 170:3 177:8	150:2,13 180:17	225:10 297:5	<b>26,000</b> 8:9
204:24 205:20,24	186:16 196:7	2	<b>29</b> 302:13
207:8 231:18,22	265:10	<b>2</b> 9:9 57:12 73:25	<b>29th</b> 298:15,17
240:19 252:25	<b>12,000</b> 8:9	80:7 81:22 93:9	299:6
253:2,8 302:11	<b>12.7</b> 8:13	147:15 169:10	<b>2:00</b> 27:24
<b>10</b> 47:24 55:16	<b>120</b> 8:10	175:9 181:4 188:6	<b>2:33</b> 301:8
125:2 140:25 173:1	<b>1234</b> 214:7	188:15 194:23	3
173:7,19 186:17,17	<b>127</b> 220:7	204:23 205:8 207:9	<b>3</b> 14:18 57:17 81:23
187:10,18,21	<b>128</b> 231:13	220:20 231:24	81:24 82:21 128:4
191:21 195:24	<b>12:01</b> 187:14	240:13,20 241:3,3	134:3 136:15
205:15 283:2	<b>12:30</b> 187:3,9,13	241:4 254:11	154:18 183:6
10'sconcerned	<b>12th</b> 121:16	260:18	184:15 188:17
218:8	<b>13</b> 21:3 48:19	<b>20</b> 55:15,18 227:16	208:14 221:1,7
<b>1003.42.</b> 111:12	186:17 187:10	300:16	222:11 235:2
<b>1006.13</b> 241:16,25	196:25 253:18,21	<b>200</b> 265:20 292:12	240:14 241:10
248:24 249:3	254:1 262:8	<b>200,000</b> 6:17	254:15 256:9
250:25	<b>14</b> 12:16,21,23 14:8	<b>2003</b> 6:22 7:10 8:9	<b>30</b> 17:2 38:23
<b>1006.13.</b> 249:10	14:16 15:15 21:3	<b>2004</b> 180:15	186:25 187:2
<b>1033</b> 275:20	27:2 57:19 152:8	<b>2007</b> 130.13	287:25 295:8
<b>104</b> 169:5	195:3,7,8 197:6	<b>2014</b> 126:12	<b>302</b> 302:11
<b>108</b> 169:1,25 175:1	<b>140</b> 7:11 53:18	<b>2016</b> 14:18	31st 139:15
175:3	<b>142</b> 73:10	<b>2010</b> 14.16 <b>2017</b> 8:19	<b>33896</b> 1:5
<b>10:35</b> 125:6	<b>15</b> 21:3 197:23	<b>2017</b> 6:19 <b>2018</b> 6:22 7:11 8:10	<b>34</b> 39:16 160:24
<b>10:58</b> 125:6	235:1 297:17	8:11 9:2 14:18	169:9
<b>10th</b> 14:1	<b>15,000</b> 7:10	<b>2019</b> 1:6 14:17 41:1	<b>35</b> 12:22,22 13:19
<b>11</b> 14:17 40:25	<b>1500</b> 1:4	135:23,25 136:6	14:8 15:15 17:8
186:17 187:10	<b>151</b> 254:2	139:15 196:11	27:2 31:2,4 38:21
196:3 218:11,15	<b>15th</b> 14:1 179:24	223:1 302:13	38:22 39:6 40:17
220:6 223:1	<b>16</b> 1:6 21:3 50:25	<b>2020</b> 112:1	40:17 46:5,11
<b>11.2</b> 8:20	126:21 244:3 264:5	<b>205,000</b> 6:22 8:11	50:22 51:2 57:20
	278:7	<b>200,000</b> 0.22 0.11	107:2
			107.2

[35th - accountable] Page 304

<b>35th</b> 14:3	<b>60</b> 95:10 288:1	<b>985</b> 242:4	abusive 49:15,16
<b>36</b> 5:10	<b>60.7</b> 8:21	<b>985.12</b> 233:25	50:6
<b>36,000</b> 7:11 291:9	<b>65</b> 143:18	235:15 240:2 249:3	academic 70:16,23
<b>38</b> 169:9	<b>67</b> 138:20 188:11	249:5,10,19 250:25	accept 28:19 275:1
<b>3844</b> 169:8	280:17	<b>985.12.</b> 220:17	acceptable 117:19
<b>3:00</b> 27:24	<b>670,000</b> 287:15	248:25 249:7,22	access 6:13 10:13
4	<b>68</b> 9:12	<b>985.126</b> 241:16	11:18,23 16:7
<b>4</b> 14:21 57:22 97:11	7	<b>99</b> 169:11	39:11 40:2 46:6,14
137:25 141:6	<b>7</b> 14:17 41:1 72:25	a	50:22 60:13 62:24
156:20 185:15	125:4,21,24 134:1	<b>a.m.</b> 125:6,6	63:8 64:5 65:18
189:1,7 213:21	135:1 195:9 215:18	abbreviated 195:19	66:23,25 71:7
221:1 222:7,24	<b>7026</b> 41:6 72:18	ability 52:7 151:24	189:4,5 194:1,1
231:18 240:14	130:8 131:4 157:16	161:24,25 197:10	199:8 200:12 203:3
254:21	158:2 198:19 199:3	203:17 205:10	204:15 205:11
<b>45</b> 295:19	<b>7030</b> 128:16,22	212:22,25 234:17	211:5,6,16 212:7
<b>46.3</b> 9:7	130:8 131:5 178:21	237:19 290:19	212:12,22 213:1,10
<b>47</b> 55:14	182:20,23 196:14	<b>able</b> 2:15,24 34:21	221:4 222:1,16
<b>49</b> 54:14 55:11	<b>72</b> 20:3 31:16	36:19 40:1 46:5	228:5 231:25
<b>49th</b> 54:12	<b>73.5</b> 8:12	62:5 80:20 99:12	255:18,25 259:8,13
<b>4:45</b> 289:20 295:16	<b>790.162</b> 167:5	124:17 161:23	259:15 260:21
5	8	162:17 172:20	261:3 262:4,7 294:24
<b>5</b> 67:2 70:11 102:24	<b>8</b> 8:25 135:3,5,7	180:23,23 201:4	accessible 193:22
138:16 157:11	141:22 142:11,14	212:23 228:16	193:23 197:9,17,24
164:20 183:10,24	142:15 143:11	264:3,4 279:4,15	193.23 197.9,17,24
190:1,3 191:21	146:15,23,24 147:3	280:23 282:22	224:6
193:16 194:16	168:23 195:13	absent 236:4	accomplish 8:1
213:24 255:9	216:5 256:3	absolutely 15:2	accomplishing 8:1
266:12,13,14	<b>8,000</b> 265:9	19:17 27:17 30:25	accountability
268:14	<b>80</b> 58:16	31:13 32:20 42:19	57:23 59:9,15 60:8
<b>50</b> 54:13 55:13	<b>83</b> 8:24	47:4 49:22 81:14	62:6,9,21 63:5 64:3
56:14,19 95:9	9	90:2 94:25 115:17	65:24 130:11
<b>50th</b> 5:8 54:12	-	198:25 203:14	135:17 136:11
<b>51</b> 46:7	<b>9</b> 140:25 168:24,25 186:14 195:17	210:16 271:20	175:14 217:14
<b>51.6</b> 9:5	217:20	278:11	233:2 288:2
6	<b>90</b> 161:19 288:1	<b>abuse</b> 18:18 25:1,4 68:16 103:11,14,15	accountable 21:13
<b>6</b> 72:17 109:2	90s 212:14	104:8 105:12	24:12 25:21 36:10
111:17 140:13	<b>95,000</b> 6:22	111:18 113:5,16	36:18 64:11,14,15
189:12,16,25 190:3	<b>97</b> 161:19	119:22 120:2	66:4,7,11,13,19
190:3 194:16,21	<b>98</b> 135:9	abused 21:24 22:1	75:1 170:20 177:16
215:11 255:16			

[accurate - advocate] Page 305

accurate 56:6 70:7	active 99:23 230:23	addiction 110:1	298:13
78:22,25 82:5	255:20 266:25	113:12	adjourned 301:6,7
107:15 170:21	267:7 269:22	adding 77:10	adjust 299:1
172:9 173:3 178:15	278:23 279:17	159:18	administration
179:1,10 180:4	281:3	addition 193:2	64:13 135:18
199:1 235:24	actively 208:16,25	293:2	administrator
accurately 170:25	209:15	additional 3:23	140:6 191:7 208:6
180:10 279:16	activists 291:16	30:1 73:19 78:14	244:15,19 247:13
acknowledge 289:3	activity 23:16	173:6 217:21	administrators
acquired 207:12	123:16 199:13	262:11 273:15	10:17 51:17 245:12
act 7:17 8:2 12:14	250:13	278:21 279:25	261:16,21,23
14:1,2,3,22 15:3	acts 4:23 6:16,17	281:2 293:13	265:25
20:7,7 23:17,24	6:21,24 7:2,3,10,12	additionally 265:5	admission 31:5
30:19 32:6,17,23	8:8,12,15,21 9:3,6	additions 266:5	admissions 30:24
33:3 36:25 42:22	12:19 14:17,21	address 3:7 4:3,7	288:6
45:1,8,21 51:11	15:15 17:8 31:2,4	8:2 35:4 52:5 67:19	admitted 19:25
52:8 53:3,7 57:13	33:18 46:5,8,10	97:15 101:19	31:6 37:3 288:3
61:6 69:11 84:10	50:21 51:1 57:18	102:20 106:1	adopt 159:25
85:5 86:2 97:11	185:16	112:17 114:20	<b>adopted</b> 28:3 91:10
99:18 193:1 213:9	actual 55:7 265:13	161:24 163:2 192:1	111:15 160:2
acted 8:23 12:22	acute 61:1 84:8,18	192:2 218:23	adopting 252:23
13:19 14:8,17	84:22,24 85:24	253:22 268:11	adrenaline's
15:11 20:9 27:2	ad 232:21 282:12	289:19 290:22	270:21
31:24 37:16 38:21	adage 15:4	291:24 297:22	adult 15:12 103:14
39:2,2,4,6,16 40:17	<b>adapt</b> 44:24	298:5	104:23 287:1
45:5 52:24 57:20	add 17:1 38:3 54:4	addressed 106:8	adulthood 73:14
88:15 97:14,16	54:25 56:25 65:17	addresses 108:9	103:1 105:8 107:11
100:21,24 101:20	65:20 67:3,6 73:6	127:19 189:15	adults 8:8,13 15:15
102:18,21 106:6,11	74:18 80:22 81:23	191:18 234:1	advance 297:13
107:2 162:13	111:9 113:20	addressing 277:20	adverse 262:20
164:10 291:10	115:22 128:3,17	291:25 294:21	275:15 276:12
acting 7:24 13:24	134:13 137:16	adds 197:10	279:3
19:22 49:17 51:14	144:21 145:9 150:6	adequate 12:3	adversely 254:13
<b>action</b> 36:9 86:18	162:10 173:16	299:4	276:4
97:15 101:10,19	190:7 192:21,23	adequately 53:22	<b>advice</b> 250:22
102:20 124:3 128:4	197:7,17 214:15	54:16 55:23,24	advisors 254:17
176:6,22 184:12	218:9 222:11	56:10,21 57:6	256:10,23 257:20
192:18 199:14,17	268:15 269:4,5	adjacent 161:2	258:18 259:20,20
285:9,16	278:21	adjoining 224:4	260:7,8
<b>actions</b> 156:12	<b>added</b> 77:11	adjourn 187:12	advocate 121:12
164:4	145:14 195:11	291:14 296:8	292:1

advocate's 163:6	42:10,20 54:1 56:7	align 123:16	analytical 205:12
advocates 48:24	56:9 71:4 75:10	alignment 233:7	analyze 180:15
290:9	81:14 90:16 91:12	aligns 115:11	analyzing 198:8
advocating 34:16	95:13 99:4 103:7	allegations 13:3	anecdotal 54:1
affliction 17:25	107:19 128:18	allocation 72:20	announce 265:24
afford 5:19	249:23 261:13	allow 21:6 30:6	announced 30:5
affordable 202:16	270:11,17 274:16	77:15,16 80:9,16	announcement
afraid 25:9	287:9	86:13,23,24 88:12	264:9
afternoon 286:17	agreed 223:8 271:6	89:9 91:4 129:2	announcements
288:22	agreement 218:22	195:14 212:7	272:20 276:19
age 8:23,25 41:7	219:2,8,13 251:14	213:22 217:13	<b>annual</b> 6:17
51:4,13 104:15	agrees 57:4	235:7,7 239:25	annually 5:10
105:19,20 289:24	ahca 38:7,11 65:10	248:24 274:23	127:18
agencies 44:17	65:23 66:3,7,8,18	290:1 291:14	anomaly 26:11
66:12 71:17 111:7	76:10 99:11,15,25	allowed 21:4 95:5	225:14
136:21 140:16	100:5,17,19 102:16	95:18 105:23 242:3	answer 45:3 109:24
152:14,18,22 157:3	ahead 3:5,7 20:13	allowing 255:15	183:2 224:18
189:13 190:9	34:13 38:2 51:8	allows 5:25 68:23	answers 52:19
191:17 205:2 206:2	60:4 62:2 63:3,19	69:22 110:16 188:8	anxiety 116:24
206:19,20 207:9,15	85:17 86:19 94:16	235:1 274:24	anybody 3:18
207:21,25 215:20	101:22 114:15	<b>ambiguity</b> 175:10	16:16 23:19 27:14
236:16 254:22	119:18 120:18	183:18 184:2,6	53:14 54:6 65:14
255:17 260:22	121:19 123:19	247:17,20 251:3,4	67:22 70:7 73:15
261:2 273:8	125:8 141:23 143:5	amend 154:24	112:11 124:23
agency 46:12 64:12	148:18 160:11	157:12 159:10	126:23 131:21
75:17 152:12 154:4	163:17 166:13	165:3,16,17 168:2	132:3 133:23 135:1
192:1 234:13 261:4	180:22 187:17	184:13	135:1,4,20 136:14
275:20	193:9 206:16 232:5	amended 218:22	137:15,21 141:3
<b>agenda</b> 160:4	239:7 242:11	amending 241:5,16	147:14 151:25
289:21	250:10 262:17	amendment 167:4	154:15 160:11
ages 218:6	268:5 286:16	219:9	169:2 175:7,15
aggravated 185:21	297:24 298:4	amenities 289:8	177:7 179:12
186:4	ain't 41:8	america 179:21	181:15 185:24
aggression 13:3	air 125:10	amount 4:22 27:20	187:20 188:5,16
ago 12:5 30:5 40:20	alcohol 113:5	42:17 230:25	189:23 195:23
191:13 263:23	alert 14:14,20	288:11	204:1 217:16 218:9
264:20 294:12	97:12 100:9,17,19	analysis 5:15 223:6	218:14 220:18,23
300:16	102:17 161:12	225:11 255:3	231:21 232:4
agree 16:23 20:14	284:4	281:15 293:4	253:25 262:15
26:15,18 29:24	alice 284:4	analyst 11:3,4 12:7	267:13 269:7,10,11
30:14 32:19 41:2		12:8,20 157:4	273:22 286:9
		,	

298:12	243:12	arresting 19:22	80:10 87:23 88:1	
anybody's 14:11	appreciates 292:6	arrests 14:8 15:16	89:13 90:1,22,23	
186:15 251:7 301:5	approach 46:25	58:24	91:8,14,17,19	
anymore 29:18,23	141:7 204:4	arriving 52:12	92:10,16 93:10,12	
264:7	approaching 266:2	artfully 167:18	93:14 94:2 95:20	
<b>anyone's</b> 197:10	appropriate 3:24	articulate 16:23	125:25 126:10	
anyplace 39:23	5:23 56:11 89:8	275:17	132:24 133:16	
166:20 191:1	92:3 93:17 121:12	articulated 225:12	135:12,15,19,25	
anyway 53:11	137:12 141:17	ashley 1:13 16:21	136:1,7,9,25 137:3	
91:12 194:1 209:8	152:14 181:14	16:22 40:13 53:23	138:1,8,16 140:18	
215:14,17 271:17	205:4 206:22	53:24 54:20 55:2	140:25 141:9,19	
272:9	265:24 280:8	56:7 67:21,24 68:1	142:4,6 143:13,22	
<b>api</b> 210:14	300:23	68:7,10 122:6,11	144:7 145:19 147:7	
apis 204:14	approval 297:2	122:15,24 177:9	147:9,10,16,22,24	
appear 237:21	<b>approve</b> 132:17,18	178:17 215:1,2	148:12 149:20	
244:4	approximately	233:9,12,15,22	150:15,22 151:18	
appears 125:9	265:20	256:7,8 257:15	151:20 152:15,21	
appellate 159:17	april 172:15	270:1,8,11	153:20 154:6,19,21	
appendices 296:17	<b>arapaho</b> 263:7,9	<b>ashley's</b> 179:18	154:23 155:1,2,21	
applaud 35:14	area 18:21 33:25	asked 12:7 24:14	155:25 156:23	
286:18	72:12 161:5 177:15	50:20 125:11 138:8	157:1,6 188:19,24	
<b>apple</b> 228:22	208:10	199:23,25 262:19	189:3 194:5 198:7	
229:21 234:24	areas 62:22 216:16	282:11	198:9 200:23 205:2	
246:19	224:3	asking 137:24,25	205:16 207:11	
applicable 183:1	arguable 251:4	141:7,18 143:1,2	208:7 214:12	
255:2,7 256:6	<b>argue</b> 47:2,4 55:15	144:1 279:7	215:19 216:5,22,25	
application 204:14	64:10 236:6	asks 136:24	217:2,19 222:12	
258:15	argument 54:23	aspects 126:5	259:7 283:10	
<b>applied</b> 254:19	272:11	assailant 230:23	294:23	
256:12 294:4	<b>armed</b> 293:22	255:20 269:22	assessments 19:24	
<b>applies</b> 255:10	arrangements	278:23 279:17	86:16 87:1 88:20	
256:6 293:24	261:1	281:4	89:4,10 90:14 92:3	
<b>apply</b> 23:4 160:13	arrest 7:17 23:22	assault 13:1 23:15	92:5 94:9 127:10	
160:14 249:1	32:4,4,5,16 162:1	assess 127:17	135:4 143:15,19	
250:24	162:17 225:22,23	145:19 198:7 283:5	150:7 152:9 265:8	
appointment 61:7	226:7 228:19,22	assessed 84:11	265:10 293:25	
appointments	238:21 244:5 245:4	assessment 10:4,7	294:3	
298:22	<b>arrested</b> 12:23 13:4	10:12,15,18,22	assign 209:2	
appreciate 85:12	27:2 224:12 240:1	11:1,7,22 12:6,10	assigned 10:11,18	
161:19 162:3	291:2 294:13	12:15 13:6,17	11:21 12:6 136:22	
169:23 220:3		15:21 16:3,6 23:2	155:10 192:18	
Veriteyt Legal Solutions				

208:7
assistance 48:1,2
72:19 213:8 219:15
293:12
assistant 1:10,10
151:2,6,9,12
163:18,23 164:3,8
164:13 165:2,5,20
166:1 184:17 185:1
185:25 186:6,9
association 1:22
159:23 160:2,3
162:5 171:23,25
257:5
assume 160:12
assuming 181:17
264:25 297:8
<b>attached</b> 177:13,18
177:18 273:6
attack 180:14
184:20 185:18
attacks 180:17
attempt 49:3 50:11
attend 261:9,23
283:6 289:19
attendees 1:8
attention 294:19
attitude 210:20
attitude 210.20
227:21 234:6,15
235:11 249:21
251:14 288:25
289:1
attorney's 233:19
237:8
attorneys 227:17
257:5,13,14,16
260:8
attributable 8:16
attribute 264:2
1

auburndale 1:10
<b>audited</b> 143:18
auditor 142:3
143:8,12 144:23
auditors 173:1
augment 215:22
<b>august</b> 138:17
139:1 196:11
auspices 240:2
authorities 65:4
161:25
authority 21:9,12
69:1,25 130:5,17
130:24 170:8,11,14
170:23 179:6,13
184:11,13 188:1,4
275:10 289:23
<b>authorize</b> 74:12,12
77:25 78:3,7,8
80:23
authorized 74:1,9
75:8 173:5 302:9
automatic 259:14
automatically
113:12 275:17
available 58:21
148:6 150:24
186:21 189:13,21
190:9 191:17 197:8
197:14 204:25
205:1 206:9 207:12
209:9 215:23 217:7
217:11,24 258:7
average 11:23
205:8,9
avoid 23:22 89:12
<b>avoids</b> 238:21
<b>aware</b> 96:16
125:13 154:12

awareness 44:12

<b>awful</b> 163:11	_
b	
<b>b</b> 143:21 242:15,19	
264:11	
<b>babcock</b> 1:16 30:11	
30:23 31:1,11 33:1	
34:2 36:21 37:2	
62:3,12,15,25 65:17,20 66:17,22	
76:4,22,25 77:4,7	
78:12 85:18,21	
119:19,24 120:10	
121:24 169:4,14	
193:10 194:2,22	
195:5 197:16,20	
babysitters 22:11	
bacardi 288:21,22	
288:24	
<b>back</b> 3:22 6:22 18:25 20:2,4,10	
24:2 29:13 32:13	
32:14,15,17 33:15	
33:19 36:11 37:20	
37:21 50:20 53:2,6	
64:24 65:3 67:1,2	
86:5 99:9 100:18	
125:2,3 126:15	
128:14 132:2,6	
139:1,23 140:3 150:3,3 152:25	
155:10 172:6 174:6	
187:1,4,6 200:16	
205:20 207:7	
212:10,14 215:24	
217:6 221:1 225:10	
248:19 250:18	
251:3,4 256:8	
268:10,24 273:17	
273:17 298:7	
300:23	

backdrop 5:3
background 80:19
80:21 87:18 229:2
backing 162:3
<b>bad</b> 17:13 24:3
29:11 34:1 85:7
140:1 157:20 217:4
217:14 281:11,19
<b>bake</b> 140:10
<b>baker</b> 4:23 6:16,17
6:21,24 7:1,3,10,12
7:17,24 8:2,8,11,15
8:21,23 9:3,6 12:14
12:22 13:19,24
14:1,1,3,8,16,17,21
15:3,11,15 17:8
19:22 20:8 23:17
23:24 27:1 30:19
31:2,4,24 32:6,17
32:23 33:3,18
36:25 37:16 38:21
39:1,2,4,5,16 40:17
42:22 45:1,5,8,21
46:5,8,10 50:21
51:1,11,14 52:8,24
53:3 57:13,18,20
61:6 69:11 84:10
85:5 86:2 88:15
97:11,14,16 99:18
100:21,24 101:20
102:18,21 106:6,11
107:2 158:8 160:13
160:15 162:13
163:1 291:10
<b>balance</b> 36:19,20
148:17
balloon 7:25
<b>bang</b> 47:10
barbara 1:16
barbwire 49:4

[barriers - board] Page 309

barriers 118:18	bathroom 157:21	<b>beings</b> 110:9	<b>bill</b> 30:9 72:18
204:18,18	158:4	<b>believe</b> 29:2 109:24	76:15 157:16 160:5
<b>bartlett</b> 1:10 151:2	<b>battery</b> 184:19	110:16 126:20	160:10 163:16
151:6,9,12 163:18	185:17,20,21 186:2	128:15 135:21	167:3,13,14,17,19
163:23 164:3,8,13	186:4 242:16	151:21 171:21	167:22 168:6
165:2,5,20 166:1	243:12	172:14 181:25	203:12
184:17 185:1,25	<b>bay</b> 18:21	184:12 254:23	<b>bill's</b> 163:14
186:6,9	<b>bcps</b> 136:6	286:13	<b>billable</b> 76:23 77:7
<b>based</b> 8:5 27:6	beach 224:4 225:1	<b>bell</b> 26:14,20	79:24
43:13,13,16,18	227:6 230:3	belongs 36:15	<b>billing</b> 76:8 77:17
53:24 54:3,11 61:3	<b>bear</b> 175:6	beneficial 140:18	<b>bills</b> 131:11,12,13
61:7 70:12,24	<b>beat</b> 275:9	benefits 223:25	binding 297:3
71:14 72:21 73:21	beating 271:2	<b>best</b> 21:8 44:20	<b>bit</b> 7:19 24:2 44:7
74:4,8,17 75:16,16	beautiful 289:8	53:7 58:10 140:16	60:6 61:15 110:24
75:18 78:11 81:1	<b>beef</b> 145:13	168:11 200:1,16	164:9,15 219:19
83:17 86:13,24	beer 244:10 246:11	203:24 204:3 218:2	239:19,23
89:24 92:13 93:6	<b>beers</b> 246:9	228:9,9 239:4	<b>bites</b> 224:10 228:22
93:10 109:15,21	<b>began</b> 12:4 19:20	273:7 278:23 281:4	229:20 234:24
112:21,24 113:23	beginning 100:18	281:23 297:16	246:18
114:24 115:22	143:10 154:21	300:23	blackburn 302:6
116:6,15 117:2	<b>begins</b> 135:9	<b>better</b> 47:9 57:14	302:20
119:11 120:8,22	<b>behavior</b> 6:3 19:20	83:23 94:18 104:24	<b>blah</b> 150:16,16,16
121:8 139:12	20:6 22:6 23:1 24:3	107:8 108:22 114:5	<b>blanket</b> 130:10
144:22,24 145:1,9	28:11 29:11,12	117:23 127:10	141:16
147:21 148:4,13,21	32:7 35:5 49:23	152:22 153:19	<b>bledsoe</b> 286:15,17
149:6,6 196:13,13	50:1 116:14 117:22	199:15 203:15	<b>blind</b> 100:14
201:25 223:7	119:25 120:7 129:7	204:5 255:6 259:23	blinding 212:3
227:15,21 229:20	138:19 294:14	285:5 297:14	<b>blow</b> 158:21,23,25
229:21 232:13,15	behavioral 23:6	300:15	159:5 162:11
232:24 233:7 234:6	34:19 49:18 68:14	<b>beyond</b> 294:22	blowing 20:22
234:15,25 235:1,18	92:10 104:1 105:10	<b>bias</b> 290:19	<b>blue</b> 39:3,3
236:11,11 237:11	107:9 115:1,4,19	<b>big</b> 13:18 15:19	<b>blurt</b> 270:23
240:3,18 241:7,18	115:23 116:6 117:2	51:2 76:12 79:6	<b>board</b> 1:21 51:9
249:21 268:6 280:8	119:12,20,21,25	107:20 140:6	96:22 101:17
280:9 281:16	120:3,9,22 121:9	164:24 176:16	111:13,15 128:23
282:17 289:20	135:3,12 136:25	213:5,16 287:15	130:4,16,18,23,25
<b>basing</b> 54:21	144:7 147:15	<b>bigger</b> 47:10	132:17,20 141:16
<b>basis</b> 69:2 126:22	150:14 198:6	213:17 277:23	170:24 175:20
192:19 236:20	293:24	<b>biggest</b> 53:25 76:13	178:25 179:7 245:7
288:5,16	behaviors 22:3	210:15 259:1,1	247:25 248:21
	51:18 116:23 294:7		257:5,12,14,19
		ral Calutions	

[board - carroll] Page 310

	T		
259:19 260:3,12	<b>brings</b> 4:3,6,17	217:11 228:21,21	<b>capita</b> 5:9 47:6
274:25 275:25	<b>broad</b> 42:8 54:22	246:16 282:13	54:11,17,20 55:2,3
300:19	79:6 84:3 97:4	<b>burglary</b> 259:10,14	55:4,19 56:13,18
<b>boards</b> 132:17	103:3 118:11	business 187:11	56:25 57:1,7
<b>boat</b> 208:8	165:25 166:9 186:1	<b>bust</b> 23:14	capital 101:16
<b>bob</b> 1:8 162:25	248:16,19 250:16	<b>button</b> 201:19	<b>caps</b> 118:6,9,12
212:15	broaden 131:4	c	captain 268:18
<b>bodily</b> 167:10	broader 178:1	<b>c</b> 145:16 269:24	captioner 302:8
<b>body</b> 271:23	broadly 42:13	calea 212:16 213:8	capture 34:12
<b>bog</b> 93:2	<b>broken</b> 14:7 27:10	call 2:4 41:25 110:7	36:24 83:24 189:10
<b>bogged</b> 91:15	40:5,6 58:8	119:8 136:23	190:6
297:25	<b>brought</b> 18:10 53:6	147:12 158:23	captured 60:16
bogging 96:5	136:16,21 293:5		163:15
boggling 12:9	<b>broward</b> 2:7 72:25	159:4 199:2,6,7,8	car 25:6,7
<b>bomb</b> 158:22 159:5	125:18 135:10,23	214:5 228:17	cardiac 263:24
<b>bone</b> 58:8	135:25 136:10,18	243:25 276:8	<b>cards</b> 286:13
<b>boot</b> 31:19	137:7,19 143:15,22	296:21 297:7,11,25	care 6:4 9:18 10:2
botched 142:4	144:6 145:3,11	298:2	18:10 25:17 27:6
143:13	200:9 218:21 220:8	called 53:8 153:15	28:2,4 33:12 35:7
<b>bottom</b> 47:3,6	220:10,20 223:11	166:18 198:2,18	43:7 53:8 57:15
216:2	223:21 224:3,17	204:13 212:3	58:7 60:13,13,19
boulevard 1:4	225:9,13 228:1	calling 34:17	61:1,13 62:24 63:8
boundaries 49:23	229:19 230:17,21	269:23	63:11 64:5,12
<b>box</b> 192:20	235:13,22 237:18	calls 158:20 275:20	65:18 66:25 71:11
<b>boy</b> 12:16,21 13:10	237:23 238:2,25	cameras 262:1,1,5	71:18 72:21 74:2,7
<b>brainstorm</b> 114:4	239:9 247:25 250:5	262:7	74:22 75:2,14,16
break 72:3 125:2	251:11,17 265:8	<b>campus</b> 91:4 95:6	75:23,25 76:11,22
186:25 187:8	277:2,5 290:22	95:12 155:11 180:3	77:8,13 78:9,16,19
	brush 42:8,13	180:4,6,11,24	80:25 82:15,19
briefly 98:17	buck 47:10	238:9 255:20 261:3	84:8,18,22,24
brigham 263:22	bucks 41:10	266:21 267:1	85:24 108:12 207:4
bring 3:19 4:7,9	buddies 243:4	278:13 279:1	239:12 268:15
,		campuses 127:12	
21:10 24:8 25:4,6	building 49:4	178:7 293:17	269:6 271:2 286:23
26:9 27:25 28:7	173:14 265:25	cancer 18:12,24	286:25 287:3,5,7
34:21 52:2 53:15	<b>built</b> 59:3,6	cans 246:9	287:16,25 288:4,7
132:19 193:5	<b>bullied</b> 180:21	capabilities 205:18	careful 48:7 91:6
202:20 203:22	<b>bullying</b> 124:18	capability 99:11	92:25
204:1 266:24	250:14	188:2	caregivers 289:25
286:10	bumps 242:14	capable 188:9	carroll 1:17 3:10
<b>bringing</b> 26:13	bunch 153:14	capacity 108:4,18	7:18 17:15,16
35:15 68:24 153:19	158:15 213:16	256:2 261:5	24:19 27:16 30:15
	Veritevt Leo		1

Veritext Legal Solutions

[carroll - chairman] Page 311

31:15 34:4,5,15	cases 5:18 21:21	226:24 276:8 289:6	74:20 75:3 76:2,19
46:2,20,21 49:1,12	31:21 47:9 48:6	298:7	76:24 77:1,6,14,20
50:10,24 54:6 55:6	67:13 70:4 138:5	certainly 39:14	78:2,18,23 79:2,13
55:9,13 56:3 60:4,5	200:19	44:12 54:21 59:10	79:19,25 80:4,22
61:22 62:23 63:4	<b>cash</b> 47:25 48:2	85:12 117:18	81:10,21 82:6,13
63:10,13,16,22	<b>cast</b> 58:9 69:4	128:17 145:8	82:16 83:9,13,25
67:3,6,9,11 68:2,5	casting 290:1	153:11 167:25	84:12,17,19,24
68:12 70:3 74:18	cat 40:16,18,21,22	202:3 204:2 247:14	85:6,9,12,20,23
74:21 75:9 77:9,15	52:2 59:5 91:2	300:9	86:4,7,17,21 87:3,9
78:1,21,24 79:4,18	95:10 105:17,22	certificate 9:8,12	87:14,20,25 88:6,9
79:22 80:1 81:3,9	106:6,10,21 107:19	46:15 302:1	88:18,25 89:15
81:11 82:10,14	107:21 108:13,20	certified 52:16	90:3,7,25 91:5 92:8
83:25 84:2,16,18	<b>catch</b> 89:15	302:7,8	92:19,22,24 93:15
84:21 85:1,7,11	categories 177:25	certify 302:8	93:18 94:4,7 95:16
86:3,5,8 90:5,8,19	185:18,19,21	<b>chair</b> 1:8 60:20	95:21 97:3 98:9,11
91:23 92:2 93:16	category 183:13	93:25 198:13	98:14 99:7,21
99:8 100:1,4,9,12	185:22 186:1,2,4,7	205:19 222:8,10	100:3,7,11,16,22
100:20 103:2,5,8	cats 108:13	231:7 235:21	101:4,11,15 102:1
103:24 104:8,12,15	cause 7:16 8:3 93:1	253:20	102:12,15 103:4,7
104:18,22 105:6,9	159:15 277:10	<b>chair's</b> 174:7 212:4	104:17,20,23
107:18,24 108:2,8	caused 9:16	<b>chairman</b> 2:3 3:1	105:18 106:15,19
108:11 119:9	<b>causes</b> 163:23	4:2,12,14 17:15,18	106:22 107:4,8,14
120:24 121:4,19	290:11	24:18 26:2 30:3,22	107:17 108:1,5,9
129:15 136:4 150:5	caution 119:5	30:25 31:8,12 33:2	108:19 109:5,8,12
carroll's 75:5	139:20	35:12 37:1,5 39:7	109:16 110:20
120:15	<b>cbc</b> 31:6	40:24 41:3 42:2,5,9	112:2 113:21 114:7
carrying 106:25	<b>cbcs</b> 62:7	42:12 43:7,10 45:6	114:10,14 115:3,9
cars 23:15	<b>ccm</b> 76:20	45:11,20,22,25	115:12,16,21 116:4
case 9:17 10:1	<b>cellular</b> 213:11,12	46:20 48:25 49:11	116:8,12,15,21
14:11,12 24:9	center 45:8 198:3	50:9,23 51:7 53:8	117:1,5,10 118:3,6
25:12,18 33:13	289:2 292:2,6	53:11 54:4,24 55:8	119:18,23 120:13
37:6,24 43:11	<b>centers</b> 209:17	55:12,21 56:15,20	120:18,21 121:2,5
52:13 57:15 60:24	centerstone 1:13	57:1 59:24 61:21	122:3,14,17 123:7
82:23 83:19 84:5,7	central 44:25	62:2,11,14,20 63:1	123:19,22 124:7,10
84:14 103:9,12	286:22 292:3	63:9,12,15,18,20	124:13,19 125:7,19
106:5 107:1 118:7	centralized 187:25	63:23 64:17,23	128:13 129:9,22
152:7,18 159:14	188:7 194:24	65:13,19,22 66:5	130:20 131:7,19
163:1 165:15 181:9	<b>ceo</b> 1:13	66:15,18,24 67:5,8	132:2,21 133:5,12
233:3 236:1 237:18	certain 99:13	67:10,20,22,25	133:21,23 134:12
255:2,2 269:20,21	128:24,25 199:5	68:6,9,21 71:9,12	134:21,24 136:6
269:24,24 287:8	200:13 214:13,14	71:15,19 73:6,8	137:16,18 139:6

[chairman - charts] Page 312

141:11,14 142:7,13	220:6,13,15 221:11	challenges 80:15	changing 83:17
142:17,20,24 143:1	221:17,20,23 222:5	challenging 48:5	99:11 177:10
143:5,24 144:10,15	222:9,15,22 223:19	championsgate 1:3	<b>chaos</b> 264:1
144:20 145:8,23	224:18 225:7,23	1:5	<b>chapter</b> 3:7,8,17
146:2,4,8,10,14,21	226:1,5,16 227:8	chance 95:19	4:19,23 16:15,18
147:1 149:1,25	227:12,14 228:8,14	138:22 252:4	17:19 53:12,17,20
150:3,10 151:5,8	229:16,19 230:4,7	chancellor 1:14,14	92:20 125:1,3,4,21
151:11,14 152:24	230:16 231:11	71:3,5,10,13,16	125:24 134:1 135:1
153:6,9,14,18	233:11,14,21,23	110:4,20,23 119:7	135:3,5,7 141:22
154:10,13,15 155:8	238:7 239:6,12,15	123:8,10 134:2,13	142:11,14,15
155:23 156:3,7,13	239:19,21 240:7,21	134:19,22 139:3,4	143:11 144:3,12
156:16 160:12,14	241:2,4,11 242:2,6	148:18,19 169:18	146:15,23,24 147:3
160:19 162:8,14,20	242:10 243:14,19	169:21 171:19	150:2,13 164:22
163:8,14,22 164:2	245:8,24 246:2,4,7	173:17,18 174:6	168:22,24,25
164:7,12,17 165:4	247:21 248:9	185:7,8,12	186:14 187:10,17
165:7,14 166:12	249:24 250:3	<b>change</b> 6:2 15:2	187:21 199:19
167:1,24 168:10,13	251:13,17 252:1,9	23:7 27:15 35:20	202:25 205:15
169:12,15,20,22	253:4,7,10,13,17	44:8 62:20 77:2,3,3	218:7,11,15 220:6
170:2,5,13,16	253:24 256:15	77:21,23 79:21	253:18 254:1 258:7
171:12,14,16	257:2,8,21 258:2	85:23 98:8,13	262:8 266:10
172:13 173:4	258:20,23 260:10	112:15 116:1 140:9	268:14
174:17,25 177:20	260:15 261:13,19	146:1,7 165:8	<b>chapter9</b> 169:3
178:20 181:3,19,25	261:22 266:6,10,14	175:7 177:8 180:8	chapters 3:6
182:4,8,14,19	266:15,17 267:13	184:16 210:20,21	140:25 144:14
183:5 184:24 185:2	267:18,21,23 268:1	218:18 244:13	character 110:6
185:11,14 186:5,8	268:9,21 269:1,4	260:7 261:8 276:20	112:22 117:6,21
186:11 187:16	269:18 270:5,9,12	277:13 284:10,24	118:8
189:25 190:2,19,25	271:9,19 272:12,16	298:10	characterization
191:6 192:4,7,10	273:16 274:9,18,20	changed 113:19	145:5
192:21 193:3,8,20	275:12 276:10,13	146:4 175:17 176:2	charge 226:8
194:13 195:1,6	279:6,19 280:25	182:15,16 183:21	charged 208:10
197:19,21 198:14	281:10 282:19,25	205:21 220:9 264:6	209:22
198:23 199:16	283:19,22 284:19	changes 13:25	charges 32:8
202:8,12,18 204:19	284:23 285:6,11,14	68:25 102:22	161:16
205:24 206:4,7,11	285:19,24 286:3,8	139:21 140:11	<b>chart</b> 169:13
206:14,25 207:17	288:19 291:21	151:25 157:8	charter 10:5
207:20 208:14,22	295:7,11,15,17,24	187:20 188:16	138:21 181:22
209:1,7 210:9,24	296:2,6 298:16	189:7 218:14	182:6,11
211:8,11,19 212:5	299:14,18,23 300:4	250:20 288:12	charters 183:2
212:18 213:3,20	challenge 106:4	298:11	<b>charts</b> 50:15
215:10 219:21,24	198:10		
,			

[check - come] Page 313

ala ala 11.11.24	20.12.51.12.12	-1:£:	272.4 0 10 272.14
check 11:11,24	28:12 51:12,13	clarification	272:4,8,18 273:14
12:2 87:18 216:23	67:13 70:4 73:13	251:20	276:8 277:9 284:6
217:10,12 228:15	102:25 105:5	clarified 182:17	284:10,12,12,13
<b>checked</b> 216:11,11	107:10 289:24	clarify 233:8	285:18
217:7	293:13,18,18,19,19	249:12 298:14	<b>coded</b> 265:12
checking 11:14	294:13	clarifying 3:24	codes 77:8 174:8
checklist 72:22	children's 287:3	236:23	262:20 264:7
205:3 206:2,19,21	288:25 292:1 294:7	<b>clarity</b> 183:18	265:18 266:21
217:1,15	choice 275:5	clark 277:24	267:1,5,9 272:7
checks 80:19,21	<b>choose</b> 67:14 70:5	class 123:3 265:3,3	273:6,9,13,21,25
191:11	<b>choppy</b> 122:4	classes 111:25	274:16 277:20
chemo 18:14,15	<b>chris</b> 1:10	classified 185:17	285:2,4
chemotherapy	christina 1:23	classroom 166:7	<b>coding</b> 268:24
18:24	christmas 72:3	264:22,24	coin 21:18
<b>chief</b> 1:10,10,10	chronicle 160:22	classrooms 263:20	<b>cold</b> 125:8
63:19 73:5 151:2,6	<b>circuit</b> 1:11 48:19	clear 42:13 45:7	collaborate 111:8
151:9,12 163:18,23	234:17 235:5,9	48:8 129:7 150:17	collaboration
164:3,8,13 165:2,5	237:23 238:16	182:20 184:2,5	81:16
165:20 166:1	251:6	189:18,19 223:22	collaboratively
184:17 185:1,25	<b>circuits</b> 227:16,19	225:11,15,16	147:6
186:6,9 268:6	circular 184:25	245:10 247:18	<b>collect</b> 215:20
chiefs 1:22	circumstances	264:4	collected 205:2
<b>child</b> 13:14 14:7	50:17 226:25 279:4	clearer 183:11	206:9 292:11
16:5 18:11 20:2,17	279:14	clearinghouse	collecting 198:8
20:17,19,21,23,25	<b>cit</b> 26:17	263:5	204:5
21:23 22:1,4,22	citation 220:15	clearly 90:24 107:2	<b>color</b> 265:12
24:8,12,15,16,24	223:24 232:13,16	135:21 199:6	268:24 284:13
28:3,11,16,17	237:13,24 238:11	254:18 256:12	290:9 293:19 294:5
39:18 44:18,19	248:7 251:10	clients 95:11	colorado 263:1,2
48:24 52:8 53:7	cite 255:16	<b>cliff</b> 104:2	combination
68:3,13,15,16,17	<b>citrus</b> 1:21 158:14	<b>clinic</b> 264:11	190:11 235:3
73:14 75:14,24	160:7,18,22 190:25	close 5:8 102:24	combine 188:2
103:11,12,12,15,16	191:2,13	107:10 169:11	189:25 190:3
104:21 110:19	<b>city</b> 1:10 234:12	263:11	combined 194:16
112:20,23 114:12	civil 220:15 223:24	<b>closed</b> 133:1	<b>come</b> 18:19,25
228:3	232:13,16 237:13	<b>closely</b> 185:19	23:13 29:13 32:15
<b>child's</b> 11:3 67:16	237:24 238:11	<b>closing</b> 291:13	35:24 37:10,20,21
70:6	248:7 251:10	<b>code</b> 263:19 264:16	41:12 43:20 48:16
childish 242:22	<b>cjnet</b> 197:24	264:21,23,24	76:19 77:5 78:6
<b>children</b> 1:17,18	claims 40:3	265:23 269:17,20	95:5,11 96:5 102:5
7:9 8:23,25 19:15		269:23,24 270:2	115:5 125:2,3

131:13 168:3,5	179:18 254:10	70:3 71:2,21,23	123:24 124:9,12,15
172:6 180:13 184:9	292:12,13,17,23	74:18,21 75:5,9	128:7,23 129:15
187:1,4,6 200:16	295:5	77:9,15 78:1,21,24	130:3,16,22 131:22
204:12 227:18	commission 1:2,8	79:4,9,14,18,22	131:23 132:5,8,22
231:19 233:6 240:2	1:23 44:12 98:6	80:1,6 81:2,3,7,9	133:11,20,22 136:4
242:4 250:20 258:9	106:14 122:12	81:11 82:10,14	141:4,12,23,24
258:11 277:2	129:25 138:19	83:6,10,22,25 84:2	142:10,16,19,22,25
280:20 283:2 287:3	159:24 160:10,17	84:16,18,21 85:1,7	143:4,6 144:9,13
292:13,21 300:12	174:4 185:10	85:11 86:3,5,8,9,12	144:17 145:7,16,25
300:23	196:11 197:2	86:20,22 87:7,12	146:3,6,9,13,17,25
comes 22:22 33:14	211:25 218:20	87:16,22 88:3,7,11	147:19 148:3
96:22 177:1 217:4	222:7,25 231:14	88:23 89:14 90:2,5	149:22 150:2,5
229:22 242:14	248:4 252:23	90:8,18,19 91:1,22	155:3,18 156:1,4
243:25 244:2,16	262:25 272:22	92:2,14,21,23 93:8	156:11,14 158:14
249:19 250:25	274:24 289:20	93:16,25 94:5,16	160:16,20 162:9,15
259:21	290:3 291:24 292:7	94:17,25 95:2,17	162:23,24 163:11
<b>coming</b> 27:13 39:15	293:15 294:1,2,18	96:19 97:1 98:7,10	165:22,24 166:5
52:4 83:15 98:5	294:23 295:2,13	98:12,16 99:8,23	167:21 168:8,11
139:23 143:9	296:7 299:8,21	100:1,4,9,12,20	170:1,4,6,8,14,22
172:17 174:12	commission's	101:1,6,13 103:2,5	171:10,13,15
228:12 259:22	292:8,10,21,24	103:8,21,24 104:6	172:11,24 174:3,24
<b>comma</b> 63:6 108:6	293:3,11 294:15	104:8,10,12,14,15	178:24 179:7,13,16
108:20,20,21	commissioner 1:11	104:18,22 105:1,6	179:17,18 181:16
113:24 114:12	1:20 3:10,21 4:10	105:7,9,13,19	181:20 182:2,9,10
136:10 145:3	4:13 7:18 17:15,16	106:17,20,23 107:6	182:18 183:3
188:19 257:10,18	24:19 27:16 34:5	107:12,16,18,19,23	190:13,24 191:5,23
257:19	34:14 38:2,3 39:8	107:24 108:2,8,11	192:5,8,17,25
<b>commas</b> 108:23	41:2,23 42:4,7,11	109:3,6,9,13,19	193:7 198:13,15,24
commenced 12:15	42:19 43:9 44:9	111:2,5 112:13,14	200:20 201:20
<b>commend</b> 294:15	45:10,17,21,23	113:1,2,10,14,15	202:10,17 204:7
<b>comment</b> 128:8,10	46:3,19,20,21 47:1	113:22 114:3,6,8	205:19 206:13
134:3 155:20	49:1,12 50:10,24	114:11 115:7,10,13	207:13,19 208:13
156:15 262:16	51:8,9 53:10 54:6	115:25 116:5,10,13	208:20,23 209:5,18
286:11,12,13	55:6,9,13 56:1,3,5	116:17,19,22 117:4	210:1,10 211:7,10
289:13 291:15	56:12,17,24 58:1,2	117:9,11,12,15,17	211:18 212:1,6,19
295:19	58:14 59:18,20	117:25 118:5,15,20	213:19 220:11
commentary	60:4,5,7 61:22	118:22,24 119:4,6	222:8,10,19 224:15
156:10	62:23 63:4,10,13	119:9,17 120:5,14	226:14 227:5,9,13
comments 4:20	63:16,22 64:9,18	120:15,24 121:4,18	228:2 230:2,5,20
16:13 17:14 73:15	65:11 66:2,6 67:3,6	121:19,20,25 122:7	231:7 232:6 235:20
73:24 126:23	67:9,11 68:2,5,12	122:9 123:18,20,23	236:22,24 237:3,4

237:9,12,13,15,22	committed 24:17	companies 43:2	comprehensive
238:4,5,6,24 239:3	24:21 236:17	209:6 210:6,7,19	10:13 11:5 201:14
239:8,14,17,20	committee 48:19	211:17 212:20	207:11 223:6 229:7
240:6,10,11,24	committing 157:3	213:11,12	254:16 256:9
241:3,6,9 242:1,5,9	<b>common</b> 265:14	comparative 61:18	258:12
247:9,22,22 249:23	commonalities	comparatively	comprehensively
250:1 251:9,16,20	180:19	55:10	216:19 217:10
251:24 252:8	commonality 50:18	<b>compared</b> 55:19,24	computer 201:22
253:16,20 257:1,3	communicate	56:5,9,14 278:12	229:6
257:12,14,17,23	165:10,11,12 166:3	comparison 56:18	concept 118:10
258:17,21 261:12	communicating	56:22 57:6	259:4 285:23
261:15,20 262:18	75:22 266:20,25	<b>compel</b> 80:8 86:20	concern 98:24
266:9,12 267:11,15	273:19	149:16	147:25 148:2
267:20,22,24 268:5	communication	compelled 80:17	155:19 214:22
268:16,22 269:2,13	53:2 81:18 165:19	compels 86:12	242:25 297:21
269:14 271:11	165:21 294:17	compilation 299:20	concerned 91:18
272:10,14 273:1	communications	299:24	98:19 186:19
274:7,13,19 275:8	213:8 272:20	compiled 292:11	292:14 293:10
275:13 276:11	276:20	293:3 299:19	concerning 3:8
277:15 279:12	community 5:21	compiling 175:13	138:18 160:23
280:2 281:1 282:16	6:7 27:6 35:4,9	complete 51:5	161:15 170:11
282:20 283:18,20	43:13,18 48:20	144:2	292:9 294:7
283:22,23 284:12	61:3,7 70:24 74:8	completed 9:13	<b>concerns</b> 70:8 71:3
284:14,15,21 285:1	75:16,16 81:16	138:8 143:23	112:12 127:13
285:3,4,10,13,17	86:13,23,24 87:10	completely 42:20	154:16 185:24
285:22 286:1,7	89:2,24 90:11	115:10 273:13	231:21 250:6
298:14 299:7,17,22	91:23 92:6 93:3,6	274:15 284:17	269:11
300:2	93:10 94:11,19	complex 71:11	concert 81:15
commissioner's	96:20 147:18,21	compliance 171:8	<b>concur</b> 132:3 223:8
81:5 252:21 253:22	148:4,13,21 149:6	172:9,23 174:1	concurs 222:25
276:9	149:6 160:23 178:3	176:7,11 231:2	<b>conduct</b> 16:4 129:6
commissioners	180:7 200:17 201:1	compliant 18:6	129:17 157:5,14
131:20 133:14	227:15,21 232:13	complicated 260:5	166:11 198:9
218:20	232:15 233:7 234:6	complied 248:2	242:22 245:22,23
<b>commit</b> 26:24 30:7	234:15,25 235:1,8	<b>comply</b> 127:23	conducted 265:9
commitment 6:19	235:18 236:11,12	128:6 129:19	265:17 267:5 284:3
24:25 33:8 49:12	236:14,19 237:11	130:12	285:20
172:2,4 286:18	237:24 240:3	component 207:10	conducting 272:19
commits 225:20	246:22 249:21	208:1	276:16
226:19 238:9	277:19 287:20	composition 158:9	conference 296:21
	288:12 291:6 292:5	290:2	297:7 298:2

[confident - correct] Page 316

confident 16:9	159:24 204:2 207:9	content 16:18	<b>convert</b> 271:17
confidential 132:13	207:25 237:17	135:5	convolute 81:12
132:23 133:4,17	292:19 293:16	contents 133:18	cooperate 248:8
confidentiality	295:2	context 118:14	cooperative 210:19
48:14	consideration	contextual 6:11	coordinate 74:17
configure 189:14	231:10 281:14	continually 134:8	coordinated 9:18
191:14,15,18,20	289:17 293:7	134:11	coordinating 75:23
configured 192:15	295:23	continuation 82:18	286:23
conflict 110:11	considered 218:25	continue 121:16	coordination 6:4
121:11	considering 161:21	179:20 198:10	10:3 25:17 33:12
<b>conform</b> 168:15	consistency 147:18	231:24 275:8	35:7 43:8 53:9
confuse 19:20	234:7,21 235:5,10	continues 73:2	57:15 60:13,19
262:22	238:16,17	103:20 292:4	61:14 62:24,25
<b>confused</b> 263:12	<b>consistent</b> 112:6,9	continuity 103:22	63:10 64:6 74:2,7
265:15 274:11	130:20 227:15	103:25 104:11	74:23 75:2,14,25
confusing 29:11	235:18,20 245:17	105:3,10 107:9	74.23 73.2,14,23
183:12 267:2	252:10 287:24	103.3,10 107.9	78:9,16 80:25
confusion 175:11	consistently 54:12	235:10	82:14,19 90:13,20
175:18 176:3	227:20	continuum 75:13	91:25 94:13 286:25
183:19 266:22	consolidate 201:4	81:17	287:7,25
277:10	217:22	contract 41:16	coordinators 288:4
congress 213:13	consolidated	96:21	cop 177:1 208:8
conjunction 272:5	185:19	contracts 59:2	226:20 228:17,18
272:18	constantly 59:13	contracts 33.2 contrary 217:9	228:23 229:5,22,25
connotation 117:13	134:7,17	236:19	243:25 244:2,4,7
260:9	constrain 148:12	contribute 149:15	247:3 259:6
<b>connotes</b> 117:20,24	constraining 97:8	230:11	cop's 246:25
118:1	constraints 197:25	<b>control</b> 22:3,6	copies 293:5
conscientious	200:12	28:10 96:17 235:7	cops 11:17 16:7
290:21	construction 249:2	276:25 287:2	31:21,21,24 32:2,2
consequence 29:21	249:5	controversy 50:8	32:15 226:19,21
68:3	consultant 144:23	124:14	227:22 228:15
consequences 20:5	145:3,10	convene 216:22	259:17
20:9 23:4 29:18	consultation	283:9 296:21	<b>copy</b> 2:13 292:25
49:9 128:12,19	175:22 176:4,8,10	convenient 298:23	corcoran 111:5
129:21 224:11	176:12 183:7,9,20	conversation	<b>core</b> 8:2 122:12
235:24 236:5	183:23	110:24 163:12	corners 71:1
consider 15:24	contact 39:24	265:5	<b>correct</b> 2:22 27:17
73:19 77:10 78:14	177:1	conversations	31:13 32:20,23
99:10 106:14 143:2	contained 157:17	161:7	41:7 45:10,22,25
156:17 157:3			102:14,15 115:17

123:10 139:3	218:21 220:8,10,20	<b>crc</b> 302:20	criticism 203:14
142:16 144:9 169:8	223:11,21 225:13	create 77:16 99:3	250:7
173:18 182:9	231:1 234:12,16	167:22 203:18	criticized 36:8
192:13 202:19	235:22 238:2,15	235:4 242:20 243:5	cross 39:3
230:4 240:5,6,14	239:9 247:25 248:6	245:21 267:9	crossover 34:17
243:20 245:24	250:5 251:11,17	269:15,16	crosstalk 56:4
243.20 243.24 247:23 256:17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	created 216:10	
	265:8 277:2,5,24		crr 302:20
266:9 282:19	290:22 302:4,14	creates 247:20	crucial 230:17
298:16 299:16,17	<b>couple</b> 2:5 3:9	creating 217:15	cruz 13:13 17:5
299:21 302:11	23:25 50:15 125:21	232:11 251:21,22	20:20 23:21 25:15
corrected 137:10	193:5,14 199:18	creation 187:24	43:11 75:15 236:1
correction 169:17	246:9,18	crime 11:4 24:23	cruz's 142:4 143:13
costs 139:16	course 13:25 38:15	158:1,6,25 159:2,7	csu 32:10
counsel 1:9 260:6,8	45:7 69:3 97:15	161:17,24 178:9	current 5:15,18
260:14,14,16,16	101:10,19 102:19	198:2 209:17	68:3 161:17 172:20
261:8,9,23	112:4 122:13,25	225:20 226:20,25	194:8 195:4 216:4
counseling 25:6,7	127:5 160:20 172:7	236:17 238:9	234:9 290:5 291:6
counselors 10:17	219:14 286:19	242:24 290:24	currently 79:17
51:17	298:5	291:3	88:21 89:5 90:15
counter 284:5	courses 111:24	<b>crimes</b> 178:1	94:14 138:12
<b>counties</b> 96:13,15	123:16 175:25	225:17,18,19 235:2	145:17,24 181:18
140:2 188:11 200:8	<b>court</b> 9:10 21:11	248:17 250:15,18	185:15 217:24
224:4,16	24:6,9,16 29:19,20	<b>criminal</b> 7:7 15:13	232:17 251:11
<b>country</b> 5:7 55:4,20	34:17,18 68:10,22	23:16 32:8 50:3	290:19
179:25 263:3	69:1 93:7 154:8,8	157:13 164:4	curriculum 122:13
<b>county</b> 1:9,13,19	160:25	165:18 166:10	<b>curve</b> 280:3
1:20,21,24 2:7	<b>courts</b> 21:9,11 23:3	185:20 203:4	custody 161:3
10:24 11:15 12:5	24:7 30:6 69:8,9,13	220:21 230:18	customized 201:25
13:8 27:5 38:16,18	159:17	245:22,22	<b>cut</b> 40:9,11 44:23
43:16 47:24,24	<b>cover</b> 3:13 131:4	criminalize 29:3	63:25 65:15 119:13
51:10 52:20 57:19	195:8 268:14	294:4	cutting 47:11
59:12 72:25 91:3,3	<b>coverage</b> 103:19,19	criminalized 294:9	cycle 6:6 172:20,21
95:8 105:17 106:2	covered 161:18	crisis 8:4 29:7	cycles 172:18,19
125:18 135:10,24	256:24 299:16	31:19 32:10,12	174:19
135:25 136:10,18	<b>covers</b> 38:6 83:7	33:17 35:19 42:23	d
137:7,20 143:15,22	167:19	265:13,21 267:7	
144:6 145:3,11	crack 246:10	criteria 34:23,23	dade 224:5 226:15
158:8,14 160:7,13	cracks 224:9	36:13 227:19	226:17 227:6
160:15,18,21,22	crafted 149:24	234:18 250:12	daily 288:5
162:2,11 163:1	crazy 20:10	critical 60:20 61:4	damage 167:11
169:6 201:6 216:9	22323 20110	180:9	dangerous 294:11
107.0 201.0 210.7		100.7	

[data - deputy] Page 318

Joto 4.25 6.11 12	dana 59.10.20.21	desisions 10.0 21.4	doli-ron 166.21.24
data 4:25 6:11,12	days 58:19,20,21	<b>decisions</b> 10:9 21:4	<b>deliver</b> 166:21,24
16:1 35:7 40:3,14	58:23 60:25 180:1	21:13,15 22:12,20	delivering 6:1
40:15 41:8 42:22	191:12,12 286:20	34:18 49:22 52:17	72:21
43:5,5 45:1,3,4,12	288:1,1,1,7	121:11 152:22	delve 280:18
50:19 54:13 56:8	dc 263:6	224:1,2,13 226:12	demand 73:3 180:8
64:16,20 73:21	dcf 31:3 34:7 38:6	236:16 279:5,16	deny 255:17
88:16 140:14,18,22	38:6,11 39:2 40:2	declare 28:14	department 1:12
140:24 141:1 157:4	40:14 42:24,25	decreased 8:25	1:16,17,18,23
171:11 172:10	43:5 62:21 63:3	decriminalize	14:19 15:24 47:21
175:13 177:12,19	64:2 65:10,23 66:3	19:18 23:10	62:4,10 64:11
180:5 181:6,10	66:7,8,18 83:4	dedicated 12:10	76:10 100:13 127:2
183:6 187:18,25	99:11,15,24 100:6	157:4 171:25	171:22 172:3
188:2,8,9,10,10,12	100:16,18 102:16	207:10,25	173:11 184:11,13
188:18,23 189:2,4	149:8 152:10 153:5	deduplicated 6:25	203:6 223:5 225:4
193:18 194:5 196:7	153:6,22,25 193:21	<b>deemed</b> 291:2	225:6,8 226:17
196:25 197:3,7,12	<b>dcf's</b> 83:11 103:10	<b>deeply</b> 292:8	228:5 240:16
197:13,13,15,18,20	<b>de</b> 29:6 45:9,13,18	default 195:21	241:15 258:3,9,11
197:21,23 198:1,4	<b>dead</b> 271:2 275:9	defaulted 103:13	department's
198:20 199:8 200:4	<b>deal</b> 18:16 193:4	deficiencies 127:19	139:10 223:8
200:18 203:7,18	211:23 246:16	133:3	departments 227:7
204:16,21 205:1	268:1 285:17	define 118:9	dependency 18:9
206:9 207:12 211:4	<b>deals</b> 159:11	defined 103:17	18:11 19:2,9 68:21
213:23 215:11,25	204:21	185:15 232:17	dependent 103:18
216:7,21 217:11,22	<b>dealt</b> 32:9 36:14	237:7	depending 190:17
217:24 219:4	<b>death</b> 69:12	definitely 105:16	191:25 299:1
220:21 221:2,5,8	decade 278:1	115:14 137:10	<b>depends</b> 5:7 54:5
221:14,24 222:1,17	december 139:15	178:8	269:19
223:24 229:5,15,16	174:12 296:23	definition 185:20	depression 116:24
241:20	decent 5:4	241:7,17 242:15	<b>depth</b> 180:18
<b>database</b> 38:12,24	<b>decide</b> 27:23 95:5	definitional 175:10	<b>deputies</b> 13:5 147:9
139:15 215:5	decided 161:11	definitions 174:8	147:11
216:20 217:7	248:14	184:14,19 255:12	<b>deputy</b> 1:16 30:11
databases 11:14	<b>decision</b> 10:16 11:9	<b>degree</b> 137:11	30:23 31:1,11 33:1
40:3 140:21,23	21:7,16 52:7,10	158:10 202:13	34:2 36:21 37:2
156:21 201:7 208:5	154:7 157:2 184:8	delay 192:14	52:12 62:3,12,15
216:11	217:13 221:3,4,6	delays 140:1	62:25 65:17,20
date 181:7 194:25	221:25 222:2,12,13	<b>delete</b> 101:8 286:4	66:17,22 76:4,22
dated 302:13	222:14,18 228:19	delineate 102:4	76:25 77:4,7 78:12
davidson 263:24	235:12 244:24	delinquency	85:18,21 119:19,24
day 3:16 24:14	275:5 280:8 281:16	114:21	120:10 121:24
289:4,4 298:20,22	2.2.2 23.3 23.10		161:5 169:4,14
·	Varitant I ac		

[deputy - district] Page 319

193:10 194:2,22	101:9,18 102:17,19	284:13 299:25	discontinue 295:10
195:5 197:16,20	110:9 111:22	differentiating	discretion 176:20
desantis 111:6	119:14 120:20	37:15	176:25 177:3
describe 239:5	121:2,10,13,21,22	differently 15:9	226:24 243:22,23
description 161:20	127:18 129:23	32:9	245:10 247:12,18
designate 205:4	205:3 278:23	<b>difficult</b> 67:15 70:6	247:18 251:8 291:7
206:6,20	279:10 280:15	294:25 298:2	discretion's 246:14
designated 206:22	281:3,16	difficulty 252:20	discretionary
designating 106:2	developed 135:22	<b>dig</b> 50:16 63:17	126:19
designed 22:4	159:14 216:6	digital 212:21	discuss 187:11
70:20 119:12,14	261:10,24 263:6	diplomate 302:7	296:25
120:17,23,25,25	developing 61:12	<b>direct</b> 170:23	discussed 69:12
121:2,9,20,21	283:16	178:24 179:7,8	177:11 220:3 224:8
218:23	development 110:6	183:14 231:25	231:23 286:19
<b>desire</b> 276:24	112:22 117:6,21	direction 99:1	296:11
desired 261:5	118:8	183:11 230:11	discussing 96:2
desk 244:17,18	<b>device</b> 159:2,12,13	300:18	287:17,17
desperately 291:19	167:6,9 192:16	<b>directly</b> 79:10,16	discussion 5:4,13
despite 126:10	<b>devices</b> 196:15,23	231:19 286:22	7:21 83:1,17
destruction 244:8	<b>devil</b> 163:6	director 263:1	101:24 129:15
destructive 21:5	diabetes 18:1	265:7 283:7	156:9 195:4 244:22
49:18 159:2,12	diagnoses 34:24	disabilities 290:10	250:6 262:23
167:6,9	diagnosis 34:24	293:18 294:6	290:16
<b>detail</b> 119:16 141:2	dice 226:2	<b>disagree</b> 17:17,18	discussions 203:5
<b>details</b> 263:15	dietary 19:7	40:12 42:2 71:4	211:2,21
<b>detect</b> 195:24	difference 59:16	103:2 257:3	disease 17:25
detected 196:2	115:18 238:19	disagrees 160:15	dispatched 138:7
detection 195:22	251:1	discharge 9:25	147:12
detective 232:7	differences 54:8	33:11,20 37:6	disproportionate
259:11,14	different 11:14	57:14 167:9	293:16
determination	14:20,21 15:4,7	disciplinary 128:4	disproportionately
144:22 145:2,10	26:25 38:5,22,23	129:17,18	294:19
255:3,9,13	40:4 65:3,4 80:11	discipline 216:7	disrespectful 25:11
determine 37:23	83:24 103:15	222:20 290:20	distinguishable
46:6 88:17 218:2	128:22 131:9,10,12	disciplines 10:20	27:1
228:16	175:25 176:1	207:22	distributed 2:12
determined 142:3	194:17 200:9 201:7	disclose 256:3	<b>district</b> 2:7 72:18
143:12	202:16 207:22	259:5	89:1 94:23 118:25
detrimental 262:20	208:5 213:17 230:3	disclosure 133:19	128:12 135:17
<b>develop</b> 23:3 97:15	249:19 250:21	255:1,15	136:12 139:2
100:6,7,8,17,19	251:4 265:20		161:11 191:15

[district - dynamic] Page 320

208:4 219:16 235:22 237:17 238:2 243:9 254:16 256:10,23 257:10 257:15,18 260:12 260:24,25 274:24 274:25 278:2 districts 73:1 80:9 99:11 91:21 94:10,21,24 95:3 99:9,11 91:21 195:17 196:18 213:24 232:11 233:5 236:10 238:8 255:16 260:23 281:12 232:10 285:1,41,11,13,17 dodd's 158:14 doe 122:19 127:6 dip 24:23 34:7 99:15 100:16,16 dip 124:23 34:7 195:17 196:18 213:24 232:11 233:5 236:10 238:8 255:16 260:23 281:12 228:9 districtwide 126:7 1277:7,24 ditch 256:25 diverse 96:12 200:4 diversion 24:6 37:10,11 203:1,4 218:12 219:1,4 220:16,21 221:2,3 221:4,5,8,14,24,25 222:2,11,14,16 223:7,24 224:10 223:3 224:17 223:3 229:12 233:1 238:1 238:1 238:1 239:4 240:18 241:7 223:3 229:12 233:1 238:1 238:1 239:1 233:2 221:2,1 233:2 234:18 235:1 238:11 239:1 235:2 328:10 231:14 239:1 235:2 328:10 231:14 219:1,4 220:21 232:3,16 226:1 232:3,16 226:1 232:3,16 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10 224:10 232:3,10			I	T
238:2 243:9 254:16   256:10.23 257:10   230:18   258:17.21 233:22   219:1,8   252:23 297:19.20   257:15.18 260:12   260:24.25 274:24   274:25 278:2   245:22   245:22   245:22   257:4.23   275:25 278:2   245:22   257:4.23   229:23   283:23 284:15   284:38 217   245:22   257:25 278:2   245:22   257:4.23   258:17.21 233:22 278:1.10   229:23   243:22 260:10   229:23   256:21 257:6.8.24   259:22 260:10   256:21 257:6.8.24   279:27 279:10.14   282:17   279:82 280:6.9   279:82 280:6.9   279:82 280:6.9   279:82 280:6.9   281:6.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   231:16.20,24 230:2   230:16.20 200:4   240:18 24:7   240:18 24:17   233:13   233:13   233:13   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:14   233:13   233:13   233:14   233:13	208:4 219:16	diversionary	240:10,11,24 241:3	download 2:24
256:10,23 257:10   230:18   diversity 290:3   diversity 290:3   diversity 290:3   285:23 284:15   285:23 284:15   draw 77:17 201:3   draw 71:17 201:3   draw 77:17 201:3   draw 71:17			· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
257:15,18 260:12   260:24,25 274:24   279:12 28:19 239:1   245:22   285:1,4,10,13,17   278:24 279:10,13   279:278:1   245:22   285:1,4,10,13,17   278:24 279:10,14   286:13,23 87:5,10   299:23   128:4 138:17,23   278:24 279:10,14   282:17   278:24 279:10,14   282:17   278:24 279:10,14   282:17   278:24 279:10,14   282:17   278:24 279:10,14   282:17   278:24 279:10,14   282:17   278:24 279:10,14   282:17   278:24 279:10,14   282:17   278:24 279:10,14   279:8,9 280:6,9   277:3 278:1,10   279:8,9 280:6,9   279:8,			′	
260:24,25 274:24   245:22	· ·	230:18		<u>'</u>
274:25 278:2	257:15,18 260:12		283:23 284:15	drafted 163:15
districts         73:1 80:9         diverted         37:11         doe         122:19 127:6         278:24 279:10,14           86:13,23 87:5,10         90:9,11 91:21         4j         100:16         256:21 257:6,8,24         drilling         280:1           94:10,21,24 95:3         4j         29:15 100:16,6,16         259:22 260:10         drilling         280:1           97:2 111:21 122:20         99:15 100:1,6,16         261:10,24         277:3 278:1,10           138:21 140:7         102:16 114:19         23:16 27:5 32:14         279:8,9 280:6,9           170:18 175:5         152:10 153:4,5,21         36:4 37:18,19 38:1         279:8,9 280:6,9           213:24 232:11         223:23 225:10         110:21 129:11,21         281:6,6,9,16,17,17           233:5 236:10 238:8         231:16,20,24 232:2         167:16 168:14         285:20           255:16 260:23         231:16,20,24 232:2         170:10 174:4 185:4         285:20           districtwide         126:7         doable         202:14,15         209:16 225:2         207:24 99:11         275:24 58:31 66:35:4           divergent         175:20         doctors         18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         275:25 78:9,15,16         275:24 58:31 66:3 65:24           diversion         24:6         37:10,11 203:1,4	260:24,25 274:24	<b>divert</b> 228:19 239:1	285:1,4,10,13,17	<b>draw</b> 77:17 201:3
86:13,23 87:5,10         229:23         128:4 138:17,23         282:17           90:9,11 91:21         dj 100:16         256:21 257:68,24         drilling 280:1           97:2 111:21 122:20         99:15 100:16,16         259:22 260:10         272:19 276:16           126:16 127:1,23         100:18 101:17         261:10,24         277:3 278:1,10           138:21 140:7         102:16 114:19         23:16 27:5 32:14         279:8,9 280:6,9           170:18 175:5         152:10 153:4,5,21         36:4 37:18,19 38:1         281:23,23 282:15           213:24 23:11         223:3 225:10         231:16,20,24 232:2         153:19 158:12         283:6,11 284:3           235:16 260:23         231:16,20,24 232:2         153:19 158:12         283:6,11 284:3           255:16 260:23         224:17,20 231:14         170:10 174:4 185:4         285:20           ditch 256:25         doctor 18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         63:5 64:3 65:24           diversen 24:6         37:10,11 203:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23           37:10,11 203:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23           212:4,5,8,14,24,25         181:14         documents 145:19         295:3           222:21,1,14,16         223:7,24 224:10         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         400r 8:7 28	274:25 278:2	245:22	dodd's 158:14	<b>drill</b> 99:12 278:4,11
90:9,11 91:21   94:10,21,24 95:3   94:10,21,24 95:3   97:2 111:21 122:20   99:15 100:1,6,16   126:16 127:1,23   100:18 101:17   23:16 27:5 32:14   279:8,9 280:6,9 170:18 175:5   152:10 153:4,5,21   36:4 37:18,19 38:1   233:3 225:10   233:3 225:10   233:3 225:10   233:3 225:10   233:14   233:6 26:22 222:25   224:17,20 231:14   202:21   202:21   202:21   202:21   202:21   202:14,15   213:12 20:4   220:22 221:4,5   213:14,22 28:10   234:17 138:8   227:14,22,3   221:4,5,8,14,24,25   222:21,14,16   223:3 223:10   223:3 225:10   238:10,17:25   222:21,14,16   232:3,23 28:15   233:13,16 234:18   233:10 71:22   233:13,16 234:18   233:20,22 146:17   241:18 244:6   132:5,8,22 133:11   245:3 247:1,20   243:1,18 244:6   132:5,8,22 133:11   245:3 247:1,20   243:1,18 244:6   132:5,8,22 133:11   245:3 247:1,20   245:21 202:21   243:1,18 244:6   132:5,8,22 133:11   245:3 247:1,20   245:21 202:21   243:1,18 244:6   132:5,8,22 133:11   245:12 26:25   245:20   245:3 247:1,20   245:24 24:10   245:3 247:1,20   243:1,18 244:6   132:5,8,22 133:11   245:3 247:1,20   243:1,18 244:6   132:5,8,22 133:11   245:12 26:62 27:5   245:21   245:22   245:22   245:22   245:24   245:22   245:24   245:22   245:24   24	<b>districts</b> 73:1 80:9	diverted 37:11	<b>doe</b> 122:19 127:6	278:24 279:10,14
94:10,21,24 95:3 97:2 111:21 122:20 106:16 127:1,23 100:18 101:17 138:21 140:7 170:18 175:5 152:10 153:4,5,21 233:5 236:10 238:8 233:16,20,24 232:2 233:22 222:25 281:12,22 282:9 ditch 256:25 divergent 175:20 diverse 96:12 200:4 diverse 96:12 200:4 diverse 96:12 200:4 diverse 96:12 200:4 diverse 96:12 21:1,4 223:7,24 224:10 225:3,16 226:1 225:	86:13,23 87:5,10	229:23	128:4 138:17,23	282:17
97:2 111:21 122:20 126:16 127:1,23 138:21 140:7 170:18 175:5 152:10 153:4,5,21 195:17 196:18 233:5 236:10 238:8 231:16,20,24 232:2 243:11,22 282:9 districtwide 126:7 127:7,24 ditch 256:25 divergent 175:20 diverse 96:12 200:4 diversion 24:6 37:10,11 203:1,4 218:12 219:1,4 220:16,21 221:2,3 221:4,5,8,14,24,25 222:2,11,14,16 223:7,24 224:10 225:3,16 226:1 225:3,16 226:1 227:3 229:1,21 225:3,16 226:1 227:3 229:1,21 233:2 23:11 233:2 23:11 233:2 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 23:13 23:11 233:2 24:10 242:13 24:10 242:13 24:10 255:14 255:16 260:2  255:16 260:23 diverse 96:12 200:4 ditch 256:25 doctor 18:1,5,8 202:71 4,22,23 doctors 18:17 242:13 243:15 248:21 268:22 diverse 96:12 200:4 document 132:13 242:13 243:15 248:12 219:1,4 256:14 277:3 278:1,10 279:8,9 280:6,9 281:6,27:5 32:14 281:6,27:5 32:14 281:6 27:5 32:14 281:6 27:5 32:14 281:6 27:6 27:6 32:14 281:6 27:6 21 281:2 219:1,1 283:6,11 284:3 288:12 29:1,2 285:20 driver 32:4 233:2 driver 5:17,21 57:24 58:3,11 60:3 65:5 64:3 65:24 73:21 drivers 17:21 drivers 17:21 drivers 17:21 drivers 17:21 driving 6:2 156:12 156:14 drop 58:4 218:19 drove 161:5 due 135:13 171:17 197:25 door 8:7 28:6 32:13 33:9,15,19 97:6 doors 96:20 264:22 dorn 265:6 280:19 doubt 16:2 55:14 duplication 178:9 duites 256:1 duy 242:14 duyal 169:6 dying 19:5 dynamic 134:16	90:9,11 91:21	<b>dj</b> 100:16	256:21 257:6,8,24	drilling 280:1
126:16 127:1,23	94:10,21,24 95:3	<b>djj</b> 24:23 34:7	259:22 260:10	<b>drills</b> 265:17 267:5
138:21 140:7	97:2 111:21 122:20	99:15 100:1,6,16	261:10,24	272:19 276:16
170:18 175:5	126:16 127:1,23	100:18 101:17	doing 17:4 22:15	277:3 278:1,10
195:17 196:18	138:21 140:7	102:16 114:19	23:16 27:5 32:14	279:8,9 280:6,9
213:24 232:11         223:3 225:10         110:21 129:11,21         283:6,11 284:3           233:5 236:10 238:8         231:16,20,24 232:2         153:19 158:12         285:20           255:16 260:23         djj's 220:22 222:25         167:16 168:14         drive 32:4 233:2           281:12,22 282:9         224:17,20 231:14         170:10 174:4 185:4         drive 32:4 233:2           districtwide 126:7         doable 202:14,15         185:5 199:21         57:24 58:3,11 60:3           127:7,24         202:21         209:16 225:2         63:5 64:3 65:24           ditch 256:25         doctor 18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         73:21           diverse 96:12 200:4         doctors 18:17         248:21 268:22         drives 17:21           diversion 24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         156:14           37:10,11 203:1,4         176:15 177:6         278:20 279:2,9         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove 161:5           220:14,5,8,14,24,25         181:14         202:7         200:7         donna's 195:2         dons 's 728:6 32:13         33:9,15,19 97:6         due 135:13 171:17           222:3,12,21         232:13,16 234:18         51:8,9 53:10 71:22         door 96:20 264:22         dorn 265:6 280:19         duties 256:1         duty 242:14         duvy 2	170:18 175:5	152:10 153:4,5,21	36:4 37:18,19 38:1	281:6,8,9,16,17,17
233:5 236:10 238:8         231:16,20,24 232:2         153:19 158:12         285:20           255:16 260:23         djj's 220:22 222:25         167:16 168:14         drive 32:4 233:2           281:12,22 282:9         doable 202:14,15         170:10 174:4 185:4         driven 5:17,21           districtwide 126:7         doable 202:14,15         185:5 199:21         57:24 58:3,11 60:3           127:7,24         202:21         209:16 225:2         63:5 64:3 65:24           ditch 256:25         doctor 18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         73:21           diverse 96:12 200:4         document 132:13         242:13 243:15         drives 17:21           diversion 24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         156:14           37:10,11 203:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove 161:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         202:7         dollars 5:16 59:5,8         drug 113:5           222:2,11,14,16         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         doors 8:7 28:6 32:13         33:9,15,19 97:6         dump 72:11           223:13,16 234:18         51:8,9 53:10 71:22         doubt 16:2 55:14         duuplication 178:9           232:13,16 234:18         124:17 96:19 112:13         55:17         duuplication 178:9           232:13,18 242:21	195:17 196:18	154:1 219:7,11	41:16 96:24 106:7	281:23,23 282:15
255:16 260:23         djj's         220:22 222:25         167:16 168:14         drive         32:4 233:2           281:12,22 282:9         doable         202:14,15         185:5 199:21         57:24 58:3,11 60:3           127:7,24         202:21         209:16 225:2         63:5 64:3 65:24           ditch         256:25         doctor         18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         73:21           diverse         96:12 200:4         document         132:13         242:13 243:15         drives         17:21           diversion         24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         156:14         4rop         58:4 218:19           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drop         58:4 218:19           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove         161:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         documented         176:9         202:7         donna's         195:2         due         135:13 171:17           222:2,11,14,16         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         door         8:7 28:6 32:13         duplicating         35:11           227:3 229:1,21         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         door         25:17         duuplication <td< td=""><td>213:24 232:11</td><td>223:3 225:10</td><td>110:21 129:11,21</td><td>283:6,11 284:3</td></td<>	213:24 232:11	223:3 225:10	110:21 129:11,21	283:6,11 284:3
281:12,22 282:9         224:17,20 231:14         170:10 174:4 185:4         driven 5:17,21           districtwide 126:7         doable 202:14,15         185:5 199:21         57:24 58:3,11 60:3           127:7,24         202:21         209:16 225:2         63:5 64:3 65:24           ditch 256:25         doctor 18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         73:21           divergent 175:20         doctors 18:17         242:13 243:15         drives 17:21           diversion 24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         driving 6:2 156:12           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drove 161:5           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove 161:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         documented 176:9         dona's 195:2         due 135:13 171:17           222:2,11,1,4,6         176:19         door 8:7 28:6 32:13         dump 72:11         duplicating 35:11           225:3,16 226:1         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         duplicating 35:11         duplication 178:9           232:13,16 234:18         51:8,9 53:10 71:22         dorn 265:6 280:19         duttes 256:1         duty 242:14           239:4 240:18 241:7         114:3 131:22,23         douglas 1:1,21         duyal 169:6         dying 19:5	233:5 236:10 238:8	231:16,20,24 232:2	153:19 158:12	285:20
281:12,22 282:9         224:17,20 231:14         170:10 174:4 185:4         driven 5:17,21           districtwide 126:7         doable 202:14,15         185:5 199:21         57:24 58:3,11 60:3           127:7,24         202:21         209:16 225:2         63:5 64:3 65:24           ditch 256:25         doctor 18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         73:21           divergent 175:20         doctors 18:17         242:13 243:15         drives 17:21           diversion 24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         driving 6:2 156:12           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drove 161:5           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove 161:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         documented 176:9         dona's 195:2         due 135:13 171:17           222:2,11,1,4,6         176:19         door 8:7 28:6 32:13         dump 72:11         duplicating 35:11           225:3,16 226:1         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         duplicating 35:11         duplication 178:9           232:13,16 234:18         51:8,9 53:10 71:22         dorn 265:6 280:19         duttes 256:1         duty 242:14           239:4 240:18 241:7         114:3 131:22,23         douglas 1:1,21         duyal 169:6         dying 19:5	255:16 260:23	djj's 220:22 222:25	167:16 168:14	drive 32:4 233:2
127:7,24         202:21         209:16 225:2         63:5 64:3 65:24           ditch 256:25         doctor 18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         73:21           divergent 175:20         doctors 18:17         242:13 243:15         drives 17:21           diversion 24:6         134:17 138:8         247:25 278:9,15,16         156:14           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drop 58:4 218:19           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove 161:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         documented 176:9         doma's 5:16 59:5,8         drug 113:5           222:2,11,14,16         176:19         documents 145:19         doma's 195:2         dom 8:7 28:6 32:13         dump 72:11           225:3,16 226:1         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         duplicating 35:11         duplication 178:9           232:13,16 234:18         51:8,9 53:10 71:22         dorn 265:6 280:19         duties 256:1         duty 242:14           239:4 240:18 241:7         112:14 113:2,14         55:17         duval 169:6           241:18 242:21         114:3 131:22,23         douglas 1:1,21         duyanic 134:16           245:3 247:1,20         133:20,22 146:17         128:11 262:6 276:5         dynamic 134:16	281:12,22 282:9	00	170:10 174:4 185:4	<b>driven</b> 5:17,21
127:7,24         202:21         doctor         18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         73:21           divergent         175:20         doctors         18:17         242:13 243:15         drives         17:21           diverse         96:12 200:4         document         132:13         248:21 268:22         driving         6:2 156:12           diversion         24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         driving         6:2 156:12           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drop         58:4 218:19           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove         161:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         documented         176:9         202:7         due         135:13 171:17           222:2,11,14,16         176:19         door         8:7 28:6 32:13         due         135:13 171:17           225:3,16 226:1         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         duplicating         35:11           227:3 229:1,21         dodd         1:21 46:3         doors         96:20 264:22         duries         256:1           235:1 238:11 239:1         94:17 96:19 112:13         55:17         duty         242:14           239:4 240:18 241:7	districtwide 126:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	185:5 199:21	57:24 58:3,11 60:3
ditch         256:25         doctor         18:1,5,8         227:14,22,23         73:21           divergent         175:20         doctors         18:17         242:13 243:15         drives         17:21           diversion         24:6         134:17 138:8         227:25 278:9,15,16         277:25 278:9,15,16         156:14           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drop         58:4 218:19           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove         161:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         documented         176:9         dollars         5:16 59:5,8         drug         113:5           222:2,11,14,16         176:19         documents         145:19         dona's         195:2         due         135:13 171:17           223:7,24 224:10         295:3         dodd         1:21 46:3         doors         8:7 28:6 32:13         dump         72:11           225:3,16 23:1,1         51:8,9 53:10 71:22         doors         96:20 264:22         duplication         178:9           235:1 238:11 239:1         94:17 96:19 112:13         40ubt         16:2 55:14         duty         242:14           241:18 242:21         114:3 131:22,23         132:5,8,22 1	127:7,24		209:16 225:2	63:5 64:3 65:24
diverse         96:12 200:4         document         132:13         248:21 268:22         driving         6:2 156:12           diversion         24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         156:14         156:14           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drop         58:4 218:19           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drug         113:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         dollars         5:16 59:5,8         drug         113:5           221:4,5,8,14,24,25         documented         176:9         dona's         195:2         due         135:13 171:17           222:2,11,14,16         176:19         door         8:7 28:6 32:13         dump         72:11           225:3,16 226:1         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         duplicating         35:11           227:3 229:1,21         dodd         1:21 46:3         doors         96:20 264:22         duplication         178:9           235:1 238:11 239:1         94:17 96:19 112:13         doubt         16:2 55:14         duty         242:14           239:4 240:18 241:7         114:3 131:22,23         doug         243:10         duyal         169:6           243:1,18 244:6 <td>ditch 256:25</td> <td><b>doctor</b> 18:1,5,8</td> <td>227:14,22,23</td> <td>73:21</td>	ditch 256:25	<b>doctor</b> 18:1,5,8	227:14,22,23	73:21
diverse         96:12 200:4         document         132:13         248:21 268:22         driving         6:2 156:12           diversion         24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         156:14         156:14           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drop         58:4 218:19           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drug         113:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         dollars         5:16 59:5,8         drug         113:5           221:4,5,8,14,24,25         documented         176:9         dona's         195:2         due         135:13 171:17           222:2,11,14,16         176:19         door         8:7 28:6 32:13         dump         72:11           225:3,16 226:1         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         duplicating         35:11           227:3 229:1,21         dodd         1:21 46:3         doors         96:20 264:22         duplication         178:9           235:1 238:11 239:1         94:17 96:19 112:13         doubt         16:2 55:14         duty         242:14           239:4 240:18 241:7         114:3 131:22,23         doug         243:10         duyal         169:6           243:1,18 244:6 <td>divergent 175:20</td> <td>doctors 18:17</td> <td>242:13 243:15</td> <td><b>drives</b> 17:21</td>	divergent 175:20	doctors 18:17	242:13 243:15	<b>drives</b> 17:21
diversion         24:6         134:17 138:8         277:25 278:9,15,16         156:14           37:10,11 203:1,4         documentation         278:20 279:2,9         drop 58:4 218:19           218:12 219:1,4         176:15 177:6         281:7,8 282:5,6,23         drove 161:5           220:16,21 221:2,3         181:14         dollars 5:16 59:5,8         drug 113:5           221:4,5,8,14,24,25         documented 176:9         donna's 195:2         due 135:13 171:17           222:2,11,14,16         176:19         door 8:7 28:6 32:13         dump 72:11           225:3,16 226:1         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         duplicating 35:11           227:3 229:1,21         dodd 1:21 46:3         dorn 265:6 280:19         duties 256:1           235:1 238:11 239:1         94:17 96:19 112:13         doubt 16:2 55:14         duty 242:14           239:4 240:18 241:7         112:14 113:2,14         55:17         duval 169:6           241:18 242:21         114:3 131:22,23         doug 243:10         dying 19:5           243:1,18 244:6         132:5,8,22 133:11         128:11 262:6 276:5         265:21		document 132:13	248:21 268:22	<b>driving</b> 6:2 156:12
218:12 219:1,4       176:15 177:6       281:7,8 282:5,6,23       drove 161:5         220:16,21 221:2,3       181:14       dollars 5:16 59:5,8       drug 113:5         221:4,5,8,14,24,25       documented 176:9       202:7       due 135:13 171:17         222:2,11,14,16       176:19       donna's 195:2       dump 72:11         225:3,16 226:1       295:3       33:9,15,19 97:6       duplicating 35:11         227:3 229:1,21       dodd 1:21 46:3       doors 96:20 264:22       duplication 178:9         232:13,16 234:18       51:8,9 53:10 71:22       doubt 16:2 55:14       duty 242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duval 169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug 243:10       dying 19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21	diversion 24:6	134:17 138:8	277:25 278:9,15,16	_
218:12 219:1,4       176:15 177:6       281:7,8 282:5,6,23       drove 161:5         220:16,21 221:2,3       181:14       dollars 5:16 59:5,8       drug 113:5         221:4,5,8,14,24,25       documented 176:9       202:7       due 135:13 171:17         222:2,11,14,16       176:19       donna's 195:2       dump 72:11         225:3,16 226:1       295:3       33:9,15,19 97:6       duplicating 35:11         227:3 229:1,21       dodd 1:21 46:3       doors 96:20 264:22       duplication 178:9         232:13,16 234:18       51:8,9 53:10 71:22       doubt 16:2 55:14       duty 242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duval 169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug 243:10       dying 19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21	37:10,11 203:1,4	documentation	278:20 279:2,9	<b>drop</b> 58:4 218:19
220:16,21 221:2,3       181:14       dollars       5:16 59:5,8       drug       113:5         221:4,5,8,14,24,25       176:19       202:7       due       135:13 171:17         222:2,11,14,16       176:19       donna's       195:2       197:25         223:7,24 224:10       295:3       door       8:7 28:6 32:13       dump       72:11         225:3,16 226:1       295:3       33:9,15,19 97:6       duplicating       35:11         227:3 229:1,21       dodd       1:21 46:3       dors       96:20 264:22       duplication       178:9         232:13,16 234:18       51:8,9 53:10 71:22       dorn       265:6 280:19       duties       256:1         235:1 238:11 239:1       94:17 96:19 112:13       55:17       duty       242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duyal       169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       douglas       1:1,21       dynamic       134:16         245:3 247:1,20       133:20,22 146:17       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21		176:15 177:6	281:7,8 282:5,6,23	<b>drove</b> 161:5
222:2,11,14,16       176:19       donna's 195:2       197:25         223:7,24 224:10       295:3       door 8:7 28:6 32:13       dump 72:11         225:3,16 226:1       295:3       33:9,15,19 97:6       duplicating 35:11         227:3 229:1,21       dodd 1:21 46:3       doors 96:20 264:22       duplication 178:9         232:13,16 234:18       51:8,9 53:10 71:22       donn 265:6 280:19       duties 256:1         235:1 238:11 239:1       94:17 96:19 112:13       doubt 16:2 55:14       duty 242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duval 169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug 243:10       dying 19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21		181:14		<b>drug</b> 113:5
223:7,24 224:10         documents         145:19         door         8:7 28:6 32:13         dump         72:11           225:3,16 226:1         295:3         33:9,15,19 97:6         duplicating         35:11           227:3 229:1,21         dodd         1:21 46:3         doors         96:20 264:22         duplication         178:9           232:13,16 234:18         51:8,9 53:10 71:22         dorn         265:6 280:19         duties         256:1           235:1 238:11 239:1         94:17 96:19 112:13         doubt         16:2 55:14         duty         242:14           239:4 240:18 241:7         112:14 113:2,14         55:17         duval         169:6           241:18 242:21         114:3 131:22,23         doug         243:10         dying         19:5           243:1,18 244:6         132:5,8,22 133:11         128:11 262:6 276:5         265:21         265:21	221:4,5,8,14,24,25	documented 176:9	202:7	due 135:13 171:17
225:3,16 226:1       295:3       33:9,15,19 97:6       duplicating 35:11         227:3 229:1,21       dodd 1:21 46:3       doors 96:20 264:22       duplicating 35:11         232:13,16 234:18       51:8,9 53:10 71:22       dorn 265:6 280:19       duties 256:1         235:1 238:11 239:1       94:17 96:19 112:13       doubt 16:2 55:14       duty 242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duval 169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug 243:10       dying 19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       douglas 1:1,21       dynamic 134:16         245:3 247:1,20       133:20,22 146:17       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21	222:2,11,14,16	176:19	donna's 195:2	197:25
227:3 229:1,21       dodd       1:21 46:3       doors       96:20 264:22       duplication       178:9         232:13,16 234:18       51:8,9 53:10 71:22       dorn       265:6 280:19       duties       256:1         235:1 238:11 239:1       94:17 96:19 112:13       doubt       16:2 55:14       duty       242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duval       169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug       243:10       dying       19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       douglas       1:1,21       dynamic       134:16         245:3 247:1,20       133:20,22 146:17       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21	223:7,24 224:10	documents 145:19	<b>door</b> 8:7 28:6 32:13	<b>dump</b> 72:11
227:3 229:1,21       dodd       1:21 46:3       doors       96:20 264:22       duplication       178:9         232:13,16 234:18       51:8,9 53:10 71:22       dorn       265:6 280:19       duties       256:1         235:1 238:11 239:1       94:17 96:19 112:13       doubt       16:2 55:14       duty       242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duval       169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug       243:10       dying       19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       douglas       1:1,21       dynamic       134:16         245:3 247:1,20       133:20,22 146:17       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21	225:3,16 226:1	295:3	33:9,15,19 97:6	duplicating 35:11
235:1 238:11 239:1       94:17 96:19 112:13       doubt 16:2 55:14       duty 242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duval 169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug 243:10       dying 19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       douglas 1:1,21       dynamic 134:16         245:3 247:1,20       133:20,22 146:17       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21	227:3 229:1,21	<b>dodd</b> 1:21 46:3	doors 96:20 264:22	duplication 178:9
235:1 238:11 239:1       94:17 96:19 112:13       doubt 16:2 55:14       duty 242:14         239:4 240:18 241:7       112:14 113:2,14       55:17       duval 169:6         241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug 243:10       dying 19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       douglas 1:1,21       dynamic 134:16         245:3 247:1,20       133:20,22 146:17       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21	232:13,16 234:18	51:8,9 53:10 71:22	dorn 265:6 280:19	duties 256:1
241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug 243:10       dying 19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       douglas 1:1,21       dynamic 134:16         245:3 247:1,20       133:20,22 146:17       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21		94:17 96:19 112:13	<b>doubt</b> 16:2 55:14	duty 242:14
241:18 242:21       114:3 131:22,23       doug 243:10       dying 19:5         243:1,18 244:6       132:5,8,22 133:11       douglas 1:1,21       dynamic 134:16         245:3 247:1,20       133:20,22 146:17       128:11 262:6 276:5       265:21	239:4 240:18 241:7	112:14 113:2,14	55:17	
243:1,18 244:6 132:5,8,22 133:11 <b>douglas</b> 1:1,21 <b>dynamic</b> 134:16 245:3 247:1,20 133:20,22 146:17 128:11 262:6 276:5 265:21	241:18 242:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>doug</b> 243:10	<b>dying</b> 19:5
245:3 247:1,20	243:1,18 244:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	,	_
	·	· ·		
		,		

[e - engage] Page 321

e	292:15	elevate 244:24,25	encouraging 60:1
	<b>effect</b> 23:6 139:22	eliminate 93:20	111:7
e 2:11 157:25	171:3 197:15	175:17 176:3	encrypt 211:4
189:12,14,17,20	201:12,14 247:16	205:16	encryption 212:2
190:8,16,17 191:8	293:16	eliminated 12:13	endangering
191:10,16,18 192:1	effective 9:17,25	261:17	293:12,12
192:2,8,11,13,15	10:1,2 15:16,20	emancipated 20:16	endgame 6:8
192:20 214:25	33:11,12,13 36:9	22:20	ends 50:1
297:23	73:22 81:18 136:9	embarrassed 39:20	enforcement 1:12
earlier 20:23 48:17	138:18 147:6 157:6	embedded 290:19	1:23 7:15,24 9:3,7
128:9 143:10 152:8	221:5 222:1,17	embrace 114:22	10:11,25 11:12,13
250:8 258:5 287:19	280:21 281:23	283:14	11:13 25:9,10,10
earliest 89:16	effectively 11:23	emergency 85:4	25:11 36:9 44:18
early 12:7 37:25	20:16 67:15 83:15	255:20 264:25,25	46:7,8,12 47:13
110:3,9 281:18	255:19 282:15	267:1 271:7,10,15	48:4 50:24 51:22
298:19	effectiveness 17:3	272:4,17,19 275:16	136:22 137:2,24,25
ears 283:3	effort 202:7 232:2	275:19 276:16	140:20 141:8,18
easier 297:7	efforts 140:16	<b>emotional</b> 110:5	147:5 157:3 163:24
easily 204:15	203:2 231:24	113:25 114:9 117:6	169:9 175:22 176:4
easy 134:15 231:25	294:16	117:14 118:2,8,17	176:6 181:6,7
eat 187:1	eight 12:23 200:9	117.14 118.2,8,17	183:8,10,15,24
<b>edge</b> 47:11	either 10:20 57:9	emotions 121:14	184:1 185:23 189:6
<b>editor</b> 296:14 299:2	83:3 125:10 137:5		197:23 198:4
educate 259:19	137:13 138:10	<b>empathy</b> 22:16 110:9 119:14 121:3	
educated 205:17			200:11,18 201:6 203:3 207:15 210:8
258:18 292:3	149:17 152:10	121:10,23	
educating 258:14	185:17 193:13	emphasis 32:4	212:8,21 213:1,9
education 1:20	194:9 211:3 212:2	emphasize 111:2	215:3 216:17 228:3
111:1,17,19,20	228:11 259:15	135:18	232:1 234:13
112:18 116:1 117:3	260:7 273:11 284:8	<b>employed</b> 288:14	236:15 243:23
119:12 120:9,23	298:19,19	employee 278:5	245:11 247:13
121:9 123:11,13	elaborate 98:17	<b>employees</b> 265:23	248:3 254:21 255:5
127:2 128:23 130:4	electronic 12:25	282:10	255:6,17 256:23
130:16,22,23 170:8	163:13	empower 23:3	257:19 258:5,25
170:23 173:12	electronically	34:17	259:2 260:9,13,22
178:24 189:5 223:5	161:22	empowered 24:8	260:24 261:2,4
258:3,9,11 259:17	element 17:20	245:14	264:3 271:12 273:3
276:7	110:18	enable 261:1	291:8 292:16
educational 255:11	elementary 10:5	<b>enacted</b> 249:3,4,4	293:21 294:9,16
255:12	51:13 158:4 161:1	encompasses	<b>engage</b> 18:4 22:9
educators 51:16	elephant 210:4	276:23	23:5 25:8,20 28:24
289:16 290:6			49:14 50:7 61:23
		ral Solutions	

[engage - examples] Page 322

89:23 90:11 91:17	<b>entities</b> 26:4 64:13	essential 255:19	186:22 187:7
91:21,23 94:11,21	66:10 73:20,25	essentially 95:4	198:19 201:15
95:23,25 96:7	75:7 77:22 78:16	<b>establish</b> 24:6 49:8	204:4 209:9 214:5
engaged 19:10	80:9 81:25 82:22	80:2 81:25 82:22	216:18,19 217:7
22:10 47:15 48:11	83:5,7 98:24 287:2	83:18 84:13 106:16	223:21,25 226:11
60:15 85:2 90:17	287:22	156:24 234:17	230:11 231:5 246:6
90:20 276:2	entitled 254:24	established 75:12	251:6 258:14
engagement 21:14	255:25	82:9,18 102:24	259:18,22 261:13
engaging 47:17	entity 39:24 57:22	104:4,6,24 105:2	281:20 286:18
60:17	59:11 60:2 62:8	106:18 197:2	298:7,24 300:6,18
english 253:23	83:2 84:20 85:19	establishing 73:22	everybody's 36:8
264:8,17	97:13,14 98:21	esteem 121:13	44:5 96:2 139:7
<b>enhance</b> 131:18	101:9,18 102:19	etcetera 11:3 37:7	162:21 223:23
214:1	209:22 234:11	64:15 70:20 78:11	230:13 252:11
enhanced 107:5	238:13 286:21	97:24 173:8 178:5	253:18 297:10,12
108:6,21	288:4	189:22 192:16	evidence 54:2
enhancements	entrenched 277:12	196:19 209:17	72:21 109:15,21
204:11	entry 225:23 226:8	214:13 238:18	112:21,24 113:23
enjoy 289:8	245:3 246:21	246:23 297:10	114:24 115:22
ensure 57:24 60:2	environment 70:16	299:3	116:6,15 117:2
63:5 64:3 65:24	70:23 242:20 243:5	evacuate 284:5	119:11 120:8,22
66:19 70:6 131:18	epicenter 263:3	evacuation 270:3	121:8 162:17 276:3
147:17 154:18	episode 36:23	evaluate 99:2	<b>evidenced</b> 56:13,17
156:24 181:4	epitome 46:17	138:18 139:23	56:22 57:6
204:24 205:1 206:9	<b>equal</b> 83:10	217:23	evolving 283:17
207:11 216:10	equally 254:19	evaluated 36:12	exactly 58:11
254:5,17 256:11	equipped 10:18	evaluation 10:15	102:11 186:13
281:6 294:3	70:20	11:8 12:13 16:5	205:6 229:18 252:7
<b>ensuring</b> 5:16 58:3	equitably 294:4	32:11,24 155:21	examination 53:1
73:2 170:20 258:14	erase 101:8	293:6,7	examinations
<b>enter</b> 247:1 248:13	erroneously 254:23	<b>event</b> 159:20 228:6	30:21
248:14	escalating 23:1	294:17	example 18:20
<b>entered</b> 21:23 22:1	escalation 29:6	events 7:3	19:16 43:12 58:10
227:1,3 241:20	escaping 177:13	everybody 30:18	72:7 91:2 95:8
entering 220:21	ese 72:7,8	48:2 57:4 64:7	108:13 142:5
221:2,7,14,23	especially 52:3	66:16 69:10 71:21	143:14,18 158:19
225:8 238:18	74:3 78:11 81:1	72:16 75:25 85:14	216:9 225:2 240:4
248:16,18	113:5 193:12	85:25 96:1 97:3,7	264:18 275:19
entertain 283:13	202:22 224:3 259:3	100:3 108:16	277:24 290:16
<b>entire</b> 4:1 44:18	259:21 277:1 290:2	151:22 168:14	examples 6:6,9
248:3 263:3 299:21		175:21 183:12	16:10 50:15 262:19

[exams - figure] Page 323

expected 183:7  experience 20:4  faculty 183:11  figure 187:20 268:13  faculty 183:11  foic 11:24 203:3,7  fdle 2:22 125:12  162:14 163:25	exams 9:12 194:8 excellence 41:24 exception 238:25 256:6 259:16 exceptions 143:19 254:8,12,23 255:2 255:7,15 258:16 260:1 exclude 149:13 excluding 148:15 exclusive 79:15 234:10 excuse 30:4 128:20 executing 142:5 143:14 executive 1:14 265:6 exercise 179:13 263:17 exist 10:10 11:20 59:10,21 69:19 70:1 188:4,14 204:18 existed 13:9,13 existing 215:22 238:5 exists 73:12 105:23 154:6 188:2 264:11 expand 111:13 163:2 expanded 108:6,21 expansion 108:7 expect 49:19,20 171:7 172:7 expectations 74:19 75:11,21 80:2 82:8	experienced 162:10 expert 263:4 experts 280:19 explain 160:17 explanation 142:2 explanatory 195:12 explicitly 183:22 explosive 159:13 expressly 241:18 extensive 153:22 extent 199:5 218:25 219:3 249:13 external 278:4 extremely 164:19 245:9 262:23 265:14 eyes 283:3  f face 160:18 facebook 209:13 211:16 facilitate 174:22 facilities 85:5 facility 25:5 36:25 61:2,6 99:19 facing 213:18 fact 42:1 87:17 113:17 138:1,9 141:9,20 152:20 154:5,23 155:7 160:5 169:2 193:14 236:8,14 247:9,16 250:8 254:1 264:3 264:6 265:25 factors 114:20 facts 43:23 175:6	fails 8:2 failure 128:5     135:11,13,18 144:5     144:7 145:4,6,12 failures 47:13 fair 4:22 71:5 88:10     213:19 fairly 186:24 fairness 234:22     250:5 fall 34:9 104:2     182:11,12 185:22     234:5,14 235:15     236:9,10 237:11     238:3 240:1 259:15     262:1 false 205:16 falsely 293:21 familiar 19:14     157:4 families 1:17,18     64:22 286:24     289:24 family 18:12 38:16     292:4 far 3:16 19:19     23:18 29:11 32:2     64:24 70:2 91:7,7     91:18 129:25 135:5     169:25 186:18     215:7 218:7 240:17     268:11 278:18     280:6 fashion 177:24 fast 139:21 fathom 40:19 favorite 253:19	feasible 148:5,10 150:7,23 202:6 209:20 feathers 44:7 federal 60:9 61:17 77:3 177:22 212:14 feedback 236:5 feeds 210:15 261:4 feel 16:9 22:17 28:9 94:22 132:16 211:12 264:16 feeling 59:22 feels 132:10 feet 264:5 felony 158:10 159:3 felt 23:21 112:17 fema 273:8 fence 195:25 196:2 196:6 ferpa 253:19 254:4 254:7,11,18,22,25 255:1,10,17,25 256:4,6,11,21 257:9,24 259:8 260:11 261:9,23 262:3,3 ferpa's 255:12 fidelity 277:25 278:17,24 281:5 field 173:8 215:3 283:3 fifth 51:13 fight 186:10 243:5 fighting 169:6 185:18 186:1 figure 27:4 37:4
expected 183:7  experience 20:4  faculty 183:11  figure 187:20 268:13  faculty 183:11  foic 11:24 203:3,7  fdle 2:22 125:12  162:14 163:25	<b>expectations</b> 74:19 75:11,21 80:2 82:8	264:6 265:25 <b>factors</b> 114:20	<b>fathom</b> 40:19 <b>favorite</b> 253:19	185:18 186:1 <b>figure</b> 27:4 37:4
210:2 270:18   Tailed 127:1 204:21   200:21 203:6   184:5 186:13	experience 20:4		fdle 2:22 125:12	162:14 163:25

[figure - follow] Page 324

194:14 280:14	283:25	<b>fiscal</b> 8:11 9:2	140:21 154:24
figured 46:9	<b>findings</b> 16:19	203:8,22 287:13	156:22 157:12,16
267:23	53:13 67:2 73:9	<b>fit</b> 153:1	158:11 159:1,7,22
<b>file</b> 2:10,19 18:18	111:4 125:22 132:6	<b>fits</b> 123:12 255:14	160:1 162:5 165:9
127:1 217:1	135:6,9 142:2,15	285:5	165:16,17 168:1,2
<b>filed</b> 160:6 161:16	143:25 144:1,12	<b>five</b> 38:22,23 39:5	175:5 188:6 194:23
167:3,4 168:6	146:23 147:2 169:1	111:16 201:8 208:4	198:2 223:7 227:17
<b>fill</b> 278:6	169:25 175:2	264:4	229:12 232:9
<b>filled</b> 173:19	186:19 187:23	<b>fix</b> 9:20 20:11	239:25 254:19
<b>filling</b> 69:18 173:8	198:25 219:6 220:7	27:12 29:24,25	255:23 258:9
<b>final</b> 168:19 252:3	222:25 223:9	30:1 69:6,7 82:20	260:19 265:11
296:16 297:19	240:23,25 254:3	164:11 172:5,5	272:3,13 274:4
finalize 293:8	268:2 289:5	199:6,18 201:19	275:7 276:15
finalized 57:3	<b>fine</b> 26:22 113:20	252:5 253:14	286:22 289:1,25
financial 204:18	117:4 131:3 134:16	<b>fixed</b> 137:13,14	292:2,3 299:10
<b>find</b> 4:17 28:13	150:6 206:18	138:11,11 208:20	302:3,14
31:6 45:3 50:6,17	221:19 222:23	208:24 277:18,18	<b>florida's</b> 8:16 53:21
50:21,25 143:10	253:24 271:24	<b>fixes</b> 174:15 199:10	54:15 55:17,22
228:24 292:7	276:14	<b>fixing</b> 72:14	56:20 57:5,12
300:22	<b>finger</b> 166:8	<b>flag</b> 45:4 214:24	61:18 96:11 110:19
<b>finding</b> 3:23 4:5,8	<b>finish</b> 155:2	flagging 57:18	127:11 265:11
4:15,16 25:20	finished 140:7	<b>flags</b> 13:19 161:9	<b>flowing</b> 221:12
53:20 57:12,17	253:21 295:21	fleshed 11:11	<b>flows</b> 222:3
63:21 67:3,9,10	<b>finite</b> 242:24	flexibility 96:17	<b>fluid</b> 296:15
70:2 112:5 126:2,5	<b>firearm</b> 167:6,8	97:5 148:10 151:22	<b>flying</b> 100:14
126:22 131:25	firearms 293:15	297:16	<b>focus</b> 63:7 64:5
132:12 133:15	<b>first</b> 2:6 3:14 13:9	flipping 110:24	66:24 72:6,20
134:3 135:9 137:12	17:17 23:24 26:24	<b>florida</b> 1:5,11,14	109:20 113:9
142:1,9,10,23	36:23,25 40:21	1:15,16,17,18,22	<b>focused</b> 162:25
143:3 144:5 170:2	53:20 73:18 105:16	1:23 5:2,5,6,10,21	167:16 294:19
188:15 189:7	111:5,25 126:2	6:16,21 7:13 8:12	folks 29:7 30:12
194:17 195:3	127:15,21 135:10	8:20,25 10:6 14:13	47:15,17,19 48:10
198:17 199:2,20	164:23 170:2 175:2	14:19 27:9 28:1	50:20 60:14,15
204:22,22,24	179:5 180:14 186:1	35:19 38:8 42:23	61:23 75:21 76:14
205:20,24 206:12	186:7 187:24	44:19 45:8 47:2,10	76:16 84:3 99:12
207:6 218:13 221:7	205:14,14 215:20	54:10 55:14 60:10	148:24 173:22
222:7,24 228:3	218:13 233:19	83:20 96:13 111:12	178:19 194:12
256:9 266:4,6,8,15	236:24 240:12	112:23 114:12	<b>follow</b> 37:17
266:18,19,20	252:22 255:9	123:16 126:3,8	129:13 145:18
267:16 268:4 269:8	256:19 269:15	127:16 132:24	251:7 258:19
273:18,23 274:1	273:17 286:15	133:16 138:3,20	287:21

[followed - gives] Page 325

<b>followed</b> 128:12	<b>framing</b> 139:16	57:1,7 65:3 73:19	generation 23:8
<b>following</b> 2:1 33:16	frankly 19:5 21:21	73:20 74:1 75:7,20	generic 130:2
249:9 296:19,22	128:19 252:20	77:19,22,25 78:3,8	269:21
298:9	frequency 215:12	78:15 79:5,10	generically 59:25
footnote 218:19	frequently 262:23	80:20,24 86:14	65:6
<b>force</b> 33:10 96:9	<b>friday</b> 297:5	87:13,15 89:3	generous 31:17
238:2	<b>front</b> 21:10 66:23	90:12 91:24 94:12	genesis 155:19
<b>forced</b> 210:21	153:24 160:21	94:20 106:2 148:22	gentleman 162:11
<b>forces</b> 243:9	262:24	149:7 232:3 237:21	<b>geo</b> 195:25 196:2,6
forcibly 18:23	frustrating 21:1	260:20 287:4	georgia 263:15
19:25	frustration 31:14	<b>funds</b> 77:10,11,18	264:19
foregoing 302:10	<b>fssat</b> 126:6,9,11,17	260:25	<b>getting</b> 5:25 36:8
<b>forest</b> 199:4	127:7,9,18 129:5	<b>further</b> 7:20 25:25	44:6 51:23 53:13
<b>forever</b> 173:11	130:19,25 132:11	218:1 231:8 232:8	63:13 96:1 99:23
<b>forgot</b> 172:15	132:12,18 134:16	241:24 271:14	125:17 165:22
<b>formal</b> 104:5 244:5	173:25 182:21	<b>future</b> 106:12	168:4 175:20
244:25 245:1,1,16	<b>fssats</b> 127:1,25	116:24 129:7	191:16 192:14
245:17 246:13,21	<b>fssp</b> 140:23	202:22 204:10	216:3 223:17 262:2
247:1,3	fulfilled 140:16	g	270:24
formally 52:8	199:22	<b>gain</b> 213:1	<b>girl</b> 14:14,16,21
227:1 246:25	<b>full</b> 5:1 71:14 209:3	gap 73:2,12 102:25	<b>gist</b> 97:21 207:5
<b>format</b> 299:25	258:15 263:17	104:2 107:10	240:9 252:5
formation 166:19	<b>fully</b> 19:14 61:12	<b>gather</b> 162:16	give 40:1 129:23
former 1:17 292:16	61:13 114:16	208:11 243:4	158:19 161:24,25
forth 35:15 225:12	203:16	gathered 181:11	170:11 178:5,15
231:15	<b>fund</b> 40:10 79:23	gathering 10:23	203:3 211:11,14
fortifyflorida	<b>funded</b> 5:20 34:9	12:11 13:7 15:20	212:11 228:25
188:17,22 189:2	40:8 41:6,10 42:24	16:1 26:8 157:4	242:12 259:10
196:7,14,17,21,22	44:22 53:22 54:16	181:6 216:3	277:24 291:18
forum 26:8	55:23 56:10,21	<b>gc</b> 1:19	296:18 297:13
<b>forward</b> 26:9,13 48:7 53:4 118:19	57:6 70:19 76:21 76:23 80:9 105:17	<b>general</b> 1:9 122:21	299:3 300:10 given 50:15 58:4,4
136:16,21 152:22		130:2 260:6,14	58:21 59:7 179:5
150:10,21 152:22	126:11 149:8 237:18	264:24 269:8	188:13 200:2
foster 28:2,2,3,4	<b>funder</b> 38:7	298:21	250:22 272:21
fostered 179:23	funders 38:5,23	generalities 98:4	276:21 281:13
found 12:21	funding 5:9,14,22	generality 98:18	289:13,18 290:2
four 41:19 70:25	5:22,23,25 26:7	generally 56:9	299:4
71:1 231:15 289:9	40:9,11 44:22,23	244:20	gives 69:1 97:5
<b>fourth</b> 51:13 247:2	47:3 54:11,17	generated 176:18	151:22,23 178:3
2001011 21112	55:18 56:13,18,22	181:10	234:25 273:14

[giving - great] Page 326

225:21 247:17 222	7:6 218:12 2:22 225:1,10	111:23,23 112:15 123:5 128:8 133:6	146:12,25 148:17 151:21 153:10
	*		151:21 153:10
1 7/3:10		140 67 05 146 00	160 0 161 20
	3:4 229:9 231:8	143:6,7,25 146:23	160:9 161:20
	2:5,8 238:12	148:9 153:23	162:20,21 168:14
0	9:6 241:25	155:16 157:19,20	168:16 172:22
	2:10,18 244:22	158:5,21,22,22,24	174:15,17 206:17
	5:5,25 246:11	158:24 159:5,6	210:25 217:13
	9:12 250:9,12	162:11 166:2,3	224:1,1,13 226:11
1	2:1,5,14 254:2	173:2 179:22	228:24 229:14
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2:10,17 266:24	180:13,15,15,17,18	234:7,8 239:22
·	3:5,10,23 271:8	180:19 184:8,9	244:20 245:19
	1:16 273:2,13,16	186:16 190:18	246:3,14 247:8
50:4 51:8 57:11,11 273	3:17 276:1 277:5	199:14,14 201:4	251:19 252:11,16
60:4 62:2,7 63:3,19 278	3:16 280:6,13,20	207:1,3 208:11	257:6 260:17
67:1,2 68:15,17	5:10,12,16	209:3 210:20,21,22	261:14 271:1,20,21
72:10,17 73:9 74:9 294	1:22 301:3	214:6,8,9,18,22	275:7 276:18
74:16 79:6 82:16 <b>goal</b>	89:11 177:12	219:12 229:25	283:21 284:21
85:17 86:5,19 89:6	7:18 238:22	230:14 231:19	286:6,17 288:22
90:8 91:11 94:16 <b>goals</b>	232:25	235:10 243:1,2	290:13 299:19
96:21 98:23 100:18 <b>god</b>	24:20 47:23	244:4,7,21,25	gotcha 206:7
100:22 101:22 203	3:19 246:10	245:16,21 246:18	<b>gotten</b> 40:22
102:2 114:10,15 <b>goes</b>	3:16 32:13	247:1,5 248:12,14	government 65:6
119:18 120:10,11 37:	5,6 43:7,10 99:9	252:1,5,10,24	160:25 199:21
120:18 121:7,19 155	5:9 181:12	258:1 267:22	governor 166:17
122:3 123:19 125:7   204	1:25 215:8,24	268:17 269:15	297:4
125:23 128:14 256	5:18 275:3	270:19,22,22	<b>grab</b> 150:4
131:13 132:2,6 <b>going</b>	<b>2</b> :4,14,24 3:4	273:25 274:3,11,15	grace 262:24 263:4
133:1 134:24 140:5 3:7	5:13 12:1 15:5	278:10 279:2,4,15	280:19
141:21,23 143:5	14 19:24 20:10	280:5,23 281:9	<b>grade</b> 121:16
146:23 148:18 21:	17 25:23 27:11	282:21 295:15	graders 51:14
150:3,3,4 151:5,11 27:	23 28:5,7,7 30:1	299:11,12	grades 111:17
	10 33:4,5,21	<b>good</b> 2:3 10:7,16	graduated 69:2
154:17 160:11 35:	8,9,23 37:22	11:8 17:12,16 29:4	235:23 236:4
	1 43:23 44:4,5	33:24 44:10 51:18	grady 1:20
	21 50:2,3,8 51:2	57:8 61:25 64:7,23	grandfathered
i i	4,12 57:9 68:18	66:15 72:16 85:3	251:25
	23 72:7 79:11	85:25 87:8 89:22	granted 188:20
	12 83:15 85:2	91:22 95:23 96:7	gray 264:16
· ·	16 89:7,8 91:16	101:3 107:20	great 85:9 154:16
	17 93:1,1 94:1	108:13,13 112:18	174:24 203:2,2
	20 102:1,3,5	120:15 141:17	223:4 230:9

[greater - guess] Page 327

	04 40 04 00 440		
greater 95:19	81:10,21 82:6,13	146:2,4,8,10,14,21	227:12,14 228:8,14
109:20 293:22	82:16 83:9,13,25	147:1 149:1,25	229:16,19 230:4,7
greatest 9:16 40:8	84:12,17,19,24	150:3,10 151:5,8	230:16 231:11
40:10 69:16	85:6,9,12,20,23	151:11,14 152:24	233:11,14,21,23
greenleaf 2:8,16,20	86:4,7,17,21 87:3,9	153:6,9,14,18	238:7 239:6,12,15
grew 29:16	87:14,20,25 88:6,9	154:10,13,15 155:8	239:19,21 240:7,21
<b>ground</b> 215:19	88:18,25 89:15	155:23 156:3,7,13	241:2,4,11 242:2,6
290:6	90:3,7,25 91:5 92:8	156:16 160:14,19	242:10 243:14,19
<b>group</b> 48:20 99:3	92:19,22,24 93:15	162:8,14,20 163:8	245:8,24 246:2,4,7
134:6,19 139:14	93:18 94:4,7 95:16	163:14,22 164:2,7	247:21 248:9
159:25 171:21,25	95:21 97:3 98:9,11	164:12,17 165:4,7	249:24 250:3
174:7,9,20 184:9	98:14 99:7,21	165:14 166:12	251:13,17 252:1,9
185:9 192:17	100:3,7,11,16,22	167:1,24 168:10,13	253:4,7,10,13,17
216:18 301:4	101:4,11,15 102:1	169:12,15,20,22	253:24 256:15
<b>group's</b> 235:11	102:12,15 103:4,7	170:2,5,13,16	257:2,8,21 258:2
<b>groups</b> 183:6	104:17,20,23	171:12,14,16	258:20,23 260:10
227:18	105:18 106:15,19	172:13 173:4	260:15 261:13,19
gualtieri 1:8 2:3	106:22 107:4,8,14	174:17,25 177:20	261:22 266:6,10,14
3:1 4:2,12,14 17:15	107:17 108:1,5,9	178:20 181:3,19,25	266:17 267:13,18
24:18 26:2 30:3,22	108:19 109:5,8,12	182:4,8,14,19	267:21,23 268:1,9
30:25 31:8,12 33:2	109:16 110:20	183:5 184:24 185:2	268:21 269:1,4,18
35:12 37:1,5 39:7	112:2 113:21 114:7	185:11,14 186:5,8	270:5,9,12 271:9
40:24 41:3 42:2,5,9	114:10,14 115:3,9	186:11 187:16	271:19 272:12,16
42:12 43:7,10 45:6	115:12,16,21 116:4	190:2,19,25 191:6	273:16 274:9,18,20
45:11,20,22,25	116:8,12,15,21	192:4,7,10,21	275:12 276:10,13
46:20 48:25 49:11	117:1,5,10 118:3,6	193:3,8,20 194:13	279:6,19 280:25
50:9,23 51:7 53:8	119:18,23 120:13	195:1,6 197:19,21	281:10 282:19,25
53:11 54:4,24 55:8	120:18,21 121:2,5	198:14,23 199:16	283:19,22 284:19
55:12,21 56:15,20	122:3,14,17 123:7	202:8,12,18 204:19	284:23 285:6,11,14
57:1 59:24 61:21	123:19,22 124:7,10	205:24 206:4,7,11	285:19,24 286:3,8
62:2,11,14,20 63:1	124:13,19 125:7,19	206:14,25 207:17	288:19 291:21
63:9,12,15,18,23	128:13 129:9,22	207:20 208:14,22	295:7,11,15,17,24
64:17,23 65:13,19	130:20 131:7,19	209:1,7 210:9,24	296:2,6 298:16
65:22 66:5,15,18	132:2,21 133:5,12	211:8,11,19 212:5	299:14,18,23 300:4
66:24 67:5,8,10,20	133:21,23 134:12	212:18 213:3,20	guardian 293:11
67:22,25 68:6,9,21	134:21,24 136:6	215:10 219:21,24	guess 4:17 17:12
71:9,12,15,19 73:8	137:18 139:6	220:6,13,15 221:11	58:5 59:23 122:11
74:20 75:3 76:2,19	141:11,14 142:7,13	221:17,20,23 222:5	178:17 199:13
76:24 77:1,6,14,20	142:17,20,24 143:1	222:9,15,22 223:19	218:22 220:24
78:2,18,23 79:2,13	143:5,24 144:10,15	224:18 225:7,23	275:3
79:19,25 80:4,22	144:20 145:8,23	226:1,5,16 227:8	

guidance 51:16	264:9 278:14 291:5	<b>he'll</b> 4:14	120:1,3,9,22 121:9
98:20 258:4,5,6,7	happened 44:13	<b>head</b> 44:3 65:12	122:8 123:11,12,13
259:21	52:19 53:3 213:7	185:3 277:16	129:17 140:20
guide 9:22	246:24 262:6	290:23	147:17,21 148:4,22
guidelines 175:11	279:20	heads 44:2	149:19 150:16,19
175:17 176:2 181:5	happening 26:12	healed 58:9	150:20,21 151:7,16
257:24 258:2,10,12	138:4 180:6,10	health 3:8 5:2,5,9	153:4 189:6 193:25
<b>gun</b> 13:4 166:8	happens 14:25	5:11,14 6:20 7:7,16	197:7,9,13,18
263:25 265:2	38:13 81:19 171:3	9:8,15,19 10:17	200:19 201:9
270:14 294:21	217:4,14 238:19	12:14 15:3 17:3,10	207:24 216:16
guns 293:13 294:25	270:19	17:22,23 19:4,13	232:20 256:5
<b>guy</b> 243:10 262:24	<b>happy</b> 232:21	19:19 20:12 21:17	287:11,12,14 288:9
263:4 270:13	harassment 250:13	21:20 23:11 24:3	290:7 292:15
278:12 280:19	<b>hard</b> 23:10 131:7,8	25:3,17 29:1,4,5,7	healthcare 38:25
<b>guys</b> 102:10 209:19	259:3,12 281:22	29:8,12 34:10,19	healthily 47:16
278:10	283:24 287:23	34:20,21,22 35:1	<b>hear</b> 54:8 75:6
h	292:25	38:9,19 46:23	76:14 113:11
<b>half</b> 9:6 39:17	hardening 125:4	47:14 48:4,10	114:18 123:22
177:2 289:4	125:25 127:20	52:16 53:21 54:16	<b>heard</b> 70:17 74:5
hallway 176:13	<b>harder</b> 211:20	55:19,22 56:21,22	109:23 158:7
242:14	<b>harm</b> 159:16	57:5,13 58:7,18	282:25
halted 93:5	167:10 277:13	61:20 64:12 67:12	hearing 112:3
hamster 32:18	290:12	67:16 68:14,17	135:8 254:15
hand 9:23 243:10	harold 75:4	70:3,14,21,25	<b>heart</b> 231:23
295:25	<b>harpring</b> 1:19 3:21	72:19,21 73:2,7,19	heavily 64:20
<b>handed</b> 138:7	4:10 56:5 58:1	75:17 78:15 79:5	heck 184:23
handgun 264:19	59:18 79:9,14	81:16 87:11 89:2	heightened 44:11
handle 121:13	98:16 103:21 104:6	90:11 91:23 92:6	<b>held</b> 32:9 36:18
227:9	104:10,14 105:1,7	92:11,12 93:11	64:11,14 66:3,7,13
handled 225:3	115:25 116:5	94:11,20 96:14,20	66:19 75:1 255:10
226:18	149:22 150:2 155:3	103:19,25 104:1,9	<b>help</b> 9:21 10:14
handling 224:25	155:18 156:1,4,11	105:4,10,11 107:9	34:18 35:16 70:22
226:21	156:14 165:24	110:25,25 111:11	95:12 109:7 119:14
handoff 60:25	166:5 267:15,20	111:13,14,16	120:19 121:14,21
61:10	272:10,14 275:13	112:16,17,24 113:8	121:22 122:2,7,9
hands 22:17 34:25	276:11 298:14	113:17,20,24	167:2 174:5,22,22
242:19 246:6	harpring's 99:24	114:25 115:1,19,19	210:7 219:15 230:8
hang 65:22 146:21	harsh 36:7	115:23,23 116:7,11	233:1 236:7
<b>happen</b> 24:1 83:14	hate 76:8 152:11	116:16,23 117:3	helped 148:21
91:9 107:3 179:20	havens 265:7,9	118:16 119:11,12	helping 70:15
179:22 202:23		119:20,21,21 120:1	236:7 263:5

[helps - improve] Page 329

<b>helps</b> 152:21	homicide 180:25	271:20	160:7 263:13
heretofore 79:12	181:1 263:14	i	265:16 267:3
hey 39:15 176:13	honest 59:1 270:25	<b>i.e.</b> 276:8	273:20 280:8 284:2
259:9 278:10	honor 289:10	iceberg 14:25	285:9,15 287:23
<b>high</b> 1:1 7:5,6 10:6	295:14	idea 33:3 40:8 58:6	implementation
48:6 57:18 59:15	hook 124:2 196:19	89:23 99:5 154:16	82:1,23 83:19 84:7
60:21 63:11 64:6	hope 35:12,17 52:1	ideally 95:25	84:14 135:14
73:13 80:18,18	52:18 139:11 171:8	ideas 129:24	278:25
84:6,8,16,17,19,22	172:7	identification	implemented 10:23
85:24 87:18 102:25	hopefully 124:17	200:17	138:17,23 139:8,20
105:3,4,21 107:10	125:23 153:18,23	identified 23:1 45:9	287:1 299:10
143:21,22 161:2,13	180:5,22 219:24,25	45:13,18 52:6	implementing
190:25 191:2,13	220:4 223:15 229:4	216:20 218:3	15:25 140:4
202:13 263:14	238:22 296:12,16	identify 86:4 97:12	implications
276:6	297:16	_	294:11
higher 63:4	horse 271:3 275:9	99:2,12 100:10,19 100:23 102:17	importance 135:19
highlight 133:6	<b>hospital</b> 58:23,24	118:13 121:13	<b>important</b> 5:1 6:14
164:16,22	263:23 264:5,15	177:11 180:18,21	70:18 106:8,12
highlighted 133:10	<b>hospitals</b> 43:1,1,6	198:7 270:2	110:7 121:14 122:2
highlighting 72:23	hostage 265:4	identifying 118:10	139:17 145:17
<b>highly</b> 217:20	<b>hotel</b> 125:13	153:23 177:14	157:1 159:23 162:7
hillsborough 48:20	hour 31:18 52:21		162:19 164:19
hipaa 68:7	187:5	identity 196:3 ieps 86:15	175:24 176:20
<b>hiring</b> 173:1,7	hours 20:3,4,10	_	198:10 210:14
283:2	31:16,16 33:14	ignoring 192:8 ii 253:1,8	214:4,11 245:9
<b>history</b> 25:14 203:5	111:16 192:6	illegal 159:20 186:3	254:4 262:5
hit 290:23	<b>house</b> 160:5 167:4	illness 19:21	importantly 13:15
hits 191:2,8,20	168:6 193:12	illusion 300:14	<b>impose</b> 49:9 128:24
223:5	<b>housed</b> 140:19	illustration 242:13	279:21,25
<b>hoc</b> 282:12	173:22,23 288:13	immediately 48:23	imposed 60:9
<b>hold</b> 9:23 13:21	housekeeping 2:5	264:12,14	impossible 5:4 26:1
21:12 24:11 25:21	how's 94:15 109:1	impact 79:7 240:13	156:20 188:12
64:14 66:10 139:22	121:17	287:12,16,20	199:24,25 200:4,15
148:11 149:17	<b>hug</b> 36:17	impacted 292:22	improper 137:1
171:2 177:15 301:3	huge 12:13 178:22	impactful 289:23	138:1 141:10,20
<b>holding</b> 36:9 265:3	274:1 282:18	impediments	improperly 49:2
<b>hole</b> 74:14	hugs 35:22	203:23,23	255:16
<b>holistic</b> 13:14 57:25	<b>human</b> 110:9	<b>imperative</b> 127:16	<b>improve</b> 25:17,18
64:4 65:25 70:21	111:20	156:23	46:23 77:12 134:8
home 28:12 123:5	hundred 171:8	implement 19:7	294:21
	172:9 198:25	111:23 136:9 147:6	
		111.23 130.9 147.0	

improved 21:18	<b>included</b> 4:25 92:7	individual 36:5	256:4 259:9 262:12
215:12 287:7,9	113:9 143:19 152:3	37:23 42:16 64:19	268:6 294:1
improvement	181:10 231:16	64:19 95:3,4 133:2	information's
17:10 42:18 51:2	233:4 294:2	161:3 196:4 254:5	224:5
282:18	includes 174:10	288:3	informed 10:15
improvements	183:13 218:3 245:1	individually 36:14	12:12
280:4	including 44:19	individuals 7:5	informs 154:7
improves 127:19	49:10 136:11	97:13 100:20,23	ingrained 277:12
inability 58:5,6	139:16 147:17	102:18 162:6	inherent 270:9
inaccurately 49:2	181:7 217:16	200:12	290:18
inadequate 54:17	233:24 254:6	ineffective 135:14	inherently 21:5
266:23 267:2	283:11 292:14	210:23	72:7 180:2
inadequately	income 293:19	inexperience	<b>initially</b> 103:9
175:12	incomplete 143:20	135:16	<b>initiated</b> 9:3,6,12
inadvertently	inconsistency	inform 278:3 284:5	46:12
266:1	272:6 274:1	informal 246:15	inject 282:7
inappropriate	inconsistent 137:1	247:5	innovative 111:6
19:23	138:2 141:13,20	information 4:23	input 85:13 148:23
incarcerated 36:16	inconvenience	6:14 10:8,9,14,23	185:9 195:18
incentive 178:18,22	289:14	12:12 13:7 15:20	281:15 290:2
incentives 178:18	incorporate 62:5	16:4,8 26:9 32:22	291:18 292:11,25
incident 161:14	185:13 194:4,18	38:10,25 39:12	insanity 166:25
176:17 177:25	increase 6:23 7:11	45:15 46:2,17 53:5	inside 195:25 196:6
181:9	7:13 8:10,15,17,18	54:7 75:23 96:4	238:20
incidents 169:7,8	57:23 62:9,21 63:3	105:14 126:15	insignificant 203:9
175:5,18 176:3,5	64:2 65:23 79:5	132:11 136:16	inspection 132:14
183:14,16 261:3	increased 5:14 6:20	138:12 148:14	instagram 209:13
272:19 276:17	6:21 7:10 8:9,20,21	152:17,19 153:16	installed 196:23
inclined 65:5	8:24 79:9	153:19,22 156:21	instance 40:15
include 83:12	incredibly 289:23	156:25,25 194:9,9	58:15 59:5 87:23
93:22 105:11	independent	197:11 198:2,9,21	instances 209:16
111:14 112:8	232:12 235:17	200:6,7,13 202:3	institute 282:17
119:15 120:7	240:4	203:4,5 204:6	instituting 124:5
140:22 144:15	independently	208:12 212:10,11	institution 255:11
156:21 157:12	236:13	212:22 213:2 214:1	instruction 111:11
165:18 166:10	indian 1:19	215:20 216:2,4,7	111:13 123:12
183:7,9,16,25	indicates 219:7	216:12,24 223:20	instrument 126:10
195:10 196:1 201:7	indication 153:24	224:17 227:11	134:9 138:17,20,24
219:2,9 241:19	indicator 129:7	229:7 231:2,6	139:12,18,22
290:5,5,6,8	indiscernible 2:25	241:21 248:1,2	insulin 18:3
7- 7- 7-	56:4	254:25 255:1,4,10	
Veritext Legal Solutions			

[insurance - keeps] Page 331

insurance 38:10	involved 39:18	•	judd's 130:21
58:18 287:6	40:23 51:23 92:16	j j	9
		jackson 288:21,22	judge 17:6 24:7,24
integrate 203:7	152:11 155:1,5,13	288:24	68:15,18 69:20,21 69:22 162:25
integrated 140:14	156:5,6,8 162:12	<b>jail</b> 50:4 161:4	
141:1 187:18,25	238:10 280:11	jailhouse 26:21	judges 23:3 24:20
188:7 194:25	286:22	<b>james</b> 1:19	49:9 69:22
198:20 203:18	involvement	jason 1:9	judicial 1:11
integration 198:3	103:10	jennifer 2:21	july 112:1 223:1
229:5	involving 167:5	297:23	225:10 231:15
<b>intend</b> 223:13	isolated 14:12	jjis 227:4	<b>jump</b> 3:5,7
intended 159:15	26:10 37:24	<b>job</b> 39:10 153:19	juncture 204:3
203:13	issue 15:19,19	204:5 223:4	282:3
<b>intent</b> 49:7,8	26:13 35:2 52:5	joe 229:10	<b>june</b> 135:23,25
167:10,11 198:18	64:25 67:17,23	joey 214:7	136:4,6
198:22 199:3 291:4	68:14 84:10 99:8	john 1:24 53:16	<b>justice</b> 1:16 7:7
intention 247:15	105:16 106:1,8,23	57:3,9 63:2,18	15:12,13 22:23
intentioned 232:24	108:4 129:18	70:10 75:4,6 98:15	48:19 50:3 222:20
interest 21:8	137:18 138:10	100:18 101:15	225:24 226:9
interesting 9:11	161:9,20 180:25	100:16 101:13	240:16 241:20
interests 53:7	181:12 202:5	130:21 150:1	juvenile 1:16 12:24
254:6	211:23 213:5,16	150:21 150:1	15:12 22:23 24:6
interfaces 204:14	231:23 244:4 268:8	168:18 189:16	48:18 114:21 203:1
interim 298:8	277:16		203:4 218:12
internal 294:17	issued 14:14,20	194:20 206:15	222:19 225:24
interpret 248:23	196:14,23	252:13 256:16	226:9 240:16
interpretation	issues 7:16 9:19	273:18 276:20	241:20
248:22 249:16	24:4 28:15 29:8	<b>john's</b> 143:6	juveniles 21:22
intervene 33:21	34:19 50:19 55:19	<b>joint</b> 177:2 244:1,3	236:7
intervening 14:24	63:14 72:24 113:18	244:8	k
intervention 13:20	116:24 133:3 161:8	jones 1:9 260:6,14	
15:17 38:1 89:17	185:6 197:4 217:16	judd 1:20 125:16	k 1:15 111:18,19
introducing 293:13	233:17 246:17	128:2,3 129:6,10	121:15 127:11
293:17	276:5	130:7 131:3,16	180:17 215:4,7
investigation	it'd 82:6 89:22	163:10 165:10	265:9
103:11,14 105:15	it'll 2:14 52:10	166:13,14 182:6	keep 17:9 31:18
investigations	297:6	213:3 240:10	35:22 41:11 52:2
103:15	item 146:19	242:10,12 243:16	85:4 120:6 132:25
	items 114:19	245:7,19,25 246:3	162:21 186:23,25
involuntarily 30:7		246:5 247:7,10	187:2 242:19
involuntary 6:18	iteration 179:4	266:13 270:16	287:18 300:11,21
24:25 33:8 45:24	iv 253:1	271:4,10,13 274:8	keeps 17:4 177:12
69:24		285:25	

[kelly's - knows] Page 332

1 11 1 202 5	20 14 10 15 12 17	<b>7</b> 0 1 <b>7</b> 50 10 50 1 <b>2</b>	202 0 204 2 205 45	
kelly's 283:7	39:14 40:16 43:17	59:15 60:10 68:13	203:8 204:2 207:17	
kelvin 2:8	43:22 49:3,5,13,17	69:10 71:2,22 76:5	208:2,9,18 209:1,3	
kept 19:25	49:19,20 50:7,12	76:7,17 78:17	209:8,11,14,15,18	
<b>kevin</b> 1:22	52:3,24 58:19	79:12 82:25 83:5	209:20 210:25	
key 95:22 190:23	64:21 70:22 83:12	85:11 87:17,25	211:1,20 212:16	
195:21 196:1	87:1 103:17 104:13	88:2,2,10 89:11	213:3,5,14 214:7	
209:11 231:16	105:20,21 106:4,4	90:25 91:10 94:22	214:13,14 215:14	
keyword 213:22	106:24 110:2,8	95:25 96:13,22	215:16 217:5,6	
<b>kid</b> 13:11,16,24	115:5 152:23 164:6	97:17,18,22 98:24	218:23 219:17	
14:6 18:21 21:6,10	178:4 224:9 233:1	99:3,13,20 102:6	224:12 225:1 226:9	
22:5,22 25:3 27:1,6	239:25 244:1,9	103:5,8 106:9	228:3 229:25 230:8	
27:9,17 28:7,19,20	279:2,13 282:13,21	110:4 113:4,4,7	230:22,24 231:4	
28:20 31:23,25	killed 263:24	114:4,24 116:4	233:2 234:7 235:21	
32:11,12 36:15,17	killer 233:3	117:25 118:21,22	236:4 240:7 242:17	
37:7,9,16 38:13,20	<b>kind</b> 30:12,15	118:23 119:1,2,3,8	243:4,13 244:19	
38:20 39:25 40:17	34:12 63:1,18	125:8,12,14 126:13	246:8,15,17 247:4	
40:21 44:1 45:4,5	76:13 80:11 92:17	129:6 130:8 132:9	247:4,5 248:2	
46:4,10,15 50:21	106:13 113:3 122:4	132:15,16,25 133:1	250:4 258:18,22,25	
51:4,5 68:14 70:21	125:23 129:14	133:8,9,14 138:23	259:4 262:5 268:9	
88:5,13,15 89:17	134:14 139:19	139:9,11,13,18	268:11 269:9,18,22	
89:21,21 99:20	164:14 166:1	142:1,11 144:18	269:25 270:5,5,6,8	
103:18 153:11	219:18 236:4 237:1	147:25,25 148:1	270:18 271:5 272:5	
166:7 177:1 225:20	237:8 258:19	149:1,2,2,3,10,10	273:2,6,7 274:2,9	
226:19 228:17,18	282:23 299:25	153:20,21 155:8,8	274:15 277:11,15	
228:20,24 229:23	kindergarten 110:3	155:10,14 158:13	278:18 279:18	
238:9,9,14,21,22	kinds 215:7 222:13	161:21 162:17	280:7 281:5,7,12	
244:16,20 246:8,8	291:8	163:6,19,24 164:9	281:24 282:13,21	
<b>kid's</b> 14:16 88:15	knew 13:18 180:4	164:13,15 166:5,12	283:1,7,9 284:8,15	
229:23 244:17	263:9	168:2 170:7,16,16	285:7,21,24 293:20	
<b>kidding</b> 179:14	knock 28:5 244:9	171:18,20,23,24	297:12 298:4,10,18	
<b>kids</b> 7:12,24 15:14	<b>know</b> 4:17 10:4	172:2,3 173:4,6,13	299:12 300:11	
16:10 17:22 18:10	11:19 15:4 16:11	173:16 175:1 177:2	knowing 5:5	
18:19 19:2,8,13,14	18:5 22:15 27:14	177:9,24 178:11	162:25 263:19	
19:22 20:15,16,19	30:8 31:3,4 34:6,11	179:2,11,12,22	knowledge 10:13	
20:24 21:2,3,12,25	34:13,16 35:1	180:10,12,24	11:22 93:13 147:23	
22:11,19 23:4,8,13	38:11 39:4,19 40:8	184:21,23 192:2	149:12 150:8	
25:21 27:18,20	41:5 44:6,21 47:5	193:3,16,24 194:6	151:18 205:5,10	
29:14 30:7,14 34:6	49:3 50:9 51:24	194:10,12 196:10	known 34:7,8	
34:8,13,19 35:22	52:24 53:3,6 54:6,8	196:16 198:16,17	117:5 195:15	
35:25 36:10,14,24	54:10,14 55:25,25	199:5,9,12 200:20	knows 39:1,2,5	
37:10,12 38:8	58:2,4 59:11,12,14	202:4,5,9,12,18	201:15 226:11	
Varitant Lagal Colutions				

Page 333

1	115:10,13 116:19	189:5 196:21	117:14 118:18	
1-1-1-2266	116:22 117:4,15,25	197:23 198:3	119:1 124:6	
labels 226:6	118:20,24 119:6	200:10,18 201:6	leave 3:12 65:1	
lack 9:18 21:14	120:5,14 121:18,20	203:3 207:15 210:7	79:15,20 94:2,6	
99:9 122:24 135:15	121:25 122:9	212:8,21,25 213:9	99:18 148:9 155:6	
135:16 175:13	123:23 141:4,12	215:3 216:17	184:4,16,18 274:5	
lacking 9:15	148:3 162:9,15	221:15,25 228:2	277:14 296:3	
lady 111:6	181:16,20 182:2,18	232:1 234:3,13	leaves 276:24	
lakeland 302:13	183:3 205:19	236:15 242:16	leaving 101:3	
lane 34:8	206:13 207:13,19	243:23 245:11	160:25 280:13	
lanes 34:3,4,6	208:13 236:22	247:13 248:3,24	led 227:22 234:15	
language 62:4 76:6	237:4,12,15 238:4	254:21 255:4,5,17	235:11 249:21	
76:7 82:7 136:3	238:6,24 257:17	256:22,23 257:9,19	251:14 291:8	
149:23,24 150:13	268:16,22 269:2	257:25 258:5,25	left 61:1 215:2	
163:10 165:13	larry 1:13	259:2 260:9,11,12	262:9 286:5 292:20	
167:15,23 168:20	lastly 180:12	260:21,23 261:1,4	legal 69:25 188:1	
239:16 240:8 243:8	latest 40:8,10	264:3 271:11,25	188:13 197:25	
large 163:21 202:3	laudable 232:25	273:3 275:7 289:2	200:11 203:23	
largely 139:12	laundry 201:9	291:7 292:1,6,16	204:17 212:9	
149:7 292:20	law 1:12,23 7:15,23	293:21 294:9,16	242:23,23 249:16	
largest 5:6	9:3,7 10:11,25	lawful 260:20	249:17 250:22	
larkin 1:13 38:2,3	11:12,12,13 25:8,9	laws 130:13 182:12	254:17 256:10,23	
39:8 41:2,23 42:4,7	25:10,11 44:18	254:4,6,12,18	257:19 258:18	
42:11,19 43:9 44:9	46:7,8,12 47:13	255:7 256:11	259:20,20 260:7,8	
45:10,17,21,23	48:3 50:24 51:21	lawyers 248:22,23	260:8,14,15,16	
46:19 56:1,12,17	68:22 69:1,22	249:7	261:7,9,22	
56:24 58:2,14	96:23 127:2,23	layman's 58:6	legalese 249:16	
59:20 64:9,18	128:10 129:13	lays 246:6 262:12	legalize 249:2	
65:11 66:2,6 80:6	130:9 132:14	le 169:7	legislation 62:6	
81:2,7 83:6,10,22	136:22 137:2,24,25	lead 4:21 127:10	92:4 212:14	
86:12,20,22 87:7	138:3 140:15,19	227:18 267:2	legislative 86:18	
87:12,16,22 88:3,7	141:8,18 147:5	293:22	91:11 101:25 102:4	
88:11,23 89:14	157:2,12,16 158:11	leadership 44:11	102:7 160:4 164:11	
90:2,18 91:1 93:25	159:14 161:17,18	leads 234:21	165:3 174:15	
94:5,25 95:2,17	163:24 165:18	266:22	184:12 198:17	
97:1 101:1,6,13	166:15 168:2 169:9	learn 119:15	199:13,17 202:5	
105:13,19 106:17	170:19 175:22	121:10 123:4,5	299:13 300:25	
106:20,23 107:6,12	176:4,6 177:22	learned 20:6 115:6	legislator 123:1	
107:16,23 109:3,6	181:6,7 182:11	123:6 265:2	legislature 35:18	
109:9,13,19 111:3	183:8,10,15,24	learning 110:6,18	41:5 73:18 77:23	
113:1,10,15,22	184:1,23 185:23	113:25 114:9 117:7	77:24 78:6,7,8,14	
114:6,8,11 115:7	107.1,23 103.23	113.23 114.7 111.1	/ / .27 / 0.0, / ,0,14	
Veritevt Legal Solutions				

[legislature - lot] Page 334

	I		
80:23 83:4,16,18	<b>limb</b> 62:7	193:17 194:9	214:10 226:3 243:3
84:13 86:18 87:4,9	<b>limit</b> 114:23,25	209:15,23 255:17	244:9 253:13
89:1 91:20 94:10	limitation 191:24	262:4	268:10 277:23
94:19 99:1 102:13	limitations 188:13	lives 264:17 288:13	288:1,2,10
105:17 106:1	189:10 190:6	<b>living</b> 47:16	looked 13:11,11,12
126:12 154:23	205:18	<b>local</b> 48:19 96:17	20:12 21:19 31:22
157:11,11 158:2	<b>limited</b> 44:17 70:13	101:17 140:19	31:23 47:23 61:16
165:9,16,17 168:2	70:15 71:24,25	156:21,23 158:4,20	61:24 69:17 233:17
173:6 182:23	72:2,5,9 140:23	161:1 200:5 204:21	241:13
201:18 232:1,10,14	225:18,19	215:25 235:7,7	<b>looking</b> 13:13
233:8 235:6 237:7	<b>linda</b> 302:6,20	276:25	14:24 22:13 30:13
238:1 249:12 251:2	<b>line</b> 19:19 23:9,17	<b>locally</b> 215:21	31:3 35:3 36:5,22
260:19 280:6 293:9	24:1 29:10,12 82:3	location 196:3	37:16,23 41:15
299:10 300:22,25	236:10	264:12 289:14	46:22,22 54:18
<b>legit</b> 69:6	<b>lines</b> 30:6 54:18	lock 49:3,5 50:12	62:4 134:7 173:2
legitimate 22:24	99:4 117:23 148:7	264:21	174:9 178:4,17
<b>length</b> 127:8 224:8	<b>link</b> 2:13 193:24,25	lockdown 262:22	194:14 195:2
lengthy 289:5	<b>linked</b> 4:1 267:16	263:11 266:1 270:2	209:19,24 228:23
290:16	links 267:6 273:21	270:10 284:5	288:5 296:25
<b>letter</b> 219:10	285:5	locked 27:3	looks 200:21
223:13,15	<b>linton</b> 1:23	lockout 262:22	276:14
<b>letting</b> 37:20	<b>linx</b> 198:2	263:8	loop 3:22
level 59:15 71:7	<b>list</b> 61:16 98:23	log 11:18	lose 28:18 178:23
77 0 0 00 10 07 10	201:10 216:6,10	long 6:3 9:5 23:22	losing 23:7
77:2,3 80:18 87:18			
88:17 89:25 135:17	275:16,21 299:8	40:19 109:24 159:3	<b>lost</b> 115:18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	275:16,21 299:8 <b>listed</b> 231:18	40:19 109:24 159:3 242:23 246:3 269:5	lost 115:18 lot 3:13 16:15
88:17 89:25 135:17	· ·		
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21	<b>listed</b> 231:18	242:23 246:3 269:5	<b>lot</b> 3:13 16:15
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5	listed 231:18 listened 250:6	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 <b>levels</b> 211:2,22 213:17 245:11	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22 213:17 245:11 licensed 42:25	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16 little 7:19 24:2	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14 47:1,5,7 50:20	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14 114:17 115:1,5
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22 213:17 245:11 licensed 42:25 lies 66:12	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16 little 7:19 24:2 29:13 44:7 60:6	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14 47:1,5,7 50:20 52:13 54:9 57:4	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14 114:17 115:1,5 123:9 140:7 161:8
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22 213:17 245:11 licensed 42:25 lies 66:12 lieu 226:7,7,8 227:2	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16 little 7:19 24:2 29:13 44:7 60:6 61:15 82:4,7 98:20	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14 47:1,5,7 50:20 52:13 54:9 57:4 91:20 103:16 126:4	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14 114:17 115:1,5 123:9 140:7 161:8 162:6,6 166:6
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22 213:17 245:11 licensed 42:25 lies 66:12 lieu 226:7,7,8 227:2 life 19:11 110:12	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16 little 7:19 24:2 29:13 44:7 60:6 61:15 82:4,7 98:20 110:24 164:9,15	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14 47:1,5,7 50:20 52:13 54:9 57:4 91:20 103:16 126:4 129:20 131:25	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14 114:17 115:1,5 123:9 140:7 161:8 162:6,6 166:6 180:18,20 184:24
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22 213:17 245:11 licensed 42:25 lies 66:12 lieu 226:7,7,8 227:2 life 19:11 110:12 242:23 264:10	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16 little 7:19 24:2 29:13 44:7 60:6 61:15 82:4,7 98:20 110:24 164:9,15 239:19,23 252:25	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14 47:1,5,7 50:20 52:13 54:9 57:4 91:20 103:16 126:4 129:20 131:25 144:4,21 164:22	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14 114:17 115:1,5 123:9 140:7 161:8 162:6,6 166:6 180:18,20 184:24 186:17,18 193:19
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22 213:17 245:11 licensed 42:25 lies 66:12 lieu 226:7,7,8 227:2 life 19:11 110:12 242:23 264:10 265:24	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16 little 7:19 24:2 29:13 44:7 60:6 61:15 82:4,7 98:20 110:24 164:9,15 239:19,23 252:25 253:1,1 272:6	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14 47:1,5,7 50:20 52:13 54:9 57:4 91:20 103:16 126:4 129:20 131:25 144:4,21 164:22 169:12 171:3,5	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14 114:17 115:1,5 123:9 140:7 161:8 162:6,6 166:6 180:18,20 184:24 186:17,18 193:19 202:7,7,7 210:16
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22 213:17 245:11 licensed 42:25 lies 66:12 lieu 226:7,7,8 227:2 life 19:11 110:12 242:23 264:10 265:24 lifetime 17:6	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16 little 7:19 24:2 29:13 44:7 60:6 61:15 82:4,7 98:20 110:24 164:9,15 239:19,23 252:25 253:1,1 272:6 littleton 263:1,1	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14 47:1,5,7 50:20 52:13 54:9 57:4 91:20 103:16 126:4 129:20 131:25 144:4,21 164:22 169:12 171:3,5 172:6,20,21 173:25	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14 114:17 115:1,5 123:9 140:7 161:8 162:6,6 166:6 180:18,20 184:24 186:17,18 193:19 202:7,7,7 210:16 211:21 233:9,12
88:17 89:25 135:17 136:12 143:21 198:16 200:5 202:13 211:3 215:25 levels 211:2,22 213:17 245:11 licensed 42:25 lies 66:12 lieu 226:7,7,8 227:2 life 19:11 110:12 242:23 264:10 265:24 lifetime 17:6 light 69:4 277:1	listed 231:18 listened 250:6 listens 35:18 listing 215:4 literally 20:5 litigated 159:16 little 7:19 24:2 29:13 44:7 60:6 61:15 82:4,7 98:20 110:24 164:9,15 239:19,23 252:25 253:1,1 272:6 littleton 263:1,1 live 62:19 124:24	242:23 246:3 269:5 275:6 299:2 300:12 longer 22:3 28:10 49:17 52:14 61:4,8 177:3 look 25:14 27:14 47:1,5,7 50:20 52:13 54:9 57:4 91:20 103:16 126:4 129:20 131:25 144:4,21 164:22 169:12 171:3,5 172:6,20,21 173:25 174:25 180:16,16	lot 3:13 16:15 17:21 22:7 25:15 30:16 31:17 38:8 39:21 42:25 48:10 50:17 51:1 60:14 61:19 80:15 100:14 114:17 115:1,5 123:9 140:7 161:8 162:6,6 166:6 180:18,20 184:24 186:17,18 193:19 202:7,7,7 210:16 211:21 233:9,12 262:13 264:1,17

[lot - meant] Page 335

	1		Т.
281:21 282:11,13	221:6 222:2,12,13	manner 127:25	<b>matter</b> 3:5 10:20
282:14 283:11	222:14,18 224:13	287:25	62:18 78:19 202:1
300:12,13,16	230:6 231:3 236:16	manners 49:18	226:2 234:13 263:4
lots 22:16 58:25	245:4 247:18 251:1	marchman 14:22	matters 54:14
60:8,8	280:3,4 281:2	maria 286:15,17	<b>mature</b> 61:13 63:17
<b>low</b> 196:10 293:19	<b>male</b> 160:24	marijuana 177:2	<b>max</b> 1:12
lower 55:15,18	mall 158:23,25	<b>marjory</b> 1:1 128:11	mayhew 64:25
118:7 269:21	227:24 228:18	276:5 277:17	mean 45:6,7 46:16
lowest 55:3,16	229:11 238:15	mark 223:6	51:1 59:10 64:23
luck 125:16	246:25	marstiller 1:15	76:20 78:20 80:14
lunch 186:21	manage 198:8	95:1 102:11,14	80:18 83:16 87:24
luncheon 187:14	205:15 218:2,4	114:14,16 115:4,15	88:1,4,14 94:17,21
lutheran 1:17	managed 38:19	115:20,24 120:11	96:19 102:3 106:9
<b>lystad</b> 1:22 63:19	management 9:17	120:19 152:1,2	123:1 133:12 144:8
63:20 73:5,6	10:2 11:25 19:21	153:5,8,13,17	145:4,16 149:2,5
137:16 160:12	25:19 33:13 37:6	154:3,11,14 206:1	150:24 153:11
189:25 266:15	57:15 60:24 82:23	206:5,8,24 218:16	164:18 165:11,12
m	83:19 84:5,8,14	218:17 219:23	182:4 184:18,21
ma'am 288:20	152:18 198:7	220:5,12,14 221:9	190:15 192:10
295:17,18	200:10 218:5 287:8	221:13,18,22 222:4	201:22 202:9,14,24
magically 237:21	managers 25:12	223:18 225:5,22,25	209:2,18 210:25
magnitude 14:5	managing 26:4	226:4 228:13	215:15,16 221:13
mail 157:25 189:12	39:24 57:22 59:11	229:15,18 230:15	228:9 230:20
189:14,17,20 190:8	60:2 62:8 66:10	241:8 252:17,18	240:15 242:18
191:8,10,16,18	81:24 82:22 83:2,5	253:6,9,12 256:14	243:17 257:25
192:1,2,8,11,13,15	83:7 84:20 85:19	marstiller's 37:9	269:7,8 270:16
192:20 297:23	198:11 286:21	120:6 228:10	272:5,6 274:13
mail's 190:16,17	287:2,22 288:4	mass 157:14 159:19	277:18 279:15,22
mailing 2:11	289:1	165:11 166:11	280:23 285:11
mails 214:25	mandate 176:21	180:20,23 294:18	291:4 300:7
maintain 271:21	200:22 213:13	massive 199:11	meaning 81:13
major 158:8	275:10 280:7	203:11,11	117:23 190:16
majority 9:2 11:16	mandated 81:15	massullo 160:6	197:3
37:10	126:11 151:23	162:4 167:3,15	meaningful 147:7
makers 221:4,25	212:19	168:7	meaningfully 137:2
making 12:17	mandates 185:22	<b>master</b> 9:17 10:1	154:20
67:15 70:5 139:21	mandatory 150:9	57:14 82:23 83:19	means 165:12
154:7 157:2 159:1	234:2	84:5,7,14	232:12 234:22
164:5 173:15	manipulate 155:9	masters 1:4	265:18 278:11
174:13 196:4 203:2	155:16,16	matriculated	meant 263:19
205:22 211:15		281:17	
203.22 211.13			

17.2.52.24	154.01	102 25 104 0 105 4	
measure 17:2 53:24		103:25 104:9 105:4	miami 224:5
58:5,6,8 278:7	meeting 1:2 2:4	105:11 110:25,25	226:15,17 227:6
measured 58:12	10:21 11:11 96:23	111:14,16 112:16	230:3 289:1
176:8	172:25 174:12	112:17,24 113:8,17	mic 58:4
measurement 54:3	196:11 213:4	113:20,23 114:25	michael 263:24
measurements	215:19 216:9	115:1,19,23 116:6	265:6 280:19
43:4 54:10	291:13	116:11,16,23 117:2	microphone 75:5
measures 58:15,16	meetings 5:12	118:16 119:11,11	<b>middle</b> 10:6 36:4
58:18,25 59:4,7,14	70:18 289:15	119:20,21 120:1,9	47:8,9 158:20,21
60:1,3,8,11,12,22	melissa 1:13	120:22 121:8	298:22
61:17,24 74:19	<b>member</b> 1:21 51:10	123:12 129:16	<b>midst</b> 264:1
75:12 80:3 82:8,17	289:18	140:20 147:17,21	<b>mike</b> 1:17
136:2,8 294:2,20	members 1:8	148:4,21 149:19	miller 2:21 297:23
294:24	147:16 150:16	150:16,18,20,21	<b>million</b> 41:10 264:5
<b>mechanism</b> 76:9,10	154:20,25 155:24	151:6,16 153:3	milliseconds 202:2
77:17 131:17	180:7 188:19,23	189:6 193:25 197:7	mind 12:9 20:22
mechanisms 13:20	189:3 216:5 290:9	197:9,13,17 200:19	154:9 242:24
59:9 215:22	292:14 296:7	201:9 207:23	287:18
media 30:8 187:19	mental 3:8 5:2,5,9	216:16 232:20	mindset 179:21,23
188:18,22 189:2,8	5:11,14 6:20 7:7,15	287:11,12,14 288:9	179:23
189:18,20 190:4,10	9:8,15,19 10:17	290:7 292:15	mine 109:4 123:23
190:20 194:19	12:14 15:3 17:3,10	mentally 164:8	minimize 201:12
195:9,11,13,20	17:22,23 19:12,18	mention 144:18,19	201:13
208:15,17,24,25	19:21 20:12 21:17	268:17	<b>minimum</b> 111:16
209:12,24 210:3,6	21:20 23:10 24:3	mentioned 16:11	171:24
210:7 213:21,25	25:3,16 29:1,3,5,7	19:15 31:15,16	minor 26:24 245:22
214:2 215:9	29:8,12 34:10,20	48:17 60:7 109:11	<b>minute</b> 49:25
medicaid 38:8,9,13	34:21,22 35:1	131:5 161:4	202:25 220:25
38:14,17,18 39:1,3	46:23 47:14 48:4	mentioning 134:10	296:24
39:4 40:2 64:15	48:10 52:15 53:21	merely 8:16 142:4	minutes 125:3
76:21 83:12 103:18	54:15 55:18,22	143:13 274:21	186:25 187:2 193:6
103:19 149:8 287:5	56:20,22 57:5,12	mes 62:7,16,17	264:6 286:14
medicaids 38:19	58:7 61:20 67:12	message 172:1	295:18,19 297:17
40:4	67:16 68:17 70:3	193:1 220:1	<b>mirror</b> 13:12
medical 264:25	70:14,21,25 72:19	messes 175:21	184:20 185:20
medication 288:15	72:21 73:1,7,19	met 48:23 70:6	misapplied 254:12
meet 34:23,23 43:4	75:17 78:14 79:5	264:16	260:3
59:3,6,13 67:16	81:16 87:11 89:2	method 281:5	misbehavior 7:16
73:3 77:22 96:10	90:11 91:23 92:6	methods 278:24	8:3
108:3,15 171:5	92:11,12 93:11	metrics 73:22	misconceptions
172:18,21 174:19	94:11,19 96:14		259:2
,	<u> </u>		

Veritext Legal Solutions

• • 4	100 17 01 4 16 10	1 222 4	60 T 10 100 600
misinterpretation	193:17 214:16,18	msd 233:4	69:7,13 108:6,22
175:10	monitoring 12:25	<b>multiple</b> 7:1 15:11	136:2,8 157:5
misnomer 32:23	187:19 189:8,18,21	43:22 58:15 224:10	223:19 232:2
misperception	190:4,10,20 192:12	<b>murder</b> 180:23	254:17 256:11
210:5	193:17 195:10	264:18	necklace 228:18
misreporting 175:9	208:15,16,24,25	murderer 106:5	229:10
missing 17:20	210:4,6 214:22	263:23	<b>need</b> 3:22 9:21,21
20:15 221:9,11	<b>month</b> 30:5 58:21	murderers 106:12	9:22,23 19:10 22:8
240:17	59:7 171:17 172:15	180:20	23:12 24:1,5 25:18
<b>mission</b> 289:11	173:21 263:17	<b>myriad</b> 140:19	25:18,19 28:16,23
misunderstand	<b>months</b> 193:14	158:16 200:18	29:9 31:25 35:22
84:4 254:22	230:10 283:4	n	35:24 36:1 37:17
misunderstanding	300:16	nail 290:23	37:17,18,24 44:2
267:9	morbidly 19:3	name 11:3 65:5	46:24 60:22 61:14
misunderstood	morning 2:4,14,20	144:23,24 181:8	62:15 63:17 64:21
254:7 260:1,2	3:2 16:25 17:16	195:16,21 209:14	65:23 66:3,5,6,7,13
<b>mix</b> 38:11,12	27:24 298:19	named 188:10	66:19 69:9,13
<b>mixed</b> 258:22	<b>motion</b> 3:25 4:3	263:24	71:17,20 73:3
mixes 38:24	242:9 282:1 297:1	names 65:5 120:16	74:24 76:9 79:23
<b>mobile</b> 41:6 52:1,4	motion's 4:4	195:19 214:14	86:2 87:2 88:16
52:17 192:16	<b>move</b> 38:16 48:7	215:7	90:23 92:24 97:11
<b>model</b> 139:13	70:9,11 73:16 86:1	naming 119:16	98:18 102:12 108:4
286:25	97:10 102:23 109:2	naming 119:10 narrative 70:12	110:11 112:17
modifications	118:19 124:22	168:25 187:21	113:22 114:1
188:16 296:11	135:2,8 137:23	219:6	124:22 128:3
298:6	147:4 164:16,21,23	narrows 141:15	129:19 146:16
modified 292:17	165:1 168:24	nation 291:11	148:8,16 150:13,17
modifier 150:25	187:22 205:13	national 211:2	151:23 152:25
<b>modify</b> 184:3	206:10 218:11	nauseam 232:21	161:23 171:2,6,9
<b>moment</b> 38:11	223:16 253:18		171:17 172:5
277:8	254:15 259:23	navigate 9:22 ncic 11:24	174:22 183:9,16,25
monday 296:14	264:12,13,17		189:16,19 190:7
money 17:12 25:16	277:22 294:20	near 47:6 192:19 198:21 202:22	204:5 205:17 207:3
73:23 79:6 210:16	<b>moved</b> 19:19 23:17		209:21 211:12
217:21	212:20	289:3	220:1 233:1 237:5
moniker 214:19,23	moves 154:4	nearly 292:12	237:17 242:9 244:9
monitor 125:11	<b>moving</b> 82:21	necessarily 6:2	259:17 262:10
145:18 189:10	90:22 146:16	98:22 111:10 134:9	269:5 271:5 279:23
190:6 214:9	152:22 175:16	235:18	281:21 282:23
monitored 189:9	212:2,2 230:10	necessary 5:24 6:4	283:12 291:19
190:5,17,20 192:2	300:17,21	10:14 11:12,13 12:12 16:4 23:6	295:12 297:22
	<b>X7</b> '4 4 <b>T</b>		

Veritext Legal Solutions

[need - occur] Page 338

298:6	279:21	notebook 157:22	197:6 205:8,20,24
needed 11:1 218:4	news 160:21	158:9	213:24 215:11,18
265:23	newspaper 161:11	<b>noted</b> 4:10,13	216:5 217:20
needs 3:11 6:21	nice 100:15	169:18	220:18,20,24 221:1
17:10 21:18 22:21	nickname 214:7,10	notes 287:21	221:1 222:7,21,24
24:1 27:3,3 36:11	nicknames 213:25	302:12	231:22,24 240:13
36:12,18 44:6 53:5	214:14	notice 244:4 297:14	240:19 241:3
53:5 57:14,23 60:6	night 2:18 28:6	notification 181:8	245:11 250:18
62:9,12,21 63:3	nikolas 13:13 17:5	183:16,25 191:10	254:11,15,21
64:2,11 65:7 67:16	20:20 23:21 25:14	notifications	255:16 256:3,9
68:15,16,25 70:6	43:11 75:15	189:12,17,20 190:8	263:20 264:20
75:1 82:7 97:20,21	nims 273:8	191:16,22	281:12 288:7 290:7
97:24 102:7 105:21	nobody's 14:23,24	<b>notified</b> 10:25	numbers 6:25
136:3 148:16	16:12 27:12 33:15	51:10 181:9	30:20 32:5 41:18
154:11 164:9,10	36:15 39:12 69:3	<b>notify</b> 183:15,24	45:13 60:17 142:18
175:7 193:1 194:15	191:3 246:9,13	185:23	182:25
194:16 207:5	247:6 300:14	<b>notion</b> 22:19 29:14	numerous 13:2
215:12 220:9	nodding 65:11	november 297:5	
226:12 227:2 245:5	non 9:13 72:8	nuance 115:18	0
245:5 249:13,14	150:20,20 151:4,16	130:14	o'clock 3:12 28:6
255:6 278:14 299:1	153:3	nuanced 175:24	obese 19:3,5
negative 119:2	noncompliance	<b>number</b> 6:23 7:12	objecting 131:24
neglected 21:24	128:19,20 129:5	8:21,22 24:4,5	<b>objections</b> 70:8
22:1	130:5,19,25	51:12 53:21 55:8	97:9 131:21 133:24
neglecting 236:8	noncriminal	57:22 67:2 70:11	269:12
neither 10:16	250:13	72:17 73:25 79:3	<b>objective</b> 36:13 290:24
10.10			
193:18	nonreporting	80:7 81:22,22,23	
	<b>nonreporting</b> 175:4 177:17		obligation 199:22
193:18		80:7 81:22,22,23	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6	175:4 177:17	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5
193:18 <b>nelson</b> 1:10 268:6 <b>net</b> 73:12 290:1	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24	175:4 177:17 <b>nonsense</b> 14:10 162:18 <b>nonverbal</b> 166:6	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24 never 19:24 20:22	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18 nonverbal 166:6 noon 186:21 187:8	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13 141:6 144:4,21	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10 obstacles 289:16
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24 never 19:24 20:22 21:6 147:11	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18 nonverbal 166:6 noon 186:21 187:8 297:15 298:20	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13 141:6 144:4,21 147:15 154:18	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10 obstacles 289:16 obtain 197:11
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24 never 19:24 20:22 21:6 147:11 new 38:18 44:11	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18 nonverbal 166:6 noon 186:21 187:8 297:15 298:20 norm 233:16	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13 141:6 144:4,21 147:15 154:18 156:20 157:11	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10 obstacles 289:16 obtain 197:11 295:1
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24 never 19:24 20:22 21:6 147:11 new 38:18 44:11 70:2 118:21 122:12	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18 nonverbal 166:6 noon 186:21 187:8 297:15 298:20 norm 233:16 normal 18:17 294:14 normalized 145:17	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13 141:6 144:4,21 147:15 154:18 156:20 157:11 168:6 170:3 175:9	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10 obstacles 289:16 obtain 197:11 295:1 obtained 156:25
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24 never 19:24 20:22 21:6 147:11 new 38:18 44:11 70:2 118:21 122:12 122:25 123:3 142:8 142:8,23 143:2 152:25 180:14	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18 nonverbal 166:6 noon 186:21 187:8 297:15 298:20 norm 233:16 normal 18:17 294:14	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13 141:6 144:4,21 147:15 154:18 156:20 157:11 168:6 170:3 175:9 177:8 179:24 180:1	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10 obstacles 289:16 obtain 197:11 295:1 obtained 156:25 obviously 64:25
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24 never 19:24 20:22 21:6 147:11 new 38:18 44:11 70:2 118:21 122:12 122:25 123:3 142:8 142:8,23 143:2	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18 nonverbal 166:6 noon 186:21 187:8 297:15 298:20 norm 233:16 normal 18:17 294:14 normalized 145:17	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13 141:6 144:4,21 147:15 154:18 156:20 157:11 168:6 170:3 175:9 177:8 179:24 180:1 181:4,9 183:6	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10 obstacles 289:16 obtain 197:11 295:1 obtained 156:25 obviously 64:25 91:11 125:13 133:8
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24 never 19:24 20:22 21:6 147:11 new 38:18 44:11 70:2 118:21 122:12 122:25 123:3 142:8 142:8,23 143:2 152:25 180:14	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18 nonverbal 166:6 noon 186:21 187:8 297:15 298:20 norm 233:16 normal 18:17 294:14 normalized 145:17 north 96:13 nose 29:15 note 137:13 168:18	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13 141:6 144:4,21 147:15 154:18 156:20 157:11 168:6 170:3 175:9 177:8 179:24 180:1 181:4,9 183:6 185:15 188:6,15,17	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10 obstacles 289:16 obtain 197:11 295:1 obtained 156:25 obviously 64:25 91:11 125:13 133:8 161:12,15 273:1
193:18 nelson 1:10 268:6 net 73:12 290:1 network 66:9 nevada 277:24 never 19:24 20:22 21:6 147:11 new 38:18 44:11 70:2 118:21 122:12 122:25 123:3 142:8 142:8,23 143:2 152:25 180:14 194:20,20 195:11	175:4 177:17 nonsense 14:10 162:18 nonverbal 166:6 noon 186:21 187:8 297:15 298:20 norm 233:16 normal 18:17 294:14 normalized 145:17 north 96:13 nose 29:15	80:7 81:22,22,23 81:24 82:21 102:23 126:20 128:21 136:15 137:24 138:16 140:13 141:6 144:4,21 147:15 154:18 156:20 157:11 168:6 170:3 175:9 177:8 179:24 180:1 181:4,9 183:6 185:15 188:6,15,17 189:1,12,16 193:16	obligation 199:22 obligations 140:17 299:5 observation 198:15 199:3 obsolete 204:10 obstacles 289:16 obtain 197:11 295:1 obtained 156:25 obviously 64:25 91:11 125:13 133:8

occurred 176:15	officials 188:21	187:22 192:7 195:1	onboarded 173:20
264:19 288:13	255:24 265:15	195:6,7,8 197:21	once 36:22 80:1
occurring 136:18	267:3 273:20 284:1	197:23 198:12	99:13 191:11
136:19,20 137:10	285:8,15	203:17 204:19	one's 207:2 251:24
138:13 158:17	<b>oh</b> 35:21 50:9 59:20	205:8 206:4,14	ones 26:5 46:11,15
178:6,6 228:11,13	122:9 192:4 202:8	207:2,19 208:13	60:6 66:13 173:2
228:14	203:19 241:4	220:18 222:6,15,24	262:15
october 1:6 172:14	246:10	223:18 227:13	ongoing 211:21
302:13	okaloosa 1:13	229:9 231:11 232:5	255:22 288:16
offense 157:13	okay 3:1,20 26:21	237:5,12 238:4,6	online 292:24
165:18 166:10	27:25 56:15 57:2,8	239:14,17 241:2,5	296:4
offenses 26:24,24	62:11,14 63:1,18	241:22 244:18	ons 11:18
offer 91:2	63:24 65:13,19,23	245:19 247:8 250:9	open 94:23 97:6
offered 219:14	66:18,24,25 67:20	251:16 252:8,10,12	132:13 155:6
249:8	72:16,25 73:8 75:6	253:17 257:8,21	204:13
office 1:24 11:4	76:2 79:18,25 80:5	260:17 261:19,25	opening 96:20
14:14 15:23 40:25	80:5 81:21 84:24	267:10,20 269:13	operate 97:2 233:6
134:6,18 172:25	84:25 85:20,24	270:13,14 273:24	235:16 236:13
173:5 174:10	86:1 88:10,10,19	274:7 277:7 280:3	237:1,10 240:4
233:19 237:8 278:2	94:7 97:3,10 98:11	280:25 283:18,20	242:3 251:8,10,12
278:22 279:9	99:21 101:11	286:1,3,8,8 288:19	251:13
280:16 281:3 283:1	102:23 107:17	295:7,22,24 299:23	operating 232:11
289:2	109:1 113:21	old 12:16,21,23	251:21,22
officer 10:25 11:2,5	120:13 121:5 124:7	14:14,15 57:19	operation 18:13
11:6,21,24 12:5	124:9,12,22,25	127:9 152:8 153:1	opinion 235:14
51:22,23 52:11,12	126:25 127:4	160:24 264:15	249:8,17 252:25
136:24 137:24,25	131:16 132:2,5	291:1 294:12	275:24 276:9
138:6 141:8,19	133:25 134:12,25	<b>older</b> 164:6	opinions 250:22
176:19,19 181:8	135:3,8,23 136:4,8	<b>olds</b> 244:3	251:5
205:8,10 247:13	136:13,15 142:13	<b>oliva</b> 1:14 71:3,5,10	opponents 69:4
259:2	143:5,24 144:17	71:13,16 110:4,20	opportunities
officers 10:11	145:7,20 146:12,14	110:23 119:7 123:8	204:13
26:18 29:5 136:23	146:16,25 147:4,15	123:10 134:2,13,19	opportunity 44:10
147:9,11 176:21	150:4,11 151:8	134:22 139:3,4	174:20 288:23
182:22 245:12	154:13,17 156:3,20	148:18,19 169:18	291:24
254:21 256:4	157:7,8 162:8	169:21 171:19	<b>opposed</b> 5:23 7:17
292:17 297:4	168:17,22 169:12	173:17,18 174:6	27:4 69:5,5 103:22
offices 27:7,18,21	169:15,20,22	185:8,12	118:7,10,11,13
27:21	171:15 179:11	omitted 157:2	139:23 168:14
official 176:18	181:20 182:18	<b>omni</b> 1:3	183:22 300:3
245:2,2 259:7,13	183:5 185:11 187:8		
		ral Calutions	

[opposite - passive] Page 340

opposite 36:16	238:20 248:25	220:6 231:13 254:2	275:14
optimist 201:21	249:10 289:4	259:23 278:7	participate 11:1
optimize 195:20	overall 42:16 47:7	pages 292:12 298:7	25:8 29:22 43:21
<b>option</b> 177:21	128:25 139:4	300:3 302:11	67:14 70:5 74:2,9
178:16 273:11,14	263:16	<b>paid</b> 74:16	74:16,25 76:11,14
280:9 282:17	overapplied 254:7	<b>paint</b> 42:8,13 50:11	80:17 86:15 87:20
orange 264:23,24	259:25	<b>palm</b> 224:4 225:1	88:18,19 89:3
<b>order</b> 2:5 3:6 9:10	overarching 128:9	227:6 230:3	90:24 91:25 93:11
17:6 24:24 83:14	overbuy 271:5	<b>pam</b> 1:20	94:12 147:10,13,22
154:18 186:24	overloading 282:9	paradigm 234:15	148:5 149:20
203:16 206:8	overlooked 216:12	parameters 123:13	150:12,21 151:13
210:13 212:25	overly 97:8 248:16	paramount 221:5	151:17,24 154:1,20
260:24	248:19 250:15	222:1,17 245:13	155:13 210:7
<b>ordered</b> 25:3 29:20	overnight 27:8	paraphrase 134:14	232:15 250:19
68:10 266:1	overreporting	pardon 67:5	participated 236:2
organization 58:16	175:4	<b>parent</b> 1:12,21 21:6	participates 137:3
original 295:14	oversee 238:13	22:2,10 24:8,11	participating 28:21
originally 80:7	overseeing 26:6	28:3 68:4	28:23 68:4 74:22
166:17,18 184:22	41:17 62:13	parentheses 188:10	138:9 152:15
<b>orlando</b> 1:3 138:25	overseen 237:8	parents 18:22,22	288:15
294:12	238:13	19:6 21:14 23:5	participation 78:4
os 227:25	oversees 38:7	25:21 28:4,9,10	78:9 80:24 223:23
<b>ought</b> 81:14 163:19	oversight 41:14	39:13 67:14 70:4	289:16 300:20
<b>outcome</b> 5:17 57:24	45:16 65:4 136:11	161:12 180:7	particular 24:15
58:3,7,11,25 59:4	173:13	292:15	62:1 118:10 154:5
59:13,25 60:3 63:5	overview 300:10	park 244:1,3	228:17
64:3 65:24 73:21	overwhelming	parkland 179:22	particularly 17:22
79:16	27:20	179:24	19:13 20:15 61:14
outcomes 43:4	<b>owned</b> 13:15	<b>parsed</b> 290:25	103:10 201:21
288:2 291:8	ownership 15:17	parsing 289:5	parties 74:25
outgrowth 152:7	owns 75:25	part 18:25 38:4	partner 86:24
outlined 123:14	p	44:20 62:18 71:17	partnership 71:16
287:19	<b>p.m.</b> 187:14,15	75:24 77:9 80:10	<b>party</b> 99:16
outraged 180:7	301:8	86:25 99:8 105:22	pass 36:17 60:25
outrageously 20:7	pa 264:10	106:5 111:4 135:13	212:16 238:1
20:8	pa 204.10 packet 216:15	144:24 145:2,9	297:23
<b>outside</b> 70:25 71:17	packet 210.13 page 53:18 73:10	148:23 162:15	<b>passed</b> 171:11
142:3 143:8,12	135:9 160:21	172:3,4 173:24	235:6
144:22,23 145:2,10	161:19,19 169:1,5	194:3 196:25	passing 176:12
196:2 200:22	169:24 175:1,3	211:25 215:14	passive 99:22
229:25 233:16	187:23 204:20	217:1 233:4 256:1	109:11 141:6
-	T7 ', , T	1.0.1.1	•

[passwords - place] Page 341

passwords 11:18	percent 6:18,23	personal 275:24	physical 126:9
<b>path</b> 160:8	7:12 8:10,12,13,20	personally 209:20	127:11,17 184:20
pathetic 156:17	8:22,24 9:1,5,7,9	249:14 269:9	185:17 244:5
paths 176:1	9:13 33:7,7 46:7	283:13	physically 23:14
patience 28:18	47:25 55:15,16,18	personnel 80:19	155:6
patrol 136:22	143:18 169:10,11	92:10,13 135:16	physicians 9:14
138:6 147:11	171:8 172:9 198:25	147:8 175:12 205:4	pick 3:3 25:2 38:16
<b>pause</b> 13:18	271:21	206:22 209:22	278:4 297:11
pay 5:20 74:9	percentage 7:4	243:22 246:16	<b>picked</b> 244:16
payers 38:22	169:7,10 196:9	255:5 291:7 293:22	picks 93:2 273:23
<b>pdf</b> 2:9,24	perfect 101:14	persons 264:11	<b>picture</b> 38:4 51:6
penalized 59:4,7	270:7 279:14 289:4	perspective 6:20	172:22 178:15
penalties 59:3	300:15	71:22 149:4 152:16	pie 202:13
pensacola 209:11	perform 282:22	pertain 128:11	piece 28:1 35:5
<b>people</b> 5:19,24 6:6	performance 5:17	petition 24:16	36:24 76:1 95:20
7:2,4,6 8:6,13 9:19	47:7 60:11,12,22	petty 1:21 167:21	105:14
15:10 18:2 23:15	61:18,24 73:21	168:8,11 190:12,13	<b>pieces</b> 41:24 92:16
26:23 28:4,13,14	75:12 80:3 82:8,17	190:24 191:5,23	pillars 198:6
28:18,22,24 29:2	278:8	192:5,8,17,25	<b>pilot</b> 10:23,24 12:4
31:18 32:20 33:2	<b>period</b> 8:22 9:5	193:7 198:13,15,24	12:8 13:7 140:4
36:6 38:6 39:20,22	57:10 100:24	201:20 202:10,17	216:10
41:7,11,11 43:15	121:14 135:12	204:7 208:19,20,23	pinellas 1:8,24
45:14 69:20,24	141:9 274:8,8,9	213:19 222:8,10,19	10:24 12:5 27:5
71:20 72:12 83:8	287:4 298:8 299:4	231:7 232:5,6	40:20 41:8 43:15
92:16 110:4 113:11	periodically 40:7	234:3 235:20	47:24 57:19 59:12
115:17 133:9 137:8	periods 72:4	236:24 237:3,9,13	216:9
155:9,11,15 162:18	permanent 147:16	237:22 238:5 239:3	<b>pipe</b> 71:21
164:6,22 173:7,20	150:15	240:6 242:1,5,9	pipeline 26:21
191:21,21 192:17	<b>permit</b> 87:5,10	247:9,23 251:24	301:2
196:9 204:9 214:14	89:1 90:10	252:2 253:16 275:8	<b>pitch</b> 166:16
214:15 224:12	<b>person</b> 5:10 18:3	285:22	<b>place</b> 13:10 23:13
242:21 245:11,13	23:21 33:13 37:17	<b>petty's</b> 239:24	23:14 24:10 25:7
245:15 258:24	61:1,9 93:13 97:16	241:22,23	27:8,19 28:15 30:2
260:4 262:22	101:19 147:23	philosophical	49:24 50:2 131:15
263:12 270:19,22	151:19 164:5 167:7	252:20	139:14 159:1,12,13
274:11 277:6 282:5	167:10,12 173:10	<b>phone</b> 158:21	162:2 167:9 173:21
283:2 290:9 291:15	173:11 184:22	201:23 213:10,11	179:25 188:3,12
291:17 297:16	201:2	213:11,12	203:15 217:25
298:21	person's 33:5	<b>photos</b> 260:23	224:21 229:8
perceived 36:6	102:20	<b>phrases</b> 206:10	230:23,24 234:10
			247:24 251:5
		ral Calutions	

[place - present] Page 342

259:24 264:14	played 163:4	policies 269:17	<b>posting</b> 211:13	
270:20 283:4,16	playing 163:5	<b>policy</b> 135:15 136:1	potential 98:23	
placed 97:24	<b>please</b> 287:18	136:7 230:23 264:7	216:20,21 294:25	
placement 27:22	291:12 295:8,25	269:22 277:4 293:4	potentially 38:21	
28:16	<b>plenty</b> 301:1	<b>polk</b> 1:20 302:4,14	109:14 155:5	
places 41:25 42:14	plethora 188:2	poppell 30:4,4	266:22 267:2	
47:12 79:1,7 81:19	201:2,4 209:21	35:13	poverty 289:2	
92:17 131:11	<b>plug</b> 229:6	poppell's 68:24	292:2,6	
148:11 158:16	plus 273:15	population 8:16,18	powerpoint 11:10	
228:21 277:11,19	pockets 41:24 42:6	8:20,24 48:8 50:13	53:18 299:15	
278:17 282:14	42:16 110:15	50:14 60:21 62:1	<b>powers</b> 71:23	
<b>plain</b> 253:22	<b>point</b> 4:4 30:17	107:22 196:10	practice 273:7	
264:17 266:22	33:6 46:4 50:4,19	288:9 290:14	276:2	
271:8,16 272:4,17	53:15 56:8 63:24	<b>portal</b> 140:14	practices 278:24	
272:18,21 273:3,12	67:4,7 80:21 81:5	156:22 188:7 189:3	281:4	
273:15,25 274:5,5	85:10 97:6 99:22	193:11,24 194:24	practitioners	
275:11 276:15,21	99:24 102:8 105:25	195:14 196:8 197:8	147:17	
<b>plan</b> 24:9,9 52:25	112:9 113:11 120:6	197:10,14,25	pre 121:15	
53:4 58:18 64:19	120:15 125:19	205:18 213:22	preamble 232:18	
71:18 72:20,20	141:17 146:19	215:13,15,22	prearrest 220:16	
74:23 75:14 82:9	153:10 163:18	292:24	220:21 221:2,7,14	
82:11 90:20 127:19	173:9 174:7,23	porter 188:7	221:23 223:24	
139:9,10 158:9	186:20 207:2 211:1	portion 135:7	225:16 238:11	
264:15 267:7 283:7	211:5 214:17 229:4	155:5 156:6 168:25	prediversion 239:3	
296:13 297:5	229:13 239:24	182:10,20	242:17	
planning 10:1	243:20 250:11	portions 128:22	prefaced 5:15	
33:11,20 37:7	252:22 253:22	290:13	<b>prefer</b> 79:15	
57:14 169:19	268:19 270:15	portrayed 49:2	preferences 235:8	
281:14	271:18 274:6,12,21	position 211:14	premature 283:17	
<b>plans</b> 38:19 43:22	274:22 282:8	213:9 235:14,16	premise 99:5	
58:19 64:15 90:13	296:24	248:4,12 249:8	298:21	
90:21 92:1 94:13	<b>point's</b> 46:16 64:24	positions 173:6,9	premises 293:15	
111:22 211:4	276:22	173:19	prepare 72:19	
<b>plant</b> 158:22 159:5	pointing 169:23	possibilities 83:24	256:21 257:9,24	
plantation 229:22	<b>points</b> 5:20 24:22	possible 85:14	260:10	
platform 174:15	74:9 111:2 234:4	89:16 140:21 151:3	prepared 216:8	
196:18	<b>police</b> 1:10,22	151:15,16 153:3	prepares 11:4	
platforms 196:20	14:19 15:23 52:12	198:21 289:7	preparing 215:18	
209:13	225:4,5,7 226:17	possibly 298:24	<b>present</b> 155:7,22	
<b>play</b> 207:1	227:7 228:5 259:19	<b>posted</b> 289:11,20	155:25 156:5,8	
	262:2		256:21 257:9,24	
Varitant Lagal Colutions				

			I
260:11 266:5 278:5	previously 153:21	202:14,14,19 206:1	154:1,21 155:2
289:12 292:13,18	197:2 220:3 249:4	232:21 239:4,22	157:2,6 173:7,14
293:5	primarily 200:5	241:14 256:18,25	175:14 194:5
presentation 10:22	<b>primary</b> 70:22 72:6	275:4 298:21	200:23 201:15
257:7	161:13	probation 12:24	211:4 212:9 215:19
presentations	principal 110:16	<b>problem</b> 2:9,18 6:5	216:13 217:1,18,19
127:5	208:6 278:3	14:5 15:18 31:9,14	217:23 282:8 283:2
presents 289:15	principals 95:5	31:25 32:21 36:2	processes 156:24
president 1:22	261:9,17	38:4 42:22 43:24	product 175:9
presiding 297:4	printing 297:3	44:16 48:22 51:20	productive 210:18
pressure 230:25	<b>prior</b> 157:15 180:1	69:6,15 72:14	professional 9:7,12
<b>pretty</b> 5:8 60:10	219:7 229:11	110:10 121:11	46:15
61:25 103:17 145:5	263:18	133:13 137:9 142:5	professionals 9:9
163:15 195:12	prioritize 163:20	143:14 159:8	21:2 22:15,16 29:1
256:24 269:8	prioritizing 177:14	163:21 164:18,24	52:4,9,16 189:6
297:11	priority 160:3	176:16 177:15	197:9,18 290:8
<b>prevent</b> 106:12	165:3,8,15,15,17	196:16 199:7,7,8	292:16
113:17 116:11,13	168:1	199:11 202:4	program 13:8
124:18	prison 49:4	268:15 269:3,9	49:13 69:24 102:24
preventative 95:14	<b>privacy</b> 254:4,5,11	297:21 300:8	104:7 108:5 124:6
prevented 124:4	254:18 256:11,22	<b>problems</b> 8:3 9:20	218:21,24 219:1,4
preventing 116:22	257:9,25 260:11	13:15 33:4 93:1	219:20 220:11,12
116:23	<b>private</b> 38:9 39:19	109:25 112:11	220:13,16 224:22
prevention 109:15	39:20 43:1,2,6,12	259:1 263:18	224:24 225:13,17
109:22 110:2,6,14	43:19 74:3 75:18	267:14 269:10,15	226:2 227:1,15
111:19,20 112:16	78:10 80:25 149:9	270:10,12	233:18 234:6,23
112:19,22,25 113:2	149:11,14 255:3	procedures 270:3,3	235:1,2,17,19,23
113:4,12,15,24	287:6	271:7	236:2,14 237:1,14
114:18 116:1,2,18	privileges 188:20	proceedings 2:1	237:18,24 238:5,11
219:5,10 220:2,22	<b>pro</b> 243:18	292:21 301:7	238:12,18 239:2,4
221:3,15,24 223:20	proactive 111:7	302:10	239:10 240:3,18
223:25 224:6 225:8	probably 3:15	<b>process</b> 9:24 12:11	242:18,22 243:2,3
227:4 228:16	11:24 12:1,2 17:5	16:1,19 91:12,14	245:17,20,21 247:2
230:19 231:25	32:7 46:10 55:16	93:2,5 96:5,21	247:20 248:6,7,11
241:21 246:1,12	56:6,11 76:13	103:17 105:15	248:25 249:18,21
248:1,13,15,15,17	83:14,15 93:19	124:1 135:12 136:9	250:7,11,17 251:10
294:22	95:9 96:7 115:8	137:6,14,19 138:11	251:15 293:11
previous 5:12	120:8 152:3 156:2	138:24 140:19	programming
126:17 199:19	167:17 168:11	144:8 145:18,19	204:14
272:15	184:9 187:3,5	146:10 147:7,18	programs 35:4
	193:19 194:15,16	148:12,16 149:17	47:22 104:13 105:2

[programs - put] Page 344

106:16 107:5	protected 254:25	81:1,1,17 82:9,18	276:15 277:5
108:20 114:19	255:1 256:4	86:2,14,24 87:11	286:10,12,13
115:6 227:10,21	protecting 211:13	89:2 90:12 91:16	289:13,18 290:1
232:14,16,24 233:6	protection 254:5	91:24 92:11 94:11	291:14 292:4,11,12
233:7,16,25 237:20	<b>protocol</b> 18:18 19:1	94:20 96:20 97:11	292:14 295:5,18
239:25 240:4 241:7	265:24 267:4 284:2	147:21 148:5,22	<b>publicized</b> 289:12
241:18,19 242:3	284:18	149:7,8,9,9,19	publicly 5:20 34:9
249:20 290:17	protocols 265:16	150:19,20,21	published 161:10
progress 174:13	284:4,6,8	151:10,17 153:4	pull 157:24 210:14
230:9 280:4 300:13	<b>provide</b> 5:22 6:8,10	193:25 288:5	256:16
300:14	26:7 69:13 70:14	<b>provides</b> 11:2 92:4	<b>pulled</b> 24:2 248:19
progressive 23:4	71:7 72:1 73:1	114:19 136:2,7	pulse 286:23
24:10 49:9	77:24 80:10 81:17	148:9 170:22	pumping 270:21
prohibit 232:10	87:1 95:6,15,18	providing 45:14	purchased 210:3
prohibited 277:9	96:17 104:10 105:3	73:12 75:17 88:4	210:12
prohibits 234:3,4	107:8 108:22 149:6	88:14 96:14 98:19	<b>purist</b> 243:7
project 167:8	180:5 183:17 184:6	provision 170:19	purport 236:7
<b>promise</b> 196:19	186:12 213:12,25	291:17	purpose 177:12,14
218:21 220:11,12	231:25 241:17	provisions 72:22	177:18 178:2
220:13 224:22	297:15	131:10 289:19	push 7:14,15,22
225:13 229:24	provided 5:23	291:16	19:18 26:20 48:22
230:19 233:17	10:21 128:22	<b>psc</b> 1:9	157:25
235:23 243:2	212:21 216:14	psychiatric 43:1	pushback 48:16
245:20,21 248:11	295:3	psychiatrist 9:13	274:15
250:7,12	provider 27:7	75:19	<b>pushed</b> 29:10 32:2
promote 118:17	41:15 57:23 61:3	psychologist 89:19	32:16 33:14
196:22	64:2,19 65:24 66:9	161:8	<b>put</b> 9:18 13:9 25:16
promoting 196:20	70:21 74:22 80:24	psychologists 43:16	27:8 29:25 53:16
<b>proof</b> 40:14 276:3	88:4,21 89:4,24	psychotic 161:10	55:10 56:3 58:9
<b>proper</b> 11:8 16:4	90:14 92:6,12 93:6	<b>public</b> 1:1,15 22:13	63:6,25 65:15
181:4 260:22	93:11 94:14 96:4	72:25 74:3 78:10	66:22 70:9 75:11
properly 143:23	96:14 99:18 140:20	80:25 132:10,14	76:5,5,18 78:13
147:8	148:13 149:12,14	133:18 135:11,24	79:1,2 82:11,11
property 167:11	providers 5:21 6:1	136:1,10 143:16	84:22 85:18 92:2
proponent 107:20	6:7 26:6 28:19	144:6 145:11 178:3	104:3 108:12
proposal 30:5	31:10,13,17 39:9	182:6,8 220:8,10	112:16 118:7
35:15 48:18 68:24	39:10 40:6 43:12	220:20 223:11	130:21 137:5 142:1
69:18 159:10	43:13,14,18,19	225:14 230:17	143:7 148:8 153:9
proposing 241:25	44:17 64:14 70:24	233:24,25 234:1	171:22 181:17
268:3	74:3,4,8 75:13	249:6 250:5 262:16	184:10 188:3 191:9
	78:10,11 80:16	272:3,16 274:4	191:19 193:11

[put - recognition] Page 345

194:10,23 196:14	quick 32:11 61:10	reading 23:20	280:18,21,24 281:7
209:24 215:6	82:3 134:2 169:5	123:1 130:8 142:9	281:11 282:21
216:17 217:25	<b>quickly</b> 125:23	150:18 273:22	283:24 296:23,25
226:5,10 229:17	139:19,24 264:4	298:8 299:4	297:21,24 298:19
230:13,13,22,24	265:1 289:7	readmissions 288:6	realtime 302:7,8
242:21 243:8,18	quite 19:5 21:21	ready 202:4 289:7	rear 277:16
250:10 252:3,15	127:8	real 82:2 104:2	reason 28:25 46:5
260:16 263:8 266:3	<b>quote</b> 6:7 154:22	189:9 190:5,15,21	76:13 82:24 110:13
269:12 272:24	255:11,24	192:19 193:13,13	179:19 214:3 234:9
273:10 274:14	r	193:18 194:4,25	236:12 248:20
275:6 284:10	r 269:24	197:14,18,20,21	273:10 274:13
299:25	racial 290:18	198:21 199:9	<b>reasons</b> 3:9 38:15
<b>puts</b> 14:9,23 243:10	radar 162:6,6	209:15,17,23	39:21 40:7 74:6
<b>putting</b> 8:6 32:16	radio 275:21,23	255:18 260:20	220:2 222:21 224:8
56:2 194:7 203:12	rails 49:23	262:4 279:17	recall 265:23
220:1 223:23	raised 148:3	280:24 294:20	<b>receive</b> 6:1 17:24
224:16 226:21	182:21 268:19	realistic 35:24	86:14 87:12,14
229:20 230:18	292:3	209:25	89:2 90:12 91:24
q	raises 89:25	realistically 202:15	94:12,20 148:22
qualifiers 148:20	ralph 162:4	202:21	149:7 193:1 254:24
quality 197:3	ran 265:1	reality 10:10	260:24 261:5
215:11	rank 5:7 55:9	270:23	received 17:5
quantity 70:13	ranked 55:3	realize 6:11 14:3,5	218:22 287:14
71:25,25	ranks 5:7 54:12	35:25 71:20 98:3	receiving 47:25
quasi 236:15	rape 12:18 13:2	204:4	48:2 73:20,25 75:7
queried 188:18,23	rarely 195:15	realized 248:18	75:19 77:22 89:18
196:8	rated 179:24	250:11	89:22 99:19
query 188:8 191:11		realizes 35:18	recess 72:3 125:6
195:14 229:9,12,13	141:19	really 3:5 34:12	187:14
<b>question</b> 54:1 58:1	rationale 232:19	38:6 46:22 59:10	recidivate 6:6
67:21 68:1 80:7	rdr 302:20	62:8 63:16 74:25	37:12
103:9 114:23	<b>reach</b> 96:3 118:18	84:5 110:2 122:19	recidivism 47:19
122:11 155:4,19	<b>react</b> 279:4	152:6 156:9 201:11	48:6 50:14 58:13
162:24 170:7	reacted 250:9	201:17 207:24	60:23
181:17,21 190:14	reactive 294:20	211:24,24 213:15	recidivist 57:18
202:8,10 207:14	<b>read</b> 13:17 23:20	214:4,11 215:16	recidivists 7:5,6
236:23 256:8	101:17 120:8 143:7	223:5 226:12	57:25 64:5 66:1
257:23 267:15	231:19 232:22	241:25 249:11	recipient 191:24
questions 126:14	241:11 295:4	251:1 256:18	recipient's 191:25
127:13 182:21	296:20	258:13 278:14,15	recognition 72:11
202:16 254:9		278:19 280:11,13	

recognize 7:15	274:2,23 276:1,18	redone 253:3	regular 28:4
51:25 70:19 264:21	278:22 280:5 281:2	reduce 180:24	265:17 267:4 284:3
recognized 52:6	282:1,17	reducing 247:17	285:19
recognizing 51:24	recommendation's	reduction 287:14	regularly 18:4
recollection 3:22	275:2	reductions 287:11	reimbursed 76:16
recommend 79:11	recommendations	reexamine 23:12	reimbursement
80:14 93:9 142:8	16:20 20:13 26:17	refer 70:23 238:10	76:11
152:3 199:10	46:23 48:22 53:13	244:5	reiterate 5:13
203:25 232:9 233:5	64:1 73:17 82:3	reference 7:18	247:11 275:14
242:7 272:24	123:15 124:4 125:1	167:21 168:3,12	reject 275:1,1
294:24	125:13 124.4 125.1	referenced 8:19	relapse 288:10
recommendation		258:6	related 79:10,16
	146:18,20,24 147:4		/
3:23 4:6,16 48:21	174:14,16,21 175:1	references 168:21	182:13,24 211:17
63:21 64:8 65:16	175:16 182:3 193:4 205:13 218:8 231:9	referencing 168:5 referred 20:23	<b>relates</b> 89:21 103:1 201:1 269:7
66:20 67:8,18			
77:18,19 78:13	231:13,16 240:16	40:18,22 106:24	relative 3:24
80:14 81:8 86:9,11	240:22 241:1 289:6	294:8,9	relatively 50:13
91:10 92:15 93:9	290:12 292:8,22	referring 20:18	61:10
95:14 98:1,5,20	293:3,8 299:9	47:1 103:6 226:20	released 2:7 61:5
101:25 102:5	recommended	reflect 7:1 126:14	255:4
110:13 111:10	217:21 294:1	208:16 290:3	relevant 111:1
112:4,5 116:9	recommending	reflected 224:20	148:14 149:12
122:21 123:21,25	122:12,16,18 123:3	227:3	156:24 188:9 195:3
127:21 129:23	123:6	refrigerator 271:6	214:1 216:12 290:4
130:1,2,15,21	reconciled 253:4	refuse 25:5,8 27:22	relief 52:2
131:24 152:4 154:8	253:15	29:15	relinquish 71:14
160:1 162:22	reconsider 291:13	refusing 231:6	<b>rely</b> 39:21 64:20
164:20,21,24	record 28:17	247:25 248:7	66:9
167:19 176:21	135:21 217:8	regard 155:3	remain 137:12
177:8 181:4 183:17	255:12,14 302:11	179:17	remaining 8:14
183:21 205:14	recorded 196:5	regarding 4:23	remedial 127:18
207:8,9 213:21	records 11:25	11:2 62:6 222:18	136:2,8
217:17 231:14,22	50:25 200:10 228:5	272:14	remediated 137:7
236:21 237:25	256:1 259:8	regardless 210:23	137:19
240:13,19 241:24	recovery 25:22	regards 201:21	remember 11:10
251:2 252:6,6,19	<b>red</b> 121:22 161:9	<b>region</b> 287:15	46:1,3 47:20 59:24
252:22 253:2,8	263:19 269:17,20	regionally 173:24	96:11 126:3 157:15
256:13,20 260:18	269:23,24 270:2	<b>regions</b> 82:24 84:9	173:10 176:10
266:4,18 267:17,19	272:8 273:14 276:8	84:20 85:19	177:25 181:22
267:25 271:22,24	277:9 284:12	registered 302:6	193:5,7 199:19
272:1,23,25 273:24			212:15 216:14

227:16 248:10	293:4 296:11,16,20	80:24 81:25 82:22	requires 11:14
261:25 262:24	297:2,19,19 300:22	83:15,18 84:7,13	87:19 93:3 187:25
266:11 268:17,20	302:9	86:21,22 87:4,5,10	193:23 196:21
270:6	reported 30:8,20	89:1 91:21 94:10	255:2,13
remembers 292:5	51:20,21 169:7,9	94:19 109:15,21	requiring 112:24
<b>remind</b> 126:4 214:5	172:16 175:23	111:18 112:19,21	116:6
296:9	176:5,7,17,23	113:21,23 115:22	rereading 109:10
remoteness 289:13	177:5 178:11	116:15 117:2	research 10:19
remove 94:2	180:10 181:13	119:10 120:8,21	12:20 54:9 157:5
183:18,19	186:7 219:4 263:7	121:8 122:15	207:10 208:1 218:1
removed 18:21	<b>reporter</b> 302:1,7,7	150:11 174:14	280:14
158:2	<b>reporting</b> 43:3 45:8	175:18 176:3,14	reside 75:24
removing 31:25	51:18 170:17	181:5 183:10	resident 292:2
renamed 208:15,21	171:17 175:11,14	184:12 260:19	resistance 48:23
<b>renders</b> 252:25	176:14 177:16	261:7,8,22 269:16	resolve 110:10
<b>repeat</b> 47:19	178:9,10 179:4,10	required 10:5	121:11 298:1
143:25 144:2	181:5,6,11 183:20	72:18 74:1,21 75:8	resort 1:3 289:9
repeatedly 97:13	183:23 196:17	76:5 79:12 83:2	resource 51:22,23
97:16 100:21,23	235:24	92:9,9 111:11,17	52:11 205:9
101:20 102:18,20	reports 129:1	111:24 123:11	resources 20:1 72:9
repeating 144:12	138:6 170:22,25	126:18 127:2 129:2	188:4 200:18
replace 266:7	171:4 172:21	132:19 139:19	202:20 218:4
replicating 35:11	repository 44:25	140:15 154:19,25	262:12
<b>report</b> 2:8,16,20	45:9 140:14 187:25	155:24 170:17	respect 48:3 75:1
6:12,14 11:5,6,25	188:8 194:6,25	175:23 176:5,6,17	75:15
13:7,12,17 17:19	203:18	176:22 177:5,22	respectfully 40:12
17:21 18:18 41:18	represent 117:23	178:11 181:13,23	289:10
53:17 58:17 73:11	representation	195:17 216:23	respective 10:19
73:21 78:17 111:24	290:11	221:15,24 227:17	respects 199:23
112:1 124:3 133:7	representative	232:15 233:20	respond 52:21
139:14 168:19	153:25 160:6 162:4	235:15	136:23 219:25
171:18 172:12	167:3,15 168:6	requirement 91:18	220:4 261:2
176:9,18 180:2	representatives	129:1 137:2 157:18	responded 41:12
181:9 187:23	139:1 152:11,13	158:3 176:8 181:18	264:23
198:18 219:10	153:7	200:1 219:3,9,13	responding 223:14
223:1,4 224:20	request 77:10	requirements	255:19
225:10 231:15,16	262:14 292:10	96:23 111:14 131:1	response 23:24
231:20 233:19	requesting 79:17	138:2 148:25 174:1	41:6 52:1,4,17
241:15 252:3	<b>require</b> 57:24 63:6	196:13 220:17	212:10 219:22
262:10 268:7	64:4 65:25 73:20	238:18 279:21	223:15 230:23
269:16 273:23	74:13 78:3,4,9,16		263:16 266:23

Veritext Legal Solutions

[response - rooms] Page 348

269:22 292:10	reviews 218:10	81:10,18,21 82:21	230:10,15 232:6
responses 41:19	<b>revise</b> 109:14	83:9 88:6,8 89:14	238:22 241:8 242:1
267:3 294:16	168:19 175:8	90:4,18 93:19 94:7	242:5 249:22
responsibility	<b>revised</b> 127:5,9	95:16 102:23 103:7	251:11 253:9,17,25
52:11 57:25 64:4	134:11,17 135:24	105:18 106:19,22	254:2 258:21
65:25 66:11 75:22	136:1,7 219:13	106:24 108:1,8	260:18 262:8,9
97:24 98:10,13,15	250:17 252:24	109:2 110:14	264:1 268:2 271:9
164:4 208:11	253:11	112:19 113:14,19	272:12 277:8 279:5
responsible 83:8,11	revisions 126:23	114:2,20 119:13,23	279:16,21 280:1,5
97:12,14 98:21,23	127:13,21 131:20	121:21,22 122:5	280:12 281:8
99:16 101:9,17,18	137:22 169:2 250:8	124:19,25 125:7	283:15 284:13
102:19 117:22	revisit 282:4	128:13,13 129:9,17	286:5,9,12 292:1
140:15 170:20	revisiting 174:7	132:21 133:25	296:5,6 299:23
rest 3:16 125:5	revolving 8:7 33:9	134:21 137:23	300:4,18
187:4 221:18 231:3	reword 119:3	139:6 140:13	<b>rights</b> 288:25
restate 118:16	141:6	141:22 142:14	<b>ringing</b> 26:14,20
restating 223:9	<b>rework</b> 207:3	146:22 147:1	<b>rise</b> 6:16
restitution 246:23	rewrite 113:23	150:25 153:2,10,17	rises 89:25 198:16
restrict 294:24	<b>rich</b> 156:21	154:3,13,17 155:14	<b>rising</b> 61:15
restricting 293:14	richard 1:11	156:16,19 157:8,10	risk 19:4 51:24,25
restriction 254:24	<b>richest</b> 140:17	162:20 163:22	73:13 102:25 105:3
restrictions 19:8	200:6 215:24 216:4	164:2 166:12	105:4 107:10
restroom 264:19	<b>rid</b> 90:9 120:24	167:14,14 168:9,13	114:20
result 6:18 15:4,8	184:4,16	168:20,22 169:24	risking 231:4
23:17 33:7,8 47:16	ridiculous 41:21	170:17 172:8	<b>risky</b> 51:18
52:22,23 53:3	230:21	175:19 178:2,12,13	<b>river</b> 1:19
58:12 136:15	<b>right</b> 2:3 3:1,3 7:22	178:19,20 181:3,19	road 217:3 229:8
176:23 178:21	19:20 21:15 23:11	185:14,14 186:5,8	<b>robots</b> 245:15
<b>resulted</b> 7:23 31:5	24:13,18 30:11,22	186:11,11,14,16,22	<b>robust</b> 173:15
32:8	31:11 32:13,17	187:9,13,16,22	263:17
results 6:1 11:7	33:1 37:1,5 39:7,14	189:1 190:24 191:5	role 70:15,22
33:9 57:24 58:4	41:21 43:9 49:11	192:9,10,16 194:2	<b>roll</b> 41:20
63:5 64:3 65:25	49:21 50:23 53:11	195:9 198:23	<b>rolled</b> 138:24
201:24 293:4	53:16 55:12,25	199:11 200:14	rolling 138:23
<b>revamp</b> 218:24	57:12,22 62:3	204:19 207:7	228:10 229:3
<b>review</b> 138:22	63:15,23,25 65:13	210:17 211:7,10	242:17
141:1 292:18 293:6	65:15 67:1 70:9	212:1,5,18 213:8	<b>room</b> 23:20 42:17
reviewed 127:7	71:9,12 72:17 73:8	213:20 215:10	124:11,20 210:4
216:8 293:2	73:16,25 76:2,7,12	217:20 218:7,11	265:1 267:8
reviewing 134:7	76:24 77:14 78:25	221:12,21 222:5,23	rooms 85:4
155:7	79:13,19 80:4	225:25 226:4 227:8	

[round - school] Page 349

<b>round</b> 18:15,23	299:9	says 68:15,18 69:11	279:6,12 280:2
rsm 144:19,24	salary 170:9,24	74:24 81:24 92:5	281:1 282:16,20
rubric 278:7	178:23,25 179:9	93:10 96:4 119:13	283:18,20 284:12
ruffle 44:7	<b>sample</b> 295:4	122:1 126:8 130:9	284:14,21 285:3
rule 111:15	samples 143:19	142:3 143:8,12,21	286:1,7 299:7,17
ruled 22:24	<b>sanction</b> 130:5,17	144:4 145:24 153:3	299:22,24 300:2
rules 129:19	130:23 225:21	167:5 217:5 228:23	schachter's 3:25
run 14:15 163:24	245:2 246:22	239:9 243:11	schedule 289:11
203:21 213:22	sanctions 69:2	244:19 252:23	schedules 297:10
234:20,20,23 252:2	128:24 129:3	259:9 276:14 284:1	297:13 299:5
282:13	130:11 238:17	scale 263:17	scheduling 93:4
runcie 290:21	sandy 124:2 196:19	scaled 250:18	schemes 128:21
running 159:8	save 19:10	scenario 266:1	school 1:1,21 2:7
runs 247:19	<b>saved</b> 264:17	278:5	10:6,16 12:17,18
<b>ryan</b> 1:21	saving 265:24	scenarios 235:3	22:25 29:16 35:9
S	saw 54:13 61:2	265:22	43:13,16,20 51:9
s 302:6,20	80:7 190:14 219:8	scene 52:13 264:4	51:22,23 53:2,6
sad 156:8,10	233:3	<b>schachter</b> 1:12 4:2	58:20,20,22 71:1,6
sadly 16:9	<b>saying</b> 17:9 24:20	4:11,13 123:18,20	71:14,22 74:4,17
safe 125:25 132:19	26:14 33:6,20	123:24 124:9,12,15	75:18 78:11 80:8
132:24 133:16	34:22 36:15 39:15	141:23,24 142:10	80:19 81:1 86:13
134:6,18 172:25	41:15 42:5 59:25	142:16,19,22,25	86:23 87:3,5,10
173:5 174:2,11	60:1 69:3,8 74:11	143:4,6 144:9,13	89:1,18,19,22,23
182:22 194:23	78:7 96:8 97:17	144:17 145:7,16,25	90:9,10 91:21 92:9
260:19,25 264:13	98:25 112:7 116:5	146:3,6,9,13 170:1	92:11,13 94:21,22
264:13 265:7,9	117:1 151:3 166:24	170:4,6,14 171:10	94:23 110:19
278:22 279:9	176:25 177:4	171:13,15 172:11	111:21 118:25
280:17 281:3 283:1	192:23 195:3	172:24 174:3,24	123:4,5,6 125:4,25
290:15	203:12 205:1	179:16,17 209:5	125:25 126:6,19,25
safer 300:15	214:21 219:11	220:11 224:14,15	127:6,12,20,23,24
safest 179:25 273:2	226:23 236:25	226:14 227:5,9,13	130:18,24 132:17
safety 1:1 22:25	237:2,9 244:13,23	228:2 230:2,5,20	132:19,24 133:16
23:2 73:12 131:18	245:9,18 246:10,13	239:6,8,14,17,20	134:5,22 135:16,18
134:5,22 156:22	246:20 248:23	247:21,22 248:10	136:24 138:7,20
174:11 182:13,24	249:11,17 250:3	249:23 250:1 251:9	140:6,20,24 143:22
188:6 194:24	270:10 271:13	251:16 253:20	147:12,15 148:15
214:12 231:4	272:6,8 275:6	261:12 262:14,17	149:3,13 150:14,20
254:14 256:5	280:10,16 281:20	262:18 266:9,12	150:20 151:4,16
262:25 263:5	282:20 285:7	267:11,22,24 271:4	153:3 156:22
264:23 280:18	300:11	271:11 273:1 274:7	157:20 158:4,5,10
293:11,23 294:21		274:13,19 277:15	158:20,22,23 159:4
,			

[school - security] Page 350

159:6,7 161:1,2,11	294:21 299:8	300:13	35:13 36:21 37:2,9
161:13,13 170:23	school's 136:25	scope 248:25	46:2 62:3,12,15,25
174:11 175:5 178:6	137:3 196:6	289:22	64:25 65:17,20
178:24 179:7,19	schoolhouse 26:21	scoring 265:22	66:17,22 68:24
180:14,20,23	schools 1:15 13:1	278:7	76:4,22,25 77:4,7
182:13,22,24	26:18 43:15,20	scratch 44:2	78:12 85:18,21
183:11 185:4	70:13,19 71:24	278:19	95:1 102:11,14
186:10 188:6,20	72:2,12,13,14 73:1	scratching 44:2	114:14,16 115:4,15
191:1,3,13 194:24	74:10 80:16 81:14	280:11	115:20,24 119:19
195:17,18,21 196:1	86:15,25 91:3 95:4	screen 53:19 252:9	119:24 120:6,10,11
196:10,12,14,23	95:4,10 110:15	screening 148:25	120:19 121:24
200:19,25 205:9	111:22 113:7	294:23	152:1,2 153:5,8,13
213:24 214:12	114:13 122:13	screwed 184:19	153:17 154:3,11,14
216:16 218:6	126:3,8 127:16,22	scripted 265:22	169:4,14 193:10
219:15 223:7	133:2 134:6,18	se 3:6	194:2,22 195:5
224:24 227:6 228:5	135:11,24 136:1,10	search 201:24	197:16,20 203:1
228:20 229:20,21	138:21 140:3 141:7	205:3 206:3,20,21	206:1,5,8,24
229:24 232:10,24	141:18 143:16	213:21 215:6	218:16,17 219:23
233:5,24 234:11,20	144:6 145:3,11	searchable 215:5	220:5,12,14 221:9
234:23 235:2,22	147:5 148:2 173:1	searches 195:13,20	221:13,18,22 222:4
236:10 237:17	173:5,25 174:2,11	213:23	223:2,18 225:5,22
238:2,20,20 240:18	178:4 180:2 181:23	searching 188:9	225:25 226:4
241:7,18 243:9,22	182:6,7,9,11	seasoned 290:20	228:10,13 229:3,15
244:15,18 246:24	200:22 201:8	<b>second</b> 18:15,23	229:18 230:15
247:14,19,25	207:23 208:2	29:13 65:22 98:8	241:8 252:17,18
248:21 254:13,16	214:11 220:8,10,20	126:25 127:22	253:6,9,12 256:14
255:5,18,24 256:10	223:12 225:14	129:10 146:21	secretary's 48:17
256:22 257:5,10,11	227:23 230:17	158:10 160:17	69:17 230:8
257:12,14,15,18,18	232:10 233:25	181:21 182:5,17	<b>section</b> 64:1 73:9
259:7,13,20 260:12	234:1 235:13 237:5	186:2 277:23	132:7 148:20 169:3
260:12,20,21,23,25	240:3 249:6 250:5	283:25 287:21	187:21,21 204:21
261:3 262:25 263:2	263:8,10 265:9	297:1	204:23 218:14
263:5,14 264:19,23	269:16 271:12,16	secondary 233:15	232:20 240:22
265:10,13,15,21	272:3,16 273:11	secondly 294:15	254:1 262:12
266:2 267:6 273:19	274:4 276:1,15	seconds 282:2	268:13,13
276:6 278:2,6	277:6 278:20,22	295:8,19	sections 241:5,16
279:11 280:17	279:8,10,22 280:7	<b>secret</b> 180:13	security 126:10
284:1 285:8,14	280:12,13,17,20,22	secretary 1:15,15	127:11,17 231:5
289:24 290:20	281:3,4 282:9	1:16,18 30:3,4,11	255:18 260:21
291:7,9 293:11,15	283:1,6 290:14	30:15,23 31:1,11	261:25 262:1,4
293:17,23 294:13	292:4,5 293:14	31:15 33:1 34:2,4	

[see - sheriffs] Page 351

15111611	1 157 25 101 0	50.014.05.51.14	11.6
see 15:14 16:14	send 157:25 191:8	70:3,14,25 71:14	shares 11:6
17:1 19:13 20:16	214:24 297:3,22	72:1,8 73:3,6,14	sharifi 291:22,23
23:13 36:2 44:3	299:20 300:6	74:17 75:17,18	295:9,13,16,22,25
47:14,17 50:20	senior 288:24	78:15 80:11 87:1	296:5
51:12,15,19 52:23	sense 45:12 56:13	88:4,14 89:18,22	sharing 140:4
57:4 81:23 91:12	66:14 95:20 113:19	89:23 95:7,15,18	198:1,20 204:22
92:25 98:14 112:7	116:2 117:8 184:21	103:1,22 104:1,14	231:2 248:2 260:22
112:11 113:1,7	221:20 237:16	105:3,4,10 107:9	she'll 297:23
114:17 115:5	243:8	110:25 294:8	<b>shelter</b> 264:14
129:24,25 133:10	<b>sent</b> 2:10 99:14,15	<b>serving</b> 28:20 51:5	<b>sheriff</b> 1:8,8,13,20
137:21 149:13	192:12 299:8	sesir 141:1 168:25	3:21 16:21,22,22
158:16 171:3,18	sentence 101:2,7	169:8 170:17,21	19:15,16 20:18
174:18 180:20	113:18 122:1	172:12 173:13,25	23:9 29:3 40:12
187:13 194:17	283:23,25 284:9,16	174:8 175:4,13,16	50:8 53:23,24
202:23 203:25	284:23	176:2,23 177:12,18	54:20 55:2 56:7
209:25 220:5	separate 86:10	177:25 180:9,22	67:21,24 68:1,7,10
226:16 228:6 234:9	129:16 152:5	181:5,11 183:6	86:6 90:16 98:16
241:22 243:24	232:12 264:18	184:18 185:3,15	101:23 122:6,11,15
252:4,6,12 265:12	285:22	188:17,22 196:25	122:24 123:18
271:22,22 272:1	separating 24:3	197:3 215:11,14	125:16 128:2,3,17
274:1 278:15 282:5	<b>sergeant</b> 1:24 2:13	session 35:18 102:7	129:6,10 130:7,21
282:15 288:3 298:3	2:23 82:2 101:23	133:2 164:11	131:3,16 136:20
298:17,24 300:5,25	195:2 259:9	299:13 300:25	155:4 163:10
301:3,4	series 235:23 236:5	set 3:15 23:4,23	165:10 166:14
seeing 52:22 53:1	serious 24:23	24:10 62:16 108:24	170:1,4 177:9,10
158:13 191:3 199:4	seriously 13:22,22	201:24 225:12	178:17 182:6 195:2
283:8 301:5	serve 105:20	231:14 236:15	213:3 215:1,2
seek 67:14	107:21 108:16	258:10,12	218:17 233:9,11,12
seen 4:24 17:2,11	136:24 261:2	<b>sets</b> 188:10	233:15,22 240:10
52:20 158:15 200:3	serves 106:3 178:2	setting 61:8	242:10,12 243:16
235:22 294:11	<b>service</b> 17:24 61:3	<b>settle</b> 93:21	245:7,19,25 246:3
sees 47:13 175:7	81:17 96:14 136:23	seven 82:24 84:9,20	246:5 247:7,10
273:23,24	147:12 180:13	85:19 201:8 208:5	256:7,8 257:1,15
<b>self</b> 21:5 49:18	246:23	severe 48:5 183:14	266:13 270:1,8,11
121:13 195:12	services 1:17 5:22	183:15	270:16 271:4,10,13
212:3	5:24,25 6:2 17:6,7	sexual 13:3	274:8 279:12
semantics 119:20	17:22,23 21:17,20	shameful 51:3	285:25 299:7
163:1,4,7	23:6 25:3,4 29:8,15	<b>share</b> 231:6 247:25	<b>sheriff's</b> 1:24 14:13
seminole 268:19	35:11 46:24 47:12	300:9	15:23 40:25
senate 72:18	47:15,16,18 48:12	<b>shared</b> 48:15 53:5	sheriffs 159:22
157:15	60:18 67:12 68:4	119:7 132:11	160:2 162:5

[shield's - social] Page 352

shield's 39:4	<b>sides</b> 30:12	sits 192:20	115:10,13 116:19
<b>shifts</b> 44:22	sideways 82:4	<b>sitting</b> 14:11 44:1	116:22 117:4,15,25
<b>shock</b> 179:9	sight 6:8	96:1 97:18 168:15	118:20,24 119:6
<b>shocked</b> 179:2,3,3	<b>sign</b> 138:9 163:10	191:1,3 209:14,23	120:5,14 121:18,20
shocking 20:20	165:13 219:12	situation 23:25	121:25 122:9
<b>shoot</b> 12:18 13:1,4	233:20	26:8 32:1 33:24	123:23 141:4,12
157:19 158:5,9,24	signatory 219:7	34:1 35:19 36:5,12	148:3 162:9,15
159:6 161:1 166:23	signature 302:18	37:23 125:14	181:16,20 182:2,18
190:23 191:2,13	significant 8:17	213:17 217:3 228:1	183:3 205:19
shooter 267:7	197:3 289:15	234:22 244:11	206:13 207:13,19
shooters 295:1	290:13	255:21 264:10	208:13 236:22
shooting 157:14	signs 154:22	267:8 279:17	237:4,12,15 238:4
159:19 165:11	<b>silos</b> 10:10 13:14	280:24	238:6,24 257:17
166:11 263:8,23	46:18 198:20	situations 158:17	268:16,22 269:2
264:8 270:14	201:12,13 228:7,8	265:13,21 282:22	skinner's 86:9
277:17 294:18	similar 15:25 19:12	six 142:15 201:8	sky 202:13
shootings 179:20	67:18 110:21	208:5 291:1 294:12	<b>slang</b> 195:19
263:2	123:25 128:8	<b>sixth</b> 1:11	sleep 27:18,21,21
<b>shore</b> 294:16	162:10 217:18	<b>skills</b> 29:6 110:11	sleeping 27:7
<b>short</b> 158:12	296:22	123:14	slice 226:2
186:24 203:15	simplify 119:10	<b>skinner</b> 1:13 38:2,3	<b>slides</b> 300:3
210:13 212:24	<b>simply</b> 9:18,24	39:8 41:2,23 42:4,7	slipping 224:9
287:4 291:14	166:9 210:18	42:11,19 43:9 44:9	<b>slow</b> 166:16
297:11	212:10 292:18	45:10,17,21,23	<b>slowly</b> 109:17
shorthanded	simulations 265:20	46:19 56:1,12,17	<b>small</b> 50:13 160:21
157:18	<b>single</b> 80:21 87:17	56:24 58:2,14	<b>smith</b> 229:10
shortly 6:9	106:2 110:17	59:20 64:9,18	snapchat 209:13
<b>shots</b> 18:3	143:21 216:20,21	65:11 66:2,6 80:6	snapshot 178:5
shoulders 52:15	246:11 275:15	81:2,7 83:6,10,22	<b>social</b> 89:19 110:5
<b>show</b> 36:24 61:9,18	singular 272:4,17	86:12,20,22 87:7	112:22 113:25
166:22	sir 2:23 66:17 82:2	87:12,16,22 88:3,7	114:9 117:6,14,21
showed 49:4	131:23 143:4	88:11,23 89:14	118:1,8,17 119:1
showing 85:4	169:14 240:25	90:2,18 91:1 93:25	124:5 187:19
<b>shown</b> 265:14	sister 152:12	94:5,25 95:2,17	188:18,22 189:2,8
<b>shows</b> 12:9 15:22	sit 15:6 97:22	97:1 101:1,6,13	189:18,20 190:4,10
25:10 41:9 51:4	149:10,14 199:10	105:13,19 106:17	190:19,20 194:18
278:2	209:3	106:20,23 107:6,12	195:9,10,13,20
<b>side</b> 21:18 34:20	site 2:25 126:10	107:16,23 109:3,6	208:14,16,23,25
35:1 116:2 191:25	127:11,17	109:9,13,19 111:3	209:12,24 210:3,6
193:12	sites 195:11,11	113:1,10,15,22	210:6 213:21,25
		114:6,8,11 115:7	214:1 215:8 294:8
		val Calutions	

[sole - state] Page 353

<b>sole</b> 140:24	sounds 174:17	spectrum 210:11	<b>stand</b> 4:8,15 50:5
solid 247:7	283:20	speech 266:22	81:6 219:19
<b>solution</b> 6:5 8:5	source 2:15 140:24	<b>spelling</b> 98:8,15	standardized
35:15 107:20	216:21,24	spells 79:23	279:10
200:16 204:17	sources 65:3 188:3	spending 5:9	standards 5:17
solve 31:25 33:4	201:2,5 210:15	spent 5:16 217:22	61:11 62:16 123:17
110:10 121:11	216:1,6	217:22	236:12,19 291:6
somebody 9:21,22	south 45:8 270:22	spin 226:6	standing 94:24
9:23 13:21 15:1	275:3	<b>spinning</b> 185:3	166:23
25:24 27:3 33:18	southern 289:2	spirit 239:22	standpoint 22:6
40:4 41:14,17 44:6	292:1,6	split 118:12	49:19 193:21
58:8 66:21 83:3,4	space 264:5	spoken 157:13	stands 219:18
93:2 96:9 97:20,20	<b>space</b> 204.3 <b>span</b> 8:18	163:2,12 165:20	296:15
98:2 99:17 123:2	span 6.16 speak 20:24 52:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	star 289:9
	127:3 149:4 163:5	<b>sponsor</b> 30:9	start 24:2 25:20
155:6 158:3,6,19 160:15 163:5 166:4		spot 199:1 243:20	53:12 69:23 101:8
	171:20 179:11	spread 278:6	
166:23 177:16	288:23 291:18	square 264:5	109:14,21 121:15
184:5,7 191:11,15	speaking 152:12	squeezing 7:25	151:3 155:2 165:21
192:11,18,25 201:1	specialist 132:19	<b>sro</b> 51:24 238:10	187:2,9 215:4
208:10 209:2 214:6	<b>specialists</b> 134:5,23	294:13	216:1,2 243:17
214:19 217:5 227:1	174:11 214:13	sros 11:17 255:24	284:7
234:20 243:10	280:18	256:3 259:3	started 3:18 29:5
246:6 275:3,20	specialized 28:16	stabilization 8:4	47:20 105:14 125:8
281:25 282:2	<b>specific</b> 60:6 62:4	31:20 32:10 42:24	187:17 264:9
somebody's 85:1	65:8 80:16 85:14	<b>staff</b> 1:23 91:4	starting 101:2
192:12 246:18	85:22 97:19 116:23	125:12 183:14,22	startling 12:9
<b>someplace</b> 24:17,21	118:13 122:23,25	185:5,23 219:15	starts 175:19
157:23 298:22	126:6,19 127:6,24	254:17 256:10	stat 47:23
<b>soon</b> 12:4	128:16 129:4 130:6	265:18 276:7 278:6	<b>state</b> 1:10 5:6 8:12
<b>sorely</b> 291:19	142:18 190:16	281:6	10:6 15:24 24:7,25
<b>sorry</b> 82:2 93:9	195:18 196:1 204:8	<b>staffing</b> 89:20,24	27:18 33:24 36:20
101:5 142:19	258:4	staffings 43:19	42:23 47:2 48:11
146:17 161:19	specifically 57:13	74:10,16 89:10	58:17 59:16 60:9
175:2 220:25	62:22 77:12 78:15	stage 18:12,13	61:17 62:18 65:6
240:19,24	79:23 97:23 130:14	98:22	66:12 73:20,22
<b>sort</b> 56:8 80:8	131:5 136:17 183:1	stakeholder 227:18	74:1 75:7,20 77:2,4
218:23 247:3	207:14 241:17	235:11 251:14	77:5,6,22,25 78:3,8
sorts 225:14	270:1	stakeholders	80:9,20,23 86:14
<b>sound</b> 94:15 112:18	specifics 132:23	216:18 290:4	87:13,14,19 89:3
112:19 267:6	specify 77:8	300:19	90:12 91:24 94:12
273:22			94:20 96:12 111:7

[state - suggest] Page 354

111:13,15 128:23	status 140:4 283:10	stopped 19:21	294:5
130:4,16,22 139:12	<b>statute</b> 111:12	158:12	study 180:14
140:15 148:22	133:8 154:24	stops 14:9 250:1	stuff 27:13 49:5
149:7 154:24	159:11,11,15,16,18	store 228:4	69:23 84:11 102:8
155:23 158:13,16	182:25 233:24	straight 143:9	108:24 115:2 185:3
179:6 199:21	237:7 238:1 242:4	strategies 286:19	186:18 208:9 229:1
224:19,21,21	248:22 272:24	287:10,17,18,24	243:3 247:6 262:3
227:17,21 229:12	statutes 131:12,14	stream 287:4	279:24
231:3,5 232:9	250:21,23	streams 38:10	<b>subject</b> 16:5 93:14
233:18 234:6,15	statutorily 133:17	<b>street</b> 11:17 16:7	133:17,18 147:24
235:11 236:20	154:19,25 155:24	205:9 215:7 243:24	151:19 182:11,12
237:8 238:8 248:3	statutory 249:2,5	strengthen 145:13	220:16 263:4
249:20 251:14	275:10	stressful 282:22	submission 131:1
265:11 273:5	stay 27:23,24	<b>stretch</b> 242:22	132:18 170:21
287:13,24 288:25	105:23	strictly 272:25	submissions 126:16
291:1,11 300:15,16	<b>stayed</b> 55:11	strides 203:2	<b>submit</b> 72:19
302:3	steal 23:15 243:3	<b>strike</b> 36:19 148:17	126:17 127:24
<b>stated</b> 16:24 289:11	stealing 228:4	<b>strive</b> 287:23	214:17 297:3
statement 71:6	stenographic	<b>strong</b> 145:5	submitted 161:22
164:15 205:22	302:12	248:10	170:25 214:9,23
275:15	<b>step</b> 21:10 213:13	structure 237:6	227:11 292:23
statements 12:17	231:8 232:8 241:24	struggle 61:25	295:6 296:3
164:5	271:14	116:17	submitting 193:19
states 44:14 47:3	stepping 26:4	struggling 39:9	subsection 240:20
55:11,15,17,20,24	27:12	<b>stuck</b> 30:16	241:3,4 253:8
56:6,10,14,19,23	stewart 1:20 71:2	<b>student</b> 11:2 70:15	subsequent 272:20
57:2,7 179:19	116:10,13,17 117:9	71:11 200:25	276:19
273:4,5	117:11,12,17 118:5	213:25 218:6	substance 25:1,4
statewide 85:19,24	118:15,22 119:4,17	242:14,15,18,19	68:16 104:8 105:12
126:9 138:16	122:7 128:7 182:10	256:1 259:8 265:2	111:18 113:16
139:15 196:7 198:1	257:1,3,12 261:15	290:13 293:25	119:21 120:2 298:1
200:23 209:10	261:20	<b>student's</b> 195:14,16	substitutes 263:18
223:25 224:1	<b>stole</b> 228:18 229:10	students 72:7,8	263:21
291:25	<b>stolen</b> 238:14	88:20 89:4 90:14	success 288:8
<b>static</b> 134:9	stoneman 1:1	92:3 94:13 121:24	successes 288:16
statistic 9:11	128:11 262:6 276:5	152:10 218:2	suess 1:24 2:13,23
statistical 4:22	277:17	263:20 267:3	82:2 101:23 195:2
126:15	<b>stop</b> 13:24 25:13	273:20 277:7 281:7	232:7
statistics 45:12	26:14 28:23 162:18	284:1 285:8,15	sufficient 290:7
<b>stats</b> 287:19	180:23 181:1,1	289:17 290:5,10	suggest 15:21
	295:12	291:9,16 292:15	21:19 94:1 105:1

[suggest - takes] Page 355

	I	I	T
149:11 186:20,22	172:12	15:13,17 17:3,10	200:10 202:3 204:9
221:14	<b>sure</b> 3:12 7:19	18:10,11,20 19:3,9	205:3,5 206:2,19
suggesting 88:12	18:24 44:15 58:11	20:12,17,19,21,24	206:21 215:5
114:1 129:4 159:18	59:10,22 62:17	20:25 21:23 22:2,4	217:25 287:8
247:6,11 290:25	67:10 74:20 76:9	22:14,23,23 23:23	t
suggestion 65:9	78:1 86:9 90:4,7	26:13 27:9 28:8,9	t 101:16
66:3 90:6 184:7	91:13 96:22 100:14	32:17,24,24,25	table 30:18 96:1,9
suggests 276:1	109:5 130:7 132:9	34:8,10 37:9,11	149:15 153:16
suicidal 51:19	141:11 154:13	39:6 40:5,6 44:16	169:5 266:19
suicide 110:1	160:19 164:10	44:19 45:1 46:9	267:19
180:24,25 181:1	170:15 173:3 183:4	47:14 48:5 50:3	tackle 3:14 202:4
263:14 264:18	184:23 190:14	51:18 53:21 54:16	211:24 213:16
<b>sum</b> 246:4	201:15 203:8 215:8	55:22 56:21 57:5	take 3:6 18:3 26:2
<b>summary</b> 141:25	239:11 240:12	57:13,14,17,23,25	26:3 28:11 52:10
231:15	252:13,13,16	61:20,23 63:6,17	57:3,10 78:3 86:25
summation 143:9	268:12 279:1 299:3	64:4 66:1 68:14	93:21,22 94:8
summer 72:2 112:1	<b>surface</b> 278:19	69:11 71:10 77:11	101:12,16 109:20
140:2	280:11	77:12 84:19 85:16	112:15 120:16
<b>sunday</b> 296:12,13	surgeon 263:24	86:2 97:12,23 99:9	121:6 122:1 125:1
superintendent	surgical 46:24	100:8,9,17,19	128:4 139:22,22
128:5 130:12,18,24	surprise 61:19	101:18 102:17	140:9 149:23
170:19 178:22	surprised 60:10	104:16,21,23	160:16 164:20,20
219:11,22 274:25	surprising 51:11	110:19 141:1	164:25 169:12
290:21	surveillance 293:25	188:20 191:7,9	171:2,3 172:5
superintendent's	294:10	197:1 198:1 203:10	176:21,24 190:9
170:9,24 178:25	sustained 6:3	214:24 218:6 226:9	192:18 202:6 204:9
179:9	swap 164:23	226:10 228:25	207:4 212:13 221:1
superintendents	swearingen 1:11	229:22,24 230:12	231:12 235:16
171:23,24 172:4	92:14,21,23 93:8	233:2,4 234:9,10	237:19 244:7
261:18	98:7,10,12 147:20	241:21 245:6	247:12 251:2,7
superior 127:9	162:23,24 163:11	264:10 287:1,12,16	262:14,15 268:15
supervising 288:24	165:22 200:21	systemic 135:11	269:6 271:14
support 35:17	209:19 210:1,10	142:5 143:14 144:5	280:17 283:19
69:18 160:10,10	211:7,10,18 212:1	144:6 145:4,6,11	284:9,16,23 285:25
174:16 232:2	212:6,19 269:13,14	systemically 30:2	286:1,3 293:7
233:10,13 282:3	<b>swing</b> 36:10	<b>systems</b> 7:8 8:2	297:17 301:2
supports 71:8	switched 264:8	11:15,19,20 34:7	taken 2:2 19:2 26:7
135:22 138:19	system 5:18 8:4,5	34:14 35:7 46:7	46:16 63:24 64:24
207:10 231:14	9:15,17 11:25	71:7 82:23 83:19	249:7 281:15 287:5
supposed 26:5	12:14 13:21,23	84:14 187:18	takes 11:6 25:7
52:21 129:14	14:3,7 15:3,10,12	188:12 189:4	27:12 61:5 175:25
			27.12 01.3 173.23

[takes - thing] Page 356

239:12 241:24	target 294:5	91:8 93:12 105:17	tempted 290:22
243:21,22 299:2	targeted 293:21	106:21,24 107:20	tend 201:20
talk 4:19 16:17	task 280:16	107:21 108:13,20	tendencies 51:19
20:11 30:19 43:15	tasked 72:14	147:16,22 149:21	tentatively 299:6
109:3 130:13	175:12 199:21	150:15,22 151:18	term 6:3 109:24
132:22 133:2	tasks 173:24	156:23 189:3	117:24 118:11,21
193:20 240:25	taught 111:25	205:17 207:11	190:15 201:24
253:23 271:8,16	112:23 114:1,12	214:12 216:22	290:25
272:4,17,18,21	117:7 121:6	217:24	terminology 85:16
273:3,12,15,25	taxpayers 289:25	teams's 157:1	195:19 263:11
274:5,6 275:11	teach 124:17	technical 219:14	terms 108:4 262:11
276:15,21 300:5	265:18	technically 291:3	terrorist 159:20
talked 26:16 60:20	teacher 242:13	technological 188:1	test 278:25 280:22
60:24 115:11 128:9	247:12 264:22	188:13 203:22	281:6
131:8 134:4 137:8	265:1,3	204:11 261:5	testified 262:24
148:1 149:5 161:9	<b>teachers</b> 12:19 13:2	technology 199:7	testimony 70:17
165:22 172:25	13:2 51:16 245:12	201:22 204:17	268:18,24
223:3 232:19,20	264:20 279:13	telecommunicati	<b>testing</b> 265:14
252:11 290:24	282:23 289:17	212:20	277:25 278:15,17
talking 21:22,25	teaching 110:2,8	telephone 212:22	278:18
24:15 26:23 30:21	team 10:15,18,22	<b>tell</b> 11:16 20:3	text 135:6 143:10
30:23 34:5,15 35:8	11:2,7,22 12:6,11	21:11 27:5,20	144:2 218:14,18
35:10 48:9 60:14	15:21 23:2 40:18	39:13,18,22 41:5,7	254:1
63:14 67:17 79:8	40:21,22 52:5 91:2	49:25 50:7 61:4	th 228:5
85:15 95:9 97:7	91:14 92:10 95:10	68:19 75:3 100:12	thank 169:16 183:4
103:21 108:16	105:22,24 106:3,6	109:16 139:25	253:16 262:18
118:25 119:25	106:10 135:19	159:22 160:1	286:17 288:18,19
120:1,3 142:20	136:25 137:4	166:20 167:1	288:22 291:20,23
147:2,20 152:7	138:10 147:10,13	176:11 180:5	295:22 296:5
167:20 207:14	152:15 153:20	193:22 208:3 210:2	thanks 169:22
209:10,10 212:4	154:19 155:1,21,25	210:13 236:2 244:8	300:18
240:23 241:1,23	169:21 173:21	259:9 263:20	thing 3:15 7:23
269:21 284:7,11	188:19,24 207:12	265:19 271:7,15	15:14 17:4 18:9
285:1,12	208:7 216:5,8	277:7 280:22	20:7,15,20 21:1
talks 192:22 196:17	217:10 259:7	281:12 287:11	23:11 27:10 29:4
233:24	264:23 278:2,4,5	297:9	29:14 32:14 36:3,3
tallahassee 173:23	team's 152:16	telling 15:1 166:4	36:22 40:15 42:6
tampa 18:21	teams 10:5,7,12	169:21 279:13	80:1 85:3,8 88:8
tap 37:4	16:3 40:16 41:6	temperature	110:8 117:12 119:7
tape 75:20	52:1,2,18 59:6	125:14	130:10 138:4 140:1
	86:15 87:23 88:1		175:19 183:19

[thing - threat] Page 357

184:18 200:14,24	55:4,10 56:10 60:5	178:16 179:18,20	114:4 124:1 131:25
207:23,24 224:7	61:14,19 62:23	180:6,9,19 182:5	152:6 233:18
226:16 227:22	65:7 70:7,18 71:5	182:16 184:3,11,15	236:25 279:20
228:9 234:7,8	71:19,20 72:10,15	184:17 185:4,8	281:13 297:9
237:16 242:16	76:4,6,12 77:9	186:23 187:3,4	298:18 300:24
244:10,11,15,23	78:25 79:14,22	189:19 192:25	thoughts 254:9
245:8 246:14 247:3	80:3 81:5 82:6	194:3,7 198:17,24	thousand 59:5,8
252:18 262:9	83:13,21,22 88:9	199:17,18 202:22	threat 10:4,7,12,14
270:25 271:3	89:8 90:3 91:6,22	204:3 207:18 208:4	10:18,22 11:1,7,22
275:24 276:8	92:4,4 93:18 94:21	209:20 211:23	12:6,10,15 13:6,17
280:21	95:22 96:16 97:4,7	213:15 222:3,4	15:21 16:3,6 22:24
things 2:5 4:21	97:11,25 98:1,4	223:2,3,7 230:20	22:25 23:2 80:10
15:22 23:25 35:8,9	99:21 100:1 101:1	230:21 231:3	86:16,25 87:23
40:13,14 42:15,15	101:6,13 102:9	232:23,23 233:9,12	88:1,17,20 89:3,10
46:21 52:22 58:19	104:3,18 106:7,17	233:16 236:9,10,18	89:12,25 90:13,22
58:24 60:23 64:10	107:1,12 108:9,14	237:16,17 239:20	90:23 91:7,14,17
66:8 74:5 86:16	112:8,9 113:4,9,12	241:14,22 243:1,14	91:19 92:2,5,10,15
89:16 91:6,8 95:22	115:7,25 116:10	243:17,20 247:16	93:10,12 94:2,8
106:11 113:6	117:17 118:5,16	247:24 248:9	95:20 135:3,12,14
124:16 128:10	120:2,5,7,15	249:14 250:14	135:19,24 136:1,7
139:17 140:5 166:6	122:22,24 123:7,8	252:21 253:2	136:9,25 137:3
178:10 199:18	123:20,24 128:3,8	256:19 257:4,6,11	138:1,8,16 140:18
201:25 214:21	128:14,16 130:7	257:13,17 258:8,13	140:25 141:8,19
236:13 244:21	131:7,8 132:9	258:17,23 259:19	142:4,6 143:13,15
246:16 248:16	133:25 134:9,12,15	261:11 270:4,24	143:19,21 144:7
249:15 250:15	137:11 139:17	271:2,4,17,24	145:18 147:7,8,10
264:1,2 275:16,22	140:10 141:16	273:1,22 274:10,10	147:15,22 148:11
283:11 296:24	144:10 145:16,20	275:12,25 276:14	149:20 150:7,15,22
297:6 301:2	145:20,20,21 148:8	276:22 277:1,10,12	151:17 152:9,15,21
think 3:14 4:25 5:3	148:19 149:16	281:10,11,19,21	153:20 154:5,19,20
7:9 9:11 17:20,21	150:5,17,19 151:21	282:7,11 283:15,17	154:22,25 155:1,21
18:16 20:11,14	152:16,24,25 153:1	284:7 285:1,5,7	155:24 156:23
22:22 23:9,11,19	153:1,9,10 154:16	290:23 297:21	157:1,6,13,17,19
23:21,25 24:1,5	155:12 156:1	thinking 14:12	159:1,21 161:1,20
25:18,19 27:16	159:23 160:9	89:9 109:10 113:16	163:4 165:10,25
28:2 29:1,1,2,4,10	162:18 163:15	193:22 209:8	166:2,10 188:19,23
29:25 31:16 33:2	167:13,13,16,19	thinks 179:12	189:3 194:5 195:22
34:2,12 38:4 44:9	168:16 169:6,10	<b>third</b> 5:6 51:13	196:5,5 198:7,9,11
45:2 46:24,25	171:2,5 172:17	127:4 172:15 186:3	200:23 205:2,16
47:11 48:3 49:1,15	174:4,6 175:6,7	thought 47:22 48:2	207:11 208:7
49:16 51:15 54:13	176:13 178:2,2,8	80:8 99:5 109:6	214:12 215:18

[threat - training] Page 358

216:5,22,25 217:2	tied 22:18 34:25	179:10 185:9 200:7	tools 24:10 28:24
217:18 222:12	260:20	times 12:22,22,23	49:8 132:24 174:10
259:6 263:10	tier 71:6 110:22	12:25 13:19 14:8	210:4,13,17 265:22
266:21 267:1	tight 186:25 187:2	14:17 15:11 18:19	top 20:8 164:16
293:24 294:3,22	till 27:24	27:2,2 38:21,22	216:1
threaten 159:19	time 3:16,24 7:3	39:5,6,16 40:18	topic 5:2 89:7
167:7	8:18 9:5 13:9 20:1	41:13 57:20 80:15	111:1 172:1 253:19
threatened 13:1,4	21:11 25:2,2 26:12	107:2 128:24	277:22 300:1
threatening 12:16	26:24 27:15 29:16	170:18 172:13,16	topical 300:1
12:18 159:12,13	36:6,25 37:8 39:17	197:1 229:24	topics 123:13
264:10	40:20 44:8 47:25	246:24 250:19	tornado 266:2
threatens 19:4	61:5,8 72:2,4 76:17	timetable 296:9	total 20:1 178:14
threats 51:19,20	85:3 89:16 109:24	298:11,25	totally 99:4 144:25
158:18 159:9	148:13 149:15	timing 289:14	178:13,14
161:18 167:5	159:3 172:18	tip 14:25	touch 48:4
195:24,25 218:3	174:19 179:5	today 6:15 7:20	touching 186:3
three 75:20 96:15	180:14,22 181:7	10:10 39:16 65:1	tower 125:17
124:2,3 125:22	189:9 190:5,15,21	71:23 161:22 224:8	track 28:17 238:23
139:4 170:18	192:19 193:13,13	232:20 247:19	287:25 288:7
172:13,16 191:12	193:18 194:4,25	277:3,6,8 278:11	tracked 61:13
191:12 195:23	197:14,18,20,21	279:8 287:19 289:3	176:7
197:1 198:6 216:16	198:21 199:9	289:20 290:17	tracking 57:17
229:23 234:24	205:11 209:3,15,17	291:25 292:13,18	99:9 174:13
241:12 243:25	209:23 210:12	293:1	traditionally 9:4
244:2 246:24	216:15 217:22	<b>told</b> 18:7 32:3	traffic 275:21
252:25 286:13,14	223:3 235:9 243:9	35:16 110:4 176:23	trafficking 111:20
295:18,19	244:2 245:3 247:2	183:22	tragedy 124:5
threshold 99:14	249:1 255:18 259:3	tolerance 50:1	180:1
<b>threw</b> 16:15	259:12 260:20	tolerances 235:8	<b>trails</b> 164:14
thrive 70:16,22	262:4 279:17	tomorrow 166:22	train 138:25 140:5
110:12	280:24 283:24	tone 3:15	trained 139:7
<b>throw</b> 17:12 32:13	287:4 288:11	tony 136:20	147:9 175:12 205:5
100:1 106:13	289:12 291:14	tool 19:12 126:1	206:23
140:11 167:8	295:12,14,18	127:10 131:17	<b>trainer</b> 138:25
194:11	297:12,24 298:4	133:16 134:5,8	<b>training</b> 26:17 29:5
throwing 69:23	299:16 300:23	138:18 189:8,13,18	135:15 140:8 147:8
113:3 163:5	301:1	189:21 190:10,20	173:13 228:11
<b>thrown</b> 161:9	timely 65:18,21	191:17 193:17	254:16 255:6 256:9
<b>thumb</b> 29:14	66:23,24 91:17	195:10,24 196:9,13	256:22 257:10,25
tie 77:18	127:25 147:7	208:15,24 214:2,6	258:1 260:11
	170:21 171:1 179:1	214:8	261:10,23 265:17

	Ι		I
267:4 276:6 277:4	truant 29:17	turned 103:13	u
284:3 285:20	true 19:17 36:16	263:25	ucr 178:9
transcript 302:10	46:1 63:22 79:18	turns 103:18	ugly 277:16
transferred 218:5	125:20,20 127:3	tweak 63:19 136:3	ultimately 58:12
transfers 114:18	145:24 187:24	166:14 206:16	64:16 66:11 184:7
transition 102:25	195:16 217:9	239:15,22 253:14	184:10
103:17 104:12,17	302:11	tweaked 91:13	umbrella 251:8
104:20,25 105:7,22	<b>truly</b> 29:7 35:19	tweaking 82:7	unacceptable
107:10	37:8 269:23	240:8	236:18
transitioning 73:13	<b>trumps</b> 249:4	tweaks 82:20	unavailable 149:18
translate 123:15	trust 24:19 55:6	twice 39:2,3	uncovered 12:8
translates 114:18	truth 210:10	twitchy 110:5	uncovering 16:12
transmission	<b>try</b> 19:9 25:8 50:6	twitter 209:14	underapplied
157:18 158:3	87:5 97:5,10	210:15	254:13 260:2
transmitted 157:24	118:17 122:22	two 15:22 18:13	underfunded 54:2
trauma 22:7,7	124:22 125:4,15	24:5 31:18 33:14	undergo 18:23
<b>treat</b> 22:4 26:1	163:25 184:1 190:3	34:3,14 46:21	underreport 180:3
<b>treated</b> 43:17,18	259:22 277:5	64:10,13 91:3	underreporting
88:21 246:12	281:22 287:22	92:17,19 95:10	175:3 177:17
treating 43:17	297:11,14,25 298:4	125:22 126:5	undersheriff 1:19
88:21 89:5 90:15	298:23	129:20 130:13	understand 44:16
92:6 94:14	<b>trying</b> 29:6 32:21	172:18,19 174:19	81:4 85:15 98:17
treatment 6:19	32:22 36:23 44:23	180:1 183:6 185:19	124:16 177:21
18:4 19:1,10,25	48:22 59:13 72:15	202:16 252:25	191:23 205:17
22:8,9,10 24:9	84:4 95:12 96:8	253:3 265:25	232:25 240:12
25:22 28:18 29:20	97:18 98:3 117:18	286:20 294:12,12	255:6 258:24 260:4
32:25 33:5,9 43:22	118:19 150:11	300:2	279:3,7 280:3
48:11,14 52:25	162:16 166:14,20	<b>type</b> 10:19 17:24	understanding 5:1
67:15 68:16,17	167:22 168:15	24:6 27:13 45:14	6:15 69:6 223:12
81:18 85:2,3 86:15	177:11 184:6 194:3	45:15 50:1 69:24	258:15 259:12
90:13,20,21 91:25	194:14 200:3	70:13 71:24,25	278:25
94:13 106:25	202:24 203:6,15	75:4,20 84:11	understands 30:19
288:15	209:15 211:20,22	109:17 110:18	understood 254:19
treats 5:18	239:1 257:11	159:20 165:25	256:12
trees 199:4	277:13 279:24	166:10 201:23	undertaking
tremendous 42:17	282:7,10 292:7	205:11 245:2,2	203:12
42:17 230:25	tuesday 296:14,19	246:22	underused 254:8
<b>tried</b> 41:20 166:16	296:22 297:8 298:9	<b>typo</b> 220:9	underutilized
277:7	298:15,16		126:3,9
<b>trouble</b> 2:11 33:17	turn 49:25 75:5		unfortunate 129:12
	80:11 244:8 296:13		

Veritext Legal Solutions

umfautumatal	120.20 155.12 14	wahiala 100.17	woids 16:0
unfortunately	139:20 155:13,14	vehicle 108:17	voids 16:2
155:15	163:7 167:7 190:15	vendor 189:14	voluntary 21:20
unfunded 54:2	196:12 214:20	195:18	45:19 67:13 70:4
<b>unified</b> 188:8	215:21 245:20	venture 33:25	vote 282:2
uniform 59:19,21	249:10 264:7	verbal 158:17	voted 3:25
62:17	265:12 266:7 268:3	159:1,9,21 161:18	voting 290:8
unique 93:13	272:7,8 273:3,5,13	161:20 162:12	vulnerability 265:8
147:23 150:8	273:14,24,25	165:12	W
151:18 225:9,14	277:20 284:4,17	verbalized 161:23	wait 171:18 174:18
227:25	useful 222:13,20	verbally 159:6	220:25 299:12
<b>unit</b> 32:10	useless 178:13,14	version 296:16	walk 63:2 185:2
<b>united</b> 38:25 44:14	user 213:22,25	versions 204:12	walking 33:19
179:19 273:5	username 195:15	versus 55:13,13	walks 158:3 242:15
units 42:24 262:2	196:4 213:23	58:22 85:19 175:22	278:12
universal 71:7	usernames 215:6	176:4 196:9	wall 157:22
university 45:7	users 215:13	<b>vetted</b> 203:16	walls 71:1
unlawful 28:22	uses 214:7,19 273:4	vice 1:14 63:20	want 3:11,12,19
167:7	278:5	73:6 137:16 160:12	4:20 6:10 15:3,7
unlimited 202:19	<b>usually</b> 7:6 27:24	189:25 266:15	16:13 18:14 39:10
225:17	51:21 117:24	victim 1:12,21	39:19 42:8,12
unnecessarily	utilization 63:11	<b>victims</b> 286:23	44:15 46:4 48:7
242:21	64:6	<b>video</b> 255:18	49:5 53:14 54:25
unnecessary 253:1	utilize 272:3,17	260:21 261:4,6	54:25 76:5 78:12
unresolved 22:7	273:12 274:4	265:21	
unsafe 28:9	275:11 276:15	<b>videos</b> 260:23	79:20,20,21 81:24 84:3,5,12 85:13
unsuccessful 47:18	utilizers 60:21 84:6	view 13:14 31:19	1 ' '
<b>update</b> 218:19	84:8,16,20,22	72:13 158:7 210:17	86:8,10 89:6 90:17
220:4	85:24	236:18 277:23	91:9 93:5 98:22
<b>updated</b> 134:4,11	v	278:14	99:10,10 101:24
134:18 197:1		violates 158:11	104:4 107:18
<b>upfront</b> 77:10	vague 98:18	violence 110:1	109:17 110:7
<b>upheld</b> 159:17	valid 155:20	180:2,3 185:16	114:23,24,25
upper 269:20,23,24	validity 274:21,22	294:22	116:11 129:24
upset 160:24	value 140:23	violent 12:19	130:6 132:25 133:5
upstream 36:23	215:13	virginia's 139:13	141:5 144:21 145:9
uptick 196:12,24	variations 224:23	vitally 262:5	145:14 148:11,14
urge 292:19 293:6	variety 38:15	voice 99:22	149:13,18 150:23
294:23	131:12,14 215:25	voices 292:19	155:9,15 163:2
use 34:25 43:11	various 140:22	void 9:16 12:13	172:8 173:16 175:8
73:22 96:7 127:18	178:6 182:25	69:16,19 74:7,14	176:24 177:8
137:16 138:19	188:11 211:22	07.10,17 /7./,17	178:23 184:15
137.10 130.17	268:18		187:1,11 190:3
	Voritory I od	pal Solutions	

[want - work] Page 361

191:20 192:21,23	59:6 76:15,16 80:8	241:21 246:1,12	women's 263:22
193:10 194:10,22	83:23 87:6 88:15	248:1,13,15,17	wonder 141:5
197:12 204:1	94:24 97:2 114:17	websites 196:15	wondering 80:13
207:16 210:7 212:9	121:12 150:5 161:3	wednesday 296:18	199:1
214:20 215:4	163:25 168:7,14	296:19 298:8	word 65:18,20
218:19 222:11	177:20 184:1,7	week 14:13,19	66:23 82:19 90:9
223:22 224:7,9	200:21 201:3,11,17	140:8 223:14,16	91:22 95:23 96:7
226:6 228:20 231:8	205:21 206:17	weeks 12:5 294:12	112:15 113:2,7
231:8 235:3 239:17	217:2 218:2 235:6	<b>welfare</b> 20:17,19	116:18 117:20
240:11 242:6,7,8	239:4 243:11,25	20:21,24,25 21:23	118:1,2 155:12,14
242:20 243:7	251:7 269:12	22:2,4,23 39:18	157:13 163:2
245:13,14 246:21	270:24 273:2	44:18,19 47:21,22	190:23 195:22
258:8 260:6 266:7	277:14 280:2	68:13 103:16	242:24 243:8
266:24 271:1	300:12	went 18:1 36:6	284:18
273:12 274:10	ways 50:6 134:8	50:20 124:19	worded 252:7
279:1,7,9 284:19	228:15	146:18 155:11	<b>wording</b> 82:5 90:4
284:24,24 286:10	we've 17:11 18:10	181:21 213:4	207:4
295:20 296:9	19:2,19,20,21	216:19 263:16	words 5:24 7:1
298:14 299:3 300:6	20:16 23:17,23	264:1,9 265:19	95:22 110:5 148:8
300:8	24:19 35:8 37:3	west 209:11	150:25 155:9,16
<b>wanted</b> 105:25	40:7 69:12 74:5	whatever's 251:6	196:1 212:8 221:10
106:13 131:25	76:15 96:19,24	<b>wheel</b> 32:18	221:12 251:21
132:8,11 144:24	115:11 121:8	wherewithal 19:6	wordsmith 114:5
145:22 146:19	125:11 134:4	whichever 152:13	wordsmithing
161:6 162:9 183:3	144:13 149:5 152:7	wholehearted	65:21 168:4
219:17 263:13	162:10 177:10	270:17	work 3:4 17:8,9
wants 16:17 129:25	186:17 205:21	wholeheartedly	21:2 25:23 35:23
132:5	224:8 232:19,20	16:24 26:15,19	40:13,16 48:12
warm 60:25 61:9	235:21 239:21,21	114:21 287:9	61:22 78:5 94:15
warrants 290:1	256:19 278:18	wholesale 255:4	99:3 100:5 101:21
washington 211:22	282:11 300:12	wide 204:21 290:1	106:7 108:23 109:1
213:4 263:6	weakest 267:6	widespread 108:3	111:8 131:2 133:21
waste 20:1	273:21 285:5	265:12	133:22 134:6,19,25
<b>wasted</b> 210:16	<b>weapon</b> 167:6,8	willfully 28:21,21	139:13 145:1 147:5
watching 6:13	weapons 293:14,17	williams 263:22	162:12 171:21,25
watkins 264:11	295:1	<b>willing</b> 15:8 149:14	174:7,20 184:9
wave 34:13	web 219:5,10 220:2	withdraw 266:3	185:9,13 187:7
way 9:4 11:21 16:6	220:22 221:3,15,24	withdrawn 4:5	195:18 197:22
18:16 25:20 36:7	223:20,25 224:6	withhold 170:9,24	202:7 205:12 207:6
36:11 37:4 41:20	225:8 227:4 228:16	178:25 179:8	257:22 282:14
43:23 48:16 49:14	230:19 232:1		287:23 288:4

[work - zero] Page 362

289:22 292:7	292:12,23	181:25 182:4,8,14	256:15
296:10 299:1	wrong 13:22 17:25	183:5 185:1 190:2	<b>yesterday</b> 2:6 3:3,4
300:17,22	205:23 247:23	190:2 191:23 193:8	3:20 7:19 16:19
<b>worked</b> 23:10	265:16 267:4	194:13,15 196:16	26:16 34:15 41:18
47:21 48:1 125:15	273:20 284:2,10	197:16 198:14	65:2 109:11 158:7
189:14 191:17	285:9,15	201:20 202:17	166:25 262:19
212:15 270:24	wrote 142:12	204:7 206:11,13,14	266:7,8,16,20
<b>worker</b> 89:19	157:17,19 184:22	206:15,18,24,25	270:17 272:11
<b>working</b> 6:4 14:4	219:10 232:22	210:24 211:18	275:14
15:7 17:13 18:2,6	X	220:14,25 221:11	yesterday's 266:18
22:14 27:10,11	<b>x</b> 176:13	221:22 222:3,9,19	<b>young</b> 8:8 293:18
35:23 38:12 41:8,9		225:2 233:14	<b>younger</b> 51:12 52:3
42:1,15 48:12	y	241:11 246:17	<b>youth</b> 119:14
81:15 125:9,12	<b>y'all</b> 41:16 83:21	247:8,9,10 251:18	120:19 121:15,21
162:4 217:23 248:5	157:7 184:3,15	252:17 253:6,12	121:22 122:2,7,8
278:16 279:2,24	242:6 261:11	256:14,18,25	154:5 222:18 228:4
281:22 282:12	yasamin 291:21,23	257:22,22 260:15	Z
works 61:21	295:9,13,16,22,25	268:21 269:3	<b>zero</b> 237:20
112:10 119:17	296:5	285:24 295:11,11	<b>Zei</b> 0 237.20
120:12 123:9	<b>yeah</b> 42:4 51:7,7	299:14,17 300:4,5	
136:13 151:15	53:10 56:24 57:10	year 8:11 9:2 12:16	
160:8 222:4	63:9,12,23 64:17	14:14 38:15 44:21	
workshops 261:10	76:3 79:4 81:9	44:21 57:19 105:16	
261:24	82:13 85:17 86:7	110:17 127:6	
workup 12:7	87:7 88:6,24,25	129:11 140:2 152:8	
<b>world</b> 161:21 287:6	92:24 93:8,16 94:4	160:3,24 165:6	
worried 48:13	94:17 98:14 100:11	170:18 172:14,16	
worry 74:15 171:9	101:11 103:4	178:21 197:1	
<b>worst</b> 69:4	104:22 105:6	230:22 244:3 250:9	
<b>worth</b> 134:10	109:19 114:7 115:3	258:6 281:18 282:4	
wrap 187:3,5 289:7	115:9,9,12,15,20	283:9 287:13,13	
295:8	115:24 116:21	291:1,9 294:12	
wrapping 262:16	117:9 119:6 120:11	300:24	
write 119:10	120:18 121:7 122:3	years 12:21,23	
157:21,22,23,25	122:10 124:19	14:15 17:2 40:7	
166:21,22	135:25 142:25	126:17 129:20	
writes 158:5,8	145:25 146:3,6,9	263:23 264:20	
writing 11:25	150:10,12,14 153:8	<b>yellow</b> 284:13	
written 78:22,25	153:13 154:14	<b>yep</b> 48:25 109:8,12	
150:6 163:3,13	163:14 168:10	164:12 165:4	
165:12 205:20	169:15 170:13	174:17 195:5 250:2	