Page 1 MARJORY STONEMAN DOUGLAS HIGH SCHOOL PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION MEETING BB&T Center, Chairman's Club б 1 Panther Parkway Sunrise, Florida 33323 July 10, 2018 8:30 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

```
Page 2
1
      COMMISSION MEMBERS/ATTENDEES:
 2
      SHERIFF BOB GUALTIERI, Chair
 3
      JASON JONES, PSC General Counsel
 4
      CHRIS NELSON, Chief of Police - City of Auburndale
 5
      BRUCE BARTLETT, Chief Assistant to State Attorney -
      Sixth Judicial Circuit
 6
      RICHARD SWEARINGEN, Commissioner - Florida
 7
      Department of Law Enforcement
 8
      MAX SCHACHTER, Parent of Victim
9
      LARRY R. ASHLEY, Sheriff - Okaloosa County (via
10
      phone)
11
      MELISSA LARKIN SKINNER, CEO - Centerstone of Florida
12
      CHRISTI DALY, Secretary - Department of Juvenile
      Justice
13
      MICHAEL CARROLL, Secretary - DCF
14
      JAMES HARPRING, Undersheriff/GC - Indian River
15
      County
16
      GRADY JUDD, Sheriff - Polk County
17
      LAUREN BOOK, Senator - District 32
18
      RYAN PETTY, Parent of Victim
      DANIEL GOHL, Chief Academic Officer - Broward County
19
      Schools
20
      CHIEF JULIUS HALAS, Director of Florida State Fire
21
      Marshall's Office
22
      CHIEF OTTO DROZD, Fire Chief - Orange County Fire
23
      Rescue
24
      CHIEF MICHAEL LONG, District Chief - Palm Beach
      Office
25
```

1 SECRETARY JUNIOR DR. MARY CLAIRE MUCENIC, Director of Exception 2 Student Learning Support - Broward County Public 3 Schools 4 DR. LINDA ALATHARI, Chief of the National Threat Assessment Center - U.S. Secret Service 5 DONNA MICHAELIS, Manager of the Virginia Center for 6 School and Campus Safety 7 8 9 Also present: 10 RONALD BARISH 11 W.R. CARNEY 12 JULIE GANAS, Veteran Broward County Elementary School Teacher 13 MICHAEL SIRBOLA 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 3

(Thereupon, the following meeting was had:) 1 2 CHAIR: Good morning, everybody. We'll go 3 ahead and call the meeting to order. If you would please stand with me for a moment of 4 5 silence and the pledge. 6 (Thereupon, a moment of silence was had.) 7 CHAIR: Please join me in the pledge. (Thereupon, the pledge of allegiance was had.) 8 9 CHAIR: Because you should have received 10 prior to the meeting a copy of the minutes from 11 our last meeting in June. Does anybody have 12 any suggested revisions, additions to the 13 minutes? Okay, hearing none, I'll take a 14 motion to approve the minutes. SEN. BOOK: Chair --15 16 Senator Book with a motion. CHATR: 17 Second? Sheriff Judd with a second. All in 18 favor, aye. 19 (Aye.) 20 CHAIR: Any opposed, same? That motion 21 passes, and the minutes are approved from the 2.2 June meeting, and we'll get those posted to the website. I'll turn it over here for a second 23 24 to our general counsel, Jason Jones, for just a reminder about the Sunshine Law, and another 25

Page 4

topic that he wants to discuss. So, Mr. Jones.

Page 5

MR. JONES: Yes, please. Just remember that we are governed by the Sunshine Law, so no conversations about things that we're going to talk about in the open meeting with side conversations. And also, this is going to be the first meeting that we start getting into real confidential and exempt information, so please remember that everything that we receive that is confidential and exempt must be held as confidential and exempt. And that pertains to either stuff that we hear through testimony, or items that you're provided in a paper copy or electronically.

15 CHAIR: All right, thank you. Just a 16 couple of housekeeping items, so as to try and 17 keep you informed here as we go forward. Ι 18 believe that we will be good to meet here for 19 our August meeting. There may be some 20 scheduling conflicts for September and later; 21 but we're still trying to work that out, and 2.2 we'll let you know, and keep you apprised as we 23 find out; but for the August meeting you should be back here at the BB&T Center. 24

I'm still working with the Governor's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

office to replace to Mr. Pollack on the 1 2 Commission. I don't have any update for you on that as of yet, but we're working on a 3 replacement for Mr. Pollack. Commissioner 4 5 Stuart couldn't be with us for this meeting, 6 she's attending an important conference in 7 Orlando recognizing Florida's teacher of the year, which is important for her to attend, so 8 9 she won't be able to join us for this meeting. 10 And Commissioner Dodd has a School Board 11 meeting today, but he'll be here for tomorrow 12 and Thursday, so he will be joining us 13 tomorrow.

14 Just to update you on some things since we 15 last met, we continue to work closely with the 16 Broward County Sheriff's Office, with the Coral 17 Springs Police Department, and the Broward 18 County School Board, and many others. There 19 have been many meetings over the last month. 20 We continue to receive and review documents and 21 evidence, and we've also obtained a lot of new 22 video and other crime scene evidence over the last month. 23

24Everybody remains cooperative with our25investigators, and with our efforts. We are

running into a situation where the unions who 1 2 are representing the officers and deputies are 3 requiring subpoenas for the people they represent to be interviewed, so we're going to 4 5 have to work through that. Not a big deal, we 6 will. But overall everybody continues to be 7 cooperative. Just know that we've got seventeen people assigned as investigators and 8 9 other staff on the commission, and they're 10 working hard every day full time to pore 11 through all this evidence and take us to where 12 we're going to begin here in a little bit 13 during this meeting, but especially in August 14 as we begin to get into the meat of things, and 15 to get into an analysis of that evidence. And 16 they're preparing for many more interviews over 17 the next month.

18 We are in the process of preparing three very detailed and critical timelines. One 19 20 timeline will detail every relevant in Cruz's 21 life from birth through February 13, 2018; so 2.2 that's how we're approaching this from an 23 investigative standpoint, is that everything 24 that has the slightest bit of meaning, the slightest meaning from the time he was born 25

Page 7

through February 13th, then the next timeline 1 2 is going to be from midnight on the 13th 3 through the time of his arrest February 14th. Also, we're doing a separate chronology or 4 5 timeline that details the entire first 6 responder law enforcement response, and that 7 means every CAD, or computer aided dispatch entry from the Broward County Sheriff's Office 8 9 and the Coral Springs Police Department, every 10 radio transmission, so we can see exactly who 11 did what when, and then find out what knowledge 12 they had or didn't have when as they were 13 acting.

So, three separate important chronologies that are in the process of being prepared, birth through the 13th, 13th up until the time of his arrest, and then the law enforcement response. And those will be very helpful to us as, you know, we begin dissecting this more specifically in August.

At the last meeting commission members requested certain documents, and one of those documents that you requested was the FSSAT. If you remember that's the Florida Safe Schools Assessment Tool. If you recall we had a

presentation on it last time, and that was an 1 2 instrument that was created as a result of 3 legislation in 2014, and every district, every district was required to do an assessment, a 4 5 site threat assessment, or a risk assessment, and it was at a district level but necessarily 6 7 at a school level, and those were reported. And in SB7026 it now requires that the FSSAT, 8 9 and that the site security risk assessment be 10 done at every single school, charter, 11 elementary, middle, and high school, and those 12 are in the process of being done, and they have 13 to be submitted to the Department of Education 14 by August 1st.

15 You all requested a copy of the current 16 FSSAT, and that will be posted to the 17 commission members only password protected 18 website this morning, and as Mr. Jones just mentioned in his remarks is, is that that is a 19 20 document under Florida Law even in blank form 21 that is confidential, it is exempt from 2.2 disclosure. So, we're sharing it with you 23 because you asked, but you have to not share it, not disclose it outside of the commission, 24 and to others that aren't legally entitled to 25

it. So, we're posting it this morning, you'll
have access to it in blank form, but please
respect what the law requires and keep it
confidential. So, you'll have access to that.

5 You also asked at the end of the meeting the last time several questions. We have 6 7 responses to the majority of those questions, 8 and those responses will be posted to the 9 commission website this morning as well, so 10 you'll be able to go onto the website and view the responses. If those responses are not 11 12 responsive, or are not adequate, don't answer 13 your questions, let us know and we'll probably, 14 we won't do it at the end of this meeting, the 15 same thing we did last time, and take any 16 questions that you have so we can get answers 17 back to you on those. So, make notes of 18 anything that you see on there if you have a 19 chance over the next couple of days, or 20 afterward, after the meeting, next week take a 21 look, and if you have any follow up that you 2.2 need let us know and we'll make sure that we 23 get those questions answered, and we'll 24 continue to update that. 25 As far as the FSSAT is concerned, take a

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

2

3

look at it, and I do anticipate that that will be a topic that we address again at our August meeting. We are in the process of going through that, but I think it warrants some further discussion, and we'll talk more about that as we get into August.

7 I mentioned to you at the last meeting that I had sent a letter to FBI Director 8 9 Christopher Wray requesting their documents 10 regarding the complaints they received about 11 Cruz, and they're the subject of a number of 12 inquiries as to why that was not followed up 13 on, and I had mentioned to you at the last 14 meeting I had just sent the letter and had not 15 received a response. I have since in the last 16 couple of weeks had discussion with Director 17 Wray's office. He is personally aware of the 18 request, and of my correspondence. The 19 assistant director with whom I spoke said that 20 they are absolutely committed to cooperating 21 with this commission, they're working on 2.2 providing us with the documents that they can, 23 and I discussed with him having somebody from 24 the FBI potentially testify in September or 25 October, and that seemed to be a time frame

1

2

3

4

5

that they thought that they could make work. 1 2 So, I can share with you that the FBI remains cooperative, they've expressed on 3 behalf of the director himself a desire to be 4 5 transparent, fully cooperate. They do have 6 some internal reviews that are ongoing, and I 7 think they want to try and work through some of that in the next couple of months, but 8 9 hopefully we'll have documents from them as 10 soon as they can provide them, and then we'll 11 hear from somebody from the FBI this Fall. 12 On another topic, the Broward County 13 School Board engaged a consultant to evaluate its dealings with Cruz, and how it handled 14 15 things with Cruz through the entire time that 16 he was enrolled in the Broward County public 17 That report is complete, although it schools. 18 hasn't been released. There's some legal 19 opposition to it by Cruz's defense lawyers, and

21 Nonetheless, last week we served a
22 subpoena on the Broward County School Board for
23 that document, and they will provide it to us,
24 and I hope to be able to share it with the
25 commission once we receive it. But again,

that is being litigated in the courts.

that's another document that is confidential, it is exempt, it can't be disclosed, it can't be shared, but hopefully we'll have that document here soon, and then we'll share it with you after we take a look at it, and either at or before the next meeting I hope to be able to share that with you.

8 We're monitoring the Florida Department of 9 Law Enforcement's executive investigation. You 10 all are aware that the Governor requested FDLE 11 to conduct an investigation into the law 12 enforcement response. We've met with the EI, 13 the executive investigation team, and continue 14 to have dialogue and coordinate with them to 15 try and eliminate redundancies. They are in 16 the process of conducting interviews. We're in 17 the process of conducting interviews. We need 18 to conduct a lot more interviews, but there's 19 no sense in redoing what's already been done, 20 to the extent that we can use anything that 21 they have, or that they can help us to 22 eliminate those that aren't most material and 23 relevant. And the same thing goes on our side. 24 So, we continue to work closely with EI and 25 share to the extent we can, although the scope

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Veritext Legal Solutions

of the executive investigation is much narrower than what we're doing, so we'll continue to coordinate with them.

At the same time, I think most of you are 4 5 aware, the Broward County government has engaged the Police Foundation out of Washington 6 7 DC to conduct a review, and I continue to coordinate with them just to make sure that 8 9 there are no impediments for us with what 10 they're doing so that we can meet our statutory 11 investigative mandate. And again, they are 12 cooperative, and they've been retained to do a 13 certain thing, but I don't see any conflicts, and we continue to share information. 14

So, as we outlined in the last meeting we're going to continue over these next three days focusing on providing a knowledge base for commission members on the investigative topics that we approved at our first meeting in April, and those topics that we are required to investigate by statute.

In our last meeting we heard presentations on several different topics over two very full days. As you recall we weren't able to fit everything in last time, and some of those had

1

2

to be rescheduled for this meeting, and some of those topics were on mental health and gun purchase disqualification law, so you'll hear about that this week. So, during the next three days of meetings you're going to hear seven different presentations from about twenty-three different presenters during about twenty-two hours of testimony.

9 Again, largely but not totally these 10 presentations are to help develop that 11 knowledge base against which we will measure 12 the investigative results, but during this 13 meeting you're going to start to hear some of 14 the investigative results, and I think the 15 shift will occur in August where it's primarily 16 going to be investigative, and we'll just mop 17 up some of the background information. So, 18 this will be the last meeting where it is 19 primarily background information.

I do want to mention a couple of things about the August meeting. I believe that it's essential that we conduct as much of our business in open public meetings as we possibly can. Transparency leads to public confidence, and that our conclusions are fair, and that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

they're objective, and that they're accurate. And simply put the public is entitled to know. However, some of what we consider has to be closed because we're hearing confidential information that is prohibited or exempt from public disclosure by law, and of course we got to follow the law in that respect.

8 I anticipate that a portion of the August 9 meeting will not be public. I also anticipate 10 in August that we'll begin to look at some of 11 the crime scene evidence, and some of that 12 crime scene evidence will likely include some 13 of the video, and there's a lot of it, and that 14 will occur during non-public portions of the 15 meeting. Those presentations will be clearly identified on the agenda, and any commissioner 16 17 who chooses not to view the video is of course 18 excused from attending those portions of the 19 meeting. Some of you may not want to view some 20 of that, it's completely understandable, and 21 we'll note it on the agenda, so you know when 2.2 it will be, and if you choose not to attend that's fine. 23

24We're going to begin our meeting today25with a follow up from a topic that garnered

1

2

3

4

5

6

much discussion last meeting, and that's the PROMISE program, and I hope that we can come to a decision on the PROMISE program's relevance to our investigation. We're going to end on Thursday with a closed session learning the investigative results from Cruz's contact and treatment by, contact with and treatment by Henderson Behavioral Health.

Again, much has been made of Cruz and his 9 10 involvement with Henderson in the media, and 11 we're going to provide facts to the commission, 12 as opposed to what some of you may have been 13 led to believe by what you've heard through 14 word of mouth and/or what has been published in 15 various media accounts. Now, I really wish 16 that the Henderson presentation could be open 17 to the public because it's vital that this 18 community have accurate factual information, 19 however as I just mentioned some of these 20 presentations, and this one included on 21 Thursday, will contain protected health 2.2 information that we are required to keep 23 confidential, so we're going to have to have 24 that meeting in private, because we're going to get into his health records and information 25

Page 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

that we received from Henderson.

Nonetheless, we will be able to release some of the conclusions at the appropriate time that are based on facts we have developed, and I hope that these conclusions by this independent commission will clarify some important aspects of what occurred or did not occur between Cruz and Henderson.

9 So, as we begin the presentations again 10 please remember that this is largely background 11 by subject matter experts. We're generally not 12 going to get into the specifics of what 13 happened at Marjory Stoneman Douglas, or 14 leading up to it, so please keep that in mind 15 with your questions, that some of the 16 presenters today may not be in a position to 17 have knowledge as to what happened at MSD on 18 February 14th, and they're here to educate us 19 on some of the processes or systems in their 20 area of expertise that will help us to evaluate 21 the evidence as we begin to hear about that.

Now, that premise doesn't hold true about the first topic we're going to discuss this morning, and that is the PROMISE program, and it doesn't hold true about which we're going to

talk about on Thursday as far as Henderson is 1 2 concerned, we're going to get into the meat of things, and you're going to hear very specific 3 information. So, we are on a tight schedule, 4 5 and we're going to ask as we did last time, I think it worked out well, if you can during the 6 7 presentations, if you would hold your questions to the end, and some of the questions that you 8 9 have will be answered as the presenters move 10 through their PowerPoints and their 11 presentations, but we'll have plenty of time at 12 the end of all the presentations for you to ask 13 as many questions as you want, and make sure 14 that you're able to get the clarity that you 15 need to be fully informed. So, we're going to begin this morning --16 17 yes, Mr. Schachter? 18 MR. SCHACHTER: I just wanted to -- before 19 we get started today I wanted to thank all the 20 commissioners for being here, taking a lot of time out of their day, and their life, and 21 2.2 being away from their families. And I also 23 wanted to thank the FDLE. I think that you 24 quys are doing a tremendous job, and I just 25 wanted to thank you from the bottom of my

heart. There is nothing that is more important in, in my life, and I hope a lot of, a lot of other people's lives than this investigation. Not only will this affect our lives down here, but the entire world is watching.

So, Alex's birthday was yesterday, and that's what this is all about, is to make sure that all these seventeen beautiful soles did not die in vain. So, thank you for working hard. Thank you, Chairman.

11 Thank you. Anybody have anything CHAIR: 12 else before we get started? Okay. I'm going 13 to ask Dan Gohl, who is the Chief Academic 14 Officer for the Broward County Schools, just to 15 come up to the podium here. I'm going to run 16 through this presentation on the PROMISE 17 program, and I've asked Mr. Gohl to be here to 18 answer any questions that you may have as I run 19 through it, or after I run through it. And as 20 I've said what I hope that we can do this morning as a result of this is to make a 21 2.2 decision about the relevance of the PROMISE 23 program, and whether we need to do anything else with it at all, or whether this is 24 25 something that we can put to rest and move onto

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

other topics.

1

2 So, I'm going to run through this. You 3 have a copy of this PowerPoint, it's in your material. And just to recap, the PROMISE 4 5 program, as you know, is a Broward County 6 public schools alternative to external 7 suspension, or as it's called AES, and a 8 juvenile pre-arrest diversion program. So, the 9 reason why it's an AES and a PAD is, is because 10 some of the conduct that is enumerated in the 11 PROMISE program criteria would result in an 12 external suspension from school, so it's an 13 alternative to the kid being booted out of 14 school for a couple of days and sitting at 15 home.

16 And some of the conduct is not criminal, 17 like one of the offenses, if you will, is 18 harassment, well, that's not a crime, but it is 19 a violation of the student code of conduct, and 20 it could subject the child to an external 21 suspension. So, it's an alternative to 2.2 external suspension, and an alternative to 23 arrest and involvement in the juvenile justice 24 program.

In 2013 Broward County schools established

PROMISE through a collaborative agreement with 1 2 numerous stakeholders, and those stakeholders 3 here in Broward County include the Department of Juvenile Justice, the Broward State 4 5 Attorney, the Public Defender, the Chief 6 Judges, the signer on the agreement, and the 7 law enforcement agencies throughout Broward 8 County, among others. That original agreement 9 was entered on the 5th of November in 2013, and 10 that's an important date, and you'll see why 11 here, because the agreement took effect at the 12 beginning of the school year in 2013.

13 It was at the beginning of that school 14 year, is when Cruz had his involvement with the 15 PROMISE, so it was very early on. It was at 16 the incipient stages of the PROMISE program, so 17 that's an important thing to remember as we go 18 through this. There's also an updated 19 agreement that took effect in October of '16.

So, we're going to focus on the pre-arrest diversion nature of the PROMISE program, not so much concerned about the alternative to external suspension, because the question that we've had, the question before us, the question that has been presented largely in the public,

and in the media, is should Cruz have been 1 2 offered the PROMISE program, and if he was offered it, and if he did complete it, or if he 3 didn't complete it, what bearing did that have 4 5 on his ability either to buy or possess a 6 firearm, or would his non participation in 7 PROMISE have affected, or had a potential to affect the outcome of this in any way. 8 So, 9 that's why we're going to focus this on the 10 pre-arrest diversion aspect of it, and not so 11 much on the alternative to external suspension. 12 So, all pre-arrest diversion programs, I 13 think a general premise, I don't think anybody 14 would disagree with this, the target is 15 generally first time minor non-violent 16 offenders. I don't know if any program around 17 doesn't do that. It gives them an opportunity to remediate their misbehavior without 18 19 establishing a criminal record. Now, some of 20 these things that I'm going to go through, and 21 this is an example of it, the pre-arrest 2.2 diversion program concept, I'm not asking you 23 to agree with this. Many of you have different 24 views, and many people have different views on pre-arrest diversion, and diversion in general. 25

I'm not asking you to agree with it, but I'm just saying, I'm just telling you this is the concept of these programs, and the concept is, is that young people misbehave and commit minor crimes, such as taking a \$50 thing from the mall, or committing a vandalism, or having one joint of marijuana, or whatever it is, and they need consequences for those things, but arresting them, and placing them in the juvenile justice system is unnecessary for those minor first time, maybe second time offenders.

13 And one of the big reasons that supporters 14 of these pre-arrest diversion programs state is 15 because young people do stupid things, they make mistakes. Yes, they're crimes, but 16 they're minor crimes, and sometimes by 17 18 arresting them and putting them in the juvenile 19 justice system it actually makes things worse, 20 and it is a barrier to their future success. 21 Now, again, some of you have different views on 2.2 this. That's fine, everybody is entitled to 23 their opinions. I'm just telling you what the 24 premise is, and the supporters of the concept 25 will tell you are their reasons for supporting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

it.

1

2 So, successful pre-arrest diversion programs generally will limit the number of 3 eligible offenses to minor crimes, and they'll 4 5 limit the number of times that somebody can 6 participate, because there comes a point in 7 time where it's ridiculous, you give somebody, 8 you know, so many bites at the apple, they're 9 not getting the message, it's time for 10 consequences, it's not time give them a break. 11 Now, the Broward County PROMISE program, 12 as you heard last time, allows multiple 13 referrals to the PROMISE program in a school 14 year, and eligibility is reset each school 15 That's very unique, and it's something year. 16 that I think at the end of this presentation we 17 can have more discussion about, but under state 18 law that was in effect prior to July 1 of 2018, 19 the state's civil citation pre-arrest diversion 20 program allowed juveniles to participate in 21 pre-arrest diversion up to three times, so the 2.2 fact that a kid gets three opportunities at 23 pre-arrest diversion, and that the Broward 24 County PROMISE program allowed multiple 25 diversions in a school year, it was absolutely

consistent with state law, because state law said that you could have up to three.

3 Now, the difference is, is that the PROMISE program allowed multiple diversions, 4 5 and it got reset every school year. Now that's 6 something, probably some healthy discussion could be had about, about whether that's a good 7 But as it relates to giving multiple 8 idea. 9 opportunities state law permitted it. As of 10 July 1st, a few days ago, Florida law changed, 11 and Florida law changed to require that in the 12 twenty judicial circuits in the State of 13 Florida that the State Attorney in conjunction 14 with the law enforcement agencies, and the 15 other stakeholders in each circuit, establish a 16 juvenile pre-arrest diversion program.

17 And it's up to the stakeholders in each 18 circuit in the state of Florida to develop and 19 implement criteria for each program, so it will 20 allow individual community tolerances, desires, 21 and what the community thinks is the best practice to occur in different areas, because 2.2 Florida is not a one size fits all, is, is that 23 24 there are different things that are better in one community than in another; so if a 25

1

community thinks, hey, we're going to have a 1 2 pre-arrest diversion program but it's one time 3 and done, and another community thinks, okay, we're going to have a pre-arrest diversions 4 5 program but, as an example, you get five bites 6 at the apple, then if that works in that 7 community, and that's the consensus, and they're all signatories to the agreement, then 8 9 that's the way it will be done in that circuit. 10 So, that's the change that took effect on 11 July 1st. As I said, PROMISE, largely 12 consistent with the law prior to July 1, and 13 going forward I don't know what the criteria 14 will be here in Broward County. I don't know 15 what the criteria will be in the various of the 16 twenty circuits. I'm not aware of a circuit 17 that has yet to develop its criteria, although 18 the law took effect on July 1, so we'll have to 19 see as that plays out. 20 One of the things that I think again at 21 the end of this we can have some discussion 2.2 about, should have some discussion about, is that the PROMISE data and a student's 23 24 participation is not integrated. Remember last

time you heard about DJJ's prevention web?

There's two sides to the house in the 1 2 Department of Juvenile Justice as it relates to 3 data in this respect. There's JJIS, which is the juvenile justice information system, so 4 5 when a kid gets arrested and enters the 6 juvenile justice system the information goes in 7 JJIS, but when there's diversion, and for 8 tracking purposes it goes into prevention web, 9 and prevention web is just for generally 10 statistical tracking purposes, and is not 11 something that creates a criminal record for 12 the kid, and is not something that would be 13 released in a public records request, so it 14 isn't that barrier, that impediment to that one 15 time stealing a necklace at the mall for that 16 thirteen year old girl. But nonetheless, it allows for tracking of 17

18 information, and I suggest to you most 19 importantly is it allows for good decisions to 20 be made by law enforcement officers, and by 21 criminal justice system stakeholders if that 22 kid has subsequent contact, because when you 23 have these pre-arrest diversion programs that 24 operate in silos, is that you can have 25 multiple, multiple bites at the apple, and you

have a kid that falls through the cracks because they really are having some serious problems but nobody is able to connect that. So, as a result in Broward County you could have a kid that participates in the PROMISE program three times in the school year because he stole something, he broke something, and because he got in a fight, then during the summer he goes to the mall and he steals three things, and you could have, potentially you could have six diversions in one year.

12 I think most people would think that's a 13 little ridiculous, and too much, and there's a 14 problem here, and you shouldn't have it, but 15 there's no connecting to the dots because at 16 the mall in the summer, when that officer, or 17 that deputy is going to the mall, and they're 18 encountering that kid, they can't check a 19 system and see, well, that kid just got out of 20 school in May, this is now June, and he got 21 three diversions in the PROMISE program in the 2.2 last three months, and he can say, whoa, we're 23 not going to do this diversion thing, we're 24 going to go ahead and arrest him and put him 25 into the system because he needs it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

So, the same holds true, where if it's August, two days before school starts, and a kid steals something at the mall and he gets diversion, he gets a civil citation, and then a week into school he does something, is the school isn't checking prevention web to see if the kid had any contact during the summer. So, these programs most likely would be better off if they were required to be reported to DJJ and entered into prevention web. But we'll come back to that here in a minute.

12 So, generally speaking recidivist behavior 13 warrants criminal changes. Neither PROMISE nor 14 any pre-arrest diversion program is appropriate 15 for high recidivist offenders, and certainly 16 not for prolific offenders, so to the extent 17 that anybody is under the impression that these 18 programs would allow in any kid that commits 19 anything other than a non-violent misdemeanor 20 first or second, in some cases maybe third time 21 offense, that's not the case.

These kids that are the worst of the worst, these kids that are committing serious crime aren't even eligible and aren't considered, and I know of no evidence, no proof

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

anywhere under any circumstances in this case or anywhere else that any high recidivist prolific offenders are entering into diversion programs. If anybody does, you're welcome to bring it forward, but I don't know of anywhere where that's occurring.

7 So, according to the Broward County public schools during the '16/'17 school year 89.1% of 8 9 the students referred to PROMISE for a first 10 time received no further referrals, so that's a 11 one time and done. You got not 89% of the kids 12 that meet one of those thirteen offenses, 13 they're referred to PROMISE, they probably did 14 three days in Pine Ridge in the alternative 15 program, and they were never referred again so 16 the program worked, and did what it was 17 designed to do, and to keep them from 18 reoffending without establishing a criminal 19 record.

20 So, how's it work? When a kid commits one 21 of those thirteen offenses, there's a referral 22 done, the child is then assigned to Pine Ridge 23 Educational Center for between two and ten 24 days, as determined by the discipline matrix. 25 At Pine Ridge they receive on-site intervention

1

2

3

4

5

services. Once they get done with their between two and ten days of classroom at Pine Ridge, they then go back to their home school, and there's longer term monitoring that takes place once they get back. Some of the programming at Pine Ridge in that classroom in the two to ten days includes coping skills, conflict resolution, and pro social behaviors, depending upon what the kid did and what they need, because there's an assessment. You'll see here in a second there's an assessment done as soon as they get there on the first day.

13 So, I think that there is, when you read 14 the PROMISE agreement, and that collaborative 15 agreement, there is some limits on officer 16 discretion in that agreement, because it says 17 that an officer should not arrest a student for 18 a first offense unless it's one of those 19 thirteen offense, so should not arrest, and 20 before an arrest is made the officer shall 21 follow certain steps to assure the arrest is 2.2 necessary, so officer discretion is limited. 23 Now, is it restricted, is it prohibited, is it 24 abrogated, no, but a plan reading of the 25 agreement, and any officer reading it, or any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Veritext Legal Solutions

agency reading it, and there is some concern that we've heard from agencies down here that officer discretion is limited, and some will say that it's abrogated, I don't think, by reading the agreement I don't think it's abrogated, but there's no doubt that it is limited because it says officers should not arrest for a first offense, and before you can arrest you shall follow certain steps, so there is a limitation on it for those thirteen offenses.

Now, it also goes on to state in the collaborative agreement that nothing in the agreement limits an officer's discretion, yeah, for anything other than the thirteen offenses, but officer discretion is limited for those thirteen, and across the board they're encouraged to consider other alternatives.

19This last bullet on here is I think the20crux of our discussion here this morning, and21what we need to keep in mind, is that there is22not one, not one of those thirteen offenses23that is in that collaborative agreement, and24you got a list of those at the last time, at25the last meeting, and you all had that

Page 33

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

information available to you, you know what those are, they're very minor things, vandalism, theft, fighting, harassment, some of them aren't even crimes, but there's not one of those that if criminally charged would ever under any circumstance whatsoever result in the disqualification from purchasing, owning, or possession a firearm.

9 So, the offense that Cruz was referred to 10 PROMISE for was an offense of vandalism, and 11 under Florida law that's formally known as 12 criminal mischief. Under Florida law, it is a 13 misdemeanor when the damage to the property is 14 under \$1,000. And again, that is one of the offenses that is in the collaborative 15 16 agreement. Under that criteria, if a student 17 commits a vandalism first time the school 18 administrator is not required to consult with 19 law enforcement, they can just refer the kid 20 right to PROMISE. A second, or subsequent 21 vandalism, mandates consultation with law 2.2 enforcement, and then law enforcement would be 23 able to make a decision whether they were going 24 to charge the kid or allow the kid to proceed 25 in PROMISE. So, the only time there is, if you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

will, un-law enforcement involved decision making is for that first-time misdemeanor vandalism. And without law enforcement intervention, a student who commits that vandalism on campus is assigned to PROMISE, and it's a three-day assignment to Pine Ridge.

A student who doesn't complete PROMISE could be arrested and referred to the juvenile justice system, so if the kid's referred and doesn't go, or the kid goes and doesn't stay, or doesn't complete the requirements, then they could be arrested and referred to the state attorney for prosecution.

14 Now, in this case there's no evidence that 15 Cruz was referred to PROMISE more than once, so 16 it just didn't happen, is, is that to the 17 extent that anybody has received any 18 information, or there's information out there 19 in the public realm that Cruz got multiple 20 bites at the apple, or there are multiple 21 referrals to PROMISE, or that somehow PROMISE had some effect on his future, future decisions 22 23 that were made regarding him, is that it was 24 one time. And as you'll see it was one time in 25 2013 when he was at Westglades Middle School.

800-726-7007

1

2

3

4

5

6

Veritext Legal Solutions

305-376-8800

Now, as we said whether it should allow 1 2 multiple referrals, we'll get to that, and 3 there's also evidence that multiple PROMISE referrals has led to unintended negative 4 5 consequences affecting school safety. If 6 anybody has any knowledge, or any information 7 to that affect, bring it forward, but we have uncovered nothing where somebody was 8 9 inappropriately referred to PROMISE, that 10 somebody who didn't meet the criteria, somebody 11 who was prolific offender, somebody who was a 12 recidivist, somebody that was a danger to the 13 community was referred to PROMISE, and somebody 14 who shouldn't have participated did, is that we 15 have uncovered none of that. 16 So, getting into the specifics, PROMISE

began in 2013. On November 25, 2013 Cruz was 17 18 fifteen, and he was an eighth-grade student at 19 Westglades Middle School. Now, he was 20 required, because of some on campus behavioral 21 issues he was required to be escorted by a 2.2 behavioral specialist, and he had gone into the 23 boy's bathroom at Westglades Middle School, and 24 when he was in the boy's bathroom is, is that 25 for some reason, is that he damaged the faucet

to a sink in the bathroom, and somebody reported it to that monitor who was standing in the hallway, and they found that he damaged the faucet in the sink. That's all he did, and it was minor damage. Why he did it, we don't know, but he damaged the faucet.

7 Once it was reported to the behavioral specialist it was reported to an assistant 8 9 principal. The assistant principal followed 10 the established criteria that was in place and 11 had the information entered into a computer 12 system. When you enter the data into a 13 computer system based upon the student's 14 history and other criteria the computer system 15 would tell them whether the student was PROMISE 16 eligible. The computer system showed that he 17 was PROMISE eligible, and at that point it 18 requires consultation with the student's 19 parent, and if they accept the referral to 20 PROMISE then that is in lieu of an external 21 suspension, and it is in lieu of proceeding 2.2 with any criminal charges.

23 So, there was communication with Linda 24 Cruz, Nicholas Cruz's mother, she consented, 25 and he was assigned to the PROMISE program.

1

2

3

4

5

Now, this is around the Thanksgiving holiday in 2013, so this happened on the 25th of November. He was assigned to attend PROMISE on the 26th, and because of the Thanksgiving holiday his second and third day were December 2nd and December 3rd.

7 Because this was the first year, remember PROMISE is brand new, it had just started, the 8 9 database used to track PROMISE participants was 10 not the Broward County public school's 11 attendance system, which they call TERMS, and 12 it wasn't used for PROMISE in 2013. What they 13 were using in 2013 at Pine Ridge was a File 14 Maker Pro database, and this was just a 15 database that was unique to the PROMISE 16 program, and unique to Pine Ridge, so that the 17 database for attendance in 2013 when this first 18 started was not connected to the overall 19 attendance database used by the rest of the 20 school system. So, you had the TERMS database 21 for attendance, and then you had at Pine Ridge, 2.2 when the kids went there they kept the 23 attendance records in a separate database. They were silos, in that one couldn't see 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

25

the other, one didn't talk, so that things that

were in PROMISE weren't viewable to the users 1 2 of TERMS, and vice-versa, you actually had to 3 go into the two separate ones, they didn't interface at all. So, the TERMS system shows 4 5 that Cruz was present at the Westglades Middle 6 School on November 26th. That's his first day 7 when he was assigned to PROMISE. The File 8 Maker Pro system shows that Cruz was present at 9 Pine Ridge in the PROMISE program on the 26th. 10 Well, obviously the kid can't be in two places 11 at once, and the district cannot determine 12 where Cruz was on November 26th.

13 Staff members have no recollection whether 14 he was at Westglades or at Pine Ridge. Now, 15 when a kid is assigned to the PROMISE program 16 it's mandated that they take district 17 transportation, so you can't drive your car, 18 mom and dad can't take you, you can't walk, you 19 have to take district transportation, it's part 20 of the process. District transportation 21 records don't reflect anything as far as them 2.2 transporting Cruz on the 26th. There's just a 23 void there. It shows other kids were 24 transported, but it doesn't show that Cruz was 25 transported. It's not dispositive. We can't

say that he was transported, or he wasn't 1 2 transported. But, on that same day, there are 3 intake documents and an assessment that is done by a staff member at Pine Ridge that's an 4 5 assessment of Cruz. And I'm happy to share 6 that with any of you, I've read it, and the 7 answers to some of the questions in that assessment are consistent with answers that 8 9 Cruz would have given, so it appears that he 10 was either there, and showed up, and the 11 assessment was done, but the person who 12 prepared the documents has no independent 13 recollection of Cruz and has no independent 14 recollection of doing that assessment in 15 November of 2013. They're not saying that they 16 did it, they're not saying that they didn't do 17 it.

18 Now, it shouldn't have been done, but is 19 it possible that that person called and talked 20 to Cruz on the phone on the 25th or on the 26th 21 before him coming? I guess it's possible, but 2.2 nobody knows. So, he's supposed to be there on 23 the 26th. Westglades and Pine Ridge show he 24 was at both places. He couldn't be at both places. Transportation records are not 25

helpful. There is no video. And the person who did the assessment has no recollection, but she clearly asked him questions that he responded to.

5 For December 2nd the records show Cruz is 6 absent at Westglades and at the PROMISE 7 Now, the way that the TERMS system program. 8 works, the TERMS system began as the attendance 9 record system used by the school board, so the 10 attendance records system TERMS used by the 11 school board is recording attendance at 12 Westglades, but that system is what they call a 13 proactive, or an exception based attendance 14 system, so what that means is, is that 15 everybody who assigned, everybody is present 16 unless somebody goes into the system and 17 denotes them as not being present, so it's a 18 default present system.

So, the PROMISE records though are probably, I say probably, more credible in this respect because it's manual, so how it would work is the teacher whose class Cruz was assigned in at Pine Ridge back then in '13 either would manually on a piece of paper, or use some other internal system, keep

1

2

3

attendance, it would be transferred to the office at Pine Ridge, and a clerk in the office at Pine Ridge in File Maker Pro would have denoted him as absent. At Westglades he's present unless somebody went in and said he wasn't there. So, the next question is, is that what does the teacher say whose class Cruz was in. Well, unfortunately he's deceased, we can't ask him, he passed away.

10 So, there's a note though from a counselor 11 at Westglades who was involved in dealing with 12 Cruz, that he was absent because she looked for 13 him on December 2nd. So, what it looks like is 14 that he wasn't in either place on December 2nd, 15 is what it looks like, but we can't tell for 16 sure because the teacher says he wasn't there, 17 somebody went into the system at Westglades in 18 TERMS and affirmatively said he wasn't there, 19 the counselor says he wasn't there, and the 20 records at the PROMISE program somebody denoted 21 him as absent, which would require an 2.2 affirmative action by somebody to do that, so 23 he probably wasn't there.

24This is, and I copied it in here for you,25this is the Pine Ridge attendance records, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

you can see is, is that on the first day it 1 2 shows that he was present, and then absent, and absent, so somebody actually had to -- and 3 Johnny Jones is his teacher, and unfortunately 4 5 Mr. Jones is deceased. So, on the 3rd it shows 6 that Cruz was present at Westglades but absent 7 from the PROMISE program. You know, I can't tell you, and I don't know, and nobody does, it 8 9 does look like he was not at the PROMISE 10 program because somebody affirmatively denoted 11 on that record you have in front of you, but it 12 shows that he was present at Westglades, but 13 again that's a default present system, and best 14 we can tell more than likely he wasn't there on 15 the 3rd and somebody probably just didn't go in 16 and change it from present to absent, because 17 he returned to Westglades on the 4th, and there 18 are records showing he came back on the 4th.

19There are also forms that should have20accompanied him when he came back showing that21he completed the PROMISE program, and there are22no forms showing that he completed the PROMISE23program or its follow up, so the records are24inconsistent. Beginning in 2014 Broward County25schools began capturing the PROMISE attendance

in TERMS so the likelihood of this inconsistency happening again is slim and none. It happened because of two different databases being used. That was a contributing factor during the startup of the PROMISE program.

6 So, consider all of that against this, is 7 that under Florida law criminal mischief is a misdemeanor, can be up to one-year 8 9 incarceration. Actual penalties are not 10 applied according to statutory maximums. Ιf 11 Cruz in reality had been arrested initially, so 12 when he came out of that bathroom after 13 damaging the faucet if he hadn't been referred 14 to that PROMISE program at all he likely would 15 have been, if he had been arrested he likely 16 would have been referred to a DJJ diversion 17 program for first time misdemeanor offenders, 18 and if he had not been referred to any 19 diversion program and the system had dealt with 20 him reality is the most he would have received is community service, or some comparable 21 22 sanction for first time misdemeanor offenders. If he had been arrested and taken to the 23 24 juvenile booking facility, it's called the 25 juvenile assessment center, and my

1

2

3

4

5

Page 44

understanding down here in Broward County is, is that because the JAC center is so far away from Parkland is, is that very few kids are even taken there. They're usually just, even if they are arrested and there's a referral done it's usually a release to the parents at the street level anyway. And if he had been taken to the JAC center he absolutely would have been just released to his mother, because under the law, and under the DRE, is that he would not have scored detention. He would not have been permitted to be kept in custody, he would have been immediately released.

14 So, if the case had been prosecuted by the 15 State Attorney's Office, either because Cruz 16 was arrested initially or because he failed to 17 complete the PROMISE program is, is that it 18 would have had no legal relevance on any 19 subsequent contact law enforcement had with 20 Cruz, and it would never ever have affected 21 Cruz's legal right to buy, own, or possess a 2.2 firearm. So, to the extent that his purchase 23 of any one of the numerous firearms that he 24 bought after he turned eighteen in September of 25 2016, if there's any question about whether

800-726-7007

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Veritext Legal Solutions

this had any impact on that, it absolutely did not, and could not have.

So, that's what I can tell you factually we know about the PROMISE program, and about Cruz's involvement in the PROMISE program. So, any questions anybody has of me or of Mr. Gohl, who's here from the school district, we're happy to answer those questions. And then I'm going to suggest to you that this is something that we can make some recommendations on, but overall that this is something we put to rest and we move on from. Sheriff Judd.

Thank you, Sheriff. 13 SHER. JUDD: I think 14 you did an admirable job, and I completely 15 agree with you. This is a philosophical issue 16 because the evidence, the clear evidence you've 17 presented to us today we, we understand what 18 the issues are. They have created a PROMISE 19 program that gives student bite after bite 20 after bite of the apple. In fact, they can eat 21 the whole apple three or four times. That 2.2 doesn't even click the criminal justice 23 diversion programs that are in place in most 24 places, then they get some more apples to eat, 25 not just bites at the apple.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

I think as long as the community 1 2 understands that you're not holding kids 3 accountable for criminal conduct, that's their business, but clearly there was a system in 4 5 place, it's called the criminal justice system. There is a statewide database where if we would 6 put, we set, we set aside another database 7 8 system, which is another philosophical thing, 9 but if we put everybody in the, quote/unquote, 10 criminal justice system, and that way we could 11 query that database all across the state, and 12 then when these kids turn eighteen and you see 13 their minor transgressions simply have a law 14 that blankets, it wipes everything out. Thev 15 chose not to do that.

16 For the purposes of this commission I 17 believe that we need to move forward, because 18 quite frankly I don't think this event in and 19 of itself, breaking a handle off of a faucet, 20 had anything at all to do with the mass 21 shooting later on. The issue of the PROMISE 2.2 program is a local issue. I think it's a train 23 I think it creates, and harbors, and wreck. 24 encourages, or at least, not encourages, 25 scratch that, enables kids to go on with

inappropriate criminal conduct when it's not married or integrated with the other systems. But I think you've captured it all.

I completely agree that is an issue that 4 5 the Broward community needs to settle, about 6 how many bites at the apple, or how many apples 7 they want to give them to eat, because clearly the way the system is created it blocks the 8 9 child, and then it wipes it all out and starts 10 And I guess my only question is when it over. 11 wipes the issues out and you start a new year 12 do you still have a record of the previous 13 year's activity. But once again that's still 14 another issue. I think we've got too much to 15 deal with, we just accept that this needs a lot 16 of oversight, but that's oversight that needs 17 to be happening with communities or committees 18 in Broward County.

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter.

20 MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you, Chairman. You 21 know in the last hearing we heard testimony 22 that, as Sheriff Judd just mentioned, they can 23 have four, five, six offenses without an arrest 24 because the testimony was they were referred to 25 the District Attorney and the District Attorney

1

2

3

never arrested them, so obviously that's a concern. And then I have seen reports in the media that there thirty and forty interactions over, you know, a year's period of time, and mentioned that he was only referred to PROMISE one time. Can you explain those disparities?

7 CHAIR: Yeah, see, I think you're mixing up a few things here. First is that we're 8 9 going to get into this, is, is that under the 10 discipline matrix for Broward County schools, 11 and Mr. Gohl can touch on this, under the 12 discipline matrix is that, when you read the 13 matrix it does allow, and I think it takes it 14 up to, and without pulling it out here off the 15 top of my head, I think it does allow up to 16 four or five different times that there can be 17 referrals, but I think what was testified to 18 last time, I think that there's a limit though 19 at three or four someplace in there, but that 20 is referrals to the PROMISE program, and so 21 there is no, no evidence whatsoever that Cruz 2.2 engaged in any conduct after 2013 that was 23 PROMISE eligible conduct, that he committed any crimes. 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

There were, and you'll hear about this,

there were behavioral issues, but there's a difference between behavioral issues and criminal issues, or criminal conduct, and that he was never referred, and there was never any consideration of anything that could have gone to the State Attorney's Office, or could have gone to the juvenile justice system, or anything for which he could have been arrested or prosecuted.

Now, getting into the, the question you have as far as Cruz's other contact with the criminal justice system, with the law enforcement, you mentioned thirty or forty contacts, we're going to get into those, but I can tell you that a whole bunch of those were family trouble type incidents.

17MR. SCHACHTER: I saw thirty to forty18inside, inside the school.

19 CHAIR: No, inside the school.

20MR. SCHACHTER: Different than law21enforcement.

22 CHAIR: There are -- there's definitely 23 behavioral issues, behavioral issues inside the 24 school, and we're going to get into all that. 25 That's part of, remember I told you the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

chronology that is in the process of being prepared? Right now, it's up to about seventyfive pages that lays everything out from the school records, so you're going to get to see all of that, but none of that is PROMISE.

Mr. Gohl, is there anything you want to add on that?

MR. GOHL: Good morning, Chair. 8 Good 9 morning, commission members. Thank you for 10 your comments and your question. The Sheriff 11 has laid out very clearly that there are 12 records of behavioral incidents, including 13 other disciplinary actions. There is only one 14 PROMISE eligible, and that is the incident that 15 you have been discussing here today. What you 16 will find in the record, and we have conveyed 17 all the behavioral and disciplinary records to 18 the commission investigators, and, yes, we do 19 keep records of every previous incident so that 20 they will be part of the chronology which the 21 commission has established.

22Our independent commission, which is being23withheld because of the legal issues the24Sheriff referenced earlier also reviews many of25those issues. When one counts, and I've

2

3

4

5

6

7

without violating the FERPA constraints that are preventing us from releasing our external investigation, I will give some examples. If you swear that is a behavioral issue that can become a disciplinary issue, if you swear at a teacher or another community member. That is not a PROMISE eligible offense, and you will find in the record of this individual numerous behavioral incidents across the course of his navigating the Broward County public schools.

11 Those do not rise to a level of PROMISE 12 eligible. There are some of those behavioral incidents which imposed an alternative to 13 14 external suspension, or AES system. They are 15 non-criminal in nature, so at no point within a 16 school year did the AES assignments result in an AES of ten days or more, which would have 17 18 necessitated a manifestation hearing given the 19 individuals exceptional student education 20 status.

21 So, we have a number of bureaucratic 22 constraints that show whatever behaviors were, 23 that is also his diagnosis, so oppositional 24 behavior had to be determined, was it 25 associated with his behavioral determination,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

or was that not related, and therefore eligible for standard punishment. So, we have behaviors, we have discipline, and then we have consequences. You will see the record of how those were implemented across his enrollment.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley.

7 SHER. ASHLEY: You mentioned FERPA, but I 8 thought that was just protection of education 9 documents, not behavioral issues. Can you 10 distinguish between the two, or is there a 11 distinction between those two, behavioral 12 issues and educational documents, grades, that 13 sort of thing?

14 MR. GOHL: So, within the confines of the 15 school year, within the confines of the school 16 day we are in local parentis, and therefore 17 anything that affects the placement of the 18 student for his educational services, which we 19 are required to do, is encompassed. So, his 20 medical visits to the nurse, his behavioral 21 consequences through discipline, his academic 2.2 records, are all part of his student record, 23 and FERPA is written very large, largely in 24 order to protect the privacy of individual 25 students and enable the parents to have control

800-726-7007

1

2

3

4

5

of that information, so all of these discipline 1 2 records do fall under that. SHER. ASHLEY: Is that an interpretation, 3 4 or is that what the law says? 5 It's been well litigated. MR. GOHL: 6 SHER. ASHLEY: Again, if we're -- if this 7 body is going to make recommendations I think FERPA has to be looked at in regards to 8 9 everybody else's right to be safe at school, 10 whether somebody's got tuberculosis, or whether 11 somebody's got behavioral issues, psychosis, 12 schizophrenic, whether they're on psychotropic, 13 all those things, I think we've set up all 14 these privacy barriers that put the rest of us, 15 or the rest of students possibly in danger without even knowing it. So, again for this 16 17 body's sake I think that we need to examine 18 that further. 19 CHAIR: So, Sheriff Ashley, we had 20 originally, because we're kind of a little bit 21 behind, and that's why we added the third day 2.2 this time accordingly, is that we had 23 originally planned to have a presentation 24 during this three- day meeting on FERPA, HIPAA,

and all the privacy laws, and we had to push

25

Page 54

that, so we're going to have that in August. That will be an important part of the August meeting, so I encourage you when we have that presentation to ask all the probative questions, and then we can make decisions about from there if we want to recommend changes, but we're going to have a whole section on FERPA next meeting.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Commissioner.

MS. LARKIN SKINNER: I think perhaps the relevance here maybe with talking about Cruz is that this was a missed opportunity in his life to encourage behavioral change if we assume based on what you presented that he didn't participate. I just kind of wanted to put that out there.

17 CHAIR: Yeah, you know, and I think you'll 18 -- and you'll form your own conclusions in your 19 opinion after you see more of what was going on 20 around that time. There was a lot of stuff 21 going on with him, and there's a lot of 2.2 contacts, and a lot of behavioral issues. 23 Unfortunately, I think when you look at it, the 24 School Board had a tough situation in trying to differentiate between what was related to his 25

status and acting out or was it some higher level. And there's a lot of contact that they had with him, but, you know, whether this one time at PROMISE if he had for three days, and whether Mr. Jones at that time would have had -- who knows, right? So, but you'll get to see a clearer picture of it as we move this forward. Other guestions?

9 MR. BARTLETT: Mr. Chairman, will it be 10 reflected then on the timeline, the behavioral 11 issues, so when we get the ultimate timeline be 12 able to see what he had with regard to the 13 PROMISE program, but then also how it 14 interacted with the behavioral issues?

15 CHAIR: Yes. So, what we're going to -we're starting on that timeline into that 16 17 chronology, is from birth, and at this time and 18 the records we have begin when he attended 19 preschool, and I can tell you that there are in 20 those records, there are indicators of behavioral issues in preschool, where he wasn't 21 2.2 getting along with kids, where he was fighting, 23 where there were anger issues all the way back 24 in preschool, and so we are going to make sure 25 that we are painstakingly detailed, that every

Page 56

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

single contact, whatever it was is in that chronology, and you'll be able to see exactly what was happening.

There were other things that were 4 5 happening around the time that he was referred 6 to the PROMISE program, and one of the things I 7 can tell you that happened after he came back on the 4th, so he came back on December 4th of 8 9 2013, is, is that when he came back, is that 10 there was an interim IEP meeting and then he 11 was, a couple of months later he was moved out 12 of Westglades and he was moved to an 13 alternative school. So, there's all kinds of 14 things that are flip flopping back and forth 15 during this time period.

Is there anything, I don't want to put you 16 17 on the spot, but is there anything that you 18 want, you know, as the Chief Assistant State 19 Attorney, the legal system and all maybe, is 20 there anything that you want to talk about, as 21 far as do you see anything here based upon what 2.2 you've heard that is different than what we've 23 presented, as far as would anything here have 24 had to be buried in the system on Cruz's, a 25 subsequent contact, conduct, contact, or his

1

2

ability to buy, possess, or own a firearm? 1 2 MR. GOHL: No, I don't see anything, Mr. 3 Chairman. I mean it appears that, you know, each, each geographical area kind of handles 4 5 their diversion programs, or juvenile referrals, or things of that nature 6 7 differently, but they are consistent in the manner that they make every attempt they can to 8 9 prevent them from becoming part of the system, 10 and they take many, many opportunities to do 11 that, and more so now based on even recent 12 legislation that's been enacted, so I don't see 13 how from our perspective anybody did anything 14 inappropriate that potentially caused him to be 15 able to buy that firearm that would not have 16 already occurred anyway under normal system 17 circumstances. 18 CHAIR: Senator Book. 19 Thank you, Mr. Chair. SEN. BOOK: I think 20 that one of the things that you had said in the 21 outline, and perhaps we'll go through it when 2.2 we delve into this individual's history a 23 little bit more, that a behavioral specialist escorted him to the bathroom. 24 25 CHAIR: Right.

SEN. BOOK: So, clearly there was, and you said just now pre-kindergarten, a pattern of behaviors that seemed to have been escalating. And I know Commission Petty several times, and we all have talked about monitoring patterns of escalating behavior, and so perhaps your, your suggestion that this PROMISE program had no bearing on the, on his ability to purchase a firearm, but I think that we would be remiss in not making recommendations on PROMISE, but also behavior matrix, and how entities should be monitoring some of those, and if there are enough flags somebody else is picking up on it, because it is alarming.

15 CHAIR: Yeah, I agree with you. And one 16 of the things, again, is that, you'll form your 17 own opinions when you see a lot of this, the 18 way I would describe some of this early on with 19 him, I'm trying to figure out the best way to 20 characterize it, but I'll do it this way. It's 21 death by a thousand cuts, is, is that it's a 2.2 lot of stuff, and it's a lot of little stuff, 23 and when it's viewed in the aggregate it 24 probably is more than a lot of little stuff, but when it's a lot of little stuff at the 25

Page 59

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

time, there weren't the policies, protocols, 1 2 laws, tools, resources that would have allowed anybody to do anything different than what they 3 did at the time with what they had, and so that 4 5 will raise a question probably about whether 6 there should be somebody, or some entity is in 7 a position to take more of a global 360 view when there is a lot of these types of generally 8 9 minor things, that they really do need to be 10 viewed in the aggregate. And I think -- is 11 that the sum of what you're talking about? 12 SEN. BOOK: Yes, Mr. Chair, I think that 13 you're right. If, you know, we look at 14 somebody doesn't get along, they don't share 15 well in kindergarten, okay, but if we continue to track and monitor that behavior through time 16 17 at the level, and we give proper tools to 18 individuals, and we're able to track it, and track that data, track those behaviors, I think 19 that's an important piece where, you know, 20 21 we're talking about missed opportunities for 2.2 intervention and, you know, prevention, and 23 that way you're being more proactive. 24 CHAIR: Yeah. And you'll hear on 25 Thursday, you know, is that there are a whole,

Veritext Legal Solutions

whole lot of people that tried very hard to have an impact on Cruz, and to change him, and change his behavior. You'll hear on Thursday, and I believe the number is somewhere around a, you know, short period of time, that Henderson Behavioral Health had about a hundred and forty contacts with him. They were at his house multiple times a week for two and plus hours at a time.

10 There were school counselors that were 11 spending hours with him, so there's a whole 12 bunch of effort being made, but it just didn't 13 get it there. So, this is not a situation 14 where there wasn't Yeoman's work being done by 15 a whole bunch of people to try and get this guy off the path that he was on, but it just wasn't 16 17 being effective.

18 And some of it, I'm just going to say it, 19 some of it, you'll see this, some of it was his 20 mother. His mother was an enabler, and his 21 mother contributed to this significantly, to 2.2 the point where at one time when they said that 23 he wanted to buy a gun and the counselors said that, from the school, said he shouldn't have a 24 25 gun, his mother said I don't care, if he wants

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

a gun he can have a gun. So, you know, that 1 2 all comes into play in this. SEN. BOOK: And then my follow up to that 3 is something we talked about last time, when we 4 5 need to give other entities resources, and at 6 what point does the inaction become neglect, 7 abuse, and, you know, it's enabling, but it's also where do we draw that line. 8 9 CHAIR: Right. Secretary Daly. 10 SEC. DALY: Thank you, Chair. And I think 11 my question is probably for the school 12 district. When you all track kids that come 13 into PROMISE there are some offenses that are, 14 you know, criminal in nature, and some that are 15 against the code of conduct, do you track those 16 kids differently in your system? MR. GOHL: So, in 2013 they were just 17 18 PROMISE eligible at that time, so subsequently 19 we have been able to mature the system to be 20 able to do so today, but not at that time. 21 SEC. DALY: Okay, thank you. 2.2 CHAIR: Mr. Schachter. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: So, you maintained he was 24 only referred to PROMISE once, but there's all 25 these different disciplinary actions. What do,

Page 62

does the school district offer, or do we offer 1 2 for children that don't, it's not elevated to that, you know, threshold, but still there's a 3 lot of problems? 4 5 CHAIR: Mr. Gohl, do you want to answer 6 it? 7 MR. GOHL: So, the discipline matrix was 8 reviewed by Ms. Pope at our last presentation, 9 and I begin by referring there. One of the --10 let me begin in the abstract and then get to 11 the particular. In the abstract we try and 12 make sure that we intervene with consequences 13 for any misbehavior at any time, and the 14 consequences are attenuated to the circumstances and the incident which occurred. 15 16 Repeat incidents escalate the consequences, and 17 can result in removal from the education, 18 including external suspension. 19 We have quidelines for every administrator 20 to follow, they are trained against those 21 quidelines. There is discretion, as Ms. Pope 2.2 described last time, given that they can go up 23 or down one level of the discipline matrix for 24 the consequence. They still must do something. 25 They cannot give a pass. That is not what an

administrator has the right to do, but they can modify by one level on the discipline matrix.

In the particular case we have a situation where the discipline may or may not have been associated with the condition that resulted in an ESE assignment, and so when it is a behavioral issue, and he did have a behavioral therapist assigned to him at times, including in the eighth grade at Westglades, he then would have had immediate support to either regulate his behavior or remove him from the situation.

13 If a discipline, if a behavior resulted in 14 a discipline referral then he went into that, 15 unless the AES was for more than ten days. 16 Every student gets a consequence. There is no 17 opting out of it, even with an ESE status. The 18 consequence can be changed, or attenuated based 19 on that ESE status, because what we need to 20 address are two things. One, restorative 21 justice, and two, getting to the root cause, be 2.2 that a disability or an oppositional behavior, which must have behavioral consequences. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah, I mean obviously I'm 24

trying to get at this kid had a lot of

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

problems, and you weren't helping him, you 1 2 know, or the system that we have did not help 3 him. He got progressively more violent, and because he didn't hit that threshold, I don't 4 5 know, the system just failed him, you know, I don't know what the answer is. 6 7 CHAIR: All right, Commissioner 8 Swearingen. 9 COMM. SWEARINGEN: When you say every kid 10 gets a consequence, I think this case 11 illustrates they don't. This kid was referred, 12 he didn't even attend, so what was the consequence he received? 13 14 MR. GOHL: So, the consequence for this 15 student was played out over time. One, it was 16 initially a referral to PROMISE. The non-17 attendance in PROMISE resulted in his return to 18 Westglades, and as the Sheriff just alluded to 19 there was a subsequent process outside of 20 PROMISE, which is why it has not been the focus 21 of the Sheriff's presentation today, which 2.2 resulted in a placement change, because the 23 behavior was viewed as enough of a 24 manifestation of his underlying issues that the 25 placement at Westglades was no longer the

appropriate placement for him as a student, and therefore he changed schools as a result of this.

So, is this an anomaly 4 COMM. SWEARINGEN: 5 with this kid, where he was allowed not to 6 complete the program and yet just come back to 7 school? Does that happen often? I mean what 8 are the percentages here? How many kids are 9 assigned, and I agree, Sheriff, I don't think 10 PROMISE had anything to do with this particular 11 incident, but as a program overall if it's not, 12 if you're not following through, and these kids 13 are not being provided with consequences for 14 not even their -- this is a privilege, their 15 being allowed to do this rather than face 16 criminal prosecution of expunction to begin 17 with, so they're given a bite at an apple. Ιf 18 they don't take that bite and yet there's still 19 no follow up or no consequence what lesson are 20 we really teaching them? So, is there, is 21 there a measurement on how many kids are put 2.2 into this PROMISE program that don't even 23 bother to complete the two days, or the three 24 days, or whatever, and then what is the general 25 follow up to that if they don't?

1

2

3

Veritext Legal Solutions

MR. GOHL: I would ask you to give me just a moment to respond to your very serious concern. Here in 2018, we are looking back at something that was present in 2013 in its infant stage. During the summer of 2014 the TERMS program was rewritten to prevent the need, or to exclude the need for a separate database. They system, the comprehensive system was matured, so that what occurred here in their being a gap in records would no longer be able to occur.

12 So, now we need to say, to narrow the 13 scope of your question, how often did this 14 occur during the 2013/'14 school year, right? 15 We are doing sample-based analysis. We find 16 that for most of the cases, no a hundred 17 percent, this case being one of them, there are 18 mixed attendance records. Again, Broward 19 County, most schools in Florida, and most 20 schools in the country, have a default present 21 attendance system, right, so we do find by 2.2 comparing attendance records that there are 23 places where a student was recorded as present 24 at both PROMISE and their home school, and we have records of students who served 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Page 67

consequences for not showing up in PROMISE, so not all students who missed PROMISE were given a pass.

What we don't know because of the absence of Mr. Jones and Mr. Nicholas Cruz's mother is what if any conversation occurred upon the completion of the intake form, right, he was there in some form on the 26th, what was done, because they did agree to an inner MIAT meeting leading to a change in placement immediately after the Thanksgiving holiday. I wish I knew, but I do not.

13 COMM. SWEARINGEN: So, you're saying that 14 you can, you can move it up one level or down a 15 level is admin realized that this guy, this 16 kid, this monster was still having these 17 disciplinary problems. Could he have moved it 18 up one level, would that have triggered him to qo into PROMISE? 19 20 CHAIR: What do you mean by up one level? 21 COMM. SWEARINGEN: You were saying that --

2.2 MR. GOHL: On the disciplinary matrix.

COMM. SWEARINGEN: Yeah. 24 MR. GOHL: So, this infraction, and the 25 one that was one level above it, it was the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

consequence, not the incident, so the incident was damaging the sink --

COMM. SWEARINGEN: I'm just saying all the other things, but that, that up one level, down level, that would not trigger PROMISE, right, that's different?

MR. GOHL: Correct.

8 COMM. SWEARINGEN: Oh, and also there were 9 two incidents of vandalism. Why were there 10 only one report, one processed in his record at 11 Westglades?

12 MR. GOHL: So, I don't want to get into 13 his entire disciplinary history, as we have 14 turned that over, and the investigators will get into it, but there are different levels of 15 16 vandalism which go into it, and what we have 17 here is a less than \$1,000. You can have 18 vandalism that has no physical damage, and you will have all of those records. All those 19 20 records have been turned over. 21 COMM. SWEARINGEN: There was a second 2.2 incident of vandalism, correct? 23 MR. GOHL: In his record in a previous

24 year I believe there was, but that again --25 COMM. SWEARINGEN: Do we know why that was

1

2

3

4

5

6

not referred, or --1 2 MR. GOHL: I am --3 CHAIR: Remember PROMISE didn't start until '13. PROMISE wasn't in existence then. 4 5 COMM. SWEARINGEN: Got it. 6 CHAIR: That's why, because there was no 7 PROMISE program. PROMISE -- PROMISE started, and the dates on the collaborative agreement, 8 9 the date that it's signed, and they're signed, 10 because there's a bunch of signatories to it, 11 it shows November 5th. It actually took effect 12 when school came back in August, and everybody 13 didn't sign it until various times, so the date 14 is the 5th, which is only a few weeks before 15 this incident on the 25th. It actually took 16 effect in August when that school year started, 17 so anything prior to that, and there are some 18 things prior to that, but they have no bearing 19 on his referral because there was nothing to 20 refer him to. You'd have to use the old 21 discipline matrix in order to find out whether 2.2 what they did was consistent or inconsistent with the matrix of that time. 23 24 COMM. SWEARINGEN: Thank you. CHAIR: Sheriff Judd. 25

SHER. JUDD: Based upon your presentation 1 2 and our understanding wouldn't it be better for this commission to make a statement that 3 PROMISE needs to have an outside intervention 4 5 and investigation, and push that away from our 6 operation here, because once again there's no 7 way independently that tearing off the handle of a faucet leads to a mass shooter? And I can 8 9 tell you because we are the safe school 10 organizer for our county with over a hundred 11 thousand kids, kids are doing silly stuff every 12 day, and it's that death by a thousand cuts you 13 talked about. This little incident does not 14 tweak anybody's memory, so who is surprised 15 that no one can remember four and half years 16 ago.

17 The key to this is to push this PROMISE 18 thing off, let, in my estimation, one 19 commissioner's, and say our recommendation is 20 that there is a thorough investigation, and 21 either a revamp of or a doing away with the 2.2 PROMISE in the form as we understand it, and go 23 on to our core mission, because I think, 24 Commissioner, you'll see there's a manifestation over time of, of this conduct. 25

And I say that without ever seeing the reports. 1 2 I just know when we have these problem kids 3 that they're evident all through their life, and finally they commit enough serious crime 4 5 that it tweaks the system and they end up, they 6 end up later on in prison for it. 7 CHAIR: Secretary -- Secretary Carroll, go ahead. 8 9 MR. SCHACHTER: Those --10 Hang on, Mr. Schachter, one CHAIR: 11 Let Secretary Carroll go ahead. second. 12 SEC. CARROLL: Thank you, Chairman. Ι 13 just want to weigh in really quickly, because I 14 agree with what Sheriff Judd is saying. Ι 15 believe we need to move on from this issue. Ι 16 think the PROMISE program I think inherently is 17 a good thing. I do think there needs to be 18 improvements made in it, and I think you folks 19 recognized it yourself when you presented. Ι 20 think you've made improvements to it, both in 21 2014, 2016, and you probably should go back and 2.2 continue to look at it. 23 But the data that the Sheriff presented, 24 nine of ten kids get one referral and they're 25 done. I don't want every child who breaks off

a handle in the water faucet charged and have a 1 criminal mischief change follow them for the rest of their life. If you have nine in ten where it's a one and done thing I think that's 4 inherently a good thing. I am happy to hear, because I was concerned about this, what the Sheriff also said, there's no indication and no evidence that they could uncover that this program is being used inappropriately for high recidivism folks, where folks are being 11 inappropriate referred there, or you have this 12 ongoing recidivism.

13 I think with this child, and I think what 14 we're talking about now is everything is 15 getting kind of mixed up, because this child 16 had behavioral issues which went down a 17 disciplinary track, and he had a lot of 18 services in place that they were dealing with 19 We had the one connection to the PROMISE that. 20 program where he potentially could have been 21 arrested. We had outside contact with law 2.2 enforcement, we'll hear about later, where he 23 had family type issues at home. Again, if you 24 looked at them in isolation one by one not 25 anyone of them was a big thing. The question I

800-726-7007

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

think becomes what we're going to hear about later, is having a sophisticated enough threat assessment that somebody can sit down and put all these things together and decide that based on the unique circumstances of that child that threshold has reached a point where we have to go to the next place to intervene.

8 What I don't want to do is make policy around all these little things that added up, 9 10 because we'll be putting all kinds of things on 11 kids that we've tried to move away from, which 12 I think is a good thing. What we're missing 13 the boat on I think is connecting the dots of 14 all that information that was available, who 15 has the dot on that, to connect all those dots 16 and make an assessment and say, hey, all these 17 little things have now reached a point where 18 it's unsafe and we need to go to the next 19 level. And I don't think the PROMISE program 20 was ever that point that did it, I think it's 21 something we'll hear about later.

And so, while I agree there needs to be a look at the PROMISE program, a continued look for continued improvement, I don't want to see programs like that dismantled because I think

1

2

3

4

5

6

for the most part they work. Anyway, thank you.

Yeah, so -- and I agree with you. 3 CHAIR: I think when in, I hope which will be the end 4 5 forever of our discussion about the PROMISE 6 program but allotted this amount of time 7 because I knew of the discussion last time, and the importance to everybody with it, and I want 8 9 to make sure that everybody has an opportunity 10 to get everything out that they want about it 11 so that we can hopefully put it to rest. So, 12 that's why we're kind of beating a little bit 13 of a dead horse here, but I want to make sure 14 that everybody does have that opportunity to 15 get every answer about PROMISE. But to your 16 point, we should keep it focused on PROMISE 17 because that's what we're talking about right 18 now.

19 We will hear this week, we're going to 20 hear a lot about threat assessment, and 21 hopefully bring what you just commented on to 2.2 the forefront, because that is extremely 23 important as to where we're going forward. All 24 right, does anybody have any other question? Ι 25 do -- what I'm going to -- I'll take any other

1

questions you have on this, you know, I want to make sure you have full opportunity to be heard on it. I have -- my suggestion is, and I'm going to throw out three or four things to you that I'm going to suggest should be part of our report.

7 We're already beginning, and Heather Pence who is over here from FDLE is going to help, is 8 going to be the drafter of the report, at least 9 10 the initial drafter, and we're going to spend 11 some time this week talking about it a little 12 bit because we need to get it going. But what 13 I'd like to do is as it relates to the PROMISE 14 program, is to include in the report what we've 15 talked about, what it is, what our findings 16 are, and I'm going to suggest to you that we 17 could make a few recommendations, and specific 18 recommendations.

19And let me just provide you what I think20could be three or four recommendations from us.21If we concur then we can have Heather include22it. You will also have time when the report23drafts come out to have input on the report24itself, and to concur or object to what's in25there. But here's -- here's a few things that

1

2

3

4

5

I think that would be probative and beneficial for us to make recommendations on.

First is that all juvenile pre-arrest 3 diversion programs in the State of Florida must 4 5 be part of the established criteria set by the 6 State Attorney in conjunction with the 7 stakeholders that's required under SB1392, including school-based pre-arrest diversion 8 9 programs. So, in other words as of July 1st 10 it's required in each circuit that the State 11 Attorney lead, not decide but lead a 12 collaborative effort by law enforcement 13 stakeholders to establish the criteria in that 14 circuit for pre-arrest diversion programs, and that should include all school district 15 16 school-based pre-arrest diversion programs, so 17 you don't have the schools having separate 18 pre-arrest diversion programs and criteria than 19 what law enforcement agencies are using in the 20 street.

So, that would be one recommendation, that that'd be required, that school districts can't have standalone programs, they have to be part of what SB1392 requires, and that is a collaborative agreement, setting the

1

parameters, setting the protocol, setting the 1 2 policies, and that way the police chiefs, the sheriff, the state attorney, the school board, 3 the superintendent, anybody and everybody 4 5 that's got a say in it can have a say in what 6 those policies are, but that way it's fair, 7 it's consistent throughout the entire circuit. That would be number one. 8

9 SHER. JUDD: Let me interrupt. Do you 10 want to present them as individuals, and us 11 vote them up, up and down, or as --

12 CHAIR: Sure, can do that. So, we can do 13 that, and that way we just take them one at a 14 time. Does anybody have any, any comments or 15 thoughts on that? Yes, Senator Book?

16 SEN. BOOK: I just want to make sure that 17 I'm clear. When we have that stand-alone 18 system, when you said one, one system, that on 19 the street there is no siloed communication, so 20 there is everybody knows all the time what happens in the summer, what happens during the 21 2.2 school year, what happens on winter break, it's 23 there.

24CHAIR: Right. So -- so let's just say --25what this is going to is more as to the

criteria. So, let's just say that, let's just 1 2 use Broward County as an example. Broward County is one judicial circuit. Of course, you 3 have other circuits that have multiple 4 5 counties, so Broward is a little unique, but 6 you have a circuit, and in that circuit, they 7 say that in order to be eligible for pre-arrest diversion as a juvenile it can only be these 8 9 offenses, you can only participate a maximum of 10 two times in your lifetime -- I'm not saying 11 that this is, I'm just giving an example. Two 12 times in your lifetime, and there has to be a 13 minimum of six months in between the events, because a kid shouldn't be able to steal 14 15 something from the mall yesterday and then get 16 another diversion tomorrow, okay, something is 17 wrong. So, let's just say that's the criteria. 18 But what you've got now is, with the 19 school board, they're setting their own criteria, and that you could have a kid that 20 21 gets three pre- arrest diversions through

PROMISE, which is more than the two in the program, and for offenses that the kid isn't getting diversion for on the street, and so there should be consistency, and whatever the

protocols are, whatever the criteria is, is 1 2 part of the agreement that is required by SB139, that's required to be set up by the 3 state attorney, is that whatever those 4 5 community based pre-arrest diversion program 6 criteria are the school board is required to be 7 a signer on that, and they are required to set 8 up their program that's consistent with the 9 community based program.

10 SHER. JUDD: So, we -- as I understand it, 11 the state attorney being the leader of it, so 12 whether you reverse, you're referred through 13 PROMISE, or whatever other diversion programs, 14 it comes into a common database.

CHAIR: That's going to be another recommendation, yeah. I'm going -- I'm going to get to that, but this is just the, this one was just dealing with the criteria, but yes.

19SHER. JUDD: Okay, and so -- so I agree20with that, that there's one system for each21judicial circuit.

22 CHAIR: Right. And whatever that system 23 is, that nobody can set up a pre-arrest 24 diversion program, where it be the school board 25 or anybody else, that is independent from the

15

16

17

system that's set up by the state attorney 1 2 consistent with SB1392. And this is something 3 that may need legislation in order to make sure we have consistency, so you don't have somebody 4 5 saying in whatever county is, is that -- let's 6 say it's a county, and there's five counties in 7 that circuit, and everybody is agreeable, but 8 you've got one county that says, nah, we're 9 just going to do our own thing, I think if 10 there is consensus what we're saying is we 11 don't want that, and we don't think that's a 12 good idea, there needs to be consistency across 13 the board in any and all juvenile pre-arrest 14 diversion programs need to be consistent at 15 least within that circuit. 16 SHER. JUDD: All right, I would -- I would 17 move that motion as a recommendation, and to 18 further that if necessary it be followed with 19 legislative action. 20 CHAIR: Second, Senator Book, any further 21 comment on that one? All in favor, aye. 2.2 (Aye.) 23 Any opposed, nay? Okay, so that CHAIR: 24 carries, and we'll make sure that's included in 25 our report.

The next one is all pre-arrest diversion 1 2 programs, including school-based programs, and 3 Secretary Daly, you can weigh in on this because this is going to affect you, is that 4 5 all pre-arrest diversion programs, including 6 school-based programs, must report their data 7 and individual's participation to DJJ and be included in Prevention Web. That will prevent 8 9 these silos. That will prevent the situation 10 where you've got the kid that has come in 11 contact with the Lantana police officer because 12 he's at the mall and he stole something, and 13 that Lantana officer is trying to make a good 14 decision, trying to decide whether this kid 15 that's at Publix who just stole something 16 should be arrested or whether the kid should 17 receive a civil citation, or other some form of 18 pre-arrest diversion.

But if that officer doesn't know that in the Palm Beach Schools over the last two months the kid has had two diversions for stealing as well how can that officer make a good decision, and the only way that I know, and weigh in, the only way I know of to make this happen, that it can happen now without creating new databases, without creating new stuff, is to have it all be recorded, no matter who's running the program, whether it's the school board, whether it's the police department, whether it's the sheriff's office or the state attorney, whoever it is, all pre-arrest diversion participation get reported to DJJ so everybody, cause it's web based access through Prevention Web, everybody can access it, everybody can see everything, and then there can be good decisions made.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Secretary?

SEC. DALY: So, I don't disagree, Chair. 13 14 I think one of the things that we need to be 15 cautious of is Prevention Web was developed 16 primarily so we could tack these kids and keep 17 them separate from the criminal justice 18 database that law enforcement has access to, 19 there's a number of people that have access to 20 that system. So I think that, you know, we 21 just need to remember what that Prevention Web 2.2 was, the basis of why that was created, and it 23 was to really keep those kids safe from being, 24 you know, out in just the general JJIS system. 25 That being said, we have been able to work

with law enforcement and create through CJNET, 1 2 you know, just that basic face sheet that a law 3 enforcement officer on the street that picks a kid up can look to see is this kid eligible for 4 5 a civil citation, when the last civil citation 6 was issued, if it was when the law changed. 7 So, we just, I don't disagree with you, I think we just need to remember the reason why 8 9 Prevention Web was created, and to really keep 10 that at the forefront.

And, you know, certainly we can work with the school districts, but currently right now school does not have access. Really nobody has access to Prevention Web other than DJJ and then just that generic face sheet that law enforcement can access. So, I just would caution us, and really take in --

18 So, what you -- and again these CHAIR: 19 are recommendations for the report. You'll 20 have opportunity, everybody will have an 21 opportunity of course to see a draft of that 2.2 report, and we can tweak any language or 23 recommendations at that time, and it would be a 24 recommendation, and then it's just that, and 25 then it's hopefully for somebody to act on, and

that also may require some legislation to --1 but it -- at its core it seems like from what 2 3 I'm hearing, I think there's consensus on this, there needs to be some common database that 4 5 anybody and everybody that is referring kids to pre-arrest diversion, and/or having to make 6 7 decisions about whether to refer somebody, needs to know what that kids history is so they 8 9 can make a good decision. 10 SHER. JUDD: Mr. Chair? 11 CHAIR: Yes, Sheriff. 12 SHER. JUDD: I talked to a lady yesterday, 13 she moved up here with her children in my area 14 from Broward. Now, if her child bumps into us we need to know that this child has had issues 15 16 down here, and the Prevention Web is the 17 perfect tool for that. 18 CHAIR: So, do -- Sheriff Ashley, do you 19 have something? 20 SHER. ASHLEY: A statement first. I think 21 the problem with all diversion programs is this 2.2 very thing we're talking about, not the sharing 23 of data. And I know we are, some of us are 24 trying to keep these kids out of the criminal

justice system, I am not one of those. I think

Veritext Legal Solutions

25

Page 85

they need a record, we will follow, and the consequences I think we forget. There are consequences for committing crimes, even when you decriminalize the incident.

5 The victims are frequently left out of 6 this process because we're always more 7 concerned about the juvenile, and the kid, and rehabilitation and the like, but we have to 8 9 have the data whether you charge them with a 10 crime or not to know whether it's progressing 11 or not, and so if you conceal that data, or you 12 conceal that behavior, you conceal that crime, 13 then I think you do a disservice to everybody 14 else, and I wholeheartedly recommend it, and 15 propose this recommendation. 16 Was that a motion? CHATR: 17 SHER. ASHLEY: Yes. CHAIR: 18 Is there a second? 19 MR. BARTLETT: Second. 20 Okay, Commissioner Bartlett CHAIR: 21 second. All in favor of that, aye. 2.2 (Aye.) 23 Any opposed, nay? So, that CHAIR: The next two should be short. 24 carries. Is that, that while each circuit has its -- this 25

1

2

3

is just to get the collaboration as a recommendation. While each circuit has the authority to establish its own criteria for diversion programs, each circuit does under the current law, the state attorneys should consult with each other and strive for as much consistency as community standards will permit.

And the reason why I think we should 8 9 include that as a recommendation is, is that as 10 an example, is that if you have significantly 11 different standards in Palm Beach County than 12 you do in Broward County you can have a kid 13 that's on the border in Parkland versus South 14 Palm Beach County, and if you get five bites at 15 the apple in Palm Beach and two bites in 16 Broward that's not a good thing, and so you can 17 have, because you're going to have, there's a 18 potential here for some significant inconsistencies inter-circuit, intra- circuit, 19 20 and that's good, because now you're not going 21 to have inconsistencies county to county and 2.2 place to place, so at least within a circuit, 23 but on the borders of the circuit, so what this recommendation is, is that each circuit has its 24 25 authority to establish its own criteria but the

1

2

3

4

5

6

state attorneys should consult among themselves and strive for as much consistency across the state as they set up these programs circuit to circuit.

So, that would be another recommendation. Sheriff.

7 SHER. JUDD: Let me tell you that I absolutely support that. You know, the 8 9 Commissioner actually talked about, you know, 10 he believed in the criminal justice record. We 11 already have all this system in place, it was 12 in one place, and it's called the criminal 13 justice system. If we just went back to and 14 used the criminal justice system, and let 15 everybody get on that system, and then forgave 16 them when they turned eighteen, we'd have the 17 data. But that aside you're exactly right, 18 because there has to be consistency so the 19 number of diversions, my, I would support 20 completely the number of diversions need to 21 mirror each other as close as possible so that 2.2 we'll -- otherwise if they're given five 23 opportunities here and they move to a different 24 part of the state that gives two opportunities 25 then they're already in trouble, you know, they

1

2

3

4

5

start out at subzero in another part of the 1 2 state, so you're exactly right. 3 CHAIR: Senator. SEN. BOOK: I agree with that, and I'll 4 5 make a motion to accept that recommendation. 6 But I'd like to go back to the previous 7 recommendation that was, I guess voted on, discluded, just want to make sure that I 8 9 understand what we're excluding, and that can 10 you explain to me what we're discluding so I 11 make sure that I'm clear? 12 CHAIR: So, as far as what would be -- so 13 the previous -- the previous motion was that 14 all pre-arrest diversion programs report a 15 child's referral and participation in the 16 pre-arrest diversion program to, and we can 17 tweak this a little bit perhaps as we finalize 18 it, to the Department of Juvenile Justice for inclusion in DJJ's Prevention Web database. 19 20 Maybe what we add somewhere in there is or 21 other comparable database, or something along 2.2 those lines. That's something we're going to 23 have to flush out. But the point of it is, is 24 that all the data would go to one place, and 25 all of it is accessible to everybody that's

making a decision about whether a kid is appropriate for diversion.

3 SEN. BOOK: If I may, Mr. Chair, for that motion -- I know -- I understand that that is a 4 5 failed motion, but being on the prevailing side of that vote I would like to recall that, 6 7 because I do believe that it's very important that there's one centralized place for 8 9 reporting, and whether, again I understand the 10 Secretary's caution about it not being 11 Prevention Web, and what Prevention Web is, can 12 we say within the recommendation that it is 13 another system to be determined within that 14 judicial circuit, or amongst all, if that would 15 make the recommendation more agreeable to the 16 commission, because I think one of the issues 17 is that we don't, or we're concerned about 18 where it's being reported? 19 CHAIR: No, because we don't want 20 different systems in different circuits, we 21 want it all in one. 2.2 SEN. BOOK: No, one. One. 23 CHAIR: One. 24 SHER. ASHLEY: I'm willing to amend it. SEN. BOOK: Okay. 25

1

2

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 91 1 SHER. ASHLEY: I'm willing to amend it to 2 put FCIC, via database. 3 CHAIR: Well, yeah, well that's being, that means because they got an arrest, right? 4 5 And juvenile records aren't going in there 6 anyways so. 7 SHER. ASHLEY: It's -- it's information --8 CHAIR: They're going to JJIS. Secretary 9 Daly. 10 SEC. DALY: So, thank you, Chair. 11 Senator, so I think it can be established with 12 DJJ and the local communities to identify just 13 certain people that will need to know that information that can have access to the system, 14 15 so I think we can work through it. But I do 16 think it is important, and the way it's set up 17 right now, so you could have a court that has 18 its own diversion that never even comes to DJJ, 19 or a Sheriff might have some sort of a 20 diversionary process that doesn't get reported 21 to DJJ, so I do think that it is important that 2.2 we have one system, so we can follow all of 23 those things. 24 Currently the way that it is is just

programs that get funding from us report to

305-376-8800

Prevention Web, so I think that it is a good thing. I think that we can just be very cautious as we move down that track to make sure that we keep the intent of Prevention Web true.

SHER. JUDD: And, Mr. Chair, if I could. 6 7 Senator, and that's what the Prevention Web is, is to track diversions, so this is a perfect 8 9 fit. And then so if we put all diversions, 10 whether it's school based, and quite frankly we 11 changed that to it has to be under the 12 leadership of the state attorney with the 13 school, with the law enforcement agencies, with 14 the public defender and everybody, that goes in 15 the diversion program, that we create the 16 diversion program, all diversions go in the 17 Prevention Web, and that way we can monitor the child no matter where the child is in the 18 19 state.

20 And then as I understand the Chair is 21 saying then we ask the state attorneys to sit 22 down and go, hey, let's agree that there will 23 be no more than three diversions, or two 24 diversions, or whatever the criteria is, and 25 the whole idea, and I can see where this

1

2

3

4

Prevention Web will grow later on when we get to our commissioner's questions over here, that we track the child's problems, and it all of the sudden rings the bell here, it says, whoa, wait a minute, this kid's got a whole lot of problems going on, but he's got them going on in so many different areas of the system because he can have problems at home that we know about as disturbances that don't ever tweak a crime or a diversion.

So, ultimately, we're going to have to have a system that looks out for the child, so we can have interventions, but the reality is the system that's in place now is already there to track diversions, and it works well.

16 CHAIR: So, I think we had -- I think we 17 had -- the first two passed. We had a motion, I believe Senator Book, I believe on the state 18 19 attorneys collaborating among themselves to 20 have consistency between the circuits, and I think you made that motion. Is there a second 21 2.2 on that one? Senator, or Sheriff Judd? SHER. JUDD: 23 Second. 24 CHAIR: Okay, all in favor of that? 25 (Aye.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

CHAIR: Any opposed, nay? Okay, last one 1 2 and then we'll stop, is that, is that the last 3 recommendation for the report is, is that there should not be a reset every school year, or at 4 5 any other time regarding diversions, and that there should be a hard end to the number of 6 7 times someone may participate in a pre-arrest 8 diversion program. That would be a 9 recommendation. 10 SHER. ASHLEY: I'll make that motion. 11 CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley's motion. Any 12 second on that one? 13 UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Sheriff, I just 14 want to clarify. You're referring to the 15 pre-arrest, not non-arrest behavioral issues, 16 correct? 17 CHAIR: Right, just pre-arrest diversion, 18 yeah. Is there a second on that motion? 19 MR. PETTY: Second. 20 CHAIR: Mr. Petty. All in favor aye. 21 (Aye.) 2.2 CHAIR: Any opposed same? That motion passes. So, with our discussion on the PROMISE 23 24 program today, and our approval of those recommendations for the report, unless anybody 25

has anything else that they want to bring up or discuss regarding the PROMISE program my intention is, is to conclude right now with our discussion, and we won't talk about it again until you see it in the draft final report with those recommendations that you approved, and we're going to move on to other topics. Anybody have any -- yes, Sheriff Judd?

SHER. JUDD: The only other statement is none of this predicate that we've laid through these motions is to usurp the independent authority of the investigating officer to file a criminal charge as opposed to a diversion.

14 CHAIR: Correct. And, you know -- and 15 that's something, you know, under the current 16 law, under SB1392 that took effect on January, 17 I'm sorry, on July 1st, that is something that 18 is going to have to be decided by the 19 stakeholders in every circuit. That's going to 20 be part of the criteria, and part of that 21 agreement. You know, I mean I don't agree with 2.2 it, I wholeheartedly oppose it, and I think it 23 is absolutely essential for law enforcement 24 officers and the cops on the street to have 25 discretion and be able to make decisions, and I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

have fought that the last five years, and opposed any efforts in the legislature to do otherwise.

And I'll continue forever to fight that 4 5 because I think it's essential to good decision making, but, you know, if some circuit, and 6 7 that's why it goes to community tolerances, if some circuit, and everybody agrees in a certain 8 9 circuit under the current law they could do 10 that. I think it's wrong, it would be a huge 11 mistake, but that's just something that's going 12 to have to be decided in each of these 13 agreements in the twenty circuits of this 14 state.

15 SHER. JUDD: And I agree with that, but I 16 would certainly like either a disclaimer or 17 another bullet that says nothing herein is 18 created to encourage the limitation of the 19 discretion of the law enforcement officer on 20 the scene to choose to make a criminal charge 21 if --

CHAIR: So, if you want why don't we make a motion for a fifth recommendation for the report, to the affect is, is that in the recommendation would be, if you want to make a

1

2

motion on it, would be that the commission 1 recommends that in each of the established 2 3 pre-arrest diversion programs that law enforcement officers retain discretion to 4 5 decide whether the child should be referred to diversion, something to that effect. 6 7 SHER. JUDD: Or -- or the motion is that nothing contained herein interferes with the 8 9 law enforcement officer's authority and 10 discretion to enforce the law with an 11 appropriate arrest as opposed to a diversion. 12 Is there a second to that motion? CHAIR: 13 SHER. ASHLEY: Sheriff Ashley second. Is 14 that, that your motion? Okay, all in favor, 15 aye. 16 (Aye.) 17 CHAIR: Any opposed, same? Okay, that 18 motion passes, so that will be a fifth 19 recommendation, and we'll make sure that we 20 incorporate that in the report. So, with that 21 let's take a fifteen-minute break, and we're 2.2 going to come back and hear about fire codes from the State Fire Marshall as it relates to 23 24 schools. (Thereupon, a break was taken off the record and the 25

meeting continued as follows:) CHAIR: Okay, we'll go ahead and reconvene. Our next presentation will be from Julius Halas, who is the Director of the Florida State Fire Marshall's Office for an overview of the school fire codes and regulations. Welcome, Director Halas.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and 8 CHIEF HALAS: panel members. It's an honor to be here. A 9 10 very distinguished audience here, and what I'm 11 going to be sharing with you is really a lot of 12 information in a short time, and I'll be 13 followed by the Fire Chief of Orange County 14 Fire Rescue, I'll be sharing part two of our 15 program. So, Mr. Chair, with your indulgence 16 I'll kind of move through this fast, and then 17 I'll take any questions. I have Chief Mike 18 Long here, our District Chief from our Palm 19 Beach office. He's also got a tremendous 20 amount of expertise in fire alarm systems of 21 all different types of occupancies including, 2.2 including schools, so we'll be able to take 23 some questions and answers, and we're going to 24 wade through about two hundred hours of 25 material here in just about thirty minutes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

I am here on behalf of our Chief Financial 1 2 Officer, and State Fire Marshall Jimmy 3 Patronis. He serves as the state, Elected State Fire Marshall, and I'm proud to serve as 4 5 the Director of the Division of State Fire 6 Marshalls, so I'm kind of like the operations 7 chief, if you will. I have forty-four years in the fire service as a firefighter/paramedic. 8 9 Seventeen of those years I was Fire Chief in 10 the City of Sarasota, Sarasota County and 11 Longboat Key. I have served by virtue of being 12 a past President of the Florida Fire Chiefs 13 Association in 2001 and 2002. I served as 14 President, and due to September 11th and the 15 advent of the state domestic security oversight 16 counsel I have served on the oversight counsel 17 since, for the past sixteen years, of which 18 Commissioner Swearingen is the co-chair.

19Also, I am currently the Vice President20and President Elect of the National Association21of State Fire Marshalls. And I mention that22because the National State Fire Marshalls23Association is watching this issue very24closely, they'll be very interested. In fact,25I'm on a panel presentation in August at their

Veritext Legal Solutions

national conference along with some other state fire marshals, and so there's a lot of people watching the fine work that you're doing, as you're I'm sure well aware.

Moving into the program, I'm going to give you a quick overview of what the state fire marshal authority is and what our limitations are, who the authority having jurisdiction is; as you know for K-12 it is the Department of Education. However, the state fire marshal's office is somewhat like the Department of Law Enforcement.

13 FDLE serves as a regulatory and standard 14 setting body, and does many investigations, 15 while in the fire service in Florida just like 16 you have your local police chiefs and sheriffs 17 we have our local city and county fire chiefs 18 and fire marshals. They're in change of all 19 the commercial buildings in their areas, and 20 the state fire marshal sets a lot of the 21 standards, adopts codes, and also is in charge 2.2 of firefighter training and safety regulations. 23 The statutory requirements for fire safety in 24 schools, we're going to talk about that in detail, fire drill requirements, fire alarm 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

systems and pull stations, and classroom doors and locking mechanisms.

3 Again the state fire marshal authority is that we shall adopt, this is key to this 4 5 discussion, the state fire marshal not may adopt but the Florida legislature has passed 6 7 this law back when a statewide building code and fire prevention code were formed under 8 9 Governor Bush at that time, and the law was put 10 into place that the state fire marshal shall 11 adopt the most recent edition of the National 12 Fire Protection Association, known as NFPA, the 13 fire code 1, which is a very lengthy document, 14 and the life safety code which is known as 15 NFPA-101, and we do that by administrative rule 16 every three years pursuant to Florida Statute 17 633.202.

18 Likewise, and in concert, almost like two 19 bookends under Florida Statute 553 The Florida 20 Building Commission, under the oversight of the Department of Business and Professional 21 2.2 Regulations, they update and adopt a statewide 23 building code every three years, and we try to 24 do those where they coincide. It makes it a lot easier for the contractors doing 25

1

construction work if the building code and the fire code is adopted at near or about the same time.

The responsibilities for authority having 4 5 jurisdiction, now the Florida Statutes in 6 633.208 states that each municipality, county, 7 and special district with fire safety responsibility shall enforce the Florida fire 8 9 prevention code. So, that -- that lays out 10 that every city and county is responsible for 11 their fire chiefs and fire marshals to oversee 12 the Florida fire prevention code at the local 13 level. Likewise, the state fire marshal's 14 office, we are the authority having 15 jurisdiction for over seventeen thousand state 16 buildings, so the state university system is 17 under the Florida state fire marshals. My team 18 does the fire inspections for University of 19 Florida, FSU, University of Central Florida, 20 USF, University of Southern Florida, all the twelve major universities that are under the 21 2.2 state board of governors.

However, in the case of public schools the Florida legislature under Statute Section 1013.03 mandates that the Department of

1

2

Education has the authority as it pertains to educational facilities of school districts. And it goes into more detail, and further charges district school boards with ensuring that all facilities comply with the Florida fire prevention code which is adopted by the state fire marshal. And they do it from, all the way from construction plans review, when those are submitted, all the way through the inspection process, including annual inspections.

12 Now, the Department of Education has fire 13 inspectors, but they have to meet the criteria 14 to be a state certified fire inspector, just 15 like city and county law enforcement will meet 16 the FDLE and the standards under the commission 17 of law enforcement, and then many departments 18 are accredited just like many of the law 19 enforcement departments are, and many of the 20 fire service areas are accredited.

So, let's go specifically, go down a little bit for fire safety in schools. There's multiple layers again, but I've cited a couple of the Florida statutes, there's the administrative rules that adopt the specifics

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

thereof, the Florida fire prevention code is currently in the sixth edition, and also then the current building code, and then there's the state requirement for educational facilities, known as the SREP. And I believe you had a presentation last month, Mr. Chair, that we spoke briefly about, and that outlines the responsibilities of the Department or Education, and how they outline that.

10 So, we kind of see this as at least a 11 three-legged stool, you have, like many 12 buildings you have the building code, the fire 13 prevention code, but with public schools you 14 have the responsibility of the Department of 15 Education with the state requirements for 16 educational facilities.

17 Statutory mandates regarding fire codes 18 and emergency drills, this section of the statute outlines the district school board 19 20 duties relating to school safety, and it goes 21 into some detail there. I won't -- I know 2.2 you've seen the presentation in advance, but I 23 won't bore you with reading word for word, but 24 it goes into all the way from emergency drills 25 to active shooter drills, and other types of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

emergency drills.

2 The Florida fire prevention code 3 requirements go on for emergency action plans, and they outline a number of different things 4 5 that come out of the fire code. So, over the 6 last twenty or thirty years, the 7 responsibilities of the local fire inspectors and the Department of Education has varied 8 9 depending on what Florida statute has been 10 adopted. I happened to be at the, I've been 11 with the state fire marshal's office since 2009 12 so I'm working for my third CFO, and when I first arrived there, there was a legislative 13 14 change adopted by the Florida legislature that 15 gave the current authority, I believe it was 16 the 2010 legislative session, and enacted 17 shortly thereafter, as to that the current 18 responsibility of the Department of Education, 19 and they then in turn adopted many of their 20 regulations by administrative rule. 21

Now, those emergency action plans have to
be adopted for any number of occupancies,
including educational facilities, and there's
many others. The Florida fire prevention code
goes into some detail because when we talk

about the Florida fire prevention code, and NFPA-1, and NFPA-101, it's about a six inch notebook, so that's why this is really at least a \$200 to \$300 class, but I'm just letting you know that that quick line sentences has a lot of detail, and the national code under the Florida fire prevention code, we only have about eighty Florida specific amendments that are adopted by rule.

10 The building commission, however, due to 11 Hurricane standards, and the robust things that 12 we've done in Florida to mitigate against 13 natural and man-made disasters, the Florida 14 building code has hundreds of Florida specific amendments that are added to what's known as 15 16 the international building code. So, similar 17 to the way that we adopt the national fire 18 protection code in the fire service side the 19 building code under Florida statute 553, the 20 building commission has adopted the 21 international building code with Florida 22 specific amendments, and so it will go into a 23 lot of detail, and again there's other items 24 required by the authority having jurisdiction. 25 And when we say K-12 actually Department

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

of Education is actually almost really through about, that includes the community colleges. A lot of the, quote, state colleges are really community colleges under the local school board, so that's all regulated by the Department of Education.

7 The next slide deals with emergency egress and fire drill requirements. It's important 8 that we realize that all occupants of the 9 10 building shall participate in a drill. A lot 11 of folks are always like, okay, we have to have 12 another fire drill, or a Hurricane drill, and, 13 you know, drills can be tedious, but the 14 importance of them have really been proven. 15 There's been some disastrous events in many 16 There's roughly just under five schools. 17 thousand fire incidents in our country per 18 year. Fortunately, there's been a low number of fatalities due to robust fire codes, but 19 20 about \$70 million in damage are done annually 21 to our public schools throughout our nation.

22 So, there's a number of different things 23 that can qualify toward the different emergency 24 egress and fire drill requirements, and I know 25 that you're looking very carefully at that

1

2

3

4

5

because it's important that we also protect our children when there's danger from outside, but we also have to protect our children when there's danger within the classroom that they need to escape from. Emergency drill and fire code requirements involved a lot of different things, and we really establish a conduct of emergency egress as a matter of routine.

9 We want it to be just natural if there's, 10 an alarm goes off, the children know where to 11 go, where to meet, that it's orderly, and that 12 takes practice, as we know, so it's very 13 important that there's a lot of criteria in the 14 code to quide school boards in that regulation. And I believe the commission of education has 15 16 made a presentation, but couldn't be here 17 today, so a lot of this falls under the DEO 18 responsibilities, but the local school boards 19 are key to following up with that in each 20 individual school.

21 Well, why fire drills we might ask? Well, 22 one of the things interesting, in addition to 23 the criteria here, obviously it's to educate 24 participants in the fire safety features of the 25 building, how to get out safely, and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

procedures to be followed. Here's a statistic 1 2 that I mentioned. The U.S. fire departments 3 responded to an estimated average of just under five thousand structure fires each year 4 5 according the NFPA. Nearly seven in ten of 6 these fires occurred in nurseries, elementary, 7 Additional fire alarm middle, or high schools. requirements that we will see in educational 8 9 facilities are in depth. Now, a lot of people 10 are worried that if an alarm goes off will 11 everybody know how to safely evacuate the 12 building, and that's the importance of the 13 drills.

The NFPA has a lot of criteria known as 14 15 NFPA-72 on how fire alarms are installed. Now, 16 they can vary, although educational occupancy 17 shall be provided with a fire alarm system the 18 requirements can vary depending on certain 19 criteria, such as the three listed here, 20 buildings having an area not exceeding a 21 thousand square feet, having a single 2.2 classroom, and buildings located not less than 23 thirty feet from another building. 24 As you can imagine when you think about a

small school, in some of our rural areas

schools are very, very small, and compared to 1 2 other schools that have multiple buildings and 3 really form what looks like a college campus. The fire alarm requirements in educational 4 5 facilities, let's talk about human activation 6 of a fire alarm system shall be by manual means, however is the building is provided with 7 an automatic sprinkler protection system then 8 9 the manual pull stations, and we know about the 10 manual pull stations, those can be removed if 11 the building is fully sprinklered and meets 12 certain conditions of the building and fire 13 prevention code.

So, there are ways to adjust a fire alarm So, there are ways to adjust a fire alarm system, and pull stations, and other devices, based on the other interior protection of the building. And automatic occupant notification is required in all educational facilities, pursuant to that lengthy NFPA reference.

Fire alarm requirements in educational facilities, manual fire alarm boxes may also be eliminated where all of the following conditions apply. There's a lot of detail there. Some schools obviously have an appetite to remove the pull stations due to the possibility of, misuse actually. As you can imagine it could be in a number of different ways.

We're going to continue on fire alarm 4 5 requirements, and this is interesting because 6 positive alarm sequence is defined as an 7 automatic sequence that results in an alarm signal even when manually delayed for 8 9 investigation unless the system is reset. This 10 is permitted in both new and existing 11 facilities, but it must be in accordance with 12 NFPA-72, the national fire alarm and signaling 13 code, which is under NFPA.

14 Let's look at some specifics of that. The 15 fire alarm systems must have positive alarm 16 features and must be approved by the authority 17 having jurisdiction. The Department of 18 Education must comply with the following. In a 19 positive alarm sequence unlike a, just a fire 20 alarm that would go off once it's notified and 21 immediately ring due to either a detector being 22 set off or a pull station, if an alarm is received in a school, and there's supervision 23 24 and many other requirements here that staff at the school is monitoring the situation, they 25

800-726-7007

1

2

3

305-376-8800

can actually meet certain criteria and basically within fifteen seconds by trained personnel they can acknowledge the fire alarm is activated before it goes into ring tone. Then they have up to a hundred eighty seconds to evaluate the condition and reset the system.

7 If the system is not determined to be a 8 false alarm, or other improper activation, then 9 the system will automatically enunciate, or go 10 into an alarm. So, basically with a 11 comprehensive set of guidelines and staffing 12 you can set up a fire alarm system, so like at 13 some of our schools, like Marjory Stoneman 14 Douglas and others where there's multiple 15 buildings, sometimes when an alarm goes off it 16 will ring in every single structure on campus, 17 where there may only be a problem in a very This allows for a comprehensive 18 isolated area. team to be able to intervene, to find out 19 20 what's caused the alarm system, and buy some 21 time, especially where you have robust staff 22 and school resource officers, and maintenance 23 personnel, to find out what has occurred. It's a comprehensive solution, and it takes a lot of 24 25 in depth study, and meeting a number of codes.

1

2

3

4

5

Switching gears here, and I'm sorry I'm 1 2 rushing through this a little bit, but I want 3 to be sensitive to our next speaker as well and allow for questions and answers. I want to 4 5 show you a few demonstrations of some different situations. Classroom doors and locking 6 7 mechanisms are required in a number of areas. Now, I showed one case here where the NFPA has 8 9 a criteria where there's a hundred or more 10 occupants, and the building, Florida building 11 code has it where it's fifty or more occupants. 12 Whenever there's a difference in the fire code 13 and the building code according to the Florida 14 statute the more stringent code will apply. 15 And of course, you see on these doors the panic 16 hardware, similar to what you would expect in a 17 movie theater and a major school facility, 18 where the panic hardware will, can be locked, 19 the door can be locked from the outside, but if 20 there's a mass to be able to get out to safety 21 when you hit that panic hardware it will pull 22 all locking devices in one fluid motion. We're 23 going to talk more about that in a minute. 24 And this is -- this is very important. 25 Locks if provided shall not require the use of

a key, a tool, or special knowledge or effort to operate the door toward the egress. A latch or other fastening device on a door leaf, the door itself, shall be provided with a releasing device that is an obvious method of operation, and readily operated under all lighting conditions.

So, if you picture a lot of the motels and 8 9 hotels you've stayed in, sometimes they'll have 10 deadbolts and things on the doors, but many 11 times for safety of egress that you can grab 12 the door handle, one motion and it will release 13 all locking mechanisms. That's key. We don't 14 want anybody to get trapped in a room because 15 they're unfamiliar with how to enable the 16 locking mechanisms. And again the, the 17 releasing mechanism shall open the door with 18 not more than one releasing operation. Then it goes on to this next criteria, is in the NFPA 19 20 code, and also is covered by the ADA code, to 21 make sure that the lock is within reach of 2.2 somebody even in a wheelchair or other 23 limitation, and children of all ages. 24 Here we have an example of some different

locking mechanism. The Sheriff and I were

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

talking, Mr. Chair, about can a door be locked 1 2 from the outside effectively, and yes it can, and of course a lot of the school boards want, 3 they don't want the teachers to be locked out 4 5 of the classroom so they have to have access to get back in, so there's different mechanisms 6 7 that you could have that we've seen in many facilities, different types of security codes, 8 9 keys from the outside, a tumbler from the 10 inside. But whatever the locking mechanism is 11 it shall not be any, like this next 12 demonstration, we can't chain the door shut, we 13 can't put different devices and screw things 14 into the door, by screwing different barricade 15 devices that are on the market into the door.

16 First of all, fire doors in schools, in 17 hospitals, and movie theaters, and others, have 18 to have a rating and a listing, so Underwriters 19 Laboratory, Factory Mutual, a lot of things 20 that we buy have been tested for safety. It's 21 very important that the door mechanisms do not 2.2 ruin the rating of the door by screwing 23 arbitrary devices and different types of locking mechanisms into a door, which can ruin 24 25 the fire rating protection of that door. So,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

all fire doors must be listed and rated.

In December 2014 the NFPA hosted a school safety codes and security workshop to attempt to identify and understand the objectives of fire and security systems. You can obtain more information regarding this workshop at the link that's shown there. And one thing I want to mention in Florida, that I'm very proud of the Department of Education, they stand very firm in their regulation about not allowing, you know, different types of barricade devices that 12 are not listed and rated. So, some states have, you know, looked at, at some of the very 14 inexpensive barricade devices and allowed those to be added in public occupancies, which definitely concerns us.

17 I guess for dramatic closing affect, there 18 was a horrible fire way back in 1958, I didn't want to show a lot of recent ones, but there 19 20 were a lot of lives lost in this particular 21 event, and so we really do believe that 2.2 ensuring fire safety does say lives, and some 23 people say that, well, there's not been very 24 many deaths in public schools, thank goodness 25 there hasn't, due to fire, and that's because

of the very robust fire codes that have been 1 2 adopted. And so, you know, I've talked to some 3 fire chiefs that have said, you know, we certainly need to protect our children if 4 5 there's danger from outside, but we also want 6 to be sure they can get out of a room safely in 7 case the perpetrator is in that room, or somebody, as Sheriff Judd and others know, that 8 9 we've had to work together with our fire and 10 arson team, and our bomb squad, there's some 11 people that would use horrendous ways to try to 12 attack people through windows and outside with 13 devices coming to the inside, so wherever our 14 children are they have to be able to safely 15 protect their selves in place, but they have to 16 be able to safely egress out of a building. 17 And that's why in closing, and it's

18 critical when discussing school safety to 19 ensure that efforts to protect students do not 20 compromise the overall life safety of students 21 from all threats, including all fire related 2.2 emergencies. And so, with that I'll move to 23 questions. And let me just assess my time. 24 Okay, we're doing to good, and Chief Long will 25 have some time.

You're good. No, you're good. 1 CHAIR: 2 CHIEF HALAS: Mr. Chair? 3 CHAIR: You're good. Thank you. Questions, Sheriff Ashley? 4 5 SHER. ASHLEY: Chief, is there a 6 requirement, I'm not sure what it is, but the 7 larger a room gets you have to have more than 8 one entry, exit? Is there a square footage 9 requirement? I'm speaking specifically in mind 10 of a classroom that only has one entry, one 11 exit, there is, maybe on the third floor, there 12 is no exit out the window, there is no exit out 13 of a back room, or a back door. Is there a 14 requirement or fire code that says after a 15 certain amount of square footage you have to 16 have a second, third, fourth alternate exit? 17 CHIEF HALAS: Yes, there's a -- there's 18 quite an in-depth matrix, whether it be a 19 restaurant, a school, any other type of public 20 -- and all public assembly has a calculation for the number of, of people in a room, based 21 2.2 on the square footage, and the number of egress 23 areas that have to be available, so there is a number. And that one slide that I showed 24 25 talked about where fifty or more persons, or a

hundred persons, that's one the thresholds. 1 2 And so, in the code depending on square 3 footage, number of people, also whether or not desks are fixed in place versus loose, and 4 5 chairs are loose, so there's a multiple number 6 of considerations in establishing an occupancy 7 rating, and the number of exits and egress that can be allowed. 8 9 SHER. ASHLEY: Thank you. 10 CHATR: Sheriff Judd. 11 SHER. JUDD: When you look at the history 12 of the fire service in preventing fires and 13 saving lives it's pretty remarkable. Is there 14 any reason to believe that we can't create 15 infrastructure that blends with fire safety and 16 also keep active shooters out? 17 CHIEF HALAS: I think there's -- I think 18 there's a lot that can be done. Again, we're 19 doing a national study through the National 20 Association of State Fire Marshals. We've 21 developed a draft white paper. I'll certainly 2.2 be glad to share that when it's finalized and 23 fully updated, probably later this summer, but there's a lot that can be done. And Chief 24 25 Long, I'd like to ask him to come up and join

me if he would.

1

2 We've already begun to think about, again 3 I'm speaking partly as just a fire official and not really the, you know, because DOE, and I 4 5 wish the Commissioner of Education was here 6 because I don't want to act like, you know, 7 we're trying to cross over into their jurisdiction, similar to I know sheriffs and 8 9 police chiefs have to, you know, work out local 10 jurisdictional issues and stuff, and mutual 11 aid, but we do, we do realize that some school 12 campuses, it would probably be more practical 13 to have fire alarm systems that, again, have 14 the positive sequence where you can intervene, 15 you can do research before it goes immediately 16 into alarm, but you have to have certain 17 staffing and facilities to do that.

18 Also, when there's multiple buildings in a 19 campus does it really make full practical sense 20 for every single building to go into alarm if 21 there's a point of enunciation, in other words 2.2 if we know a fire detector, smoke, smoke alarm has went off on the second floor of building 23 24 five, does the whole campus really need to go 25 into alarm system? So, again when all

construction interventions are considered, it goes through an entire plan, or building plans review to look at all those different elements, so, you know, if you take any one thing out of context it's hard to say that's going to resolve everything.

7 But, Sheriff, I think your point is well taken, is to look at the campus as an entire 8 9 infrastructure of children, what's the best way 10 to configure all these safety devices to make 11 it most practical. And that's where I think 12 the law enforcement and our next presentation, 13 the fire service work in. The more we learn 14 about an active shooter situation can help us 15 to have more intelligence as to how to better 16 set that up.

17 Chief Long, anything to add to that? 18 CHIEF LONG: No, I just -- basically the 19 fire codes want to get people out. We -- we 20 don't care if no one can get in, so in that 21 respect we try to keep people out, and that's 22 the way the locking arrangements are made to 23 function in a fire code.

24SHER. JUDD: You all are the subject25matter experts on fire alarm systems.

1

2

3

4

5

CHIEF LONG: Yes, sir.

2 SHER. JUDD: If we take our subject matter 3 experts and our data that we know about active 4 shooters and their attempt to egress, or 5 ingress, can, isn't it reasonable to assume 6 that you all being the experts, and having the 7 data, and the history, could say, hey, if they tried to ingress here, or set an alarm here, we 8 9 can compartmentalize this, I mean this issue? 10 I mean if rather than us try to recreate the 11 wheel say here's our problem, tell us how to 12 make this work with your systems? 13 CHIEF LONG: Yeah, a lot of times in 14 different occupancies they do that. For 15 instance, in hospitals they don't evacuate even 16 if it's one building, they have what they call 17 smoke barriers, and they'll evacuate a section. And I'm sure that can be discussed. I don't 18 work for NFDA. 19 I thought I was loud enough. But, yes, 20 21 that can be looked at. Also, what can be

21 that can be looked at. Also, what can be
22 looked is like, like Chief said, is possibly
23 alarm sequence, but in some situations, you
24 have to actually have someone at, or at the
25 panel, and so, and then they can respond within

fifteen seconds, you have up to ninety seconds to respond to it, and a hundred eighty seconds before it will actually go into alarm. And another situation is like in one of the slides, pull stations can be removed. If you have a fully sprinkled building you don't need to manually operate it, you only have to have one, and so in that situation there can be other alternatives to assist in the fire alarm going off.

11 I'm a big advocate of no false alarms, and 12 when the system is properly maintained, and it 13 has a sensitivity rating on the smoke detectors, and that, it tends to have less 14 15 false alarms, and which the schools are doing 16 now, and because false alarms, it puts a 17 vehicle on the road that doesn't need to be 18 there, and also it creates a situation where 19 people are evacuating, and in an active shooter 20 situation that's not a good situation. 21 So, I think there's some things that could 2.2 be done as far as alarm and security in that respect. I hope I answered it. 23

CHAIR: Senator Book.

25 SEN. BOOK: Thank you. And thank you,

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

guys, very much for your presentation. Where are we in the three-year cycle of adoption of building code/fire code, where are we?

CHIEF HALAS: We're about halfway through 4 5 exactly. It will be coming up. We'll be 6 starting our rule workshops this Fall, so any, 7 any areas that want to be introduced in the next three-year code, it will go into effect 8 December 31st of '19, I believe will be our 9 10 next end of this current cycle of the code, so 11 we'll be having that as open workshops and 12 hearings.

13 SEN. BOOK: Timely. Very timely. One of 14 questions that I had, and we have been through several active shooter trainings and 15 16 situational drills now, one of the things that 17 they talk a lot about is, you know, sheltering 18 in place, and you mentioned building code, how 19 many folks in a room determine what's bolted 20 down, what is movable, and one of the things 21 that one of these trainers talked to me about 22 was sheltering in place and moving objects to, 23 you know, barricade in, in a location, however 24 code often times has bookshelves bolted down, certain desks bolted down. 25

1

2

Is it your opinion that we begin looking maybe at the building code in terms of how we can keep individuals safe in schools, like we have certain code for Hurricanes, that we could have, and change some of the code in terms of how we look at these active shooter drills in the building code and also the fire code?

Actually, there's a training 8 CHIEF DROZD: 9 drill through the University of Auburn that has 10 an active shooter training, and it actually 11 shows what you're talking about, how they put 12 desks in front of the door, and they actually 13 show the active shooter, and it's very good 14 training, as far as, and it's Auburn 15 University, I Googled it. And that's very good 16 on that part.

17 A lot of the first codes, we're just 18 saying as far as the occupant load if y have 19 seating that's, that's screwed into the ground, 20 then that's a certain type of square footage. 21 If you have movable tables it's -- for 2.2 instance, if you have tables and chairs it's 23 one person every fifteen-foot, one person every 24 fifteen square foot. If you just have chairs 25 it's one person every seven square foot, so

1

2

3

4

5

6

depending on what you have in the room is how the occupant load is determined.

SEN. BOOK: I got it. So, I'm sorry, Mr. 4 Chair. So, one of the questions that I have, in this, in this training we had some personnel from Stoneman Douglas, and, you know, we talked about they are keeping in place because they had looked at the Auburn study, and that is the best practice, however a lot of the furniture was bolted to the floor. Is that something 11 that the Department does through their building 12 code, or is that fire code?

13 CHIEF DROZD: I don't think it's fire 14 I don't think it pertains to the fire code. 15 code.

SEN. BOOK: Okay, thank you.

17 CHIEF HALAS: And if I could just comment 18 I know some of the school boards are too. 19 putting more robust locking mechanisms on the 20 doors, but working, you know, through the 21 principal and the school board to make sure 2.2 that a teacher can have access to get back in 23 the classroom if for some reason they were to 24 get locked out, or they need to get a school 25 resource officer and others in there, so I

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

think there's going to be a lot of locking mechanisms upgraded, and hopefully that would be a strong appetite to do that, that again meet the code, are listed and rated, and that allow for safe egress of suddenly the danger is in the classroom, the students can immediately get out without having to do multiple efforts to try to do that. So, that's the ideal scenario, is more.

10 And -- and at the domestic security 11 oversight council that the Co-Chair Swearingen 12 is involved with we are looking at, you know, 13 we're talking about hardening of all different 14 types of critical infrastructure for many years 15 now, and that's part of that process, but of 16 course that takes funding, and so therefore I 17 know a lot of the initiatives are a funding 18 matter that have to be taken into consideration. 19

20 CHIEF DROZD: And one of the things we're 21 advocating is to go through the Department of 22 Education or the fire marshal to get these 23 items approved, because I know we have some, I 24 just got e-mailed on today that is on a door at 25 a school and it doesn't meet anything. And so,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Veritext Legal Solutions

there's hundreds of them out there, I've got twenty-five of them sitting on my desk, and so we want to make sure that they're safe and they can, they can hunker down in place, and but we also want to make sure they can get out in case of a fire, so they need to be an approved method of, of locking the door.

SEN. BOOK: And -- and one of the things 8 9 that you commented on is the locking devices 10 concern us, and I think that a lot of folks, I 11 know my constituency, I know people in our 12 community have talked about wanting and needing 13 some of those devices, and as kids are going 14 back to school we're talking about school 15 resource officers and guardians, and all, all of these other important, important pieces of 16 17 safety, but I know this is very important to a 18 lot of parents.

What are -- what are you all doing to approve some of those, because there are hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of these devices out there that may be approved, or recommended, or some of the things that are safest for schools to look at.

25 CHIEF HALAS: I can address that. The

1

2

3

4

5

6

Director of Department of Education facilities 1 2 and engineering department, they, they look very carefully to make sure that any so-called 3 barricade devices do not violate that, that 4 5 criteria. The chairman, Sheriff and I, Gualtieri and I were talking about the 6 7 importance of making sure that gets articulated down to the local level. 8 That's always key, 9 key in all laws, you know, speed limit changes, 10 how long does it take to get all the signs and 11 everything and everybody, you know, through 12 that process, so, and I do appreciate, I feel 13 kind of like I did sort of a, you know, 14 tremendous brain dump on you, again that's hundreds of hours of material that we covered 15 in a short amount of time, but your thought 16 17 process is very intelligent, in terms of how do 18 we beef up and harden that infrastructure, you 19 know, and make it safe, though still to get it, 20 anybody out that's in danger. 21 CHAIR: Commissioner Schachter, go ahead. 2.2 MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you for your, your 23 service, gentleman, and everything that you do 24 to keep us safe. Obviously, you know we're

25

extremely happy that, that no child has died in

a fire since 1958, but obviously your stated 1 2 goal is to keep people, to get people out of a 3 building, but you have to realize that we don't want to be putting them in harm's way at the 4 5 same time, and these monsters, that is their 6 goal, is to get them out in the open. That's 7 what this monster did. He was going to go to the third floor and commit a mass Las Vegas 8 9 shooting with all these people out there, so we 10 don't want to evacuate, and, and we need your 11 help to, to try to change these laws to make 12 our schools safe.

13 In my view I don't think children should 14 be evacuating in a fire. We have to realize 15 that nobody's died in a fire in that many 16 years, because we got to realize that these are 17 our adversaries, and the assailants are trying 18 to do that exact thing. We don't want them to 19 be using these laws against us, and I think 20 that's what, you know, Sheriff Judd and Senator 21 Book are trying to get across to you. So, we 2.2 need your help in changing these laws. 23 Also, so my other question is when an

alarm is set off in one building are thereregulations that state that every building

should be evacuated, or can the school, you know, look at the, look at the alarm and say, okay, it's only in one building? In Stoneman Douglas there are thirteen masonry buildings, and they're all not going to go up at the same time. You should not be evacuating, you should be taking kids from one building and putting them another if there is a real fire, but that should be verified before anybody evacuates. You don't want to be putting them in harm's way.

12 And then when an alarm sounds, let's see 13 here, okay, an alarm sounds, should occupants 14 immediately evacuate, are there rules -- also 15 my other question is are there rules that would 16 require a fire alarm to quiet when the intercom 17 is being used, because that was another major 18 problem here. You had, you know, the fire 19 alarm going off, and even if there was an 20 intercom that came in an announcement and said 21 that there was a code red instead people didn't 2.2 hear it. Are those kinds of laws on the books? 23 CHIEF DROZD: Yeah, they actually are. Ι 24 was actually at Stoneman Douglas a week later 25 and evaluated the alarm system, evaluated the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

building, and so I understand what you're saying, I was on campus. I'm the Southeast Regional District Chief. I cover this area, so Broward County, the School Board, actually we assisted them, and we'll assist anybody that needs help in any direction.

Now, to answer your questions, there are systems out there that do an override, they're called voice evacuation systems, to where they can click on a speaker and turn the alarm off and make an announcement, but then the alarm will continue.

13 The problem with sheltering in place, 14 fire, when you actually have a fire, and say 15 I've never had a fire, you know, that's great, 16 but it can happen tomorrow. I used to 17 investigate fires. The first thing I would 18 hear was the fact that I never thought this 19 would happen to me, and it does. So, as far as 20 keeping people in place in a fire, actual fire 21 situation, when you have roughly three minutes 2.2 to get out of the building in a general fire, 23 so that to us is not a fire code, and that's not in the books. 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

Now, as far as delay the alarm, yes,

that's there. We explained that in the slides. 1 2 As far as every single building on a campus, I consider Stoneman Douglas a mini university. It's huge. I mean it took us from the office 4 5 to walk to the building that it occurred, it 6 was a walk, so do all buildings have to 7 evacuate, we're working on that, where I don't 8 think that has to happen.

9 MR. SCHACHTER: You're working on changing 10 that then?

11 CHIEF DROZD: Absolutely. I don't think 12 the whole entire campus -- a building that's 13 half a mile away over by the football field 14 doesn't have to evacuate to a building by the 15 parking lot.

16 MR. SCHACHTER: But don't -- don't you 17 think that you need to verify that's there an 18 actual fire before you evacuate --

19 CHIEF DROZD: There's ways to do that, and 20 we explained that, there are ways to do that. 21 At Stoneman Douglas that system is not set up 2.2 to do that. It can be. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: Can you elaborate? 24 CHIEF DROZD: Excuse me?

MR. SCHACHTER: Can you elaborate on that?

25

What do you mean? I thought they could go to the --

3 Not really. Buildings are CHIEF DROZD: set up to do voice evac, to do delayed egress. 4 5 All of our universities now are going to voice 6 evac to meet mass notification requirements, 7 and every time they need a new panel. But as far as required that's a different story. 8 The 9 difference is the basic fire codes have the 10 minimum requirements as what's required by 11 code, and that's the way they are. There's 12 other ways of doing things, are they required, 13 some are not, it depends on the size.

14 Like a voice evac system will be required 15 in a big assembly, and probably over, I believe 16 it's three hundred people, isn't it Ray? Over 17 three hundred people, that's when you would 18 require voice evac, but in certain situations 19 people are putting them in any way to meet that 20 mass notification requirement. So, there are 21 ways to correct things, we're working on that 2.2 with the Department of Education and the 23 director and spent many hours researching and 24 trying to make things better, because we are 25 concerned about the fire side, and we are

1

1

2

3

4

concerned about the security side.

MR. SCHACHTER: So, Stoneman Douglas is over three hundred people, so they do, they're required --

5 CHIEF DROZD: Not -- not each building. You take each -- the code addresses each 6 7 building individually, and a classroom is a classroom. It's not the total, it's, it's one 8 9 big space. This is an assembly area that 10 you're sitting in right now. A classroom is 11 not unless it's over so many people. So -- and 12 -- and there's no classroom that I know of 13 that's over three hundred at a time. 14 MR. SCHACHTER: Does Stoneman Douglas have 15 a voice evacuation system? 16 CHIEF DROZD: Excuse me? 17 MR. SCHACHTER: Does Stoneman Douglas have 18 a voice evacuation system? 19 CHIEF DROZD: No, not that I'm aware of. 20 MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you. 21 CHAIR: So, if there is a conflict between 2.2 your interpretation of the code or best 23 practice, so as an example I think you were 24 asked some questions either by Senator Book or Sheriff Judd about some of the devices that are 25

on the market, and the state fire marshal's office decided that a particular category of devices was acceptable, and the Department of Education decided that for K-12 it was not acceptable, is that who controls?

6 CHIEF HALAS: Right -- right now that's 7 under the statute I mentioned of, is 1016, and to the Department of Education is the authority 8 9 having jurisdiction there, but they do adopt 10 the life safety code which we adopt every three 11 years, so if we update the life safety code and 12 there's public comment, and any Florida 13 specific amendments are modified, it will then 14 be adopted by DOE as part of their adoption of 15 their regulations.

So, they do -- they do go in concert, but I don't want to speak for the commissioner of education as to, you know, how they sort of, you know, add their local administrative rules to that.

21 CHAIR: But there's an opportunity for 22 them through interpretation, like all code 23 rules are subject to interpretation, right? 24 CHIEF HALAS: Correct. 25 CHAIR: Okay, so because of the current

1

2

3

4

5

16

17

18

19

structure in Florida is through K-12, because 1 2 as you've testified you have responsibility for all educational facilities and other buildings 3 other than K-12, right? 4 5 CHIEF HALAS: Actually, other than the 6 local community college system, so for the state, the twelve state universities --7 8 CHAIR: For the state, right. 9 CHIEF HALAS: And so, if there --10 essentially what you're asking, if there was a 11 dispute on basically the interpretation of the 12 Department of Education, just like any of our 13 state agencies if we get requests for a 14 declaratory statement that would go to the 15 Department of Education initially. 16 CHAIR: Right, so they get to interpret 17 the laws and regulations, and apply them in the 18 K-12 schools across the state, and if you were to as the state fire marshal have concerns 19 20 about that there's nothing you can do about it. 21 CHIEF HALAS: Except legislatively. 2.2 CHIEF DROZD: If it's a NESREF rule, 23 If it's a Florida fire prevention correct. 24 code according to the statute we're the final 25 interpreting authority period. So, if it

involves the Florida fire prevention code, 1 2 which they also adopt, then we would have the authority to interpret that, okay, but the 3 NESREF rule -- there's three things. There's 4 5 statute rule and code, okay, we're the final 6 interpreting authority in the state of Florida 7 as far as the Florida fire prevention code. There's also uniform rules, that the 8 9 legislation says you will write uniform rules 10 for, one of them is education, it's called 11 6United858, and then there's statutes, which 12 are laws.

13 CHAIR: So, with the devices that are out 14 there, and there's a whole bunch of them on the 15 market, and Sheriff Judd talked about this, or 16 mentioned it, there's a whole bunch of devices 17 that would allow classroom doors, and you had a 18 picture of one in your presentation, I think it was on slide 10 I think, 10-11, the device that 19 20 bolted into the door and goes into the floor where it's drilled, is that, and you said that 21 2.2 that is not an acceptable device, and wouldn't 23 be code because it doesn't with one fell swoop, 24 one unrestricted access allow it to be opened, 25 correct?

CHIEF DROZD: That's correct. We actually, actually put the code in the slide presentation.

CHAIR: Right, so if the -- but if the device that was able to be bolted into the floor, where somebody could open it with the push of one button, or the moving of one device in unrestricted, then that device that is a good barrier to the door being opened from the outside could become permissible.

11 CHIEF DROZD: If it met the -- there's 12 always delayed egress locks, and there's 13 also -- what's the other one? Right, there's 14 delayed eqress locks, and there's another way 15 of locking a door with a sensor and all that 16 stuff so you can walk out of it, so if it meets 17 the intent -- we strictly go by the life safety 18 code, and what it tell us you're allowed to do 19 a door to eqress.

20 CHAIR: Right. So, at Stoneman Douglas, 21 move on from that, at Stoneman Douglas there 22 were a whole bunch of classroom doors that 23 could only, only be secured from the outside --24 CHIEF DROZD: That's correct. 25 CHAIR: By placing a key in the door, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

those classroom doors could not be locked or 1 2 secured in any fashion from the inside. 3 CHIEF DROZD: That's correct, and --CHAIR: Let me finish. 4 5 CHIEF DROZD: -- more than Stoneman 6 Douglas, there's quite a few like that in the 7 state. There are. So, my question is, is 8 CHAIR: 9 there anything in the fire prevention code, and 10 statute, and rule, anything that you've talked 11 about, or anything that you're familiar with 12 that would have necessitated or required the 13 school district to set the classroom door up in 14 that way? 15 CHIEF DROZD: No. From my understand -- I 16 will not give my opinion, but no, not that I'm 17 aware of. 18 CHAIR: Okay. All right, so go back for a 19 second to the beginning of your presentation, 20 and on Page 2 and, I'm sorry, Page 3, and then 21 I think going over to Page 5, you talk about 2.2 drills, and I think it's going to be about the 23 fourth slide, and it says that drills for 24 active shooter and hostage situations shall be 25 conducted at least as often as other emergency

drills, and then you go over to Page 5 and it 1 2 says that these drills have to be conducted at 3 least every month. So, I want to make sure I understand this, is, is that putting those two 4 5 together is, is that it says that these 6 emergency egress drills, meaning fire drills, have to be conducted at least once a month, one 7 has to be conducted in the first thirty days 8 9 that school is back in session, and that there 10 has to be a minimum of four that are conducted, 11 it says in here, is that there has to be, not 12 less than four shall be conducted before the 13 drills are deferred. 14

14So, fire drills have to be conducted every15month, and there has to be one at least within16thirty days of --

17 CHIEF DROZD: Yeah, and then the first 18 three can be training, so they, then you 19 continue on with regular fire drills, and then 20 it talks about inclimate weather and --

21 CHAIR: Right, but don't -- but they have 22 to be done every month. Okay, so in 23 interpreting the, because this is new, new 24 language in 1006-074 in this slide where it 25 says that drills for active shooter and hostage

situation shall be conducted at least as often 1 2 as other emergency drills. Does the fire marshal's office have a view, because there's a 3 number of emergency drills, there's fire 4 5 drills, now there's active assailant drills, 6 and there's other drills, emergency drills that 7 are in here, do you have a view on, or an interpretation of that -- the fire drills have 8 to be conducted every month, is it just some 9 10 drill has to be conducted every month or is it 11 a fire drill and an active shooter drill, or do 12 you have a position on that? 13 CHIEF DROZD: Number two is what the code 14 says now. 15 CHAIR: Both. 16 CHIEF DROZD: We have researched and 17 talked to several states through the National 18 Fire Marshal Association, and some states, if 19 it's a sprinklered building they may drop it a 20 couple of drills, and we're looking at all of 21 that. I'm just going by what the code says. 2.2 CHAIR: That you have to do an active 23 shooter drill as often as you do a fire drill. 24 CHIEF DROZD: As often as you do a fire drill. 25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

CHAIR: So, that means doing an active shooter drill every month.

CHIEF DROZD: That means sixteen drills a year.

CHAIR: Right. I agree with you. I'm not sure everybody in the state is interpreting it that way. All right, is there any requirement in the fire code, statutes, et cetera, that require that there be windows in classroom doors?

11 CHIEF DROZD: There -- there is a 12 requirement. If you don't that would be 13 considered a windowless building and it would 14 have to be sprinklered. There is requirements 15 there is means of egress and there's means of 16 escape.

CHAIR: Can you move over to the microphone because I can't hear you?

19 CHIEF DROZD: I'm sorry, I'm not used to 20 this. There are requirements there's means of 21 egress, which are doors to get out. There's 22 also a requirement, and I believe it's in 23 NESREF, for means of escape, so you have to 24 have one window designated as a means of 25 escape.

CHAIR: Well, if you have a window that is 1 2 two inches wide and fifteen inches tall nobody 3 can escape through that window. CHIEF DROZD: Right, that's why you have 4 5 to have one window, and the requirements for that window are designed in the code. 6 7 CHAIR: So, there has to be, there has to be a window in the classroom --8 9 CHIEF DROZD: Accessible to get the kids 10 out. 11 CHAIR: Well, but you can't -- the kids 12 can't get through that window, it's mainly a 13 visual window for the classroom. CHIEF DROZD: Well, no, there's one window 14 15 that's designated as a means of escape window, 16 and it's a different --17 CHAIR: Okay, so that's not my question. 18 My question is in the classroom doors themselves, like in Stoneman Douglas --19 20 CHIEF DROZD: Oh, is there a window 21 requirement? 2.2 CHAIR: Is there a window -- in the 23 classroom doors for every classroom there were 24 very small windows that --25 CHIEF DROZD: Yes.

CHAIR: And those windows are the windows 1 2 that allowed the shooter to shoot through and 3 target specific --CHIEF DROZD: That's correct. 4 5 -- students that were in line of CHATR: 6 sight of his sight, so my question is, is that 7 is there a requirement that those windows be in the doors, or could windows in classroom doors 8 9 be eliminated? CHIEF DROZD: I'm not -- I'm --10 11 CHAIR: Under the fire -- under the fire 12 code? 13 CHIEF DROZD: I don't believe there's a 14 fire code requirement as far as window. In a 15 rated door you can have a small window, large 16 window, they've got different requirements for 17 rates doors, so I believe it can be a solid door as far as the fire code is concerned. 18 19 CHAIR: Okay, does anybody else have any 20 other questions? Senator Book. 21 SEN. BOOK: I apologize, Mr. Chair, thank 2.2 I just want to go back to make sure that you. 23 I fully understand the voice system, the voice 24 alarm system with the three hundred -- what do 25 we call it, the voice evac system, over three

hundred people. Is that per classroom or, I mean because one would argue that --

CHIEF DROZD: That's per classroom. 3 Each -- each area is designated, and you can have 4 5 multiple us areas, so each area would be designated. Like this room would be different 6 7 from the rink. I'm, sorry, this room would be different from the rink area, you know, it 8 9 would be separate, they have separate exits for 10 this area, so each, each room would be 11 different. When we do a university it's 12 actually -- universities don't come under 13 educational they come under business occupancy. 14 Once you get to that grade level you become a 15 business, and then anything over fifty, a room 16 itself is considered an assembly for that one 17 room, not for the entire area. 18 SEN. BOOK: So, a cafeteria, or a --19 CHIEF DROZD: A cafeteria open area would 20 be probably over three hundred. 21 SEN. BOOK: And so then technically they 2.2 would have to have a voice over evac system? 23 CHIEF DROZD: Yes, ma'am. That's in -that's all in the life safety code. 24

Veritext Legal Solutions

SEN. BOOK: And does that -- did that

25

1

Page 147 exist at Douglas? 1 2 CHIEF DROZD: Excuse me? SEN. BOOK: Did that exist at Stoneman 3 4 Douglas? 5 CHIEF DROZD: In -- in the which area, the cafeteria area? 6 7 SEN. BOOK: Well, okay. CHIEF DROZD: I didn't go there so I don't 8 9 know how large it is. 10 SEN. BOOK: Okay. Okay. I'm sorry. 11 CHIEF DROZD: 12 CHAIR: So -- so you said in your 13 presentation that you can have a delay in 14 response with certain criteria, and it can be 15 delayed for up to a hundred eighty seconds, 16 correct? 17 CHIEF DROZD: Yes, sir. 18 CHAIR: So -- but what you said is that 19 based on your knowledge at Stoneman Douglas 20 there was no such system in place to delay the 21 response so that nobody -- when the fire alarm 2.2 goes off you can have, as long as you have the 23 right policies and protocols in place, and 24 somebody is trained properly they can then view and assess the situation. Based upon that 25

Veritext Legal Solutions

viewing and assessing of the situation, and 1 2 whether it's through cameras or through other information, however they get it, they have up 3 to a hundred eighty seconds to make a 4 5 determination as to whether to effect the 6 evacuation, effect the response, or they have a 7 right, or they could cancel it, is that the, is that accurate? 8

9 CHIEF DROZD: That's correct, that's very 10 accurate.

11 So, in this situation if there had CHATR: 12 been somebody in place when that alarm went off 13 -- and to be clear for everybody, and again 14 this is, I think we talked about this before, and there is nothing in the evidence at all 15 that Cruz activated the fire alarm. He didn't 16 17 pull a fire alarm, he didn't activate a fire The fire alarm -- the fire alarm went 18 alarm. off because of the shots that were fired and 19 20 the percussion, and it set off the fire alarm. 21 And so, if somebody had then viewed,

received information, there is a code red put out over the radio, is if they had a process in place where the alarm being activated was evaluated somebody could have within a hundred

eighty seconds said don't evacuate, shelter in 1 2 place, and done an override of that, correct? 3 CHIEF DROZD: Yes, sir. CHAIR: Okay. All right, Commissioner. 4 5 CHIEF DROZD: The alarm, you have up to a hundred eighty seconds before the alarm 6 7 actually --CHAIR: Right, so nobody would -- right, 8 9 so nobody would have known. But what I'm 10 saying is -- that's what I'm saying is, is the 11 person could have either, with a hundred eighty 12 seconds is, is that either they could have, if 13 somebody had known about it they could have 14 rescinded it, or just prevented the fire alarm 15 from going off, and then it would not have 16 triggered the evacuation response on the third 17 floor like it did. 18 CHIEF DROZD: That's correct. CHAIR: Okay, Commissioner. 19 20 SEC. JUNIOR: Just quickly a different 21 subject. Is there any difference, we've talked a lot about the schools, but a lot of the 2.2 23 classrooms are portable. Is there anything different in the fire code for the portable 24 25 classroom?

CHIEF DROZD: Yes, that's in NESREF. That's the educational code. There are requirements as far a fire alarm for portables, and that's strictly through the educational facilities.

CHAIR: Yes, go ahead.

7 MS. LARKIN SKINNER: You mentioned that 8 since 2009 when you became involved with the 9 state fire marshal's office that the authority 10 for fire safety has switched back and forth, is 11 that, did I understand that correct, between 12 DOE and the state fire marshal?

13 CHIEF HALAS: That's actually over a 14 couple of decades. Years ago it was required 15 that all local fire inspectors went to all 16 schools and Department of Education felt that 17 some of those cities and counties charged a 18 user fee, like we do sometimes with, you know, 19 law enforcement or fire service at a football 20 game, or whatever there may be a certain stand 21 by fee, so the Department of Education part of 2.2 it was that they felt that they could hire 23 their own inspectors, meet all the regulations, 24 and so back in 2010 when that changed given 25 them the, basically the authority having

1

2

3

4

5

jurisdiction for K-12 and the community colleges, the fire service wanted also to have access for pre fire drills or courtesy inspection, so that was compromised in the legislation.

6 And so right now Department of Education 7 hires fire inspectors that meet our state certification, and local, city, and county fire 8 inspectors and fire marshals are allowed to go 9 10 in and do a courtesy inspection, but they can't 11 charge a fee, so that DOE is not then bearing 12 multiple costs. So, that's the current 13 legislation for about the last eight years.

14 MS. LARKIN SKINNER: I see. So, my -- so 15 my follow up question was going to be do you 16 know the logic behind it, which you clearly 17 explained. I just wanted to express some 18 concern I have. It seems to me the state fire 19 marshal's office is the experts, and this 20 should like with the experts. It's just my 21 opinion.

22 CHIEF DROZD: I can -- just to -- just to 23 add one thing to that, there used to be a 24 regulation for public school employees to go 25 through a special fire safety inspector. That

1

2

3

4

is now gone. They have to be as certified as I 1 2 am to go in and do a fire safety inspection on 3 a public school. So, some things have changed, and, and not everything, you know, some things 4 5 have changed for the better. As far as the 6 safety inspector going through, have the 7 classes, and just the public-school part, now they're certified inspectors just like I am. 8 9 So, just to add that.

10 Okay, we need to kind of move on CHAIR: 11 the next presentation, but I'm going to add, 12 take the privilege of editorial comment. Yeah, 13 but there are inspectors who are reporting and 14 inspecting, and they're reporting to their boss 15 within the Department of Education. It's not 16 an independent inspection like the fire 17 marshals' office doing it. I think that's the 18 And when -- and when -- we had this concern. 19 discussion. And when you told me, and it gives 20 me great concern about this, and I think it's 21 ridiculous that any governmental entity 2.2 operates this way, that a reason for doing this 23 is because local fire departments and local fire service were charging school districts to 24 25 do inspections, and this has gone back and

forth because it's a money thing between local 1 2 fire inspectors wanting to charge the schools 3 is, is that government should never operate that way, and one governmental entity charging 4 5 another governmental entity to do a public safety service is ridiculous, and shouldn't be 6 7 a reason why we have to make these types of 8 changes, and then create these, you know, 9 different ways of doing things, and then you 10 have these silos, and then you have a fire 11 inspection entity for K-12 that's different 12 from the fire marshals' office, so that's just 13 my opinion.

14 CHIEF HALAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It's 15 been an honor to be here, and thank you for the 16 invitation, and we appreciate it. And would 17 you like me to bring up Chief Drozd, or do you 18 want to handle that, sir?

19CHAIR: He can come up. And Sheriff Judd20had a comment, go ahead.

21 SHER. JUDD: As we wrap this up then I 22 would like to ask, these gentlemen are the 23 subject matter experts, why we're making 24 recommendations. It seems to me if we got our 25 subject matter experts in active shooters and

said, look, you guys develop this plan, tweak 1 2 this plan, to me it would, I would like, from 3 one commissioner's perspective, let's don't try to redo our -- they have all the historical 4 5 knowledge, let's just give them another set of 6 criteria and say help us figure out an active 7 shooter plan that meshes with the fire plan. They've done it. 8

9 They've got the, you know, the historical 10 knowledge, the institutional knowledge, and I 11 would like to see that as a recommendation, and 12 us put subject matter experts and say here are 13 our issues, you've got a, you've got a 14 fantastic system when you look, I understand in 15 maybe the United States a child hasn't died in 16 a fire in like forty eight years, or something 17 like that, so just help us figure out how we 18 blend our issues with your fire system so that 19 the system in place, or the system we need in 20 place, accomplishes both.

21 UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Sheriff, a very 22 brief question. Regarding the, some of the 23 devices relative to securing the doors, as 24 first responders we obviously have some 25 competing tactical priorities, protection

versus egress, is there a difference in the code, or an exclusion in the code relative to a device that is permanently affixed versus one that could be temporarily affixed to secure the door itself?

6 CHIEF HALAS: Not -- not to my knowledge. 7 I mean there's way to protect it, but the 8 problem is, is when it's an act -- I mean 9 correct me if I'm wrong, Chief, please, but 10 when there's an active shooter situation, yes, 11 there's, you protect a door. If there's not 12 and this device is used then it's against all 13 fire codes ever written, and that's the 14 problem, is when, when do you use it and when 15 do you not, and it's there, and, you know, 16 what's good for a high school kid may not be 17 good for an elementary child, and they're the 18 same code, so what an adult could do a second 19 grader may not be able to do.

20 So, it's, it's just there's ways that, 21 that we showed on the slide presentation that 22 you can lock doors. There's also an NFPA 23 standard 3000 that is an active shooter 24 standard that we're also looking at. 25 CHIEF DROZD: And that's going to be

1

2

3

4

presented next.

1

2

3

CHAIR: So, I think that gives us a good segue way into --

CHIEF HALAS: And that's a good seque way. 4 5 CHAIR: A good sedge way into the next --6 CHIEF HALAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. What 7 you'll see from Chief Otto Drozd and the Florida Fire Chief Association, the Sheriff 8 9 maintained about having fire service involved 10 in active shooter, we certainly would, they 11 would welcome to be on that task force. So, I 12 think you're right, Mr. Chair, it would be a 13 perfect segue way to Chief Drozd. Thank you 14 very much everybody, I appreciate your time. 15 CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you. Chief, 16 welcome.

17 CHIEF DROZD: Good morning. It's 18 certainly an honor to be here and present on 19 NFPA 3000. And really a lot of the discussion 20 is really relevant to what we're doing with 21 NFPA 3000, because I don't think they're 2.2 competing interests, it's just finding the 23 common ground between both of those interests, 24 and I think there's a way to do that. And as a 25 background, I'm not going to go deep into the

numbers, but certainly if we look at the statistics on active shooter throughout the United States the history goes back all the way to the Texas Tower shooting in 1965 on up through Columbine, Aurora, and some of the foundational events that have happened.

7 And the reason that I pause here for a second is because between 2000 and 2013, we've 8 9 already in just the last two years, surpassed 10 fifty percent of all the active shooter deaths 11 that happened during that time frame, and 12 they're just growing. And if you look at the 13 code provisions within, within the fire codes, 14 within many of those, they come in the heels of 15 events like we're discussing today.

Now, if we look at incident by location 16 17 this is, well known commercial occupancies are 18 the top. Certainly, schools account for a 19 great number of these, and then you have some 20 of your outdoor events, as well as some of your 21 churches and other occupancies. Now, if we 2.2 look at the incidents in 2016 and 2017, 23 certainly fifty incidents in twenty-one states. 24 If we look and go back through the history 25 there's over, there's about forty states that

1

2

3

4

5

there's been an active shooter within, so really this commission, it's timely, what we're doing, and certainly NFPA 3000 really goes to address that.

5 And the reason that I got involved, 6 certainly Orlando is located within Orange 7 County, and we were the largest mutual aid responder on the fire side of the equation with 8 9 over eighteen units, and over forty personnel 10 there, so as many of you are gathered here 11 today I started asking myself the same 12 questions that you're asking yourselves, how can we do this better, how can we protect our 13 14 community, how can we protect our children.

And really when I went and looked at all the, the school of knowledge that was out there, there was an alphabet soup of guidance, best practice, standard operating procedures, from a host of different agencies, so really what we looked at were the common after-action items.

22 Communication inner operability; now, 23 there's a few issues here. It's not only 24 disparate systems at times, it's on the law 25 enforcement sometimes you have encrypted

1

2

3

systems that limit communication with the other entities that are on the scene of an active shooter. Then there's common terminology. I grew up in my public safety career in South Florida where they used Q codes and 10 codes, so the terminology is something that we addressed within, within the standard.

No responder integration; there's 8 9 different schools of thoughts on where fire and 10 EMS should be operating relative to police. Ι 11 know there's some fire chiefs that believe to 12 this day that their place is in the cold zone. 13 And we'll get into the different zones. And 14 really, I believe our place as public safety is 15 where we can give the most people the best 16 chance at survival, and that I believe is in 17 the warm zone under a rescue task force 18 configuration.

Now, in Aurora, Colorado one of the issues was they waited too, too long for their ambulances. Now, that's not on one side or the other, that's on both sides. Now, when we talk about the integrated response, they waited too long for their ambulances, well, the ambulances couldn't get there because there was police

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Veritext Legal Solutions

cars blocking all the entryways. So, that's really a function of working together, and really planning together, training together. And in some cases, responders in some incidents wouldn't go in until the entire area was secure, and that's a problem that we need to address in a unified manner as well.

Lack of unified command has played itself 8 9 out in many different instances amongst many of 10 the active shooters, and some of the, some of 11 the ones that went the very best -- in fact I 12 spoke to the fire chief in Las Vegas recently 13 where I presented this, this program, and they 14 had been working on incident command at a much 15 higher level than most agencies within Florida, 16 and within the nation. In fact, what they do 17 there is they do it from the ground level. 18 They send their officers to the police academy to teach incident command in the training 19 20 academy.

So, you know, one of the things when I go around an speak is I try to, to highlight that in order for us to protect and do the most good it has to be muscle memory. It can't be something that we do every once in a while, it

1

2

3

4

5

6

has to be something that we have a focused, a focused training on a regular basis between all of our agencies.

4 Now, public information; certainly, this has played out in many incidents where, you 5 6 know, in some of the very best ones you see a 7 unified front in communicating the information, communicating it early. In some of the more 8 9 chaotic ones you may have three or four 10 unification centers, and you have people coming 11 in from different areas that really don't have 12 the information they need in order to get where 13 they need to go.

14 The status of loved ones; now, how do we 15 overcome some of the HIPAA issues between the hospitals, that's one of the things that has 16 17 played out as well. When you're transporting 18 in a very rapid manner off of the scene, 19 whether it be in the back of a police car, 20 whether it be in an ambulance or a pickup 21 truck, and you don't have all the information, 2.2 we have to break down some of the barriers 23 between the hospitals and those people that are 24 trying to unify their family members with the 25 victims of these types of events.

1

2

And lack of tools and equipment and 1 2 training resources; I can tell you right now 3 for the grants that are available through the state, through the federal government, there 4 5 isn't a high priority on establishing those 6 rescue task forces yet, but that's something that through the work of groups like this, some 7 of the work that we're doing, certainly at the 8 9 Domestic Security Oversight Commission, I 10 remember we had put that in as a priority but it didn't make the cut. But I believe as we go 11 12 through this it needs to become a higher 13 priority, so we can outfit and train on a 14 regular basis so that we can, you know, again 15 be in the best position to do the most good. 16 Now, why an NFPA; there's some very simple

17 reasons. NFPA is a time-tested system. It's a 18 national consensus standard. It's an 19 accredited process through the American 20 National Standards Institute, and when we talk 21 about NFPA they have a hundred and twenty-year 2.2 history of building over three hundred 23 standards. Their largest standard has nothing to do with the fire service, it has to do with 24 the national electrical code. So, I have the 25

advantage of being the current President of the Florida Fire Chiefs, the current President of the Metropolitan Fire Chiefs, so what I was able to do after the Pulse incident was gather a group together, build some consensus to, and write a proposal to NFPA.

7 And it was written in a very simple way, what I wanted was a cross functional standard 8 9 that included everybody that would be on the 10 scene of an active shooter, so that in the 11 development of it we do have that integration 12 of the needs of law enforcement, we do have 13 that integration of the needs of EMS, of fire, 14 and everybody else that has an active role 15 within the response and recover to these types 16 of events.

17 Now, when we look at the technical 18 committee we have over fifty people, we have 19 fifty members on the technical committee, and 20 one of the things that we asked ourselves when 21 we established the committee is not who was on 22 the committee but who was missing from the 23 committee, because in order for it to be a 24 comprehensive standard that would account for 25 all the different eventualities, and all the

1

2

3

4

5

concerns that surround these types of events, we wanted to have the right players on the committee.

4 So, you can see we have, we have state, 5 federal government, the DHS, DOJ, DOD. We have labor unions, because we wanted volume from the 6 7 labor unions, not only on the fire side but the police side. We had fire associations. 8 We had 9 police associations. We had physicians. In 10 fact, out of all the standards that have been 11 promulgated by the NFPA this one got the most 12 public comments and the most applications. And 13 that's why I believe this is really something 14 that is going to give communities the best 15 chance to mitigate these types of events, give 16 them a process to plan, respond and recover 17 appropriately, and in a unified manner.

18 Now, the principal is based on whole 19 community, unified command, integrated 20 response, and a planned recovery. When we talk 21 about the whole community approach, that's 2.2 where we talk about who's missing from the 23 table, because what we wanted to do was get the 24 different perspectives. In fact, you know, in 25 a lot of my staff meetings what I do is I have

1

2

my command staff, and what I've been doing for the last year or so is I've been bringing in my field crews, my firefighters, my engineers, my lieutenants, my captains, and I have them sit at our staff meetings for a very simple reason, because perspective depends on where you're standing, and when you apply it to active shooter I think the more perspectives of those players that are going to be required to respond and mitigate the incident the better off and more robust the standard will become.

12 Now, when we talk about unified command, 13 certainly we want it in the beginning phases. 14 And this is something where on the fire side 15 we've been doing unified command for a long 16 time, so in the standard what you'll find is 17 the police influence there as well, because we 18 know that the first priority is to eliminate 19 the threat, or kill the shooter, so we want 20 that to happen. So, the way it's written 21 within the standard is there will be a unified 2.2 command as soon as practicable within that. 23 Now, the agencies that are within that

command post, whether it be fire, whether it belaw enforcement, EMS, whether it be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

representatives from a school board, if, if the shooting is on a school campus they should be there because they're going to have certain knowledge bases that the others may not have. That doesn't mean that they're commanding each other's resources, it's they're giving input that could be critical to the mitigation of the incident.

9 Now, face to face is critical because all 10 communication loses something as it 11 transitions. The face to face gives everybody 12 the opportunity of having certainly not only 13 the information in a timely manner, but 14 certainly there's a lot of non-verbal cues that 15 we can get from that face to face communication, and I think that is a key, and 16 17 certainly valuable.

18 Now, when we look at risk assessments and 19 size up, that's a continuous thing, and when we 20 look at the different zones, and I'm going to get into that a little bit, when we look at the 21 2.2 cold zones, the warm zones, the hot zones, 23 within an incident based on the ongoing risk assessment those can ebb and flow. 24 The cold zone can become bigger, the hot zone becomes 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

smaller as time goes, goes on, and the warm 1 2 zone can enlarge. And when we look at --CHAIR: So -- I'm just going to interrupt 3 you for a second, just in the essence of time, 4 5 but you have people on here that don't even 6 know the difference between a cold, warm, and 7 hot, so as you're using those terms if you would define them, because a whole bunch of 8 9 people have no clue. 10 CHIEF DROZD: Right. 11 I know, and I know others do, but CHAIR: 12 there's a whole bunch who don't. 13 CHIEF DROZD: Sure. And I was going to 14 get to that, Sheriff, and I will right now. 15 When we look at a hot zone that's the area of 16 known hazard or immediate threat, so in an 17 active shooter that would be where the shooter 18 is at, where he is firing. When we look at a warm zone that's an area that has been cleared 19 20 or isolated by law enforcement. There's still 21 an indirect threat, but it hasn't been secured. 2.2 And when we look at a cold zone there's little 23 to no threat within a cold zone, and that's 24 generally the area where you set up your 25 command post and your casualty collection

points. And that's where you can do a lot of the work prior to getting the patients to the hospital.

So, when we look at facility preparedness, 4 5 one of the discussions here was about the alarms and the notifications, so one of the 6 7 things that we considered within NFPA 3000 was really looking at the alarm systems and how 8 9 they integrate. Right now, any of you can 10 take, and for a different phone call coming 11 into your cell phone you can assign a different 12 ring tone, and one doesn't interrupt the other, 13 they're all individual. We have the technology 14 to do that with our alarm systems, it's just 15 putting in the code and demanding it.

16 They talked about some of the alarms, and 17 certainly people will always go the minimum 18 requirement. What we're looking for is what's 19 the minimum requirement that's going to keep 20 our people safe. Right now, in my fire 21 stations can I can, as, as the alarm goes off I 2.2 can determine the different call by a different 23 color signal, so what if in the classrooms we 24 had a red, blue, and yellow light that meant 25 something different to give a visual cue, so

1

2

you don't have the incidents of one alarm talking over the other. So, those are some of the things that we looked at.

Now, this is really where we get into the 4 5 competencies, and the competencies for law 6 enforcement and fire, they cover the same 7 things. What the code was, what NFPA was designed to do, it was designed to grow, 8 9 because when we stop active shooter from 10 happening then the next event is going to 11 happen, and it's not only going to happen, it's 12 going to be peeking its head around the corner, 13 so what we wanted to do was be able to adapt to 14 the incidents that would happen, so within 15 there we have competencies for shooter, ramming 16 vehicle, IEDs, fire, and IDLH, which is 17 immediately dangerous to life and health, 18 because if you look at some of the events that 19 have happened in the past they use smoke as a 20 driving force.

In fact, in Anne Arundel County that just happened, and each, I've done this presentation maybe forty times around the country and outside of the United States, now, there has been a significant amount of times when I've

1

2

been giving the presentation that an event has happened. The last time I was in Alabama and Anne Arundel happened at the Gazette newspaper. Now, what happened there is they had smoke grenades, and those were to be used to drive people into a certain area, and that's my understanding from talking to some of the people there.

9 So, what we want to do is really prepare 10 both law enforcement and fire to have the 11 competencies to operate within the areas where 12 we need them to operate when we need them to 13 operate in a unified manner. So, the 14 competencies are divided by zone, so if, you 15 know, if you want to operate solely in the cold 16 zone, which I don't advocate for, they have to 17 have certain competencies. I think where we 18 can do the most good is operating in that area of limited threat, where we can save the most 19 20 lives, and that is where we need to really have 21 that cross functional training, equip both fire 2.2 and police appropriately, and that's covered within the standard. 23

Now, when we look at the rescue task
force, that's designed to operate within the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

warm zone, and within the warm zone means 1 2 police go in and, and certainly get towards the 3 threat, but when it's that area of limited threat where there are down victims we need to 4 5 be able to go in, go in rapidly as part of a 6 rescue task force, and the way we do it in 7 Orange County, our rescue task force is set up with four police officers and three fire 8 9 fighters, and they have the ballistic 10 protection, they have the equipment to stop the 11 bleeding, or stop the dying, because really it 12 comes down to a pretty simple narrative, stop 13 the killing and stop the dying. Those are the 14 two things that we want to do, and we want to 15 do quickly if we're going to be good at, at 16 certainly what we need to be. 17 So, the rescue task force takes training, 18 it has to be that muscle memory, so I would really submit to the committee when, or to the

really submit to the committee when, or to the commission, when you're looking at your recommendations really look at how we're going to train statewide our firefighters to integrate with our law enforcement, our EMS personnel to integrate with our law enforcement personnel so we can get to the position within 1 2 a building where we can do the most good in the most timely manner.

3 Now, the equipment that's a requirement within the standard, on the police side 4 5 certainly we look at the vest, the weapon, the 6 identifiable garment, and on the fire and EMS side it's the vest, means of communication, and 7 8 identifiable garment. And those are the 9 minimums. Certainly, there's different ways to 10 do this. And this has been a point of 11 contention, and certainly, you know, I 12 advocate, and the way that we do it is that I 13 have ballistic equipment on every riding seat 14 on every unit within our department. There's 15 others that have a cache of equipment and bring 16 the cache to the scene. There's others that 17 have it on their battalion units, or 18 supervisor's unit, and bring it to the scene. 19 Now, there's a downfall with each of

those. Certainly, if you have it on every riding position there's an expense. If you have it in a cache, there's a time delay in getting it to the scene and having the folks us it appropriately. As we witnessed in the Fort Lauderdale Airport shooting, they had the

equipment on their battalion units, but their battalion units within the incident command system were assigned to a different branch or division, or different group, and the equipment was not where the people had the need for the equipment, so that's why I'm a huge advocate for outfitting our folks.

Now, policy wise there's different ways of 8 9 doing it. Certainly, we have it on every 10 riding position, and we classify every call now 11 as a potentially violent situation, and we, and 12 that could be the active shooter, it could be 13 the run of the mill shooting, but we have that 14 equipment because I want them to use it on a 15 daily basis so when the large event happens 16 they'll be used to using the equipment.

17 We also send the battalion chief, and now 18 within Orange County within any time there's a 19 fire alarm that rings within a school the 20 sheriff is sending his unit to that, to that 21 fire alarm. It's not changing our operation, 2.2 but it's getting the resources to the point 23 where they may be needed and do the most good. 24 And there's different configurations. In Las Vegas, I spoke to them, and when a fire alarm 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

goes off there's a three-minute delay before 1 2 the audible portion goes off within a school, 3 so they've changed that way. And there's ways to, to really mesh the needs of the fire side, 4 5 and I believe the active shooter side, because, 6 you know, if you have a problem with your left 7 hand you're not going to disregard your right hand in order to, to prop your left hand, 8 because you need both of them. And I think we 9 10 can mesh it through, and that's what we're 11 doing, and that's why we got all the different 12 perspectives on NFPA 3000.

13 Now certainly community warnings 14 notifications is addressed, the messaging to 15 the public through social media, direct 16 messaging is addressed within the standard, and 17 then public education. Now, this is one that 18 we really need to focus on, especially within our schools within our other commercial 19 20 occupancies because an active shooter, if you 21 look at the statistics it's over very quickly, 2.2 but it takes time to go in and clear and secure 23 a building.

24Now, during that time the people that are25in the best position to do the most good are

the occupants of the building, and that's why the stop the bleed campaigns, the run, hide, and fight, CPR, all those things are things that, that we should be focusing on, because hemorrhage control is the number one killer within these types of incidents, and that's addressed within the standard as well. And then we talk about a planned recover, and when I say planned that's the early recovery all the way through the long-term recovery, where are you going to put those unification centers, how long are they going to be, identify those places within each of the communities.

14 Now, when we look at the call tax, and 15 certainly NFPA has developed the standard based 16 on consensus of all those groups that were involved within there, and we had people from 17 18 all the different types of incidents, and I'm not sure if the video will come up or not. 19 Do 20 we have the video? I'm not sure. Well, in any 21 case that is the end of the presentation. 2.2 Certainly, I'm happy, I ran through there quick 23 in consideration of time, but I'm happy to 24 answer any questions.

CHAIR: Appreciate it. Does anybody have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

any questions for the Chief? Senator Book.

SEN. BOOK: Thank you so much, Mr. Chair. And thank you for your presentation. One of things that I've heard was that the unification was a difficult process, a lot of folks came in, there weren't a lot of opportunities to create a safe space for these families when they were getting very, very, very, very, very tragic information about family members. What is the best practice?

11 CHIEF DROZD: Well, when we talk about a 12 planned recovery the best practice is to really 13 have a place already designated. So, when we look at the Pulse incident, the unification 14 15 center changed three times before we have the 16 one that's open today and continues to provide 17 those services for the family. In Anne Arundel 18 County that just happened, they had the, they 19 had the fortune of having an exercise at a mall 20 that was right next to the newspaper building, 21 and they already had a store that was 2.2 designated as the unification center, and they 23 had practiced that within the two weeks prior 24 to the shooting, so for them they already had 25 that in mind. They used the same exact store

800-726-7007

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 that they had trained on.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

And this brings up the point, we have to train on it, whether it be unification, whether it be any other portion of the plan, it has to be something that has some forethought if we're going to be good at it.

CHAIR: Anybody else, any other questions? Sheriff Judd.

9 SHER. JUDD: Do you agree or disagree that 10 with the expertise that the fire service 11 already has in the alarm systems process that 12 we need to send our subject matter experts to 13 you as part of this commission recommendation, 14 and give you all our data, and let you all 15 figure through this system with us?

16 CHIEF DROZD: I think it goes beyond that, 17 but to answer your question directly I agree. 18 Where it goes is that we don't want you just to 19 give us your data, we want you to be at the 20 table, because I think that's where we're going 21 to get to the best solution.

SHER. JUDD: Absolutely. The subject matter experts -- we just don't -- I don't think we on our side need to recreate this wheel, but as a commission we need to take the

expertise that we already have in public safety, and if it's not already occurring, and it is in many jurisdictions, it is in ours, we're fortunate, but we force that. The second question, how -- is NFPA 3000, is this still a work in progress, or is this completed?

7 CHIEF DROZD: A very unique thing happened with NFPA 3000. Only for the second time in 8 9 NFPA's hundred twenty-year history they 10 released it as a provisional standard, so it is 11 out there, it is an active standard, but the 12 difference is usually a standard takes 13 thirty-six months, we did it in twelve because 14 the need was out there within our nation. So, 15 we're going right back to work, and it will be 16 open for public comment.

17 And here's -- here's the thing. When we 18 talk about the Florida fire prevention code 19 rules, and all those things, NFPA has the 20 standards. Now, there's a process to make 21 changes within those standards, even today, if 2.2 it's of a critical enough need there's a process that's called a technical interim 23 amendment that can be submitted and considered 24 25 by any one of those standards councils.

1

2

3

4

5

SHER. JUDD: Last question. 1 I'm the 2 President of the Major County Sheriffs of America Association, and I notice we're not 3 here. Are you still open to have other major 4 5 partners involved in this? 6 CHIEF DROZD: If you want somebody on that 7 committee we can get together and I will make sure that they are on that committee, because 8 9 like I said we want everybody's perspective 10 involved. 11 SHER. JUDD: We'd like to be involved. 12 CHIEF DROZD: Okay, great. 13 SHER. JUDD: Thanks. 14 CHIEF DROZD: And just one closing 15 comment. One of the things that we look at is 16 community wide risk assessments, and one of the 17 thing, the next area of endeavor for NFPA 3000 18 that I've asked them to do through their 19 research arm of NFPA is really to look at, 20 because I get, well, our community is small 21 versus the large community, we know it can 2.2 happen anywhere, if it happened in Sutherland 23 Springs, Texas we know it can happen anywhere, I'm asking them to look at the economic and 24 25 psychological impacts of an active shooter

within a community, because I believe the long term costs, the long term impacts within communities will outweigh any costs that it takes to outfit and train our personnel to be effective in what our community not only needs but demands.

7 CHAIR: So, one of the reasons why we wanted to introduce this topic, and I 8 9 appreciate, Chief, you being here and 10 representing the state fire chiefs, is because 11 there is, and those of you that are not 12 familiar, those who are not involved in first 13 responder activities, there's a lot of 14 inconsistency around Florida as far as whether 15 there are rescue task forces, how the rescue 16 task forces operate, whether fire departments 17 will stay in the cold zone, go into the warm 18 zone, go into the hot zone, what equipment, 19 what training, and one of the things that 20 wasn't mentioned, and it's normal protocol 21 around the state, and it varies to the extent, 2.2 is the concept of staging, is, is that the fire 23 service as a general rule in a situation like 24 this, and many others that occur every day, 25 they stage, they stand back, they wait for law

1

2

3

4

5

1

enforcement to clear the scene.

There's a lot of room, and a lot of 2 opportunity for discussion in taking it to new 3 heights, into a new place with good 4 5 cooperation, and interagency cooperation, but 6 the discussion is just beginning in this area 7 more than anything else, and probably one of the best models that I know of around the 8 9 country is Las Vegas. I think Las Vegas has 10 been a leader in this, and Sheriff Lombardo out 11 there, and the fire chief out there, and that 12 led to great success during that tragedy that 13 they had in Las Vegas.

But I wanted to introduce this topic, and we certainly appreciate you being here. This is not the last that we're going to discuss it, and it needs to be a part of what we consider going forward, so we thank you, and we look forward to working with you as we move it forward. Mr. Schachter go ahead.

21 MR. SCHACHTER: I just want to ask one 22 more question. I know we're going to talk 23 about the comms, and the communications 24 problems later. I was just curious, does NFPA, 25 will their mandates fix any of the

communications problems that we have, we had at Marjory Stoneman Douglas, and obviously, you know, different municipalities use different radio systems, and lots of problems in that area in throttling. Will this fix any of that, or --

CHIEF DROZD: So, what the standard does is it outlines what should be in a plan for communications, so I will believe it will fix not only the communications piece but, but it will give the impetus to, to a lot of people that have been operating a certain way, because in the fire service we operate on standards, and once a standard is out then, then there's more of an impetus to move in that direction.

16 CHAIR: Because I know where this is going 17 I want to make sure that we're clear on this, 18 is that that standard, okay, is not binding on 19 law enforcement agencies, that standard is not binding on county communications facilities, et 20 21 cetera, so to the extent it's going to, is that 2.2 that standard is not going to be binding. 23 You're going to hear about this Wednesday. Ι 24 think that's, you know, it's probably best to 25 get into it with the people that are here

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Wednesday, but that standard is not binding, as 1 2 an example, on Broward County regional 3 communications. It's not binding on how Coral Springs talks with BSO, or any other law 4 5 enforcement interoperability, correct? It's a 6 standard, but it is not something that is 7 universally binding, people are going to have to accept it and implement. 8

9 CHIEF DROZD: Well, there's a couple of 10 schools of thoughts on that. Certainly, 11 there's some shall language, some should 12 language. What the standard doesn't do is it 13 doesn't determine a local jurisdiction's tactics on how to deal with an issue. What it 14 15 does is it provides the framework for an 16 effective plan to respond to these types of 17 incidents.

18 CHAIR: And it doesn't fund changes19 either.

20 CHIEF DROZD: It doesn't fund it, but what 21 it will do is now that the standard is out 22 there it gives us more impetus to change some 23 of the grant funded items that are available. 24 CHAIR: All right, so we're -- appreciate 25 it, Chief, thanks very much. We're running

about a half hour behind. We'll break for an 1 2 hour for lunch. We'll try and make up the time 3 this afternoon, so we'll begin again at 1:30 with the threat assessment process. 4 Thanks. 5 (Thereupon, a break was taken off the record and the meeting continued as follows:) 6 7 CHAIR: All right, we're going to transition now into a new topic, and address 8 9 the topic of behavioral threat assessments. Ιf 10 you remember at the last meeting we said it was 11 important to differentiate, and to use some 12 consistent terminology, and this is where we 13 are going to focus on threat assessments, 14 behavioral threat assessments, as opposed to 15 the risk assessment which is generally in the 16 area of physical site security risk assessment. 17 So, we're going to begin hearing from Broward 18 County schools, and Dr. Mary Claire Mucenic who 19 is here, and ask her to come up and explain the 20 behavioral threat assessment process that is 21 used in the Broward County schools. 2.2 And then we're going to hear from the Secret Service on their threat assessment 23 24 model, and then finally this afternoon from the state of Virginia, which has implemented what 25

the Secret Service has framed. So, we'll go ahead and begin with the Broward County school's presentation. Welcome.

DR. MUCENIC: Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm Dr. Mary Claire Mucenic, and I'm a Director of Exception Student Learning Support, and thank you for giving us the opportunity to present to you the Broward County Public Schools behavioral threat assessment procedures.

11 Okay, so today we'll be giving you the 12 background regarding the protocol, as well as 13 an overview of the threat assessment procedures 14 and the multiple level response. So, first I'd like to define what is considered a threat. 15 Α 16 threat is an expression of an intent to do harm 17 or act out violently against someone or 18 something. A threat may be spoken, written, or 19 symbolic. A threat is anything that makes 20 another feel unsafe. As defined in the 2000 21 resource The School Shooter a threat assessment 2.2 perspective by Supervisory Special Agent Dr. 23 Maryellen O'Toole of the FBI threats may be 24 made for a variety of reasons, including a 25 warning, a demand for attention, or as an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

attempt to frighten, strike back, intimidate, or punish.

So, what is a threat assessment? A threat 3 assessment is a set of procedures which entails 4 5 the systematic collection and analysis of 6 multiple sources of information. Threat 7 assessments are conducted by school-based teams that are led by a school-based administrator. 8 9 The threat assessment training is a district 10 mandated training. A more detailed description 11 of the multiple sources of information, and the 12 mandatory members of the school-based teams, 13 will be discussed later in this presentation. 14 Broward County's current procedures were 15 developed in 2002 based upon FBI 16 These procedures have been in recommendations. 17 place since that time. The threat assessment 18 process is comprised of three stages, the 19 initial response, level one screening, and 20 level two screening. The purpose of the 21 various levels is to ensure timeliness of

environment, and employment of the school's resources in the most efficient manner.

response, safety of all in the school

Threat assessment trainings are mandated

22

23

24

25

1

1 by the district to prepare school-based teams 2 in assessing threats. The threat assessment 3 manual is provided to all participants and is also available to the public on the BCPS mental 4 5 health and wellness portal at BCPS-mental 6 health.com. After the training participants 7 are expected to return to their school and follow the protocol as outlined in the manual 8 9 whenever they receive a report of a threat. 10 Threat assessment support is available through 11 the mental health portal, the threat assessment 12 trainers, and the Department of Psychological 13 Services.

14 Every school has a threat assessment team. 15 School based threat assessment teams have 16 several mandatory members, including a 17 school-based administrator, mental health 18 practitioner, a teacher familiar with the 19 student, school resource officer or special 20 investigative unit, SIU, and a security 21 specialist if the threat occurs at the 22 secondary level. Additional members may 23 include the ESE specialist, behavior 24 specialist, or any others who may have information about the student. 25

This flow chart is a graphic display of 1 2 the entire threat assessment process. Please note that in the case of an imminent threat the 3 school administrator is directed to contact law 4 5 enforcement immediately. This is an overview 6 of the first stage of response, the initial 7 response. The purpose of this stage is to determine the level of threat as low, medium, 8 or high. Note that on this flow chart there is 9 10 a box of listed tasks that is placed there as a 11 reminder to the school administrator of 12 everything that must be completed at this 13 stage.

14 In the initial response stage, the school 15 administrator receives a report of a threat. 16 If there is an imminent potential of danger the 17 administrator is directed to contact SIU 18 immediately. If the threat is not deemed to be 19 imminent then the school administrator obtains 20 an initial statement from the student who made 21 the threat and interviews any other witnesses. 2.2 The admin accesses the level of threat using 23 the assessing level of threat checklist. 24 Sorting among levels of threat is not an exact 25 science. Two general principles are helpful

800-726-7007

Veritext Legal Solutions

305-376-8800

1

when determining the level of threat.

2 The first is the specificity of content. 3 All threats can be analyzed for their content. As a general rule the more specific the content 4 5 of the threat the more serious the threat of 6 imminent danger. The second principle is the 7 plausibility of context. All threats can also be analyzed for their context, for example the 8 9 events and conditions surrounding the threat. 10 As a general rule the higher the plausibility 11 of threat context the more serious the risk of 12 imminent danger. When both converge, high 13 specificity and high plausibility, the risk of 14 imminent danger is great.

15 Now I'd like to go over the threat levels 16 in more detail, and how the district analyzes 17 the content and plausibility of a low, medium, 18 and high threat. A threat may be deemed as a 19 low-level threat, or no risk of harm, if the 20 threat is vague, lacks plausibility in detail, 21 and suggests that the person is unlikely to 2.2 carry it out. A low-level threat generally 23 suggests a lack of access to weapons or 24 resources, lack of motivation or intent, and 25 the student does not present with a history of conflict or related violent behaviors. A low level or no risk for harm threat includes false reports.

A medium risk for harm threat is more 4 5 direct, detailed, and concrete, than a low-level threat. It could be carried out, 6 7 though it may not appear to be entirely realistic. The content of the threat indicates 8 9 that the student has given at least some 10 thought of how they would carry out the threat. 11 The context may include possible access to 12 weapons or resources, the student has a history 13 of conflict or violent behaviors, or an intent 14 to carry out that particular threat.

A high risk for harm threat is direct, specific, and plausible. The threat poses a serious danger to others, and the context indicates that the student has secured weapons or resources, has a history of conflict or high-risk behaviors, and has a definite intent to carry out that particular threat.

If a threat is determined to be low level the administrator is to monitor the student and provide supports to the student and family. If the threat is determined to be a medium or

1

2

high-level threat the administrator is to notify SIU and submit in writing the SIU immediate notification form. The school-based team must convene for immediately level one screening.

This slide is a shot of the level one flow 6 7 chart. It provides the team with specific steps on how to proceed, as well as a checklist 8 9 of tasks to complete. The level one screening steps require the school administrator to 10 11 assemble the threat assessment team and assign 12 responsibilities for data collection. Data 13 collection includes a review of all available 14 educational records, as well as interviews, and 15 other available materials. Parent contact is 16 also initiated.

17 The team completes the threat risk 18 assessment checklist, referred to as the TRAC, 19 and develops the student supervision plan. The 20 team then determines the need for level two 21 The TRAC is based upon the FBI's assessment. 22 recommendation to consider past and current 23 behaviors, and factors that may influence a 24 student's decision making. The TRAC includes thirty-three questions organized into ten 25

1

2

3

4

categories to assist educators in evaluating threat related behaviors. The categories include aggression, depression, alienation, narcissism, family relations, school relations, social personal characteristics, coping, and stress.

7 The results of the TRAC are used to 8 develop interventions that are documented on 9 the student supervision plan. Immediate 10 notification to SIU is required. Interventions 11 may also include a suicide assessment, peer 12 mediation, a behavior plan, or a counseling 13 referral, among other things.

A level two assessment is warranted if 14 there have been several concerns identified 15 16 through the data collection process, additional 17 clinical information is needed, further 18 information is needed to complete the TRAC, or 19 further information is needed to develop or 20 revise the student supervision plan. This is 21 the level two flow chart for the in-depth 2.2 threat assessment. Again, note the steps are 23 outlined for the team, and a list of tasks to 24 complete for this stage.

The level two assessment requires a more

25

1

2

3

4

5

clinical approach to the gathering and analysis 1 of information. It involves an interview with 2 3 parents, student, and teachers that help identify the factors contributing to the 4 5 potential risk for violence, such as behavioral personality factors, school issues, family 6 issues, and peer related issues. Outcomes of 7 the level two assessment may include a 8 modification to the students' supervision plan 9 10 and requires an assessment summary and plan of 11 action. Actions may include but are not 12 limited to the following examples: referral to 13 community resources, a behavior intervention 14 plan, a student safety plan, increased 15 supervision, a mental health evaluation, or 16 disciplinary actions.

17 Upon completion of the threat assessment 18 the records are secured by the school-based 19 administrator separate from the student's 20 cumulative folder. This is consistent with the 21 maintenance of disciplinary records. A flag indicating the existence of the administrative 22 file is placed in the cumulative folder. 23 The 24 appropriate threat assessment code is then entered into the district's database. 25

While school and district staff are 1 2 trained on the threat assessment procedures 3 anyone in the community can make an anonymous report directly to Broward School's 4 5 investigative unit in multiple ways, via their 6 website, phone, e-mail or text, which the 7 details are displayed on this slide. Finally, here are some references, which 8 9 included the FBI resource used to develop our 10 protocol procedures and threat assessment 11 manual, and the reference for the manual 12 itself. I want to thank you again, and the 13 commission, for the opportunity to provide to 14 you the information regarding our threat 15 assessment procedures. Thank you. 16 Sheriff Ashley. CHAIR: Thank you. 17 SHER. ASHLEY: Thank you for that 18 presentation. And this may be for the 19 commission as much as for you, is are we going 20 to walk through that assessment plan as it 21 relates to Cruz at some point? 2.2 CHAIR: Yes. So, let me just tell you, 23 Mucenic is not prepared to discuss the Dr. 24 specifics, she's here to give background 25 information on what Broward County Schools has

done, and has done for a period of time, just to set the stage. I can tell you that there was a level two threat assessment done of Cruz, and it was done in September of 2016, and it will tie into what you're going to hear in closed session on Thursday with Henderson Behavioral Health, because Henderson was a part of a response regarding that threat assessment.

9 We will share the threat assessment with 10 you, and get more into the details, but as far 11 as I know, and can determine right now, during 12 Cruz's entire time in the Broward County School 13 system there is only the one threat assessment 14 that was done, and it was a level two 15 assessment, and it was based upon some concerns 16 about his behavior, and that he had written on 17 a piece of paper the word kill.

18 And so, they did, and the protocols that she has laid out here were followed, but we're 19 20 still developing it, and we will share more 21 about it, but it is relevant to Cruz 2.2 specifically, but you need to know about what 23 the process is, and then we'll tie it together. 24 SHER. ASHLEY: Thank you. 25 CHAIR: Yes, Commissioner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

MS. LARKIN SKINNER: I was curious, I was trying to follow the flow chart, so when they do the initial response, and there's the checklist you maintained, to determine whether it's low or on the other side, medium to high, is there, is it because from the checklist you get a score?

8 DR. MUCENIC: No, it isn't. There isn't 9 like a definitive score, it's a matter of 10 looking to see what items go with each level of 11 threat. And then we tell, we always tell the 12 administrator when in doubt to go to a higher 13 level of threat, so always be cautious, so you 14 would move it up a level.

MS. LARKIN SKINNER: So, it's kind of how
many checkmarks are on each side, whether it's
low, medium, high.

DR. MUCENIC: Correct.

19 MS. LARKIN SKINNER: And then it's kind of 20 the same question, when you decide to go from a 21 level one to a level two screening, is, how is 22 yes or no, how do they come to that conclusion; 23 is that a scoring, or is that a similar --

24DR. MUCENIC: Not necessarily. They do a25level two when they are looking for additional

information. Maybe they didn't have enough information to fill out the TRAC form, or maybe they were looking for extra clinical eyes, because the level two assessment requires two additional team members that are generally outside the school, one being a mental health professional. So, it's a more clinical in depth look at a student of why they're behaving the way they are.

10 MS. LARKIN SKINNER: Okay, and one more 11 question. Do you -- does the district have 12 some sort of oversight mechanism to make sure 13 this is occurring, and that the decisions that 14 are made, you know, whether it's low, medium, 15 high, and then to go from level one to level 16 two if they seem like appropriate, like a 17 sampling, or any kind of oversight?

DR. MUCENIC: They don't necessarily have a sampling of completed threat assessments, however the procedures are included in the school safety procedures and monthly drills and following the threat assessment process is part of the annual principal evaluation.

24 MS. LARKIN SKINNER: Okay. And then does 25 that include how well the plan was implemented,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Page 198 1 if there is an intervention plan that comes out 2 of the assessment? DR. MUCENIC: That would be up to the 3 evaluator of the principal when they look at 4 5 that. CHAIR: Mr. Schachter. 6 7 MR. SCHACHTER: How long have you worked for the district? 8 DR. MUCENIC: I came on in 2001. 9 10 MR. SCHACHTER: 2001. So, have you been 11 in charge of this since 2001? 12 DR. MUCENIC: I have not. 13 MR. SCHACHTER: How long have you been in 14 charge of --15 DR. MUCENIC: I have been -- I was the 16 coordinator of psychological services beginning 17 in 2012, and now I'm the director of 18 exceptional student learning support under the, 19 for support services since '16. 20 MR. SCHACHTER: So, number one, are you 21 happy with the current threat assessment model 2.2 that we have, and number two, have you asked to 23 make any changes to that system? And number 24 three, I know we're going to hear testimony 25 about Virginia, but you understand your system,

Veritext Legal Solutions

and I'm sure you've looked Virginia's, do you know the differences?

3 DR. MUCENIC: So, the -- the first question, I am. We have been very pleased so 4 5 far, because we were one of the few districts 6 in the country that had such a thorough laid 7 out plan for threat assessment, so we were in the forefront for that. So, Broward, we're 8 9 happy that we've had these, this in place for a 10 number of years. Like any policy/procedure 11 there's always room for improvement, and we are 12 looking at all of the resources that are out there currently to see how we can revise our 13 14 protocol and make it better.

MR. SCHACHTER: And then the last question was how does your system compared to Virginia's, do you know?

18 DR. MUCENIC: I don't know exactly. Ι 19 know that they are going to be presenting 20 later, and we're very interested in hearing 21 what they have to say. I have -- I have 2.2 glanced through it, but I have not gone a page 23 by page or form by form comparison. We are 24 going to put a committee together to review all 25 of our policies relating to this, the protocol,

1

1 moving forward.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

MR. SCHACHTER: I -- I would not, not gauge your success based on you, you know, I would gauge your success by how many children are in the cemetery right now. I would not call this a success.

CHAIR: So, the Broward County system as I understand it is one where there is a threat assessment team, which means there are people who are trained at every school, correct?

DR. MUCENIC: Correct.

12 CHAIR: Okay, so you assemble a team based 13 upon an incident, and a response to some 14 indicators, and it's not -- so when it's called 15 a threat assessment team it's not the same 16 people all the time at every school, right?

17DR. MUCENIC: It has -- it has some same18basic members.

CHAIR: Sure.

20 DR. MUCENIC: So, there's always going to 21 be a school administrator. If there is law 22 enforcement on campus certainly they're 23 included. The difference would be the mental 24 health professional. Usually it's the school 25 counselor, but it could be a school social

worker, a school psychologist, depending on availability. And it also, the other member of the team that would change would be the teacher who knows the student. There's no way to predict that.

6 CHAIR: Right. So, just -- and I just 7 want to make sure the commission members are 8 clear as to the structuring, because when it 9 talks about a threat assessment team, that the, 10 there are some consistent members, but there's 11 also some variable members depending upon the 12 student and the circumstances, right?

13DR. MUCENIC: And it also depends on their14availability.

15 CHAIR: Right, all the above. And so now, 16 if you remember when we talked about it last 17 time under SB7026 is that prior to this, and it 18 does seem like the Broward County was ahead of 19 this in comparison to other places, is it does 20 require now that there be a threat assessment 21 team at every school in the state, and that 2.2 that is in the process of being implemented. 23 That wasn't the case before, but that does seem 24 to be an area where the Broward County schools 25 was ahead of everybody else, in having a threat

1

2

3

4

1

2

assessment team at every school with the process that you see laid out here.

3 So, but as it relates to the level one and the level two assessment, just to be clear 4 5 level one is lower than the two, the two is the 6 more extensive. And can you tell who, when they do the level two assessment how far does 7 it go, how deep does it go as far as contacting 8 9 people who have familiarity with the person who 10 is under assessment? Are all the teachers 11 contacted, are there peers who are contacted, 12 how far does it go within the school system as far as evaluating that person? 13

DR. MUCENIC: Well, the threat -- the 14 15 level two threat assessment is more in depth, 16 and that includes a parent interview, it 17 includes a teacher interview, as well as an 18 in-depth interview with the student who made 19 the threat, so, yes, we're gathering more 20 information. It's more of a clinical look at how the student is functioning, and what may be 21 2.2 driving those behaviors.

CHAIR: And the last question for me is
that with this is, is that the, the process,
though, is in response to something, correct,

so you laid out the first part of your 1 2 presentation. So, is it fair to characterize 3 the process as more of a reactive process than 4 a proactive process? 5 DR. MUCENIC: Correct. 6 CHAIR: Okay. 7 UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Mr. Chair. Yeah, qo ahead. 8 CHAIR: 9 UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Thank you, Doctor. 10 Regarding the finished product, whatever that 11 may be at the level one, two, or three, is 12 there a collective database for the threat 13 assessment documents, summaries, and if so who 14 has access to that database amongst the 15 stakeholders that are part of the threat 16 assessment team, to include law enforcement, 17 other schools, other administrators, and if 18 there is not has that been contemplated? 19 DR. MUCENIC: There is a -- we have a way 20 in which record that a threat assessment has 21 been completed on our district database, but 2.2 the actual forms itself are kept in a separate 23 administrative file, so they're not uploaded 24 for like viewing on a general database that has 25 access to everyone, for everyone.

305-376-8800

Page 203

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: So, for example, a 1 2 student goes from one school to another, and a 3 threat assessment may not result either in criminal charges, in a diversion, or 4 5 necessarily be related to a criminal offense, but if a student changes schools within a 6 district would the administrators at the school 7 to which the student goes to either necessarily 8 9 know or have the ability to affirmatively seek 10 out information as to whether a threat 11 assessment has been conducted on this 12 particular individual? 13 DR. MUCENIC: Yes, that's part of our 14 policy 5100.2 student records, transfer, 15 retention, and disposal. There's a section on 16 Page 2 regarding inclusion of school safety 17 team screening assessment records when 18 transferring records from school to school, and 19 also if they leave the county. 20 UNDER SHER. HARPRING: And finally, would 21 there be any external access to that, for example the threat assessment team based on 22 23 your descriptions primarily comprised of school 24 district employees, with the exception of law enforcement, or potentially an outside mental 25

health contractor, or the parent, something like that, so would law enforcement have unilateral access to that information, or would they have to go through the school district in order to learn that?

6 And the example would be a student goes 7 from a school at which a threat assessment was done, they go to another school, while the 8 9 administrator there may know that, may have the 10 ability to determine that, the SRO, or the 11 deputy there, the officer there may nor may not 12 know that, and unless the administrator makes 13 them aware of that they would basically be in a vacuum and not know that a threat assessment 14 15 had been done on a particular student.

16 DR. MUCENIC: That's correct, the law 17 enforcement can't directly access our district 18 databases, however the school administrators 19 can, and will. If you were to request it, they 20 can certainly do that and provide the 21 information. 2.2 UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Thank you. 23 CHAIR: Sheriff Judd. 24 SHER. JUDD: Thank you for being with us 25 today. So, what's next? You go through the

1

2

3

4

threat assessment, you recommend action, or activity, what happens next time, or the next time, you know, where, what's the wraparound?

4 DR. MUCENIC: In terms of a repeated, if 5 we had a student that had more than one threat 6 assessment it would certainly be indicated on 7 our database, but part of the reason that we collect all this information is to really get 8 9 support for the families and the student, so 10 that's part of the student's supervision plan, 11 so laid out in the manual there's a number of 12 different actions that they would take to step 13 in to try and provide services for the student 14 and family.

So, the -- the step is to really provide additional services, hopefully for prevention.

SHER. JUDD: So, if the family and the student rejected, resisted, or is there any way to move it to another level, and another level until we, we have some kind of successful resolution?

22 DR. MUCENIC: If a family is resistant to 23 some of the interventions or things we would 24 like to put in place we really do not have any 25 recourse for that. We work very hard with

1

2

3

15

parents, and we hope that they are collaborative with us, because we're looking out for the best interests of all the students, but if they don't agree, and sometimes that happens, with our recommendations, or what we're suggesting, then nothing would happen in that area.

SHER. JUDD: What would you like to see happen in the best interest of the child if the eventuality is that they resist, or the child does, behavior doesn't improve?

12 DR. MUCENIC: Well, I think there's some 13 legal ramifications in terms of a child's behavior and what we're able to do or not able 14 15 to do, so that restricts us for sure. I would 16 definitely like to see increased communications 17 across everyone so that we know of the students 18 that are high risk, and we are all aware of 19 potential issues.

20 SHER. JUDD: I guess my example isn't --21 and of course we always think in the world of 22 criminal conduct, but if parents, I mean I'm 23 not talking about a single event, but this 24 child is presenting over and over, and the 25 parents aren't dealing with it. The world we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

think in is, well, they're neglecting the best 1 2 interests of the child, and so we figure out a 3 formula, or make a recommendation of this council, or this commission, that they either 4 5 cooperate or it can ramp up into an event where 6 they can end up with criminal sanctions for not, for not looking out for the best interests 7 of the child. Does that interest you? 8 DR. MUCENIC: Well, it's currently not in 9 10 place now, but certainly having some 11 responsibility, the parent's follow through is 12 always, we're always looking for them to be our 13 partners, so it is disappointing when we make 14 suggestions and they're not followed through 15 with. But the parents have their rights. I'm 16 a parent. We're all, many of us are parents, 17 and we do like to say what happens with our 18 children, so. 19 SHER. JUDD: You understand that the parent who cares is going to be involved. 20 21 DR. MUCENIC: Correct. 2.2 SHER. JUDD: And the ones that resist are 23 the ones that we're ultimately talking about. 24 DR. MUCENIC: Could be. Some parents are 25 extremely involved, but they don't always agree

with us, so it's not a level of involved or not 1 2 involved. We have parents that are very involved, and they don't agree with what the 3 school system recommends for their child. 4 5 The next question is do --SHER. JUDD: 6 and I think I heard this, but do you agree that 7 rather than have it in this individual silo that there needs to be access so that everyone 8 9 who has an interest in, or an interaction with 10 the child, can see this, as opposed to it being 11 locked away someplace? 12 DR. MUCENIC: Well, again, I think there's 13 legal ramifications to that, certainly FERPA, 14 so that would be beyond my scope. But I'm --15 I'm very much for communicating and 16 collaborating across a variety of systems for 17 the best interests of children. SHER. JUDD: Part of this commission's 18 19 duty and responsibility is to, is to change 20 laws that inhibit our ability to act in the 21 best interests of the child, the best interests 2.2 of the community, and the best interests of the 23 family, so if you had a magic wand knowing the 24 failures that you see what would you recommend 25 be changed to improve the outcomes for the

child, for the family, for the school, for the community?

3 DR. MUCENIC: I think you hit on it. Certainly, that increased collaboration between 4 5 all the entities. We have the child -- we have 6 students for a period of time during the day, 7 and during the week, but again, they're out in the community, they're with their families, 8 9 they're at church, they're accessing community 10 resources, so I think it's really very 11 important that we come together as a community 12 to really support these children.

SHER. JUDD: Thank you.

CHAIR: Mr. Petty is next.

15 MR. PETTY: I thank you for presenting 16 today. Just walking through the flow chart, a 17 couple of questions. And I appreciate the flow 18 chart actually, I get to look at these at work 19 all the time. Can you walk us through the 20 process of receiving the threat assessment? Ι 21 see a phone number here. There's obviously 2.2 like a website you can go to, but threats may 23 come in through different places. Can you --24 how do -- how do they get to the threat 25 assessment team?

1

2

13

DR. MUCENIC: So, generally a threat can arrive to the school principal in a number of different ways. We have students that will speak up. We have teachers that may have overheard something. We have parents that report that they've heard something. So, whoever, you know, we want everyone to have their eyes and ears open, and whenever they do hear of something we want them to notify a trusted adult in the school building, and then, or the principal directly.

MR. PETTY: Okay, so -- so if a threat comes into the phone number listed here on the flow chart, or to the website, how does that get to the school threat assessment team?

DR. MUCENIC: If it goes directly to SIU -- I honestly, I'm sure about that. I think if something goes directly to that anonymous tip line common sense would say that they would notify the school and -- and let them know that they received something.

22 MR. PETTY: That would be common sense, I 23 just don't see a connecting line here on the 24 flow chart. So, what happens if the principal 25 receives a threat, or information about a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

threat, I guess in this case there are -- is every principal a mandatory member of the threat assessment team?

DR. MUCENIC: An administrator in the school, so it could be a principal or assistant principal.

7 MR. PETTY: Okay, so what if one of the other principals receives information about a 8 threat, how is the threat assessment team 9 10 convened? Since -- I think to Sheriff 11 Gualtieri's point, it seems to be a reactive 12 process, the team assembles once there's a 13 threat made. How does that process work, and 14 how do we ensure that a threat received by some 15 administrator gets to the threat assessment 16 team?

17 DR. MUCENIC: Well, it's part of their 18 training. So, all the administrators have 19 mandatory training, and they receive, they go 20 through this whole process. So, whoever receives that threat, whoever is in charge on 21 2.2 campus that day, they are to determine whether 23 it's a low, medium, or high level, and they are 24 to convene the team. It is their job to get 25 everyone together and start going through the

1

2

3

4

5

1 process.

2 MR. PETTY: Even if they're not part of 3 the team they can call the meeting, if you will? 4 5 DR. MUCENIC: Correct. 6 MR. PETTY: Is anybody on campus able to 7 do that, or just an --DR. MUCENIC: Just the administrator. So, 8 9 if -- let's say a principal is off campus 10 because they have a meeting, or they're not 11 there that day, so the assistant principal in 12 charge is in charge of the building, and 13 whatever happens in the building that day, so it would fall to them. 14 15 MR. PETTY: Is there any reporting back 16 from the schools about meetings of threat 17 assessment team? 18 DR. MUCENIC: I'm sorry, could you repeat 19 that? 20 MR. PETTY: Do schools report back to the 21 district that they've had a threat assessment 2.2 meeting and some decision, decision has been made? 23 24 DR. MUCENIC: Whenever they've conducted a 25 threat assessment it's entered into the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

database, the district database.

MR. PETTY: And if the district receives information, let's say on the tip line, and your assuming that SIU shares that information with the threat assessment team, is there any follow up, or just --

DR. MUCENIC: Well, the follow up includes the student supervision plan, and then there's also -- the student supervision plan, and then also a summary and a plan of action.

MR. PETTY: Okay. And does the district review those to see if they meet guidelines, and training, and policy that the district has defined?

DR. MUCENIC: We -- at this time we do not monitor, or kind of review individual plans.

MR. PETTY: Okay, so it's up to the threatassessment team to define that.

19DR. MUCENIC: The team. The school-based20team.

21 MR. PETTY: Okay. And then this is more 22 of a question for, you know, I'm trying to 23 understand your opinion, how you think about 24 this. At what point, and this goes to a 25 previous question. How do you balance the

rights of a student that has exhibited behavioral problems, or has made a threat, let's be very specific, has made a threat against the right of the students, and teachers, and staff at that school to be safe? Can you give me your opinion, or how do you think about it?

DR. MUCENIC: Well, it is a balance for 8 9 We have -- we have a number of sure. 10 challenges in the, in the school system, and 11 balancing the rights of the individual student 12 with those they surround, so it is absolutely a 13 challenge. I think it's helpful to put in 14 perspective that we had this year, as of the 15 end of May we had three hundred eighty-eight threat assessments, so we're looking at about 16 17 two a day. So, this is not, it's not uncommon 18 for a school to have a threat, or perform a 19 threat assessment, so, you know, putting in 20 that context we're looking at, and that's part 21 of having a low, medium, and high level threat, 2.2 and trying to classify that to see how serious, 23 and what things can we put in place to really 24 support that student and family, and to ensure 25 the safety of everyone in the school setting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

MR. PETTY: On -- on balance then how are 1 2 we, how do you think we're doing in balancing 3 the right of, of a student, and their, their right to privacy, and et cetera, et cetera, to 4 5 protecting our students and our teachers? 6 DR. MUCENIC: I have to say it's a 7 challenge. It's a challenge balancing the individual rights to the whole school 8 9 environment. 10 MR. PETTY: So, in that specific area 11 again the magic wand question, what would you, 12 if you could wave a magic wand and change 13 something what would you change to bring it 14 more into balance, or to do a better job? 15 DR. MUCENIC: I'm not sure I have a ready 16 answer for that. I certainly, you're looking 17 at constitutional rights, and individual 18 rights, so I, you know, I think the individual 19 right is very important, so I think it is 20 definitely, it continues to be a challenge. Ι 21 think there is some room for improvement there 2.2 in maybe getting some extra systems to support, 23 but I don't have a ready, ready answer for you for that. 24 I think we'll have some 25 MR. PETTY:

recommendations for you. 1 2 DR. MUCENIC: I'm sorry? MR. PETTY: I think we'll have some 3 4 recommendations for you. 5 DR. MUCENIC: That would be excellent. 6 MR. PETTY: Do you know when the Broward 7 County mental health and wellness portal was put online? 8 9 DR. MUCENIC: Yes, it was the Fall of 10 2017. I think it went up live September or 11 October. 12 MR. PETTY: Okay, thank you. 13 CHAIR: Senator Book. 14 SEN. BOOK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And 15 thank you for your presentation. Do we have, 16 Mr. Chair, Nicholas Cruz's level two 17 assessment? 18 CHAIR: Yes. 19 SEN. BOOK: Okay, I just wanted to make 20 sure, because I know that was from 2016, and it wasn't online until '17, so I wanted to make 21 2.2 sure we could have that document. And I want 23 to understand the reality. I understand that 24 we have mandatory members, but we talk a lot about, and we've talked a lot about school 25

counselors, guidance counselors within a system, and that they're not necessarily always around, or present, so I see that it's a mandatory member, but of those mandatory members how many are there, are actually participatory?

And a follow to that is some conversations that we had this morning in dovetailing off of Commissioner Judd's questioning about parents and parental involvement. If there is a parent who is enabling some behaviors that are dangerous what are those next steps that can be done, or is there nothing?

14 DR. MUCENIC: Well, that's why there is 15 some flexibility built into the mandatory 16 members. We want one representative of all 17 those different categories that I pointed out 18 to you, so we have to have an administrator, we 19 have to have a teacher that knows the student, 20 and when it comes to the mental health 21 professional, that's why it could be a school 2.2 counselor, it could be a school psychologist or 23 a social worker, because we don't want to hold 24 up a process of gathering information because 25 people may or may not be available. So, that's

800-726-7007

1

2

3

4

5

6

Veritext Legal Solutions

305-376-8800

why it's not a firm team, that you were asking, like, you know, it isn't these six people all the time, because it may vary depending on the circumstances. We want to be, work as quickly and as

efficiently as possible, so any of those mental health providers, if they're available they'll be called upon.

9 SEN. BOOK: But not necessarily always 10 present.

11 DR. MUCENIC: They're always present with 12 the team, but, depending on their schedules 13 they may not be present in the building on any 14 given day. On any given day there will be a 15 school counselor, or a school psychologist, or 16 school social worker, but they may not all be 17 there on the same day because they are interim 18 workers, except for the school counselor.

But let's say the school counselor happens to be ill that day, so we want to make sure that we have it built in that there are mental health professionals at a moment's notice that can assist with this process.

24SEN. BOOK: And they're -- and you're25telling me that there are?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

DR. MUCENIC: There are.

2 SEN. BOOK: So, no matter what if we go 3 and pull up an assessment at any given time 4 that was done in the past, I'm assuming that 5 there's a list of, you know, names of people 6 that were there --7 DR. MUCENIC: Correct. 8 SEN. BOOK: -- and there will always, 9 always, always be these people on that list. 10 DR. MUCENIC: The procedure is that they 11 always include these mandatory members, these 12 mandatory members. 13 SEN. BOOK: And following up with 14 Commissioner Petty's question, understanding 15 that there are constitutional rights, and magic wands, and all of this, and balancing safety, 16 17 but if you do a threat assessment and a child 18 has an IEP what happens then? And if a child 19 is displaying dangerous behavior, talking 20 about, I mean writing on a piece of paper kill, 21 interest in certain things, IEP, what happens, 2.2 or are you really limited as to what you can 23 do? DR. MUCENIC: No, a child that is an ESE 24

student is treated the exact same way for the

25

threat assessment procedures, so we wouldn't vary our procedures because this child is ESE and that one isn't, we go through the threat assessment procedures just like they're outlined here in the manual.

6 CHAIR: Just to follow up on that, and 7 from what Sheriff Judd asked you as far as consequences, so as a result of the threat 8 9 assessment process the threat assessment team 10 can, and I believe is you referred to it as the 11 student supervision plan, is that they can 12 require certain things, so as an example they 13 could require that the student not carry a 14 backpack.

DR. MUCENIC: Correct.

16 CHAIR: Could require that the student get 17 some special type of transportation. They can 18 require a number of things, correct?

DR. MUCENIC: Correct.

20 CHAIR: Okay, so if the student and/or the 21 students' parents didn't comply with the 22 supervision plan does the district then have, 23 and outside a criminal charge, but because 24 maybe it doesn't rise to that level at all, but 25 it's a still a concern, does the district then

1

2

3

4

5

15

have the authority and the ability to implement the discipline matrix for non-compliance with the supervision plan?

DR. MUCENIC: Not that I know of, no.

CHAIR: Mr. Gohl, you want to -- I think he wants to address that.

7 MR. GOHL: Thank you Chair, Commissioners, all due respect, colleague, yes, they do, 8 9 because once we establish a set of behavioral 10 quidelines that we're motivated in this case 11 through a non- behavioral process, it now 12 enters a different domain. The behavioral 13 guidelines that the student is present at school for are constraints. If those are not 14 15 followed that is then a disciplinary issue, so 16 we have crossed the realm from mental health, 17 be it self-harm or harm for others a threat, 18 resulting in a behavioral constraint. 19 Noncompliance of that is not a disciplinary 20 issue. CHAIR: And so could that result in -- so 21 2.2 if you -- you went through the threat 23 assessment process, level two let's say, and 24 deemed that there was a viable concern, and you

implemented the supervision plan that you

25

1

2

3

4

5

thought was necessary to ensure the safety, and 1 2 the student and/or the parents did not comply, and you implemented the matrix, could that lead 3 to an external suspension and/or expulsion? 4 5 MR. GOHL: Yes, as long as the procedures 6 to the disciplinary matrix are followed that is 7 a natural consequence of the process that exists. 8 9 Right, so that they -- there is CHAIR: 10 mandatory compliance with this, you just have 11 to implement the discipline matrix if there's 12 noncompliance with the supervision plan. That is correct, our 13 MR. GOHL: 14 intervening steps, but yes. 15 CHAIR: Okay. Sheriff Judd, go ahead. 16 SHER, JUDD: Excuse me. 17 CHAIR: Mr. Gohl, stay up. 18 SHER. JUDD: Mr. Gohl, let me ask you the 19 same question that I asked our other presenter. 20 If you wave the magic wand knowing what you 21 know about the threat assessment system and 2.2 those who work with you, and those who oppose 23 you, or pay no attention, what would you do to 24 change the system to give the school more 25 opportunities to ensure we've acted not only in the best interests of that child that was creating the issue but the children that surround them?

MR. GOHL: So, I think one of the most 4 5 important things, and you, this commission has 6 already touched on it, which is the balancing 7 of the individual student and family rights versus what they view as governmental 8 interference, if we direct it, versus the 9 10 community's expectation that all kids are kept 11 safe, right, and whether that refers to a 12 negligence charge or something else we've got 13 to do that. I think we have to have much more 14 active discussion with real world examples to 15 make sure that we are honest with each other as 16 a community of what that looks like and how it 17 plays out.

18 Our public policy must respect our 19 community values, and how we go about that, and 20 there are consequences regardless of which side 21 you put emphasis on. And I don't think we as a 2.2 community, as whole, are very good at having 23 such conversations, because I know what I want, and as long as I am not interfered with it's 24 25 okay, but that is not public policy, and that

1

2

does not benefit the right of our school district as a whole for two hundred seventy thousand student's in Broward, or well over a million in Florida across, right, so I think that's it.

6 Secondly, I am a big believer in the use 7 of technology to have active notification. Ι 8 think the more we can rely on active systems 9 that produce triggers to show people, hey, draw 10 your attention over here, rather than a passive 11 system, which is just entering that, and as our 12 data systems have matured it's hard for large 13 bureaucracies, be that within law enforcement, 14 social services, or in the education system, to 15 utilize the best cutting-edge technology to 16 allow that to happen. I think that is a domain 17 where we need further investment.

18 Third, I think we need to have very clear 19 understandings of what threat assessment is, 20 which is first and foremost public safety, it 21 is to determine whether or not this child is at 2.2 this time a threat, in which case it goes to 23 law enforcement. Secondly, if it is not a 24 threat we have a deep problem with an individual who makes a threat that needs to be 25

1

2

3

4

investigated on. That may be an attention seeking behavior. It may be a self-harm. It may be other family conditions. That is not criminal, that's social work, and how we allow those systems to reinforce each other without, one, either law enforcement not being able to ensure public safety and security because we're emphasizing the social work, or social work becoming criminalized, we've got to have active discussions about that.

And it's not enough to say, well, we've solved it. We will have never solved it, because we constantly have new kids, new families, new situations emerging. It must be at the front and center of public policy debate.

17 SHER. JUDD: Thank you very much. As one 18 commissioner with you all having had this 19 system in place for a while we would like, I 20 would like to see an honest assessment, and, 21 and you tell us here's the magic tools that we 2.2 need to go forward, because you have more 23 experience in assessment than we do. And quite 24 frankly everyone has individual rights, but 25 your individual right doesn't extend to harm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

me.

1

2

MR. GOHL: That's right.

And that's what, that's the 3 SHER. JUDD: 4 illustration that we've got to get across, your 5 individual right does not give you the right to 6 hurt other people, or to ignore what's in the 7 best interest of everyone else in the classroom. You don't have the right to do 8 9 that. And then what are the consequences, and 10 what are we going to do. And ideally, we get 11 control of this child before they have to get 12 involved in the criminal justice system, but if 13 you don't have the teeth in your systems and 14 processes to do that then this commission I 15 think can help you a lot, but we need those of 16 you who are in the process, and we'll just say basically what you did here, go back and think 17 18 through that with your team, and, Mr. Chair, I 19 may be out of line by saying please submit to 20 us for a review, but I would like, I think it 21 would be helpful. 2.2 Sheriff Ashley. CHAIR: 23 SHER. ASHLEY: Just one follow up question. You mentioned notification. 24 When

25

you identify a threat, I think you said you had

three hundred eighty-eight threats at this point --

DR. MUCENIC: Correct.

4 SHER. ASHLEY: -- whether they're low, I'm 5 not sure what your ratio is to low, medium, or 6 high threats, but do you notify anybody other 7 than the parents and the team? Do you notify the parents of the school that they have --8 9 that you have a threat at the school that 10 you've identified? And especially if it's a 11 high threat, I mean we make phone calls all the 12 time, you know, we had a bombing threat at the 13 school, we've had this at the school, do you 14 all do that, do you notify the parents so that 15 they can make the decision, that they may 16 disagree, that the threat level is to the point 17 where they don't want to send their kid to 18 school? Do you have that kind of notification?

19DR. MUCENIC: It is not part of these20particular procedures, although the principal21would have the discretion to talk to their22supervisor and, and the superintendent in turn23to, to make those decisions.

24SHER. ASHLEY: Has that ever been made?25Have those decisions to notify a school

1

2

population, or a parental population that there's a threat at their school, has that ever been done?

DR. MUCENIC: I can't speak to all of our three hundred plus, two hundred plus charter schools in the district. It may or may not have happened.

SHER. ASHLEY: Thank you.

CHAIR: Commissioner Swearingen.

10 COMM. SWEARINGEN: I want to make sure I 11 understand. One of the key components of the 12 many threat assessment process, I've become far 13 more educated on this over the last three 14 months than I ever thought I would be, is not 15 only assessing the threat, but managing the 16 threat, and I think we're going to hear that 17 probably in the late presentation, so I want to 18 understand.

19 If your student supervisory plan is, is 20 your idea of managing the threat, and if so I 21 want to know how long that continues, how 22 regular meetings are held on a, a student, and 23 if they're assigned some outside help, whether 24 that's mental help or some other community 25 service, does that team then follow up? Who

1

2

3

8

takes ownership of these, because that's the problem here I think in this instance, is why we're here, is nobody took ownership of is, so I want to understand more about how you manage the threat, not just that you assess it.

6 DR. MUCENIC: Well, part of the gathering 7 information from the TRAC, that informs the intervention in the plan, the student 8 9 supervision plan, so ultimately the 10 administrator of the building would be the 11 person who would be responsible for monitoring 12 the plan, and that team, that threat assessment 13 team, so part of, part of the procedures is 14 that they do come back and the monitor the 15 plan, and at that time if they need to adjust 16 the plan then, then they certainly have that 17 flexibility to do that.

18 But this student supervision plan, there's 19 a variety of different interventions and 20 referrals to, community agency is certainly on 21 here, sometimes it's for intervention because 2.2 of drug abuse, or any number of things, so 23 that, that's built in there, and we work with 24 our community partners to provide all the 25 support we can for our students.

1

2

3

4

COMM. SWEARINGEN: Thank you. And so, 1 2 once they're put on one of these plans there is 3 a regularly scheduled meeting to update and check the status on this particular kid, or is 4 5 it ad hoc, that they just sort of decide --6 DR. MUCENIC: It's part of the training 7 procedures that they do reconvene and monitor the plan. 8 9 COMM. SWEARINGEN: Thank you. 10 CHAIR: So, one of the things that was, 11 and you'll hear more about this, and I'm going 12 to before the next meeting in August get you 13 all a copy of his actual threat assessment, 14 because it will also tie into the presentation 15 you're going to hear Thursday on Henderson, and 16 then we need to bring all that together. But 17 there were I think at this point, and the 18 evidence will show, two crucial pivot points in 19 Cruz's life. One was him turning eighteen on 20 September 24, 2016, which is four days before 21 this behavioral threat assessment was done. 2.2 And after he turned -- and the second pivot 23 point was the death of his mother on November 1st of 2017. 24 25 You'll see in the pattern that those were

very specific pivot points that altered his behavior, not in a good way, and he became much more independent. So, after the threat, after he turned eighteen on the 24th and this threat assessment process occurred, is that it was shortly after that that he himself, because he turned eighteen, is he disengaged from the ESE process, and that he pulled himself out of everything, and shortly thereafter he left MSD.

10 He went into the adult, the education 11 facilities, so there wasn't really a lot of 12 opportunity for them to engage this follow up 13 threat assessment process in this case because 14 he turned eighteen, he disengaged of 15 everything, and he basically walked away. So, 16 those are some dynamics, and there's a lot of 17 points that you all are making as to the bigger 18 scope of the threat assessment process, but as 19 it specifically relates to him it came late, 20 and at a pivot point where he and his, and his 21 mother, walked away from everything. So, we'll 2.2 hear more about that as it all hopefully comes 23 together by the next meeting. 24 MR. GOHL: Commission Chair, if I may?

CHAIR: Sure, go ahead.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

There was a question which 1 MR. GOHL: 2 emerged from a commissioner earlier about how does a report through the 911 anonymous tip 3 line or other mechanisms get from SIU to local 4 5 school administrator. I've had the opportunity to confer with our chief via text, Chief Robert 6 Hutchinson over at SIU. He has confirmed that 7 the detectives who monitor those tip lines 8 9 directly reach out to the school-based 10 administrator. There is not an intervening set 11 of steps, so that goes directly from SIU to the 12 building administrator. 13 CHAIR: All right, well, thank you very 14 much, we appreciate you being here. We've got 15 one more question. Go ahead, we'll let one more. Mr. Schachter. 16 17 MR. SCHACHTER: I think -- I think we're 18 -- I think we're going to find that the 19 students are the closets to this, and they are, 20 should be, we should be working with them 21 extremely closely. They're going to be able to 2.2 give us a lot of tips on, on these individuals.

Is there -- does Broward County have an app

that kids can report threats to, and

25 suspicious, you know, activity?

23

MR. GOHL: Well, I was going to say at 1 2 this point we have a website. We are looking 3 at modifying the app. We are increasing our awareness of students who outreach the clubs 4 5 and others, it is part, the mechanism to use 6 the website, the 911, the text lines, all are 7 available in the student handbook, though we're actually looking at increasing awareness of 8 9 that. 10 MR. SCHACHTER: Yeah, I would -- I would 11 venture to say kids are not really --12 DR. MUCENIC: And just to -- just to 13 intervene, the Florida DEO is also looking at 14 putting out an app. 15 CHAIR: Well, they're not looking at it, 16 they have to. If you remember from the summary 17 we did at the last meeting on 7026 is, is that 18 it's mandated that there be a statewide 19 reporting app, that there's funding for it, the 20 legislature provided, and DOE is in the process 21 of developing that statewide app. So, that 2.2 will be in place soon. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: Hopefully. So, I think that's one of the issues, is that there is no 24 25 easy way for children to report these threats.

I think that, that is one of the problems, you 1 2 know, we should be working with the kids much, much closer, and, you know, they're not, 3 they're not reading the handbook, I certainly, 4 5 you know, think, think that's going to happen, 6 and so I think that that's, that's one way 7 that, that we -- do you have any statistics on the success of, of your process? 8 9 I know you said there's three hundred 10 eighty-eight threats, do you have any -- I 11 think when I was doing research on Virginia 12 they showed as threats, and then they showed us 13 how they, they averted crisis; do you have any of those kinds of statistics? 14 15 DR. MUCENIC: We do not have those type of 16 statistics. 17 MR. SCHACHTER: Okay. 18 CHAIR: All right, we're going to --DR. MUCENIC: We could -- I mean we --19 20 potentially we could, we could look up every 21 single child that was listed of those three 2.2 hundred eighty-eight to then see what type of 23 interventions were put in place, and the 24 outcomes of those. We could possibly do that. 25 MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you very much.

CHAIR: All right, we appreciate the 1 2 presentation. Thank you. We're going to now move on to Dr. Linda Alathari from the U.S. 3 Secret Service, and she is the Chief of the 4 5 National Threat Assessment Center, to provide us with information on their process. 6 7 DR. ALATHARI: Good afternoon. Good afternoon. 8 CHAIR: 9 DR. ALATHARI: Thank you for having us. Ι 10 have a lot of information on the slides, it's 11 for information purposes, so I'm not going to 12 cover in detail everything on the slide, but 13 obviously if you have questions, and then 14 you'll see why, because everything that we do 15 is published online. And I'll talk a little 16 bit about what the Secret Service is doing, and 17 our new initiatives that we started this year 18 for school safety. 19 So, I'm just going to give you an overview 20 of what I'm going to discuss. I'm going to 21

discuss the national threat assessment center, what we do, how we were founded, because you'll understand why I'm standing here, why the science has to feed the practice of prevention. Then I'm going to talk about specifically

Veritext Legal Solutions

targeted violence in schools, what we've learned from years of research, and doing training for school officials, as well as meeting with school officials to find out best practices, challenges, et cetera, specifically how to create a targeted violence prevention plan.

A lot of it you guys are familiar with 8 already. Some of it was alluded it earlier, in 10 terms of what goes into a targeted violence 11 prevention plan in a school setting, and then 12 most importantly for a plan to work is the 13 climate of the school, so I'll talk a little 14 bit about creating safe school climates.

15 So, just to talk to you a little bit about 16 the history of the center, the Secret Service 17 in the late 1990's conducted a study looking at 18 the thinking and behavior of those individuals 19 who might want to assassinate a president or 20 carry out violence against a public official. 21 That study was guite seminal at the time. Ιt 2.2 really set the foundation for threat assessment 23 programs, and it actually coined the term 24 targeted violence.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

After that study came out it was when the

tragedy at Columbine happened, and at the time 1 2 the Secret Service was looking at its own 3 methodology of enhancing how we do prevention, how we do assessments of the people that come 4 5 to our attention, so we actually approached the Department of Education and offered to use the 6 7 methodology that we just learned from the research we've done to study those students who 8 9 might carry out an attack against the school, 10 and that's how the safe school initiative came 11 It was a collaboration between the about. 12 Department of Education and the Secret Service in examining cases in which a current or former 13 14 student carried out an attack against a K-12 school. 15

16 The findings of the safe school 17 initiative, and the accompanying guide that we released set the standard for threat assessment 18 19 programs in schools, and that's when the school 20 threat assessment teams started forming. Ιt 21 was back after the Columbine incident. Since 22 then we've done some more studies in the area 23 of school safety, so after Virginia Tech we 24 collaborated with the Department of Education again, and the FBI this time, looking at what 25

type of violence affects institutions of higher education. All of these reporters on the website so I won't talk about them too much.

Since then we've carried out some more 4 5 studies looking at various types of targeted 6 violence in the community. Our center doesn't 7 just focus on school targeted violence, we're 8 trying to prevent all kinds of targeted 9 attacks, so we have a recent study looking at 10 attacks on federal government, that happened 11 all over the country, in fifteen states and the 12 District of Columbia. We're currently engaged 13 for the last two years collecting data on 14 attacks against law enforcement, attacks against state officials. 15

16 One of the last reports that we just 17 released in March was looking at mass attacks 18 in public spaces in the year 2017. We're 19 hoping we can be able to study this phenomenon 20 yearly, unfortunately as these incidents are 21 happening. That report contained not only 2.2 school violence but also individuals who 23 targeted houses of worship, public spaces and 24 such. So, this is the type of research that 25 we've done in terms of prevention, and

1

2

everything that we do really shapes how we as an agency conduct our own threat assessments of the people that show either inappropriate, unusual, or threatening interests in one of our protectees, but also how can we take the research that we do, translate it operationally so that we can provide training for federal and state, and local law enforcements, school personnel, anyone really with the nexus for public safety responsibilities.

11 For us as an agency the primary objective 12 of a threat assessment is to identify any 13 individual or individuals who pose a threat, 14 that is the goal of a threat assessment, and 15 for our agency what we do. Our secondary 16 objective is criminal prosecution, so if 17 someone comes to our attention as an agency our 18 biggest thing is we want to collect 19 information, and I'll talk you through the 20 process of how to gather information and what 21 to look for to make an informed judgment. And 2.2 then from that we identify intervention 23 strategies to mitigate the risk that person 24 poses. That could involve legal action, it 25 could not. The goal is to mitigate risk, it is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

not for criminal prosecution for us.

We're never going to be able to predict who is going to be engaging in this kind of attack, as dramatic and impactful as they are, and they are certainly tragedies, they don't happen often, they don't happen often enough where you can actually have a risk assessment tool with a predictive quality to it, and so what you can do is do a sort of, and that's how threat assessment, it's really a structured professional judgment, and I'll talk a little bit about that.

13 For us as an agency threat assessment 14 having effective programs in place is just as 15 important as the physical security measures 16 that we employ. We want to be able to identify 17 these individuals before they even test our 18 security system. And this is why we have such 19 a robust mission at the agency in terms of 20 threat assessments. We have a whole division 21 that all they do is handle these kinds of 2.2 cases, follow up on them, manage them, collect 23 information, and identify intervention points. 24 We use a behavior-based approach, and 25 that, I'm collapsing four-hour training into a

one-hour discussion, but what I mean by 1 2 behavior base approach is we're looking at the 3 individual as a whole, we're not just basing it on threats. And I think that's an important 4 5 distinction, because what we see from our 6 studies in different types of target attacks, 7 in that majority of those incidents those perpetrators did not threaten their targets 8 9 ahead of time. However, they were posting, 10 they were talking about it to the other people, 11 and that sort of thing. So, that's an 12 important distinction, is that we're not just 13 focused on whether someone made a threat, we're 14 really looking at a constellation of behavior 15 to inform our risk assessment.

16 So, there's been a lot of work done in the 17 area of threat assessment over the years. Ι 18 think due to the tragedy that occurred here 19 there's been a lot more going on, in terms of 20 at the federal level, to see how can we do 21 things to help, to help support the 2.2 communities. One of the things I've done is 23 testified before Congress on threat assessment. 24 I think that's probably one of the first times that a committee had had a threat assessment 25

expert testifying as to what it means, and how it's important for the community. Now they're talking about it, that its fact based its behavior, and there's a lot of work being done actually at the federal level now in terms of trying to provide best practices and help for trickling down to the state and local level.

So, just to talk to you about some of the 8 findings, again this is all in the website, but 9 10 back then when we did this study there really 11 wasn't a comprehensive study looking at this 12 phenomenon of a targeted school attack from the 13 behavioral, or law enforcement, or operational 14 perspective, in that we wanted to look at the thinking and behavior of these students and 15 16 understand why did they carry out this attack, 17 and are there intervention points and 18 prevention efforts.

So, the findings of the safe school initiative fall under several categories. One was sort of characterizing the attacker, that's looking at their past behaviors, their motives, whether they communicated to anyone, conceptualizing the attack, did they engage in any planning behaviors. We do -- and I'll talk

1

2

3

4

5

6

a little bit about the findings in a few minutes, but we do find out that in a majority of these cases there was some kind of advances planning. The majority were about two to four days before; however, some took months to plan these things.

7 Signaling the attack was more about did other people know. As I said in our safe 8 9 school initiative they did not directly 10 threaten the school ahead of time, but they 11 were talking about it to other students. Т']] 12 talk a little bit about that in the findings. 13 And then advancing attack and resolution, we know from all kinds of data that these 14 15 incidents for the most part stop before law 16 enforcement can actually act in response. 17 These kind of incidents usually occur in about 18 one to five minutes. Sometimes it goes to fifteen minutes. So, just as important as it 19 20 is to do active shooter responses and 21 preparation it's just as important that we want 2.2 to stop these things before the person even 23 shows up, and that is why having effective 24 threat assessment programs in place is the basis for prevention. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

Veritext Legal Solutions

So, just in terms of my center and what 1 2 we've done, since the publication of the 3 original study looking at school violence and establishing the threat assessment programs in 4 5 schools we've conducted over four hundred fifty 6 trainings to over ninety-three thousand school 7 personnel, mental health professionals, counselors, and law enforcement. We just did 8 9 one a few weeks ago, we had seven hundred 10 people in the audience in Kansas. I think a 11 lot of people really want this information, and 12 when we travel and do training across the 13 country we're also at times meeting with 14 specific schools, or specific law enforcement 15 agencies to find out about best practices and 16 challenges, so we do learn a lot even just from 17 going around and doing the training for 18 schools, and for law enforcement.

Currently our new initiative that we launched this year is we're producing a guide on school safety. It's actually going to be released on Thursday of this week, hopefully, unless something happens while I'm gone, but that's the plan. The guide is how to enhance school safety using a threat assessment model.

It actually outlines the steps for creating these types of plans in schools. Obviously, they need to be tailored to the state, the district, and the agency, and the school's resources, but I think that guide is going to be really helpful for the community. It's basically based on the years of training that we've been doing and studying these types of incidents. So, that's coming out on Thursday.

10 We're also launched a new research study 11 looking at more recent incidents of K-12 12 targeted school attacks as an update basically 13 to the original research that the agency has 14 We're hoping to release that in March. done. 15 It takes a long time to do a research project, 16 so I always have to have the caveat of we need 17 to, we need more time to be able to do it, but 18 we are aiming to release it in March of 2019. 19 After that our agency is going to launch a huge 20 training initiative where we're going to go out 21 all over the country and basically provide 2.2 training based on the findings of the study, 23 and also the quide that we've released. So, just -- these are just a sample of 24

incidents that are included in the new study

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

that we're doing. I just wanted to highlight 1 2 that they run the gamut. In that first case 3 that you see this was a twenty-four-year-old male who went back to his school and shot and 4 5 killed a coach. He actually had a good 6 relationship with the coach. He used to be 7 part of the sport program. The coach was a family friend, but that individual started 8 9 experiencing mental health symptoms, and he was 10 experiencing delusions and hallucinations. 11 Part of those delusions was that he became to 12 believe that the coach is conspiring with 13 others against him and trying to send people 14 after him. It was part of his mental illness. 15 In fact, I believe -- we're still researching 16 these cases, but I believe he was released from 17 a hospital just a few days prior to the 18 shooting.

19The next one is a student who was a20seventeen-year-old who shot and killed the vice21principal. He actually had gotten suspended22that morning for nineteen days by the vice23principal. He had driven his car over the24football field and left tracks in the field,25and was suspended, and then he went home, got

his father's service weapon, his father was a law enforcement officer, and came back and carried out the shooting.

And then in the last one it was a female student, we're still researching that incident as well to find out more about her background, but she really was experiencing a lot of problems, and she just wanted to, her goal was to carry out an attack, and then she was hoping that she would be killed. In that instance she used a knife.

12 So, just to highlight that in the, in the 13 school study that we're looking at we're not 14 just looking at gun violence, we're looking at 15 lethal intention, a student who wanted to carry 16 out a lethal intention against the school. 17 Obviously predominantly they are firearms, but 18 there are also other types of weapons that are 19 being used.

Just to kind of highlight the big picture of school safety we got this from the Department of Education and Justice that released the school safety stats, and the latest one that was released in 2017, that there are a lot of polls on school resources in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

terms of security and safety. As you see the 1 2 majority of them involve simple assault, some are theft. Serious violent incident is 3 4 comprised a certain percentage up there, and 5 homicides obviously are the biggest impact, and 6 what we want to prevent, so today I'm talking about a slice of the pie that doesn't even show 7 up on that, and that is this targeted school 8 9 violence, and how can we prevent that.

10 So, here is some of the findings that, from the safe school initiative. They are 11 12 listed in the study reports, and in the guide, 13 and really these findings, and the other 14 incidents that we've studied over the years, 15 inform what schools should be looking for when 16 they're doing a threat assessment process, 17 because we're looking at the prior behaviors, 18 and we're learning from these incidents so that 19 we can prevent future attacks.

We know that these are not sudden, that these people have thought about their attacks prior, ahead of time, and had some kind of advance degree of planning, as I said. Even though the majority of these students did not directly threaten the school prior to their attack we do know that the majority of them have talked about violent intentions to other students.

When the safe school initiative came out 4 5 that was 2002, so technology wasn't what it was 6 today, but back then in eighty one percent of 7 those cases other kids at that school, or a sibling, or a friend, or a peer, knew about 8 that student's intention. They either knew 9 10 that they planned an attack, or they knew that 11 they had talked about it, and that's in eighty 12 one percent of the cases. That actually was 13 such a significant finding for us as an agency 14 that we did a follow up report that we also released on the website. 15 We call it the 16 bystander report, because in that report we 17 wanted to understand how is it that in eighty 18 one percent of these incidents other kids knew 19 and they did not report it, why do some kids 20 report this information, and why do some kids 21 don't.

And the findings of those reports were quite significant. It actually set the stage sort of for schools establishing safe school climates, because we found out from studying

1

2

those kinds of incidents and talking to those bystanders that the relationship they had with the school made a difference, some misjudged the immediacy of it, some thought the attacker was joking around. Having a trusted adult relationship at the school was one of the most important things in terms of prevention.

There is no accurate useful profile, so 8 9 it's not just the loner, it's not just the kid 10 who's struggling academically. What we've seen 11 from these incidents is that they varied in 12 their academic performance, they varied in 13 their family life, some were from two home, two 14 parent families, some were not. The majority 15 of people in the original, the students in the 16 original study were As and Bs, they were 17 mainstream students. They were not what you 18 would normally think of as the loners, and the ones that are kind of isolated. It really runs 19 20 the gamut, and that's why I encourage that we 21 don't focus on kind of one set of 2.2 characteristic or behavior.

23 Most attackers however did engage in 24 behavior that concerned others, and we see that 25 not just in the school setting but also in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

other studies that we do on targeted attacks, 1 2 is that these people are eliciting concern in those around them. And the concern ranged from 3 their behavior changing, whether sudden 4 5 dramatic changes to actually them talking about 6 planning or talking about some kind of violent 7 intention. Many had exhibit -- in fact almost every attacker in a safe school initiative had 8 9 experienced a significant personal stressor, 10 whether it was a loss of status, whether for 11 example being kicked off the football team, or 12 a more significant loss of a relationship, loss 13 of a family member, also loss of, sort of 14 personal setbacks and challenges that they were 15 experiencing.

So, that was very significant, stressors are one of the biggest things that we see in every single, almost every single incident of targeted violence that we study we see that there's a significant stressor that occurred in that person's life in the year leading up to their attack.

For the school kids that carried out those attacks in the safe school initiative, the majority of them had experienced depressive

symptoms as well, and some had suicidal 1 2 thoughts, and thought about suicide, or they 3 attempted suicide. Many felt bullied and persecuted, we know that, that's been around 4 5 for a long time. A lot of schools and states 6 now are enacting this anti-bullying legislation 7 just because of the significant affect that bullying has, not only on targeted school 8 9 attacks but also in suicide, and that's a big 10 component of threat assessment, is the suicide prevention and awareness, because as we say a 11 12 suicidal person could be a homicidal person, 13 because that might be the method that they choose to kind of take out, take out their own 14 15 life.

Many had access and used weapons in the past, and so in the safe school initiative two thirds of those students acquired their weapons from the home. And we're gathering information in the new study about that as well.

21 So, what does this all mean in terms of 22 threat assessments in school? So, basically 23 what we know, that the goal of the threat 24 assessment, and this is what a threat 25 assessment is, because I know there's a lot of

confusion sometimes about these terms, threat 1 2 assessment, risk assessment, vulnerability assessment, physical assessment, but a threat 3 assessment is basically having a process in 4 5 place where you want to identify people who are 6 engaging in concerning behavior or may be experiencing some significant distress. You 7 want to gather information from multiple 8 9 sources and corroborate that information so 10 that you can make an informed assessment 11 whether this person poses a risk of violence or 12 engaging in some other hostile behavior.

13 Once you identify these individuals, collect the information, do the assessment, 14 15 then you're going to have to manage that, and 16 what does that mean, and what intervention 17 strategies to put in place. And I'll talk 18 about that throughout the presentation. That 19 second field that you see, the second bullet 20 about targeted violence involves, we know from 21 studying these attacks that violence occurs 2.2 when you have an individual who is experiencing 23 certain stressors or significant events in 24 their lives and is operating in a situation 25 that condones or permits violence, takes out an

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

action against a specific target.

2 These four elements should quide every 3 threat assessment investigation. The first thing you're going to be looking at is the 4 5 person who came to your attention. You want to look at their behaviors -- and I'll talk in a 6 7 little bit more detail about what to look for, but their behaviors, have there been any 8 9 significant events in their life recently, and 10 how have they coped with them, and how have 11 they cope in the past, because obviously how 12 they cope with things in the past can predict 13 the future.

We also want to find out what situation 14 15 are they operating in, do they have supports, 16 are they associating with friends or peers that 17 might be condoning or engaging, or even 18 spurring them on in this kind of behavior. And 19 then if they do pose a risk then who could they 20 pose a risk to. That's one of the things that 21 we do as an agency, is that when we're to 2.2 assessing individuals who come to our agency's 23 attention for having an inappropriate interest 24 against one of our protectees, sometimes we 25 don't think that they actually are, pose a

threat to our protectee, but in the process of 1 2 gathering information, and I'll talk about the 3 systems approach, we identify, you know what, you know, they're making these comments about 4 5 the school district, they're making comments 6 about a neighbor, they're saying these things, 7 so we are, we do our due diligence, and we always work with local law enforcement to make 8 9 sure that they are aware if we are concerned 10 about someone in the community from the 11 investigations that we do.

12 So, just to highlight to you, just in terms of prevention, and as I think someone 13 14 talked about this earlier, we're never going to 15 know what we've prevented through our 16 interventions, but it is still the best 17 practice out there in terms of trying to 18 identify people and get them the help that they 19 need to mitigate any risk they pose. So, right 20 after the safe school initiative was released 21 there was a school in New Bedford, 2.2 Massachusetts that took the findings of the 23 study and started enacting threat assessment 24 program in their school, and they worked every closely with their local law enforcement agency 25

on establishing threat assessment program, so they were very familiar with it, they had just started enacting it just a little while before, and one of the things that they tried to do was set up a climate within the schools where students feel comfortable talking about it.

7 So, one of the students overheard two, a couple of other students talking about a plan 8 9 to bring guns and bombs and carry out an attack 10 against faculty and students at the school. 11 She had -- she knows one of the administrators, 12 and felt quite close to her, so she actually 13 told that administrator that she overheard That immediately started an 14 this. 15 investigation, and they started working within 16 the protocols that they established for threat 17 assessment, with especially involving the 18 principal and the school resource officer.

As this was happening a second student who was actually a part of this group of kids that was plotting this attack told her favorite teacher, she was a, she's a former student at that school but she really liked one of the teachers, and felt very close to her, and she didn't want her to be hurt, so she told her

1

2

3

4

5

teacher about this plot even though she was part of this plot itself.

Local law enforcement quickly acted. They discovered a house that had bombing material. 4 On that Tuesday a janitor found a note. This was all happening within that same time frame, found a note alluding to something bad happening on a Monday. This was the week right before the Thanksgiving holiday, so he found the note on the Tuesday before Thanksgiving. 11 By Saturday everything had been acting on those 12 kids were arrested, and parents were notified, 13 the schools were notified, and school began 14 again on Monday in a normal way.

15 So, this is just sort of an incident that 16 occurred really right after the safe school 17 initiative came out, so there is some points to 18 note with that, is that why was this incident 19 prevented, a student felt comfortable coming 20 forward and sharing information, the school had 21 procedures in place to kind of follow up, and 2.2 they'd already started working on plans with their local law enforcement. The investigation 23 focused on the students' behaviors, not whether 24 those students had come to attention from 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

making threats in the past. The team focused on whether the student posed a threat, as opposed to having made threats, as I just said. It took place over the Thanksgiving holiday, but they acted on it immediately, regardless of whether school was in session or not. And the school worked very closely together with local law enforcement.

9 So, there's a lot of benefits to this 10 approach. Donna is going to be coming on after 11 me to talk about the Virginia model. Some of 12 the research that the University of Virginia 13 has shown, is not only does this threat assessment model, is effective for preventing 14 15 school violence, but it actually has other 16 positive affects as well, such as decreasing 17 rates of bullying, encouraging students to come 18 forward with information, more positive 19 attitudes in school climates because you have 20 to have transparency when you're training and 21 doing awareness for parents, students, as well 2.2 as the threat assessment professionals at the 23 schools. So, a lot of positives can come out 24 from having this.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Specifically for creating a plan, this is

a chart we just put together that we use for our training just to kind of give you an overview of a targeted violence prevention plan, as I said we call it a targeted violence prevention plan, but actually it also has a lot of prevention positive effect, in terms of reducing the rates of suicide in that as well, because you should be able to if you're having these systems in place, to get reports on suicidal kids, and other kids experiencing distress.

12 So, basically the first thing, and you've 13 heard this before in other presentations I'm 14 sure, is having the threat assessment team. 15 You have to have in your protocols defined what 16 are they, not just the prohibited behaviors 17 that would immediately trigger a law 18 enforcement response or a threat assessment, but also some of the lower level threshold 19 20 behaviors that I'll talk about. You have to 21 have a control reporting mechanism for this 2.2 information to come in, and how is going to be 23 following up with that. Again, I'll be talking in more detail about each of these thresholds 24 for law enforcement intervention that needs to 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 be built in the plan.

2 Having clear investigative procedures with rules and responsibilities, what information to 3 gather, who's going to interview, who's going 4 5 to do it, who's going to follow up and 6 document, having risk management options, 7 because those are our intervention strategies 8 after you've gathered your information. 9 Insuring that a safe school and a safe climate 10 in the school is part of your plan, because if 11 you do not have a climate in the school 12 conducive to reporting, or empowering students 13 to come forward with information, this plan 14 won't work, so it's important to have 15 considered multiple aspects of threat assessment. And finally, having training. 16 17 Without training nothing works. We know that. 18 We know that from active shooter trainings, and 19 other types of trainings just that you do for 20 normal everyday things. 21 So, these are the components of the plan.

21 So, these are the components of the plan. 22 The very first part is the threat assessment 23 team, they talked about it this morning. These 24 are some of the members that sit on a threat 25 assessment team. We always recommend having

permanent members, not only because they 1 2 provide a continuity, but also, it's, it's a 3 To be a team you have to work together team. over time. You have to know your roles and 4 5 responsibilities. You have to know what things 6 you've discussed in the past, how it's going to 7 inform your assessments in the future. That's very important, because as you're going through 8 9 getting these different student evaluations 10 that you're doing then if you're team is always 11 rotating you're not going to know what you 12 learned from each evaluation to inform the next 13 one.

14 You begin to see a pattern. You begin to 15 see what interventions are working and what are 16 not, so it's really important to have permanent 17 members as part of a team, and then having the 18 others come in as needed, as was talked about 19 earlier. I have to say, I didn't say this in 20 the front, but, you know, at the Secret 21 Service, and doing this kind of work, we're 2.2 always making recommendations, we're never 23 dictating, so everything has to be tailored to 24 that agency, that schools' resources, and 25 obviously keeping in mind some of the statutes

800-726-7007

Veritext Legal Solutions

305-376-8800

and the regulations at the federal and state level.

You can have district as well as school-3 based teams. When we travel all over the 4 5 country doing training and we meet with schools I've seen different versions of these teams. 6 Sometimes they're called behavior intervention 7 teams, sometimes they're called threat 8 9 assessment teams, really the name of the team 10 is sort of up to the school, or the district, 11 that's something that can be a point of 12 discussion. One of the things that I saw that 13 was a really good model, I believe it was in 14 Massachusetts, they call their threat 15 assessment team an assessment and care team, 16 because sometimes the words threat assessment 17 connotates this sort of uncomfortable in 18 parents, or in others, so it's called an 19 assessment and care team because really it 20 highlights the continuity of the continuum of 21 behaviors that could come to attention. 2.2

And I can't highlight it just enough, it's not just about making a threat, it's about a continuity of concerning behavior that you want to be aware of, and you want to know that this

1

team is not only going to be identifying this concerning behavior, they're going to assess it, and they're going to provide care for my child, or the individual who is experiencing distress. So, these are just some options that we've seen being done in different areas of the country.

As I said earlier we need to define these 8 9 in our protocols, the concerning and prohibited 10 behaviors. Obviously, some of the prohibited 11 behaviors are sort of obvious, bringing a 12 weapon to school, engaging, in violence, making 13 a threat, sexual attacks, anything that 14 involves a danger to the safety of the school, 15 of the person. But you should also set a low threshold for the other concerning behaviors 16 17 that the team would want to know about, and 18 that may launch an inquiry. And that's if 19 there's dramatic changes in behavior, if the 20 person is experiencing distress, and is talking about violent intentions. 21

The guide that we're going to be putting out on Thursday is going to outline a lot of behaviors that, to kind of think about to include in your plan, in terms of being able to

1

2

3

4

5

6

intervene early. One of the biggest things that we highlight is early intervention is key to prevention. If we're intervening early with people, students who are experiencing distress, or engaging in behavior that might not rise to the level of the obvious, right, like bringing a weapon or physical violence, or making a direct threat, we need to interview before, intervene before they even get to the level where they're thinking that violence is my option to solve this problem that I'm in. So, these should be part of the protocols.

13 The control reporting mechanism, that's 14 been talked about already, it got talked about 15 this morning. One of the things that we like 16 to highlight is to make sure there's 17 accountability, so if you're putting something 18 in place -- we work a lot with different people 19 in terms of reporting of information, so you 20 want this system to be trained to everybody, 21 because you want students to report, you want 2.2 parents to report, you want other people to be 23 able to report this information, because people 24 have different pieces of the puzzle, right, 25 we've seen that before. One person, a student

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 may know, a parent may not know, and 2 vice-versa, so you want to be able to train 3 people on what to report, what are the concerning behaviors that should be reported, 4 5 because sometimes it's not obvious. Even --6 even when schools have really robust threat 7 assessment programs in place it's not going to be perfect, but you want to make sure as much 8 9 as possible that people know that I don't need to wait until someone makes a direct threat or 10 11 posts a picture of themselves on a social media 12 site with a weapon, to know that I need to 13 report it. I need to report maybe if someone 14 is talking about violent intentions, or maybe a 15 student is being bulled, or maybe they're 16 cutting themselves, any kind of behavior that 17 could indicate that that person is engaging in 18 some form of concern or has experienced a 19 significant stressor.

20 So, not only having this mechanism in 21 place for reporting, training on this, but you 22 also have to have follow up, and who's going to 23 follow up with it. One of the programs right 24 after Columbine put in place to improve kind of 25 reporting in Colorado is Safe to Tell. They

have a great program. I know the director of 1 2 Safe to Tell, she does a lot of work all over 3 the country in doing training, and you can read it on their website, they talk about the 4 5 challenges they faced when they first put this 6 hotline in place, because back then this was a 7 hotline, and some of the lessons learned. Α lot of kids report things at like 2:00 a.m., or 8 9 1:00 a.m., so is there someone that's going to 10 be getting this information at 2:00 a.m. or 11 1:00 a.m., so thinking about, if you're 12 establishing these reporting mechanisms who is 13 going to be getting this information and being 14 able to immediately act on it. If it's within 15 a school then it should be really a member of 16 the threat assessment team that is in charge, 17 it's spelled out in the protocols who should 18 check this and who should be the backup, and so 19 on, so having these kinds of protocols and 20 plans in place -- one of the things else to 21 establish in your protocols is the threshold 2.2 for local law enforcement intervention. This 23 is why it's important to have local law 24 enforcement involved in the planning initially, having a school resource officer or a member of 25

the local law enforcement on the team. 1 In some 2 counties that we visit the school hires, the 3 school board, or the school district hires the school resource officers. In others it's 4 5 actually local police department detailing a 6 police officer to the school, so it's important 7 to have a relationship with local law enforcement, a lot of times they are the 8 9 resource officers, and then your plan needs to 10 spell out at the point in which local law 11 enforcement is involved. Obviously, anything 12 involving imminent safety, that should 13 immediately warrant notification of law 14 enforcement, but sometimes they may be involved 15 in other areas of the process as well.

16 Not every inquiry that a threat assessment 17 team does should involve law enforcement, 18 because if you get a report about a student 19 being bullied, and you're trying to put a plan 20 in place maybe assessing that teen, that's not 21 going to be a local law enforcement issue, so 2.2 thinking about what is the threshold, the 23 minimum threshold for working with law 24 enforcement. And when we do training for 25 school and law enforcement it's usually a

combined audience, sometimes it's surprising 1 2 how people feel like they can't share information, so I'll tell the school that I'm 3 talking about a specific case example, would 4 5 you have notified law enforcement at this level 6 of your assessment, and let's say it was quite 7 concerning but not a criminal act, and they said, no, we don't think that law enforcement 8 9 would want to be involved at this level, the 10 kid hadn't committed a crime. Then I turn to 11 the law enforcement and say would you have 12 wanted to be involved or know about this kid 13 and work with this school, and they said, yes, we would want to know. So, there's a lot of 14 15 information sharing that needs to be kind of 16 put in place between schools and police in 17 terms of working together. 18 Establishing investigative procedures,

this is the longest part of the plan because this is the part where you're going to outline the roles and responsibilities of team members, who's going to do what, who's going to interview the reporting party, who's going to report, interview the student that elicited concern, who's going to be in charge of

gathering information from multiple aspects, and we'll talk about that, who's going to follow up on certain avenues of investigation that you may not have thought of, and who's going to be involved in the assessment process, and to convene in all of that.

7 So, these protocols have to be spelled out 8 ahead of time, especially about what kind of 9 information to look for, who to talk to, and 10 how to document that. So, in years of research 11 we know that there are several themes that 12 guide our threat assessment, and we do this as 13 an agency, and this is being done now to 14 prevent workplace violence, in terms of the 15 science leading the practice, so these are the overhead sort of look, birds eye view of what 16 17 should guide your assessment, and I'll go into 18 each one.

So, in terms of gathering information, when you first get -- and you're going to be using this approach to gather information about all those things. This is what we call the community systems approach, that means when someone comes to our agencies attention the first thing we're going to do is interview

1

2

3

4

5

them, we're going to interview everyone around them to gather information because people present differently in different scenarios, so a kid at school may act one way, at home they act in a different way, at their after school club, or hobby, or soccer game, or whatever, in front of their coach they may act a different way. And each of these individuals have a part to play in forming an accurate picture of an assessment, so it's about finding what that kid is involved in.

12 So, if a student, if you're doing an 13 assessment on a school you need to be asking 14 their classmates about their behavior, talking 15 to other students, talking to their teachers, 16 do they, are they involved in after school 17 activities. And this is where the SRO's come 18 in as well, because they actually are very 19 engaged in some of the districts I've met with, 20 in terms of being engaged with the threat 21 assessment process, going out with the school 2.2 officials, interviewing. And if you have 23 training ahead of time, and transparency ahead of time, that's, I talk about this a little bit 24 25 as part of the training, that takes away from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

sort of the fear of, you know, oh, this 1 2 person's asking about my student, or my child. Just to highlight to you the importance of 3 that, every time we study these incidents we 4 5 find out after the fact, and this is across all types of targeted attacks, that different 6 7 people had, were concerned, but a lot of times they weren't sharing information, and so this 8 9 really should be guiding how we're doing our 10 assessment, and corroborating information, 11 because we want to paint a holistic picture of 12 that person.

13 In one incident that we're studying in, in the new school attacks research that we're 14 15 doing, this one incident happened in 2016. 16 There was a fourteen-year-old who carried out 17 an attack against the school, it was actually 18 the playground of an elementary school. When 19 you look at the information that was available 20 on this kid if you do an assessment, let's say he had come to attention, and you look at to 21 2.2 gather information, obviously it's easy for me 23 in hindsight, I'm studying something that 24 already happened, but this is really important 25 because you find out that the family was

Veritext Legal Solutions

concerned, they had found some notes he had written.

3 This fourteen-year-old had actually killed his father on his way to the school to carry 4 5 out the attack. So, there were a lot of 6 concerns at home. He had just been recently 7 suspended from his middle school because he had become more volatile. So, if you talk to the 8 9 teachers and the other classmates at the middle 10 school you would have found out that his 11 behavior had escalated, he'd become more 12 volatile, he thought he was being bulled, he 13 was complaining about that, and he one day 14 brought a, in his backpack a machete and a 15 hatchet, that another student saw, and that 16 student immediately reported it to the 17 principal, and that's why he was suspended.

18 He was also arrested, so you would have 19 had judicial contact, and law enforcement contact information on him. He had -- his 20 21 hobbies was joining all these game forums and 2.2 online sites, and he had a lot of interest in 23 violence, weapons. He talked about bombings. 24 He talked about wanting to carry out attacks, 25 wanting to kill people online. So, you could

1

see the types of information that the different systems had on this kid.

3 So, some of the key themes that we look for, and why it's important to gather 4 5 information from multiple sources is, one of the biggest things is when a kid gets referred 6 7 for a threat assessment, when a student gets referred, you want to find out why did they 8 9 engage in the behavior that elicited concern, 10 whether it was a statement or a behavior that 11 they had been exhibiting. Finding out the 12 motives for why a student elicited concern in 13 someone will find out what their goals are. We 14 see that in a majority of these incidents, 15 that's students wanted revenge for some 16 personal slight, or personal injury that they 17 felt they suffered, whether it was in the form 18 of bullying, or someone taking action against 19 them for something, they wanted to gain 20 attention, they wanted to bring attention to a 21 problem they were having.

22 One of the things that we see as a 23 recurring theme is they think this is the only 24 option sometimes they have to solve a problem, 25 is that they're going to engage in violence to

1

solve a problem, or right a wrong. So, that's why it's important when someone come to attention, is to find out why are they engaging in that behavior.

5 Then you obviously want to find out what 6 kind of communications have they made, 7 communications come in on multiple forms. They could have made in person communications to 8 9 friends, family, other adults. Believe it or 10 not, you still see these kind of concerning 11 themes being turned in to assignments, and I'll 12 show you a couple of examples from the past. 13 Obviously social media is huge now, and we all 14 know that online, they post online about it. 15 So, you want to find that, where to gather that information from, and where are they 16 17 communicating, and then you want to know the 18 content of the communication, are they talking 19 about suicide, are they talking about violent 20 intentions, and what have they been saying.

Also, their communications will give you information as to what's going on in their life, because a lot of times they'll post about, oh, you know, my teacher doesn't do anything, and I'm getting bullied, or like

1

2

3

they'll post even about their stressors, and 1 2 the other important themes to kind of look for. You want to know if they have an unusual 3 interest in violence. We've seen this before, 4 5 where they're talking about it, they're 6 researching past attackers. Columbine has 7 almost become -- the Columbine attack has almost become sort of the symbol for mass 8 9 attacks. Not even just in school shooters, we 10 have cases where, there's one that, a case that 11 occurred in Las Vegas where two police officers 12 were shot and killed that were, it's part of 13 our research, and we do training on it, they 14 posted about their admiration for the Columbine 15 shooter, so it's not even just the school kids that are talking about it, it's other types of 16 17 well. And you see that a lot, this interest in 18 other mass attacks, violence, and perpetrators of violence. 19

You want to know if they have a
fascination with weapons. They will post about
it, they will talk about it. It's rarely
hidden if they do. And then obviously if
someone comes to the attention, and that you
are concerned about, you want to know, and we

Page 276

do that as well, do they have access to 1 2 weapons. If there are weapons in the home how 3 sophisticated is the family about their need for securing those weapons and are there -- if 4 5 you do find -- if you do determine that this 6 kid poses a risk make sure you work, obviously, 7 with the families to be able to secure these 8 type of weapons. So, these are the things to 9 kind of think about. In some of the cases that 10 we've seen some of the family members didn't 11 even know that their child knew that they had a 12 weapon in the home. Others did, and they had 13 it safely locked but the child was able to get 14 access to it, so it's important to think about 15 the access issue.

16 This is just an example. When we do these 17 trainings, as I said they're usually like four 18 hours long, we use a lot of case examples to 19 highlight, because I can sit here and just talk 20 about look for this behavior, look for this 21 behavior, it's always important to use examples 2.2 of what's occurred in the past so that we learn This is a tweet that one student in 23 from it. 24 one of our, in the report that we're working on 25 now. He had posted this over a series of time

frames on his Twitter, and starting around September 20th, which was about a month prior to the incident.

He had broken, he had, his girlfriend had 4 5 broken up with him, and he was very upset about 6 it, so he started to post this kind of language on his Twitter. You see it gets progressively 7 This is October 13th. You can see that 8 worse. 9 his tweets were getting sort of more agitated, he was more angry. You could see that he was 10 11 saying things that indicated that he was 12 hopeless, despair. These are some of the 13 behaviors that we would want to look for, and I'll talk a little bit about that, that there 14 15 might be indications that he might want to 16 commit suicide.

17 So, these are the tweets he posted in the 18 weeks leading up to the incident. His incident 19 was he showed up at his school at lunchtime and 20 he shot and killed five, sorry, shot five 21 students, four were killed. The interesting 2.2 thing is that most oftentimes when we think of 23 these type of incidents, we're thinking this 24 person is exacting revenge, and that is the majority of the time. 25

1

2

But I like to highlight this case because 1 2 he actually picked his five closest friends, two of them were his cousins, and the reason he 3 did that is because he was suicidal, he wanted 4 5 out, he didn't want to be alone, he wanted his best friends with him. He called them his ride 6 7 or die crew. So, he actually shot those kids because they were the closest to him and he 8 wanted them with him. So, just -- I like to 9 10 use these examples just to highlight, because 11 we've become so fixated on sort of one 12 checklist, one way of thinking about these type 13 of incidents, that we need to really be assessing all kinds of -- and this is when I 14 talk about when suicide can become a homicide. 15

16 In another incident, this is another 17 perpetrator, it just shows you that they are 18 posting about it. He actually had a YouTube 19 channel where he was making explosives at home. 20 I'm sorry, this was not a perpetrator, this was 21 actually a diverted plot. I don't know if you 2.2 remember a couple of years ago this woman was looking out the window and she saw this kid 23 24 walking across the backyard and she thought he 25 was acting suspicious, there were storage units

back there, and she thought he was acting suspicious, he was kind of hiding, and when he got to the storage unit he was taking a while to open it, so she called local law enforcement because she thought maybe he was trying to break in and steal.

7 When local law enforcement arrived, he was in the storage unit, and the storage unit was 8 9 filled with weapons, ammunition, and bomb 10 making material. He actually had a plot to 11 kill his parents and go to the local school, 12 his local school, and carry out an attack. In 13 the months leading up to the incident, he 14 started thinking about it nine months before, 15 he was post, he was going out and practicing 16 with explosives, he was acquiring materials, 17 and he was actually posting that on a YouTube 18 channel, him detonating explosive devices.

He involved some other friends and classmates in those, and he later said when he was arrested that he did it to test to see who would be sort of encouraged to kind of act out the attack with him, so he was kind of testing these friends by involving them in some of his explosive things.

1

2

3

4

5

So, these are just some examples of, just 1 2 to kind of highlight that the variety of behaviors can exhibit. A lot of this 3 information is out there, and this is why we're 4 5 not going to prevent every incident. But, 6 having effective threat assessment programs 7 really will help us identify individuals who are doing these kind of things, and engaging in 8 9 concerning behavior. As I said, one of the 10 biggest things we want to find out are the 11 The majority involved stress stressors. 12 involving a significant loss that the student 13 had suffered, as well as maybe medical issues 14 for a family member, so they could go through 15 the stress of that. So, the stress may not be 16 something happening to them directly, but it 17 could be to a family member. We've seen that 18 as well, so keep that in mind when you're 19 gathering information. 20 Obviously, the bullying, so putting in, a 21 lot of schools now are doing interventions. 2.2 School climates really has a lot to do with 23 intervening in these kind of incidents of

25 experiencing hopelessness, despair, obviously,

bullying. You want to know are they

we've talked a little bit about that, because if they are desperate, and they are hopeless, then they will be more likely to think that violence is the only acceptable solution to solve their problems.

6 If they are experiencing these things, and 7 if they are experiencing stressors, one of the biggest things, that's sort of going back to 8 the elements of looking at the person, the 9 10 advanced situation and target, you want to 11 examine how they coped in the past. One of the 12 biggest things that we see is that these kids 13 don't have the coping mechanisms and have not 14 had the coping mechanisms to deal with these 15 problems, and then they become so escalated, 16 and so overwhelmed they start to lose hope, 17 become more desperate, and then they start to 18 act out their aggression by thinking of 19 violence as an option. So, these are things to 20 keep in mind when you're doing your assessment. 21 This is another example, so it's a poem

that a kid turned into, in his English class.
And I like to use this example because this was
in 1993, so this is one of the students that we
looked at, all the other cases I've been

1

2

3

4

1	discussing have been more recent ones, but this
2	was back in 1993. This was a poem he turned
3	into English class, and you can tell from the
4	content of it I'll read some of it. I don't
5	know if people can see it, but:
6	Sinking into that homicidal thoughts
7	filling my head.
8	Suicidal thoughts not gone but fleeting,
9	because it's other people's death I'm seeing.
10	Suicide or homicide, homicide and suicide,
11	into sleep I'm sinking, why me I'm thinking.
12	Homicidal and suicidal thoughts
13	intermixing, I know my life's not worth
14	fighting.
15	So, he had turned this poem into an
16	English assignment. The English teacher took
17	the poem and gave it to the principal. The
18	principal gave it to the school board, and the
19	school board after looking at it, think this
20	was 1993, this was pre-Columbine and some of
21	the other incidents, the school board decided
22	this was a family matter and that's not
23	something they needed to intervene in. This
24	kid was depressive, he had attempted several
25	suicide attempts in the couple of weeks, and in

fact I believe even the night before leading up to his attack against the school. This was in Kentucky. He came to the school, and he actually shot and killed the English teacher and a janitor. And it's quite tragic because she had tried to intervene in this.

7 One of the things that this highlight is this whole desperation, suicidalness, and also 8 9 thinking as when he was -- he was actually 10 arrested after, he did not commit suicide. Ι 11 know in a lot of these incidents sometimes they 12 commit suicide, but he was arrested, and one of 13 the things that he said was that he's been 14 suicidal, he's tried to kill himself multiple 15 times, he hasn't been getting any help, and he knew that if he killed two people in the state 16 17 of Kentucky he would get capital punishment, 18 and that he would get the death penalty. That 19 was his goal. So, thinking about the different 20 aspects -- he did not get the death penalty, by 21 the way, he was prison, life in prison. But 2.2 just thinking about the goals of these 23 students, and why is it so important that we 24 intervene early into their behavior. 25 So, you want to find out also, obviously,

1

2

3

4

5

6

Veritext Legal Solutions

as I just talked in that age group the majority 1 2 of prevalence is going to be more related to depressive symptoms, as opposed to if you look at institutions of higher education, that's 4 5 around the time when people are having their first psychotic breaks, so mental illness 6 7 affect institutions of higher education a bit different developmentally than this level, so 8 9 you really want to find out are they 10 experiencing mental health symptoms, and what 11 kind of symptoms and what interventions to put 12 in place.

13 Are others concerned about the students, 14 that's one of the most important one, and I 15 talked a little bit about that already. And I 16 talked a lot of about them exhibiting 17 concerning behaviors, and that's going to be 18 compartmentalized, it could be a friend, it 19 could be a parent, it could be a sibling, it 20 could be a neighbor, so that's why the 21 importance of gathering information from 2.2 multiple sources.

23 You want to find out are they organized 24 enough to carry out an attack. This is why you 25 don't see the concern as much at the elementary

school level, but at the middle and high school level obviously they're developmentally more organized. And as I said, do they have an attack plan, have they tried to get weapons, do they have access to weapons, and is there evidence that they've initiated and started planning, because obviously that would make it

a lot more imminent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 And then looking at consistencies, so when 10 you're collaborating information from multiple 11 sources is what the student saying when you're 12 interviewing them consistent with what other 13 people are saying about them, and that's why 14 it's important to gather information from 15 multiple sources.

16 So, I've talked a little about all the 17 sort of negative things that could be going on 18 that you're gathering information on, 19 stressors, or concerning behaviors, have they 20 communicated, all that, but also remember the 21 protective factors. These are the intrinsic, 2.2 or maybe inherent things, or upcoming things 23 that are positive that will mitigate a person 24 from going sort of down that path of thinking 25 of violence as an option.

Page 286

So, a threat assessment protocol should 1 2 not only be outlining behaviors and procedures 3 for looking for the things that could facilitate violence, you also want to find out 4 5 other things in this kid's life that are going 6 to mitigate that, because that's going to be an 7 intervention that you can use. Did they just enter a new relationship, are they about to 8 9 graduate, did they get into a college that they 10 want, are they on a sport team, is that sport 11 team doing well, are they getting accolades. 12 So, thinking about the factors that are 13 positive, because that can be used to help that 14 person kind of focus on the positive things, 15 and it will help you access sort of where you 16 can encourage them, and where you can get them 17 the supports and the help that they need. 18 And then in terms of management option,

19obviously if there is an imminent risk that's20going to be immediate. Having procedures and21plans in place for that, this is why the role22of law enforcement is so important. Management23is going to be individualized for every24student. There is no cookie cutter approach25because each problem is different, but you

should have a set of management in place, interventions in place.

So, for us as an agency, our management has to be with the community of resources. A lot of times the individuals that come to our attention have not committed a crime so they're in the community. Sometimes it doesn't rise to the level of commitment, so you can't get them committed if they have mental health issues. So, how are we going to work with their families, how are we going to work with their communities to keep them safe?

13 It's the same in a school setting. One of 14 the biggest things that we teach our own 15 agents, but also when we go out and do these 16 training, is building rapport, and building 17 relationships, and building rapport and 18 relationships before you need someone, and so 19 for our agents it's going out and talking to 20 local police, training them, providing training 21 for them through us, so that's something that 2.2 we do as a give back to the community, but it's 23 also liaising with the mental health 24 professionals in the communities to have them 25 understand threat assessment process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Threat assessment and risk assessment are 1 2 two different things, so if you're talking to a 3 mental health professional about risk assessment that has a very different 4 5 connotation than what a threat assessment is, 6 so it really is also educating stakeholders in 7 the community that you want to use for your interventions before you even need them. 8 9 Building those relationships, involving 10 parents, as I said before in the assessment 11 process, obviously you know this already, 12 removing access to weapons if we really are 13 concerned about that.

14 Providing counseling, mental health, again 15 that's something that's been talked about 16 already, identifying what other resources in 17 the community can, can be put in place to help with whatever the issues the student is having. 18 19 And then having adequate and fair, and that's 20 going to talk about this a little bit at the 21 safe school climate disciplinary procedures. 22 So, let's say that you do have to suspend and 23 expel, or expel a student, be cognizant that 24 your plans should spell out what I'd like to call safe expulsion, and safe suspension, which 25

800-726-7007

Veritext Legal Solutions

means that if you suspend or expel someone 1 2 you've lost contact with them, right, as a 3 school, and so you want to make sure what can you do to stay connected with that student 4 5 during that time that they're not in the school 6 or connected with you, or working with the 7 parents or someone else, because there are a lot of negative, as we know, side effects to 8 9 suspension.

10 A, you're not going to have information, 11 this person you're not putting an eye on 12 anymore because they're no longer at the 13 school. They're going to be unsupervised, most 14 likely their parents are working. They're 15 going to lack any positive peer interactions 16 that they may be getting from peers at the 17 school, or even mentorship from school staff. 18 So, we provide some examples in the guide about 19 how to establish sort of peer support groups, 20 teachers, what some people across the country 21 are doing in front of support, so just thinking 2.2 about the procedures at the school for 23 suspension and expulsion, and how can you stay 24 connected with that student so that you know if their behavior is deteriorating or if they're 25

Veritext Legal Solutions

getting better and improving, and if the interventions that you're putting in place are recommended.

And obviously taking legal action is 4 5 warranted, that's already been discussed today. 6 So, some of the components of a safe school 7 climate, and this is spelled out in the guide that we're putting out, but also in the 8 9 bystander study, is that it's a school that 10 fosters a climate of respect, and has a 11 positive effect on the students, to empower 12 them. A lot of times that's looking at is the, 13 does the school have equitable practices, so 14 some schools are actually collecting 15 information on their disciplinary measures, 16 because they're going to look across and see am 17 I being fair, am I, does this student exhibit 18 this behavior, I gave this action at this time but when that student exhibited the same 19 20 behavior it was this action.

21 So, looking across the different actions, 22 do schools have processes in place for that, 23 assessing expulsion and suspension rates, how 24 am I doing that, what am I expelling, am I 25 suspending for a period of time, what behavior

1

2

elicited that, and looking across, because if 1 2 the schools are not doing that in an equitable, or systematic, or standardized method, the 3 students are going to know it, because they're 4 5 going to see it. They're going to say, well, 6 when he did this he got this, when I did this I 7 got that. They're very impressionable at that 8 age, obviously, so the slightest injury or 9 slights could make a difference. 10 Putting in programs, prevention, 11 intervention, are bullying programs in place,

12 threat assessment practices do pick up on that, 13 but a lot of schools are doing a lot to foster 14 a climate of respect. Ensuring that all 15 students have the trusting adult relationships, in some schools, even in the middle and 16 17 elementary school level, I met with, we met 18 with one of the schools, and there are 19 different things that teachers are doing, just 20 little things that you can do, you'd be amazed 21 at what a difference they make.

22 So, at this one school the teacher will 23 ask the students periodically to write down, in 24 her classroom, to write down the name of the 25 students that they want to work on the next

project with. She collects that, she looks 1 2 across it, and she looks for the name of the 3 student that no one wrote, and then she will engage that student, and partner them what a 4 5 popular outgoing student to get them more 6 engaged, because we know that emotional 7 connection is huge for students. If they do not feel emotionally connected to another 8 9 student, or to an adult at that school, that is 10 going to be detrimental to them. And if they 11 are experiencing distress, and they are 12 thinking of violence as an option, that's going 13 to be a facilitating factor, so to be a 14 mitigating factor you want them to feel 15 connected to the school. That's just one small 16 thing one teacher is doing to encourage 17 connection.

18 We've seen it similarly, this other 19 teacher doing it at the middle and elementary 20 school level, what she does is she actually 21 asks her elementary students, one of them asked 22 the elementary school kids, similar, who did 23 they want to sit next to, because at that level 24 they're not doing projects, but who do they want to sit next to, and then same thing, she 25

will look across and the kid, and starting early on getting that kid connected, getting them to feel emotionally engaged to their peers in the school is very positive, will give us very positive outcomes.

6 These are just small simple things that 7 will make a huge difference. They're not a drain on resources, but they really do have 8 9 such a positive impact. And not only does it 10 have a positive impact for the student who is 11 isolated or disconnected but also in terms of 12 empowering a safe school climate of positive 13 culture in the school so that students will 14 report when they notice that another student is 15 experiencing problems.

16 So, using positive reinforcement right now, there's positive behavior intervention 17 18 programs, you've probably heard of those, in 19 terms of positive reinforcement is used. We 20 met with a school in Virginia that, that was 21 part of the outreach that my center does in 2.2 terms of best practices. The reason we picked 23 this school is because, well, it was local, I didn't have to ask the bosses for money to 24 25 travel, but it was also because -- I'm just

1

2

3

4

kidding, they actually always support that.

But the reason we picked it is because we read about an averted incident that they had at that school, so we contacted them through our local field office, contacted the school and the local law enforcement, and we met with them to find out more information about the background and how that happened, and they actually had very robust programs in place. And one of the things that they said was, you know, it was a student, and other students overheard, they reported it to a parent, the parent told the school. They found out about, this kid had talked about planning an attack.

15 But then it's what they did after the 16 incident. Because a lot of to -- they had 17 obviously sent an alert system, notified, and 18 the kid, because they could not find the kid at 19 the time so were making sure he wasn't coming 20 to the school, it was kind of imminent at the 21 time. But afterwards they announced on the PA 2.2 system the students that came forward with the 23 information, they gave them a lot of positive 24 praise, so other students saw a positive 25 outcome of tattling, because a lot of times,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

you know, you got to break down these codes of silence and make sure kids understand, and that's part of the training, but they announced it in a positive way. They sent a letter out to all the parents. So, they did a lot of things in place after to show that this is good, this is a positive thing, you should report information, and they gave a lot of positive reinforcement for that.

10 This slide just kind of highlights some of 11 the prevention, intervention. It's in your 12 slides, but I won't go over it just in the 13 interest of time. These are some things that 14 the schools and districts are doing. So, some 15 have these student assistant programs, which is 16 where teachers meet and discuss students who 17 are having just simple academic or behavioral 18 issues. They meet on a regular basis just to 19 come up with strategies that each teacher can 20 use.

Peer assistance groups, these are support groups led by students to encourage students to come forward with information and provide support to overcome self-doubts. These are just little things being put in place that will

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

have such a positive long-term effect. 1 And 2 this is providing assistance, as I said 3 earlier, at that lower sort of level of behavior, when a student is starting to 4 5 experience distress, or you see a concerning behavior not rising to the level of violence. 6 7 These are just simple things that are being put in place to someone who might be being 8 9 depressed, someone who's, you know, you notice 10 drug use, or truancy, they're becoming, they're 11 absenteeism is increasing. These are the lower 12 level behaviors that you want to be able to 13 identify.

14 Law enforcement, sometimes an SRO could be 15 at one school, the SROs are distributed across 16 the different schools. Some -- they don't have 17 maybe a lot of interaction with the other law 18 enforcement, so in one district they have, the 19 SROs from the different schools actually hold 20 weekly phone calls. It's coordinated by the 21 local police department, and they talk about, 2.2 not using names, but they just talk about 23 challenges they see, behaviors, how other 24 schools are addressing issues, and things like 25 that. This is just simple information sharing

Veritext Legal Solutions

strategies that really enhances prevention efforts.

And the last thing we talked about is 3 training. As I said, no plan will work without 4 5 training, so thinking about how often should 6 you be doing training for the stakeholders, and 7 I'll talk who those are, what mode should it be delivered in, what type of training should they 8 be getting, and thinking outside the box. 9 10 Obviously, for topics of training, threat 11 assessment, have to be trained. The parents 12 have to know about it, students have to know 13 about it, teachers have to know about it. And 14 as I said, if you highlight this as the 15 assessment and care, because it really, that is 16 what it is, you want to find individuals in the 17 school that are experiencing distress, gather 18 information so that you can provide them the 19 care and the intervention to keep them safe, 20 and keep the school community safe. That 21 should be the goal of a threat assessment, so 2.2 training on that.

Training, the other training topics are really tailored to the audience, so if you're training school personnel, whether it's

1

counselors, teachers, everyone really involved 1 2 in the threat assessment process, it's looking at what type of training that they should, 3 conflict resolution, maybe looking at 4 5 mediation, looking at suicide prevention, 6 obviously suicide awareness, being able to 7 understand simple mental health symptoms, just, they don't have to be psychologists and do a 8 9 diagnosis but they need to understand when 10 they're spotting mental health symptoms. So, 11 different kinds of training for school 12 personnel.

13 Training for students, some schools are 14 doing conflict resolutions training and 15 mediation for students, they're doing anger 16 management training, training to build social 17 and learning competency in students so that 18 they are empowered to be able to cope with various stressors. And obviously training the 19 20 students on what behaviors are of concern, and 21 how to report it, and where to report it.

And then doing the same thing, training for parents. Parents need to understand that when they're at home sometimes the behaviors that they see, that the school officials will

not be seeing, so if they are, if the child is 1 2 engaging in concerning behavior what type of 3 training should parents look for, and the parents need to know what their role is in the 4 5 threat assessment process. If we're involving 6 parents in our threat assessment process, and 7 in our threat assessment protocols, and we're providing training for them before their child 8 9 becomes the focus of anything, that really will 10 help them understand that our goal, the schools 11 goal, and law enforcement's goal, is to keep 12 everybody safe. 13 So, these are just sort of some examples 14 of the training topics. And that's sort of --15 I'm sorry I ran through it fairly fast, so do 16 you have any questions?

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

18 MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you very much Chief 19 Alathari. This was very, very informative. 20 Number one, will you come down here to do one 21 of those presentations for us, please? 2.2 DR. ALATHARI: Absolutely. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you. Thank you. 24 Number two, I think one of the major gaps that we've identified today is that there is not a 25

lot of involvement with the students. 1 They 2 have the information, they have the tips. I think it's critical to finding this out, and on 3 the prevention side from them. I was in 4 5 Brentwood, New York last weekend, and that is the heart of MS-13, and they haven't had a 6 7 school shooting in that school. They don't even have SROs in that school. They've got 8 9 five thousand kids in their high school, and 10 they're doing a tremendous job. And one of the 11 reasons is the director of their security meets 12 with their students and their teachers monthly. 13 He also, which I don't think is happening here 14 in Broward County, he also gives out, he gives 15 out monetary rewards for tips. He gives out \$100 for a tip on, on a child, and if he gets a 16 17 tip on the kid has a gun in school it's a \$500 18 reward out of his own pocket. Just -- just tremendous. 19

Also, have -- as far as the social media goes do you know of a company or something, you know, we're going to be putting together, you know, best practices, we would certainly be interested in your knowledge base on that, how to track social media and monitor that.

And then lastly, you know, you heard the 1 2 presentation earlier from Broward County, and 3 our job is obviously to try to make this better, not only for us but the entire nation. 4 5 Can you, you know, specifically here can you 6 compare what you recommend to our program, and 7 give us some, you know, constructive criticism 8 on ways we can improve what we do. 9 DR. ALATHARI: So, to answer -- to kind of 10 comment on your first one, I think that's great 11 that they're holding -- was it New Jersey, the 12 _ _ MR. SCHACHTER: No, that's Brentwood, New 13 14 York. DR. ALATHARI: 15 New York, sorry, that 16 they're doing that kind of work. As I said 17 people are being really creative about how can 18 we prevent these kind of incidents in our 19 community, and especially with the school 20 district and students. In one police 21 department that I met with in New Jersey 2.2 they're doing something similar, but what 23 they're doing is their school, the police

department are the school resource officers, so they have officers detailed there.

24

Not only are they detailed as a school 1 2 resource officer, and we've seen this, and we do encourage it, and that's part of the bigger 3 training, is that the school resource officers 4 5 build relationship with students, and that 6 makes a huge different, so they serve as 7 assistant coaches on football teams, they actually teach some of the classes, or co-teach 8 9 classes, whether it's anti-bullying, stranger 10 doing, criminal things, you know, reporting 11 kind of assaults, or date rape, or whatever it 12 is that is, that a school resource officer can 13 really lend a lot of credence, because if 14 they're teaching the students their building a 15 relationship with them.

And some of the other ones in that local 16 17 police department, they have this one school 18 in, local police department in Virginia has 19 what they call an Adopt a School Program, that 20 even though the police officer is not the SRO 21 they adopt a school on their beat, they stop 2.2 by, they talk to the students, they sit in the 23 cafeteria. Sometimes they even sit in one of 24 the offices and just to do paperwork, just to 25 be a relationship so that the students know

that they, they have a trusting relationship 1 2 with law enforcement. So, that's one. The second is I heard about the overview 3 4 of the threat assessment process. Honestly, I 5 can't comment on it without looking in more 6 detail, but I do know that it's, it's not an 7 easy process, there will be things that fall 8 through the gaps. But what's most important is 9 if you have everything established there's 10 accountability, there's follow up. These are 11 the things that really make a different in 12 whether a program is successful or not, is do 13 people know where to report concerning 14 behavior, and what is a concerning behavior, A. 15 B, is there someone that's going to follow up 16 on that, what information are they going to 17 collect, and then who is going to follow up on 18 the management intervention piece, because as 19 you said earlier that's one of the most 20 important pieces. 21 We can all sit here and get information,

21 We can all sit here and get information, 22 assess risk, but if you're not following up on 23 the intervention you are putting in place then 24 you're not going to know if that intervention 25 worked, and to keep track of a student over

In some areas you have, like in Los 1 time. 2 Angeles, I just learned about a new program in 3 that school safety forum that we attended, me, you, and Ryan, and that, there's a program in 4 5 LA where they have the mental health professional working with the law enforcement. 6 7 I want to find out more about that because I think they're doing a lot of long term 8 9 management of, of some of these students. 10 For the social media aspect, I don't know, 11 it's not my expertise, but I do know as an 12 agency we have some knowledge of other 13 companies so that's something I can, we can 14 discuss offline, and I can see if I can find 15 you any information on best practices with 16 that. CHAIR: So, do you know of any 17 jurisdictions that have implemented some lesser 18 19 threshold than what exists today for 20 involuntary commitments for evaluation? So, in 21 Florida we call it the Baker Act. As you know 2.2 every jurisdiction has its own name for 23 whatever it is, but in all jurisdictions that I'm aware of is the threshold is, is somebody 24 25 is an imminent danger to themselves or others

based upon some general criteria, but it is subjective from case to case on what's known to the assessor.

So, in this situation, and there's two 4 5 things that we have to look at here with these 6 threat assessments. I believe one is, is that 7 this case in particular, but then more 8 generally the threat assessment process as it 9 relates to the students. So, you have a 10 situation here where you have somebody that was 11 assessed under current law, and under current 12 protocols for the Baker Act, and deemed not to be susceptible to the Baker Act evaluation 13 14 because of what they were not presenting at the 15 time even though there were some things of 16 concern, so do you know of any jurisdiction 17 that has effectively dealt with that, because 18 it's frustrating for everybody to see 19 indicators that you can't act on because it 20 doesn't rise to the level of being actionable. 21 So, are you aware of any place in the country 2.2 that has created a lesser standard, or an 23 intermediate standard, or something short of what is the consistent standard for involuntary 24 mental health evaluation commitments? 25

1

2

DR. ALATHARI: I'm not aware of any. 1 Ι 2 think you're right, for the majority that I'm 3 aware of the standard is fairly high, in terms of an imminent risk to themselves or others. 4 5 One of the things that for us as an agency we 6 encounter this all the time, people show up at 7 the White House, they're mentally ill, and, you know, obviously we can't, we're not the ones 8 9 committing them, but we would transport them, 10 and then a magistrate or someone would decide 11 whether they're committable.

12 But I think one of the things that we 13 highlight in terms of working with mental health professionals, it's not necessarily just 14 15 about the commitment, so there's different 16 things that you can work on them with, but 17 specifically for the commitment, or being able 18 to articulate why you are concerned about 19 something -- so prior to joining the agency I 20 worked in a mental health hospital that was 21 twenty minutes from the White House, so we used 22 to get patients there that were of interest to 23 the Secret Service, obviously for having 24 inappropriate or a threatening interest, and a 25 lot of times we wouldn't know why because

800-726-7007

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

they're not sharing information with us.

2 So, that's one of the things we teach, is 3 sharing information really makes a difference. Sometimes the person making the decision 4 5 whether to commit someone or not does not have 6 the whole picture, they may just see something, 7 so it's, that I think, I think encouraging information sharing helps with that aspect. 8 9 But if they still make that decision that this 10 person doesn't meet criteria then you really 11 have to find out what are some alternative 12 management strategies I can put in place. And 13 this is where rapport building is essential, working with the families, working with other 14 15 individuals in the community, working with people around that person, that student, that's 16 17 going to help you sort of assess them, and help 18 you provide that intervention.

19 So, here -- just I ask you all to CHAIR: 20 keep this in mind. I do think this is going to 21 be one of the more important topics that we 2.2 address and discuss and have an opportunity to make a difference on with this commission to 23 24 work. But also, just keep in mind, so there's 25 two things here, one, is what happened in this

case, and what happened in this situation with Cruz, but remember Cruz at the time of this incident in February 2018 was over one year removed from the entire opportunity for a threat assessment process.

6 He left Stoneman Douglas in February of 7 '17. He was gone for a year, and that he had turned eighteen, again, in September of 2016, 8 9 and withdrew from ESE, withdrew from so much of 10 this, and he was an adult at that point, so --11 he was gone for a year so there was not even, 12 all of this process is not even on the table 13 from when he committed this, and for a year 14 preceding it.

15 So, there were contacts, and so that's why 16 the question about, because there were community contacts with community based mental 17 18 health providers, and sometimes two and three 19 times a week, and when you look at all your key 20 assessment themes he checks every single box, 21 but nothing that rises to the level under 2.2 existing law or policies where he could have 23 been, it could have been acted on. 24 So, as the questions have been asked by

others is, is that, and this is the, an

25

1

2

3

4

ultimate question for us in recommendations, is what do you do, because there's no threat assessment process that you're talking about that would have done anything with him because he was gone for a year.

6 DR. ALATHARI: Right. So, I can't comment 7 on his specific case, because obviously I don't know all the details of it, but what I can tell 8 you in terms of what we recommend this is why 9 10 we have to begin this early intervention when 11 the student is exhibiting this behavior early 12 on, which I believe he was because he had, over 13 years, right, just from the open source 14 reporting that I've seen, exhibited this 15 concerning behavior, what can be put in place 16 at that time so that that person doesn't get to 17 that point. But let's say they do get to that 18 point, then that's incumbent on everybody.

19 Prevention is everyone's responsibility. 20 It's not just the schools. It's not just law 21 enforcements. It's not just the mental health 2.2 professional, of working together to be able to 23 find out who is he most connected to, is there 24 a person in this student's life that they feel 25 even remotely connected to. It could be the

1

2

3

4

pastor. It could be a neighbor. It could be someone like that. And that is where intervention and having an individualized plan in place can really help with situations when you can't take legal action, and you can't commit the person because their behavior did not rise to the level of that happening.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley.

9 SHER. ASHLEY: Has the Secret Service 10 looked at any societal issues or inputs that 11 encourage this? I mean this hasn't always 12 happened. I mean our children haven't always 13 turned to violence, or at least to mass 14 violence for typical teenage issues like 15 depression or anger, or the like. And I see 16 social media is certainly a big factor, 17 breakdown of the family, psychotropic. You can 18 go down the whole list of things. Has the 19 Secret Service looked at any of those societal 20 issues in regards to this mass violence?

21 DR. ALATHARI: We have not specifically. 22 So, our studies have focused on sort of 23 behavioral, a person's behavior, and what 24 causes them to engage in that. It's not easy 25 getting data on influences, so in the original

study they looked at the interest in violence and where were they getting it from, but obviously back then there was no social media.

And I have to say that there's a lot of 4 5 research and mixed reporting, because one of the latest studies I read, I think it was about 6 7 a year ago, that talked about that there were actually more incidents in the '90's in terms 8 of school shootings, so it may look like 9 10 there's higher rates but -- so there's 11 different reporting on that. But obviously 12 identifying incidents back then is harder 13 because we did not have internet and 14 technology, and even reporting. These were 15 things that might have been reported in a local 16 paper that you may not know about to the level 17 that it is now.

18 But I know there's a lot of work now being 19 done at looking at the influence of, let's say 20 reporting on mass incidents, and is that 21 encouraging copy-cat effect, or is that 2.2 encouraging a student to want to seek fame 23 because they see other people are getting it. So, there's a lot of research being done on 24 25 that. There's publications on that. That's

1

2

not something that we specifically looked at. 1 2 SHER. ASHLEY: It just brings me to the point, that infamy effect that you're talking 3 about, the we want to be famous, and our social 4 5 media, our internet service provider's 6 responsibilities -- I go to Sheriff Judd's, you 7 know, magic wand. If you could mandate that internet service providers have a mandatory 8 9 reporting requirement when they have a suicide 10 or homicidal threat placed on their network, 11 that they have to notify you or law enforcement 12 13 DR. ALATHART: That's not -- that's not my 14 area of expertise, sort of the legality, and 15 all of that I'll defer to other, maybe an 16 attorney on that. But I do know that, that 17 I've read, I should say, that some of the 18 social media -- I think was there a testimony, 19 right, from the, from technology, I believe 20 before Congress, looking at what they were 21 doing, but I think some of them had put in sort 2.2 of these key word triggers, more of the 23 suicidal, picking up suicidal language as 24 opposed to specifically to this.

So, they are looking at that. I know

25

Page 313

there was a hearing, and they were on one of the panels I believe, but it's not an area that's my expertise so I can't really comment on that.

5 Well, I'll just -- I'll SHER. ASHLEY: 6 just finish with this for the sake of time, is 7 it seems like we do, we have these commissions on every mass violent incident we have, and I'm 8 9 just wondering what are we going to do 10 different; what are we going to do to prevent 11 this from happening again? And so, I don't --12 I don't know, it seems like the more programs 13 we put together, and he more health 14 assessments, and threat assessments, and the 15 like, it just gets worse.

16 And maybe that's better reporting, maybe 17 it was worse in the '90s's, I doubt that just 18 from anecdotal evidence, but I'm certainly 19 prayerful that this commission makes 20 recommendations that actually change things. 21 Thank you for your presentation. 2.2 CHAIR: Senator Book. 23 Thank you, Mr. Chair. SEN. BOOK: And 24 thank you so very much for your presentation. 25 I want to just ask a quick question about

1

2

3

profiling, because we don't often find mass violence with young girls and wanted to go to the two incidences that you talked about. One was the type of weapon, and I think that we spend a lot of time focused on the type of weapon, so speaking to how we can perhaps look out for some of those things, because I don't know, I think that we're always thinking about this when we have to constantly be aware of the others.

Also, I was very interested in the fact that the other young lady that you talked about told her teacher that she liked a lot, and so, you know, just like the emotions of maybe a young girl, different, I don't know, maybe you can speak to that a little bit.

17 DR. ALATHARI: So, bystanders were male 18 and female, in terms of the bystander study 19 that we did. You're right, the majority of 20 school shootings are carried out by males, the 21 majority used firearms, but we don't focus on 2.2 that alone because then you're going to miss a 23 female student who would engage in it. And in 24 fact, I don't have the date in front of me 25 because we just started the new study a few

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Veritext Legal Solutions

months ago, but there are quite a few females in that study, I want to say maybe six to eight, but I could be wrong so don't quote me on that. I don't have the data in front of me, but I knew there was like, there's at least several that I've seen in the incidents that we're currently researching.

8 So, yeah, that is the biggest things, 9 obviously access to weapons is huge, but I also 10 want us to make sure that we're not just so 11 focused on one thing and then we miss this, 12 this other case were students were hurt because 13 it was a female and she used a knife, or a male student used a knife. That incident that I 14 15 talked about with the shooting, he had 16 originally brought a hatchet and a machete to 17 the middle school, and luckily it was spotted 18 by another student. He took that weapon from 19 home, and it jammed after he tried to use it, 20 so he wasn't able to do the big numbers that he 21 wanted.

22 So, it is important to focus on that, but 23 we can't let it close our eyes to other types 24 of attackers and other weapons.

SEN. BOOK: Thank you. And one more

Veritext Legal Solutions

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

follow up, Mr. Chair. Something that 1 2 Commissioner Swearingen brought up, and that was the management, not just the assessment but 3 managing an assessment that's been done, how do 4 5 we follow up, what do those things look like, 6 and I wanted to tie that into slide 15, and 16 7 really, in terms of technology. And I know that, that Commissioner Schachter asked about, 8 9 you know, different ways but, and it goes back 10 to something the Chair mentioned earlier about 11 we see a situation here, they escalate to here, 12 but it starts, you know, maybe with a comment 13 on social media, or something that they post, 14 or something that happens in a classroom that, 15 you know, afterward we're like how could this 16 happen but really it's here all along. 17 So, is there -- is there a best practice 18 that you've seen in all of the different cases 19 that you've looked at, whether it's a main 20 team, a main RSO, whatever that might look like in a district, in a school, what is the best 21 2.2 practice around monitoring this particular 23 piece of things? 24 DR. ALATHARI: So, as I said when we go 25 around and we meet with people we've seen -- so

that's why I -- I think, Donna, I don't want to 1 2 steal her thunder because she's going to talk a 3 lot about the implementation piece in Virginia, and I have to say the Virginia model is great. 4 5 I've read a lot about it, and most of the Virginia model is based on the Secret Service 6 7 findings, which why I think it's great, no, they're actually, they're doing a lot of great 8 9 work. There are challenges to it, so I'll think she'll be able to answer a lot of those 10 11 questions. 12 CHAIR: Unless there's any other questions 13 we thank you for being here. We appreciate it. 14 Thanks for traveling down and spending time with us. 15 16 DR. ALATHARI: You're welcome. 17 CHAIR: So, why don't we take a quick ten-18 minute break, and then we're going to come back 19 and conclude the day with the presentation on 20 the Virginia model, and then public comment 21 will conclude. So, just let's just take a 2.2 quick ten minutes and come back, we'll start 23 again at 4:10. (Thereupon, a break was taken off the record and the 24 25 meeting continued as follows:)

CHAIR: We're going to have our last presentation of the day. Donna Michaelis is the Manager of the Virginia Center for School and Campus Safety, and we're going to hear how Virginia has implemented campus safety. And as you heard at the last presentation it's largely modeled after what the Secret Service has done. So, Donna, welcome, and thank you for joining us.

10 MS. MICHAELIS: Thank you, and good 11 afternoon to the Commission. On behalf of 12 Governor Ralph Northam and Secretary of Public 13 Safety Brian Rand, I'm happy to be here on 14 behalf of the Center for School and Campus 15 Safety in Virginia to present what we've done 16 in Virginia to keep schools safe.

17 I've had the benefit of sitting here all 18 day and listening to the discussions. I don't 19 want you to panic and think I'm going to hit a 20 hundred and thirty one slides in the next forty 21 five minutes, but because I've had the 2.2 opportunity to sit here I know based on what 23 your intuitive questions and your deep and detailed discussions what you're most 24 25 interested in, so I'm going to fly through some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

of the things that I don't think are as pertinent to you, they're there for your background and information.

Also, before I begin I want to expose my 4 5 bias to you, because it's going to become 6 readily apparent to you what it is, so I might 7 as well let you know. I am a strong, strong advocate of school law enforcement 8 partnerships. I was born in a law enforcement 9 10 family, worked in a law enforcement agency for 11 thirteen years, married a law enforcement 12 officer. And on the other hand, my immediate 13 family and extended family is full of teachers 14 and principals. My own daughter started 15 teaching in an elementary school, in an at-risk 16 elementary school at the age of twenty, so to 17 say that the school law enforcement partnership 18 is in my blood is probably an understatement.

And you're going to see that the theme running through threat assessment and what we do in Virginia is that you have to have a basis in school law enforcement partnerships, they have to be in lock step together, and you also have to have a very strong positive school climate or threat assessment will not work.

1

2

Being the first state in the nation to implement threat assessment teams in K-12 schools, and for the longest time we were the only state in the nation doing so, we are still the only state in the nation that requires threat assessment in K-12 and higher ed, so being on the tip of the sphere is bad and wonderful all at the same time. No one can criticize you that you're doing it wrong, but at the same time you learn a lot of hard lessons that you hope other states will learn from.

13 The best part of what I have to say is at 14 the end, and so that's where I hope to get very 15 quickly, because I think that's what you're 16 most interested in, and that is what did we do 17 not as well as we could have, and what do we 18 wish we want to do going forward. And so if I 19 were to tell another state what, what would I 20 have done if I had the best of the best as I rolled this out, some of the things that you've 21 2.2 already identified in your high level 23 discussions that need to happen in order to 24 make threat assessment work, because you can 25 either begin with schools and campuses in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

mandating threat assessment, or you can begin in the community, but one way or the other it needs to meet in the middle for all of it to work.

5 So, this is what I'm going to run through 6 very quickly, and again you can, I want to 7 leave time for questions. So, we're going to start with legislation, threat assessment 8 9 school resource officers, our data collection. 10 One of the most important things I'm going to 11 discuss is school safety audits, and how that 12 vehicle is so instrumental to what we do in 13 terms of collecting threat assessment data and school climate data, and then of course the 14 15 challenges and future plans.

16 This is just to show you when the center 17 was created we were told to basically train the 18 world, all hundred and thirty-two school 19 divisions, including all superintendents, 20 school administrators, school staff, all 21 sixty-nine universities and their personnel, 2.2 forty-two law, three hundred and sixty-seven 23 law enforcement agencies, forty two of them on 24 campuses, as well as a plethora of other 25 people.

1

2

3

So, school safety in Virginia, just to 1 2 give you, it's very similar to here, it's local educational control, so there's not mandated 3 from the state down, very things are regulated 4 5 from the state down, but we put it into three 6 buckets, the physical, the structural, meaning 7 the policies and procedures, not the physical, and then the support. And so, the school house 8 9 is very much like the previous superintendent 10 discussed. We stand in loco parentis, so the 11 school structure is very much like the home, 12 you have the physical structure, which we all 13 try to protect our, our homes, by putting in 14 security cameras and, and making sure our doors 15 are locked and landscaping is done, very much like we would for a school, we want to make 16 17 sure that the physical security is there.

18 But what's more integral is what goes on 19 inside the home, and what goes on inside the 20 building, do you have consistent policies and 21 procedures that align with social student 2.2 structural support, in terms of engagement and connection with the student. You have to have 23 24 all three of these components to make it work, 25 and when you have the parents, the structure,

and the support surrounding the child, then you're going to get the best outcomes.

3 So, since the school stands in loco parentis the school mimics very much what 4 5 happens in a home. You can have an 6 authoritative home where you have high 7 structure and high support, and then things work best for the child. You get best 8 9 discipline outcomes. You get the best academic 10 success. If you have high structure and low 11 support we have what we call authoritarian 12 school, according to Dr. Dewey Cornell, who has 13 studied our school climate.

14 You can have an authoritarian school, but 15 when you don't have that connection with the 16 student you're not going to get the best 17 outcomes. You can have low structure and high 18 support, and then we have what we call a 19 permissive climate, and that is again you're 20 not giving the best boundaries to the child, 21 you're not preparing them for what's going to 22 happen in the, in the community. And I heard 23 one of your very intuitive commissioner members 24 say we have to prepare our students for being good citizens, we can't have one set of rules 25

1

of law for the schools and another for the community.

We have to prepare them, and show them that there are consequences to the behaviors, and what they do in school is going to affect them on the street, and if we let them get away with it in schools, and I don't mean get away with it in terms of arresting them, but if we don't address is then they're going to think that that is the way, that it's an appropriate way to behave.

12 And lastly, if we have low structure and 13 low support we are going to have what we call a 14 negligent home, and a negligent school, so we 15 want to opt for the best, high structure and 16 high support. And so, when legislation in 17 Virginia was passed it was passed in the wake 18 of Columbine to create a Virginia Center for 19 School Safety at the time, it didn't have the 20 word campus in it. It was placed in a unique 21 place, which is in our state called the Department of Criminal Justice Services. 2.2 23 Around the nation, twenty-seven other school 24 safety centers were being set up, and most of 25 them were placed in Departments of Education

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

and Departments of Higher Ed. In Virginia the 1 2 decision was consciously made not to do that, 3 and the reason was we have a relatively small agency that affects the entire criminal justice 4 5 system, from the victims to the perpetrators, 6 and everything in between, and because of the 7 unique position we were in we already had a strong law enforcement partnership because we 8 9 oversee all law enforcement in the state in 10 terms of training and standards, and we had a 11 very robust school resource officer program, so 12 by mandating it, and placing it in the 13 Department of Criminal Justice Services, it 14 also mandated that we reach out to schools.

15 So, we became what the General Assembly 16 wanted, was a one stop shop for schools so that 17 localities could come to the state, the Center 18 for School Safety, and get all the information 19 on school safety that they needed without going 20 to a variety of state agencies. Having worked 21 in a locality in a police department doing 2.2 public information officer friendly, DARE 23 officer type programs, I was frustrated by 24 reaching out to the state, and going to the 25 Department of Health to get suicide

information, the Department of Behavioral 1 2 Health to get mental health information, going 3 to Alcohol and Beverage Control to get alcohol and drug information. I wanted a one stop 4 5 shop, and when I had the privilege of coming to the state and directing the center that's what 6 we wanted to make it, was a one stop shop so 7 that schools knew where to go to get 8 9 information on best practices.

10 In legislation, we were designed to 11 provide training for all school personnel, and 12 as I mentioned that's over a hundred twenty 13 thousand folks at the time back in 2000. MP 14 were to serve as a resource, a referral center 15 for technical assistance for school divisions, 16 and that's integral because they are, they need 17 that type of support if you're going to mandate 18 threat assessment teams. They also directed 19 that we facilitate the school safety audit. 20 This was already in code, and it was at the 21 Department of Education. They took it away 2.2 from the Department of Education and gave it to 23 the Center for School and Campus Safety. And 24 I'll get more into that. We are to maintain and disseminate 25

effective school safety practices, and most importantly of all develop those partnerships. As I said we've been, every year they tweak our legislation and add more mandates. In 2004 they expanded the school safety audits. In 2004 and 2006 they mandated that we develop standards for school security officers and campus security officers, because at the time they could hire anybody, and they didn't have to give them any training, and these are school board employees, not law enforcement officers.

12 In 2008, when threat assessment teams were 13 mandated for higher education, we stepped in 14 and voluntarily begin providing threat 15 assessment training for higher education, 16 because our general assembly mandated that the 17 higher ed have teams, but they directed no 18 state agency to provide training. And that's 19 going to be a common thread here that, that I 20 would like you to hear. We voluntarily stepped 21 in, found subject matter experts, because we 2.2 knew that the schools, the higher ed could not 23 do this without that sort of support.

24And then of course in 2012 Sandy Hook25occurred, and in our general assembly in 2013

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

our governor then, our then governor directed the School and Campus Safety Task Force, and over sixty-one recommendations came out of that task force. The renamed the center the Center for School and Campus Safety, they mandated threat assessment teams, they mandated that the center create a critical incident response curriculum to address the Run, Hide, Fight, and the issues of active shooter, which we did.

10 They directed each school division to have 11 an emergency manager, and for the center to 12 provide training and direction for those folks. 13 They mandated that we develop model policies on 14 threat assessment teams for K-12 schools, and 15 that the schools develop policies consistent 16 with the policies that we created, and they 17 mandated more lock down drills.

In 2015 we had the dubious honor of being 18 named the number one in the nation in the 19 20 school to prison pipeline by the Center for 21 Public Integrity. This -- this designation was 2.2 obviously not an honor that we wanted, nor did 23 we think it was correct, and we immediately 24 began working on a research with a higher 25 education university, Virginia Tech, who went

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

after National Institute of Justice grant, who 1 2 studied all of our data. They have since identified that we were not number one in the 3 nation based on our referrals to law 4 5 enforcement, and if you put our rate in with 6 all the others we are down by forty ninth in 7 the nation, and the reason why is we collect a lot of data on referrals to law enforcement, 8 9 and that data was misinterpreted to mean 10 So, as we collect data through our arrest. 11 Department of Education on our referrals to law 12 enforcement that are mandated by code, that was 13 compared to other state's referrals which were 14 arrests in some cases, but in ours it was 15 simply we told a law enforcement officer, and 16 it did not end up in court, or in an arrest of 17 any kind.

18 But that did prompt our then governor, a new governor, to direct a children's cabinet to 19 20 study the school law enforcement partnership, 21 and one of the directives that came out of that 22 was that the Center for School and Campus 23 Safety should develop a school law enforcement 24 partnership guide, and a model MOU that schools 25 should use when they're allowing law

enforcement officers into schools, and they're available on our website.

3 So, let's move to threat assessment in schools, and as you've heard throughout this 4 5 day threat assessment is a preventive system, 6 it's not punitive, and it's meant to intervene 7 with individuals posing a risk of harm to 8 themselves or others. And that's key, is that 9 our legislation says to self or others. At 10 first when the legislation passed it used the 11 word students. At that time two bills went 12 through our general assembly, one said 13 students, one said individuals, and because 14 both passed they went with the one that passed 15 first, and that language was students. We did 16 not feel that this captured what the threat 17 assessment should do, they should look at all 18 individuals that pose a threat and not just 19 those enrolled at the school, and so they, two 20 years later, they changed it to the word 21 individuals.

22 Marketing threat assessment. You've heard 23 that throughout, and you heard it from Dr. 24 Alathari, that threat assessment has been going 25 on in our school for decades. Obviously, when

1

Johnny starts bad, grades going bad, or 1 2 they're, he's not dressing the way he should be, or, or maybe he's mouthing off, or maybe 3 he's angry, what happened, the principal would 4 5 talk to the teacher, they'd bring in the 6 counselor, they'd bring in the parent, they'd 7 say what's going on, what can we do. They circle the wagons, and they begin a threat 8 assessment. They didn't call it that, they 9 10 called it caring for students. They called it 11 a behavioral team. They called it whatever is 12 necessary.

13 And we found in our own survey of our 14 schools that threat assessment was going on in 15 fifty percent of Virginia schools before it was mandated in 2013. They might not have called 16 17 it threat assessment, which is very much a law 18 enforcement term, but it's been going on for 19 decades, so we know that when we we're mandated to develop these model policies there was going 20 21 to be push back, because people see this as a law enforcement initiative, so what we did was 2.2 23 we created a marketing scheme called Virginia 24 CARES for Schools and Campuses, and CARES is an 25 acronym for caring and connection, awareness,

Veritext Legal Solutions

recognition, engagement, and support. And if you're pushing out threat assessment across your state, community, school, you need to make sure we market and train everyone what this is about.

6 This is not big brother labeling, or 7 profiling students, this is a program to 8 intervene and help students be successful, keep 9 them engaged with a caring adult, because we 10 all know what the research says about one 11 caring adult in one troubled youth's lifetime, 12 how that can change things.

13 After Columbine, as I said, many schools 14 already began doing it. We -- we dipped our 15 toe in threat assessment in 2008 with higher 16 ed, and we began to enact the governor's recommendations in 2013. I think you all are 17 18 familiar with our law, it's in the back of this 19 document that I think that we also sent to you, 20 and it's in your notes. Again, it mandates 21 that there must be a team to serve one or more 2.2 schools. We advocate that it be one team, one 23 threat assessment team for every school. Ιf 24 it's one team serving two schools those two 25 schools should be in close proximity and serve

1

2

3

4

the same community and have the same type of clientele. It also allows divisions to set up an overarching oversight threat assessment committee at the division level, and I highly recommended this.

6 It tells each threat assessment that they 7 are to provide guidance to students and staff on recognizing threatening or avert behavior. 8 You heard a discussion from Lina about what 9 10 that is. We knew this was also going to be a 11 problem because schools don't know what this 12 is, and it's an odd term to tell schools to 13 educate people, so we developed a video and a 14 manual that help schools tell teachers and 15 parents what is threat assessment, and it gives 16 them a minute by minute guide in the, in the 17 curriculum in the video about how to explain 18 what these various things are, and how to 19 report, and what they should be doing.

Again, upon preliminary determination that a student poses a risk it tells that they have to immediately report it to the division superintendent, they have to attempt to notify the parents, and then again, nothing in this subsection shall preclude a school division

1

2

3

4

from acting immediately to address that threat. 1 There are a lot of Freedom of Information Act 2 3 exemptions that we put in place at the time, because we knew we can't collect this data on 4 5 students and then have it available for anyone 6 to come after. And we are currently 7 experiencing some of those concerns, where 8 newspapers are wanting our threat assessment 9 data, so we have protections in the Freedom of 10 Information Act section of our law that, that 11 protects our security plans, some of our crisis 12 management plans, and our threat assessment 13 data.

14Also, we, we mimicked the law in higher ed15that allowed access to health records and16criminal, criminal records at the time as well.17And that's all this legislation for your, for18your information.

19 So, the role of SROs in Virginia threat 20 assessment teams, this is the link that I heard 21 you talking about earlier that I think is so 22 very important. We have a very robust program 23 of SROs in Virginia. Virginia has had SROs in 24 their schools since the mid 1980's. We began 25 actually actively funding SROs in Virginia in

the mid '90's. When I first came on board there twenty years ago I had three hundred sixty-seven SRO grants that I managed personally, so we began a very robust SRO program in Virginia. We have a training curriculum that they must go through if they're on grant funds.

8 I have to say it's one of the most 9 successful grant programs to ever come out of 10 our agency, because here we are twenty years 11 later and those grant programs that were 12 started for a four-year period are now fully 13 funded by their localities, a lot of times by the school divisions that want those SROs so 14 15 badly they're willing to pay the salary of the 16 law enforcement officer.

17 A law enforcement, an SRO, for the 18 purposes of grant funding we also established, 19 Virginia established the school resource 20 officer grant program in 2000 in the wake of 21 It was funded to a level of \$1.7 Columbine. 2.2 million. Today it's funded at \$3 million, and 23 again it's seed money to start these programs, 24 but as you're going to see shortly we have a high saturation rate of SROs, and so a lot of 25

folks are not accessing this money. But they 1 2 must be a certified law enforcement officer, and I think that's key. Only if they're using 3 grant funds do they have to be a certified law 4 5 enforcement officer, so in localities where a sheriff has court, or civil process folks, or 6 7 jailers, they can put those folks in, in the schools, however it's not recommended. 8 We 9 highly recommend that they be certified law 10 enforcement officers and have three to five 11 years of experience working with youth on the 12 street, and in de-escalation.

13 And we also have a school security officer 14 defined in code. A school security officer is 15 a school board employee. They stand in loco 16 parentis. They -- their standard of search is 17 reasonable suspicion and not probable cause. 18 They are to investigate student code of conduct 19 violations, and they are not to investigate 20 crimes. And so, it's really important that in 21 Virginia, the general assembly decided that 2.2 there are two paths, there's the law enforcement officer, and there's the school 23 24 personnel, and never the twain should meet. 25 One should not be an agent of the other, to

ensure due process for the child, but to know when to hand off the baton to the other, the other credible investigators, what needs to be done at that time.

5 So, as I said we have nineteen hundred and 6 fifty-six schools in Virginia. The break down 7 is there upon elementary, middle, and high. The vast majority of our schools are 8 9 elementary, over half of them. We have in full 10 time resource officers, in our middle schools 11 we have sixty eight percent of full time, 12 seventy nine percent of our high schools have 13 full time SROs, and only a small percentage of 14 our elementary. If you add in the part time 15 school resource officers, these are officers 16 who may serve a middle and a high school on the same property, we have a saturation rate of 17 18 eighty five percent of our middle schools have 19 school resource officers, and eighty seven 20 percent of our high schools have them, and 21 about a third of our elementary schools.

22 School security officers are mainly a 23 phenomenon that occurs in our urban areas, and 24 this is in addition to SROs. They have also a 25 very robust school security officer program,

1

2

3

and this is in our areas where we have, in our 1 urban areas is where most of those are 2 employed. Last year our general assembly 3 passed legislation that had been trying to be 4 5 passed for the last several years, and this is 6 allowing school resource, I mean school 7 security officers to carry a weapon, so therefore we now have armed security officers. 8 9 We have legislation that allows armed security 10 officers, but only if they're retired law 11 enforcement, they have met all the 12 qualifications of training for carrying a 13 firearm and have also received active shooter emergency evacuation and threat assessment 14 15 training. And we're finding that schools are 16 looking at this as an option for those 17 elementary schools where it's to feasible to 18 place a full-time school resource, law 19 enforcement officer, but you have someone there 20 to provide mentoring, school security, and also 21 be armed in the case of an active shooter 22 event. 23 Our threat assessment requires, our 24 legislation requires that the members of our

team include counseling, instruction, school

administrator, and law enforcement. And I've 1 2 highlighted law enforcement here, is because this doesn't work if you don't have law 3 enforcement on the team. Most school board 4 5 violations, student code of conduct violations that rise to the level of garnering the 6 7 attention of the threat assessment teams are also going to be criminal infractions. 8 That. 9 does not mean that the school resource officer 10 will be arresting or placing charges on all of 11 these things, but what that does is it gives 12 you a leverage, it gives you a hammer in a way 13 that you can raise that student to the level of 14 needing more services, and sometimes by 15 demanding he cooperation of parents when they 16 are not cooperating with the school.

17 Having a law enforcement officer on the 18 team also gives you a seque way to the 19 community, because when you have a student 20 who's posing a threat of harm to themselves or 21 others, they're only at school six hours a day, 2.2 where are they the rest of the day, they're in 23 home, and in their community, and who needs to know that you have a suicidal student at home 24 25 when they get a report to respond to the home,

it's law enforcement, so it's critical that we 1 break down the barriers of distrust between 2 schools and law enforcement so that everyone 3 4 knows we're on the same team. Because, again, 5 this is not a school problem. You stand in 6 loco parentis six hours a day, the other two 7 thirds of the day the parents and the law enforcement officers are dealing with these 8 9 same individuals, and so it's absolutely 10 integral that we share information, and that we 11 all are on the same page, that we want to get 12 the student off of the pathway of violence and 13 into, and onto success.

SROs are a vital link between schools and 14 15 the community, and can provide valuable 16 resources to the family, and connect them to 17 services. And they're also more intimately 18 familiar with the emergency custody order, as 19 well as child protective services, and they can 20 facilitate those connections as appropriate. 21 Law enforcement officers are extremely adept at 2.2 dealing with youth in crisis, it's what they do 23 on a daily basis, and they can be a stabilizing 24 influence. And some students may find it safer 25 to open up to a law enforcement officer than to

1

a mental health professional.

2 This is not research based but, based on 3 what I know from having a teacher in my family, and from my own growing up, students would 4 5 prefer to seem dumb, I mean would prefer to 6 seem, would prefer to be viewed as bad rather 7 than dumb. That's why they act out when things don't go well. Students who are having a 8 9 problem would prefer to be seen as bad than go 10 to a mental health and be seen as weak. It's 11 really important that we use our law 12 enforcement officers working in schools to 13 connect with students who are on the fringes, 14 to connect with students who, and build those 15 rapports and relationships.

16 Data collection and research. This is 17 integral if you're rolling out threat 18 assessment, and we know in Virginia that when 19 they mandated threat assessment teams, that 20 other people were going to want to know how 21 well was this working and what are you doing 2.2 about it? And so, the general assembly 23 mandated that through our safety audit process 24 we had to collect quantifiable data. Obviously 25 quantifiable is not qualifiable, qualitative

data, abut just the numbers. Well, in order to 1 2 get numbers you kind of got to dig in and figure out what are we measuring here, and is 3 everybody seeing this as apples against apples, 4 5 so in order to realize what that is you have to 6 know that safety audits in Virginia are designed to collect, analyze, and disseminate 7 school safety audit data, and that the Center 8 9 for School and Campus Safety gets to identify 10 what those items are that they have to report 11 to us. They also added that each threat 12 assessment team would need to report those, 13 that data to the Department.

14 So, the safety audit is comprised of five 15 different components. It's a school safety 16 survey that's done every, every August and 17 September of all two thousand principles. And 18 we ask about climate, we ask about the safety 19 practices, we ask about their security 20 personnel, so in our school safety audit 21 report, school safety survey report, we have 2.2 data that gives our general assembly a snapshot 23 of what safety looks like in Virginia. They 24 know how many schools are reported how many 25 threats. They know how many schools have SROs,

how many have school security officers. They know what training they want. They know what kind of needs assessment they're doing, and other things that are going on, so that survey ranges from eighty to a hundred and twenty questions. We have trend data over the last eighteen years, and we're getting ready to put out a report on that trend data.

9 We also do the division level survey where 10 we ask -- and we don't do that every three 11 years, we're doing it every year now, because 12 we need to ask policy questions of the 13 division, and not of the schools. We also 14 mandate that the school crisis plans be 15 reviewed every year by their superintendent and 16 their school board, and they certify to us 17 every year by August 31st that those plans for 18 each and every school in Virginia has been 19 reviewed by their local school board and 20 updated accordingly.

The fourth component is that we require a secondary school climate survey, and this climate survey is done by an outside university that administers it through us, and every other year, we do high schools one year and middle

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

schools the next, and that gives us data, and 1 the school data, on what do the students think of the school. And that's important. Not only that, they survey staff and they find out the discrepancies between what the students think and what the staff think, and that's integral. Another piece of that is we compare their school climate to the region, and then to the state, so they can see if their climate is higher or lower than others, and they can make the necessary improvement.

12 And lastly, our general assembly mandated 13 back in 2013 that schools conduct school safety 14 audit, school's safety inspections. This is a 15 physical checklist that they directed the 16 center to create. The schools have to use our 17 checklist, or something very consistent with 18 it, and they go through their schools, and this 19 is the physical safety walk-through, you know, 20 windows and doors that aren't locking, 21 identifying and recommending to their superintendent what needs to be fixed. 2.2 And 23 then we survey through the division survey what 24 those school's safety security checklists said, 25 and what the top three recommendations were, so

800-726-7007

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Veritext Legal Solutions

we can tell the general assembly, hey, if you want to put your money somewhere the schools are saying that they need more in these areas. And so, we use that as our physical bucket list that we can report out to the general assembly.

6 So, again this is what the safety audit is 7 designed to do, it's to give a picture of 8 safety in Virginia to drive best practices, and 9 prove, improve school safety for school 10 administrators. We have data, and I'm not 11 going to go over all of this data, but nearly 12 two thirds of our schools, and this is our 13 latest data, we'll have some more this year, 14 reported conducting one or more threat 15 assessment.

16 Do you think, wonder if the other third of the schools weren't doing threat assessments, 17 18 no, I think that they did threat assessments, that they did not have, did not write down the 19 20 data, did not keep good data, and didn't want 21 to report false data to the state, and so 2.2 therefore they just put zero. We are working 23 on a case management tool that will help them 24 keep that data handy.

These schools totaled nine thousand two

25

1

2

3

4

hundred thirty-eight threat assessments, mostly 1 2 involving current students, so ninety eight 3 percent of the threats the threat assessment team assessed dealt with students. Half of the 4 5 threats from current students involved threats 6 against self. That's huge. When you know that 7 half of the threats that are going on in your state are because students want to harm 8 9 themselves that helps you hone where you want 10 to put your resources. Of the, again that's 11 the breakdown. Four thousand of the cases 12 wanted to harm themselves only. Thirty-six 13 hundred or more wanted to, threatened only 14 others, and four hundred and forty-three threatened self and others. 15

16 Seventy-six schools reported assessments involving other persons, and that would be 17 18 spouses of staff or other affiliated people, 19 and that's a breakdown of that. But here we 20 go, of the nine thousand two hundred 21 thirty-eight less than ten percent, nine 2.2 hundred and twenty-eight threat assessments 23 were classified at the highest level. So, we 24 asked them in their survey how many of the 25 threats were at any point in the threat

assessment process classified at the highest level, meaning it could have started out low, went high, and then it abated, but we asked them at any point was it high. And then of those cases we asked how many were averted, and as you see less than forty cases, less than one percent of them the event actually occurred.

And we don't believe that either. 8 We 9 don't believe that forty cases that they were 10 actively managed actually had a threat that, 11 that had, that they knew was going to happen 12 and then occurred. What we think happened was 13 an incident occurred, like a fight in the, a 14 fight in the boy's room, they did a threat 15 assessment after the incident to determine if there was an ongoing threat, and then they 16 17 managed it and they reported it to us as an 18 averted, as a non-averted threat. But that's 19 not what threat assessment is, it has to have 20 done prior, and so we're asking better 21 questions this year to find out were these 2.2 really averted, non-averted cases, or were 23 these things that happened and then you did a threat assessment. 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Obviously, the data is going to show that

you have more high-level threats at the high school level than you do at the elementary, so in summary of those nine thousand two hundred thirty-eight threats nine hundred, ten percent were classified at the highest level by three hundred eighty schools, and among those less than one percent resulted in an act being carried out. That's good news.

9 Again, as I mentioned we have a climate 10 survey, and the definition of a safety audit 11 says we're supposed to identify and develop 12 physical, solutions for physical safety 13 concerns, and the second part of that is 14 identify and evaluate patterns of student 15 safety concerns. The only way I could fulfill 16 that mandate when I first began doing this was 17 to ask the schools did you do an anonymous 18 survey, and if so what did the students say 19 they were, were their problems. That's not 20 researching, so what we did was we went out and 21 we found a university to apply for the grant so 2.2 that we could administer a statewide anonymous 23 survey to all students, mandate it, and do as I mentioned earlier. 24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

So, these are some of the questions we

I like the school. I like being at the asked. 1 2 school. I feel connected to the school. Т think the school rules are fair. I can't read 3 4 that one. Students have treated me fairly. 5 And as you can see it them aggregates it into 6 the school, the region, and the state. And you 7 can see the starred ones there where, where it's relatively high. I think it's an 8 9 important selling tool for the principals and 10 the schools to know, hey, look, the vast 11 majority of my students feel, feel safe here. 12 Most students, seventy four percent, reported 13 they feel safe in the school. And you have 14 some others there about physical appearance and 15 whether they've been teased.

16 And I'd like to make a side not about 17 bullying. We have a definition of bullying in 18 Virginia code, and it's in our education code, 19 and it very specifically lays out the three 20 criteria. It has to be targeted and meant to 21 humiliate or cause harm. It has to be 2.2 repeated, and it has to be an imbalance of power. And all three criteria need to be fit 23 24 before, checked before we call it bullying. 25 And I think that we've gotten into a habit of

Page 350

calling things bullying that are not bullying. There's on this end of the spectrum mean, rude, and bad behavior, and it happens every day in your workplace, and in mine. People are mean. People are hateful. People say wrong things. That's at one end of the spectrum.

7 And then we have bullying, which is repeated, and targeted, and meant to be an 8 imbalance of power. And then we have crimes. 9 10 If I go to work and somebody shoves my head in 11 a toilet somebody is going to jail, end of 12 story, it's a crime. We can't call that 13 bullying. And it's really important that we 14 send that message to students. If somebody is 15 doing things that physically harm you at school 16 that's not bullying, that's a crime, and we 17 prosecute crimes. And we need to let our kids 18 know that they don't have to endure bullying 19 behavior, and when, if teachers identify 20 bullying behavior they need to have tactics at 21 which to address it, and the school needs to 2.2 address it.

How do we deal with mean, rude behavior? We don't call it bulling, we address it through classroom management, and we address it through

1

2

3

4

5

character education programs. And -- and you can see how the students feel about bullying at their school. And we have, we have a complete separate report, technical report on our climate survey results over the years.

6 And I just want to rush through these, so 7 I can get to the, get to the good stuff. So, the research that we have, we have four grants 8 9 with the National Institute of Justice. We 10 have two with the University of Virginia, one 11 focusing on threat assessment and school 12 climate. Those reports are coming out soon. 13 We have one with Virginia Tech that studied the 14 school to prison pipeline, and as I said that 15 kind of overturned what the first report said, 16 that we were leading the nation, and out of 17 that we're also studying our school resource 18 officer program.

So, we have a variety of publications and resources that we put out to assist schools. As I mentioned we have our model policies, and then we have a curriculum to support that. It's absolutely necessary that it if you're going to mandate teams that you mandate a state agency to deliver that training, because

1

2

3

4

otherwise it's going to be all over the board, and it's really important that we provide school divisions if we're going to put one more thing on them, we provide them the means and the mechanism to get it right.

6 This is the other document I just reported 7 to you, but as you can see there's a whole host of other training, other documents that the 8 9 center puts out. We put it out, a Virginia 10 educator's guide on conducting drills. We did 11 that when they mandated lock down drills. We 12 knew schools didn't know how to do lock down 13 drills, and what's the different in a lock down 14 drill and an active shooter drill, is there a difference. When -- when schools have a crisis 15 16 occur they have three options, they can lock 17 down, they can evacuate, or they could shelter 18 in place. Active shooter doesn't mean anything 19 to them. We have to make sure that we are 20 understanding and delivering information in a 21 way that makes sense with the clientele that 2.2 we're dealing with.

23 And as I showed you we do a host of other 24 things there. Juvenile Law Handbook for school 25 administrators. This takes our Virginia

1

2

3

4

juvenile code and it translates it into their student code of conduct, and it shows them where, where the crosswalk are, and what things they have to report to law enforcement, and again trusting that law enforcement will use their discretion and consult with the school to get the best outcome for the student.

We provide a host of training, and 8 9 obviously the center is very small staffed. We 10 have four to five folks that work there. We 11 cannot be subject matter experts on everything 12 we touch, and we so we are, absolutely necessary for us to have contracts with outside 13 14 agencies. We've long used John Moore in 15 Response Law to do our legal training. We have 16 consulted with SIGMA Threat Management 17 Associates to deliver our threat assessment 18 training, and are, are consulting with the 19 school divisions.

20 And one more thing here, after we rolled 21 out our training, and we did our, trained the 22 trainer, we noticed that school divisions were 23 calling me for case management. I'm not a 24 threat assessment expert, and I knew that I 25 needed consultants on staff, where if they had

1

2

3

4

5

6

a case of a troubled child that they've done everything they could and they didn't know what else to do, that they needed someone that they could consult with that we pay for, and so I developed a contract with an outside consulting agency, schools can apply to me and say, hey, I need four hours with an expert because we're out of options, and I can pay, authorize that, and pay for that, so school divisions know what to do with those difficult cases.

11 I am not going to cover our model. Tt's 12 in your packet. It is based on the Secret 13 Service model. And just the topics that you'll 14 see here, the rationale for a threat assessment 15 process, understanding the pathway to violence. 16 Again, the questions that you would find in the 17 Safe School Initiative back in 2002, the 18 questions, the guiding principles, how you 19 develop and operate a threat assessment team, 20 identifying and reporting threats, how do you 21 conduct a threat assessment, and then the key 2.2 questions that you would ask if you were doing 23 a threat assessment, classifying the threat in 24 the threat case management, our levels are low, medium, high, and imminent, and there's our 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

definitions. It's also in our book there. And then how do you respond by the threat assessment level, so we have actually in our guide those things that line up with low, medium, high, the different interventions that you can use. And then of course the legal issues in confidentiality. We've talked a lot, you've talked a lot about FERPA here, so we addressed that in this section. Reporting and record keeping, and why that's so important. And then lastly enhancing the school climate.

12 The last thing I want to cover before I 13 get to the end is the training and conferences. 14 We train constantly, and it will never stop. 15 We are five years into rolling out threat 16 assessment in K-12 schools and we are nowhere 17 near where we need to be. And I can tell you 18 that when we first started this we were doing twelve to fifteen K-12 threat assessment 19 20 trainings a year where we had seventy-five to a 21 hundred folks in them coming from various school divisions. We thought we'd to that for 2.2 23 a few years and then step out of it, do a train the trainer, let them have our curriculum, and 24 25 move on to the next topic. This is not going

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

away, because the turnover in schools is twenty-five to thirty percent, and this is something that is necessary to begin with them. It should be targeted to help them begin to set up their own system and provide direct services to help them change their climate.

7 And as Lina also said there's other trainings that surround threat assessment, 8 9 applied suicide intervention skills, disability 10 awareness for law enforcement officers so they 11 recognize when they're dealing with a child 12 with a disability, and how that's going to 13 manifest itself. Mental health, mental health 14 first aid for youth, understanding mental 15 health crisis, understanding de-escalation in 16 trauma, inform classrooms, how do you peel back 17 the layers of a kid to find out what triggered 18 that child, and is here a time-out room where 19 that child can go with a caring adult and 20 figure out what made this child go off.

I have to tell you a quick story that happened to someone, with someone I love dearly. A child came into school and everything was fine, 8:00 in the morning sat down, eating his breakfast, having a great day.

1

2

3

4

5

Something happened, the child pulled out something, a railroad tie from his britches, and began trying to stab the ground around him, barely missing toes. And when -- when -- when that child was pulled out of the classroom and somebody peeled back the layers they found out that mom had, mom had arrested, been arrested the night before for beating somebody, the boyfriend over the head that was trying to break into the house, and yet that child was in class the next morning at 8:00.

12 It's important to know that these kids are 13 bringing what they have from the community and home into the classroom, and we need to 14 15 deescalate that, we need to get to the root of 16 it, and we need to surround that child with the 17 help that they need to be successful, but you 18 have to have resources and training in place to 19 help make that happen, and not just suspend, 20 and not use, call the police, but have the 21 police involved.

22Our training is around three different23areas, or four different areas, school safety,24campus safety, public safety, and then our25mixed audiences to include counselors, et

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

cetera. We do six to nine large conferences a year. A hundred and fifty to two hundred fifty trainings, and we -- although it sounds like a lot of constituents that we reach it's still a drop in the bucket based on what we know that we have.

7 And here are some of those other trainings that I've mentioned, identification of 8 9 abhorrent behavior. They're going to want to 10 know about legal issues, and FERPA, and HIPAA, 11 and how do I get information from our mental 12 health providers, and that's why it's really 13 important to provide legal training. Again, 14 applied suicide intervention trauma, inform 15 classrooms, and then a whole host of other 16 trainings.

17 So, let's get to the challenges. So, 18 again, when the state rolled this out the only 19 mandate that the center had was to develop 20 policies and collect data, so we immediately 21 knew we needed to provide training in order to 2.2 do that, but no funding was, no money or 23 funding was, was directed to the center. So, 24 you need a dedicated staff at the state to orchestrate this roll out, and it needs to 25

1

2

3

4

5

place somewhere where they're going to 1 2 coordinate it from the top down to give the 3 school divisions what they need in order to make this happen. Again, there was no 4 5 legislative mandate for any agency to provide training, we did so because we knew that the 6 7 schools were going to come to us looking for to anyway. There's going to be an ongoing need. 8 9 This is not a one-time fix. This isn't going 10 to make things perfect in a year, or even five 11 years, it is a beginning of the snowball to 12 roll down the road, that it's going to take a 13 long time.

14 There's a continual need to keep resources 15 update based on the latest research that comes 16 out from the Secret Service, or the FBI. 17 You've got to constantly tweak your resources 18 and make sure they're up to date. You need to 19 apply for grant writers who can look for 20 research opportunities to study your data and 21 give you really good information. And you're 2.2 going to need online training because a lot of 23 the people you want to reach, the teachers are 24 not going to come to your training. They can't 25 leave the classroom. They can use it for

Veritext Legal Solutions

professional development, but you need to do it in bite sized modules that, that build upon one another so that you're creating a base for their information.

5 We -- there was a lack of qualified trainers in our school divisions. Again, I was 6 hopeful we could do a train the trainer, pass 7 them curriculum and they would become their own 8 9 experts, and that's not how it goes. It's 10 really important that you hone a cadre of 11 threat assessment trainers within the state or 12 you're going to constantly be looking for 13 subject matter experts that you pay for to 14 bring in. So, again, we're five years into 15 this and we're just now getting to the point 16 where we're honing a cadre of school divisions 17 that are doing it really well and preparing them to be trainers for the state. 18

And again, there's a lack of centralized school division personnel to oversee the threat assessment process. It was not mandated that schools had to have to a division oversight team, or that they have anybody there to direct and help support the teams within your, the school division, and we have divisions that

1

2

3

have a hundred and sixty-seven schools or more. We also have divisions that have three schools, and so it is important that you hone it, and make it flexible enough to deal with the range of school divisions that you have.

6 There was no funding given for this, none, 7 and so we were able to go after asset 8 forfeiture money and other grants, and again we 9 are looking at exploring that in Virginia, but 10 it's important that we do fund these mandates. 11 And there was a lack of formulized guidance 12 around information sharing, you've brought it 13 We need someone to tell us FERPA, FERPA, up. what can we share and what can't we share, and 14 15 schools want to know that, and so we're looking 16 at putting out informational papers, which 17 we've already done, on what you can and cannot 18 do.

19So, our future plans are we're seeking the20dedicated staff to support the school divisions21at the state level. We're rolling out22community behavioral threat assessment teams.23We've noticed that even though we have SROs in24most of our middle and high schools we don't25have them at our elementary schools, and yet

1

2

3

4

their teams are supposed to have a law enforcement officer on them, so who are they getting, they're returning to their community and saying send me someone.

5 Well, if you haven't trained the law 6 enforcement agency in what community behavioral 7 threat assessment is, and what the school is doing, they're not going to know their role, 8 9 and so again when you suspend school students, 10 or you expel them from their school, where are 11 they going to go, they're going to go to the 12 community so it's absolutely integral that you 13 train law enforcement at the other end of the 14 spectrum so they know who they're supposed to 15 be surrounding, who they're supposed to be 16 helping, who are they supposed to be connecting 17 with, and making them aware of what they're 18 walking into if they have to respond to that home. 19

20 We're working on a case management tool 21 that is a module that will hook onto the 22 discipline records system in the state so that 23 as they walk through our process, through our 24 questionnaire, they can do it electronically in 25 a separate module that collects it on their

1

2

3

student discipline, but apart from their 1 2 discipline so that it's law enforcement 3 records, and it's not foible, and nor is it, and nor is it part of protected by FERPA, and 4 5 that way we can collect the data from the division level instead of from the schools, we 6 7 get more consistent data, and you're also easily able to transfer that to higher ed if 8 9 that child moves onto higher ed, or if somebody 10 wants that information.

We're looking for a cadre of threat assessment trainers, and for funding. And we're also looking at developing those online modules. So, that's just a brief quick look at what we've done here in Virginia.

16 CHAIR: So, do you have a threat 17 assessment instrument that is used in each 18 division, or each school level consistent 19 throughout the state as they go through the 20 threat assessment process?

MS. MICHAELIS: Yes, our model policies and procedures, and the forms that are in here, are what the schools are supposed to be consistent with. So, they don't have to use our model, but they have to use a model

consistent with the one that we put out. And as Lina indicated we looked, when we were mandated to do this we looked at all the models that were out there. In Oregon, Salem Keiser had a model, the University of Virginia had a model at the time, Virginia student threat assessment guidelines, and the Secret Service had a model, and a few others other places.

9 We did a big lit search. I contracted 10 with a researcher who, who evaluated all of 11 this. I had an advisory committee made up of 12 various superintendents, law enforcement 13 officers and subject matter experts, and this 14 is where we landed, on the model that most 15 emulated --

16 CHAIR: I believe I have a copy of that, 17 and it's all about not reinventing the wheel, 18 so that's why I asked. I haven't seen anything 19 in here on it, so we'll take a look at that 20 though. Any commissioners have questions? Mr. 21 Schachter.

22 MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you very much. 23 Since 2013 Florida has had over twenty-one 24 fatalities, obviously seventeen recently, 25 that's why we're here. In Virginia you've had

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

zero, is that correct? 1 2 MS. MICHAELIS: Yes. 3 MR. SCHACHTER: That's pretty impressive. 4 Number one, why don't you think we have this 5 system nationwide, and number, number one, and number one -- number -- number two, in the 6 7 survey how do you make sure that schools are 8 accurately reporting data? We have found out 9 that Marjory Stoneman Douglas did not report, 10 let's see here, between 2014 to 2017 we had zero cases of bullying, zero harassment, zero 11 12 trespassing, zero threats, zero intimidation, 13 three cases of vandalism, and two batteries. 14 How accurate do you think that is? So, how do 15 you ensure that, you know, people are not lying 16 to you, and not reporting the facts? 17 And then, let's see here. Do you have

18 intervention programs like, like PROMISE, like we have here in this state, you know, we have 19 20 those thirteen nonviolent crimes that they 21 don't punish kids for? And -- and lastly how 2.2 do you view, you know, had, you know, Chief 23 Alathari here, and she's fantastic, and then 24 you've got FBI's BAU, and you've got DHS, how 25 do you view all these different organizations,

do the others help you, and how would you compare them in their help to solve these problems.

CHAIR: So, hang on, before you answer that, is a lot of questions there, so sometimes it's helpful if you could just maybe break down your questions a little bit for the people because it's really hard to follow, if you could.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. SCHACHTER: Okay.

11 CHAIR: Okay. And you can answer if you 12 want, but some of those things I don't think 13 that she's really qualified to answer, about 14 what was reported or not reported, or how 15 Marjory Stoneman Douglas keeps its information, 16 so I don't think that she's really in a 17 position to answer that specific information.

18MR. SCHACHTER: You mean whether or not19they have an intervention program like we do?

20 CHAIR: No, you mentioned what they -- you 21 gave some statistics about what they reported 22 or didn't report, and maybe why they didn't 23 report --

24MR. SCHACHTER: No, I was just saying --25CHAIR: I don't think she's really in a

1 position to answer that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MR. SCHACHTER: No, I was just saying -she had -- she mentioned that they have a survey, and I was just curious if you, because that's one of the problems here, we didn't, the school didn't report all these incidents. I was curious how you, you know, fix that if you have a system.

CHAIR: Well, we don't -- we haven't gotten there yet, so we don't know that.

MR. SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay.

12 CHAIR: And, you know, and some of it is, 13 you heard a presentation last time about SESIR, 14 and you got to look at what's required to be 15 reported versus what isn't, so I think we're, 16 we're going to get into that, and information 17 sharing, that will be a topic in August. So, 18 if you -- if you feel like you're qualified to 19 answer it qo ahead, but --

20 MS. MICHAELIS: Yeah, no, I'm not 21 qualified to answer the question about your own 22 reporting, but I will say that in Virginia we 23 have a law that names eight different crime 24 categories that must be reported to law 25 enforcement. Then we then take those eight

crime categories and translate them into the 1 2 student code of conduct, which results in fifty four student code of conduct violations that 3 must be reported to law enforcement, so when 4 5 school divisions report that they have one of those incidences they can look at the chart and 6 7 say, okay, I don't need to know what a kidnapping or a schedule 1 drug is, I know that 8 9 it's a drug with intent to distribute, or I 10 know it's one of these, these drugs, then I 11 must report it to law enforcement.

12 And when they go to enter it into the 13 system for the Department of Education to 14 report those incidences to the Department of 15 Ed, it's called our discipline crime and 16 violence report, there's an automatic edit 17 check where, wait a minute, it's flagged, if 18 you don't check the box and say that you told 19 law enforcement it will not let you submit it. 20 So, it's an edit check that requires schools to 21 report to law enforcement. Now, what that, 2.2 that report to law enforcement is, it could be 23 the principal telling the SRO, hey, I had this in the cafeteria, here's what I'm doing 24 25 discipline wise, feel free to do what you want

with it your way. And the SRO and the principal will talk, and if they decide, hey, this is the best course of action for this student, we're not going to take a report unless the parent would like us to take a report, then it doesn't enter the system.

So, it's an edit check that requires that 7 report to law enforcement. Now we're following 8 9 up and adding an edit check, was an actual 10 charge placed, so that we know, hey, out of the 11 forty thousand referrals to law enforcement 12 only a thousand of them required, resulted in 13 an actual charge, and so we collect better data 14 that way. But it is absolutely dependent on, 15 on the schools reporting that.

MR. SCHACHTER: I think it's extremely impressive that, you know, the results that, you know, you have in Virginia. Do you have any -- what's your opinion on why you don't, why this is not a nationwide --

21 MS. MICHAELIS: Well, we had Virginia 22 Tech, and as horrendous as Virginia Tech was 23 there's a lot that we learned from it, and what 24 we learned was in the interactions of the 25 perpetrator with the various silos of

1

2

3

4

5

information within the university, and we have 1 2 a chart that shows all the various 3 interactions, we learned that there wasn't one place that connected the dots, that a professor 4 5 may have information, a counselor may have information, the review team, or the sexual 6 7 assault team might have information, but there 8 was not one place connecting the dots. It's 9 important to connect dots. It's important that 10 you have a threat assessment team that gathers 11 a fact through a fact driven information-based 12 process what is going on with this child and 13 connect the dots.

14 Virginia is not perfect. We -- this, what 15 happened could happen anywhere, and there are 16 always going to be cracks in the system, but 17 the way that we address those cracks is, again, 18 we began getting everyone on the same page, 19 sharing information, connecting the dots, and 20 putting policies and procedures in place that 21 support the child but also adhere to the safety 2.2 and security of the entire, the entire staff. 23 MR. SCHACHTER: And then just the last 24 question. Have you found the FBI and DHS 25 helpful at all?

MS. MICHAELIS: Absolutely. Just hot off 1 2 the press from the Department of Homeland 3 Security is a K-12 school security guide for preventing and protecting against gun violence. 4 5 I read through it this morning. There's an 6 excellent Excel spreadsheet in here that takes 7 you through all the processes of setting up and assessing what you've got to work with, and I 8 9 found that very consistent. As -- as you know 10 they're getting ready to come out with another 11 quide on Thursday. We read all the latest 12 information and go back and update our 13 resources to reflect those, so absolutely we do not do this in a vacuum. 14 15 And just like I've stolen with, with 16 abandon from across the nation, whatever we've 17 created is available for others as well. 18 MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you very much. MS. MICHAELIS: Thank you. 19 20 CHAIR: Any other questions? Okay, thank 21 you, Donna, for being here. We appreciate it. 2.2 MS. MICHAELIS: Thank you. 23 PUBLIC COMMENTS 24 CHAIR: Thank you. So, we have, for 25 citizen comment we have two comments cards.

The first is Ronald Barish. Again, we'd ask that citizen comments be limited to three minutes.

MR. BARISH: Good afternoon, MSD 4 5 Commission, ladies and gentlemen. According to 6 Local 10 News, there were ten items that I'm 7 going to talk about, but also there's two that I'm going to, that I already have. The first 8 9 is I want metal detector wands in every middle 10 and high school, keep classroom doors locked, 11 lock and monitor all entrances and gates, 12 install window coverings on all classroom doors 13 and windows, monitor all public areas with 14 cameras, funding for district mental health 15 programs, an external audit of school 16 discipline, at least one officer, maybe two at 17 every school, enhancing active assailant 18 training, single, and a single point entry for 19 all campus visitors.

20 My two are bullet proof steel reinforced 21 doors for every classroom in all middle and 22 high schools in Broward County. And my twelfth 23 one is revamp the PROMISE program and coerce 24 the students to be in it or they will not 25 graduate. The PROMISE program is good if it's

1

2

used properly. Let's do that. Let's revamp it 1 2 so it will be used properly so we won't have 3 this problem again. One more thing. And another thing, I want to make sure all police 4 5 officers know where the shooter is and not 6 guess. And these are the things that are very 7 important, that has to be done. I've been working with this since 1990, 8 9 and I will tell you that the reason I'm 10 starting it is because there was a bully in 11 Reading, Pennsylvania, and a fifteen-year-old 12 boy went in and blew his bully away right in 13 front of biology class. He ended up with 14 twelve to twenty-four years. He probably just 15 got out for years ago. Please let's get this 16 done, because we never want to see this never 17 again. Thank you. 18 CHAIR: Okay, thank you, sir. The next is 19 W.R. Carney. 20 Thank you. I know I don't MR. CARNEY: 21 look like it, but I am a Broward County 2.2 elementary student. I went to elementary 23 school here, I went to junior high, I went to 24 high school. I had a current Mayor of Broward

County singing Christmas carols at my house. I

grew up with many of these Cruz individuals, 1 2 and as a result of the lifestyle I wound up, 3 fortunately, in prisons; not because you guys put me there, but because I saw there was 4 something missing in a lot of the kids that I went to school with, and I became a part of the 7 solution, and at one point it became all about drugs, because I grew up in South Florida during the cocaine wars.

10 And this is very resonant of the same lie 11 that's being told, you know, it's a drug 12 It's a school problem. No, it's the problem. 13 children's minds problem, and if we don't address it as someone said in this commission 14 15 there's going to be a thousand of them, and 16 just like the drug war that was started by our 17 president in 1971, and he started a commission, 18 it was called the DEA, which all of you police 19 officers can appreciate, there was 20 approximately fifteen hundred officers and a 21 budget of \$75 million. Today there is a budget 2.2 of \$2.4 billion, five thousand DEA agents. 23 In 1993 they killed the epidemic, so they 24 thought, Pablo Escobar in Medellin, Columbia,

where my wives live, and the reality is they

25

5

6

8

produce three times more cocaine there today than they did when they killed Pablo, why, because we're all focused on the drugs. We're focused on AR-15s at the age of seventeen. I served in the Air Force as a weapons instructor. I never seen one of those weapons jump out of the armory and hurt anybody. It's the minds that we're dealing with here, folks.

9 And I came here because at 2:00 I found 10 you on the internet, that you were meeting 11 I have met with parents that have lost here. 12 their children in this incident. I started a 13 nonprofit in Coral Springs, in Parkland, but 14 they thought they was above this. They told me 15 to take my message to the inner city, but you 16 know what, it isn't an inner-city problem, it's 17 a mind problem of youth all over this country.

18 And so in closing what attracted me to 19 come here was that you guys are here to bring a 20 report back to the governor, well, I think more 21 than a report of what went wrong, and crisis 2.2 management so next time we can do something, 23 how about we starts working with the children 24 when they're seven and start in school, and 25 instead of requiring me to learn science, that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

I didn't want to know nothing about, have a class that teaches me about how to live life, how to process my fears, because they mentioned stressors. You know what stress is, it's the adult word for being afraid.

A child will tell you I'm afraid mommy, daddy, but once we grow a little bit older we call it a stressor. No, that person, those persons, be it a bully, a rapist, a person using drugs, it's all about they're afraid, and if we don't get to the youth of seven years old, and before they become twelve, because that's where these behaviors begin, okay, there's never going to be enough commissions.

15 And all's we got to do is look at the drug 16 war. This is very parallel to it. We're 17 looking at the wrong solution folks, it's to go 18 into the minds and give them the gift of 19 understanding how to operate their own minds 20 when they're afraid, and how to communicate healthy, harmonious, and prosperous 21 2.2 relationships. I have a stack of cards. Τf 23 any one of you wants the magic wand, as I heard 24 mentioned many times, I'll be more than glad to 25 meet with you, have coffee, any time, and show

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

you the solution. It's a quick eleven module syllabus to teach them how to live as a human being --CHAIR: All right, sir, thank you for your comments. MR. CARNEY: -- and not a feared animal. Thank you. CHAIR: I let you go over by two minutes, so thank you. The next is Julie, and I'm sorry I can't read the writing here. It looks like Ganas, G-A-N-A-S. MS. GANAS: Hello, I'm Julie Ganas, and I am a former twenty plus veteran teacher in Broward County, elementary school teacher. Ι took a medical leave for two years because my administration bullied me in many ways, lowered my evaluation scores immediately when I spoke up for my off-duty lunch. We were required to have a meeting during our lunch period, so that really caused a lot of anxiety, and my symptoms got worse. So, I was at the same school for fifteen years in a row with a satisfactory evaluation every year, and so therefore my doctor at the end of two years asked me to resign, I wasn't well enough to return, and it

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

really hurts me because my passion was teaching ever since I was in first grade, and I'm a victim of retaliation, and it really hurts me because it's just -- it hurts because I really loved what I was doing, and I was just speaking up for my rights, and then -- teachers really have their hands tied.

8 So, I wanted to give you some of my 9 experiences as a teacher. When I taught third 10 grade in the school I had an extreme violent 11 and defiant student in my class, and he threw 12 desks in the room, he picked fights, and he 13 bullied other students verbally, and injured a 14 few on the playground. And I wrote a few 15 referrals, and yes, therefore he was given 16 internal suspension, and an external 17 suspension, and there was a time when I was 18 about to write another referral and my 19 assistant principal told me don't write 20 referrals on the same students, it makes our 21 school look bad.

This student also never received any small group counseling from the guidance counselor though on record, on paper there's like little small groups that are being held for anger

1

2

3

4

5

6

management, divorce support, and it came to my attention, you know, months and months later, like in October I said to her, I said, well why aren't we calling small groups. I went to her office and she told me in a very low voice, and she showed me like the paperwork on her desk, she said, oh, the principal has me doing all of this work, and I said I understand.

9 So -- so as a teacher our hands are tied. 10 When we do speak up for our rights, and the 11 rights of students, we get retaliated, as it 12 happened to me, and we really don't know what 13 to do in these situations, and we just walk 14 away. And I'm a victim of what happened. So, 15 teachers really know what's going on in the 16 classrooms. It's my experience that we don't 17 know who to go to, and in my opinion there 18 needs to be somebody outside of the school 19 board, or the principal's level, to report what 20 we know is really happening. Thank you. 21 CHAIR: Thank you. And the last 2.2 appearance card we have is from Michael

23 Sirbola.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24 MR. SIRBOLA: Hello, and thank you for 25 having me. And I apologize for not wearing my

tie dye, that's kind of it. I want to touch real quickly on the Secret Service and their behavioral threat assessment to ask why they don't identify epidemic hot spots of high aid scores, because that would surely in addition to the individual behaviors be the strongest indicator of problems, and absolutely necessary.

9 And also, I want to touch on the very 10 telling attitude shown in the PROMISE update 11 associated with this meeting, and the word and 12 verbiage used. There is no delineation between 13 child and adult. It could have been for adults 14 or children, there's no difference. Shame on 15 Change is coming. Either our current us. 16 superintendent and staff are going to succeed in delivering the promise of revolutionary 17 18 Runcie, or they'll need to be replaced. Change has to come. Our children have made that 19 20 clear.

The superintendent in the ROAD Foundation delivered zero tolerance into our schools here with gusto. We suffered the consequences, and are suffering it to this day, as is the nation. Zero tolerance was a symptom of our national

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

reactive illness. The Department of Education does zero research on effective mainstream education methods, zero, it's all done on special needs and maintaining inclusion, so when we went to zero tolerance that was strictly out of our illness, there was no research to back any of that up, and all the research shows that it caused this situation.

9 Financial abuse by the state has occurred, 10 and that underfunding is child abuse. There's 11 also been emotional abuse through the state 12 through zero tolerance and zero connection. 13 This is all why the shooting occurred. Our Mr. 14 Runcie and Oprah have seen the light. These 15 ills are not part of our human nature, they are 16 a blight upon it, and they are curable. And 17 this is backed up, by the way, by the Center 18 for Disease Control and the NIH. This is 19 science. And enough is enough, it is time 20 start acting like adults.

How real is this behaviorally transmitted complex PTSD that we suffer? Let's do a word frequency analysis of all of your and the guardian programs documents and verbiage on non-lethal training with, for example, pepper

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

bullets and stun guns. You know what, I bet there isn't very much mention of non-lethality. How dare we talk about putting these people into our schools without that being the major discussion? There is no separation between mind and body, or between school safety and whole child education.

Mind is body and body is mind, and our own 8 9 superintendent Mr. Runcie is moving away from 10 the past, and from zero tolerance, and 11 accepting the fact, I've got the little thing 12 here, I just came from it at the Center for 13 Mind Body Medicines, Dr. Jim Gordon who worked 14 with Gaza, and traumatized children there, has 15 been brought in, and he is the guy who worked 16 with the CDC and the NIH to change the face of 17 twenty first century medicine and bring us into 18 a reality where we understand the connection 19 between mind and body.

20 They are also looking into bringing in Dr.
21 Andres with Think Kids --

22 CHAIR: Okay, Mr. Sirbola, if you could
23 wrap, wrap up --

24MR. SIRBOLA: -- who trained over five25thousand SROs up in in New York in non-lethal

1

2

3

4

5

6

1 methods, and they had great success. By the 2 way, for reference, the five thousand SROs in 3 New York are all armed with pepper bullets. They aren't in an arms race where they feel 4 5 they have to match and M-16 for an M-16, or whatever the ridiculous thing is. They're --6 7 this is why this shooting occurred. School safety and whole child education means that the 8 9 NIH and CDC's describing of behaviorally 10 transmitted social dysfunction and CPTSD in 11 fact is a disease. This is the disease model. 12 Extreme social dysfunction and crime are not an 13 integral part of human nature, it is a blight 14 upon it, and thus is curable. 15 We're talking about curing these 16 shootings. A cure means a phased change in 17 what a school is, and --18 CHAIR: Okay, Mr. Sirbola --19 MR. SIRBOLA: -- and change it from where 20 errors --21 CHAIR: -- your time is up. Your time is 2.2 up. 23 MR. SIRBOLA: Close -- closing up to --24 CHAIR: No. MR. SIRBOLA: -- from where errors are 25

opportunities to be punished or judged, just seeing errors as opportunities to learn and teach.

CHAIR: Thank you.

MR. SIRBOLA: Thank you.

So, do any commissioners have any 6 CHAIR: 7 questions, comments, any thoughts before we recess for the day? So, tomorrow 8:30 in the 8 9 morning, it's going to be all communications 10 all day. We'll begin in the morning with the 11 911 centers, and then we'll get into the radio 12 communications in the afternoon. So, we'll see 13 everybody at 8:30 tomorrow morning. We'll be 14 in recess until then. Thank you. 15 (Thereupon, the above meeting concluded.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

1

2

3

4

Page 386 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 (STATE OF FLORIDA) 4 (COUNTY OF BROWARD) 5 6 I, NIDELIS GONZALEZ, Reporter, certify 7 that I was authorized to and did report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a 8 9 true and correct transcription of my notes of the proceedings. 10 11 12 13 14 mdelis gonzalez 15 16 17 NIDELIS GONZALEZ, Reporter 18 Commission Number: FF188630 19 Expires: 01/11/2019 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

Δ	1971 375:17	2017 157:22 217:10	5:30 1:13
0	1980's 335:24	231:24 239:18	5th 22:9 70:11,14
01/11/2019 386:19	1990 374:8	248:24 366:10	
1	1990's 237:17	2018 1:10 7:21	6
1 1:7 25:18 27:12	1993 282:24 283:2	25:18 67:3 309:3	633.202. 101:17
27:18 101:13 106:2	283:20 375:23	2019 246:18	633.208 102:6
369:8	1:00 267:9,11	20th 278:2	6united858 138:11
1,000 34:14 69:17	1st 9:14 26:10	24 231:20	7
1.7 336:21	27:11 77:9 95:17	24th 232:4	70 107:20
10 1:10 138:19	231:24	25 36:17	7026 234:17
159:5 373:6	2	25th 38:2 40:20	72 109:15 111:12
10-11 138:19		70:15	75 375:21
100 301:16	2 140:20 204:16 2.4 375:22	26th 38:3 39:6,9,12	8
1006-074 141:24	2.4 375:22 200 106:4	39:22 40:20,23	89 31:11
101 101:15 106:2	200 100.4 2000 157:8 185:20	68:8	89.1 31:8
1013.03 102:25	327:13 336:20	2:00 267:8,10 376:9	8:00 357:24 358:11
1016 136:7	2001 99:13 198:9	2nd 38:5 41:5	8:30 1:13 385:8,13
11th 99:14	198:10,11	42:13,14	9
12 100:9 106:25	2002 99:13 186:15	3	
136:4 137:1,4,18	250:5 355:17	3 140:20 336:22	90's 312:8 336:1
151:1 153:11	2004 328:4,6	300 106:4	90s's 314:17
238:14 246:11	2006 328:6	3000 155:23 156:19	911 233:3 234:6
321:2,6 329:14	2008 328:12 333:15	156:21 158:3 168:7	385:11
356:16,19 372:3	2009 105:11 150:8	174:12 178:5,8	a
13 7:21 41:23 70:4	2010 105:16 150:24	179:17	a.m. 1:13 267:8,9
301:6 13th 9:1 2 16 16	2012 198:17 328:24	31st 124:9 344:17	267:10,11
13th 8:1,2,16,16 278:8	2013 21:25 22:9,12	32 2:17	abandon 372:16
14 67:14	35:25 36:17,17	33323 1:8	abated 348:3
14 07.14 14th 8:3 18:18	38:2,12,13,17	360 60:7	abhorrent 359:9
14 1 3 1 3 1 5 1 1 1 5 1 3 1 7 : 6	40:15 49:22 57:9	3rd 38:6 43:5,15	ability 23:5 58:1
15 376:4	62:17 67:4,14	4	59:8 204:9 205:10
16 22:19 31:8	157:8 328:25	4:10 318:23	209:20 222:1
198:19 317:6 384:5	332:16 333:17	4th 43:17,18 57:8,8	able 6:9 10:10
384:5	345:13 365:23		12:24 13:6 14:24
17 31:8 217:21	2014 9:3 43:24 67:5	5	18:2 19:14 29:3
309:7	72:21 116:2 366:10	5 140:21 141:1	34:23 56:12 57:2
19 124:9	2015 329:18	50 24:5	58:15 60:18 62:19
1958 116:18 130:1	2016 45:25 72:21	500 301:17	62:20 67:11 79:14 83:25 05:25 08:22
1965 157:4	157:22 195:4	5100.2 204:14	83:25 95:25 98:22 112:19 113:20
	217:20 231:20	553 101:19 106:19	117:14,16 139:5
	272:15 309:8		11/.14,10 139.3

155:19 163:4	accepting 383:11	act 84:25 120:6	173:12 174:5,20
169:13 171:5	access 10:2,4 83:8	155:8 185:17	178:11 179:25
207:14,14 213:6	83:9,18,19 84:13	209:20 244:16	224:14 225:7,8
226:6 233:21	84:14,16 91:14	267:14 269:7 271:4	226:9 244:20
239:19 241:2,16	115:5 126:22	271:5,7 280:22	261:18 329:9
246:17 260:8	138:24 151:3	282:18 305:21	339:13,21 353:14
264:25 265:23	189:23 190:11	306:12,13,19 335:2	353:18 373:17
266:2 267:14 277:7	203:14,25 204:21	335:10 342:7 349:7	actively 335:25
277:13 297:12	205:3,17 209:8	acted 223:25 258:3	348:10
299:6,18 307:17	253:16 277:1,14,15	259:5 309:23	activities 180:13
310:22 316:20	286:5 287:15	acting 8:13 56:1	271:17
318:10 362:7 364:8	289:12 316:9	258:11 279:25	activity 48:13
abrogated 32:24	335:15	280:1 335:1 382:20	206:2 233:25
33:4,6	accesses 188:22	action 42:22 81:19	actual 44:9 132:20
absence 68:4	accessible 89:25	105:3,21 158:20	133:18 203:22
absent 41:6 42:4,12	144:9	193:11 206:1	231:13 370:9,13
42:21 43:2,3,6,16	accessing 210:9	214:10 240:24	ad 231:5
absenteeism	337:1	255:1 274:18 291:4	ada 114:20
297:11	accolades 287:11	291:18,20 311:5	adapt 169:13
absolutely 11:20	accompanied 43:20	370:3	add 51:7 89:20
25:25 45:8 46:1	accompanying	actionable 306:20	121:17 136:19
88:8 95:23 133:11	238:17	actions 51:13 62:25	151:23 152:9,11
177:22 215:12	accomplishes	193:11,16 206:12	328:4 338:14
300:22 341:9	154:20	291:21	added 54:21 74:9
352:23 354:12	account 157:18	activate 148:17	106:15 116:15
363:12 370:14	163:24	activated 112:4	343:11
372:1,13 381:7	accountability	148:16,24	adding 370:9
abstract 63:10,11	265:17 304:10	activation 110:5	addition 108:22
abuse 62:7 230:22	accountable 47:3	112:8	338:24 381:5
382:9,10,11	accounts 17:15	active 104:25	additional 109:7
abut 343:1	accredited 103:18	119:16 121:14	187:22 192:16
academic 2:19	103:20 162:19	122:3 123:19	196:25 197:5
20:13 53:21 251:12	accurate 16:1	124:15 125:6,10,13	206:16
296:17 324:9	17:18 148:8,10	140:24 141:25	additions 4:12
academically	251:8 271:9 366:14	142:5,11,22 143:1	address 11:2 64:20
251:10	accurately 366:8	153:25 154:6	128:25 158:4 160:7
academy 160:18,20	acknowledge 112:3	155:10,23 156:10	184:8 222:6 308:22
accept 37:19 48:15	acquired 253:18	157:2,10 158:1	325:9 329:8 335:1
89:5 183:8	acquiring 280:16	159:2 160:10	351:21,22,24,25
acceptable 136:3,5	acronym 332:25	163:10,14 165:7	371:17 375:14
138:22 282:4		167:17 169:9	

[addressed - aiming]

addressed 159:7	106:17 136:9,10	affixed 155:3,4	aggression 192:3
174:14,16 175:7	138:2 303:19,21	afraid 377:5,6,10	282:18
356:9	adopted 102:2	377:20	agitated 278:9
addresses 135:6	103:6 105:10,14,19	afternoon 184:3,24	ago 26:10 71:16
addressing 297:24	105:22 106:9,20	185:4 236:7,8	150:14 245:9
adept 341:21	117:2 136:14	319:11 373:4	279:22 312:7 316:1
adequate 10:12	adoption 124:2	385:12	336:2 374:15
289:19	136:14	afterward 10:20	agree 23:23 24:1
adhere 371:21	adopts 100:21	317:15	46:15 48:4 59:15
adjust 110:14	adult 155:18	age 285:1 292:8	66:9 68:9 72:14
230:15	211:10 232:10	320:16 376:4	74:22 75:3 80:19
admin 68:15	251:5 292:15 293:9	agencies 22:7 26:14	89:4 92:22 95:21
188:22	309:10 333:9,11	33:2 77:19 92:13	96:15 143:5 177:9
administer 349:22	357:19 377:5	137:13 158:19	177:17 207:4
administers 344:24	381:13	160:15 161:3	208:25 209:3,6
administration	adults 275:9	165:23 182:19	agreeable 81:7
378:16	381:13 382:20	245:15 270:24	90:15
administrative	advance 104:22	322:23 326:20	agreement 22:1,6,8
101:15 103:25	249:23	354:14	22:11,19 27:8
105:20 136:19	advanced 282:10	agency 33:1 230:20	32:14,15,16,25
193:22 203:23	advances 244:3	240:2,11,15,17	33:5,13,14,23
administrator	advancing 244:13	241:13,19 246:4,13	34:16 70:8 77:25
34:18 63:19 64:1	advantage 163:1	246:19 250:13	80:2 95:21
186:8 187:17 188:4	advent 99:15	255:21 256:25	agreements 96:13
188:11,15,17,19	adversaries 130:17	262:24 270:13	agrees 96:8
190:23 191:1,10	advisory 365:11	288:3 305:12 307:5	ahead 4:3 29:24
193:19 196:12	advocate 123:11	307:19 320:10	72:8,11 98:2
200:21 205:9,12	170:16 172:12	326:4 328:18	129:21 150:6
212:4,15 213:8	173:6 320:8 333:22	336:10 352:25	153:20 181:20
218:18 230:10	advocating 127:21	355:6 360:5 363:6	185:2 201:18,25
233:5,10,12 257:13	aes 21:7,9 52:14,16	agency's 255:22	203:8 223:15
340:1	52:17 64:15	agenda 16:16,21	232:25 233:15
administrators	affect 20:4 23:8	agent 185:22	242:9 244:10
203:17 204:7	36:7 82:4 96:24	337:25	249:22 270:8
205:18 212:18	116:17 253:7 285:7	agents 288:15,19	271:23,23 300:17
257:11 322:20	325:5	375:22	368:19
346:10 353:25	affiliated 347:18	ages 114:23	aid 120:11 158:7
admirable 46:14	affirmative 42:22	aggregate 59:23	357:14 381:4
admiration 276:14	affirmatively 42:18	60:10	aided 8:7
adopt 101:4,6,11	43:10 204:9	aggregates 350:5	aiming 246:18
101:22 103:25			

air 376:5	allow 26:20 30:18	analysis 7:15 67:15	anybody 4:11
airport 172:25	34:24 36:1 49:13	186:5 193:1 382:23	20:11 23:13 30:17
alabama 170:2	49:15 113:4 127:5	analyze 343:7	31:4 35:17 36:6
alarm 98:20 100:25	138:17,24 225:16	analyzed 189:3,8	46:6 58:13 60:3
108:10 109:7,10,17	226:4	analyzes 189:16	75:24 78:4,14
110:4,6,14,20,21	allowed 25:20,24	andres 383:21	80:25 85:5 94:25
111:4,6,7,12,15,15	26:4 60:2 66:5,15	anecdotal 314:18	95:8 114:14 129:20
111:19,20,22 112:3	116:14 119:8	angeles 305:2	131:9 132:5 145:19
112:8,10,12,15,20	139:18 145:2 151:9	anger 56:23 299:15	175:25 177:7 213:6
120:13,16,20,22,25	335:15	311:15 379:25	228:6 328:9 361:23
121:25 122:8,23	allowing 116:10	angry 278:10 332:4	376:7
123:3,9,22 130:24	330:25 339:6	animal 378:6	anybody's 71:14
131:2,12,13,16,19	allows 25:12 28:17	anne 169:21 170:3	anymore 290:12
131:25 132:10,11	28:19 112:18 334:2	176:17	anyway 45:7 58:16
132:25 145:24	339:9	announced 295:21	75:1 360:8
147:21 148:12,16	alluded 65:18	296:3	anyways 91:6
148:17,18,18,18,20	237:9	announcement	apart 364:1
148:24 149:5,6,14	alluding 258:7	131:20 132:11	apologize 145:21
150:3 168:8,14,21	alphabet 158:17	annual 103:10	380:25
169:1 173:19,21,25	altered 232:1	197:23	app 233:23 234:3
177:11	alternate 118:16	annually 107:20	234:14,19,21
alarming 59:14	alternative 21:6,13	anomaly 66:4	apparent 320:6
alarms 109:15	21:21,22 22:22	anonymous 194:3	appear 190:7
123:11,15,16 168:6	23:11 31:14 52:13	211:18 233:3	appearance 350:14
168:16	57:13 308:11	349:17,22	380:22
alathari 3:4 236:3	alternatives 33:18	answer 10:12 20:18	appears 40:9 58:3
236:7,9 300:19,22	123:9	46:8 63:5 65:6	appetite 110:24
302:9,15 307:1	amazed 292:20	75:15 132:7 175:24	127:3
310:6 311:21	ambulance 161:20	177:17 216:16,23	apple 25:8 27:6
313:13 315:17	ambulances 159:21	302:9 318:10 367:4	28:25 35:20 46:20
317:24 318:16	159:24,24	367:11,13,17 368:1	46:21,25 48:6
331:24 366:23	amend 90:24 91:1	368:19,21	66:17 87:15
alcohol 327:3,3	amendment 178:24	answered 10:23	apples 46:24 48:6
alert 295:17	amendments 106:8	19:9 123:23	343:4,4
alex's 20:6	106:15,22 136:13	answers 10:16 40:7	applications
alienation 192:3	america 179:3	40:8 98:23 113:4	164:12
align 323:21	american 162:19	anti 253:6 303:9	applied 44:10
all's 377:15	ammunition 280:9	anticipate 11:1	357:9 359:14
allegiance 4:8	amount 75:6 98:20	16:8,9	apply 110:23
allotted 75:6	118:15 129:16	anxiety 378:20	113:14 137:17
	169:25		165:7 349:21 355:6

[apply - assessment]

360:19	179:17 181:6 182:5	284:10,12 358:7,7	aspects 18:7 261:15
appreciate 129:12	184:16 201:24	arresting 24:9,18	270:1 284:20
153:16 156:14	207:7 216:10	325:8 340:10	assailant 142:5
175:25 180:9	238:22 242:17	arrests 330:14	373:17
181:15 183:24	313:14 314:2	arrive 211:2	assailants 130:17
210:17 233:14	areas 26:22 93:7	arrived 105:13	assassinate 237:19
236:1 318:13	100:19 103:20	280:7	assault 249:2 371:7
372:21 375:19	109:25 113:7	arson 117:10	assaults 303:11
apprised 5:22	118:23 124:7 146:5	articulate 307:18	assemble 191:11
approach 164:21	161:11 170:11	articulated 129:7	200:12
193:1 241:24 242:2	264:6 268:15 305:1	arundel 169:21	assembles 212:12
256:3 259:10	338:23 339:1,2	170:3 176:17	assembly 118:20
270:21,23 287:24	346:3 358:23,23	ashley 2:9 53:6,7	134:15 135:9
approached 238:5	373:13	54:3,6,19 85:18,20	146:16 326:15
approaching 7:22	argue 146:2	86:17 90:24 91:1,7	328:16,25 331:12
appropriate 18:3	arm 179:19	94:10 97:13,13	337:21 339:3
30:14 66:1 90:2	armed 339:8,9,21	118:4,5 119:9	342:22 343:22
97:11 193:24	384:3	194:16,17 195:24	345:12 346:1,5
197:16 325:10	armory 376:7	227:22,23 228:4,24	assess 117:23
341:20	arms 384:4	229:8 311:8,9	147:25 230:5 264:2
appropriately	arrangements	313:2 314:5	304:22 308:17
164:17 170:22	121:22	ashley's 94:11	assessed 306:11
172:24	arrest 8:3,17 21:8	aside 47:7 88:17	347:4
approval 94:24	21:23 22:20 23:10	asked 9:23 10:5	assessing 148:1
approve 4:14	23:12,21,25 24:14	20:17 41:3 135:24	187:2 188:23
128:20	25:2,19,21,23	163:20 179:18	229:15 255:22
approved 4:21	26:16 27:2,4 28:23	198:22 221:7	268:20 279:14
14:19 95:6 111:16	29:24 30:14 32:17	223:19 293:21	291:23 372:8
127:23 128:6,22	32:19,20,21 33:8,9	309:24 317:8	assessment 3:4
approximately	48:23 77:3,8,14,16	347:24 348:3,5	8:25 9:4,5,5,9
375:20	77:18 79:7,21 80:5	350:1 365:18	32:10,11 40:3,5,8
april 14:19	80:23 81:13 82:1,5	378:24	40:11,14 41:2
ar 376:4	82:18 83:6 85:6	asking 23:22 24:1	44:25 74:3,16
arbitrary 115:23	89:14,16 91:4 94:7	137:10 158:11,12	75:20 166:24 184:4
area 18:20 58:4	94:15,15,17 97:3	179:24 219:1	184:15,16,20,23
85:13 109:20	97:11 330:10,16	271:13 272:2	185:9,13,21 186:3
112:18 132:3 135:9	arrested 28:5 35:8	348:20	186:4,9,17,25
146:4,5,8,10,17,19	35:12 44:11,15,23	asks 293:21	187:2,10,11,14,15
147:5,6 160:5	45:5,16 49:1 50:8	aspect 23:10	188:2 191:11,18,21
167:15,19,24 170:6	73:21 82:16 258:12	305:10 308:8	192:11,14,22,25
170:18 171:3	273:18 280:21		193:8,10,17,24

-			C
194:2,10,15,20	310:3 317:3,4	assist 123:9 132:5	252:1,24 253:9
195:3,8,9,13,15	320:20,25 321:2,6	192:1 219:23	254:21 264:13
197:4,22 198:2,21	321:24 322:1,8,13	352:20	272:6,14 273:24
199:7 200:9,15	327:18 328:12,15	assistance 296:21	276:9,18
201:9,20 202:1,4,7	329:6,14 331:3,5	297:2 327:15	attempt 58:8 116:3
202:10,15 203:13	331:17,22,24 332:9	assistant 2:5 11:19	122:4 186:1 334:23
203:16,20 204:3,11	332:14,17 333:2,15	37:8,9 57:18 212:5	attempted 253:3
204:17,22 205:7,14	333:23 334:3,6,15	213:11 296:15	283:24
206:1,6 210:20,25	335:8,12,20 339:14	303:7 379:19	attempts 283:25
211:15 212:3,9,15	339:23 340:7	assisted 132:5	attend 6:8 16:22
213:17,21,25 214:5	342:18,19 343:12	associated 52:25	38:3 65:12
214:18 215:19	344:3 346:15 347:3	64:5 381:11	attendance 38:11
217:17 220:3,17	348:1,15,19,24	associates 354:17	38:17,19,21,23
221:1,4,9,9 222:23	352:11 354:17,24	associating 255:16	41:8,10,11,13 42:1
223:21 225:19	355:14,19,21,23	association 99:13	42:25 43:25 65:17
226:20,23 229:12	356:3,16,19 357:8	99:20,23 101:12	67:18,21,22
230:12 231:13,21	361:11,21 362:22	119:20 142:18	attended 56:18
232:5,13,18 236:5	363:7 364:12,17,20	156:8 179:3	305:3
236:21 237:22	365:7 371:10 381:3	associations 164:8	attendees 2:1
238:18,20 240:12	assessments 166:18	164:9	attending 6:6 16:18
240:14 241:7,10,13	179:16 184:9,13,14	assume 55:13 122:5	attention 185:25
242:15,17,23,25	186:7 197:19	assuming 214:4	223:23 225:10
244:24 245:4,25	215:16 238:4 240:2	220:4	226:1 238:5 240:17
249:16 253:10,24	241:20 253:22	assure 32:21	255:5,23 258:25
253:25 254:2,2,3,3	262:7 306:6 314:14	attack 117:12	263:21 270:24
254:4,10,14 255:3	314:14 346:17,18	238:9,14 241:4	272:21 274:20,20
256:23 257:1,17	347:1,16,22	243:12,16,24 244:7	275:3 276:24 288:6
259:14,22 260:14	assessor 306:3	244:13 248:9 250:1	340:7 380:2
260:18 261:16,22	asset 362:7	250:10 252:22	attenuated 63:14
261:25 263:9,15,15	assign 168:11	257:9,21 272:17	64:18
263:16,19 266:7	191:11	273:5 276:7 280:12	attitude 381:10
267:16 268:16	assigned 7:8 31:22	280:23 284:2	attitudes 259:19
269:6 270:5,12,17	35:5 37:25 38:3	285:24 286:4	attorney 2:5 22:5
271:10,13,21	39:7,15 41:15,23	295:14	26:13 35:13 48:25
272:10,20 274:7	64:8 66:9 173:3	attacker 243:21	48:25 57:19 77:6
281:6 282:20 287:1	229:23	251:4 252:8	77:11 78:3 80:4,11
288:25 289:1,1,4,5	assignment 35:6	attackers 251:23	81:1 83:5 92:12
289:10 292:12	64:6 283:16	276:6 316:24	313:16
298:11,15,21 299:2	assignments 52:16	attacks 239:9,10,14	attorney's 45:15
300:5,6,7 304:4	275:11	239:14,17 242:6	50:6
306:8 309:5,20		246:12 249:19,21	
	1		1

attorneys 87:5 88:1	automatically	118:13,13 126:22	barely 358:4
92:21 93:19	112:9	128:14 140:18	barish 3:10 373:1,4
attracted 376:18	availability 201:2	141:9 145:22	barricade 115:14
auburn 125:9,14	201:14	150:10,24 152:25	116:11,14 124:23
126:8	available 34:1	157:3,24 161:19	129:4
auburndale 2:4	74:14 118:23 162:3	178:15 180:25	barrier 24:20
audible 174:2	183:23 187:4,10	186:1 213:15,20	28:14 139:9
audience 98:10	191:13,15 218:25	227:17 230:14	barriers 54:14
245:10 269:1	219:7 234:7 272:19	238:21 243:10	122:17 161:22
298:24	331:2 335:5 372:17	247:4 248:2 250:6	341:2
audiences 358:25	avenues 270:3	267:6 280:1 282:8	bartlett 2:5 56:9
audit 327:19	average 109:3	283:2 288:22 312:3	86:19,20
342:23 343:8,14,20	avert 334:8	312:12 317:9	base 14:17 15:11
345:14 346:6	averted 235:13	318:18,22 327:13	242:2 301:24 361:3
349:10 373:15	295:3 348:5,18,18	332:21 333:18	based 18:4 37:13
audits 322:11	348:22,22	345:13 355:17	41:13 55:14 57:21
328:5 343:6	aware 11:17 13:10	357:16 358:6	58:11 64:18 67:15
august 5:19,23 7:13	14:5 27:16 100:4	372:12 376:20	71:1 74:4 77:8,16
8:20 9:14 11:2,6	135:19 140:17	382:7	80:5,9 82:2,6 83:8
15:15,21 16:8,10	205:13 207:18	backed 382:17	92:10 110:16
30:2 55:1,2 70:12	256:9 263:25	background 15:17	118:21 147:19,25
70:16 99:25 231:12	305:24 306:21	15:19 18:10 156:25	164:18 166:23
343:16 344:17	307:1,3 315:9	185:12 194:24	175:15 186:7,8,12
368:17	363:17	248:6 295:8 320:3	186:15 187:1,15,17
aurora 157:5	awareness 234:4,8	backpack 221:14	191:3,21 193:18
159:19	253:11 259:21	273:14	195:15 200:3,12
authoritarian	299:6 332:25	backup 267:18	204:22 214:19
324:11,14	357:10	backyard 279:24	233:9 241:24 243:3
authoritative 324:6	aye 4:18,19 81:21	bad 258:7 321:7	246:7,22 263:4
authority 87:3,25	81:22 86:21,22	332:1,1 342:6,9	306:1 309:17 318:6
95:12 97:9 100:7,8	93:25 94:20,21	351:3 379:21	319:22 330:4 342:2
101:3 102:4,14	97:15,16	badly 336:15	342:2 355:12 359:5
103:1 105:15	b	baker 305:21	360:15 371:11
106:24 111:16	b 304:15	306:12,13	bases 166:4
136:8 137:25 138:3	b 304.13 back 5:24 10:17	balance 214:25	basic 84:2 134:9
138:6 150:9,25	30:11 32:3,5 41:23	215:8 216:1,14	200:18
222:1	43:18,20 56:23	balancing 215:11	basically 112:2,10
authorize 355:8	57:7,8,9,14 66:6	216:2,7 220:16	121:18 137:11
authorized 386:7	67:3 70:12 72:21	224:6	150:25 205:13
automatic 110:8,17	88:13 89:6 97:22	ballistic 171:9	227:17 232:15
111:7 369:16	101:7 115:6 116:18	172:13	246:7,12,21 253:22

Veritext Legal Solutions

254:4 260:12	behave 325:11	363:6 381:3	benefits 259:9
322:17	behaving 197:8	behaviorally	best 26:21 43:13
basing 242:3	behavior 30:12	382:21 384:9	59:19 121:9 126:9
basis 83:22 161:2	52:24 59:6,11	behaviors 32:8	135:22 158:18
162:14 173:15	60:16 61:3 64:11	52:22 53:3 59:3	159:15 160:11
244:25 296:18	64:13,22 65:23	60:19 190:1,13,20	161:6 162:15
320:21 341:23	86:12 187:23	191:23 192:2	164:14 174:25
bathroom 36:23,24	192:12 193:13	202:22 218:11	176:10,12 177:21
37:1 44:12 58:24	195:16 207:11,14	243:22,25 249:17	181:8 182:24 207:3
baton 338:2	220:19 226:2 232:2	255:6,8 258:24	207:9 208:1,7
battalion 172:17	237:18 241:24	260:16,20 263:21	209:17,21,21,22
173:1,2,17	242:2,14 243:4,15	264:10,11,16,24	224:1 225:15 227:7
batteries 366:13	251:22,24 252:4	266:4 278:13 281:3	237:4 243:6 245:15
bau 366:24	254:6,12 255:18	285:17 286:19	256:16 279:6
bb&t 1:6 5:24	263:7,24 264:2,19	287:2 297:12,23	294:22 301:23
bcps 187:4,5	265:5 266:16	299:20,24 325:4	305:15 317:17.21
beach 2:24 82:20	271:14 273:11	377:13 381:6	321:13,20,20 324:2
87:11,14,15 98:19	274:9,10 275:4	believe 5:18 15:21	324:8,8,9,16,20
bearing 23:4 59:8	277:20,21 281:9	17:13 47:17 61:4	325:15 327:9 346:8
70:18 151:11	284:24 290:25	69:24 72:15 90:7	354:7 370:3
beat 303:21	291:18,20,25	93:18,18 104:5	bet 383:1
beating 75:12	294:17 297:4,6	105:15 108:15	better 26:24 30:8
358:8	300:2 304:14,14	116:21 119:14	71:2 121:15 134:24
beautiful 20:8	310:11,15 311:6,23	124:9 134:15	152:5 158:13
becoming 58:9	334:8 351:3,19,20	143:22 145:13,17	165:10 199:14
226:9 297:10	351:23 359:9	159:11,14,16	216:14 291:1 302:4
bedford 256:21	behavioral 17:8	162:11 164:13	314:16 348:20
beef 129:18	36:20,22 37:7 50:1	174:5 180:1 182:9	370:13
began 36:17 41:8	50:2,23,23 51:12	221:10 247:12,15	beverage 327:3
43:25 258:13	51:17 52:4,9,12,25	247:16 263:13	beyond 177:16
329:24 333:14,16	53:9,11,20 54:11	275:9 284:1 306:6	209:14
335:24 336:4	55:13,22 56:10,14	310:12 313:19	bias 320:5
349:16 358:3	56:21 58:23 61:6	314:2 348:8,9	big 7:5 24:13 73:25
371:18	64:7,7,23 73:16	365:16	123:11 134:15
beginning 22:12,13	94:15 184:9,14,20	believed 88:10	135:9 225:6 248:20
43:24 76:7 140:19	185:9 193:5 195:7	believer 225:6	253:9 311:16
165:13 181:6	215:2 222:9,11,12	bell 93:4	316:20 333:6 365:9
198:16 360:11	222:18 231:21	beneficial 77:1	bigger 166:25
begun 120:2	243:13 296:17	benefit 225:1	232:17 303:3
behalf 12:4 99:1	311:23 327:1	319:17	biggest 240:18
319:11,14	332:11 362:22		249:5 252:17 265:1
319:11,14	332:11 362:22		249:5 252:17 265:1

		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
274:6 281:10 282:8	board 6:10,18	314:22,23 316:25	brian 319:13
282:12 288:14	12:13,22 33:17	356:1	brief 154:22 364:14
316:8	41:9,11 55:24 78:3	bookends 101:19	briefly 104:7
billion 375:22	79:19 80:6,24	booking 44:24	bring 31:5 36:7
bills 331:11	81:13 83:3 102:22	books 131:22	75:21 95:1 153:17
binding 182:18,20	104:19 107:5	132:24	172:15,18 216:13
182:22 183:1,3,7	126:21 132:4 166:1	bookshelves 124:24	231:16 257:9
biology 374:13	268:3 283:18,19,21	booted 21:13	274:20 332:5,6
birds 270:16	328:11 336:1	border 87:13	361:14 376:19
birth 7:21 8:16	337:15 340:4	borders 87:23	383:17
56:17	344:16,19 353:1	bore 104:23	bringing 165:2
birthday 20:6	380:19	born 7:25 320:9	264:11 265:6
bit 7:12,24 54:20	boards 103:4	boss 152:14	358:13 383:20
58:23 75:12 76:12	108:14,18 115:3	bosses 294:24	brings 177:2 313:2
89:17 103:22 113:2	126:18	bother 66:23	britches 358:2
166:21 236:16	boat 74:13	bottom 19:25	broke 29:7
237:14,15 241:12	bob 2:2	bought 45:24	broken 278:4,5
244:1,12 255:7	body 54:7 100:14	boundaries 324:20	brother 333:6
271:24 278:14	383:6,8,8,13,19	box 188:10 298:9	brought 273:14
282:1 285:7,15	body's 54:17	309:20 369:18	316:16 317:2
289:20 315:16	bolted 124:19,24	boxes 110:21	362:12 383:15
367:7 377:7	124:25 126:10	boy 374:12	broward 2:19 3:2
bite 46:19,19,20	138:20 139:5	boy's 36:23,24	3:12 6:16,17 8:8
66:17,18 361:2	bomb 117:10 280:9	348:14	12:12,16,22 14:5
bites 25:8 27:5	bombing 228:12	boyfriend 358:9	20:14 21:5,25 22:3
28:25 35:20 46:25	258:4	brain 129:14	22:4,7 25:11,23
48:6 87:14,15	bombings 273:23	branch 173:3	27:14 29:4 31:7
blank 9:20 10:2	bombs 257:9	brand 38:8	38:10 43:24 45:1
blankets 47:14	book 2:17 4:15,16	break 25:10 78:22	48:5,18 49:10
bleed 175:2	58:18,19 59:1	97:21,25 161:22	52:10 67:18 79:2,2
bleeding 171:11	60:12 62:3 78:15	184:1,5 280:6	79:5 85:14 87:12
blend 154:18	78:16 81:20 89:4	296:1 318:18,24	87:16 132:4 183:2
blends 119:15	90:3,22,25 93:18	338:6 341:2 358:10	184:17,21 185:2,8
blew 374:12	123:24,25 124:13	367:6	186:14 194:4,25
blight 382:16	126:3,16 128:8	breakdown 311:17	195:12 199:8 200:7
384:13	130:21 135:24	347:11,19	201:18,24 217:6
blocking 160:1	145:20,21 146:18	breakfast 357:25	225:3 233:23
blocks 48:8	146:21,25 147:3,7	breaking 47:19	301:14 302:2
blood 320:18	147:10 176:1,2	breaks 72:25 285:6	373:22 374:21,24
blue 168:24	217:13,14,19 219:9	brentwood 301:5	378:14 386:4
	219:24 220:2,8,13	302:13	
1			

[bruce - carry]

Γ			
bruce 2:5	bullet 33:19 96:17	cad 8:7	358:24 373:19
bs 251:16	254:19 373:20	cadre 361:10,16	campuses 120:12
bso 183:4	bullets 383:1 384:3	364:11	321:25 322:24
bucket 346:4 359:5	bullied 253:3	cafeteria 146:18,19	332:24
buckets 323:6	268:19 275:25	147:6 303:23	cancel 148:7
budget 375:21,21	378:16 379:13	369:24	capital 284:17
build 163:5 299:16	bulling 351:24	calculation 118:20	captains 165:4
303:5 342:14 361:2	bully 374:10,12	call 4:3 38:11 41:12	captured 48:3
building 101:7,20	377:9	122:16 145:25	331:16
101:23 102:1 104:3	bullying 253:6,8	168:10,22 173:10	capturing 43:25
104:12 106:10,14	259:17 274:18	175:14 200:6 213:3	car 39:17 161:19
106:16,19,20,21	281:20,24 292:11	250:15 260:4	247:23
107:10 108:25	303:9 350:17,17,24	263:14 270:22	card 380:22
109:12,23 110:7,11	351:1,1,7,13,16,18	289:25 303:19	cards 372:25
110:12,17 113:10	351:20 352:2	305:21 324:11,18	377:22
113:10,13 117:16	366:11	325:13 332:9	care 61:25 121:20
120:20,23 121:2	bumps 85:14	350:24 351:12,24	263:15,19 264:3
122:16 123:6 124:3	bunch 50:15 61:12	358:20 377:8	298:15,19
124:18 125:2,7	61:15 70:10 138:14	called 21:7 40:19	career 159:4
126:11 130:3,24,25	138:16 139:22	44:24 47:5 88:12	carefully 107:25
131:3,7 132:1,22	167:8,12	129:3 132:9 138:10	129:3
133:2,5,12,14	bureaucracies	178:23 200:14	cares 208:20
135:5,7 142:19	225:13	219:8 263:7,8,18	332:24,24
143:13 162:22	bureaucratic 52:21	279:6 280:4 325:21	caring 332:10,25
172:1 174:23 175:1	buried 57:24	332:10,10,11,16,23	333:9,11 357:19
176:20 211:10	bush 101:9	369:15 375:18	carney 3:11 374:19
213:12,13 219:13	business 15:23 47:4	calling 351:1	374:20 378:6
230:10 233:12	101:21 146:13,15	354:23 380:4	carols 374:25
288:16,16,17 289:9	button 139:7	calls 228:11 297:20	carried 190:6
303:14 308:13	buy 23:5 45:21	cameras 148:2	238:14 239:4 248:3
323:20	58:1,15 61:23	323:14 373:14	252:23 272:16
buildings 100:19	112:20 115:20	campaigns 175:2	315:20 349:8
102:16 104:12	bystander 250:16	campus 3:6 35:5	carries 81:24 86:24
109:20,22 110:2	291:9 315:18	36:20 110:3 112:16	carroll 2:13 72:7
112:15 120:18	bystanders 251:2	120:19,24 121:8	72:11,12
131:4 133:6 134:3	315:17	132:2 133:2,12	carry 189:22
137:3	с	166:2 200:22	190:10,14,21
built 218:15 219:21	c 386:1,1	212:22 213:6,9	221:13 237:20
230:23 261:1	cabinet 330:19	319:4,5,14 325:20	238:9 243:16 248:9
bulled 266:15	cache 172:15,16,22	327:23 328:8 329:2	248:15 257:9 273:4
273:12		329:5 330:22 343:9	273:24 280:12

285:24 339:7	cautious 83:15 92:3	certainly 30:15	89:3,12 90:3,19,23
carrying 339:12	196:13	84:11 96:16 117:4	91:3,8,10 92:6,20
cars 160:1	caveat 246:16	119:21 156:10,18	93:16,24 94:1,11
case 30:21 31:1	cdc 383:16	157:1,18,23 158:3	94:17,20,22 95:14
35:14 45:14 64:3	cdc's 384:9	158:6 161:4 162:8	96:22 97:12,17
65:10 67:17 102:23	cell 168:11	165:13 166:12,14	98:2,15 99:18
113:8 117:7 128:5	cemetery 200:5	166:17 168:17	104:6 115:1 118:1
175:21 188:3	center 1:6 3:4,5	171:2,16 172:5,9	118:2,3 119:10
201:23 212:1	5:24 31:23 44:25	172:11,20 173:9	123:24 126:4
222:10 225:22	45:2,8 176:15,22	174:13 175:15,22	127:11 129:21
232:13 247:2 269:4	226:15 236:5,21	181:15 183:10	135:21 136:21,25
276:10 277:18	237:16 239:6 245:1	200:22 205:20	137:8,16 138:13
279:1 306:2,2,7	294:21 319:3,14	206:6 208:10	139:4,20,25 140:4
309:1 310:7 316:12	322:16 325:18	209:13 210:4	140:8,18 141:21
339:21 346:23	326:17 327:6,14,23	216:16 230:16,20	142:15,22 143:1,5
354:23 355:1,24	329:4,4,7,11,20	235:4 241:5 301:23	143:17 144:1,7,11
363:20	330:22 343:8	311:16 314:18	144:17,22 145:1,5
cases 30:20 67:16	345:16 353:9 354:9	certification 151:8	145:11,19,21
160:4 238:13	359:19,23 382:17	certified 103:14	147:12,18 148:11
241:22 244:3	383:12	152:1,8 337:2,4,9	149:4,8,19 150:6
247:16 250:7,12	centers 161:10	certify 344:16	152:10 153:14,19
276:10 277:9	175:11 325:24	386:6	156:2,5,6,12,15
282:25 317:18	385:11	cetera 143:8	167:3,11 175:25
330:14 347:11	centerstone 2:11	182:21 216:4,4	176:2 177:7 180:7
348:5,6,9,22	central 102:19	237:5 359:1	182:16 183:18,24
355:10 366:11,13	centralized 90:8	cfo 105:12	184:7 194:16,22
casualty 167:25	361:19	chain 115:12	195:25 198:6 200:7
cat 312:21	century 383:17	chair 2:2 4:2,7,9,15	200:12,19 201:6,15
categories 192:1,2	ceo 2:11	4:16,20 5:15 20:11	202:23 203:6,7,8
218:17 243:20	certain 8:22 14:13	48:19 49:7 50:19	205:23 210:14
368:24 369:1	32:21 33:9 91:13	50:22 51:8 53:6	217:13,14,16,18
category 136:2	96:8 109:18 110:12	54:19 55:17 56:15	221:6,16,20 222:5
cause 64:21 83:7	112:1 118:15	58:18,19,25 59:15	222:7,21 223:9,15
337:17 350:21	120:16 124:25	60:12,24 62:9,10	223:17 227:18,22
caused 58:14	125:4,20 134:18	62:22 63:5 65:7	229:9 231:10
112:20 378:20	147:14 150:20	68:20 70:3,6,25	232:24,25 233:13
382:8	166:3 170:6,17	72:7,10 75:3 78:12	234:15 235:18
causes 311:24	182:12 220:21	78:24 80:15,22	236:1,8 300:17
caution 84:17	221:12 249:4	81:20,23 83:13	305:17 308:19
90:10	254:23 270:3	84:18 85:10,11,18	311:8 314:22,23
		86:16,18,20,23	317:1,10 318:12,17

319:1 364:16	changing 130:22	checklists 345:24	117:3 120:9 159:11
365:16 367:4,11,20	133:9 173:21 252:4	checkmarks	163:2,3 180:10
367:25 368:9,12	channel 279:19	196:16	child 21:20 31:22
372:20,24 374:18	280:18	checks 309:20	48:9 72:25 73:13
378:4,8 380:21	chaotic 161:9	chief 2:4,5,19,20,22	73:15 74:5 85:14
383:22 384:18,21	character 352:1	2:22,24,24 3:4	85:15 92:18,18
384:24 385:4,6	characteristic	20:13 22:5 57:18	93:12 97:5 129:25
chairman 20:10	251:22	98:8,13,17,18 99:1	154:15 155:17
48:20 56:9 58:3	characteristics	99:7,9 117:24	207:9,10,24 208:2
72:12 98:8 129:5	192:5	118:2,5,17 119:17	208:8 209:4,10,21
chairman's 1:6	characterize 59:20	119:24 121:17,18	210:1,5 220:17,18
chairs 119:5	203:2	122:1,13,22 124:4	220:24 221:2 224:1
125:22,24	characterizing	125:8 126:13,17	225:21 227:11
challenge 215:13	243:21	127:20 128:25	235:21 264:4 272:2
216:7,7,20	charge 34:24 86:9	131:23 132:3	277:11,13 300:1,8
challenges 215:10	95:13 96:20 100:21	133:11,19,24 134:3	301:16 324:1,8,20
237:5 245:16	151:11 153:2	135:5,16,19 136:6	338:1 341:19 355:1
252:14 267:5	198:11,14 212:21	136:24 137:5,9,21	357:11,18,19,20,23
297:23 318:9	213:12,12 221:23	137:22 139:1,11,24	358:1,5,10,16
322:15 359:17	224:12 267:16	140:3,5,15 141:17	364:9 371:12,21
chance 10:19	269:25 370:10,13	142:13,16,24 143:3	377:6 381:13
159:16 164:15	charged 34:5 73:1	143:11,19 144:4,9	382:10 383:7 384:8
change 27:10 43:16	150:17	144:14,20,25 145:4	child's 89:15 93:3
55:13 61:2,3 65:22	charges 37:22	145:10,13 146:3,19	207:13
68:10 73:2 100:18	103:4 204:4 340:10	146:23 147:2,5,8	children 63:2 85:13
105:14 125:5	charging 152:24	147:11,17 148:9	108:2,3,10 114:23
130:11 183:22	153:4	149:3,5,18 150:1	117:4,14 121:9
201:3 209:19	chart 188:1,9 191:7	150:13 151:22	130:13 158:14
216:12,13 223:24	192:21 196:2	153:14,17 155:6,9	200:4 208:18
314:20 333:12	210:16,18 211:14	155:25 156:4,6,7,8	209:17 210:12
357:6 381:15,18	211:24 260:1 369:6	156:13,15,17	224:2 234:25
383:16 384:16,19	371:2	160:12 167:10,13	311:12 376:12,23
changed 26:10,11	charter 9:10 229:5	173:17 176:1,11	381:14,19 383:14
64:18 66:2 84:6	check 29:18 231:4	177:16 178:7 179:6	children's 330:19
92:11 150:24 152:3	267:18 369:17,18	179:12,14 180:9	375:13
152:5 174:3 176:15	369:20 370:7,9	181:11 182:7 183:9	choose 16:22 96:20
209:25 331:20	checked 350:24	183:20,25 233:6,6	253:14
changes 30:13 55:6	checking 30:6	236:4 300:18	chooses 16:17
129:9 153:8 178:21	checklist 188:23	366:22	chose 47:15
183:18 198:23	191:8,18 196:4,6	chiefs 78:2 99:12	chris 2:4
204:6 252:5 264:19	279:12 345:15,17	100:16,17 102:11	
1	1	I. Contraction of the second se	1

			11
christi 2:12	clarity 19:14	clerk 42:2	code 21:19 62:15
christmas 374:25	class 41:22 42:7	click 46:22 132:10	101:7,8,13,14,23
christopher 11:9	106:4 282:22 283:3	clientele 334:2	102:1,2,9,12 103:6
chronologies 8:14	358:11 374:13	353:21	104:1,3,12,13
chronology 8:4	377:2 379:11	climate 237:13	105:2,5,24 106:1,6
51:1,20 56:17 57:2	classes 152:7 303:8	257:5 261:9,11	106:7,14,16,18,19
church 210:9	303:9	289:21 291:7,10	106:21 108:6,14
churches 157:21	classified 347:23	292:14 294:12	110:13 111:13
circle 332:8	348:1 349:5	320:25 322:14	113:11,12,13,14
circuit 2:6 26:15,18	classify 173:10	324:13,19 343:18	114:20,20 118:14
27:9,16 77:10,14	215:22	344:22,23 345:8,9	119:2 121:23 124:3
78:7 79:3,6,6 80:21	classifying 355:23	349:9 352:5,12	124:3,8,10,18,24
81:7,15 86:25 87:2	classmates 271:14	356:11 357:6	125:2,4,5,7,7
87:4,19,19,22,23	273:9 280:20	climates 237:14	126:12,12,14,15
87:24 88:3,4 90:14	classroom 32:2,6	250:25 259:19	127:4 131:21
95:19 96:6,8,9	101:1 108:4 109:22	281:22	132:23 134:11
circuits 26:12	113:6 115:5 118:10	clinical 192:17	135:6,22 136:10,11
27:16 79:4 90:20	126:23 127:6 135:7	193:1 197:3,7	136:22 137:24
93:20 96:13	135:8,10,12 138:17	202:20	138:1,5,7,23 139:2
circumstance 34:6	139:22 140:1,13	close 88:21 257:12	139:18 140:9
circumstances 31:1	143:9 144:8,13,18	257:24 316:23	142:13,21 143:8
58:17 63:15 74:5	144:23,23 145:8	333:25 384:23	144:6 145:12,14,18
201:12 219:4	146:1,3 149:25	closed 16:4 17:5	146:24 148:22
citation 25:19 30:4	227:8 292:24	195:6	149:24 150:2 155:2
82:17 84:5,5	317:14 351:25	closely 6:15 13:24	155:2,18 157:13
cited 103:23	358:5,14 360:25	99:24 233:21	162:25 168:15
cities 150:17	373:10,12,21	256:25 259:7	169:7 178:18
citizen 372:25	classrooms 149:23	closer 235:3	193:24 327:20
373:2	168:23 357:16	closest 279:2,8	330:12 337:14,18
citizens 324:25	359:15 380:16	closets 233:19	340:5 350:18,18
city 2:4 99:10	clear 46:16 78:17	closing 116:17	354:1,2 369:2,3
100:17 102:10	89:11 148:13	117:17 179:14	codes 97:22 98:6
103:15 151:8	174:22 181:1	376:18 384:23	100:21 104:17
376:15,16	182:17 201:8 202:4	club 1:6 271:6	107:19 112:25
civil 25:19 30:4	225:18 261:2	clubs 234:4	115:8 116:3 117:1
82:17 84:5,5 337:6	381:20	clue 167:9	121:19 125:17
cjnet 84:1	cleared 167:19	coach 247:5,6,7,12	134:9 155:13
claire 3:2 184:18	clearer 56:7	271:7	157:13 159:5,5
185:5	clearly 16:15 41:3	coaches 303:7	296:1
clarify 18:6 94:14	47:4 48:7 51:11	cocaine 375:9	coerce 373:23
	59:1 151:16	376:1	

[coffee - committee]

			-
coffee 377:25	color 168:23	246:9 258:19	314:19 319:11
cognizant 289:23	colorado 159:19	259:10 295:19	373:5 375:14,17
coincide 101:24	266:25	327:5 352:12	386:18
coined 237:23	columbia 239:12	356:21 381:15	commission's
cold 159:12 166:22	375:24	comm 65:9 66:4	209:18
166:24 167:6,22,23	columbine 157:5	68:13,21,23 69:3,8	commissioner 2:7
170:15 180:17	238:1,21 266:24	69:21,25 70:5,24	6:4,10 16:16 55:9
collaborated	276:6,7,14 283:20	229:10 231:1,9	65:7 71:24 86:20
238:24	325:18 333:13	command 160:8,14	88:9 99:18 120:5
collaborating	336:21	160:19 164:19	129:21 136:17
93:19 209:16	combined 269:1	165:1,12,15,22,24	149:4,19 195:25
286:10	come 17:2 20:15	167:25 173:2	218:9 220:14
collaboration 87:1	30:10 62:12 66:6	commanding 166:5	226:18 229:9 233:2
210:4 238:11	76:23 82:10 97:22	comment 81:21	317:2,8 324:23
collaborative 22:1	105:5 119:25	126:17 136:12	commissioner's
32:14 33:13,23	146:12,13 153:19	152:12 153:20	71:19 93:2 154:3
34:15 70:8 77:12	157:14 175:19	178:16 179:15	commissioners
77:25 207:2	184:19 196:22	302:10 304:5 310:6	19:20 222:7 365:20
collapsing 241:25	210:11,23 230:14	314:3 317:12	385:6
colleague 222:8	238:4 255:22	318:20 372:25	commissions 314:7
collect 206:8	258:25 259:17,23	commented 75:21	377:14
240:18 241:22	260:22 261:13	128:9	commit 24:4 72:4
254:14 304:17	262:18 263:21	comments 51:10	130:8 278:16
330:7,10 335:4	271:17 272:21	78:14 164:12 256:4	284:10,12 308:5
342:24 343:7	275:2,7 288:5	256:5 372:23,25	311:6
359:20 364:5	296:19,23 300:20	373:2 378:5 385:7	commitment 288:8
370:13	318:18,22 326:17	commercial 100:19	307:15,17
collecting 239:13	335:6 336:9 360:7	157:17 174:19	commitments
291:14 322:13	360:24 372:10	commission 1:4 2:1	305:20 306:25
collection 167:25	376:19 381:19	6:2 7:9 8:21 9:17	commits 30:18
186:5 191:12,13	comes 25:6 62:2	9:24 10:9 11:21	31:20 34:17 35:4
192:16 322:9	80:14 91:18 171:12	12:25 14:18 17:11	committable
342:16	198:1 211:13	18:6 47:16 51:9,18	307:11
collective 203:12	218:20 232:22	51:21,22 59:4 71:3	committed 11:20
collects 293:1	240:17 270:24	90:16 97:1 101:20	49:23 269:10 288:6
363:25	276:24 360:15	103:16 106:10,20	288:9 309:13
college 110:3 137:6	comfortable 257:6	108:15 158:2 162:9	committee 163:18
287:9	258:19	171:20 177:13,25	163:19,21,22,23
colleges 107:2,3,4	coming 40:21	194:13,19 201:7	164:3 171:19 179:7
151:2	117:13 124:5	208:4 224:5 227:14	179:8 199:24
	161:10 168:10	232:24 308:23	242:25 334:4

[committee - condoning]

			_
365:11	224:22 229:24	352:3	222:24 252:2,3
committees 48:17	230:20,24 239:6	completed 43:21,22	266:18 269:25
committing 24:6	243:2 246:6 256:10	178:6 188:12	274:9,12 285:25
30:23 86:3 307:9	270:23 288:4,7,22	197:19 203:21	299:20 306:16
common 80:14	289:7,17 298:20	completely 16:20	concerned 10:25
85:4 156:23 158:20	302:19 308:15	46:14 48:4 88:20	19:2 22:22 73:6
159:3 211:19,22	309:17,17 322:2	completes 191:17	86:7 90:17 134:25
328:19	324:22 325:2 333:3	completion 68:7	135:1 145:18
comms 181:23	334:1 340:19,23	193:17	251:24 256:9 272:7
communicate	341:15 358:13	complex 382:22	273:1 276:25
377:20	362:22 363:3,6,12	compliance 222:2	285:13 289:13
communicated	community's	223:10	307:18
243:23 286:20	224:10	comply 103:5	concerning 254:6
communicating	companies 305:13	111:18 221:21	263:24 264:2,9,16
161:7,8 209:15	company 301:21	223:2	266:4 269:7 275:10
275:17	comparable 44:21	component 253:10	281:9 285:17
communication	89:21	344:21	286:19 297:5 300:2
37:23 78:19 158:22	compare 302:6	components 229:11	304:13,14 310:15
159:1 166:10,16	345:7 367:2	261:21 291:6	concerns 116:16
172:7 275:18	compared 110:1	323:24 343:15	137:19 164:1
communications	199:16 330:13	comprehensive	192:15 195:15
181:23 182:1,9,10	comparing 67:22	67:8 112:11,18,24	273:6 335:7 349:13
182:20 183:3	comparison 199:23	163:24 243:11	349:15
207:16 275:6,7,8	201:19	comprised 186:18	concert 101:18
275:21 385:9,12	compartmentalize	204:23 249:4	136:16
communities 48:17	122:9	343:14	conclude 95:3
91:12 164:14	compartmentalized	compromise	318:19,21
175:13 180:3	285:18	117:20	concluded 385:15
242:22 288:12,24	competencies	compromised	conclusion 196:22
community 17:18	169:5,5,15 170:11	151:4	conclusions 15:25
26:20,21,25 27:1,3	170:14,17	computer 8:7 37:11	18:3,5 55:18
27:7 36:13 44:21	competency 299:17	37:13,14,16	concrete 190:5
47:1 48:5 52:6 80:5	competing 154:25	conceal 86:11,12	concur 76:21,24
80:9 87:7 96:7	156:22	86:12	condition 64:5
107:2,4 128:12	complaining	concept 23:22 24:3	112:6
137:6 151:1 158:14	273:13	24:3,24 180:22	conditions 110:12
164:19,21 174:13	complaints 11:10	conceptualizing	110:23 114:7 189:9
179:16,20,21 180:1	complete 12:17	243:24	226:3
180:5 193:13 194:3	23:3,4 35:7,11	concern 33:1 49:2	condones 254:25
209:22 210:2,8,9	45:17 66:6,23	67:3 128:10 151:18	condoning 255:17
210:11 224:16,19	191:9 192:18,24	152:18,20 221:25	
1	1	1	1

[conducive - continues]

	0 0 0 7 4 1		14 4 10 10
conducive 261:12	confusion 254:1	consideration 50:5	consultant 12:13
conduct 13:11,18	congress 242:23	127:19 175:23	consultants 354:25
14:7 15:22 21:10	313:20	considerations	consultation 34:21
21:16,19 47:3 48:1	conjunction 26:13	119:6	37:18
49:22,23 50:3	77:6	considered 30:25	consulted 354:16
57:25 62:15 71:25	connect 29:3 74:15	121:1 143:13	consulting 354:18
108:7 207:22 240:2	341:16 342:13,14	146:16 168:7	355:5
337:18 340:5	371:9,13	178:24 185:15	contact 17:6,7
345:13 354:2	connected 38:18	261:15	28:22 30:7 45:19
355:21 369:2,3	290:4,6,24 293:8	consistencies 286:9	50:11 56:2 57:1,25
conducted 140:25	293:15 294:2	consistency 79:25	57:25 73:21 82:11
141:2,7,8,10,12,14	310:23,25 350:2	81:4,12 87:7 88:2	188:4,17 191:15
142:1,9,10 186:7	371:4	88:18 93:20	273:19,20 290:2
204:11 213:24	connecting 29:15	consistent 26:1	contacted 202:11
237:17 245:5	74:13 211:23	27:12 40:8 58:7	202:11 295:4,5
conducting 13:16	363:16 371:8,19	70:22 78:7 80:8	contacting 202:8
13:17 346:14	connection 73:19	81:2,14 184:12	contacts 50:14
353:10	293:7,17 323:23	193:20 201:10	55:22 61:7 309:15
confer 233:6	324:15 332:25	286:12 306:24	309:17
conference 6:6	382:12 383:18	323:20 329:15	contain 17:21
100:1	connections 341:20	345:17 364:7,18,24	contained 97:8
conferences 356:13	connotates 263:17	365:1 372:9	239:21
359:1	connotation 289:5	conspiring 247:12	contemplated
confidence 15:24	consciously 326:2	constantly 226:13	203:18
confidential 5:8,10	consensus 27:7	315:9 356:14	content 189:2,3,4
5:11 9:21 10:4 13:1	81:10 85:3 162:18	360:17 361:12	189:17 190:8
16:4 17:23	163:5 175:16	constellation	275:18 283:4
confidentiality	consented 37:24	242:14	contention 172:11
356:7	consequence 63:24	constituency	context 121:5
configuration	64:16,18 65:10,13	128:11	189:7,8,11 190:11
159:18	65:14 66:19 69:1	constituents 359:4	190:17 215:20
configurations	223:7	constitutional	continual 360:14
173:24	consequences 24:8	216:17 220:15	continue 6:15,20
configure 121:10	25:10 36:5 53:4,21	constraint 222:18	10:24 13:13,24
confines 53:14,15	63:12,14,16 64:23	constraints 52:1,22	14:2,7,14,16 60:15
confirmed 233:7	66:13 68:1 86:2,3	222:14	72:22 96:4 111:4
conflict 32:8	221:8 224:20 227:9	construction 102:1	132:12 141:19
135:21 190:1,13,19	325:4 381:23	103:8 121:1	continued 74:23,24
299:4,14	consider 16:3	constructive 302:7	98:1 184:6 318:25
conflicts 5:20 14:13	33:18 44:6 133:3	consult 34:18 87:5	continues 7:6
	181:17 191:22	88:1 354:6 355:4	176:16 216:20

			۱ ۱
229:21	coped 255:10	counseling 192:12	204:19 217:7
continuity 262:2	282:11	289:14 339:25	233:23 301:14
263:20,24	copied 42:24	379:23	302:2 373:22
continuous 166:19	coping 32:7 192:5	counselor 42:10,19	374:21,25 378:14
continuum 263:20	282:13,14	200:25 218:22	386:4
contract 355:5	cops 95:24	219:15,18,19 332:6	county's 186:14
contracted 365:9	copy 4:10 5:13 9:15	371:5 379:23	couple 5:16 10:19
contractor 205:1	21:3 231:13 312:21	counselors 61:10	11:16 12:8 15:20
contractors 101:25	365:16	61:23 218:1,1	21:14 57:11 103:23
contracts 354:13	coral 6:16 8:9	245:8 299:1 358:25	142:20 150:14
contributed 61:21	183:3 376:13	counties 79:5 81:6	183:9 210:17 257:8
contributing 44:4	core 71:23 85:2	150:17 268:2	275:12 279:22
193:4	cornell 324:12	country 67:20	283:25
control 53:25 175:5	corner 169:12	107:17 169:23	course 16:6,17 52:9
227:11 260:21	correct 69:7,22	181:9 199:6 239:11	79:3 84:21 113:15
265:13 323:3 327:3	94:16 95:14 134:21	245:13 246:21	115:3 127:16
382:18	136:24 137:23	263:5 264:7 267:3	207:21 322:14
controls 136:5	138:25 139:1,24	290:20 306:21	328:24 356:6 370:3
convene 191:4	140:3 145:4 147:16	376:17	court 91:17 330:16
212:24 270:6	148:9 149:2,18	counts 51:25	337:6
convened 212:10	150:11 155:9 183:5	county 2:9,15,16	courtesy 151:3,10
converge 189:12	196:18 200:10,11	2:19,22 3:2,12 6:16	courts 12:20
conversation 68:6	202:25 203:5	6:18 8:8 12:12,16	cousins 279:3
conversations 5:4,6	205:16 208:21	12:22 14:5 20:14	cover 132:3 169:6
218:7 224:23	213:5 220:7 221:15	21:5,25 22:3,8	236:12 355:11
conveyed 51:16	221:18,19 223:13	25:11,24 27:14	356:12
cookie 287:24	228:3 329:23 366:1	29:4 31:7 38:10	covered 114:20
cooperate 12:5	386:9	43:24 45:1 48:18	129:15 170:22
208:5	correspondence	49:10 52:10 67:19	coverings 373:12
cooperating 11:20	11:18	71:10 79:2,3 81:5,6	cpr 175:3
340:16	corroborate 254:9	81:8 87:11,12,14	cptsd 384:10
cooperation 181:5	corroborating	87:21,21 98:13	cracks 29:1 371:16
181:5 340:15	272:10	99:10 100:17 102:6	371:17
cooperative 6:24	costs 151:12 180:2	102:10 103:15	create 84:1 92:15
7:7 12:3 14:12	180:3	132:4 151:8 158:7	119:14 153:8 176:7
coordinate 13:14	council 127:11	169:21 171:7	237:6 325:18 329:7
14:3,8 360:2	208:4	173:18 176:18	345:16
coordinated 297:20	councils 178:25	179:2 182:20 183:2	created 9:2 46:18
coordinator 198:16	counsel 2:3 4:24	184:18,21 185:2,8	48:8 83:22 84:9
cope 255:11,12	99:16,16	194:25 195:12	96:18 306:22
299:18		200:7 201:18,24	322:17 329:16

[created - data]

332:23 372:17	crisis 235:13	cruz's 7:20 12:19	cycle 124:2,10
creates 28:11 47:23	335:11 341:22	17:6 37:24 45:21	d
123:18	344:14 353:15	46:5 50:11 57:24	
creating 82:25 83:1	357:15 376:21	68:5 195:12 217:16	dad 39:18
224:2 237:14 246:1	criteria 21:11	231:19	daddy 377:7
259:25 361:3	26:19 27:13,15,17	cue 168:25	daily 173:15
creative 302:17	34:16 36:10 37:10	cues 166:14	341:23
credence 303:13	37:14 77:5,13,18	culture 294:13	daly 2:12 62:9,10
credible 41:20	79:1,17,20 80:1,6	cumulative 193:20	62:21 82:3 83:13
338:3	80:18 87:3,25	193:23	91:9,10
crew 279:7	92:24 95:20 103:13	curable 382:16	damage 34:13 37:5
crews 165:3	108:13,23 109:14	384:14	69:18 107:20
crime 6:22 16:11	109:19 112:1 113:9	cure 384:16	damaged 36:25
16:12 21:18 30:24	114:19 129:5	curing 384:15	37:3,6
72:4 86:10,12	147:14 154:6 306:1	curious 181:24	damaging 44:13
93:10 269:10 288:6	308:10 350:20,23	196:1 368:4,7	69:2
351:12,16 368:23	critical 7:19 117:18	current 9:15 87:5	dan 20:13
369:1,15 384:12	127:14 166:7,9	95:15 96:9 104:3	danger 36:12 54:15
crimes 24:5,16,17	178:22 301:3 329:7	105:15,17 124:10	108:2,4 117:5
25:4 34:4 49:24	341:1	136:25 151:12	127:5 129:20
86:3 337:20 351:9	criticism 302:7	163:1,2 186:14	188:16 189:6,12,14 190:17 264:14
351:17 366:20	criticize 321:9	191:22 198:21	305:25
criminal 21:16	cross 120:7 163:8	238:13 306:11,11	
23:19 28:11,21	170:21	347:2,5 374:24	dangerous 169:17 218:12 220:19
30:13 31:18 34:12	crossed 222:16	381:15	daniel 2:19
37:22 44:7 46:22	crosswalk 354:3	currently 84:12	
47:3,5,10 48:1 50:3	crucial 231:18	91:24 99:19 104:2	dare 326:22 383:3
50:3,12 52:15	crux 33:20	199:13 208:9	data 27:23 28:3 37:12 60:19 72:23
62:14 66:16 73:2	cruz 11:11 12:14	239:12 245:19	82:6 85:23 86:9,11
83:17 85:24 88:10	12:15 17:9 18:8	316:7 335:6	82:0 83:23 80:9,11 88:17 89:24 122:3
88:12,14 95:13	22:14 23:1 34:9	curriculum 329:8	122:7 177:14,19
96:20 204:4,5	35:15,19 36:17	334:17 336:6	191:12,12 192:16
207:22 208:6	37:24 39:5,8,12,22	352:22 356:24	225:12 239:13
221:23 226:4	39:24 40:5,9,13,20	361:8	244:14 311:25
227:12 240:16	41:5,22 42:7,12	custody 45:12	316:4 322:9,13,14
241:1 269:7 303:10	43:6 44:11 45:15	341:18	330:2,8,9,10 335:4
325:22 326:4,13	45:20 49:21 55:11	cut 162:11	335:9,13 342:16,24
335:16,16 340:8	61:2 148:16 194:21	cuts 59:21 71:12	343:1,8,13,22
criminalized 226:9	195:3,21 309:2,2	cutter 287:24	344:6,8 345:1,2
criminally 34:5	375:1	cutting 225:15	346:10,11,13,20,20
		266:16	346:21,24 348:25
			340.21,24 348.23

359:20 360:20	dc 14:7	308:4,9 326:2	174:1
364:5,7 366:8	dcf 2:13	decisions 28:19	delayed 111:8
370:13	de 337:12 357:15	35:22 55:5 83:11	134:4 139:12,14
database 38:9,14	dea 375:18,22	85:7 95:25 197:13	147:15
38:15,17,19,20,23	dead 75:13	228:23,25	delineation 381:12
47:6,7,11 67:8	deadbolts 114:10	declaratory 137:14	deliver 352:25
80:14 83:18 85:4	deal 7:5 48:15	decreasing 259:16	354:17
89:19,21 91:2	183:14 282:14	decriminalize 86:4	delivered 298:8
193:25 203:12,14	351:23 362:4	dedicated 359:24	381:22
203:21,24 206:7	dealing 42:11	362:20	delivering 353:20
214:1,1	73:18 80:18 207:25	deemed 188:18	381:17
databases 44:3	341:8,22 353:22	189:18 222:24	delusions 247:10
82:25 205:18	357:11 376:8	306:12	247:11
date 22:10 70:9,13	dealings 12:14	deep 156:25 202:8	delve 58:22
303:11 315:24	deals 107:7	225:24 319:23	demand 185:25
360:18	dealt 44:19 306:17	deescalate 358:15	demanding 168:15
dates 70:8	347:4	default 41:18 43:13	340:15
daughter 320:14	dearly 357:23	67:20	demands 180:6
day 7:10 19:21	death 59:21 71:12	defender 22:5	demonstration
32:12 35:6 38:5	231:23 283:9	92:14	115:12
39:6 40:2 43:1	284:18,20	defense 12:19	demonstrations
53:16 54:21,24	deaths 116:24	defer 313:15	113:5
71:12 159:12	157:10	deferred 141:13	denoted 42:4,20
180:24 210:6	debate 226:16	defiant 379:11	43:10
212:22 213:11,13	decades 150:14	define 167:8	denotes 41:17
215:17 219:14,14	331:25 332:19	185:15 214:18	deo 108:17 234:13
219:17,20 273:13	deceased 42:8 43:5	264:8	department 2:7,12
318:19 319:2,18	december 38:5,6	defined 111:6	6:17 8:9 9:13 13:8
331:5 340:21,22	41:5 42:13,14 57:8	185:20 214:14	22:3 28:2 83:4
341:6,7 351:3	116:2 124:9	260:15 337:14	89:18 100:9,11
357:25 381:24	decide 74:4 77:11	definite 190:20	101:21 102:25
385:8,10	82:14 97:5 196:20	definitely 50:22	103:12 104:8,14
days 10:19 14:17	231:5 307:10 370:2	116:16 207:16	105:8,18 106:25
14:24 15:5 21:14	decided 95:18	216:20	107:6 111:17 116:9
26:10 30:2 31:14	96:12 136:2,4	definition 349:10	126:11 127:21
31:24 32:2,7 52:17	283:21 337:21	350:17	129:1,2 134:22
56:4 64:15 66:23	decision 17:3 20:22	definitions 356:1	136:3,8 137:12,15
66:24 141:8,16	34:23 35:1 82:14	definitive 196:9	150:16,21 151:6
231:20 244:5	82:22 85:9 90:1	degree 249:23	152:15 172:14
247:17,22	96:5 191:24 213:22	delay 132:25	187:12 238:6,12,24
	213:22 228:15	147:13,20 172:22	248:22 268:5
	1	1	1

[department - different]

	-		C	
297:21 302:21,24	343:7 346:7	126:2 190:22,25	dictating 262:23	
303:17,18 325:22	desire 12:4	determines 191:20	die 20:9 279:7	
326:13,21,25 327:1	desires 26:20	determining 189:1	died 129:25 130:15	
327:21,22 330:11	desk 128:2 380:6	detonating 280:18	154:15	
343:13 369:13,14	desks 119:4 124:25	detrimental 293:10	difference 26:3	
372:2 382:1	125:12 379:12	develop 15:10	50:2 113:12 134:9	
departments	despair 278:12	26:18 27:17 154:1	149:21 155:1 167:6	
103:17,19 109:2	281:25	192:8,19 194:9	178:12 200:23	
152:23 180:16	desperate 282:2,17	328:2,6 329:13,15	251:3 292:9,21	
325:25 326:1	desperation 284:8	330:23 332:20	294:7 308:3,23	
dependent 370:14	detail 7:20 100:25	349:11 355:19	353:15 381:14	
depending 32:9	103:3 104:21	359:19	differences 199:2	
105:9 109:18 119:2	105:25 106:6,23	developed 18:4	different 14:23	
126:1 201:1,11	110:23 189:16,20	83:15 119:21	15:6,7 23:23,24	
219:3,12	236:12 255:7	175:15 186:15	24:21 26:22,24	
depends 134:13	260:24 304:6	334:13 355:5	44:3 49:16 50:20	
165:6 201:13	detailed 7:19 56:25	developing 195:20	57:22 60:3 62:25	
depressed 297:9	186:10 190:5	234:21 364:13	69:6,15 87:11	
depression 192:3	302:25 303:1	development	88:23 90:20,20	
311:15	319:24	163:11 361:1	93:7 98:21 105:4	
depressive 252:25	detailing 268:5	developmentally	107:22,23 108:6	
283:24 285:3	details 8:5 194:7	285:8 286:2	111:2 113:5 114:24	
depth 109:9 112:25	195:10 310:8	develops 191:19	115:6,8,13,14,23	
118:18 192:21	detectives 233:8	device 114:3,5	116:11 121:3	
197:8 202:15,18	detector 111:21	138:19,22 139:5,7	122:14 127:13	
deputies 7:2	120:22 373:9	139:8 155:3,12	134:8 144:16	
deputy 29:17	detectors 123:14	devices 110:15	145:16 146:6,8,11	
205:11	detention 45:11	113:22 115:13,15	149:20,24 153:9,11	
describe 59:18	deteriorating	115:23 116:11,14	158:19 159:9,13	
described 63:22	290:25	117:13 121:10	160:9 161:11	
describing 384:9	determination	128:9,13,22 129:4	163:25 164:24	
description 186:10	52:25 148:5 334:20	135:25 136:3	166:20 168:10,11	
descriptions	determine 39:11	138:13,16 154:23	168:22,22,25 172:9	
204:23	124:19 168:22	280:18	173:3,4,8,24	
designated 143:24	183:13 188:8	dewey 324:12	174:11 175:18	
144:15 146:4,6	195:11 196:4	dhs 164:5 366:24	182:3,3 206:12	
176:13,22	205:10 212:22	371:24	210:23 211:3	
designation 329:21	225:21 277:5	diagnosis 52:23	218:17 222:12	
designed 31:17	348:15	299:9	230:19 242:6 262:9	
144:6 169:8,8	determined 31:24	dialogue 13:14	263:6 264:6 265:18	
170:25 327:10	52:24 90:13 112:7		265:24 271:3,5,7	
	1	1	1	

[different - diversion]

272:6 274:1 284:19	198:17 267:1	discuss 5:1 18:23	disseminate 327:25
285:8 287:25 289:2	301:11	95:2 181:16 194:23	343:7
289:4 291:21	disability 64:22	236:20,21 296:16	disservice 86:13
292:19 297:16,19	357:9,12	305:14 308:22	distinction 53:11
299:11 303:6	disagree 23:14	322:11	242:5,12
304:11 307:15	83:13 84:7 177:9	discussed 11:23	distinguish 53:10
312:11 314:10	228:16	122:18 186:13	distinguished
315:15 317:9,18	disappointing	262:6 291:5 323:10	98:10
343:15 353:13	208:13	discussing 51:15	distress 254:7
356:5 358:22,23	disasters 106:13	117:18 157:15	260:11 264:5,20
366:25 368:23	disastrous 107:15	283:1	265:4 293:11 297:5
differentiate 55:25	disciplinary 51:13	discussion 11:5,16	298:17
184:11	51:17 52:5 62:25	17:1 25:17 26:6	distribute 369:9
differently 58:7	68:17,22 69:13	27:21,22 33:20	distributed 297:15
62:16 271:3	73:17 193:16,21	75:5,7 94:23 95:4	district 2:17,24 9:3
difficult 176:5	222:15,19 223:6	101:5 152:19	9:4,6 39:11,16,19
355:10	289:21 291:15	156:19 181:3,6	39:20 46:7 48:25
dig 343:2	discipline 31:24	224:14 242:1	48:25 62:12 63:1
diligence 256:7	49:10,12 53:3,21	263:12 334:9 383:5	77:15 98:18 102:7
dipped 333:14	54:1 63:7,23 64:2,4	discussions 168:5	103:4 104:19 132:3
direct 174:15 190:5	64:13,14 70:21	226:10 319:18,24	140:13 186:9 187:1
190:15 224:9 265:8	222:2 223:11 324:9	321:23	189:16 194:1
266:10 330:19	363:22 364:1,2	disease 382:18	197:11 198:8
357:5 361:23	369:15,25 373:16	384:11,11	203:21 204:7,24
directed 188:4,17	disclaimer 96:16	disengaged 232:7	205:4,17 213:21
327:18 328:17	disclose 9:24	232:14	214:1,2,11,13
329:1,10 345:15	disclosed 13:2	dismantled 74:25	221:22,25 225:2
359:23	disclosure 9:22	disparate 158:24	229:6 239:12 246:4
directing 327:6	16:6	disparities 49:6	256:5 263:3,10
direction 132:6	discluded 89:8	dispatch 8:7	268:3 297:18
182:15 329:12	discluding 89:10	display 188:1	302:20 317:21
directives 330:21	disconnected	displayed 194:7	373:14
directly 177:17	294:11	displaying 220:19	district's 193:25
194:4 205:17	discovered 258:4	disposal 204:15	districts 77:22
211:11,16,18 233:9	discrepancies	dispositive 39:25	84:12 103:2 152:24
233:11 244:9	345:5	dispute 137:11	199:5 271:19
249:25 281:16	discretion 32:16,22	disqualification	296:14
director 2:20 3:2	33:3,14,16 63:21	15:3 34:7	distrust 341:2
11:8,16,19 12:4	95:25 96:19 97:4	disregard 174:7	disturbances 93:9
98:4,7 99:5 129:1	97:10 228:21 354:6	dissecting 8:19	diversion 21:8
134:23 185:5			22:21 23:10,12,22

23:25,25 24:14	doctor 203:9	305:8 313:21 318:8	doubts 296:24
25:2,19,21,23	378:24	321:4,9 326:21	douglas 1:3 18:13
26:16 27:2 28:7,23	document 9:20	333:14 334:19	112:14 126:6 131:4
29:23 30:4,14 31:3	12:23 13:1,4	342:21 344:3,11	131:24 133:3,21
44:16,19 46:23	101:13 217:22	346:17 349:16	135:2,14,17 139:20
58:5 77:4,8,14,16	261:6 270:10	351:15 355:22	139:21 140:6
77:18 79:8,16,24	333:19 353:6	356:18 361:17	144:19 147:1,4,19
80:5,13,24 81:14	documented 192:8	363:8 369:24 379:5	182:2 309:6 366:9
82:1,5,18 83:6 85:6	documents 6:20	380:7	367:15
85:21 87:4 89:14	8:22,23 11:9,22	doj 164:5	dovetailing 218:8
89:16 90:2 91:18	12:9 40:3,12 53:9	domain 222:12	downfall 172:19
92:15,16 93:10	53:12 203:13 353:8	225:16	dr 3:2,4 184:18
94:8,17 95:13 97:3	382:24	domestic 99:15	185:4,5,22 194:23
97:6,11 204:4	dod 164:5	127:10 162:9	196:8,18,24 197:18
diversionary 91:20	dodd 6:10	donna 3:5 259:10	198:3,9,12,15
diversions 25:25	doe 120:4 136:14	318:1 319:2,8	199:3,18 200:11,17
26:4 27:4 29:11,21	150:12 151:11	372:21	200:20 201:13
79:21 82:21 88:19	234:20	door 113:19 114:2	202:14 203:5,19
88:20 92:8,9,16,23	doing 8:4 14:2,10	114:3,4,12,17	204:13 205:16
92:24 93:15 94:5	19:24 40:14 67:15	115:1,12,14,15,21	206:4,22 207:12
diverted 279:21	71:11,21 100:3	115:22,24,25	208:9,21,24 209:12
divided 170:14	101:25 117:24	118:13 125:12	210:3 211:1,16
division 99:5 173:4	119:19 123:15	127:24 128:7	212:4,17 213:5,8
241:20 329:10	128:19 134:12	138:20 139:9,15,19	213:18,24 214:7,15
334:4,22,25 344:9	143:1 152:17,22	139:25 140:13	214:19 215:8 216:6
344:13 345:23	153:9 156:20 158:3	145:15,18 155:5,11	216:15 217:2,5,9
361:20,22,25 364:6	162:8 165:1,15	doors 101:1 113:6	218:14 219:11
364:18	173:9 174:11 216:2	113:15 114:10	220:1,7,10,24
divisions 322:19	235:11 236:16	115:16 116:1	221:15,19 222:4
327:15 334:2	237:2 245:17 246:8	126:20 138:17	228:3,19 229:4
336:14 353:3	247:1 249:16	139:22 140:1	230:6 231:6 234:12
354:19,22 355:9	259:21 262:10,21	143:10,21 144:18	235:15,19 236:3,7
356:22 360:3 361:6	263:5 267:3 271:12	144:23 145:8,8,17	236:9 300:22 302:9
361:16,25 362:2,5	272:9,15 281:8,21	154:23 155:22	302:15 307:1 310:6
362:20 369:5	282:20 287:11	323:14 345:20	311:21 313:13
divorce 380:1	290:21 291:24	373:10,12,21	315:17 317:24
djj 30:9 44:16 82:7	292:2,13,19 293:16	dot 74:15	318:16 324:12
83:7 84:14 91:12	293:19,24 296:14	dots 29:15 74:13,15	331:23 383:13,20
91:18,21	298:6 299:14,15,22	371:4,8,9,13,19	draft 84:21 95:5
djj's 27:25 89:19	301:10 302:16,22	doubt 33:6 196:12	119:21
	302:23 303:10	314:17	

			-
drafter 76:9,10	144:14,20,25 145:4	early 22:15 59:18	325:25 327:21,22
drafts 76:23	145:10,13 146:3,19	161:8 175:9 265:1	328:13,15 329:25
drain 294:8	146:23 147:2,5,8	265:2,3 284:24	330:11 350:18
dramatic 116:17	147:11,17 148:9	294:2 310:10,11	352:1 369:13 382:1
241:4 252:5 264:19	149:3,5,18 150:1	ears 211:8	382:3 383:7 384:8
draw 62:8 225:9	151:22 153:17	easier 101:25	educational 31:23
dre 45:10	155:25 156:7,13,17	easily 364:8	53:12,18 103:2
dressing 332:2	167:10,13 176:11	easy 234:25 272:22	104:4,16 105:23
drill 100:25 107:8	177:16 178:7 179:6	304:7 311:24	109:8,16 110:4,18
107:10,12,12,24	179:12,14 182:7	eat 46:20,24 48:7	110:20 137:3
108:5 125:9 142:10	183:9,20	eating 357:25	146:13 150:2,4
142:11,11,23,23,25	drug 230:22 297:10	ebb 166:24	191:14 323:3
143:2 353:14,14	327:4 369:8,9	economic 179:24	educator's 353:10
drilled 138:21	375:11,16 377:15	ed 321:6 326:1	educators 192:1
drills 104:18,24,25	drugs 369:10 375:8	328:17,22 333:16	effect 22:11,19
105:1 107:13	376:3 377:10	335:14 364:8,9	25:18 27:10,18
108:21 109:13	dubious 329:18	369:15	35:22 70:11,16
124:16 125:6	due 99:14 106:10	edge 225:15	95:16 97:6 124:8
140:22,23 141:1,2	107:19 110:25	edit 369:16,20	148:5,6 260:6
141:6,6,13,14,19	111:21 116:25	370:7,9	291:11 297:1
141:25 142:2,4,5,5	222:8 242:18 256:7	edition 101:11	312:21 313:3
142:6,6,8,20 143:3	338:1	104:2	effective 61:17
151:3 197:21	dumb 342:5,7	editorial 152:12	180:5 183:16
329:17 353:10,11	dump 129:14	educate 18:18	241:14 244:23
353:13	duties 104:20	108:23 334:13	259:14 281:6 328:1
drive 39:17 170:5	duty 209:19 378:18	educated 229:13	382:2
346:8	dye 381:1	educating 289:6	effectively 115:2
driven 247:23	dying 171:11,13	education 9:13	306:17
371:11	dynamics 232:16	52:19 53:8 63:17	effects 290:8
driving 169:20	dysfunction 384:10	100:10 103:1,12	efficient 186:24
202:22	384:12	104:9,15 105:8,18	efficiently 219:6
drop 142:19 359:5	e	107:1,6 108:15	effort 61:12 77:12
drozd 2:22 125:8	e 127:24 194:6	111:18 116:9 120:5	114:1
126:13 127:20	386:1,1	127:22 129:1	efforts 6:25 96:2
131:23 133:11,19	earlier 51:24 233:2	134:22 136:4,8,18	117:19 127:7
133:24 134:3 135:5	237:9 256:14	137:12,15 138:10	243:18 298:2
135:16,19 137:22	262:19 264:8 297:3	150:16,21 151:6	egress 107:7,24
139:1,11,24 140:3	302:2 304:19	152:15 174:17	108:8 114:2,11
140:5,15 141:17	317:10 335:21	225:14 232:10	117:16 118:22
142:13,16,24 143:3	349:24	238:6,12,24 239:2	119:7 122:4 127:5
143:11,19 144:4,9	J47.24	248:22 285:4,7	134:4 139:12,14,19

[egress - enforcement]

_			C
141:6 143:15,21	338:7,9,14,21	employee 337:15	endeavor 179:17
155:1	339:17 349:2	employees 151:24	ended 374:13
ei 13:12,24	362:25 374:22,22	204:24 328:11	endure 351:18
eight 151:13	378:14	employment	enforce 97:10
154:16 215:15	elements 121:3	186:23	102:8
228:1 235:10,22	255:2 282:9	empower 291:11	enforcement 2:7
316:3 338:11 347:1	elevated 63:2	empowered 299:18	8:6,17 13:12 22:7
347:2,21,22 349:4	eleven 378:1	empowering	26:14 28:20 34:19
368:23,25	elicited 269:24	261:12 294:12	34:22,22 35:1,3
eighteen 45:24	274:9,12 292:1	ems 159:10 163:13	45:19 50:13,21
47:12 88:16 158:9	eliciting 252:2	165:25 171:23	73:22 77:12,19
231:19 232:4,7,14	eligibility 25:14	172:6	83:18 84:1,3,16
309:8 344:7	eligible 25:4 30:24	emulated 365:15	92:13 95:23 96:19
eighth 36:18 64:9	37:16,17 49:23	enable 53:25	97:4,9 100:12
eighty 106:8 112:5	51:14 52:7,12 53:1	114:15	103:15,17,19
123:2 147:15 148:4	62:18 79:7 84:4	enabler 61:20	121:12 150:19
149:1,6,11 215:15	eliminate 13:15,22	enables 47:25	158:25 163:12
228:1 235:10,22	165:18	enabling 62:7	165:25 167:20
250:6,11,17 338:18	eliminated 110:22	218:11	169:6 170:10
338:19 344:5 349:6	145:9	enact 333:16	171:23,24 181:1
either 5:12 13:5	else's 54:9	enacted 58:12	182:19 183:5 188:5
23:5 40:10 41:24	emerged 233:2	105:16	200:22 203:16
42:14 45:15 64:10	emergencies	enacting 253:6	204:25 205:2,17
71:21 96:16 111:21	117:22	256:23 257:3	225:13,23 226:6
135:24 149:11,12	emergency 104:18	encompassed 53:19	239:14 243:13
183:19 204:3,8	104:24 105:1,3,21	encounter 307:6	244:16 245:8,14,18
208:4 226:6 240:3	107:7,23 108:5,8	encountering 29:18	248:2 256:8,25
250:9 321:25 348:8	140:25 141:6 142:2	encourage 55:3,13	258:3,23 259:8
381:15	142:4,6 329:11	96:18 251:20	260:18,25 267:22
elaborate 133:23	339:14 341:18	287:16 293:16	267:24 268:1,8,11
133:25	emerging 226:14	296:22 303:3	268:14,17,21,24,25
elect 99:20	emotional 293:6	311:11	269:5,8,11 273:19
elected 99:3	382:11	encouraged 33:18	280:4,7 287:22
electrical 162:25	emotionally 293:8	280:22	295:6 297:14,18
electronically 5:14	294:3	encourages 47:24	304:2 305:6 313:11
363:24	emotions 315:14	47:24	320:8,9,10,11,17
elementary 3:12	emphasis 224:21	encouraging	320:22 322:23
9:11 109:6 155:17	emphasizing 226:8	259:17 308:7	326:8,9 328:11
272:18 285:25	employ 241:16	312:21,22	330:5,8,12,15,20
292:17 293:19,21	employed 339:3	encrypted 158:25	330:23 331:1
293:22 320:15,16			332:18,22 336:16
I. Contraction of the second se	1		, I

[enforcement - event]

336:17 337:2,5,10	ensure 117:19	equip 170:21	336:18,19
337:23 339:11,19	186:21 212:14	equipment 162:1	establishing 23:19
340:1,2,4,17 341:1	215:24 223:1,25	171:10 172:3,13,15	31:18 119:6 162:5
341:3,8,21,25	226:7 338:1 366:15	173:1,4,6,14,16	245:4 250:24 257:1
342:12 354:4,5	ensuring 103:4	180:18	267:12 269:18
357:10 363:2,6,13	116:22 292:14	equitable 291:13	estimated 109:3
364:2 365:12	entails 186:4	292:2	estimation 71:18
368:25 369:4,11,19	enter 37:12 287:8	errors 384:20,25	et 143:8 182:20
369:21,22 370:8,11	369:12 370:6	385:2	216:4,4 237:5
enforcement's 13:9	entered 22:9 30:10	escalate 63:16	358:25
300:11	37:11 193:25	317:11	evac 134:4,6,14,18
enforcements	213:25	escalated 273:11	145:25 146:22
240:8 310:21	entering 31:3	282:15	evacuate 109:11
engage 232:12	225:11	escalating 59:3,6	122:15,17 130:10
243:24 251:23	enters 28:5 222:12	escalation 337:12	131:14 133:7,14,18
274:9,25 293:4	entire 8:5 12:15	357:15	149:1 353:17
311:24 315:23	20:5 69:13 78:7	escape 108:5	evacuated 131:1
engaged 12:13 14:6	121:2,8 133:12	143:16,23,25 144:3	evacuates 131:9
49:22 239:12	146:17 160:5 188:2	144:15	evacuating 123:19
271:19,20 293:6	195:12 302:4 309:4	escobar 375:24	130:14 131:6
294:3 333:9	326:4 371:22,22	escorted 36:21	evacuation 132:9
engagement 323:22	entirely 190:7	58:24	135:15,18 148:6
333:1	entities 59:11 62:5	ese 64:6,17,19	149:16 339:14
engaging 241:3	159:2 210:5	187:23 220:24	evaluate 12:13
254:6,12 255:17	entitled 9:25 16:2	221:2 232:7 309:9	18:20 112:6 349:14
264:12 265:5	24:22	especially 7:13	evaluated 131:25
266:17 275:3 281:8	entity 60:6 152:21	112:21 174:18	131:25 148:25
300:2	153:4,5,11	228:10 257:17	365:10
engineering 129:2	entrances 373:11	270:8 302:19	evaluating 192:1
engineers 165:3	entry 8:8 118:8,10	essence 167:4	202:13
english 282:22	373:18	essential 15:22	evaluation 193:15
283:3,16,16 284:4	entryways 160:1	95:23 96:5 308:13	197:23 262:12
enhance 245:24	enumerated 21:10	essentially 137:10	305:20 306:13,25
enhances 298:1	enunciate 112:9	establish 26:15	378:17,23
enhancing 238:3	enunciation 120:21	77:13 87:3,25	evaluations 262:9
356:11 373:17	environment	108:7 222:9 267:21	evaluator 198:4
enlarge 167:2	186:23 216:9	290:19	event 47:18 116:21
enrolled 12:16	epidemic 375:23	established 21:25	169:10 170:1
331:19	381:4	37:10 51:21 77:5	173:15 207:23
enrollment 53:5	equation 158:8	91:11 97:2 163:21	208:5 339:22 348:7
		257:16 304:9	

[events - extremely]

	1	1	
events 79:13	examine 54:17	exhibited 215:1	experts 18:11
107:15 157:6,15,20	282:11	291:19 310:14	121:25 122:3,6
161:25 163:16	examining 238:13	exhibiting 274:11	151:19,20 153:23
164:1,15 169:18	example 23:21 27:5	285:16 310:11	153:25 154:12
189:9 254:23 255:9	79:2,11 87:10	exist 147:1,3	177:12,23 328:21
eventualities	114:24 135:23	existence 70:4	354:11 361:9,13
163:25	183:2 189:8 204:1	193:22	365:13
eventuality 207:10	204:22 205:6	existing 111:10	expires 386:19
everybody 4:2 6:24	207:20 221:12	309:22	explain 49:6 89:10
7:6 24:22 41:15,15	252:11 269:4	exists 223:8 305:19	184:19 334:17
47:9 54:9 70:12	277:16 282:21,23	exit 118:8,11,12,12	explained 133:1,20
75:8,9,14 78:4,20	382:25	118:16	151:17
81:7 83:7,9,9 84:20	examples 52:3	exits 119:7 146:9	exploring 362:9
85:5 86:13 88:15	193:12 224:14	expanded 328:5	explosive 280:18
89:25 92:14 96:8	275:12 277:18,21	expect 113:16	280:25
109:11 129:11	279:10 281:1	expectation 224:10	explosives 279:19
143:6 148:13	290:18 300:13	expected 187:7	280:16
156:14 163:9,14	exceeding 109:20	expel 289:23,23	expose 320:4
166:11 201:25	excel 372:6	290:1 363:10	express 151:17
265:20 300:12	excellent 217:5	expelling 291:24	expressed 12:3
306:18 310:18	372:6	expense 172:21	expression 185:16
343:4 385:13	exception 3:2 41:13	experience 226:23	expulsion 223:4
everybody's 179:9	185:6 204:24	297:5 337:11	289:25 290:23
everyday 261:20	exceptional 52:19	380:16	291:23
everyone's 310:19	198:18	experienced 252:9	expunction 66:16
evidence 6:21,22	exclude 67:7	252:25 266:18	extend 226:25
7:11,15 16:11,12	excluding 89:9	experiences 379:9	extended 320:13
18:21 30:25 35:14	exclusion 155:2	experiencing 247:9	extensive 202:6
36:3 46:16,16	excuse 133:24	247:10 248:7	extent 13:20,25
49:21 73:8 148:15	135:16 147:2	252:15 254:7,22	30:16 35:17 45:22
231:18 286:6	223:16	260:10 264:4,20	180:21 182:21
314:18	excused 16:18	265:4 281:25 282:6	external 21:6,12,20
evident 72:3	executive 13:9,13	282:7 285:10	21:22 22:23 23:11
exact 130:18	14:1	293:11 294:15	37:20 52:2,14
176:25 188:24	exempt 5:8,10,11	298:17 335:7	63:18 204:21 223:4
220:25	9:21 13:2 16:5	expert 243:1	373:15 379:16
exacting 278:24	exemptions 335:3	354:24 355:7	extra 197:3 216:22
exactly 8:10 57:2	exercise 176:19	expertise 18:20	extreme 379:10
88:17 89:2 124:5	exhibit 252:7 281:3	98:20 177:10 178:1	384:12
199:18	291:17	305:11 313:14	extremely 75:22
		314:3	129:25 208:25
1	1	1	1

[extremely - fifteen]

	0 1 12 1 2 2	0 010 1	0 11 1 0 0 1 -
233:21 341:21	factually 46:3	famous 313:4	feasible 339:17
370:16	faculty 257:10	fantastic 154:14	features 108:24
eye 270:16 290:11	failed 45:16 65:5	366:23	111:16
eyes 197:3 211:8	90:5	far 10:25 19:1	february 7:21 8:1,3
316:23	failures 209:24	39:21 45:2 50:11	18:18 309:3,6
f	fair 15:25 78:6	57:21,23 89:12	federal 162:4 164:5
f 386:1	203:2 289:19	123:22 125:14,18	239:10 240:7
face 66:15 84:2,15	291:17 350:3	132:19,25 133:2	242:20 243:5 263:1
166:9,9,11,11,15	fairly 300:15 307:3	134:8 138:7 145:14	fee 150:18,21
166:15 383:16	350:4	145:18 150:3 152:5	151:11
faced 267:5	fall 12:11 54:2	180:14 195:10	feed 236:24
facilitate 287:4	124:6 213:14 217:9	199:5 202:7,8,12	feel 129:12 185:20
327:19 341:20	243:20 304:7	202:13 221:7	257:6 269:2 293:8
facilitating 293:13	falls 29:1 108:17	229:12 301:20	293:14 294:3
facilities 103:2,5	false 112:8 123:11	fascination 276:21	310:24 331:16
104:4,16 105:23	123:15,16 190:2	fashion 140:2	350:2,11,11,13
109:9 110:5,18,21	346:21	fast 98:16 300:15	352:2 368:18
111:11 115:8	fame 312:22	fastening 114:3	369:25 384:4
120:17 129:1 137:3	familiar 140:11	fatalities 107:19	feet 109:21,23
120.17 129.1 137.3	180:12 187:18	365:24	fell 138:23
232:11	237:8 257:2 333:18	father 248:1 273:4	felt 150:16,22
facility 44:24	341:18	father's 248:1	253:3 257:12,24
113:17 168:4	familiarity 202:9	faucet 36:25 37:4,6	258:19 274:17
fact 25:22 46:20	families 19:22	44:13 47:19 71:8	female 248:4
99:24 132:18	176:7 206:9 210:8	73:1	315:18,23 316:13
	226:14 251:14	favor 4:18 81:21	females 316:1
160:11,16 164:10	277:7 288:11	86:21 93:24 94:20	ferpa 52:1 53:7,23
164:24 169:21	308:14	97:14	54:8,24 55:7
243:3 247:15 252:7 272:5 284:1 315:11	family 50:16 73:23	favorite 257:21	209:13 356:8
	161:24 176:9,17	fbi 11:8,24 12:2,11	359:10 362:13,13
315:24 371:11,11	190:24 192:4 193:6	185:23 186:15	364:4
383:11 384:11	206:14,17,22	194:9 238:25	ff188630 386:18
factor 44:4 293:13	209:23 210:1	360:16 371:24	field 133:13 165:3
293:14 311:16	215:24 224:7 226:3	fbi's 191:21 366:24	247:24,24 254:19
factors 191:23	247:8 251:13	fcic 91:2	295:5
193:4,6 286:21	252:13 272:25	fdle 13:10 19:23	fifteen 36:18 97:21
287:12	275:9 277:3,10	76:8 100:13 103:16	112:2 123:1 125:23
factory 115:19	281:14,17 283:22	fear 272:1	125:24 144:2
facts 17:11 18:4	311:17 320:10,13	feared 378:6	239:11 244:19
366:16	320:13 341:16	fears 377:3	356:19 374:11
factual 17:18	342:3		375:20 378:22
			575.20 576.22

fifth 96:23 97:18	285:9,23 287:4	117:3,9,21 118:14	fired 148:19
fifty 113:11 118:25	295:7,18 298:16	119:12,15,20 120:3	firefighter 99:8
146:15 157:10,23	305:7,14 308:11	120:13,22 121:13	100:22
163:18,19 245:5	310:23 315:1	121:19,23,25 123:9	firefighters 165:3
332:15 338:6 359:2	341:24 345:4	124:3 125:7 126:12	171:22
359:2 369:2	348:21 355:16	126:13,14 127:22	fires 109:4,6
fight 29:8 96:4	357:17	128:6 130:1,14,15	119:12 132:17
175:3 329:8 348:13	finding 156:22	131:8,16,18 132:14	firing 167:18
348:14	250:13 271:10	132:14,15,20,20,22	firm 116:9 219:1
fighters 171:9	274:11 301:3	132:23 133:18	first 5:7 8:5 14:19
fighting 34:3 56:22	339:15	134:9,25 136:1	18:23 23:15 24:11
283:14	findings 76:15	137:19,23 138:1,7	30:20 31:9 32:12
fights 379:12	238:16 243:9,19	140:9 141:6,14,19	32:18 33:8 34:17
figure 59:19 154:6	244:1,12 246:22	142:2,4,8,11,18,23	35:2 38:7,17 39:6
154:17 177:15	249:10,13 250:22	142:24 143:8	43:1 44:17,22 49:8
208:2 343:3 357:20	256:22 318:7	145:11,11,14,18	77:3 85:20 93:17
file 38:13 39:7 42:3	fine 16:23 24:22	147:21 148:16,17	105:13 115:16
95:12 193:23	100:3 357:24	148:17,18,18,20	125:17 132:17
203:23	finish 140:4 314:6	149:14,24 150:3,9	141:8,17 154:24
fill 197:2	finished 203:10	150:10,12,15,19	165:18 180:12
filled 280:9	fire 2:20,22,22	151:2,3,7,8,9,18,25	185:14 188:6 189:2
filling 283:7	97:22,23 98:5,6,13	152:2,16,23,24	199:3 203:1 225:20
final 95:5 137:24	98:14,20 99:2,4,5,8	153:2,10,12 154:7	242:24 247:2 255:3
138:5	99:9,12,21,22	154:16,18 155:13	260:12 261:22
finalize 89:17	100:2,6,10,15,17	156:8,9 157:13	267:5 270:20,25
finalized 119:22	100:18,20,23,25,25	158:8 159:9,11	285:6 302:10 321:1
finally 72:4 184:24	101:3,5,8,10,12,13	160:12 162:24	331:10,15 336:1
194:8 204:20	102:2,7,8,11,11,12	163:2,3,13 164:7,8	349:16 352:15
261:16	102:13,17,18 103:6	165:14,24 168:20	356:18 357:14
financial 99:1	103:7,12,14,20,22	169:6,16 170:10,21	373:1,8 379:2
382:9	104:1,12,17 105:2	171:8 172:6 173:19	383:17
find 5:23 8:11	105:5,7,11,24	173:21,25 174:4	fit 14:24 92:9
51:16 52:8 67:15	106:1,7,17,18	177:10 178:18	350:23
67:21 70:21 112:19	107:8,12,17,19,24	180:10,16,22	fits 26:23
112:23 165:16	108:5,21,24 109:2	181:11 182:13	five 27:5 48:23
233:18 237:4 244:2	109:7,15,17 110:4	firearm 23:6 34:8	49:16 51:3 81:6
245:15 248:6	110:6,12,14,20,21	45:22 58:1,15 59:9	87:14 88:22 96:1
255:14 272:5,25	111:4,12,15,19	339:13	107:16 109:4
274:8,13 275:3,5	112:3,12 113:12	firearms 45:23	120:24 128:2
275:15 277:5	115:16,25 116:1,5	248:17 315:21	244:18 278:20,20
281:10 284:25	116:18,22,25 117:1		279:2 301:9 319:21

337:10 338:18	305:21 365:23	232:12 241:22	form 9:20 10:2
343:14 354:10	375:8 386:3	250:14 258:21	55:18 59:16 68:7,8
356:15,20 357:2	florida's 6:7	261:5 266:22,23	71:22 82:17 110:3
360:10 361:14	flow 166:24 188:1,9	270:3 304:10,15,17	191:3 197:2 199:23
375:22 383:24	191:6 192:21 196:2	317:1,5 367:8	199:23 266:18
384:2	210:16,17 211:14	followed 11:12	274:17
fix 181:25 182:5,9	211:24	37:9 81:18 98:13	formally 34:11
360:9 368:7	fluid 113:22	109:1 195:19	formed 101:8
fixated 279:11	flush 89:23	208:14 222:15	former 238:13
fixed 119:4 345:22	fly 319:25	223:6	257:22 378:13
flag 193:21	focus 22:20 23:9	following 4:1 66:12	forming 238:20
flagged 369:17	65:20 174:18	108:19 110:22	271:9
flags 59:13	184:13 239:7	111:18 193:12	forms 43:19,22
fleeting 283:8	251:21 287:14	197:22 220:13	203:22 275:7
flexibility 218:15	300:9 315:21	260:23 304:22	364:22
230:17	316:22	370:8	formula 208:3
flexible 362:4	focused 75:16	follows 98:1 184:6	formulized 362:11
flip 57:14	161:1,2 242:13	318:25	fort 172:24
floor 118:11 120:23	258:24 259:1	foot 125:23,24,25	forth 57:14 150:10
126:10 130:8	311:22 315:5	footage 118:8,15,22	153:1
138:20 139:6	316:11 376:3,4	119:3 125:20	fortunate 178:4
149:17	focusing 14:17	football 133:13	fortunately 107:18
flopping 57:14	175:4 352:11	150:19 247:24	375:3
florida 1:8 2:7,11	foible 364:3	252:11 303:7	fortune 176:19
2:20 8:24 9:20 13:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	force 156:11	forty 49:3 50:13,17
26:10,11,13,18,23	folks 72:18 73:10	159:17 169:20	61:6 99:7 154:16
34:11,12 44:7	73:10 107:11	170:25 171:6,7,17	157:25 158:9
67:19 77:4 98:5	124:19 128:10	178:4 329:2,4	169:23 319:20
99:12 100:15 101:6	172:23 173:7 176:5	376:5	322:22,23 330:6
101:16,19,19 102:5	327:13 329:12	forces 162:6 180:15	347:14 348:6,9
102:8,12,17,19,19	337:1,6,7 354:10	180:16	370:11
102:20,24 103:5,24	356:21 376:8	forefront 75:22	forum 305:3
104:1 105:2,9,14	377:17	84:10 199:8	forums 273:21
105:24 106:1,7,8	follow 10:21 16:7	foregoing 386:8	forward 5:17 27:13
106:12,13,14,19,21	16:25 32:21 33:9	foremost 225:20	31:5 36:7 47:17
113:10,13 116:8	43:23 62:3 63:20	forethought 177:5	56:8 75:23 181:18
136:12 137:1,23	66:19,25 73:2 86:1	forever 75:5 96:4	181:19,20 200:1
138:1,6,7 156:8	91:22 151:15 187:8	forfeiture 362:8	226:22 258:20
159:5 160:15 163:2	196:2 208:11 214:6	forgave 88:15	259:18 261:13
178:18 180:14	214:7 218:7 221:6	forget 86:2	295:22 296:23
225:4 234:13	227:23 229:25		321:18

800-726-7007

foster 292:13	friend 247:8 250:8	funds 336:7 337:4	gaza 383:14
fosters 291:10	285:18	furniture 126:9	gazette 170:3
fought 96:1	friendly 326:22	further 11:5 31:10	gc 2:14
found 37:3 250:25	friends 255:16	54:18 81:18,20	gears 113:1
258:5,7,9 273:1,10	275:9 279:2,6	103:3 192:17,19	general 2:3 4:24
295:13 328:21	280:19,24	225:17	23:13,25 66:24
332:13 349:21	frighten 186:1	future 24:20 35:22	83:24 132:22
358:6 366:8 371:24	fringes 342:13	35:22 249:19	180:23 188:25
372:9 376:9	front 43:11 125:12	255:13 262:7	189:4,10 203:24
foundation 14:6	161:7 226:15	322:15 362:19	306:1 326:15
237:22 381:21	262:20 271:7	g	328:16,25 331:12
foundational 157:6	290:21 315:24	g 378:11	337:21 339:3
founded 236:22	316:4 374:13	gain 274:19	342:22 343:22
four 46:21 48:23	frustrated 326:23	game 150:20 271:6	345:12 346:1,5
49:16,19 71:15	frustrating 306:18	273:21	generally 18:11
76:4,20 99:7	fssat 8:23 9:8,16	gamut 247:2	23:15 25:3 28:9
141:10,12 161:9	10:25	251:20	30:12 60:8 167:24
171:8 231:20	fsu 102:19	ganas 3:12 378:11	184:15 189:22
241:25 244:4 245:5	fulfill 349:15	378:12,12	197:5 211:1 306:8
247:3 255:2 277:17	full 7:10 14:23 76:2	gap 67:10	generic 84:15
278:21 336:12	120:19 320:13	gaps 300:24 304:8	gentleman 129:23
347:11,14 350:12	338:9,11,13 339:18	garment 172:6,8	gentlemen 153:22
352:8 354:10 355:7	fully 12:5 19:15	garnered 16:25	373:5
358:23 369:3	110:11 119:23	garnering 340:6	geographical 58:4
374:14	123:6 145:23	gates 373:11	getting 5:7 25:9
fourteen 272:16	336:12	gather 163:4	36:16 50:10 56:22
273:3	function 121:23	240:20 254:8 261:4	64:21 73:15 79:24
fourth 118:16	160:2	270:21 271:2	168:2 172:23
140:23 344:21	functional 163:8	270:21 271:2	173:22 176:8
frame 11:25 157:11	170:21	275:15 286:14	216:22 262:9
258:6	functioning 202:21	298:17	267:10,13 275:25
framed 185:1	fund 183:18,20	gathered 158:10	278:9 284:15
frames 278:1	362:10	261:8	287:11 290:16
framework 183:15	funded 183:23	gathering 193:1	291:1 294:2,2
frankly 47:18	336:13,21,22	202:19 218:24	298:9 311:25 312:2
92:10 226:24	funding 91:25	230:6 253:19 256:2	312:23 344:7
free 369:25	127:16,17 234:19	270:1,19 281:19	361:15 363:3
freedom 335:2,9	335:25 336:18	285:21 286:18	371:18 372:10
frequency 382:23	359:22,23 362:6	gathers 371:10	gift 377:18
frequently 86:5	364:12 373:14	gauge 200:3,4	girl 28:16 315:15
		5augu 200.3,4	

girlfriend 278:4	92:16,22 98:2	goal 130:2,6 240:14	27:1,4,13 29:17,23
girls 315:2	103:21,21 105:3	240:25 248:8	29:24 34:23 46:9
give 25:7,10 48:7	106:22 108:11	253:23 284:19	49:9 50:14,24 51:4
52:3 60:17 62:5	111:20 112:9	298:21 300:10,11	54:7 55:1,7,19,21
63:25 67:1 100:5	120:20,24 123:3	300:11	56:15,24 61:18
140:16 154:5	124:8 127:21	goals 274:13	74:1 75:19,23,25
159:15 164:14,15	129:21 130:7 131:5	284:22	76:4,5,8,9,10,12,16
168:25 177:14,19	134:1 136:16	goes 13:23 28:6,8	78:25 80:15,16,16
182:11 194:24	137:14 139:17	29:9 33:12 35:10	81:9 82:4 87:17,20
215:6 223:24 227:5	140:18 141:1	41:16 92:14 96:7	89:22 91:5,8 93:6,6
233:22 236:19	145:22 147:8 150:6	103:3 104:20,24	93:11 95:7,18,19
260:2 275:21	151:9,24 152:2	105:25 108:10	96:11 97:22 98:11
288:22 294:4 302:7	153:20 156:25	109:10 112:4,15	98:23 100:5,24
323:2 328:10 346:7	157:24 160:5,21	114:19 120:15	111:4 113:23 121:5
360:2,21 377:18	161:13 162:11	121:2 138:20	123:9 127:1 128:13
379:8	168:17 171:2,5,5	147:22 157:3 158:3	130:7 131:5,19
given 40:9 52:18	174:22 180:17,18	167:1,1 168:21	134:5 140:21,22
63:22 66:17 68:2	181:20 185:1	174:1,2 177:16,18	142:21 149:15
88:22 150:24 190:9	189:15 196:10,12	204:2,8 205:6	151:15 152:6,11
219:14,14 220:3	196:20 197:15	211:16,18 214:24	155:25 156:25
362:6 379:15	202:8,8,12 203:8	225:22 233:11	164:14 165:9 166:3
gives 23:17 46:19	205:4,8,25 210:22	237:10 244:18	166:20 167:3,13
88:24 152:19 156:2	212:19 220:2 221:3	301:21 317:9	168:19 169:10,11
166:11 183:22	223:15 224:19	323:18,19 361:9	169:12 171:15,21
301:14,14,15	226:22 227:17	gohl 2:19 20:13,17	174:7 175:11,12
334:15 340:11,12	232:25 233:15	46:6 49:11 51:6,8	177:6,20 178:15
340:18 343:22	246:20 270:17	53:14 54:5 58:2	181:16,18,22
345:1	280:11 281:14	62:17 63:5,7 65:14	182:16,21,22,23
giving 26:8 79:11	288:15 296:12	67:1 68:22,24 69:7	183:7 184:7,13,17
166:6 170:1 185:7	300:17 311:18	69:12,23 70:2	184:22 194:19
185:11 324:20	313:6 315:2 317:24	222:5,7 223:5,13	195:5 198:24
glad 119:22 377:24	327:8 336:6 342:8	223:17,18 224:4	199:19,24 200:20
glanced 199:22	342:9 345:18	227:2 232:24 233:1	208:20 212:25
global 60:7	346:11 347:20	234:1	227:10 229:16
go 4:2 5:17 10:10	351:10 357:19,20	going 5:4,6 7:4,12	231:11,15 233:18
22:17 23:20 29:24	362:7 363:11,11	8:2 11:3 14:16 15:5	233:21 234:1 235:5
32:3 35:10 39:3	364:19 368:19	15:13,16 16:24	235:18 236:2,11,19
43:15 47:25 58:21	369:12 372:12	17:4,11,23,24	236:20,20,25 241:2
63:22 68:19 69:16	377:17 378:8	18:12,23,25 19:2,3	241:3 242:19
71:22 72:7,11,21	380:17	19:5,16 20:12,15	245:17,21 246:5,19
74:7,18 89:6,24		21:2 22:20 23:9,20	246:20 254:15
		1	

380:15 381:16	governors 102:22	guardian 382:24
385:9	grab 114:11	guardians 128:15
gonzalez 386:6,17	grade 36:18 64:9	guess 40:21 48:10
good 4:2 5:18 26:7	146:14 379:2,10	89:7 116:17 207:20
28:19 51:8,8 72:17	grader 155:19	212:1 374:6
73:5 74:12 81:12	grades 53:12 332:1	guidance 158:17
82:13,22 83:10	graduate 287:9	218:1 334:7 362:11
85:9 87:16,20 92:1	373:25	379:23
96:5 117:24 118:1	grady 2:16	guide 108:14
118:1,3 123:20	grant 183:23 330:1	238:17 245:20,24
125:13,15 139:9	336:7,9,11,18,20	246:5,23 249:12
, , ,	337:4 349:21	255:2 264:22
156:5,17 160:23	360:19	270:12,17 290:18
162:15 170:18	grants 162:3 336:3	291:7 330:24
	352:8 362:8	334:16 353:10
	graphic 188:1	356:4 372:3,11
	0	guidelines 63:19,21
	152:20 157:19	112:11 214:12
		222:10,13 365:7
		guiding 272:9
		355:18
349:8 352:7 360:21	357:25 384:1	gun 15:2 61:23,25
,	0	62:1,1 248:14
goodness 116:24	0 /	301:17 372:4
0 0	_	guns 257:9 383:1
0		gusto 381:23
0		guy 61:15 68:15
		383:15
0		guys 19:24 124:1
0		154:1 237:8 375:3
	e	376:19
		h
0		habit 350:25
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		halas 2:20 98:4,7,8
		118:2,17 119:17
e		124:4 126:17
	0 0	128:25 136:6,24
		137:5,9,21 150:13
	0	153:14 155:6 156:4
-	gualtieri's 212:11	156:6
333:16		
	385:9 gonzalez 386:6,17 good 4:2 5:18 26:7 28:19 51:8,8 72:17 73:5 74:12 81:12 82:13,22 83:10 85:9 87:16,20 92:1 96:5 117:24 118:1 118:1,3 123:20 125:13,15 139:9 155:16,17 156:2,4 156:5,17 160:23 162:15 170:18 171:15 172:1 173:23 174:25 177:6 181:4 185:4 224:22 232:2 236:7 236:8 247:5 263:13 296:7 319:10 324:25 346:20 349:8 352:7 360:21 373:4,25	385:9 grab 114:11 gonzalez 386:6,17 grade 36:18 64:9 good 4:2 5:18 26:7 146:14 379:2,10 grader 15:19 73:5 74:12 81:12 grades 53:12 332:1 grades 53:12 332:1 82:13,22 83:10 grades 53:12 332:1 grades 53:12 332:1 96:5 117:24 118:1 grady 2:16 grant 183:23 330:1 125:13,15 139:9 336:7,9,11,18,20 37:4 349:21 360:19 155:16,17 156:2,4 37:4 349:21 360:19 352:8 362:8 173:23 174:25 graphic 188:1 great 132:15 125:20 157:19 352:8 362:8 32:15 152:20 157:19 132:425 346:20 302:10 318:4,7,8 349:8 352:7 360:21 357:25 384:1 373:4,25 grenades 170:5 grew 159:4 375:1,8 gooded 125:15 group 163:5 173:4 gooverned 5:3 350:25 368:10 group 163:5 173:4 governed 5:3 group 163:5 173:4 257:20 285:1 350:25 368:10 group 1

half 71:15 133:13	380:12,14	harpring 2:14	328:20
184:1 338:9 347:4	happening 44:2	94:13 154:21 203:7	heard 14:22 17:13
347:7	48:17 57:3,5	203:9 204:1,20	25:12 27:25 33:2
halfway 124:4	169:10 239:21	205:22	48:21 57:22 76:2
hallucinations	257:19 258:6,8	hatchet 273:15	176:4 209:6 211:6
247:10	281:16 301:13	316:16	260:13 294:18
hallway 37:3	311:7 314:11	hateful 351:5	302:1 304:3 319:6
hammer 340:12	380:20	hazard 167:16	324:22 331:4,22,23
hand 174:7,8,8	happens 78:21,21	he'll 6:11	334:9 335:20
320:12 338:2	78:22 173:15 206:2	head 49:15 169:12	368:13 377:23
handbook 234:7	207:5 208:17	283:7 351:10 358:9	hearing 4:13 16:4
235:4 353:24	211:24 213:13	health 15:2 17:8,21	48:21 52:18 85:3
handle 47:19 71:7	219:19 220:18,21	17:25 61:6 169:17	184:17 199:20
73:1 114:12 153:18	245:23 317:14	187:5,11,17 193:15	314:1
241:21	324:5 351:3	195:7 197:6 200:24	hearings 124:12
handled 12:14	happy 40:5 46:8	205:1 217:7 218:20	heart 20:1 301:6
handles 58:4	73:5 129:25 175:22	219:7,22 222:16	heather 76:7,21
hands 379:7 380:9	175:23 198:21	245:7 247:9 285:10	heels 157:14
handy 346:24	199:9 319:13	288:9,23 289:3,14	heights 181:4
hang 72:10 367:4	harassment 21:18	299:7,10 305:5	held 5:10 229:22
happen 35:16 66:7	34:3 366:11	306:25 307:14,20	379:25
82:24,25 132:16,19	harbors 47:23	309:18 310:21	hello 378:12 380:24
133:8 165:20	hard 7:10 20:10	314:13 326:25	help 13:21 15:10
169:11,11,14	61:1 94:6 121:5	327:2,2 335:15	18:20 65:2 76:8
179:22,23 207:6,9	206:25 225:12	342:1,10 357:13,13	121:14 130:11,22
225:16 235:5 241:6	321:10 367:8	357:15 359:12	132:6 154:6,17
241:6 317:16	harden 129:18	373:14	193:3 227:15
321:23 324:22	hardening 127:13	health.com. 187:6	229:23,24 242:21
348:11 358:19	harder 312:12	healthy 26:6	242:21 243:6
360:4 371:15	hardware 113:16	377:21	256:18 281:7
happened 18:13,17	113:18,21	hear 5:12 12:11	284:15 287:13,15
38:2 44:3 57:7	harm 185:16	15:3,5,13 18:21	287:17 289:17
105:10 157:6,11	189:19 190:2,4,15	19:3 49:25 60:24	300:10 308:17,17
169:19,22 170:2,3	222:17,17 226:2,25	61:3 73:5,22 74:1	311:4 333:8 334:14
170:4 176:18 178:7	331:7 340:20 347:8	74:21 75:19,20	346:23 357:4,6
179:22 229:7 238:1	347:12 350:21	97:22 131:22	358:17,19 361:24
239:10 272:15,24	351:15	132:18 143:18	367:1,2
295:8 308:25 309:1	harm's 130:4	182:23 184:22	helpful 8:18 41:1
311:12 332:4	131:10	195:5 198:24 211:9	188:25 215:13
348:12,23 357:22	harmonious 377:21	229:16 231:11,15	227:21 246:6 367:6
358:1 371:15		232:22 319:4	371:25
	1	1	

[helping - humiliate]

			_
helping 65:1	highest 347:23	253:19 271:4 273:6	horrendous 117:11
363:16	348:1 349:5	277:2,12 279:19	370:22
helps 308:8 347:9	highlight 160:22	299:24 316:19	horrible 116:18
hemorrhage 175:5	247:1 248:12,20	323:11,19 324:5,6	horse 75:13
henderson 17:8,10	256:12 263:22	325:14 340:23,24	hospital 168:3
17:16 18:1,8 19:1	265:2,16 272:3	340:25 358:14	247:17 307:20
61:5 195:6,7	277:19 279:1,10	363:19	hospitals 115:17
231:15	281:2 284:7 298:14	homeland 372:2	122:15 161:16,23
hey 27:1 74:16	307:13	homes 323:13	host 158:19 353:7
92:22 122:7 225:9	highlighted 340:2	homicidal 253:12	353:23 354:8
346:1 350:10 355:6	highlights 263:20	283:6,12 313:10	359:15
369:23 370:2,10	296:10	homicide 279:15	hostage 140:24
hidden 276:23	highly 334:4 337:9	283:10,10	141:25
hide 175:2 329:8	hindsight 272:23	homicides 249:5	hosted 116:2
hiding 280:2	hipaa 54:24 161:15	hone 347:9 361:10	hostile 254:12
high 1:3 9:11 30:15	359:10	362:3	hot 166:22,25
31:2 73:9 109:7	hire 150:22 328:9	honest 224:15	167:7,15 180:18
155:16 162:5 188:9	hires 151:7 268:2,3	226:20	372:1 381:4
189:12,13,18	historical 154:4,9	honestly 211:17	hotels 114:9
190:15,20 191:1	history 37:14 58:22	304:4	hotline 267:6,7
196:5,17 197:15	69:13 85:8 119:11	honing 361:16	hour 184:1,2
207:18 212:23	122:7 157:3,24	honor 98:9 153:15	241:25 242:1
215:21 228:6,11	162:22 178:9	156:18 329:18,22	hours 15:8 61:8,11
286:1 301:9 307:3	189:25 190:12,19	hook 328:24	98:24 129:15
321:22 324:6,7,10	237:16	363:21	134:23 277:18
324:17 325:15,16	hit 65:4 113:21	hope 12:24 13:6	340:21 341:6 355:7
336:25 338:7,12,16	210:3 319:19	17:2 18:5 20:2,20	house 28:1 61:7
338:20 344:25	hobbies 273:21	75:4 123:23 207:1	258:4 307:7,21
348:3,4 349:1,1	hobby 271:6	282:16 321:11,14	323:8 358:10
350:8 355:25 356:5	hoc 231:5	hopeful 361:7	374:25
362:24 373:10,22	hold 18:22,25 19:7	hopefully 12:9 13:3	housekeeping 5:16
374:23,24 381:4	218:23 297:19	75:11,21 84:25	houses 239:23
higher 56:1 160:15	holding 47:2	127:2 206:16	how's 31:20
162:12 189:10	302:11	232:22 234:23	huge 96:10 133:4
196:12 239:1 285:4	holds 30:1	245:22	173:6 246:19
285:7 312:10 321:6	holiday 38:1,4	hopeless 278:12	275:13 293:7 294:7
326:1 328:13,15,17	68:11 258:9 259:4	282:2	303:6 316:9 347:6
328:22 329:24	holistic 272:11	hopelessness	human 110:5 378:2
333:15 335:14	home 21:15 32:3	281:25	382:15 384:13
345:10 364:8,9	67:24 73:23 93:8	hoping 239:19	humiliate 350:21
	247:25 251:13	246:14 248:9	

[hundred - incidences]

	1	1	1
hundred 61:6	identify 91:12	268:12 286:8	284:23 285:14
67:16 71:10 98:24	116:4 175:12 193:4	287:19 295:20	286:14 287:22
112:5 113:9 119:1	227:25 240:12,22	305:25 307:4	304:8,20 308:21
123:2 134:16,17	241:16,23 254:5,13	355:25	316:22 322:10
135:3,13 145:24	256:3,18 281:7	impact 46:1 61:2	335:22 337:20
146:1,20 147:15	297:13 343:9	249:5 294:9,10	342:11 345:3 350:9
148:4,25 149:6,11	349:11,14 351:19	impactful 241:4	351:13 353:2
162:21,22 178:9	381:4	impacts 179:25	356:10 358:12
215:15 225:2 228:1	identifying 264:1	180:2	359:13 361:10
229:5,5 235:9,22	289:16 312:12	impediment 28:14	362:3,10 371:9,9
245:5,9 319:20	345:21 355:20	impediments 14:9	374:7
322:18,22 327:12	idlh 169:16	impetus 182:11,15	importantly 28:19
336:2 338:5 344:5	ieds 169:16	183:22	237:12 328:2
347:1,13,14,20,22	iep 57:10 220:18,21	implement 26:19	imposed 52:13
349:3,4,6 356:21	ignore 227:6	183:8 222:1 223:11	impression 30:17
359:2,2 362:1	illness 247:14	321:2	impressionable
375:20	285:6 382:1,6	implementation	292:7
hundreds 106:14	ills 382:15	318:3	impressive 366:3
128:1,21,21,21	illustrates 65:11	implemented 53:5	370:17
129:15	illustration 227:4	184:25 197:25	improper 112:8
hunker 128:4	imagine 109:24	201:22 222:25	improve 207:11
hurricane 106:11	111:2	223:3 305:18 319:5	209:25 266:24
107:12	imbalance 350:22	importance 75:8	302:8 346:9
hurricanes 125:4	351:9	107:14 109:12	improvement
hurt 227:6 257:25	immediacy 251:4	129:7 272:3 285:21	74:24 199:11
316:12 376:7	immediate 64:10	important 6:6,8	216:21 345:11
hurts 379:1,3,4	167:16 191:3 192:9	8:14 18:7 20:1	improvements
hutchinson 233:7	287:20 320:12	22:10,17 55:2	72:18,20
i	immediately 45:13	60:20 75:23 90:7	improving 291:1
idea 26:8 81:12	68:10 111:21	91:16,21 107:8	inaction 62:6
92:25 229:20	120:15 127:6	108:1,13 113:24	inappropriate 48:1
ideal 127:8	131:14 169:17	115:21 128:16,16	58:14 73:11 240:3
ideally 227:10	188:5,18 191:4	128:17 184:11	255:23 307:24
identifiable 172:6,8	257:14 259:5	210:11 216:19	inappropriately
identification	260:17 267:14	224:5 241:15 242:4	36:9 73:9
359:8	268:13 273:16	242:12 243:2	incarceration 44:9
identified 16:16	329:23 334:22	244:19,21 251:7	inch 106:2
192:15 228:10	335:1 359:20	261:14 262:8,16	inches 144:2,2
300:25 321:22	378:17	267:23 268:6	incidences 315:3
330:3	imminent 188:3,16	272:24 274:4 275:2	369:6,14
	188:19 189:6,12,14	276:2 277:14,21	

[incident - information]

incident 51:14,19	included 17:20	indicator 381:7	19:4 28:4,6,18 34:1
63:15 66:11 69:1,1	81:24 82:8 163:9	indicators 56:20	35:18,18 36:6
69:22 70:15 71:13	194:9 197:20	200:14 306:19	37:11 54:1 74:14
86:4 157:16 160:14	200:23 246:25	indirect 167:21	91:7,14 98:12
160:19 163:4	includes 32:7 107:2	individual 26:20	116:6 148:3,22
165:10 166:8,23	190:2 191:13,24	52:8 53:24 108:20	161:4,7,12,21
173:2 176:14	202:16,17 214:7	168:13 204:12	166:13 176:9 186:6
200:13 238:21	including 51:12	209:7 214:16	186:11 187:25
248:5 249:3 252:18	63:18 64:8 77:8	215:11 216:8,17,18	192:17,18,19 193:2
258:15,18 272:13	82:2,5 98:21,22	224:7 225:25	194:14,25 197:1,2
272:15 278:3,18,18	103:10 105:23	226:24,25 227:5	202:20 204:10
279:16 280:13	117:21 185:24	240:13 242:3 247:8	205:3,21 206:8
281:5 295:3,16	187:16 322:19	254:22 264:4 381:6	211:25 212:8 214:3
309:3 314:8 316:14	inclusion 89:19	individual's 58:22	214:4 218:24 230:7
329:7 348:13,15	204:16 382:4	82:7	236:6,10,11 240:19
376:12	inconsistencies	individualized	240:20 241:23
incidents 50:16	87:19,21	287:23 311:3	245:11 250:20
51:12 52:9,13	inconsistency 44:2	individually 135:7	253:19 254:8,9,14
63:16 69:9 107:17	180:14	individuals 52:19	256:2 258:20
157:22,23 160:4	inconsistent 43:24	60:18 78:10 125:3	259:18 260:22
161:5 169:1,14	70:22	233:22 237:18	261:3,8,13 265:19
175:6,18 183:17	incorporate 97:20	239:22 240:13	265:23 267:10,13
239:20 242:7	increased 193:14	241:17 254:13	269:3,15 270:1,9
244:15,17 246:9,11	207:16 210:4	255:22 271:8 281:7	270:19,21 271:2
246:25 249:14,18	increasing 234:3,8	288:5 298:16	272:8,10,19,22
250:18 251:1,11	297:11	308:15 331:7,13,18	273:20 274:1,5
272:4 274:14	incumbent 310:18	331:21 341:9 375:1	275:16,22 281:4,19
278:23 279:13	independent 18:6	indulgence 98:15	285:21 286:10,14
281:23 283:21	40:12,13 51:22	inexpensive 116:14	286:18 290:10
284:11 302:18	80:25 95:11 152:16	infamy 313:3	291:15 295:7,23
312:8,12,20 316:6	232:3	infant 67:5	296:8,23 297:25
368:6	independently 71:7	influence 165:17	298:18 301:2
incipient 22:16	indian 2:14	191:23 312:19	304:16,21 305:15
inclimate 141:20	indicate 266:17	341:24	308:1,3,8 320:3
include 16:12 22:3	indicated 206:6	influences 311:25	326:18,22 327:1,2
76:14,21 77:15	278:11 365:2	inform 242:15	327:4,9 335:2,10
87:9 187:23 190:11	indicates 190:8,18	249:15 262:7,12	335:18 341:10
192:3,11 193:8,11	indicating 193:22	357:16 359:14	353:20 359:11
197:25 203:16	indication 73:7	information 5:8	360:21 361:4
220:11 264:25	indications 278:15	14:14 15:17,19	362:12 364:10
339:25 358:25		16:5 17:18,22,25	367:15,17 368:16
1	1	1	

[information - intervene]

-	-		C
371:1,5,6,7,11,19	input 76:23 166:6	insuring 261:9	315:11 319:25
372:12	inputs 311:10	intake 40:3 68:7	321:16
informational	inquiries 11:12	integral 323:18	interesting 108:22
362:16	inquiry 264:18	327:16 341:10	111:5 278:21
informative 300:19	268:16	342:17 345:6	interests 156:22,23
informed 5:17	inside 50:18,18,19	363:12 384:13	207:3 208:2,7
19:15 240:21	50:23 115:10	integrate 168:9	209:17,21,21,22
254:10	117:13 140:2	171:23,24	224:1 240:4
informs 230:7	323:19,19	integrated 27:24	interface 39:4
infraction 68:24	inspecting 152:14	48:2 159:23 164:19	interfered 224:24
infractions 340:8	inspection 103:10	integration 159:8	interference 224:9
infrastructure	151:4,10 152:2,16	163:11,13	interferes 97:8
119:15 121:9	153:11	integrity 329:21	interim 57:10
127:14 129:18	inspections 102:18	intelligence 121:15	178:23 219:17
ingress 122:5,8	103:11 152:25	intelligent 129:17	interior 110:16
inherent 286:22	345:14	intent 92:4 139:17	intermediate
inherently 72:16	inspector 103:14	185:16 189:24	306:23
73:5	151:25 152:6	190:13,20 369:9	intermixing 283:13
inhibit 209:20	inspectors 103:13	intention 95:3	internal 12:6 41:25
initial 76:10 186:19	105:7 150:15,23	248:15,16 250:9	379:16
188:6,14,20 196:3	151:7,9 152:8,13	252:7	international
initially 44:11	153:2	intentions 250:2	106:16,21
45:16 65:16 137:15	install 373:12	264:21 266:14	internet 312:13
267:24	installed 109:15	275:20	313:5,8 376:10
initiated 191:16	instance 122:15	inter 87:19	interoperability
286:6	125:22 230:2	interacted 56:14	183:5
initiative 238:10,17	248:10	interaction 209:9	interpret 137:16
243:20 244:9	instances 160:9	297:17	138:3
245:19 246:20	institute 162:20	interactions 49:3	interpretation 54:3
249:11 250:4 252:8	330:1 352:9	290:15 370:24	135:22 136:22,23
252:24 253:17	institutional	371:3	137:11 142:8
256:20 258:17	154:10	interagency 181:5	interpreting
332:22 355:17	institutions 239:1	intercom 131:16,20	137:25 138:6
initiatives 127:17	285:4,7	interest 207:9	141:23 143:6
236:17	instruction 339:25	208:8 209:9 220:21	interrupt 78:9
injured 379:13	instructor 376:6	227:7 255:23	167:3 168:12
injury 274:16	instrument 9:2	273:22 276:4,17	intervene 63:12
292:8	364:17	296:13 307:22,24	74:7 112:19 120:14
inner 68:9 158:22	instrumental	312:1	234:13 265:1,9
376:15,16	322:12	interested 99:24	283:23 284:6,24
		199:20 301:24	331:6 333:8

[intervening - judd]

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• 4 • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	272 (
intervening 223:14	introduce 180:8	involvement 17:10	373:6
233:10 265:3	181:14	21:23 22:14 46:5	j
281:23	introduced 124:7	218:10 301:1	jac 45:2,8
intervention 31:25	intuitive 319:23	involves 138:1	jail 351:11
35:4 60:22 71:4	324:23	193:2 254:20	jailers 337:7
193:13 198:1 230:8	investigate 14:21	264:14	james 2:14
230:21 240:22	132:17 337:18,19	involving 257:17	jammed 316:19
241:23 243:17	investigated 226:1	268:12 280:24	janitor 258:5 284:5
254:16 260:25	investigating 95:12	281:12 289:9 300:5	january 95:16
261:7 263:7 265:2	investigation 13:9	347:2,17	jason 2:3 4:24
267:22 287:7	13:11,13 14:1 17:4	isolated 112:18	jersey 302:11,21
292:11 294:17	20:3 52:3 71:5,20	167:20 251:19	jim 383:13
296:11 298:19	111:9 255:3 257:15	294:11	jimmy 99:2
304:18,23,24	258:23 270:3	isolation 73:24	jjis 28:3,7 83:24
308:18 310:10	investigations	issue 46:15 47:21	91:8
311:3 357:9 359:14	100:14 256:11	47:22 48:4,14 52:4	job 19:24 46:14
366:18 367:19	investigative 7:23	52:5 64:7 72:15	212:24 216:14
interventions 93:13	14:11,18 15:12,14	99:23 122:9 183:14	301:10 302:3
121:1 192:8,10	15:16 17:6 187:20	222:15,20 224:2	john 354:14
206:23 230:19	194:5 261:2 269:18	268:21 277:15	johnny 43:4 332:1
235:23 256:16	investigators 6:25	issued 84:6	join 4:7 6:9 119:25
262:15 281:21	7:8 51:18 69:14	issues 36:21 46:18	joining 6:12 273:21
285:11 288:2 289:8	338:3	48:11 50:1,2,3,23	307:19 319:8
291:2 356:5	investment 225:17	50:23 51:23,25	joint 24:7
interview 193:2	invitation 153:16	53:9,12 54:11	joking 251:5
202:16,17,18 261:4	involuntary 305:20	55:22 56:11,14,21	jones 2:3 4:24 5:1,2
265:8 269:23,24	306:24	56:23 65:24 73:16	9:18 43:4,5 56:5
270:25 271:1	involve 240:24	73:23 85:15 90:16	68:5
interviewed 7:4	249:2 268:17	94:15 120:10	judd 2:16 4:17
interviewing	involved 35:1	154:13,18 158:23	46:12,13 48:22
271:22 286:12	42:11 108:6 127:12	159:19 161:15	70:25 71:1 72:14
interviews 7:16	150:8 156:9 158:5	193:6,7,7 207:19	78:9 80:10,19
13:16,17,18 188:21	175:17 179:5,10,11	234:24 281:13	81:16 85:10,12
191:14	180:12 208:20,25	288:9 289:18	88:7 92:6 93:22,23
intimately 341:17	209:1,2,3 227:12	296:18 297:24	95:8,9 96:15 97:7
intimidate 186:1	267:24 268:11,14	311:10,14,20 329:9	117:8 119:10,11
intimidation	269:9,12 270:5	356:7 359:10	121:24 122:2
366:12	271:11,16 280:19	items 5:13,16	130:20 135:25
intra 87:19	281:11 299:1 347:5	106:23 127:23	138:15 153:19,21
intrinsic 286:21	358:21	158:21 183:23	177:8,9,22 179:1
		196:10 343:10	179:11,13 205:23
			177.11,15 205.25

[judd - kind]

	1	1	
205:24 206:17	326:4,13 330:1	key 71:17 99:11	226:13 233:24
207:8,20 208:19,22	352:9	101:4 108:19 114:1	234:11 235:2 250:7
209:5,18 210:13	juvenile 2:12 21:8	114:13 129:8,9	250:18,19,20
221:7 223:15,16,18	21:23 22:4 24:10	139:25 166:16	252:23 257:20
226:17 227:3	24:18 26:16 28:2,4	229:11 265:2 274:3	258:12 260:10,10
judd's 218:9 313:6	28:6 35:8 44:24,25	309:19 313:22	267:8 276:15 279:7
judged 385:1	50:7 58:5 77:3 79:8	331:8 337:3 355:21	282:12 293:22
judges 22:6	81:13 86:7 89:18	keys 115:9	296:2 301:9 351:17
judgment 240:21	91:5 353:24 354:1	kicked 252:11	358:12 366:21
241:11	juveniles 25:20	kid 21:13 25:22	375:5 383:21
judicial 2:6 26:12	k	28:5,12,22 29:1,5	kill 165:19 195:17
79:3 80:21 90:14	k 100:9 106:25	29:18,19 30:3,7,18	220:20 273:25
273:19	136:4 137:1,4,18	31:20 32:9 34:19	280:11 284:14
julie 3:12 378:9,12	151:1 153:11	34:24,24 35:10	killed 247:5,20
julius 2:20 98:4	238:14 246:11	39:10,15 64:25	248:10 273:3
july 1:10 25:18	321:2,6 329:14	65:9,11 66:5 68:16	276:12 278:20,21
26:10 27:11,12,18	356:16,19 372:3	79:14,20,23 82:10	284:4,16 375:23
77:9 95:17	kansas 245:10	82:14,16,21 84:4,4	376:2
jump 376:7	keep 5:17,22 10:3	86:7 87:12 90:1	killer 175:5
june 4:11,22 29:20	17:22 18:14 31:17	155:16 228:17	killing 171:13
junior 3:1 149:20	33:21 41:25 51:19	231:4 251:9 269:10	kind 54:20 55:15
374:23	75:16 83:16,23	269:12 271:4,10	58:4 73:15 75:12
jurisdiction 100:8	84:9 85:24 92:4	272:20 274:2,6	98:16 99:6 104:10
102:5,15 106:24	119:16 121:21	277:6 279:23	129:13 152:10
111:17 120:8 136:9	125:3 129:24 130:2	282:22 283:24	196:15,19 197:17
151:1 305:22	125.5 129.24 150.2	294:1,2 295:14,18	206:20 214:16
306:16	282:20 288:12	295:18 301:17	228:18 241:3 244:3
jurisdiction's	298:19,20 300:11	357:17	244:17 248:20
183:13	304:25 308:20,24	kid's 35:9 93:5	249:22 251:19,21
jurisdictional	319:16 333:8	287:5	252:6 253:14
120:10	346:20,24 360:14	kidding 295:1	255:18 258:21
jurisdictions 178:3	373:10	kidnapping 369:8	260:2 262:21
305:18,23	keeping 126:7	kids 30:22,23 31:11	264:24 266:16,24
justice 2:12 21:23	132:20 262:25	38:22 39:23 45:3	269:15 270:8 275:6
22:4 24:10,19 28:2	356:10	47:2,12,25 56:22	275:10 276:2 277:9
28:4,6,21 35:9	keeps 367:15	62:12,16 66:8,12	278:6 280:2,22,23
46:22 47:5,10 50:7	keeps 367:13 keiser 365:4	66:21 71:11,11	281:2,8,23 285:11
50:12 64:21 83:17		72:2,24 74:11	287:14 295:20
85:25 88:10,13,14	kentucky 284:3,17	83:16,23 85:5,8,24	296:10 302:9,16,18
89:18 227:12	kept 38:22 45:12	128:13 131:7 144:9	303:11 330:17
248:22 325:22	203:22 224:10	144:11 224:10	343:2 344:3 352:15

[kind - larkin]

Page 426

[1
381:1	117:2,3,8 120:4,6,8	279:21 281:24	known 34:11
kindergarten 59:2	120:9,22 121:4	283:5,13 284:11	101:12,14 104:5
60:15	122:3 124:17,23	289:11 290:8,24	106:15 109:14
kinds 57:13 74:10	126:6,18,20 127:12	292:4 293:6 295:11	149:9,13 157:17
131:22 235:14	127:17,23 128:11	296:1 297:9 298:12	167:16 306:2
239:8 241:21	128:11,17 129:9,11	298:12,13 300:4	knows 40:22 56:6
244:14 251:1	129:13,19,24	301:21,22,23 302:1	78:20 201:4 218:19
267:19 279:14	130:20 131:2,18	302:5,7 303:10,25	257:11 341:4
299:11	132:15 135:12	304:6,13,24 305:10	1
knew 68:11 75:7	136:18,19 146:8	305:11,17,21	la 305:5
250:8,9,10,18	147:9 150:18	306:16 307:8,25	labeling 333:6
277:11 284:16	151:16 152:4 153:8	310:8 312:16,18	labor 164:6,7
316:5 327:8 328:22	154:9 155:15	313:7,16,25 314:12	laboratory 115:19
334:10 335:4	159:11 160:21	315:8,14,15 317:7	lack 160:8 162:1
348:11 353:12	161:6 162:14	317:9,12,15 319:22	189:23,24 290:15
354:24 359:21	164:24 165:18	320:7 332:19	361:5,19 362:11
360:6	167:6,11,11 170:15	333:10 334:11	lacks 189:20
knife 248:11	172:11 174:6	338:1 340:24 342:3	ladies 373:5
316:13,14	179:21,23 181:8,22	342:18,20 343:6,24	lady 85:12 315:12
know 5:22 7:7 8:19	182:3,16,24 195:11	343:25 344:2,2	laid 51:11 95:10
10:13,22 16:2,21	195:22 197:14	345:19 347:6	195:19 199:6 202:2
21:5 23:16 25:8	198:24 199:2,17,18	350:10 351:18	203:1 206:11
27:13,14 30:25	199:19 200:3 204:9	353:12 355:2,9	landed 365:14
31:5 34:1 37:6 43:7	205:9,12,14 206:3	358:12 359:5,10	
43:8 46:4 48:21	207:17 211:7,20	362:15 363:8,14	landscaping 323:15
49:4 55:17 56:3	214:22 215:19	366:15,19,22,22	language 84:22
57:18 58:3 59:4	216:18 217:6,20	368:7,10,12 369:7	141:24 183:11,12 278:6 313:23
60:13,20,22,25	219:2 220:5 222:4	369:8,10 370:10,17	
61:5 62:1,7,14 63:3	223:21 224:23	370:18 372:9 374:5	331:15
65:2,5,5,6 68:4	228:12 229:21	374:20 375:11	lantana 82:11,13
69:25 72:2 76:1	233:25 235:2,3,5,9	376:16 377:1,4	large 53:23 145:15
82:19,23,24 83:20	244:8,14 249:20	380:2,12,15,17,20	147:9 173:15
83:24 84:2,11 85:8	250:1 253:4,23,25	383:1	179:21 225:12
85:15,23 86:10	254:20 256:3,4,15	knowing 54:16	359:1
88:8,9,25 90:4	261:17,18 262:4,5	209:23 223:20	largely 15:9 18:10
91:13 93:9 95:14	262:11,20 263:25	knowledge 8:11	22:25 27:11 53:23
95:15,21 96:6	264:17 266:1,1,9	14:17 15:11 18:17	319:6
100:9 104:21 106:5	266:12 267:1	36:6 114:1 147:19	larger 118:7
107:13,24 108:10	269:12,14 270:11	154:5,10,10 155:6	largest 158:7
108:12 109:11	272:1 275:14,17,24	158:16 166:4	162:23
110:9 116:11,13	276:3,20,25 277:11	301:24 305:12	larkin 2:11 55:10
	, -,		150:7 151:14 196:1

[larkin - level]

196:15,19 197:10	171:23,24 180:25	lawyers 12:19	legality 313:14
197:24	182:19 183:4 188:4	layers 103:23	legally 9:25
larry 2:9	200:21 203:16	357:17 358:6	legged 104:11
las 130:8 160:12	204:24 205:2,16	lays 51:3 102:9	legislation 9:3
173:24 181:9,9,13	225:13,23 226:6	350:19	58:12 81:3 85:1
276:11	239:14 240:8	lead 77:11,11 223:3	138:9 151:5,13
lastly 302:1 325:12	243:13 244:15	leader 80:11	253:6 322:8 325:16
345:12 356:11	245:8,14,18 248:2	181:10	327:10 328:4 331:9
366:21	256:8,25 258:3,23	leadership 92:12	331:10 335:17
latch 114:2	259:8 260:17,25	leading 18:14	339:4,9,24
late 229:17 232:19	267:22,23 268:1,7	68:10 252:21	legislative 81:19
237:17	268:10,13,17,21,23	270:15 278:18	105:13,16 360:5
latest 248:24 312:6	268:25 269:5,8,11	280:13 284:1	legislatively 137:21
346:13 360:15	273:19 280:4,7	352:16	legislature 96:2
372:11	287:22 295:6	leads 15:24 71:8	101:6 102:24
lauderdale 172:25	297:14,17 300:11	leaf 114:3	105:14 234:20
launch 246:19	304:2 305:6 306:11	learn 121:13 205:5	lend 303:13
264:18	309:22 310:20	245:16 277:22	lengthy 101:13
launched 245:20	313:11 320:8,9,10	321:10,11 376:25	110:19
246:10	320:11,17,22	385:2	lesser 305:18
lauren 2:17	322:22,23 325:1	learned 237:2	306:22
law 2:7 4:25 5:3 8:6	326:8,9 328:11	238:7 262:12 267:7	lesson 66:19
8:17 9:20 10:3 13:9	330:4,8,11,15,20	305:2 370:23,24	lessons 267:7
13:11 15:3 16:6,7	330:23,25 332:17	371:3	321:11
22:7 25:18 26:1,1,9	332:22 333:18	learning 3:2 17:5	lethal 248:15,16
26:10,11,14 27:12	335:10,14 336:16	185:6 198:18	382:25 383:25
27:18 28:20 34:11	336:17 337:2,4,9	249:18 299:17	lethality 383:2
34:12,19,21,22	337:22 339:10,18	leave 204:19 322:7	letter 11:8,14 296:4
35:1,3 44:7 45:10	340:1,2,3,17 341:1	360:25 378:15	letting 106:4
45:19 47:13 50:12	341:3,7,21,25	led 17:13 36:4	level 9:6,7 45:7
50:20 54:4 73:21	342:11 353:24	181:12 186:8	52:11 56:2 60:17
77:12,19 83:18	354:4,5,15 357:10	296:22	63:23 64:2 68:14
84:1,2,6,15 87:5	363:1,5,13 364:2	left 86:5 174:6,8	68:15,18,20,25
92:13 95:16,23	365:12 368:23,24	232:9 247:24 309:6	69:4,5 74:19
96:9,19 97:3,9,10	369:4,11,19,21,22	legal 12:18 45:18	102:13 129:8
100:11 101:7,9	370:8,11	45:21 51:23 57:19	146:14 160:15,17
103:15,17,18	laws 54:25 60:2	207:13 209:13	185:14 186:19,20
121:12 150:19	129:9 130:11,19,22	240:24 291:4 311:5	187:22 188:8,22,23
158:24 163:12	131:22 137:17	354:15 356:6	189:1,19,22 190:2
165:25 167:20	138:12 209:20	359:10,13	190:6,22 191:1,4,6
169:5 170:10			191:9,20 192:14,21
	1		

	1	1	
192:25 193:8 195:3	310:24 377:2	listening 319:18	312:15 323:2
195:14 196:10,13	life's 283:13	listing 115:18	344:19 373:6
196:14,21,21,25	lifestyle 375:2	lit 365:9	localities 326:17
197:4,15,15 202:3	lifetime 79:10,12	litigated 12:20 54:5	336:13 337:5
202:4,5,7,15	333:11	little 7:12 29:13	locality 326:21
203:11 206:19,19	light 168:24 382:14	54:20 58:23 59:22	located 109:22
209:1 212:23	lighting 114:6	59:24,25 71:13	158:6
215:21 217:16	liked 257:23	74:9,17 75:12	location 124:23
221:24 222:23	315:13	76:11 79:5 89:17	157:16
228:16 242:20	likelihood 44:1	103:22 113:2	lock 114:21 155:22
243:5,7 260:19	likewise 101:18	166:21 167:22	320:23 329:17
263:2 265:6,9	102:13	236:15 237:13,15	353:11,12,13,16
269:5,9 285:8	limit 25:3,5 49:18	241:11 244:1,12	373:11
286:1,2 288:8	129:9 159:1	255:7 257:3 271:24	locked 113:18,19
292:17 293:20,23	limitation 33:10	278:14 282:1	115:1,4 126:24
297:3,6,12 306:20	96:18 114:23	285:15 286:16	140:1 209:11
309:21 311:7	limitations 100:7	289:20 292:20	277:13 323:15
312:16 321:22	limited 32:22 33:3	296:25 315:16	373:10
334:4 336:21 340:6	33:7,16 170:19	367:7 377:7 379:24	locking 101:2
340:13 344:9	171:3 193:12	383:11	113:6,22 114:13,16
347:23 348:2 349:1	220:22 373:2	live 217:10 375:25	114:25 115:10,24
349:2,5 356:3	limits 32:15 33:14	377:2 378:2	121:22 126:19
362:21 364:6,18	lina 334:9 357:7	lives 20:3,4 116:20	127:1 128:7,9
380:19	365:2	116:22 119:13	139:15 345:20
levels 69:15 186:21	linda 3:4 37:23	170:20 254:24	locks 113:25
188:24 189:15	236:3	load 125:18 126:2	139:12,14
355:24	line 62:8 106:5	local 47:22 53:16	loco 323:10 324:3
leverage 340:12	145:5 211:19,23	91:12 100:16,17	337:15 341:6
liaising 288:23	214:3 227:19 233:4	102:12 105:7 107:4	logic 151:16
lie 375:10	356:4	108:18 120:9 129:8	lombardo 181:10
lieu 37:20,21	lines 89:22 233:8	136:19 137:6	loner 251:9
lieutenants 165:4	234:6	150:15 151:8	loners 251:18
life 7:21 19:21 20:2	link 116:6 335:20	152:23,23 153:1	long 2:24 47:1
55:12 72:3 73:3	341:14	183:13 233:4 240:8	98:18 117:24
101:14 117:20	list 33:24 192:23	243:7 256:8,25	119:25 121:17,18
136:10,11 139:17	220:5,9 311:18	258:3,23 259:7	122:1,13 129:10
146:24 169:17	346:4	267:22,23 268:1,5	147:22 159:20,24
231:19 251:13	listed 109:19 116:1	268:7,10,21 280:4	165:15 175:10,12
252:21 253:15	116:12 127:4	280:7,11,12 288:20	180:1,2 198:7,13
255:9 275:23	188:10 211:13	294:23 295:5,6	223:5 224:24
284:21 287:5	235:21 249:12	297:21 303:16,18	229:21 246:15

[1	1	l
253:5 277:18 297:1	looked 42:12 54:8	lost 116:20 290:2	303:13 305:8
305:8 354:14	73:24 116:13	376:11	307:25 312:4,18,24
360:13	122:21,22 126:8	lot 6:21 13:18	315:5,13 318:3,5,8
longboat 99:11	158:15,20 169:3	16:13 19:20 20:2,2	318:10 321:10
longer 32:4 65:25	199:1 282:25	48:15 55:20,21,22	330:8 335:2 336:13
67:10 290:12	311:10,19 312:1	56:2 59:17,22,22	336:25 356:7,8
longest 269:19	313:1 317:19 365:2	59:24,25 60:8 61:1	359:4 360:22 367:5
321:3	365:3	63:4 64:25 73:17	370:23 375:5
look 10:21 11:1	looking 67:3	75:20 93:5 98:11	378:20
13:5 16:10 43:9	107:25 125:1	100:2,20 101:25	lots 182:4
55:23 60:13 72:22	127:12 142:20	106:5,23 107:3,10	loud 122:20
74:23,23 84:4	155:24 168:8,18	108:6,13,17 109:9	love 357:22
111:14 119:11	171:20 196:10,25	109:14 110:23	loved 161:14 379:5
121:3,8 125:6	197:3 199:12 207:2	112:24 114:8 115:3	low 107:18 188:8
128:24 129:2 131:2	208:7,12 215:16,20	115:19 116:19,20	189:17,19,22 190:1
131:2 154:1,14	216:16 234:2,8,13	119:18,24 122:13	190:6,22 196:5,17
157:1,12,16,22,24	234:15 237:17	124:17 125:17	197:14 212:23
163:17 166:18,20	238:2,25 239:5,9	126:9 127:1,17	215:21 228:4,5
166:21 167:2,15,18	239:17 242:2,14	128:10,18 133:15	264:15 324:10,17
167:22 168:4	243:11,22 245:3	149:22,22 156:19	325:12,13 348:2
169:18 170:24	246:11 248:13,14	164:25 166:14	355:24 356:4 380:5
171:21 172:5	248:14 249:15,17	168:1 176:5,6	lower 202:5 260:19
174:21 175:14	255:4 279:23 282:9	180:13 181:2,2	297:3,11 345:10
176:14 179:15,19	283:19 286:9 287:3	182:11 217:24,25	lowered 378:16
179:24 181:18	291:12,21 292:1	227:15 232:11,16	luckily 316:17
197:8 198:4 202:20	299:2,4,5 304:5	233:22 236:10	lunch 184:2 378:18
210:18 235:20	312:19 313:20,25	237:8 242:16,19	378:19
240:21 243:14	339:16 360:7	243:4 245:11,16	lunchtime 278:19
255:6,7 270:9,16	361:12 362:9,15	248:7,25 253:5,25	lying 366:15
272:19,21 274:3	364:11,13 377:17	259:9,23 260:5	m
276:2 277:20,20	383:20	264:23 265:18	m 384:5,5
278:13 285:3	looks 42:13,15	267:2,8 268:8	ma'am 146:23
291:16 294:1 300:3	93:12 110:3 224:16	269:14 272:7 273:5	machete 273:14
306:5 309:19 312:9	293:1,2 343:23	273:22 275:23	316:16
315:6 317:5,20	378:10	276:17 277:18	magic 209:23
331:17 350:10	loose 119:4,5	281:3,21,22 284:11	216:11,12 220:15
360:19 364:14	los 305:1	285:16 286:8 288:5	223:20 226:21
365:19 368:14	lose 282:16	290:8 291:12	313:7 377:23
369:6 374:21	loses 166:10	292:13,13 295:16	magistrate 307:10
377:15 379:21	loss 252:10,12,12	295:23,25 296:5,8	mail 194:6
	252:13 281:12	297:17 301:1	
	1	1	

[mailed - maximums]

	Γ	Ι	Γ
mailed 127:24	man 106:13	313:8	marshals 100:2,18
main 317:19,20	manage 230:4	manifest 357:13	102:11,17 119:20
mainstream 251:17	241:22 254:15	manifestation	151:9 152:17
382:2	managed 336:3	52:18 65:24 71:25	153:12
maintain 327:25	348:10,17	manner 58:8 160:7	mary 3:2 184:18
maintained 62:23	management 261:6	161:18 164:17	185:5
123:12 156:9 196:4	287:18,22 288:1,3	166:13 170:13	maryellen 185:23
maintaining 382:4	299:16 304:18	172:2 186:24	masonry 131:4
maintenance	305:9 308:12 317:3	manual 41:21	mass 47:20 71:8
112:22 193:21	335:12 346:23	110:6,9,10,21	113:20 130:8 134:6
major 102:21	351:25 354:16,23	187:3,8 194:11,11	134:20 239:17
113:17 131:17	355:24 363:20	206:11 221:5	276:8,18 311:13,20
179:2,4 300:24	376:22 380:1	334:14	312:20 314:8 315:1
383:4	manager 3:5 319:3	manually 41:24	massachusetts
majority 10:7	329:11	111:8 123:7	256:22 263:14
242:7 244:2,4	managing 229:15	march 239:17	match 384:5
249:2,24 250:1	229:20 317:4	246:14,18	material 13:22 21:4
251:14 252:25	mandate 14:11	marijuana 24:7	98:25 129:15 258:4
274:14 278:25	313:7 327:17	marjory 1:3 18:13	280:10
281:11 285:1 307:2	344:14 349:16,23	112:13 182:2 366:9	materials 191:15
315:19,21 338:8	352:24,24 359:19	367:15	280:16
350:11	360:5	market 115:15	matrix 31:24 49:10
maker 38:14 39:8	mandated 39:16	136:1 138:15 333:4	49:12,13 59:11
42:3	186:10,25 234:18	marketing 331:22	63:7,23 64:2 68:22
making 35:2 59:10	323:3 326:14 328:6	332:23	70:21,23 118:18
90:1 96:6 129:7	328:13,16 329:5,6	married 48:2	222:2 223:3,6,11
153:23 191:24	329:13,17 330:12	320:11	matter 18:11 83:2
232:17 256:4,5	332:16,19 342:19	marshal 100:7,20	92:18 108:8 121:25
259:1 262:22	342:23 345:12	101:3,5,10 103:7	122:2 127:18
263:23 264:12	353:11 361:21	127:22 137:19	153:23,25 154:12
265:7 279:19	365:3	142:18 150:12	177:12,23 196:9
280:10 295:19	mandates 34:21	marshal's 100:10	220:2 283:22
308:4 323:14	102:25 104:17	102:13 105:11	328:21 354:11
363:17	181:25 328:4	136:1 142:3 150:9	361:13 365:13
male 247:4 315:17	333:20 362:10	151:19	mature 62:19
316:13	mandating 322:1	marshall 97:23	matured 67:9
males 315:20	326:12	99:2,4	225:12
mall 24:6 28:15	mandatory 186:12	marshall's 2:21	max 2:8
29:9,16,17 30:3	187:16 212:2,19	98:5	maximum 79:9
79:15 82:12 176:19	217:24 218:4,4,15	marshalls 99:6,21	maximums 44:10
	220:11,12 223:10	99:22	

[mayor - michaelis]

	1	1	1
mayor 374:24	medellin 375:24	245:13 318:25	mentally 307:7
mean 58:3 64:24	media 17:10,15	376:10 378:19	mention 15:20
66:7 68:20 95:21	23:1 49:3 174:15	381:11 385:15	99:21 116:8 383:2
122:9,10 133:4	266:11 275:13	meetings 6:19 15:5	mentioned 9:19
134:1 146:2 155:7	301:20,25 305:10	15:23 164:25 165:5	11:7,13 17:19
155:8 166:5 207:22	311:16 312:3 313:5	213:16 229:22	48:22 49:5 50:13
220:20 228:11	313:18 317:13	meets 110:11	53:7 109:2 124:18
235:19 242:1	mediation 192:12	139:16 301:11	136:7 138:16 150:7
253:21 254:16	299:5,15	melissa 2:11	180:20 227:24
311:11,12 325:7	medical 53:20	member 40:4 52:6	317:10 327:12
330:9 339:6 340:9	281:13 378:15	201:2 212:2 218:4	349:9,24 352:21
342:5 351:2,4,23	medicine 383:17	252:13 267:15,25	359:8 367:20 368:3
353:18 367:18	medicines 383:13	281:14,17	377:3,24
meaning 7:24,25	medium 188:8	members 2:1 8:21	mentoring 339:20
141:6 323:6 348:2	189:17 190:4,25	9:17 14:18 39:13	mentorship 290:17
means 8:7 41:14	196:5,17 197:14	51:9 98:9 161:24	mesh 174:4,10
91:4 110:7 143:1,3	212:23 215:21	163:19 176:9	meshes 154:7
143:15,15,20,23,24	228:5 355:25 356:5	186:12 187:16,22	message 25:9
144:15 171:1 172:7	meet 5:18 14:10	197:5 200:18 201:7	351:14 376:15
200:9 243:1 270:23	31:12 36:10 103:13	201:10,11 217:24	messaging 174:14
290:1 353:4 384:8	103:15 108:11	218:5,16 220:11,12	174:16
384:16	112:1 127:4,25	261:24 262:1,17	met 6:15 13:12
meant 168:24	134:6,19 150:23	269:21 277:10	139:11 271:19
331:6 350:20 351:8	151:7 214:12 263:5	324:23 339:24	292:17,17 294:20
measure 15:11	296:16,18 308:10	memory 71:14	295:6 302:21
measurement	317:25 322:3	160:24 171:18	339:11 376:11
66:21	337:24 377:25	mental 15:2 187:4	metal 373:9
measures 241:15	meeting 1:4 4:1,3	187:5,11,17 193:15	method 114:5
291:15	4:10,11,22 5:5,7,19	197:6 200:23	128:7 253:13 292:3
measuring 343:3	5:23 6:5,9,11 7:13	204:25 217:7	methodology 238:3
meat 7:14 19:2	8:21 10:5,14,20	218:20 219:6,21	238:7
mechanism 114:17	11:3,7,14 13:6	222:16 229:24	methods 382:3
114:25 115:10	14:15,19,22 15:1	245:7 247:9,14	384:1
197:12 234:5	15:13,18,21 16:9	285:6,10 288:9,23	metropolitan 163:3
260:21 265:13	16:15,19,24 17:1	289:3,14 299:7,10	miat 68:9
266:20 353:5	17:24 33:25 54:24	305:5 306:25	michael 2:13,24
mechanisms 101:2	55:3,8 57:10 68:9	307:13,20 309:17	3:13 380:22
113:7 114:13,16	98:1 112:25 184:6	310:21 327:2 342:1	michaelis 3:5 319:2
115:6,21,24 126:19	184:10 213:3,10,22	342:10 357:13,13	319:10 364:21
127:2 233:4 267:12	231:3,12 232:23	357:14 359:11	366:2 368:20
282:13,14	234:17 237:4	373:14	370:21 372:1,19,22

[microphone - move]

microphone 143:18	minutes 4:10,13,14	330:24 332:20	monthly 197:21
mid 335:24 336:1	4:21 98:25 132:21	352:21 355:11,13	301:12
middle 9:11 35:25	244:2,18,19 307:21	364:21,25,25 365:5	months 12:8 29:22
36:19,23 39:5	318:22 319:21	365:6,8,14 384:11	57:11 79:13 82:20
109:7 273:7,9	373:3 378:8	modeled 319:7	178:13 229:14
286:1 292:16	mirror 88:21	models 181:8 365:3	244:5 280:13,14
293:19 316:17	misbehave 24:4	modification 193:9	316:1 380:2,2
322:3 338:7,10,16	misbehavior 23:18	modified 136:13	moore 354:14
338:18 344:25	63:13	modify 64:2	mop 15:16
362:24 373:9,21	mischief 34:12 44:7	modifying 234:3	morning 4:2 9:18
midnight 8:2	73:2	module 363:21,25	10:1,9 18:24 19:16
mike 98:17	misdemeanor	378:1	20:21 33:20 51:8,9
mile 133:13	30:19 34:13 35:2	modules 361:2	156:17 218:8
mill 173:13	44:8,17,22	364:14	247:22 261:23
million 107:20	misinterpreted	mom 39:18 358:7,7	265:15 357:24
225:4 336:22,22	330:9	moment 4:4,6 67:2	358:11 372:5 385:9
375:21	misjudged 251:3	moment's 219:22	385:10,13
mimicked 335:14	missed 55:12 60:21	mommy 377:6	motels 114:8
mimics 324:4	68:2	monday 258:8,14	mother 37:24 45:9
mind 18:14 33:21	missing 74:12	monetary 301:15	61:20,20,21,25
118:9 176:25	163:22 164:22	money 153:1	68:5 231:23 232:21
262:25 281:18	358:4 375:5	294:24 336:23	motion 4:14,16,20
282:20 308:20,24	mission 71:23	337:1 346:2 359:22	81:17 86:16 89:5
376:17 383:6,8,8	241:19	362:8	89:13 90:4,5 93:17
383:13,19	mistake 96:11	monitor 37:2 60:16	93:21 94:10,11,18
minds 375:13 376:8	mistakes 24:16	92:17 190:23	94:22 96:23 97:1,7
377:18,19	misuse 111:1	214:16 230:14	97:12,14,18 113:22
mine 351:4	mitigate 106:12	231:7 233:8 301:25	114:12
mini 133:3	164:15 165:10	373:11,13	motions 95:11
minimum 79:13	240:23,25 256:19	monitoring 13:8	motivated 222:10
134:10 141:10	286:23 287:6	32:4 59:5,12	motivation 189:24
168:17,19 268:23	mitigating 293:14	111:25 230:11	motives 243:22
minimums 172:9	mitigation 166:7	317:22	274:12
minor 23:15 24:4	mixed 67:18 73:15	monster 68:16	mou 330:24
24:11,17 25:4 34:2	312:5 358:25	130:7	mouth 17:14
37:5 47:13 60:9	mixing 49:7	monsters 130:5	mouthing 332:3
minute 30:11 93:5	mode 298:7	month 6:19,23 7:17	movable 124:20
97:21 113:23 174:1	model 184:24	104:6 141:3,7,15	125:21
318:18 334:16,16	198:21 245:25	141:22 142:9,10	move 19:9 20:25
369:17	259:11,14 263:13	143:2 278:2	46:12 47:17 56:7
	318:4,6,20 329:13		68:14 72:15 74:11
	1	1	1

81:17 88:23 92:3	61:8 79:4 103:23	nationwide 366:5	174:18 177:12,24
95:7 98:16 117:22	110:2 112:14 119:5	370:20	177:25 178:14,22
139:21 143:17	120:18 127:7 146:5	natural 106:13	191:20 195:22
152:10 181:19	151:12 185:14	108:9 223:7	225:17,18 226:22
182:15 196:14	186:6,11 194:5	nature 22:21 52:15	227:15 230:15
206:19 236:3 331:3	254:8 261:15 270:1	58:6 62:14 382:15	231:16 246:3,16,17
356:25	274:5 275:7 284:14	384:13	256:19 264:8 265:8
moved 57:11,12	285:22 286:10,15	navigating 52:10	266:9,12,13 271:13
68:17 85:13	municipalities	nay 81:23 86:23	277:3 279:13
moves 364:9	182:3	94:1	287:17 288:18
movie 113:17	municipality 102:6	near 102:2 356:17	289:8 299:9,23
115:17	muscle 160:24	nearly 109:5	300:4 321:23
moving 100:5	171:18	346:11	327:16 333:3
124:22 139:7 200:1	mutual 115:19	necessarily 9:6	343:12 344:12
383:9	120:10 158:7	196:24 197:18	346:3 350:23
msd 18:17 232:9	n	204:5,8 218:2	351:17,20 355:7
373:4	n 378:11	219:9 307:14	356:17 358:14,15
mucenic 3:2 184:18	nah 81:8	necessary 32:22	358:16,17 359:24
185:4,5 194:23	name 263:9 292:24	81:18 223:1 332:12	360:3,8,14,18,22
196:8,18,24 197:18	293:2 305:22	345:11 352:23	361:1 362:13 369:7
198:3,9,12,15	named 329:19	354:13 357:3 381:8	381:18
199:3,18 200:11,17	named 329.19 names 220:5	necessitated 52:18	needed 173:23
200:20 201:13	297:22 368:23	140:12	192:17,18,19
202:14 203:5,19	narcissism 192:4	necklace 28:15	262:18 283:23
204:13 205:16	narrative 171:12	need 10:22 13:17	326:19 354:25
206:4,22 207:12	narrow 67:12	19:15 20:23 24:8	355:3 359:21
208:9,21,24 209:12	narrower 14:1	32:10 33:21 47:17	needing 128:12
210:3 211:1,16	nation 107:21	54:17 60:9 62:5	340:14
212:4,17 213:5,8	160:16 178:14	64:19 67:7,7,12	needs 29:25 48:5
213:18,24 214:7,15	302:4 321:1,4,5	72:15 74:18 76:12	48:15,16 71:4
214:19 215:8 216:6	325:23 329:19	81:3,14 83:14,21	72:17 74:22 81:12
216:15 217:2,5,9	330:4,7 352:16	84:8 85:15 86:1	85:4,8 132:6
218:14 219:11	372:16 381:24	88:20 91:13 108:5	162:12 163:12,13
220:1,7,10,24	national 3:4 99:20	117:4 120:24 123:6	174:4 180:5 181:17
221:15,19 222:4	99:22 100:1 101:11	123:17 126:24	209:8 225:25
228:3,19 229:4	106:6,17 111:12	128:6 130:10,22	260:25 268:9
230:6 231:6 234:12	119:19,19 142:17	133:17 134:7	269:15 322:3 338:3
235:15,19	162:18,20,25 236:5	152:10 154:19	340:23 344:3
multiple 25:12,24	236:21 330:1 352:9	160:6 161:12,13	345:22 351:21
26:4,8 28:25,25	381:25	170:12,12,20 171:4	359:25 380:18
35:19,20 36:2,3	501.25	171:16 173:5 174:9	382:4
			1

[negative - obviously]

	1	1	
negative 36:4	nfpa 101:12,15	normally 251:18	215:9 221:18
286:17 290:8	106:2,2 109:5,14	northam 319:12	230:22 300:20,24
neglect 62:6	109:15 110:19	note 16:21 42:10	329:19 330:3 366:4
neglecting 208:1	111:12,13 113:8	188:3,9 192:22	366:5,5,6,6,6
negligence 224:12	114:19 116:2	258:5,7,10,18	386:18
negligent 325:14	155:22 156:19,21	notebook 106:3	numbers 157:1
325:14	158:3 162:16,17,21	notes 10:17 273:1	316:20 343:1,2
neighbor 256:6	163:6 164:11 168:7	333:20 386:9	numerous 22:2
285:20 311:1	169:7 174:12	notice 179:3 219:22	45:23 52:8
neither 30:13	175:15 178:5,8,19	294:14 297:9	nurse 53:20
nelson 2:4	179:17,19 181:24	noticed 354:22	nurseries 109:6
nesref 137:22	nfpa's 178:9	362:23	0
138:4 143:23 150:1	nicholas 37:24 68:5	notification 110:17	o'toole 185:23
network 313:10	217:16	134:6,20 191:3	object 76:24
never 31:15 45:20	nidelis 386:6,17	192:10 225:7	objective 16:1
49:1 50:4,4 91:18	night 284:1 358:8	227:24 228:18	240:11,16
132:15,18 153:3	nih 382:18 383:16	268:13	objectives 116:4
226:12 241:2	384:9	notifications 168:6	objects 124:22
256:14 262:22	nine 72:24 73:3	174:14	obtain 116:5
337:24 356:14	280:14 322:21	notified 111:20	obtained 6:21
374:16,16 376:6	338:12 346:25	258:12,13 269:5	obtains 188:19
377:14 379:22	347:20,21 349:3,4	295:17	obvious 114:5
new 6:21 38:8	359:1	notify 191:2 211:9	264:11 265:6 266:5
48:11 82:25 83:1	nineteen 247:22	211:20 228:6,7,14	obviously 39:10
111:10 134:7	338:5	228:25 313:11	49:1 64:24 108:23
141:23,23 181:3,4	ninety 123:1 245:6	334:23	110:24 129:24
184:8 226:13,13,14	347:2	november 22:9	130:1 154:24 182:2
236:17 245:19	ninth 330:6	36:17 38:2 39:6,12	210:21 236:13
246:10,25 253:20	nobody's 130:15	40:15 70:11 231:23	246:2 248:17 249:5
256:21 272:14	non 16:14 23:6,15	number 11:11 25:3	255:11 262:25
287:8 301:5 302:11	30:19 52:15 65:16	25:5 52:21 61:4	264:10 268:11
302:13,15,21 305:2	94:15 166:14 222:2	78:8 83:19 88:19	272:22 275:5,13
315:25 330:19	222:11 348:18,22	88:20 94:6 105:4	276:23 277:6
383:25 384:3	382:25 383:2,25	105:22 107:18,22	281:20,25 284:25
news 349:8 373:6	noncompliance	111:2 112:25 113:7	286:2,7 287:19
newspaper 170:3	222:19 223:12	118:21,22,24 119:3	289:11 291:4 292:8
176:20	nonprofit 376:13	119:5,7 142:4,13	295:17 298:10
newspapers 335:8	nonviolent 366:20	157:19 175:5	299:6,19 302:3
nexus 240:9	normal 58:16	198:20,22,23	307:8,23 310:7
nfda 122:19	180:20 258:14	199:10 206:11	312:3,11 316:9
	261:20	210:21 211:2,13	329:22 331:25

[obviously - operate]

342:24 348:25	offenses 21:17 25:4	331:1 337:10	384:18
354:9 365:24	31:12,21 33:11,15	338:10,15,15,19,22	old 28:16 70:20
occupancies 98:21	33:22 34:15 48:23	339:7,8,10 341:8	247:3,20 272:16
105:22 116:15	62:13 79:9,23	341:21 342:12	273:3 374:11
122:14 157:17,21	offer 63:1,1	344:1 357:10	377:12
174:20	offered 23:2,3	365:13 374:5	older 377:7
occupancy 109:16	238:6	375:19,20	once 12:25 32:1,5
119:6 146:13	office 2:21,24 6:1	offices 303:24	35:15 37:7 39:11
occupant 110:17	6:16 8:8 11:17 42:2	official 120:3	48:13 62:24 71:6
125:18 126:2	42:2 45:15 50:6	237:20	111:20 141:7
occupants 107:9	83:5 98:5,19	officials 237:3,4	146:14 160:25
113:10,11 131:13	100:11 102:14	239:15 271:22	182:14 212:12
175:1	105:11 133:4 136:2	299:25	222:9 231:2 254:13
occur 15:15 16:14	142:3 150:9 151:19	offline 305:14	377:7
18:8 26:22 67:11	152:17 153:12	oftentimes 278:22	ones 39:3 116:19
67:14 180:24	295:5 380:5	oh 69:8 144:20	160:11 161:6,9,14
244:17 353:16	officer 2:19 20:14	272:1 275:24 380:7	208:22,23 251:19
occurred 18:7	29:16 32:15,17,20	okaloosa 2:9	283:1 303:16 307:8
58:16 63:15 67:9	32:22,25 33:3,16	okay 4:13 20:12	350:7
68:6 109:6 112:23	82:11,13,19,22	27:3 60:15 62:21	ongoing 12:6 73:12
133:5 232:5 242:18	84:3 95:12 96:19	79:16 80:19 81:23	166:23 348:16
252:20 258:16	99:2 126:25 187:19	86:20 90:25 93:24	360:8
276:11 277:22	205:11 248:2	94:1 97:14,17 98:2	online 217:8,21
328:25 348:7,12,13	257:18 267:25	107:11 117:24	236:15 273:22,25
382:9,13 384:7	268:6 303:2,12,20	126:16 131:3,13	275:14,14 360:22
occurring 31:6	320:12 326:11,22	136:25 138:3,5	364:13
178:2 197:13	326:23 330:15	140:18 141:22	open 5:5 15:23
occurs 187:21	336:16,20 337:2,5	144:17 145:19	17:16 114:17
254:21 338:23	337:13,14,23	147:7,10,10 149:4	124:11 130:6 139:6
october 11:25	338:25 339:19	149:19 152:10	146:19 176:16
22:19 217:11 278:8	340:9,17 341:25	179:12 182:18	178:16 179:4 211:8
380:3	352:18 363:2	185:11 197:10,24	280:4 310:13
odd 334:12	373:16	200:12 203:6	341:25
offender 36:11	officer's 33:14 97:9	211:12 212:7	opened 138:24
offenders 23:16	officers 7:2 28:20	214:11,17,21	139:9
24:12 30:15,16	33:7 95:24 97:4	217:12,19 221:20	operability 158:22
31:3 44:17,22	112:22 128:15	223:15 224:25	operate 28:24
offense 30:21 32:18	160:18 171:8 268:4	235:17 367:10,11	114:2 123:7 153:3
32:19 33:8 34:9,10	268:9 276:11	368:11,11 369:7	170:11,12,13,15,25
52:7 204:5	302:24,25 303:4	372:20 374:18	180:16 182:13
	322:9 328:7,8,11	377:13 383:22	355:19 377:19
1	1	1	1

[operated - parameters]

[]			
operated 114:6	oppositional 52:23	outdoor 157:20	overturned 352:15
operates 152:22	64:22	outfit 162:13 180:4	overview 98:6
operating 158:18	oprah 382:14	outfitting 173:7	100:6 185:13 188:5
159:10 170:18	opt 325:15	outgoing 293:5	236:19 260:3 304:3
182:12 254:24	opting 64:17	outline 58:21 104:9	overwhelmed
255:15	option 265:11	105:4 264:23	282:16
operation 71:6	274:24 282:19	269:20	ownership 230:1,3
114:5,18 173:21	286:25 287:18	outlined 14:15	owning 34:7
operational 243:13	293:12 339:16	187:8 192:23 221:5	р
operationally	options 261:6	outlines 104:7,19	p.m. 1:13
240:6	264:5 353:16 355:8	182:8 246:1	pa 295:21
operations 99:6	orange 2:22 98:13	outlining 287:2	pablo 375:24 376:2
opinion 55:19	158:6 171:7 173:18	outreach 234:4	packet 355:12
125:1 140:16	orchestrate 359:25	294:21	packet 355.12 pad 21:9
151:21 153:13	order 4:3 53:24	outside 9:24 65:19	page 140:20,20,21
214:23 215:6	70:21 79:7 81:3	71:4 73:21 108:2	141:1 199:22,23
370:19 380:17	160:23 161:12	113:19 115:2,9	204:16 341:11
opinions 24:23	163:23 174:8 205:5	117:5,12 139:10,23	371:18
59:17	321:23 341:18	169:24 197:6	
opportunities	343:1,5 359:21	204:25 221:23	pages 51:3 painstakingly
25:22 26:9 58:10	360:3	229:23 298:9	56:25
60:21 88:23,24	orderly 108:11	344:23 354:13	
176:6 223:25	oregon 365:4	355:5 380:18	paint 272:11 palm 2:24 82:20
360:20 385:1,2	organizations	outweigh 180:3	87:11,14,15 98:18
opportunity 23:17	366:25	overall 7:6 38:18	panel 98:9 99:25
55:12 75:9,14 76:2	organized 191:25	46:11 66:11 117:20	122:25 134:7
84:20,21 136:21	285:23 286:3	overarching 334:3	panels 314:2
166:12 181:3 185:7	organizer 71:10	overcome 161:15	panic 113:15,18,21
194:13 232:12	original 22:8 245:3	296:24	319:19
233:5 308:22 309:4	246:13 251:15,16	overhead 270:16	panther 1:7
319:22	311:25	overheard 211:5	paper 5:13 41:24
oppose 95:22	originally 54:20,23	257:7,13 295:12	119:21 195:17
223:22	316:16	override 132:8	220:20 312:16
opposed 4:20 17:12	orlando 6:7 158:6	149:2	379:24
81:23 86:23 94:1	otto 2:22 156:7	oversee 102:11	
94:22 95:13 96:2	outcome 23:8	326:9 361:20	papers 362:16 paperwork 303:24
97:11,17 184:14	295:25 354:7	oversight 48:16,16	380:6
209:10 259:3 285:3	outcomes 193:7	99:15,16 101:20	parallel 377:16
313:24	209:25 235:24	127:11 162:9	paramedic 99:8
opposition 12:19	294:5 324:2,9,17	197:12,17 334:3	parameters 78:1
		361:22	

[parent - pepper]

parent 2:8,18 37:19	203:15 204:13	party 269:23	people 7:3,8 23:24
191:15 202:16	206:7,10 209:18	pass 63:25 68:3	24:4,15 29:12 61:1
205:1 208:16,20	212:17 213:2	361:7	61:15 83:19 91:13
218:10 251:14	215:20 228:19	passed 42:9 93:17	100:2 109:9 116:23
266:1 285:19	230:6,13,13 231:6	101:6 325:17,17	117:11,12 118:21
295:12,13 332:6	234:5 244:15 247:7	331:10,14,14 339:4	119:3 121:19,21
370:5	247:11,14 257:20	339:5	123:19 128:11
parent's 208:11	258:2 261:10,22	passes 4:21 94:23	130:2,2,9 131:21
parental 218:10	262:17 265:12	97:18	132:20 134:16,17
229:1	269:19,20 271:8,25	passion 379:1	134:19 135:3,11
parentis 53:16	276:12 294:21	passive 225:10	146:1 159:15
323:10 324:4	296:3 303:3 321:13	password 9:17	161:10,23 163:18
337:16 341:6	338:14 349:13	pastor 311:1	167:5,9 168:17,20
parents 45:6 53:25	364:4 375:6 382:15	path 61:16 286:24	170:6,8 173:5
128:18 193:3 207:1	384:13	paths 337:22	174:24 175:17
207:22,25 208:15	participants 38:9	pathway 341:12	182:11,25 183:7
208:16,24 209:2	108:24 187:3,6	355:15	200:9,16 202:9
211:5 218:9 221:21	participate 25:6,20	patients 168:2	218:25 219:2 220:5
223:2 228:7,8,14	55:15 79:9 94:7	307:22	220:9 225:9 227:6
258:12 259:21	107:10	patronis 99:3	238:4 240:3 242:10
263:18 265:22	participated 36:14	pattern 59:2	244:8 245:10,11
280:11 289:10	participates 29:5	231:25 262:14	247:13 249:21
290:7,14 296:5	participation 23:6	patterns 59:5	251:15 252:2 254:5
298:11 299:23,23	27:24 82:7 83:6	349:14	256:18 265:4,18,22
300:3,4,6 323:25	89:15	pause 157:7	265:23 266:3,9
334:15,24 340:15	participatory	pay 223:23 336:15	269:2 271:2 272:7
341:7 376:11	218:6	355:4,8,9 361:13	273:25 283:5
parking 133:15	particular 63:11	peeking 169:12	284:16 285:5
parkland 45:3	64:3 66:10 116:20	peel 357:16	286:13 290:20
87:13 376:13	136:2 190:14,21	peeled 358:6	302:17 304:13
parkway 1:7	204:12 205:15	peer 192:11 193:7	307:6 308:16
part 39:19 50:25	228:20 231:4 306:7	250:8 290:15,19	312:23 317:25
51:20 53:22 55:2	317:22	296:21	322:25 332:21
58:9 75:1 76:5 77:5	partly 120:3	peers 202:11	334:13 342:20
77:23 80:2 88:24	partner 293:4	255:16 290:16	347:18 351:4,5,5
89:1 95:20,20	partners 179:5	294:3	360:23 366:15
98:14 125:16	208:13 230:24	penalties 44:9	367:7 383:3
127:15 136:14	partnership 320:17	penalty 284:18,20	people's 20:3 283:9
150:21 152:7 171:5	326:8 330:20,24	pence 76:7	pepper 382:25
177:13 181:17	partnerships 320:9	pennsylvania	384:3
195:7 197:22 203:1	320:22 328:2	374:11	
1		1	

[percent - placed]

percent 67:17	255:5 264:15,20	petty's 220:14	38:16,21 39:9,14
157:10 250:6,12,18	265:25 266:17	phased 384:16	40:4,23 41:23 42:2
332:15 338:11,12	272:12 275:8	phases 165:13	42:3,25
338:18,20 347:3,21	278:24 282:9	phenomenon	pipeline 329:20
348:7 349:4,7	286:23 287:14	239:19 243:12	352:14
350:12 357:2	290:11 308:4,10,16	338:23	pivot 231:18,22
percentage 249:4	310:16,24 311:6	philosophical	232:1,20
338:13	377:8,9	46:15 47:8	place 32:5 37:10
percentages 66:8	person's 252:21	phone 2:10 40:20	42:14 46:23 47:5
percussion 148:20	272:2 311:23	168:10,11 194:6	73:18 74:7 87:22
perfect 85:17 92:8	personal 192:5	210:21 211:13	87:22 88:11,12
156:13 266:8	252:9,14 274:16,16	228:11 297:20	89:24 90:8 93:14
360:10 371:14	personality 193:6	physical 69:18	101:10 117:15
perform 215:18	personally 11:17	184:16 241:15	119:4 124:18,22
performance	336:4	254:3 265:7 323:6	126:7 128:4 132:13
251:12	personnel 112:3,23	323:7,12,17 345:15	132:20 147:20,23
period 49:4 57:15	126:5 158:9 171:24	345:19 346:4	148:12,24 149:2
61:5 137:25 195:1	171:25 180:4 240:9	349:12,12 350:14	154:19,20 159:12
210:6 291:25	245:7 298:25	physically 351:15	159:14 176:13
336:12 378:19	299:12 322:21	physicians 164:9	181:4 186:17 199:9
periodically 292:23	327:11 337:24	pick 292:12	206:24 208:10
permanent 262:1	343:20 361:20	picked 279:2	215:23 226:19
262:16	persons 118:25	294:22 295:2	234:22 235:23
permanently 155:3	119:1 347:17 377:9	379:12	241:14 244:24
permissible 139:10	perspective 58:13	picking 59:13	254:5,17 258:21
permissive 324:19	154:3 165:6 179:9	313:23	259:4 260:9 265:18
permit 87:7	185:22 215:14	picks 84:3	266:7,21,24 267:6
permits 254:25	243:14	pickup 161:20	267:20 268:20
permitted 26:9	perspectives	picture 56:7 114:8	269:16 285:12
45:12 111:10	164:24 165:8	138:18 248:20	287:21 288:1,2
perpetrator 117:7	174:12	266:11 271:9	289:17 291:2,22
279:17,20 370:25	pertains 5:11 103:1	272:11 308:6 346:7	292:11 295:9 296:6
perpetrators 242:8	126:14	pie 249:7	296:25 297:8
276:18 326:5	pertinent 320:2	piece 41:24 60:20	304:23 306:21
persecuted 253:4	petty 2:18 59:4	182:10 195:17	308:12 310:15
person 40:11,19	94:19,20 210:14,15	220:20 304:18	311:4 325:21 335:3
41:1 125:23,23,25	211:12,22 212:7	317:23 318:3 345:7	339:18 353:18
149:11 189:21	213:2,6,15,20	pieces 128:16	358:18 360:1 371:4
202:9,13 230:11	214:2,11,17,21	265:24 304:20	371:8,20
240:23 244:22	216:1,10,25 217:3	pine 31:14,22,25	placed 188:10
253:12,12 254:11	217:6,12	32:2,6 35:6 38:13	193:23 313:10

[placed - possibly]

325:20,25 370:10	258:22 267:20	263:11 268:10	pore 7:10
placement 53:17	287:21 289:24	309:10 310:17,18	portable 149:23,24
65:22,25 66:1	322:15 335:11,12	313:3 347:25 348:4	portables 150:3
68:10	344:14,17 362:19	361:15 373:18	portal 187:5,11
places 39:10 40:24	plausibility 189:7	375:7	217:7
40:25 46:24 67:23	189:10,13,17,20	pointed 218:17	portion 16:8 174:2
175:13 201:19	plausible 190:16	points 168:1	177:4
210:23 365:8	play 62:2 271:9	231:18 232:1,17	portions 16:14,18
placing 24:9	played 65:15 160:8	241:23 243:17	pose 240:13 255:19
139:25 326:12	161:5,17	258:17	255:20,25 256:19
340:10	players 164:2	police 2:4 6:17 8:9	331:18
plan 32:24 121:2	165:9	14:6 78:2 82:11	posed 259:2
154:1,2,7,7 164:16	playground 272:18	83:4 100:16 120:9	poses 190:16
177:4 182:8 183:16	379:14	159:10,25 160:18	240:24 254:11
191:19 192:9,12,20	plays 27:19 224:17	161:19 164:8,9	277:6 334:21
193:9,10,14,14	please 4:4,7 5:2,9	165:17 170:22	posing 331:7
194:20 197:25	10:2 18:10,14	171:2,8 172:4	340:20
198:1 199:7 206:10	155:9 188:2 227:19	268:5,6 269:16	position 18:16 60:7
214:8,9,10 221:11	300:21 374:15	276:11 288:20	142:12 162:15
221:22 222:3,25	pleased 199:4	297:21 302:20,23	171:25 172:21
223:12 229:19	pledge 4:5,7,8	303:17,18,20	173:10 174:25
230:8,9,12,15,16	plenty 19:11	326:21 358:20,21	326:7 367:17 368:1
230:18 231:8 237:7	plethora 322:24	374:4 375:18	positive 111:6,15
237:11,12 244:5	plot 258:1,2 279:21	policies 60:1 78:2,6	111:19 120:14
245:24 257:8	280:10	147:23 199:25	259:16,18 260:6
259:25 260:4,5	plotting 257:21	309:22 323:7,20	286:23 287:13,14
261:1,10,13,21	plus 61:8 229:5,5	329:13,15,16	290:15 291:11
264:25 268:9,19	378:13	332:20 352:21	294:4,5,9,10,12,16
269:19 286:4 298:4	pocket 301:18	359:20 364:21	294:17,19 295:23
311:3	podium 20:15	371:20	295:24 296:4,7,9
planned 54:23	poem 282:21 283:2	policy 74:8 173:8	297:1 320:24
164:20 175:8,9	283:15,17	199:10 204:14	positives 259:23
176:12 250:10	point 25:6 37:17	214:13 224:18,25	possess 23:5 45:21
planning 160:3	52:15 61:22 62:6	226:15 344:12	58:1
243:25 244:4	74:6,17,20 75:16	polk 2:16	possession 34:8
249:23 252:6	89:23 120:21 121:7	pollack 6:1,4	possibility 111:1
267:24 286:7	172:10 173:22	polls 248:25	possible 40:19,21
295:14	177:2 194:21	pope 63:8,21	88:21 190:11 219:6
plans 103:8 105:3	212:11 214:24	popular 293:5	266:9
105:21 121:2	228:2,16 231:17,23	population 229:1,1	possibly 15:23
214:16 231:2 246:2	232:20 234:2		54:15 122:22

[possibly - previous]

	1	1	1
235:24	praise 295:24	189:25 218:3	press 372:2
post 165:24 167:25	prayerful 314:19	219:10,11,13	pretty 119:13
275:14,23 276:1,21	pre 21:8 22:20	222:13 271:3	171:12 366:3
278:6 280:15	23:10,12,21,25	319:15	prevailing 90:5
317:13	24:14 25:2,19,21	presentation 9:1	prevalence 285:2
posted 4:22 9:16	25:23 26:16 27:2,4	17:16 20:16 25:16	prevent 58:9 67:6
10:8 276:14 277:25	28:23 30:14 59:2	54:23 55:4 63:8	82:8,9 239:8 249:6
278:17	77:3,8,14,16,18	65:21 71:1 98:3	249:9,19 270:14
posting 10:1 242:9	79:7,21 80:5,23	99:25 104:6,22	281:5 302:18
279:18 280:17	81:13 82:1,5,18	108:16 121:12	314:10
posts 266:11	83:6 85:6 89:14,16	124:1 138:18 139:3	prevented 149:14
potential 23:7	94:7,15,17 97:3	140:19 147:13	256:15 258:19
87:18 188:16 193:5	151:3 283:20	152:11 155:21	preventing 52:2
207:19	preceding 309:14	169:22 170:1	119:12 259:14
potentially 11:24	preclude 334:25	175:21 176:3 185:3	372:4
29:10 58:14 73:20	predicate 95:10	186:13 194:18	prevention 27:25
173:11 204:25	predict 201:5 241:2	203:2 217:15	28:8,9 30:6,10
235:20	255:12	229:17 231:14	60:22 82:8 83:8,15
power 350:23	predictive 241:8	236:2 254:18 302:2	83:21 84:9,14
351:9	predominantly	314:21,24 318:19	85:16 89:19 90:11
powerpoint 21:3	248:17	319:2,6 368:13	90:11 92:1,4,7,17
powerpoints 19:10	prefer 342:5,5,6,9	presentations	93:1 101:8 102:9
practicable 165:22	preliminary 334:20	14:22 15:6,10	102:12 103:6 104:1
practical 120:12,19	premise 18:22	16:15 17:20 18:9	104:13 105:2,24
121:11	23:13 24:24	19:7,11,12 260:13	106:1,7 110:13
practice 26:22	preparation 244:21	300:21	137:23 138:1,7
108:12 126:9	prepare 170:9	presented 22:25	140:9 178:18
135:23 158:18	187:1 324:24 325:3	46:17 55:14 57:23	206:16 236:24
176:10,12 236:24	prepared 8:15	72:19,23 156:1	237:6,11 238:3
256:17 270:15	40:12 51:2 194:23	160:13	239:25 243:18
317:17,22	preparedness	presenter 223:19	244:25 251:7
practiced 176:23	168:4	presenters 15:7	253:11 256:13
practices 237:5	preparing 7:16,18	18:16 19:9	260:3,5,6 265:3
243:6 245:15	324:21 361:17	presenting 199:19	292:10 296:11
291:13 292:12	preschool 56:19,21	207:24 210:15	298:1 299:5 301:4
294:22 301:23	56:24	306:14	310:19
305:15 327:9 328:1	present 3:9 39:5,8	president 99:12,14	preventive 331:5
343:19 346:8	41:15,17,18 42:5	99:19,20 163:1,2	previous 48:12
practicing 280:15	43:2,6,12,13,16	179:2 237:19	51:19 69:23 89:6
practitioner 187:18	67:4,20,23 78:10	375:17	89:13,13 214:25
	156:18 185:8		323:9

[primarily - program]

primarily 15:15,19	probable 337:17	269:18 287:2,20	processed 69:10
83:16 204:23	probably 10:13	289:21 290:22	processes 18:19
primary 240:11	26:6 31:13 41:20	323:7,21 364:22	227:14 291:22
principal 37:9,9	41:20 42:23 43:15	371:20	372:7
126:21 164:18	59:24 60:5 62:11	proceed 34:24	produce 225:9
197:23 198:4 211:2	72:21 119:23	191:8	376:1
211:11,24 212:2,5	120:12 134:15	proceeding 37:21	producing 245:20
212:6 213:9,11	146:20 181:7	proceedings 386:8	product 203:10
228:20 247:21,23	182:24 229:17	386:10	professional
257:18 273:17	242:24 294:18	process 7:18 8:15	101:21 197:7
283:17,18 332:4	320:18 374:14	9:12 11:3 13:16,17	200:24 218:21
369:23 370:2	probative 55:4	39:20 51:1 65:19	241:11 289:3 305:6
379:19 380:7	77:1	86:6 91:20 103:10	310:22 342:1 361:1
principal's 380:19	problem 29:14 72:2	127:15 129:12,17	professionals
principals 212:8	85:21 112:17	148:23 162:19	219:22 245:7
320:14 350:9	122:11 131:18	164:16 176:5	259:22 288:24
principle 189:6	132:13 155:8,14	177:11 178:20,23	307:14
principles 188:25	160:6 174:6 225:24	184:4,20 186:18	professor 371:4
343:17 355:18	230:2 265:11	188:2 192:16	profile 251:8
prior 4:10 25:18	274:21,24 275:1	195:23 197:22	profiling 315:1
27:12 70:17,18	287:25 334:11	201:22 202:2,24	333:7
168:2 176:23	341:5 342:9 374:3	203:3,3,4 210:20	program 17:2
201:17 247:17	375:12,12,13	212:12,13,20 213:1	18:24 20:17,23
249:17,22,25 278:2	376:16,17	218:24 219:23	21:5,8,11,24 22:16
307:19 348:20	problems 29:3 63:4	221:9 222:11,23	22:21 23:2,16,22
priorities 154:25	65:1 68:17 93:3,6,8	223:7 227:16	25:11,13,20,24
priority 162:5,10	181:24 182:1,4	229:12 232:5,8,13	26:4,16,19 27:2,5
162:13 165:18	215:2 235:1 248:8	232:18 234:20	29:6,21 30:14
prison 72:6 284:21	282:5,15 294:15	235:8 236:6 240:20	31:15,16 37:25
284:21 329:20	349:19 367:3 368:5	249:16 254:4 256:1	38:16 39:9,15 41:7
352:14	381:7	268:15 270:5	42:20 43:7,10,21
prisons 375:3	procedure 199:10	271:21 288:25	43:23 44:5,14,17
privacy 53:24	220:10	289:11 299:2 300:5	44:19 45:17 46:4,5
54:14,25 216:4	procedures 109:1	300:6 304:4,7	46:19 47:22 49:20
private 17:24	158:18 185:10,13	306:8 309:5,12	56:13 57:6 59:7
privilege 66:14	186:4,14,16 194:2	310:3 337:6 338:1	66:6,11,22 67:6
152:12 327:5	194:10,15 197:20	342:23 348:1	70:7 72:16 73:9,20
pro 32:8 38:14 39:8	197:21 221:1,2,4	355:15 361:21	74:19,23 75:6
42:3	223:5 228:20	363:23 364:20	76:14 79:23 80:5,8
proactive 41:13	230:13 231:7	371:12 377:3	80:9,24 83:3 89:16
60:23 203:4	258:21 261:2		92:15,16 94:8,24

	Ι	1	1
95:2 98:15 100:5	promise 17:2,3	proposal 163:6	provide 12:10,23
160:13 247:7	18:24 20:16,22	propose 86:15	17:11 76:19 176:16
256:24 257:1 267:1	21:4,11 22:1,15,16	prosecute 351:17	190:24 194:13
302:6 303:19	22:21 23:2,7 25:11	prosecuted 45:14	205:20 206:13,15
304:12 305:2,4	25:13,24 26:4	50:9	230:24 236:5 240:7
326:11 333:7	27:11,23 29:5,21	prosecution 35:13	243:6 246:21 262:2
335:22 336:5,20	30:13 31:9,13	66:16 240:16 241:1	264:3 290:18
338:25 352:18	32:14 34:10,20,25	prosperous 377:21	296:23 298:18
367:19 373:23,25	35:5,7,15,21,21	protect 53:24 108:1	308:18 327:11
program's 17:3	36:3,9,13,16 37:15	108:3 117:4,15,19	328:18 329:12
programming 32:6	37:17,20,25 38:3,8	155:7,11 158:13,14	334:7 339:20
programs 23:12	38:9,12,15 39:1,7,9	160:23 323:13	341:15 353:2,4
24:3,14 25:3 28:23	39:15 41:6,19	protected 9:17	354:8 357:5 359:13
30:8,18 31:4 46:23	42:20 43:7,9,21,22	17:21 364:4	359:21 360:5
58:5 74:25 77:4,9	43:25 44:5,14	protectee 256:1	provided 5:13
77:14,16,18,23	45:17 46:4,5,18	protectees 240:5	66:13 109:17 110:7
80:13 81:14 82:2,2	47:21 49:5,20,23	255:24	113:25 114:4 187:3
82:5,6 85:21 87:4	51:5,14 52:7,11	protecting 216:5	234:20
88:3 89:14 91:25	56:4,13 57:6 59:7	372:4	provider's 313:5
97:3 237:23 238:19	59:10 62:13,18,24	protection 53:8	providers 219:7
241:14 244:24	65:16,17,20 66:10	101:12 106:18	309:18 313:8
245:4 266:7,23	66:22 67:24 68:1,2	110:8,16 115:25	359:12
281:6 292:10,11	68:19 69:5 70:3,4,7	154:25 171:10	provides 183:15
294:18 295:9	70:7,7 71:4,17,22	protections 335:9	191:7
296:15 314:12	72:16 73:19 74:19	protective 286:21	providing 11:22
326:23 336:9,11,23	74:23 75:5,15,16	341:19	14:17 288:20
352:1 366:18	76:13 79:22 80:13	protects 335:11	289:14 297:2 300:8
373:15 382:24	94:23 95:2 366:18	protocol 78:1	328:14
progress 178:6	373:23,25 381:10	180:20 185:12	provisional 178:10
progressing 86:10	381:17	187:8 194:10	provisions 157:13
progressively 65:3	prompt 330:18	199:14,25 287:1	proximity 333:25
278:7	promulgated	protocols 60:1 80:1	psc 2:3
prohibited 16:5	164:11	147:23 195:18	psychological
32:23 260:16 264:9	proof 30:25 373:20	257:16 260:15	179:25 187:12
264:10	prop 174:8	264:9 265:12	198:16
project 246:15	proper 60:17	267:17,19,21 270:7	psychologist 201:1
293:1	properly 123:12	300:7 306:12	218:22 219:15
projects 293:24	147:24 374:1,2	proud 99:4 116:8	psychologists 299:8
prolific 30:16 31:3	property 34:13	prove 346:9	psychosis 54:11
36:11	338:17	proven 107:14	psychotic 285:6

nevelationic 54.10	punished 385:1	puts 123:16 353:9	314:25 368:21
psychotropic 54:12 311:17	punished 383.1 punishment 53:2	putting 24:18	371:24
ptsd 382:22	284:17	74:10 126:19 130:4	questioning 218:9
public 1:4 3:2	punitive 331:6	131:7,10 134:19	questionnaire
12:16 15:23,24	purchase 15:3	141:4 168:15	363:24
16:2,6,9,14 17:17	45:22 59:8	215:19 234:14	questions 10:6,7,13
21:6 22:5,25 28:13	purchasing 34:7	264:22 265:17	10:16,23 18:15
31:7 35:19 38:10	• 0	281:20 290:11	19:7,8,13 20:18
52:10 92:14 102:23	purpose 186:20 188:7		40:7 41:3 46:6.8
	· ·	291:2,8 292:10 301:22 304:23	40:7 41:3 40:0,8 55:5 56:8 76:1 93:2
104:13 107:21	purposes 28:8,10 47:16 236:11		
116:15,24 118:19		323:13 362:16	98:17,23 113:4
118:20 136:12	336:18	371:20 383:3	117:23 118:4
151:24 152:3,7	pursuant 101:16	puzzle 265:24	124:14 126:4 132:7
153:5 159:4,14	110:19	q	135:24 145:20
161:4 164:12	push 54:25 71:5,17	qualifiable 342:25	158:12 175:24
174:15,17 178:1,16	139:7 332:21	qualifications	176:1 177:7 191:25
185:8 187:4 224:18	pushing 333:2	339:12	210:17 236:13
224:25 225:20	put 16:2 20:25	qualified 361:5	300:16 309:24
226:7,15 237:20	29:24 46:11 47:7,9	367:13 368:18,21	318:11,12 319:23
239:18,23 240:10	54:14 55:15 57:16	qualify 107:23	322:7 344:6,12
318:20 319:12	66:21 74:3 75:11	qualitative 342:25	348:21 349:25
326:22 329:21	91:2 92:9 101:9	quality 241:8	355:16,18,22
358:24 372:23	115:13 125:11	quantifiable	365:20 367:5,7
373:13	139:2 148:22	342:24,25	372:20 385:7
publication 245:2	154:12 162:10	query 47:11	quick 100:6 106:5
publications	175:11 199:24	question 22:23,24	175:22 314:25
312:25 352:19	206:24 215:13,23	22:24 42:6 45:25	318:17,22 357:21
published 17:14	217:8 224:21 231:2	48:10 50:10 51:10	364:14 378:1
236:15	235:23 254:17	60:5 62:11 67:13	quickly 72:13
publix 82:15	260:1 266:24 267:5	73:25 75:24 130:23	149:20 171:15
pull 101:1 110:9,10	268:19 269:16	131:15 140:8	174:21 219:5 258:3
110:15,25 111:22	285:11 289:17	144:17,18 145:6	321:15 322:6 381:2
113:21 123:5	296:25 297:7	151:15 154:22	quiet 131:16
148:17 220:3	308:12 310:15	177:17 178:5 179:1	quite 47:18 92:10
pulled 232:8 358:1	313:21 314:13	181:22 196:20	118:18 140:6
358:5	323:5 330:5 335:3	197:11 199:4,15	226:23 237:21
pulling 49:14	337:7 344:7 346:2	202:23 209:5	250:23 257:12
pulse 163:4 176:14	346:22 347:10	214:22,25 216:11	269:6 284:5 316:1
punish 186:2	352:20 353:3,9	220:14 223:19	quote 47:9 107:3
366:21	365:1 375:4	227:24 233:1,15	316:3
		309:16 310:1	
		307.10 310.1	

[r - recommendations]

	• • • • • •		
r	reached 74:6,17	210:12 215:23	recap 21:4
r 2:9 386:1	reaching 326:24	220:22 232:11	receive 5:9 6:20
race 384:4	reactive 203:3	234:11 237:22	12:25 31:25 82:17
radio 8:10 148:23	212:11 382:1	240:1,9 241:10	187:9 212:19
182:4 385:11	read 32:13 40:6	242:14 243:10	received 4:9 11:10
railroad 358:2	49:12 267:3 283:4	245:11 246:6 248:7	11:15 18:1 31:10
raise 60:5 340:13	295:3 312:6 313:17	249:13 251:19	35:17 44:20 65:13
ralph 319:12	318:5 350:3 372:5	257:23 258:16	111:23 148:22
ramifications	372:11 378:10	262:16 263:9,13,19	
207:13 209:13	readily 114:6 320:6	266:6 267:15 272:9	339:13 379:22
ramming 169:15	reading 32:24,25	272:24 279:13	receives 188:15
ramp 208:5	33:1,5 104:23	281:7,22 285:9	211:25 212:8,21
ran 175:22 300:15	235:4 374:11	289:6,12 294:8	214:2
rand 319:13	ready 216:15,23,23	298:1,15,24 299:1	receiving 210:20
range 362:4	344:7 372:10	300:9 302:17	recess 385:8,14
ranged 252:3	real 5:8 131:8	303:13 304:11	recidivism 73:10
ranges 344:5	224:14 381:2	308:3,10 311:4	73:12
rape 303:11	382:21	314:3 317:7,16	recidivist 30:12,15
rapid 161:18	realistic 190:8	337:20 342:11	31:2 36:12
rapidly 171:5	reality 44:11,20	348:22 351:13	recognition 333:1
rapist 377:9	93:13 217:23	353:2 359:12	recognize 357:11
rapport 288:16,17	375:25 383:18	360:21 361:10,17	recognized 72:19
308:13	realize 107:9	367:8,13,16,25	recognizing 6:7
rapports 342:15	120:11 130:3,14,16	378:20 379:1,3,4,6	334:8
rarely 276:22	343:5	380:12,15,20	recollection 39:13
rate 330:5 336:25	realized 68:15	realm 35:19 222:16	40:13,14 41:2
338:17	really 17:15 29:2	reason 21:9 36:25	recommend 55:6
rated 116:1,12	60:9 66:20 72:13	84:8 87:8 119:14	86:14 206:1 209:24
127:4 145:15	83:23 84:9,13,17	126:23 152:22	261:25 302:6 310:9
rates 145:17	98:11 106:3 107:1	153:7 157:7 158:5	337:9
259:17 260:7	107:3,14 108:7	165:5 206:7 279:3	recommendation
291:23 312:10	110:3 116:21 120:4	294:22 295:2 326:3	71:19 77:21 80:16
rating 115:18,22,25	120:19,24 134:3	330:7 374:9	81:17 84:24 86:15
119:7 123:13	156:19,20 158:2,3	reasonable 122:5	87:2,9,24 88:5 89:5
ratio 228:5	158:15,19 159:14	337:17	89:7 90:12,15 94:3
rationale 355:14	160:2,3 161:11	reasons 24:13,25	94:9 96:23,25
ray 134:16	164:13 168:8 169:4	162:17 180:7	97:19 154:11
reach 114:21 233:9	170:9,20 171:11,19	185:24 301:11	177:13 191:22
326:14 359:4	171:21 174:4,18	recall 8:25 14:24	208:3
360:23	176:12 179:19	90:6	recommendations
	206:8,15,24 210:10		46:10 54:7 59:10
		1	

[recommendations - remains]

-	-		C
76:17,18,20 77:2	recover 163:15	referring 63:9 85:5	reinventing 365:17
84:19,23 94:25	164:16 175:8	94:14	rejected 206:18
95:6 153:24 171:21	recovery 164:20	refers 224:11	related 53:1 55:25
186:16 207:5 217:1	175:9,10 176:12	reflect 39:21	117:21 190:1 192:2
217:4 262:22 310:1	recreate 122:10	372:13	193:7 204:5 285:2
314:20 329:3	177:24	reflected 56:10	relates 26:8 28:2
333:17 345:25	recurring 274:23	regard 56:12	76:13 97:23 194:21
recommended	red 131:21 148:22	regarding 11:10	202:3 232:19 306:9
128:23 291:3 334:5	168:24	35:23 94:5 95:2	relating 104:20
337:8	redo 154:4	104:17 116:6	199:25
recommending	redoing 13:19	154:22 185:12	relations 192:4,4
345:21	reducing 260:7	194:14 195:8	relationship 247:6
recommends 97:2	redundancies	203:10 204:16	251:2,6 252:12
209:4	13:15	regardless 224:20	268:7 287:8 303:5
reconvene 98:3	refer 34:19 70:20	259:5	303:15,25 304:1
231:7	85:7	regards 54:8	relationships
record 23:19 28:11	reference 110:19	311:20	288:17,18 289:9
31:19 41:9 43:11	194:11 384:2	region 345:8 350:6	292:15 342:15
48:12 51:16 52:8	referenced 51:24	regional 132:3	377:22
53:4,22 69:10,23	references 194:8	183:2	relative 154:23
86:1 88:10 97:25	referral 31:21	regular 141:19	155:2 159:10
184:5 203:20	37:19 45:5 64:14	161:2 162:14	relatively 326:3
318:24 356:10	65:16 70:19 72:24	229:22 296:18	350:8
379:24	89:15 192:13	regularly 231:3	release 18:2 45:6
recorded 67:23	193:12 327:14	regulate 64:11	114:12 246:14,18
83:2	379:18	regulated 107:5	released 12:18
recording 41:11	referrals 25:13	323:4	28:13 45:9,13
records 17:25	31:10 35:21 36:2,4	regulation 108:14	178:10 238:18
28:13 38:23 39:21	49:17,20 58:6	116:10 151:24	239:17 245:22
40:25 41:5,10,19	230:20 330:4,8,11	regulations 98:7	246:23 247:16
42:20,25 43:18,23	330:13 370:11	100:22 101:22	248:23,24 250:15
51:4,12,17,19	379:15,20	105:20 130:25	256:20
53:22 54:2 56:18	referred 31:9,13,15	136:15 137:17	releasing 52:2
56:20 67:10,18,22	34:9 35:8,9,12,15	150:23 263:1	114:4,17,18
67:25 69:19,20	36:9,13 44:13,16	regulatory 100:13	relevance 17:3
91:5 191:14 193:18	44:18 48:24 49:5	rehabilitation 86:8	20:22 45:18 55:11
193:21 204:14,17	50:4 57:5 62:24	reinforce 226:5	relevant 7:20 13:23
204:18 335:15,16	65:11 70:1 73:11	reinforced 373:20	156:20 195:21
363:22 364:3	80:12 97:5 191:18	reinforcement	rely 225:8
recourse 206:25	221:10 274:6,8	294:16,19 296:9	remains 6:24 12:3

[remarkable - resolution]

remarkable 119:13	266:13,13 267:8	represent 7:4	143:14,20 144:5
remarks 9:19	268:18 269:24	representative	145:16 150:3
remediate 23:18	277:24 294:14	218:16	requires 9:8 10:3
remember 5:2,9	296:8 299:21,21	representatives	37:18 77:24 192:25
8:24 18:10 22:17	304:13 334:19,22	166:1	193:10 197:4 321:5
27:24 38:7 50:25	340:25 343:10,12	representing 7:2	339:23,24 369:20
70:3 71:15 83:21	343:21,21 344:8	180:10	370:7
84:8 162:10 184:10	346:5,21 352:4,4	request 11:18	requiring 7:3
201:16 234:16	352:15 354:4 366:9	28:13 205:19	376:25
279:22 286:20	367:22,23 368:6	requested 8:22,23	rescheduled 15:1
309:2	369:5,11,14,16,21	9:15 13:10	rescinded 149:14
reminder 4:25	369:22 370:4,6,8	requesting 11:9	rescue 2:23 98:14
188:11	376:20,21 380:19	requests 137:13	159:17 162:6
remiss 59:9	386:7	require 26:11	170:24 171:6,7,17
remotely 310:25	reported 9:7 30:9	42:21 85:1 113:25	180:15,15
removal 63:17	37:2,7,8 83:7 90:18	131:16 134:18	research 120:15
remove 64:11	91:20 266:4 273:16	143:9 191:10	179:19 235:11
110:25	295:12 312:15	201:20 221:12,13	237:2 238:8 239:24
removed 110:10	343:24 346:14	221:16,18 344:21	240:6 246:10,13,15
123:5 309:4	347:16 348:17	required 9:4 14:20	259:12 270:10
removing 289:12	350:12 353:6	17:22 30:9 34:18	272:14 276:13
renamed 329:4	367:14,14,21	36:20,21 53:19	312:5,24 329:24
reoffending 31:18	368:15,24 369:4	77:7,10,22 80:2,3,6	333:10 342:2,16
repeat 63:16	reporter 386:6,17	80:7 106:24 110:18	352:8 360:15,20
213:18	reporters 239:2	113:7 134:8,10,12	382:2,7,8
repeated 206:4	reporting 90:9	134:14 135:4	researched 142:16
350:22 351:8	152:13,14 213:15	140:12 150:14	researcher 365:10
replace 6:1	234:19 260:21	165:9 192:10	researching 134:23
replaced 381:18	261:12 265:13,19	368:14 370:12	247:15 248:5 276:6
replacement 6:4	266:21,25 267:12	378:18	316:7 349:20
report 12:17 69:10	269:23 303:10	requirement 104:4	reset 25:14 26:5
76:6,9,14,22,23	310:14 312:5,11,14	118:6,9,14 134:20	94:4 111:9 112:6
81:25 82:6 84:19	312:20 313:9	143:7,12,22 144:21	resign 378:25
84:22 89:14 91:25	314:16 355:20	145:7,14 168:18,19	resist 207:10
94:3,25 95:5 96:24	356:9 366:8,16	172:3 313:9	208:22
97:20 187:9 188:15	368:22 370:15	requirements	resistant 206:22
194:4 211:6 213:20	reports 49:2 72:1	35:11 100:23,25	resisted 206:18
233:3,24 234:25	190:3 239:16	104:15 105:3 107:8	resolution 32:8
239:21 250:14,16	249:12 250:22	107:24 108:6 109:8	206:21 244:13
250:16,19,20	260:9 352:12	109:18 110:4,20	299:4
265:21,22,23 266:3		111:5,24 134:6,10	

000 14	0 < 10		
resolutions 299:14	response 8:6,18	resulting 222:18	ridiculous 25:7
resolve 121:6	11:15 13:12 147:14	results 15:12,14	29:13 152:21 153:6
resonant 375:10	147:21 148:6	17:6 111:7 192:7	384:6
resource 112:22	149:16 159:23	352:5 369:2 370:17	riding 172:13,21
126:25 128:15	163:15 164:20	retain 97:4	173:10
185:21 187:19	185:14 186:19,22	retained 14:12	right 5:15 34:20
194:9 257:18	188:6,7,14 195:8	retaliated 380:11	45:21 51:2 54:9
267:25 268:4,9	196:3 200:13	retaliation 379:3	56:6 58:25 60:13
302:24 303:2,4,12	202:25 244:16	retention 204:15	62:9 64:1 65:7
322:9 326:11	260:18 329:7	retired 339:10	67:14,21 68:7 69:5
327:14 336:19	354:15	return 65:17 187:7	75:17,24 78:24
338:10,15,19 339:6	responses 10:7,8,11	378:25	80:22 81:16 84:12
339:18 340:9	10:11 244:20	returned 43:17	88:17 89:2 91:4,17
352:17	responsibilities	returning 363:3	94:17 95:3 135:10
resources 60:2 62:5	102:4 104:8 105:7	revamp 71:21	136:6,6,23 137:4,8
162:2 166:6 173:22	108:18 191:12	373:23 374:1	137:16 139:4,13,20
186:24 189:24	240:10 261:3 262:5	revenge 274:15	140:18 141:21
190:12,19 193:13	269:21 313:6	278:24	143:5,7 144:4
199:12 210:10	responsibility	reverse 80:12	147:23 148:7 149:4
246:5 248:25	102:8 104:14	review 6:20 14:7	149:8,8 151:6
262:24 288:4	105:18 137:2	103:8 121:3 191:13	156:12 162:2 164:2
289:16 294:8	208:11 209:19	199:24 214:12,16	167:10,14 168:9,20
341:16 347:10	310:19	227:20 371:6	174:7 176:20
352:20 358:18	responsible 102:10	reviewed 63:8	178:15 183:24
360:14,17 372:13	230:11	344:15,19	184:7 195:11 200:5
respect 10:3 16:7	responsive 10:12	reviews 12:6 51:24	200:16 201:6,12,15
28:3 41:21 121:21	rest 20:25 38:19	revise 192:20	215:4 216:3,4,19
123:23 222:8	46:11 54:14,15	199:13	223:9 224:11 225:1
224:18 291:10	73:3 75:11 340:22	revisions 4:12	225:4 226:25 227:2
292:14	restaurant 118:19	revolutionary	227:5,5,8 233:13
respond 67:2	restorative 64:20	381:17	235:18 236:1
122:25 123:2	restricted 32:23	reward 301:18	256:19 258:8,16
164:16 165:10	restricts 207:15	rewards 301:15	265:6,24 266:23
183:16 340:25	result 9:2 20:21	rewritten 67:6	275:1 290:2 294:16
356:2 363:18	21:11 29:4 34:6	richard 2:7	307:2 310:6,13
responded 41:4	52:16 63:17 66:2	ride 279:6	313:19 315:19
109:3	204:3 221:8 222:21	ridge 31:14,22,25	353:5 374:12 378:4
responder 8:6	375:2	32:3,6 35:6 38:13	rights 208:15 215:1
158:8 159:8 180:13	resulted 64:5,13	38:16,21 39:9,14	215:11 216:8,17,18
responders 154:24	65:17,22 349:7	40:4,23 41:23 42:2	220:15 224:7
160:4	370:12	42:3,25	226:24 379:6

[rights - saying]

	1	1	
380:10,11	rolling 342:17	S	240:10 245:21,25
ring 111:21 112:4	356:15 362:21	s 378:11	248:21,23 249:1
112:16 168:12	ronald 3:10 373:1	safe 8:24 54:9 71:9	264:14 268:12
rings 93:4 173:19	room 114:14 117:6	83:23 125:3 127:5	305:3 319:4,5,13
rink 146:7,8	117:7 118:7,13,21	128:3 129:19,24	319:15 322:11
rise 52:11 221:24	124:19 126:1 146:6	130:12 168:20	323:1 325:19,24
265:5 288:7 306:20	146:7,10,15,17	176:7 215:5 224:11	326:18,19 327:19
311:7 340:6	181:2 199:11	237:14 238:10,16	327:23 328:1,5
rises 309:21	216:21 348:14	243:19 244:8	329:2,5 330:23
rising 297:6	357:18 379:12	249:11 250:4,24	342:23 343:6,8,9
risk 9:5,9 166:18	root 64:21 358:15	252:8,24 253:17	343:14,15,18,20,21
166:23 179:16	rotating 262:11	256:20 258:16	343:23 345:13,14
184:15,16 189:11	roughly 107:16	261:9,9 266:25	345:19,24 346:6,8
189:13,19 190:2,4	132:21	267:2 288:12	346:9 349:10,12,15
190:15,20 191:17	routine 108:8	289:21,25,25 291:6	358:23,24,24
193:5 207:18	row 378:22	294:12 298:19,20	371:21 383:6 384:8
240:23,25 241:7	rso 317:20	300:12 319:16	sake 54:17 314:6
242:15 254:2,11	rude 351:2,23	350:12 319:10	salary 336:15
255:19,20 256:19	ruin 115:22,24	safely 108:25	salem 365:4
261:6 277:6 287:19	rule 101:15 105:20	109:11 117:6,14,16	sample 67:15
289:1,3 304:22	106:9 124:6 137:22	277:13	246:24
307:4 320:15 331:7	138:4,5 140:10	safer 341:24	sampling 197:17,19
334:21	180:23 189:4,10	safest 128:24	sanction 44:22
river 2:14	rules 103:25	safety 1:4 3:6 36:5	sanctions 208:6
road 123:17 360:12	131:14,15 136:19	100:22,23 101:14	sandy 328:24
381:21	136:23 138:8,9	100:22,23 101:14	sarasota 99:10,10
robert 233:6	178:19 261:3	102:7 103:22	sat 357:24
robust 106:11	324:25 350:3	113:20 114:11	satisfactory 378:22
107:19 112:21	run 20:15,18,19	115:20 114:11	saturation 336:25
117:1 126:19	21:2 173:13 175:2	117:18,20 119:15	338:17
165:11 241:19	247:2 322:5 329:8	121:10 128:17	saturday 258:11
266:6 295:9 326:11	runcie 381:18	136:10,11 139:17	save 170:19
335:22 336:4	382:14 383:9	146:24 150:10	saving 119:13
338:25	running 7:1 83:2	151:25 152:2,6	saw 50:17 263:12
role 163:14 287:21	183:25 320:20	153:6 159:4,14	273:15 279:23
300:4 335:19 363:8	runs 251:19	178:2 186:22	295:24 375:4
roles 262:4 269:21	rural 109:25	193:14 197:21	saying 24:2 40:15
roll 359:25 360:12	rush 352:6	204:16 215:25	40:16 68:13,21
rolled 321:21	rushing 113:2	220:16 223:1	69:3 72:14 79:10
354:20 359:18	ryan 2:18 305:4	225:20 226:7	81:5,10 92:21
		236:18 238:23	125:18 132:2
		230.10 230.23	

[saying - school]

149:10,10 227:19	scheduled 231:3	187:15,17,19 188:4	273:10 276:9,15
256:6 275:20	schedules 219:12	188:11,14,19 191:3	278:19 280:11,12
278:11 286:11,13	scheduling 5:20	191:10 192:4 193:6	281:22 283:18,19
346:3 363:4 367:24	scheme 332:23	193:18 194:1	283:21 284:2,3
368:2	schizophrenic	195:12 197:6,21	286:1,1 288:13
says 32:16 33:7	54:12	200:10,16,21,24,25	289:21 290:3,5,13
42:16,19 54:4 81:8	school 1:3 3:6,12	201:1,21 202:1,12	290:17,17,22 291:6
93:4 96:17 118:14	6:10,18 9:7,10,11	204:2,7,16,18,18	291:9,13 292:17,22
138:9 140:23 141:2	12:13,22 21:12,14	204:23 205:4,7,8	293:9,15,20,22
141:5,11,25 142:14	22:12,13 25:13,14	205:18 209:4 210:1	294:4,12,13,20,23
142:21 331:9	25:25 26:5 29:6,20	211:2,10,15,20	295:4,5,13,20
333:10 349:11	30:2,5,6 31:8 32:3	212:5 214:19 215:5	297:15 298:17,20
sb139 80:3	34:17 35:25 36:5	215:10,18,25 216:8	298:25 299:11,25
sb1392 77:7,24	36:19,23 38:20	217:25 218:21,22	301:7,7,8,9,17
81:2 95:16	39:6 41:9,11 46:7	219:15,15,16,18,19	302:19,23,24 303:1
sb7026 9:8 201:17	50:18,19,24 51:4	222:14 223:24	303:4,12,17,19,21
scenario 127:9	52:16 53:15,15	225:1 228:8,9,13	305:3 312:9 315:20
scenarios 271:3	54:9 55:24 57:13	228:13,18,25 229:2	316:17 317:21
scene 6:22 16:11,12	61:10,24 62:11	233:5,9 236:18	319:3,14 320:8,15
96:20 159:2 161:18	63:1 66:7 67:14,24	237:3,4,11,13,14	320:16,17,22,24
163:10 172:16,18	70:12,16 71:9 77:8	238:9,10,15,16,19	322:9,11,14,18,20
172:23 181:1	77:15,16,22 78:3	238:23 239:7,22	322:20 323:1,8,11
schachter 2:8	78:22 79:19 80:6	240:8 243:12,19	323:16 324:3,4,12
19:17,18 48:19,20	80:24 82:2,6 83:3	244:9,10 245:3,6	324:13,14 325:5,14
50:17,20 62:22,23	84:12,13 92:10,13	245:21,25 246:12	325:19,23 326:11
64:24 72:9,10	94:4 98:6 103:2,4	247:4 248:13,16,21	326:18,19 327:11
129:21,22 133:9,16	104:19,20 107:4	248:23,25 249:8,11	327:15,19,23 328:1
133:23,25 135:2,14	108:14,18,20	249:25 250:4,7,24	328:5,7,10 329:2,5
135:17,20 181:20	109:25 111:23,25	251:3,6,25 252:8	329:10,20 330:20
181:21 198:6,7,10	112:22 113:17	252:23,24 253:8,17	330:22,23 331:19
198:13,20 199:15	115:3 116:2 117:18	253:22 256:5,20,21	331:25 333:3,23
200:2 233:16,17	118:19 120:11	256:24 257:10,18	334:25 336:14,19
234:10,23 235:17	126:18,21,24	257:23 258:13,16	337:13,14,15,23
235:25 300:17,18	127:25 128:14,14	258:20 259:6,7,15	338:15,16,19,22,25
300:23 302:13	131:1 132:4 140:13	259:19 261:9,10,11	339:6,6,18,20,25
317:8 365:21,22	141:9 151:24 152:3	263:3,10 264:12,14	340:4,9,16,21
366:3 367:10,18,24	152:7,24 155:16	267:15,25 268:2,3	341:5 343:8,9,15
368:2,11 370:16	158:16 166:1,2	268:3,4,6,25 269:3	343:20,21 344:1,14
371:23 372:18	173:19 174:2	269:13 271:4,5,13	344:16,18,19,22
schedule 19:4	185:21 186:7,8,12	271:16,21 272:14	345:2,3,8,13 346:9
369:8	186:22 187:1,7,14	272:17,18 273:4,7	346:9 349:2 350:1

[school - see]

350:2,2,3,6,13	249:15 250:24	scores 378:17	360:16 365:7 381:2
351:15,21 352:3,11	253:5 257:5 258:13	381:5	secretary 2:12,13
352:14,17 353:3,24	259:23 262:24	scoring 196:23	3:1 62:9 72:7,7,11
354:6,19,22 355:9	263:5 266:6 269:16	scratch 47:25	82:3 83:12 91:8
355:17 356:11,22	281:21 291:14,22	screening 186:19	319:12
357:23 358:23	292:2,13,16,18	186:20 191:5,9	secretary's 90:10
360:3 361:6,16,20	296:14 297:16,19	196:21 204:17	section 55:7 102:24
361:25 362:5,20	297:24 299:13	screw 115:13	104:18 122:17
363:7,9,10 364:18	300:10 310:20	screwed 125:19	204:15 335:10
368:6 369:5 372:3	319:16 321:3,25	screwing 115:14,22	356:9
373:10,15,17	325:1,7 326:14,16	search 337:16	secure 155:4 160:6
374:23,24 375:6,12	327:8 328:22	365:9	174:22 277:7
376:24 378:14,21	329:14,15 330:24	seat 172:13	secured 139:23
379:10,21 380:18	331:1,4 332:14,15	seating 125:19	140:2 167:21
383:6 384:7,17	332:24 333:13,22	sec 62:10,21 72:12	190:18 193:18
school's 38:10	333:24,25 334:11	83:13 91:10 149:20	securing 154:23
185:3 186:23 194:4	334:12,14 335:24	second 4:17,17,23	277:4
246:4 345:14,24	337:8 338:6,8,10	24:11 30:20 32:11	security 9:9 99:15
schools 2:19 3:3	338:12,18,20,21	34:20 38:5 69:21	115:8 116:3,5
8:24 12:17 20:14	339:15,17 341:3,14	72:11 81:20 86:18	123:22 127:10
21:6,25 31:8 43:25	342:12 343:24,25	86:19,21 93:21,23	135:1 162:9 184:16
49:10 52:10 66:2	344:13,25 345:1,13	94:12,18,19 97:12	187:20 226:7
67:19,20 77:17	345:16,18 346:2,12	97:13 118:16	241:15,18 249:1
82:20 97:24 98:22	346:17,25 347:16	120:23 140:19	301:11 323:14,17
100:24 102:23	349:6,17 350:10	155:18 157:8 167:4	328:7,8 335:11
103:22 104:13	352:20 353:12,15	178:4,8 189:6	337:13,14 338:22
107:16,21 109:7	355:6 356:16 357:1	231:22 254:19,19	338:25 339:7,8,9
110:1,2,24 112:13	360:7 361:22 362:1	257:19 304:3	339:20 343:19
115:16 116:24	362:2,15,24,25	349:13	344:1 345:24
123:15 125:3	364:6,23 366:7	secondary 187:22	371:22 372:3,3
128:24 130:12	369:20 370:15	240:15 344:22	sedge 156:5
137:18 149:22	373:22 381:22	secondly 225:6,23	see 8:10 10:18
150:16 153:2	383:4	seconds 112:2,5	14:13 22:10 27:19
157:18 159:9	science 188:25	123:1,1,2 147:15	29:19 30:6 32:11
174:19 183:10	236:24 270:15	148:4 149:1,6,12	35:24 38:24 43:1
184:18,21 185:9	376:25 382:19	secret 3:4 184:23	47:12 49:7 51:4
194:25 201:24	scope 13:25 67:13	185:1 236:4,16	53:4 55:19 56:6,12
203:17 204:6	209:14 232:18	237:16 238:2,12	57:2,21 58:2,12
213:16,20 229:6	score 196:7,9	262:20 307:23	59:17 61:19 71:24
237:1 238:19 245:5	scored 45:11	311:9,19 318:6	74:24 83:9 84:4,21
245:14,18 246:2		319:7 355:12	92:25 95:5 104:10

109:8 113:15	317:18,25 342:9,10	sentences 106:5	319:7 355:13
131:12 151:14	365:18 376:6	separate 8:4,14	360:16 365:7 381:2
154:11 156:7 161:6	382:14	38:23 39:3 67:7	services 32:1 53:18
164:4 196:10	segue 156:3,4,13	77:17 83:17 146:9	73:18 176:17
199:13 202:2 207:8	340:18	146:9 193:19	187:13 198:16,19
207:16 209:10,24	self 222:17 226:2	203:22 352:4	206:13,16 225:14
210:21 211:23	296:24 331:9 347:6	363:25	325:22 326:13
214:12 215:22	347:15	separation 383:5	340:14 341:17,19
218:3 226:20	selling 350:9	september 5:20	357:5
231:25 235:22	selves 117:15	11:24 45:24 99:14	serving 333:24
236:14 242:5,20	seminal 237:21	195:4 217:10	sesir 368:13
247:3 249:1 251:24	sen 4:15 58:19 59:1	231:20 278:2 309:8	session 17:5 105:16
252:17,19 254:19	60:12 62:3 78:16	343:17	141:9 195:6 259:6
262:14,15 274:1,14	89:4 90:3,22,25	sequence 111:6,7	set 47:7,7 54:13
274:22 275:10	123:25 124:13	111:19 120:14	77:5 80:3,7,23 81:1
276:17 278:7,8,10	126:3,16 128:8	122:23	88:3 91:16 111:22
280:21 282:12	145:21 146:18,21	series 277:25	112:11,12 121:16
283:5 285:25	146:25 147:3,7,10	serious 29:2 30:23	122:8 130:24
291:16 292:5 297:5	176:2 217:14,19	67:2 72:4 189:5,11	133:21 134:4
297:23 299:25	219:9,24 220:2,8	190:17 215:22	140:13 148:20
305:14 306:18	220:13 314:23	249:3	154:5 167:24 171:7
308:6 311:15	316:25	serve 99:4 303:6	186:4 195:2 222:9
312:23 317:11	senator 2:17 4:16	327:14 333:21,25	233:10 237:22
320:19 332:21	58:18 78:15 81:20	338:16	238:18 250:23
336:24 345:9 348:6	89:3 91:11 92:7	served 12:21 67:25	251:21 257:5
350:5,7 352:2	93:18,22 123:24	99:11,13,16 376:5	264:15 288:1
353:7 355:14	130:20 135:24	serves 99:3 100:13	324:25 325:24
366:10,17 374:16	145:20 176:1	service 3:4 44:21	334:2 357:4
385:12	217:13 314:22	99:8 100:15 103:20	setbacks 252:14
seed 336:23	send 160:18 173:17	106:18 119:12	sets 100:20
seeing 72:1 283:9	177:12 228:17	121:13 129:23	setting 77:25 78:1,1
300:1 343:4 385:2	247:13 351:14	150:19 151:2	79:19 100:14
seek 204:9 312:22	363:4	152:24 153:6 156:9	215:25 237:11
seeking 226:2	sending 173:20	162:24 177:10	251:25 288:13
362:19	sense 13:19 120:19	180:23 182:13	372:7
seen 49:2 104:22	211:19,22 353:21	184:23 185:1	settle 48:5
115:7 251:10 263:6	sensitive 113:3	229:25 236:4,16	seven 15:6 109:5
264:6 265:25 276:4	sensitivity 123:13	237:16 238:2,12	125:25 245:9
277:10 281:17	sensor 139:15	248:1 262:21	322:22 325:23
293:18 303:2	sent 11:8,14 295:17	307:23 311:9,19	336:3 338:19 362:1
310:14 316:6	296:4 333:19	313:5,8 318:6	376:24 377:11

[seventeen - significant]

seventeen 7:8 20:8	195:24 203:7,9	157:2,10 158:1	155:21 235:12,12
99:9 102:15 247:20	204:1,20 205:22,24	159:3 163:10 165:8	278:19 353:23
365:24 376:4	206:17 207:8,20	165:19 167:17,17	380:6
seventy 51:2 225:2	208:19,22 209:5,18	169:9,15 173:12	showing 43:18,20
338:12 347:16	210:13 223:16,18	174:5,20 179:25	43:22 68:1
350:12 356:20	226:17 227:3,23	185:21 244:20	shown 116:7
sexual 264:13	228:4,24 229:8	261:18 276:15	259:13 381:10
371:6	311:9 313:2 314:5	329:9 339:13,21	shows 39:4,8,23
shame 381:14	sheriff 2:2,9,16	353:14,18 374:5	43:2,5,12 70:11
shapes 240:1	4:17 46:12,13	shooters 119:16	125:11 244:23
share 9:23 12:2,24	48:22 51:10,24	122:4 153:25	279:17 354:2 371:2
13:4,7,25 14:14	53:6 54:19 65:18	160:10 276:9	382:8
40:5 60:14 119:22	66:9 70:25 72:14	shooting 47:21	shut 115:12
195:9,20 269:2	72:23 73:7 78:3	130:9 157:4 166:2	sibling 250:8
341:10 362:14,14	85:11,18 88:6	172:25 173:13	285:19
shared 13:3	91:19 93:22 94:11	176:24 247:18	side 5:5 13:23 90:5
shares 214:4	94:13 95:8 97:13	248:3 301:7 316:15	106:18 134:25
sharing 9:22 85:22	114:25 117:8 118:4	382:13 384:7	135:1 158:8 159:21
98:11,14 258:20	119:10 121:7 129:5	shootings 312:9	164:7,8 165:14
269:15 272:8	130:20 135:25	315:20 384:16	172:4,7 174:4,5
297:25 308:1,3,8	138:15 153:19	shop 326:16 327:5	177:24 196:5,16
362:12 368:17	154:21 156:8	327:7	224:20 290:8 301:4
371:19	167:14 173:20	short 61:5 86:24	350:16
she'll 318:10	177:8 181:10	98:12 129:16	sides 28:1 159:22
sheet 84:2,15	194:16 205:23	306:23	sight 145:6,6
shelter 149:1	212:10 221:7	shortly 105:17	sigma 354:16
353:17	223:15 227:22	232:6,9 336:24	sign 70:13
sheltering 124:17	311:8 313:6 337:6	shot 191:6 247:4,20	signal 111:8 168:23
124:22 132:13	sheriff's 6:16 8:8	276:12 278:20,20	signaling 111:12
sher 46:13 53:7	65:21 83:5	279:7 284:4	244:7
54:3,6 71:1 78:9	sheriffs 100:16	shots 148:19	signatories 27:8
80:10,19 81:16	120:8 179:2	shoves 351:10	70:10
85:10,12,20 86:17	shift 15:15	show 39:24 40:23	signature 386:15
88:7 90:24 91:1,7	shoot 145:2	41:5 52:22 113:5	signed 70:9,9
92:6 93:23 94:10	shooter 71:8	116:19 125:13	signer 22:6 80:7
94:13 95:9 96:15	104:25 121:14	225:9 231:18 240:3	significant 87:18
97:7,13 118:5	123:19 124:15	249:7 275:12 296:6	169:25 250:13,23
119:9,11 121:24	125:6,10,13 140:24	307:6 322:16 325:3	252:9,12,16,20
122:2 153:21	141:25 142:11,23	348:25 377:25	253:7 254:7,23
154:21 177:9,22	143:2 145:2 154:7	showed 37:16	255:9 266:19
179:1,11,13 194:17	155:10,23 156:10	40:10 113:8 118:24	281:12
1		1	1

[significantly - sorry]

significantly 61:21	site 9:5,9 31:25	196:15,19 197:10	societal 311:10,19
87:10	184:16 266:12	197:24	solely 170:15
signs 129:10	sites 273:22	sleep 283:11	soles 20:8
silence 4:5,6 296:2	sitting 21:14 128:2	slice 249:7	solid 145:17
silly 71:11	135:10 319:17	slide 107:7 118:24	solution 112:24
silo 209:7	situation 7:1 55:24	138:19 139:2	177:21 282:4 375:7
siloed 78:19	61:13 64:3,12 82:9	140:23 141:24	377:17 378:1
	111:25 121:14	155:21 191:6 194:7	solutions 349:12
82:9 153:10 370:25	123:4,8,18,20,20	236:12 296:10	solve 265:11
similar 106:16	132:21 142:1	317:6	274:24 275:1 282:5
113:16 120:8	147:25 148:1,11	slides 123:4 133:1	367:2
196:23 293:22	155:10 173:11	236:10 296:12	solved 226:12,12
302:22 323:2	180:23 254:24	319:20	somebody 11:23
similarly 293:18	255:14 282:10	slight 274:16	12:11 25:5,7 36:8
simple 162:16	306:4,10 309:1	slightest 7:24,25	36:10,10,11,12,13
163:7 165:5 171:12	317:11 382:8	292:8	37:1 41:16 42:5,17
249:2 294:6 296:17	situational 124:16	slights 292:9	42:20,22 43:3,10
297:7,25 299:7	situations 113:6	slim 44:2	43:15 59:13 60:6
simply 16:2 47:13	122:23 134:18	small 109:25 110:1	60:14 74:3 81:4
330:15	140:24 226:14	144:24 145:15	84:25 85:7 114:22
singing 374:25	311:4 380:13	179:20 293:15	117:8 139:6 147:24
0	siu 187:20 188:17	294:6 326:3 338:13	148:12,21,25
109:21 112:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	354:9 379:22,25	149:13 179:6
			305:24 306:10
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		351:10,11,14 358:6
,			358:8 364:9 380:18
,			somebody's 54:10
,			54:11
0		-	someplace 49:19
			209:11
			somewhat 100:11
			soon 12:10 13:4
	-		32:12 165:22
			234:22 352:12
			sophisticated 74:2
			277:3
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sorry 95:17 113:1
			126:3 140:20
,		,	143:19 146:7
303:23 304:21			147:11 213:18
319:22	150:7 151:14 196:1	384:10,12	217:2 278:20
	87:10 signs 129:10 silence 4:5,6 296:2 silly 71:11 silo 209:7 siloed 78:19 silos 28:24 38:24 82:9 153:10 370:25 similar 106:16 113:16 120:8 196:23 293:22 302:22 323:2 similarly 293:18 simple 162:16 163:7 165:5 171:12 249:2 294:6 296:17 297:7,25 299:7 simply 16:2 47:13 330:15	87:10184:16 266:12signs 129:10sites 273:22silence 4:5,6 296:2sites 273:22silence 4:5,6 296:2sites 273:22silence 4:5,6 296:2sites 273:22sile 209:7situation 7:1 55:24silos 28:24 38:2461:13 64:3,12 82:9silos 28:24 38:24111:25 121:1482:9 153:10 370:25situation 7:1 55:24sine 106:16132:21 142:1113:16 120:8147:25 148:1,11196:23 293:22155:10 173:11302:22 323:2180:23 254:24similarly 293:18255:14 282:10simple 162:16306:4,10 309:1163:7 165:5 171:12317:11 382:8249:2 294:6 296:17situational 124:16singing 374:25situational 124:16singing 374:25situations 113:6single 9:10 57:1140:24 226:14109:21 112:16191:2,2 192:10120:20 133:2211:16 214:4 233:4207:23 235:21six 29:11 48:23373:18,1879:13 106:2 178:13sink 37:1,4 69:2sixteen 99:17 143:3374:18 378:4sixteen 99:17 143:3sink 37:1,4 69:2sixteen 99:17 143:3380:24 383:22,24329:3 336:3 338:11384:18,19,23,25size 26:23 134:13385:5size 26:23 134:13sit 74:3 92:21 165:4166:19293:23,25 303:22skills 32:7 357:9	87:10184:16 266:12197:24signs129:10sites273:22silence4:5,6 296:2sitting21:14 128:2silence4:5,6 296:2sitting21:14 128:2silence4:5,6 296:2sitting21:14 128:2silence4:5,6 296:2sitting21:14 128:2silence4:5,6 296:2sitting21:14 128:2silence4:5,6 296:2sitting21:14 128:2silence4:5,6 296:2situation7:1 55:24silence7:1961:13 64:3,12 82:9140:23 141:24silence106:16132:21 142:1317:6similar106:16132:21 142:1317:6similar106:16132:21 142:1317:6similarly293:18255:14 282:10slight 274:16simply16:2:16306:4,10 309:1slight 274:16simply16:2:47:13122:23 134:18slight 292:9singing374:25311:4 380:13109:25 110:130:15140:24 226:14144:24 145:15singing374:25311:4 380:13179:20 293:15single9:10 57:1siu187:20 188:17294:6 326:3 338:13109:21 112:16191:2,2 192:10354:9 379:22,25120:20 133:2211:16 214:4 233:4380:4sink 37:1,4 69:2219:2 316:2 338:6169:19 170:4sink 37:1,4 69:2219:2 316:2 338:13169:19 170:4sink 37:1,4 69:2219:2 316:2 338:13169:19 170:4sink 374:14 8378:4sixteen 99:

279:20 300:15	382:4	spots 381:4	staffed 354:9
302:15 378:9	specialist 36:22	spotted 316:17	staffing 112:11
sort 53:13 91:19	37:8 58:23 187:21	spotting 299:10	120:17
129:13 136:18	187:23,24	spouses 347:18	stage 67:5 180:25
197:12 231:5 241:9	specific 19:3 76:17	spreadsheet 372:6	188:6,7,13,14
242:11 243:21	106:8,14,22 136:13	springs 6:17 8:9	192:24 195:2
250:24 252:13	145:3 189:4 190:16	179:23 183:4	250:23
258:15 263:10,17	191:7 215:3 216:10	376:13	stages 22:16 186:18
264:11 270:16	232:1 245:14,14	sprinkled 123:6	staging 180:22
272:1 276:8 278:9	255:1 269:4 310:7	sprinkler 110:8	stakeholders 22:2
279:11 280:22	367:17	sprinklered 110:11	22:2 26:15,17
282:8 286:17,24	specifically 8:20	142:19 143:14	28:21 77:7,13
287:15 290:19	103:21 118:9	spurring 255:18	95:19 203:15 289:6
297:3 300:13,14	195:22 232:19	squad 117:10	298:6
308:17 311:22	236:25 237:5	square 109:21	stand 4:4 78:17
313:14,21 328:23	259:25 302:5	118:8,15,22 119:2	116:9 150:20
sorting 188:24	307:17 311:21	125:20,24,25	180:25 323:10
sounds 131:12,13	313:1,24 350:19	srep 104:5	337:15 341:5
359:3	specificity 189:2,13	sro 205:10 297:14	standalone 77:23
soup 158:17	specifics 18:12	303:20 336:3,4,17	standard 53:2
source 310:13	36:16 103:25	369:23 370:1	100:13 155:23,24
sources 186:6,11	111:14 194:24	sro's 271:17	158:18 159:7
254:9 274:5 285:22	spectrum 351:2,6	sros 297:15,19	162:18,23 163:8,24
286:11,15	363:14	301:8 335:19,23,23	165:11,16,21
south 87:13 159:4	speed 129:9	335:25 336:14,25	170:23 172:4
375:8	spell 268:10 289:24	338:13,24 341:14	174:16 175:7,15
southeast 132:2	spelled 267:17	343:25 362:23	178:10,11,12 182:7
southern 102:20	270:7 291:7	383:25 384:2	182:14,18,19,22
space 135:9 176:7	spend 76:10 315:5	stab 358:3	183:1,6,12,21
spaces 239:18,23	spending 61:11	stabilizing 341:23	238:18 306:22,23
speak 136:17	318:14	stack 377:22	306:24 307:3
160:22 211:4 229:4	spent 134:23	staff 7:9 39:13 40:4	337:16
315:16 380:10	sphere 321:7	111:24 112:21	standardized 292:3
speaker 113:3	spoke 11:19 104:7	164:25 165:1,5	standards 87:7,11
132:10	160:12 173:25	194:1 215:5 290:17	100:21 103:16
speaking 30:12	378:17	322:20 334:7 345:4	106:11 162:20,23
118:9 120:3 315:6	spoken 185:18	345:6 347:18	164:10 178:20,21
379:5	sport 247:7 287:10	354:25 359:24	178:25 182:13
special 102:7 114:1	287:10	362:20 371:22	326:10 328:7
151:25 185:22	spot 57:17	381:16	standing 37:2
187:19 221:17			165:7 236:23

	Ι		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
standpoint 7:23	103:7,14 104:4,15	statistic 109:1	131:24 133:3,21
stands 324:3	105:11 107:3	statistical 28:10	135:2,14,17 139:20
starred 350:7	119:20 130:25	statistics 157:2	139:21 140:5
start 5:7 15:13	136:1 137:7,7,8,13	174:21 235:7,14,16	144:19 147:3,19
48:11 70:3 89:1	137:18,19 138:6	367:21	182:2 309:6 366:9
212:25 282:16,17	140:7 143:6 150:9	stats 248:23	367:15
318:22 322:8	150:12 151:7,18	status 52:20 56:1	stool 104:11
336:23 376:24	162:4 164:4 180:10	64:17,19 161:14	stop 94:2 169:9
382:20	180:21 184:25	231:4 252:10	171:10,11,12,13
started 19:19 20:12	201:21 239:15	statute 14:21	175:2 244:15,22
38:8,18 70:7,16	240:8 243:7 246:3	101:16,19 102:24	303:21 326:16
158:11 236:17	263:1 284:16 321:1	104:19 105:9	327:4,7 356:14
238:20 247:8	321:4,5,19 323:4,5	106:19 113:14	storage 279:25
256:23 257:3,14,15	325:21 326:9,17,20	136:7 137:24 138:5	280:3,8,8
258:22 278:6	326:24 327:6	140:10	store 176:21,25
280:14 286:6	328:18 333:3 345:9	statutes 102:5	story 134:8 351:12
315:25 320:14	346:21 347:8 350:6	103:24 138:11	357:21
336:12 348:2	352:24 359:18,24	143:8 262:25	stranger 303:9
356:18 375:16,17	361:11,18 362:21	statutory 14:10	strategies 240:23
376:12	363:22 364:19	44:10 100:23	254:17 261:7
starting 56:16	366:19 382:9,11	104:17	296:19 298:1
124:6 278:1 294:1	386:3	stay 35:10 180:17	308:12
297:4 374:10	state's 25:19	223:17 290:4,23	street 45:7 77:20
starts 30:2 48:9	330:13	stayed 114:9	78:19 79:24 84:3
317:12 332:1	stated 130:1	steal 79:14 280:6	95:24 325:6 337:12
376:23	statement 71:3	318:2	stress 192:6 281:11
startup 44:5	85:20 95:9 137:14	stealing 28:15	281:15,15 377:4
state 2:5,20 22:4	188:20 274:10	82:21	stressor 252:9,20
24:14 25:17 26:1,1	states 102:6 116:12	steals 29:9 30:3	266:19 377:8
26:9,12,13,18	142:17,18 154:15	steel 373:20	stressors 252:16
33:12 35:12 45:15	157:3,23,25 169:24	step 206:12,15	254:23 276:1
47:11 50:6 57:18	239:11 253:5	320:23 356:23	281:11 282:7
77:4,6,10 78:3 80:4	321:11	stepped 328:13,20	286:19 299:19
80:11 81:1 83:5	statewide 47:6	steps 32:21 33:9	377:4
87:5 88:1,3,24 89:2	101:7,22 171:22	191:8,10 192:22	strictly 139:17
92:12,19,21 93:18	234:18,21 349:22	218:12 223:14	150:4 382:6
96:14 97:23 98:5	station 111:22	233:11 246:1	strike 186:1
99:2,3,4,5,15,21,22	stations 101:1	stole 29:7 82:12,15	stringent 113:14
100:1,6,10,20	110:9,10,15,25	stolen 372:15	strive 87:6 88:2
101:3,5,10 102:13	123:5 168:21	stoneman 1:3 18:13	strong 127:3 320:7
102:15,16,17,22		112:13 126:6 131:3	320:7,24 326:8

strongest 381:6	290:4,24 291:17,19	299:17,20 301:1,12	136:23 149:21
structural 323:6,22	293:3,4,5,9 294:10	302:20 303:5,14,22	153:23,25 154:12
structure 109:4	294:14 295:11	303:25 305:9 306:9	177:12,22 328:21
112:16 137:1	296:15 297:4	316:12 324:24	354:11 361:13
323:11,12,25 324:7	304:25 308:16	331:11,13,15	365:13
324:10,17 325:12	310:11 312:22	332:10 333:7,8	subjective 306:2
325:15	315:23 316:14,18	334:7 335:5 341:24	submit 171:19
structured 241:10	323:21,23 324:16	342:4,8,13,14	191:2 227:19
structuring 201:8	334:21 337:18	345:2,5 347:2,4,5,8	369:19
struggling 251:10	340:5,13,19,24	349:18,23 350:4,11	submitted 9:13
stuart 6:5	341:12 349:14	350:12 351:14	103:9 178:24
student 3:2 21:19	354:2,7 364:1	352:2 363:9 373:24	subpoena 12:22
32:17 34:16 35:4,7	365:6 369:2,3	379:13,20 380:11	subpoenas 7:3
36:18 37:15 46:19	370:4 374:22	studied 249:14	subsection 334:25
52:19 53:18,22	379:11,22	324:13 330:2	subsequent 28:22
64:16 65:15 66:1	student's 27:23	352:13	34:20 45:19 57:25
67:23 185:6 187:19	37:13,18 191:24	studies 238:22	65:19
187:25 188:20	193:19 206:10	239:5 242:6 252:1	subsequently 62:18
189:25 190:9,12,18	225:3 250:9 310:24	311:22 312:6	subzero 89:1
190:23,24 191:19	students 31:9 53:25	study 112:25	succeed 381:16
192:9,20 193:3,14	54:15 67:25 68:2	119:19 126:8	success 24:20
197:8 198:18 201:4	117:19,20 127:6	237:17,21,25 238:8	181:12 200:3,4,6
201:12 202:18,21	145:5 193:9 207:3	239:9,19 243:10,11	235:8 324:10
204:2,6,8,14 205:6	207:17 210:6 211:3	245:3 246:10,22,25	341:13 384:1
205:15 206:5,9,13	215:4 216:5 221:21	248:13 249:12	successful 25:2
206:18 214:8,9	230:25 233:19	251:16 252:19	206:20 304:12
215:1,11,24 216:3	234:4 238:8 243:15	253:20 256:23	333:8 336:9 358:17
218:19 220:25	244:11 249:24	272:4 291:9 312:1	sudden 93:4 249:20
221:11,13,16,20	250:3 251:15,17	315:18,25 316:2	252:4
222:13 223:2 224:7	253:18 257:6,7,8	330:20 360:20	suddenly 127:5
229:19,22 230:8,18	257:10 258:24,25	studying 246:8	suffer 382:22
234:7 238:14	259:17,21 261:12	250:25 254:21	suffered 274:17
247:19 248:5,15	265:4,21 271:15	272:13,23 352:17	281:13 381:23
257:19,22 258:19	274:15 278:21	stuff 5:12 55:20	suffering 381:24
259:2 262:9 265:25	282:24 284:23	59:22,22,24,25	suggest 28:18 46:9
266:15 268:18	285:13 291:11	71:11 83:1 120:10	76:5,16
269:24 271:12	292:4,15,23,25	139:16 352:7	suggested 4:12
272:2 273:15,16	293:7,21 294:13	stun 383:1	suggesting 207:6
274:7,12 277:23	295:11,22,24	stupid 24:15	suggestion 59:7
281:12 286:11	296:16,22,22	subject 11:11 18:11	76:3
287:24 289:18,23	298:12 299:13,15	21:20 121:24 122:2	

[suggestions - system]

suggestions 208:14	supervisory 185:22	217:20,22 219:20	sutherland 179:22
suggests 189:21,23	229:19	224:15 228:5	swear 52:4,5
suicidal 253:1,12	support 3:2 64:10	229:10 232:25	swearingen 2:7
260:10 279:4 283:8	88:8,19 185:6	256:9 260:14	65:8,9 66:4 68:13
283:12 284:14	187:10 198:18,19	265:16 266:8 277:6	68:21,23 69:3,8,21
313:23,23 340:24	206:9 210:12	290:3 295:19 296:2	69:25 70:5,24
suicidalness 284:8	215:24 216:22	316:10 323:14,17	99:18 127:11 229:9
suicide 192:11	230:25 242:21	333:4 353:19	229:10 231:1,9
253:2,3,9,10 260:7	290:19,21 295:1	360:18 366:7 374:4	317:2
275:19 278:16	296:21,24 323:8,22	surely 381:5	switched 150:10
279:15 283:10,10	324:1,7,11,18	surpassed 157:9	switching 113:1
283:25 284:10,12	325:13,16 327:17	surprised 71:14	swoop 138:23
299:5,6 313:9	328:23 333:1	surprising 269:1	syllabus 378:2
326:25 357:9	352:22 361:24	surround 164:1	symbol 276:8
359:14	362:20 371:21	215:12 224:3 357:8	symbolic 185:19
sum 60:11	380:1	358:16	symptom 381:25
summaries 203:13	supporters 24:13	surrounding 189:9	symptoms 247:9
summary 193:10	24:24	324:1 363:15	253:1 285:3,10,11
214:10 234:16	supporting 24:25	survey 332:13	299:7,10 378:20
349:3	supports 190:24	343:16,21 344:4,9	system 24:10,19
summer 29:9,16	255:15 287:17	344:22,23 345:4,23	28:4,6,21 29:19,25
30:7 67:5 78:21	supposed 40:22	345:23 347:24	35:9 37:12,13,14
119:23	349:11 363:1,14,15	349:10,18,23 352:5	37:16 38:11,20
sunrise 1:8	363:16 364:23	366:7 368:4	39:4,8 41:7,8,9,10
sunshine 4:25 5:3	sure 10:22 14:8	survival 159:16	41:12,14,16,18,25
superintendent	19:13 20:7 42:16	susceptible 306:13	42:17 43:13 44:19
78:4 228:22 323:9	56:24 63:12 75:9	suspend 289:22	47:4,5,8,10 48:8
334:23 344:15	75:13 76:2 78:12	290:1 358:19 363:9	50:7,12 52:14
345:22 381:16,21	78:16 81:3,24 89:8	suspended 247:21	57:19,24 58:9,16
383:9	89:11 92:4 97:19	247:25 273:7,17	62:16,19 65:2,5
superintendents	100:4 114:21 117:6	suspending 291:25	67:8,9,21 72:5
322:19 365:12	118:6 122:18	suspension 21:7,12	78:18,18 80:20,22
supervision 111:23	126:21 128:3,5	21:21,22 22:23	81:1 83:20,24
191:19 192:9,20	129:3,7 141:3	23:11 37:21 52:14	85:25 88:11,13,14
193:9,15 206:10	143:6 145:22	63:18 223:4 289:25	88:15 90:13 91:14
214:8,9 221:11,22	167:13 175:19,20	290:9,23 291:23	91:22 93:7,12,14
222:3,25 223:12	179:8 182:17	379:16,17	102:16 109:17
230:9,18	197:12 199:1	suspicion 337:17	110:6,8,15 111:9
supervisor 228:22	200:19 201:7	suspicious 233:25	112:6,7,9,12,20
supervisor's	207:15 211:17	279:25 280:2	120:25 123:12
172:18	215:9 216:15		131:25 133:21

	1		
134:14 135:15,18	take 4:13 7:11	271:24 273:8	384:15
137:6 145:23,24,25	10:15,20,25 13:5	276:22 277:19	talks 141:20 183:4
146:22 147:20	39:16,18,19 58:10	278:14 279:15	201:9
154:14,18,19,19	60:7 66:18 75:25	289:20 297:21,22	tall 144:2
162:17 173:3	78:13 84:17 97:21	298:7 303:22 318:2	target 23:14 145:3
177:15 195:13	98:17,22 121:4	332:5 370:2 373:7	242:6 255:1 282:10
198:23,25 199:16	122:2 129:10 135:6	383:3	targeted 237:1,6,10
200:7 202:12 209:4	152:12 168:10	talked 40:19 59:5	237:24 239:5,7,8
215:10 218:2	177:25 206:12	62:4 71:13 76:15	239:23 243:12
223:21,24 225:11	240:5 253:14,14	85:12 88:9 117:2	246:12 249:8 252:1
225:14 226:19	311:5 318:17,21	118:25 124:21	252:19 253:8
227:12 241:18	360:12 365:19	126:6 128:12	254:20 260:3,4
265:20 295:17,22	368:25 370:4,5	138:15 140:10	272:6 350:20 351:8
326:5 331:5 357:5	376:15	142:17 148:14	357:4
363:22 366:5 368:8	taken 44:23 45:4,8	149:21 168:16	targets 242:8
369:13 370:6	97:25 121:8 127:18	201:16 217:25	task 156:11 159:17
371:16	184:5 318:24	250:2,11 256:14	162:6 170:24 171:6
systematic 186:5	takes 32:4 49:13	261:23 262:18	171:7,17 180:15,16
292:3	108:12 112:24	265:14,14 273:23	329:2,4
systems 18:19 48:2	127:16 171:17	273:24 282:1 285:1	tasks 188:10 191:9
90:20 98:20 101:1	174:22 178:12	285:15,16 286:16	192:23
111:15 116:5	180:4 230:1 246:15	289:15 295:14	tattling 295:25
120:13 121:25	254:25 271:25	298:3 312:7 315:3	taught 379:9
122:12 132:8,9	353:25 372:6	315:12 316:15	tax 175:14
158:24 159:1 168:8	talk 5:5 11:5 19:1	356:7,8	teach 160:19
168:14 177:11	38:25 57:20 95:4	talking 55:11 60:11	288:14 303:8,8
182:4 209:16	100:24 105:25	60:21 73:14 75:17	308:2 378:2 385:3
216:22 225:8,12	110:5 113:23	76:11 85:22 115:1	teacher 3:12 6:7
226:5 227:13 256:3	124:17 140:21	125:11 127:13	41:22 42:7,16 43:4
260:9 270:23 274:2	159:22 162:20	128:14 129:6 169:2	52:6 126:22 187:18
t	164:20,22 165:12	170:7 207:23	201:3 202:17
t 386:1,1	175:8 176:11	208:23 220:19	218:19 257:22
table 164:23	178:18 181:22	242:10 243:3	258:1 275:24
177:20 309:12	217:24 228:21	244:11 249:6 251:1	283:16 284:4
tables 125:21,22	236:15,25 237:13	252:5,6 257:6,8	292:22 293:16,19
tack 83:16	237:15 239:3	260:23 264:20	296:19 315:13
tactical 154:25	240:19 241:11	266:14 269:4	332:5 342:3 378:13
tactics 183:14	243:8,25 244:12	271:14,15 275:18	378:14 379:9 380:9
351:20	254:17 255:6 256:2	275:19 276:5,16	teachers 115:4
tailored 246:3	259:11 260:20	288:19 289:2 310:3	193:3 202:10 211:4
262:23 298:24	267:4 270:2,9	313:3 335:21	215:5 216:5 257:24

271:15 273:9	328:12,17 329:6,14	73:3 109:5 191:25	text 194:6 233:6
290:20 292:19	335:20 340:7	318:17,22 347:21	234:6
296:16 298:13	342:19 352:24	349:4 373:6	thank 5:15 19:19
299:1 301:12	361:24 362:22	tends 123:14	19:23,25 20:9,10
320:13 334:14	363:1	term 32:4 175:10	20:11 46:13 48:20
351:19 360:23	tearing 71:7	180:2,2 237:23	51:9 58:19 62:10
379:6 380:15	teased 350:15	297:1 305:8 332:18	62:21 70:24 72:12
teaches 377:2	tech 238:23 329:25	334:12	75:1 91:10 98:8
teaching 66:20	352:13 370:22,22	terminology 159:3	116:24 118:3 119:9
303:14 320:15	technical 163:17,19	159:6 184:12	123:25,25 126:16
379:1	178:23 327:15	terms 38:11,20	129:22 135:20
team 13:13 102:17	352:4	39:2,4 41:7,8,10	145:21 153:14,15
112:19 117:10	technically 146:21	42:18 44:1 67:6	156:6,13,15,15
187:14 191:4,7,11	technology 168:13	125:2,5 129:17	176:2,3 181:18
191:17,20 192:23	225:7,15 250:5	167:7 206:4 207:13	185:4,7 194:12,15
197:5 200:9,12,15	312:14 313:19	237:10 239:25	194:16,17 195:24
201:3,9,21 202:1	317:7	241:19 242:19	203:9 205:22,24
203:16 204:17,22	tedious 107:13	243:5 245:1 249:1	210:13,15 217:12
210:25 211:15	teen 268:20	251:7 253:21 254:1	217:14,15 222:7
212:3,9,12,16,24	teenage 311:14	256:13,17 260:6	226:17 229:8 231:1
213:3,17 214:5,18	teeth 227:13	264:25 265:19	231:9 233:13
214:19,20 219:1,12	tell 24:25 37:15	269:17 270:14,19	235:25 236:2,9
221:9 227:18 228:7	42:15 43:8,14 46:3	271:20 287:18	300:18,23,23
229:25 230:12,13	50:15 56:19 57:7	294:11,19,22 307:3	314:21,23,24
252:11 259:1	71:9 88:7 122:11	307:13 310:9 312:8	316:25 318:13
260:14 261:23,25	139:18 162:2	315:18 317:7	319:8,10 365:22
262:3,3,10,17	194:22 195:2	322:13 323:22	372:18,19,20,22,24
263:9,15,15,19	196:11,11 202:6	325:8 326:10	374:17,18,20 378:4
264:1,17 267:16	226:21 266:25	test 241:17 280:21	378:7,9 380:20,21
268:1,17 269:21	267:2 269:3 283:3	tested 115:20	380:24 385:4,5,14
287:10,11 317:20	310:8 321:19	162:17	thanks 179:13
332:11 333:21,22	334:12,14 346:1	testified 49:17	183:25 184:4
333:23,24 339:25	356:17 357:21	137:2 242:23	318:14
340:4,18 341:4	362:13 374:9 377:6	testify 11:24	thanksgiving 38:1
343:12 347:4	telling 24:2,23	testifying 243:1	38:4 68:11 258:9
355:19 361:23	219:25 369:23	testimony 5:12	258:10 259:4
371:6,7,10	381:10	15:8 48:21,24	that'd 77:22
teams 186:7,12	tells 334:6,21	198:24 313:18	theater 113:17
187:1,15 238:20	temporarily 155:4	testing 280:23	theaters 115:17
263:4,6,8,9 303:7	ten 31:23 32:2,7	texas 157:4 179:23	theft 34:3 249:3
321:2 327:18	52:17 64:15 72:24		
	1	1	1

[theme - third]

theme 274:23	128:8,23 134:12,21	think 11:4 12:7	224:4,13,21 225:4
320:19	134:24 138:4 152:3	14:4 15:14 19:6,23	225:8,16,18 227:15
themes 270:11	152:4 153:9 160:21	23:13,13 25:16	227:17,20,25
274:3 275:11 276:2	161:16 163:20	27:20 29:12,12	229:16 230:2
309:20	168:7 169:3,7	32:13 33:4,5,19	231:17 233:17,17
therapist 64:8	171:14 175:3,3	46:13 47:1,18,22	233:18 234:23
thereof 104:1	176:4 178:19	47:23 48:3,14 49:7	235:1,5,5,6,11
thing 10:15 13:23	179:15 180:19	49:13,15,17,18	242:4,18,24 245:10
14:13 22:17 24:5	192:13 206:23	54:7,13,17 55:10	246:5 251:18
29:23 47:8 53:13	215:23 220:21	55:17,23 58:19	255:25 256:13
71:18 72:17 73:4,5	221:12,18 224:5	59:9 60:10,12,19	264:24 269:8
73:25 74:12 81:9	230:22 231:10	62:10 65:10 66:9	274:23 277:9,14
85:22 87:16 92:2	242:21,22 244:6,22	71:23 72:16,16,17	278:22 282:3
116:7 121:4 130:18	251:7 252:17	72:18,20 73:4,13	283:19 300:24
132:17 151:23	255:12,20 256:6	73:13 74:1,12,13	301:3,13 302:10
153:1 166:19 178:7	257:4 261:20 262:5	74:19,20,25 75:4	305:8 307:2,12
178:17 179:17	263:12 265:1,15	76:19 77:1 81:9,11	308:7,7,20 312:6
240:18 242:11	267:8,20 270:22	83:14,20 84:7 85:3	313:18,21 315:4,8
255:4 260:12	274:6,22 277:8	85:20,25 86:2,13	318:1,7,10 319:19
270:25 278:22	278:11 280:25	87:8 90:16 91:11	320:1 321:15 325:9
293:16,25 296:7	281:8,10 282:6,8	91:15,16,21 92:1,2	329:23 333:17,19
298:3 299:22	282:12,19 284:7,13	93:16,16,21 95:22	335:21 337:3 345:2
316:11 353:4	286:17,22,22 287:3	96:5,10 109:24	345:5,6 346:16,18
354:20 356:12	287:5,14 288:14	119:17,17 120:2	348:12 350:3,8,25
374:3,4 383:11	289:2 292:19,20	121:7,11 123:21	366:4,14 367:12,16
384:6	294:6 295:10 296:6	126:13,14 127:1	367:25 368:15
things 5:4 6:14	296:13,25 297:7,24	128:10 130:13,19	370:16 376:20
7:14 12:15 15:20	303:10 304:7,11	133:8,11,17 135:23	383:21
19:3 23:20 24:8,15	306:5,15 307:5,12	138:18,19 140:21	thinking 237:18
24:19 26:24 27:20	307:16 308:2,25	140:22 148:14	243:15 265:10
29:10 34:2 38:25	311:18 312:15	152:17,20 156:2,12	267:11 268:22
49:8 54:13 57:4,6	314:20 315:7 316:8	156:21,24 165:8	278:23 279:12
57:14 58:6,20	317:5,23 320:1	166:16 170:17	280:14 282:18
59:16 60:9 64:20	321:21 322:10	174:9 177:16,20,24	283:11 284:9,19,22
69:4 70:18 74:4,9	323:4 324:7 333:12	181:9 182:24	286:24 287:12
74:10,17 76:4,25	334:18 340:11	207:12,21 208:1	290:21 293:12
83:14 91:23 105:4	342:7 344:4 348:23	209:6,12 210:3,10	298:5,9 315:8
106:11 107:22	351:1,5,15 353:24	211:17 212:10	thinks 26:21 27:1,3
108:7,22 114:10	354:3 356:4 360:10	214:23 215:7,13	third 30:20 38:5
115:13,19 123:21	367:12 374:6	216:2,18,19,21,25	54:21 105:12
124:16,20 127:20		217:3,10 222:5	118:11,16 130:8

149:16 225:18	thread 328:19	232:13,18 236:5,21	361:11,20 362:22
338:21 346:16	threat 3:4 9:5 74:2	237:22 238:18,20	363:7 364:11,16,20
379:9	75:20 165:19	240:2,12,13,14	365:6 371:10 381:3
thirds 253:18 341:7	167:16,21,23	241:10,13,20	threaten 242:8
346:12	170:19 171:3,4	242:13,17,23,25	244:10 249:25
thirteen 28:16	184:4,9,13,14,20	244:24 245:4,25	threatened 347:13
31:12,21 32:19	184:23 185:9,13,15	249:16 253:10,22	347:15
33:10,15,17,22	185:16,18,19,21	253:23,24 254:1,3	threatening 240:4
131:4 320:11	186:3,3,6,9,17,25	255:3 256:1,23	307:24 334:8
366:20	187:2,9,10,11,14	257:1,16 259:2,13	threats 117:21
thirty 49:3 50:13	187:15,21 188:2,3	259:22 260:14,18	185:23 187:2 189:3
50:17 98:25 105:6	188:8,15,18,21,22	261:15,22,24 263:8	189:7 210:22 228:1
109:23 141:8,16	188:23,24 189:1,5	263:14,16,23	228:6 233:24
178:13 191:25	189:5,9,11,15,18	264:13 265:8 266:6	234:25 235:10,12
319:20 322:18	189:18,19,20,22	266:10 267:16	242:4 259:1,3
347:1,12,21 349:4	190:2,4,6,8,10,14	268:16 270:12	343:25 347:3,5,5,7
357:2	190:15,16,21,22,25	271:20 274:7 281:6	347:25 349:1,4
thorough 71:20	191:1,11,17 192:2	287:1 288:25 289:1	355:20 366:12
199:6	192:22 193:17,24	289:5 292:12	three 7:18 8:14
thought 12:1 53:8	194:2,10,14 195:3	298:10,21 299:2	14:16 15:5,7 25:21
122:20 129:16	195:8,9,13 196:11	300:5,6,7 304:4	25:22 26:2 29:6,9
132:18 134:1	196:13 197:19,22	306:6,8 309:5	29:21,22 31:14
190:10 223:1	198:21 199:7 200:8	310:2 313:10	35:6 46:21 49:19
229:14 249:21	200:15 201:9,20,25	314:14 320:20,25	54:24 56:4 66:23
251:4 253:2 270:4	202:14,15,19	321:2,6,24 322:1,8	76:4,20 79:21
273:12 279:24	203:12,15,20 204:3	322:13 327:18	92:23 101:16,23
280:1,5 356:22	204:10,22 205:7,14	328:12,14 329:6,14	104:11 109:19
375:24 376:14	206:1,5 210:20,24	331:3,5,16,18,22	124:2,8 132:21
thoughts 78:15	211:1,12,15,25	331:24 332:8,14,17	134:16,17 135:3,13
159:9 183:10 253:2	212:1,3,9,9,13,14	333:2,15,23 334:3	136:10 138:4
283:6,8,12 385:7	212:15,21 213:16	334:6,15 335:1,8	141:18 145:24,25
thousand 59:21	213:21,25 214:5,17	335:12,19 339:14	146:20 161:9
71:11,12 102:15	215:2,3,16,18,19	339:23 340:7,20	162:22 171:8 174:1
107:17 109:4,21	215:21 220:17	342:17,19 343:11	176:15 186:18
225:3 245:6 301:9	221:1,3,8,9 222:17	346:14,17,18 347:1	191:25 198:24
327:13 343:17	222:22 223:21	347:3,22,25 348:10	203:11 215:15
346:25 347:11,20	225:19,22,24,25	348:14,16,18,19,24	228:1 229:5,13
349:3 370:11,12	227:25 228:9,11,12	352:11 354:16,17	235:9,21 245:6
375:15,22 383:25	228:16 229:2,12,15	354:24 355:14,19	309:18 322:22
384:2	229:16,20 230:5,12	355:21,23,23,24	323:5,24 336:2
	231:13,21 232:3,4	356:2,15,19 357:8	337:10 344:10

345:25 347:14	84:23 94:5 98:12	timeliness 186:21	369:18 375:11
349:5 350:19,23	101:9 102:3 112:21	timely 124:13,13	376:14 379:19
353:16 358:22	117:23,25 129:16	158:2 166:13 172:2	380:5
362:2 366:13 373:2	130:5 131:6 134:7	times 25:5,21 29:6	tolerance 381:22
376:1	135:13 156:14	46:21 49:16 59:4	381:25 382:5,12
threshold 63:3 65:4	157:11 162:17	61:8 64:8 70:13	383:10
74:6 260:19 264:16	165:16 167:1,4	79:10,12 94:7	tolerances 26:20
267:21 268:22,23	170:2 172:22	114:11 122:13	96:7
305:19,24	173:18 174:22,24	124:24 158:24	tomorrow 6:11,13
thresholds 119:1	175:23 178:8 184:2	169:23,25 176:15	79:16 132:16 385:8
260:24	186:17 195:1,12	242:24 245:13	385:13
threw 379:11	200:16 201:17	268:8 272:7 275:23	tone 112:4 168:12
throttling 182:5	206:2,3 210:6,19	284:15 288:5	tool 8:25 85:17
throw 76:4	214:15 219:3 220:3	291:12 295:25	114:1 241:8 346:23
thunder 318:2	225:22 228:12	307:25 309:19	350:9 363:20
thursday 6:12 17:5	230:15 237:21	336:13 376:1	tools 60:2,17 162:1
17:21 19:1 60:25	238:1,25 242:9	377:24	226:21
61:3 195:6 231:15	244:10 246:15,17	tip 211:18 214:3	top 49:15 157:18
245:22 246:9	249:22 253:5 258:6	233:3,8 301:16,17	345:25 360:2
264:23 372:11	262:4 270:8 271:23	321:7	topic 5:1 11:2
tie 195:5,23 231:14	271:24 272:4	tips 233:22 301:2	12:12 16:25 18:23
317:6 358:2 381:1	277:25 278:25	301:15	180:8 181:14 184:8
tied 379:7 380:9	285:5 290:5 291:18	today 6:11 16:24	184:9 356:25
tight 19:4	291:25 295:19,21	18:16 19:19 46:17	368:17
time 7:10,25 8:3,16	296:13 305:1	51:15 62:20 65:21	topics 14:18,20,23
9:1 10:6,15 11:25	306:15 307:6 309:2	94:24 108:17	15:2 21:1 95:7
12:15 14:4,25 18:3	310:16 314:6 315:5	127:24 157:15	298:10,23 300:14
19:5,11,21 23:15	318:14 321:3,8,10	158:11 176:16	308:21 355:13
24:11,11 25:7,9,10	322:7 325:19	178:21 185:11	total 135:8
25:12 27:2,25	327:13 328:8	205:25 210:16	totaled 346:25
28:15 30:20 31:10	331:11 335:3,16	249:6 250:6 291:5	totally 15:9
31:11 33:24 34:17	338:4,10,11,13,14	300:25 305:19	touch 49:11 354:12
34:25 35:2,24,24	339:18 357:18	336:22 375:21	381:1,9
44:17,22 49:4,6,18	360:9,13 365:6	376:1	touched 224:6
54:22 55:20 56:4,5	368:13 376:22	toe 333:15	tough 55:24
56:17 57:5,15 60:1	377:25 379:17	toes 358:4	tower 157:4
60:4,16 61:5,9,22	382:19 384:21,21	toilet 351:11	trac 191:18,21,24
62:4,18,20 63:13	timeline 7:20 8:1,5	told 50:25 152:19	192:7,18 197:2
63:22 65:15 70:23	56:10,11,16	257:13,21,25	230:7
71:25 75:6,7 76:11	timelines 7:19	295:13 315:13	track 38:9 60:16,18
76:22 78:14,20		322:17 330:15	60:19,19 62:12,15

73:17 92:3,8 93:3	298:23,25 299:3,11	transportation	trusted 211:10
93:15 301:25	299:13,14,16,16,19	39:17,19,20 40:25	251:5
304:25	299:22 300:3,8,14	221:17	trusting 292:15
tracking 28:8,10,17	303:4 326:10	transported 39:24	304:1 354:5
tracks 247:24	327:11 328:10,15	39:25 40:1,2	try 5:16 12:7 13:15
tragedies 241:5	328:18 329:12	transporting 39:22	61:15 63:11 101:23
tragedy 181:12	336:5 339:12,15	161:17	117:11 121:21
238:1 242:18	344:2 352:25 353:8	trapped 114:14	122:10 127:8
tragic 176:9 284:5	354:8,15,18,21	trauma 357:16	130:11 154:3
train 47:22 162:13	356:13 358:18,22	359:14	160:22 184:2
171:22 177:3 180:4	359:13,21 360:6,22	traumatized	206:13 302:3
266:2 322:17 333:4	360:24 373:18	383:14	323:13
356:14,23 361:7	382:25	travel 245:12 263:4	trying 5:21 55:24
363:13	trainings 124:15	294:25	59:19 64:25 82:13
trained 63:20	186:25 245:6	traveling 318:14	82:14 85:24 120:7
112:2 147:24 177:1	261:18,19 277:17	treated 220:25	130:17,21 134:24
194:2 200:10	356:20 357:8 359:3	350:4	161:24 196:2
265:20 298:11	359:7,16	treatment 17:7,7	214:22 215:22
354:21 363:5	transcript 386:8	tremendous 19:24	239:8 243:6 247:13
383:24	transcription 386:9	98:19 129:14	256:17 268:19
trainer 354:22	transfer 204:14	301:10,19	280:5 339:4 358:3
356:24 361:7	364:8	trend 344:6,8	358:9
trainers 124:21	transferred 42:1	trespassing 366:12	tuberculosis 54:10
187:12 361:6,11,18	transferring	trickling 243:7	tuesday 258:5,10
364:12	204:18	tried 61:1 74:11	tumbler 115:9
training 100:22	transgressions	122:8 257:4 284:6	turn 4:23 47:12
125:8,10,14 126:5	47:13	284:14 286:4	105:19 132:10
141:18 160:3,19	transition 184:8	316:19	228:22 269:10
161:2 162:2 170:21	transitions 166:11	trigger 69:5 260:17	turned 45:24 69:14
171:17 180:19	translate 240:6	triggered 68:18	69:20 88:16 231:22
186:9,10 187:6	369:1	149:16 357:17	232:4,7,14 275:11
212:18,19 214:13	translates 354:1	triggers 225:9	282:22 283:2,15
231:6 237:3 240:7	transmission 8:10	313:22	309:8 311:13
241:25 245:12,17	transmitted 382:21	trouble 50:16	turning 231:19
246:7,20,22 259:20	384:10	88:25	turnover 357:1
260:2 261:16,17	transparency	troubled 333:11	twain 337:24
263:5 266:21 267:3	15:24 259:20	355:1	tweak 71:14 84:22
268:24 271:23,25	271:23	truancy 297:10	89:17 93:10 154:1
276:13 288:16,20	transparent 12:5	truck 161:21	328:3 360:17
288:20 296:3 298:4	transport 307:9	true 18:22,25 30:1	tweaks 72:5
298:5,6,8,10,22,23		92:5 386:9	
	1	1	

tweet 277:23	284:16 289:2	uncommon 215:17	unified 160:7,8
tweets 278:9,17	300:24 306:4	uncover 73:8	161:7 164:17,19
twelfth 373:22	308:25 309:18	uncovered 36:8,15	165:12,15,21
twelve 102:21	315:3 322:18,22,23	underfunding	170:13
137:7 178:13	331:11,19 333:24	382:10	uniform 138:8,9
356:19 374:14	333:24 337:22	underlying 65:24	unify 161:24
377:12	341:6 343:17	undersheriff 2:14	unilateral 205:3
twenty 15:7,8	346:12,25 347:20	understand 46:17	unintended 36:4
26:12 27:16 96:13	349:3 352:10 359:2	71:22 80:10 89:9	unions 7:1 164:6,7
105:6 128:2 157:23	366:6,13 372:25	90:4,9 92:20 116:4	unique 25:15 38:15
162:21 178:9 247:3	373:7,16,20 378:8	132:1 140:15 141:4	38:16 74:5 79:5
307:21 320:16	378:15,24	145:23 150:11	178:7 325:20 326:7
325:23 327:12	type 50:16 73:23	154:14 198:25	unit 172:14,18
336:2,10 344:5	118:19 125:20	200:8 208:19	173:20 187:20
347:22 357:2	221:17 235:15,22	214:23 217:23,23	194:5 280:3,8,8
365:23 374:14	239:1,24 277:8	229:11,18 230:4	united 154:15
378:13 383:17	278:23 279:12	236:23 243:16	157:3 169:24
twitter 278:1,7	298:8 299:3 300:2	250:17 288:25	units 158:9 172:17
two 14:23 15:8 28:1	315:4,5 326:23	296:2 299:7,9,23	173:1,2 279:25
30:2 31:23 32:2,7	327:17 334:1	300:10 380:8	universally 183:7
39:3,10 44:3 53:10	types 60:8 98:21	383:18	universities 102:21
53:11 61:8 64:20	104:25 115:8,23	understandable	134:5 137:7 146:12
64:21 66:23 69:9	116:11 127:14	16:20	322:21
79:10,11,22 82:20	153:7 161:25	understanding	university 102:16
82:21 86:24 87:15	163:15 164:1,15	45:1 71:2 170:7	102:18,19,20 125:9
88:24 92:23 93:17	175:6,18 183:16	220:14 353:20	125:15 133:3
98:14,24 101:18	239:5 242:6 246:2	355:15 357:14,15	146:11 259:12
141:4 142:13 144:2	246:8 248:18	377:19	329:25 344:23
157:9 171:14	261:19 272:6 274:1	understandings	349:21 352:10
176:23 186:20	276:16 316:23	225:19	365:5 371:1
188:25 191:20	typical 311:14	understands 47:2	unnecessary 24:10
192:14,21,25 193:8	u	understatement	unquote 47:9
195:3,14 196:21,25	u.s. 3:4 109:2 236:3	320:18	unrestricted
197:4,4,16 198:22	ultimate 56:11	underwriters	138:24 139:8
202:4,5,5,7,15	310:1	115:18	unsafe 74:18
203:11 215:17	ultimately 93:11	unfamiliar 114:15	185:20
217:16 222:23	208:23 230:9	unfortunately 42:8	unsupervised
225:2 229:5 231:18	un 35:1	43:4 55:23 239:20	290:13
239:13 244:4	uncomfortable	unification 161:10	unusual 240:4
251:13,13 253:17	263:17	175:11 176:4,14,22	276:3
257:7 276:11 279:3		177:3	
	1	1	

upcoming 286:22	vague 189:20	versus 87:13 119:4	273:23 274:25
update 6:2,14	vain 20:9	155:1,3 179:21	276:4,18,19 282:4
10:24 101:22	valuable 166:17	224:8,9 368:15	282:19 286:25
136:11 231:3	341:15	vest 172:5,7	287:4 293:12 297:6
246:12 360:15	values 224:19	veteran 3:12	311:13,14,20 312:1
372:12 381:10	vandalism 24:6	378:13	315:2 341:12
updated 22:18	34:3,10,17,21 35:3	viable 222:24	355:15 369:16
119:23 344:20	35:5 69:9,16,18,22	vice 39:2 99:19	372:4
upgraded 127:2	366:13	247:20,22 266:2	violent 23:15 30:19
uploaded 203:23	variable 201:11	victim 2:8,18 379:3	65:3 173:11 190:1
upset 278:5	varied 105:8	380:14	190:13 249:3 250:2
urban 338:23	251:11,12	victims 86:5 161:25	252:6 264:21
339:2	varies 180:21	171:4 326:5	266:14 275:19
use 13:20 41:25	variety 185:24	video 6:22 16:13,17	314:8 379:10
70:20 79:2 113:25	209:16 230:19	41:1 175:19,20	violently 185:17
117:11 155:14	281:2 326:20	334:13,17	virginia 3:5 184:25
169:19 173:14	352:19	view 10:10 16:17	198:25 235:11
182:3 184:11 225:6	various 17:15	16:19 60:7 130:13	238:23 259:11,12
234:5 238:6 241:24	27:15 70:13 186:21	142:3,7 147:24	294:20 303:18
260:1 277:18,21	239:5 299:19	224:8 270:16	318:3,4,6,20 319:3
279:10 282:23	334:18 356:21	366:22,25	319:5,15,16 320:21
287:7 289:7 296:20	365:12 370:25	viewable 39:1	323:1 325:17,18
297:10 316:19	371:2	viewed 59:23 60:10	326:1 329:25
330:25 342:11	vary 109:16,18	65:23 148:21 342:6	332:15,23 335:19
345:16 346:4 354:5	219:3 221:2	viewing 148:1	335:23,23,25 336:5
356:6 358:20	vast 338:8 350:10	203:24	336:19 337:21
360:25 364:24,25	vegas 130:8 160:12	views 23:24,24	338:6 342:18 343:6
useful 251:8	173:25 181:9,9,13	24:21	343:23 344:18
user 150:18	276:11	violate 129:4	346:8 350:18
users 39:1	vehicle 123:17	violating 52:1	352:10,13 353:9,25
usf 102:20	169:16 322:12	violation 21:19	362:9 364:15 365:5
usually 45:4,6	venture 234:11	violations 337:19	365:6,25 368:22
178:12 200:24	verbal 166:14	340:5,5 369:3	370:18,21,22
244:17 268:25	verbally 379:13	violence 193:5	371:14
277:17	verbiage 381:12	237:1,6,10,20,24	virginia's 199:1,17
usurp 95:11	382:24	239:1,6,7,22 245:3	virtue 99:11
utilize 225:15	verified 131:9	248:14 249:9	visit 268:2
v	verify 133:17	252:19 254:11,20	visitors 373:19
vacuum 205:14	versa 39:2 266:2	254:21,25 259:15	visits 53:20
372:14	versions 263:6	260:3,4 264:12	visual 144:13
512.14		265:7,10 270:14	168:25

vital 17:17 341:14	78:10,16 81:11	321:18 322:6	wars 375:9
voice 132:9 134:4,5	89:8 90:19,21	323:16 325:15	washington 14:6
134:14,18 135:15	94:14 95:1 96:22	336:14 341:11	watching 20:5
135:18 145:23,23	96:25 108:9 113:2	342:20 344:2 346:2	99:23 100:3
145:25 146:22	113:4 114:14 115:3	346:20 347:8,9	water 73:1
380:5	115:4 116:7,19	352:6 356:12 359:9	wave 216:12
void 39:23	117:5 120:6 121:19	360:23 362:15	223:20
volatile 273:8,12	124:7 128:3,5	367:12 369:25	way 23:8 27:9 41:7
volume 164:6	130:4,10,18 131:10	373:9 374:4,16	47:10 48:8 56:23
voluntarily 328:14	136:17 141:3	377:1 381:1,9	59:18,19,20 60:23
328:20	145:22 153:18	wanted 19:18,19,23	71:7 78:2,6,13
vote 78:11 90:6	165:13,19 170:9,15	19:25 55:15 61:23	82:23,24 91:16,24
voted 89:7	171:14,14 173:14	151:2,17 163:8	92:17 103:8,9
vulnerability 254:2	177:18,19 179:6,9	164:2,6,23 169:13	104:24 106:17
W	181:21 182:17	180:8 181:14	116:18 121:9,22
w.r. 3:11 374:19	194:12 201:7 211:7	217:19,21 243:14	130:4 131:11
wade 98:24	211:9 217:22	247:1 248:8,15	134:11,19 139:14
wagons 332:8	218:16,23 219:5,20	250:17 269:12	140:14 143:7
wait 93:5 180:25	222:5 224:23	274:15,19,20 279:4	152:22 153:4 155:7
266:10 369:17	228:17 229:10,17	279:5,9 315:2	156:3,4,5,13,24
waited 159:20,23	229:21 230:4	316:21 317:6	157:3 163:7 165:20
wake 325:17	237:19 240:18	326:16 327:4,7	171:6 172:12 174:3
336:20	241:16 244:21	329:22 347:12,13	175:9 182:12 197:9
walk 39:18 133:5,6	245:11 249:6 254:5	379:8	201:4 203:19
139:16 194:20	254:8 255:5,14	wanting 128:12	206:18 220:25
210:19 345:19	257:25 263:24,25	153:2 273:24,25	232:2 234:25 235:6
363:23 380:13	264:17 265:20,21	335:8	258:14 271:4,5,8
walked 232:15,21	265:21,22 266:2,8	wants 5:1 61:25	273:4 279:12
walking 210:16	269:9,14 272:11	222:6 364:10	284:21 296:4 322:2
279:24 363:18	274:8 275:5,15,17	377:23	325:10,11 332:2
wand 209:23	276:3,20,25 278:13	war 375:16 377:16	340:12,18 349:15
216:11,12 223:20	278:15 279:5	warm 159:17	353:21 364:5 370:1
313:7 377:23	281:10,24 282:10	166:22 167:1,6,19	370:14 371:17
wands 220:16	284:25 285:9,23	171:1,1 180:17	382:17 384:2
373:9	287:4,10 289:7	warning 185:25	ways 110:14 111:3
want 12:7 15:20	290:3 292:25	warnings 174:13	117:11 133:19,20
16:19 19:13 48:7	293:14,23,25	warrant 268:13	134:12,21 153:9
51:6 55:6 57:16,18	297:12 298:16	warranted 192:14	155:20 172:9 173:8
57:20 63:5 69:12	305:7 312:22 313:4	291:5	174:3 194:5 211:3
72:13,25 74:8,24	314:25 316:2,10	warrants 11:4	302:8 317:9 378:16
75:8,10,13 76:1	318:1 319:19 320:4	30:13	

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
we've 6:21 7:7	web 27:25 28:8,9	376:21 380:4 382:5	witnesses 188:21
13:12 22:24 33:2	30:6,10 82:8 83:8,8	westglades 35:25	wives 375:25
48:14 54:13 57:22	83:15,21 84:9,14	36:19,23 39:5,14	woman 279:22
74:11 76:14 95:10	85:16 89:19 90:11	40:23 41:6,12 42:4	wonder 346:16
106:12 115:7 117:9	90:11 92:1,4,7,17	42:11,17 43:6,12	wonderful 321:8
119:20 120:2	93:1	43:17 57:12 64:9	wondering 314:9
149:21 157:8	website 4:23 9:18	65:18,25 69:11	word 17:14 104:23
165:15 199:9	10:9,10 194:6	whatsoever 34:6	104:23 195:17
217:25 223:25	210:22 211:14	49:21	313:22 325:20
224:12 226:9,11	234:2,6 239:3	wheel 122:11	331:11,20 377:5
227:4 228:13	243:9 250:15 267:4	177:25 365:17	381:11 382:22
233:14 237:1 238:8	331:2	wheelchair 114:22	words 77:9 120:21
238:22 239:4,25	wednesday 182:23	white 119:21 307:7	263:16
245:2,5 246:8,23	183:1	307:21	work 5:21 6:15 7:5
249:14 251:10	week 10:20 12:21	whoa 29:22 93:4	12:1,7 13:24 31:20
256:15 264:6	15:4 30:5 61:8	wholeheartedly	41:22 61:14 75:1
265:25 276:4	75:19 76:11 131:24	86:14 95:22	83:25 84:11 91:15
277:10 279:11	210:7 245:22 258:8	wide 144:2 179:16	100:3 102:1 117:9
281:17 282:1	309:19	willing 90:24 91:1	120:9 121:13
293:18 300:25	weekend 301:5	336:15	122:12,19 162:7,8
303:2 317:25	weekly 297:20	window 118:12	168:2 178:6,15
319:15 328:3	weeks 11:16 70:14	143:24 144:1,3,5,6	206:25 210:18
350:25 354:14	176:23 245:9	144:8,12,13,14,15	212:13 219:5
356:7 362:17,23	278:18 283:25	144:20,22 145:14	223:22 226:4,8,8
364:15 372:16	weigh 72:13 82:3	145:15,16 279:23	230:23 237:12
weak 342:10	82:23	373:12	242:16 243:4 256:8
weapon 172:5	welcome 31:4 98:7	windowless 143:13	261:14 262:3,21
248:1 264:12 265:7	156:11,16 185:3	windows 117:12	265:18 267:2
266:12 277:12	318:16 319:8	143:9 144:24 145:1	269:13 277:6
315:4,6 316:18	wellness 187:5	145:1,7,8 345:20	288:10,11 292:25
339:7	217:7	373:13	298:4 302:16
weapons 189:23	went 38:22 42:5,17	winter 78:22	307:16 308:24
190:12,18 248:18	64:14 73:16 88:13	wipes 47:14 48:9	312:18 318:9
253:16,18 273:23	120:23 148:12,18	48:11	320:25 321:24
276:21 277:2,2,4,8	150:15 158:15	wise 173:8 369:25	322:4 323:24 324:8
280:9 286:4,5	160:11 217:10	wish 17:15 68:11	340:3 351:10
289:12 316:9,24	222:22 232:10	120:5 321:18	354:10 372:8 380:8
376:5,6	247:4,25 329:25	withdrew 309:9,9	worked 19:6 31:16
wearing 380:25	331:11,14 348:3	withheld 51:23	198:7 256:24 259:7
weather 141:20	349:20 374:12,22	witnessed 172:24	304:25 307:20
	374:23,23 375:6		320:10 326:20

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
383:13,15	wray 11:9	309:7,11,13 310:5	youtube 279:18
worker 201:1	wray's 11:17	312:7 328:3 336:12	280:17
218:23 219:16	wreck 47:23	339:3 344:11,15,17	Z
workers 219:18	write 138:9 163:6	344:25,25 346:13	zero 346:22 366:1
working 5:25 6:3	292:23,24 346:19	348:21 356:20	366:11,11,11,12,12
7:10 11:21 20:9	379:18,19	359:2 360:10	381:22,25 382:2,3
105:12 126:20	writers 360:19	374:11 378:23	382:5,12,12 383:10
133:7,9 134:21	writing 191:2	year's 48:13 49:4	zone 159:12,17
160:2,14 181:19	220:20 378:10	yearly 239:20	166:25,25 167:2,15
233:20 235:2	written 53:23	years 71:15 96:1	167:19,22,23
257:15 258:22	155:13 163:7	99:7,9,17 101:16	170:14,16 171:1,1
262:15 268:23	165:20 185:18	101:23 105:6	180:17,18,18
269:17 277:24	195:16 273:2	127:14 130:16	zones 159:13
290:6,14 305:6	wrong 79:17 96:10	136:11 150:14	166:20,22,22,22
307:13 308:14,14	155:9 275:1 316:3	151:13 154:16	100.20,22,22,22
308:15 310:22	321:9 351:5 376:21	157:9 199:10 237:2	
329:24 337:11	377:17	239:13 242:17	
342:12,21 346:22	wrote 293:3 379:14	246:7 249:14	
363:20 374:8	y	270:10 279:22	
376:23	y 125:18	310:13 320:11	
workplace 270:14	yeah 33:14 49:7	331:20 336:2,10	
351:4	55:17 59:15 60:24	337:11 339:5 344:7	
works 27:6 41:8	64:24 68:23 75:3	344:11 352:5	
93:15 261:17	80:16 91:3 94:18	356:15,23 360:11	
workshop 116:3,6	122:13 131:23	361:14 374:14,15	
workshops 124:6	141:17 152:12	377:11 378:15,22	
124:11	203:8 234:10 316:8	378:24	
world 20:5 207:21	368:20	yellow 168:24	
207:25 224:14	year 6:8 22:12,14	yeoman's 61:14	
322:18	25:14,15,25 26:5	yesterday 20:6	
worried 109:10	28:16 29:6,11 31:8	79:15 85:12	
worse 24:19 278:8	38:7 44:8 48:11	york 301:5 302:14	
314:15,17 378:21	52:16 53:15 67:14	302:15 383:25	
worship 239:23	69:24 70:16 78:22	384:3	
worst 30:22,23	94:4 107:18 109:4	young 24:4,15	
worth 283:13	124:2,8 143:4	315:2,12,15	
wound 375:2	162:21 165:2 178:9	youth 337:11	
wrap 153:21	215:14 236:17	341:22 357:14	
383:23,23	239:18 245:20	376:17 377:11	
wraparound 206:3	247:3,20 252:21	youth's 333:11	
	272:16 273:3 309:3		