1 (Thereupon, the meeting is called to order:)

CHAIR: All right, good morning everybody. We're going to -- I think this is kind of a segue way point for us. Up to this point we have largely done what we said we were going to do, and that is, is to set the background, the framework so that everybody has an understanding as we get into meat of it what the framework, what the law, policies, and what was in place here, so you have a way to measure it as we look at what happened on February 14th, and the facts of this incident, and really Cruz's life through February 14th.

So, I think this is a transition point for us, because we have largely accomplished what we said we wanted to do in that regard. There are still some things, maybe in September, about straggler items that we need to fill in some blanks on, but I think as we now begin this morning with the presentation from Nevin Smith it gets into the specific topics, and the first topic that we said that we wanted to address and include in the report, and that is historically what has happened in this country in K-12 shooting situations, active assailant

2.2

2.3

situations, and what has come from those that we can learn from, or what we should have learned from previously, and what was done or not done in response to those.

And then after that presentation this morning we're going to continue moving forward with specific items that we need to address for the report, and for the, the core of the work. So, I'll say that we're going to start getting into the meat of things now, and it will be a segue way point, and I envision the September meeting, and October meeting will be the same, and again anything else, we'll just be cleaning up any particular items.

So with that said if any of you up to this point in our four meetings now have anything that's come to mind that we haven't covered that you want covered, or that you, a specific topic that you think you need more information on, more background information on that will help inform that decisions we need to make, let me know, and we can certainly consider that.

So, we're going to begin this morning with Nevin Smith from the Florida Department of Law Enforcement, and Nevin has done a very

2.2

2.4

comprehensive job, and prepared a report on the K-12 history of active assailant shootings and situations here in the United States, so I'll turn it over to Nevin, and welcome, thank you for your work on this.

PRESENTATION K-12 ACTIVE ASSAILANT IN U.S.

DR. SMITH: Sheriff, good morning. Good morning Commissioners. What I'm going to talk to you about this morning is a twenty-year history of forty-six targeted violence attacks on K-12 schools involving forty-eight attackers. As we start I need to define what's in this data set, what's a targeted attack, and you heard that from the Secret Service in, in your last commission, and here what we're doing is we're using that definition as an attack on the school, not an attack on a single individual in a school. So, murder/suicide, for instance, that did not spill over into the school is not on that, in this data set, and these forty-six we're looking at, not all school violence, but specific types of school violence.

These are attacks that serve a purpose for the attacker. As the Secret Service has told

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

you they are well planned in advance, they are not spur of the moment attacks, although something may have spurred an attacker who was planning. They achieve an objective for the attacker, and that objective may be, seem to be observable, but the reasons, or logic in what brought the attacker to the point of the attack are complex and may be unknowable.

The second question here about this is why 1998, why start there. '98 falls sort of in the beginning of a period when we see a change in who attacks schools, who, where the targeted attacks come from. We thought about starting in '99, which is Columbine, and then we looked a little further into that history and saw that in '98 there was an attack on a middle school by an eleven and a thirteen your old, and thought that was very pertinent to the discussion, and so we picked that up, and I will explain that a little more to you as we go.

Before I talk about that twenty-year history what I want to talk about is the history before that to set the context, and I'll cover that briefly. And if you look all

2.2

the way back into the 1800's what you find is that school violence is mostly one on one, mostly somebody shoots somebody at the school, frequently as a result of a conflict that occurs, and the shooting emerges out of the conflict, and it may be student and teacher, parent and principal, other things like that, and but that's the kinds of violence that you see all the way up to 1927 in Bath, Michigan. In Bath, Michigan you find the first, what we call the targeted school attack, and a member of the school board over a period of time plants dynamite and Pyrotol, which is a World War II explosive, in the basement of the community school.

When he's ready to attack he burns his farm, kills his wife, sets off the explosion with a timer, then drives a truck bomb to the school and blows himself up, and kills more. He killed forty-four people and wounded fifty-eight people in this, in a town of five hundred.

Researchers generally look at 1966 as the first school shooting that's targeted violence, and although this isn't K-12 it's worth noting

2.2

here. This is the Texas clock tower shooting. It occurs in Austin, Texas, an individual who kills his, who is a student there who kills his mother and his wife, climbs to the top of the Texas clock tower and begins shooting from that perch.

Law enforcement has difficulty responding at the time because of the weapons they're assigned, there's a long firefight as this individual shoots down on the community and on the campus. He kills sixteen. He wounds thirty-one. And the longest distance shot in this particular case is five hundred yards. I mention only to give you an idea of the complexity of that event. And as I say that was not K-12, that was a university, but it's generally thought of as where we look at school shooting targeted attacks.

The first targeted attack on a K-12 school by a shooter didn't occur until 1984, and that was the stranger, twenty-eight years old, and he shot the 49th Street Elementary School from his apartment across from the school. He killed two, wounded twenty-four, committed suicide.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

The notable attack on that list is Cokeville. It might be questionable to think of that as a targeted school attack because in part it was driven by an individual who was holding those students for ransom who had a plan to later commit suicide. There's a -there's a complex story here, but nevertheless he attacked Cokeville, and the way it was done, and what occurred there is worth noting, because what he did, at the end of the school he gathered the students into a relatively small space, he had a shopping cart with a gas bomb, he and his wife held them hostage. negotiated for some outrageous funds. And he separated himself from the group temporarily and his wife accidentally lit the bomb. came back into the room and killed her, killed himself, and although no one was killed among the students or the teachers, seventy-four people were burned in that explosion, and it's a type of attack that should be noted.

January 17th, we see the Cleveland

Elementary School in Stockton was attacked by a stranger, twenty-four years old. He killed five, wounded thirty-two. The next two attacks

include the first attack in a high school by a student, and they occurred, occurred in 1992. One was an outsider, the second was a seventeen-year-old transfer student who had been in the school for nine days. He took a.22 caliber handgun to school, he wounded seven, and he was ultimately apprehended when he ran.

Two events took place in '93. One was the first middle school attack, and that was actually the, an individual who was inane who armed with a shotgun took three staff and the principal hostage. In '93 there was another middle school attack at Central High School in Sheridan, Wyoming, again a stranger.

In 1994 a middle school attacked by a stranger. In this case he roamed the school and shot the school staff and custodians, but never targeted the students. '96 is the first middle school attack of a student at the middle school, and in this case, it was a fourteen-year-old, he carried two pistols and a rifle into an unlocked math class, he shot and killed the teacher and two students, and wounded another student, and the wrestling coach entered and subdued him.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Now we start to see the shift I talked about. There two attacks in 1997, and they were by students, one in Alaska by a sixteen-year-old student, and one in Pearl, Mississippi by a sixteen-year-old student.

That will take us to the time period, but before we look at this data that we're going to look at I want to caution you about the same thing that the Secret Service cautioned you about. Multiple studies by researchers, including the FBI and Secret Service say there is no profile or list of characteristics that predicts a future shooter. And this assembly of data doesn't propose such a list. There are similarities and differences, but they can't be turned into a profile.

The Foundation Study prepared by the FBI back in 2002 that proposed threat assessments, that I'm sure you heard about, says this model, their threat assessment model is not a profile of a school shooter, or a checklist of danger signs. These things do not exist. The 2008 study by the Secret Service, that I would remind you of that they talked about, says there is no useful or accurate profile of who

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

engaged in targeted school violence.

There are limitations to the data that I am going to give you today, not all violent events in schools are in this data. Violence occurs in schools that we're not going to talk about, and some of that violence includes firearms, or other weapons, or other forms of violence, we're not discussing here all school violence.

The murder suicide, one student to another that doesn't spill over to the school is not included in this list of data. A domestic violence event may occur at the school, or an event of workplace violence, or gang violence outside the school, are not considered targeted school attacks within the context of the definition that we're using. But targeted attacks irrespective of the outcome are in this data. The ability of the attacker to carry out their attack in a way that caused numerous casualties was not used as a criteria to make up this data list.

Some events are poorly executed by the attacker. Some events are stopped in process.

Some events the attacker broke off for

2.2

2.3

2.4

unknowable reasons when they could have continued. So, we're not looking at some threshold of death or injury here, we're looking at did the attack begin at the school, and what do we know as a result.

Attacks that were interrupted outside of the school that never began, that's a difficult data set to find. They're not included here but it occurs. You see it when you start to research this data, that, you know, from time to time someone will be arrested, or diverted, who may have been considering an attack, but there's no common database for that, there's no way to gather that, it's kept locally, and it's very amorphous, and very, and while you see some, and occasionally it pops up, there's not good list of that that we can gather and bring you.

Also attacks with limited impacts generally have less data, and there have been some commission work on some of the attacks, like Columbine and Sandy Hook, there's more data available on those. The older the attack is in history some of that data starts to disappear. The newer it is, like Santa Fe,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

1	some of that data has not yet emerged because
2	it's being close held in the investigative
3	process, and generally some of it will be
4	released over the months that follow as the
5	adjudicatory process, concerns we've used
6	public records, and we've used official records
7	wherever we can, and we've triangulated the
8	data to try and be as accurate as possible.
9	Also, some of this data relates to juveniles,
10	and that's going to limit some of what we can
11	see when we gather it.
12	So, when we know about that, I'm not sure
13	how well you can see that, but you have the
14	slide in your book
15	CHAIR: Hey, Nevin, would you because
16	I'm not sure people can can you stand a
17	little closer to the microphone?
18	DR. SMITH: I'm sorry.
19	CHAIR: That's okay. Thank you. Thank
20	you.
21	DR. SMITH: Sure. My voice is a little
22	CHAIR: No, we can hear you, but I'm not
23	sure it's capturing all the way in back.
24	DR. SMITH: All right, thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you.

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

2.4

DR. SMITH: Will do. Forty-three of the attacks, and that's what this slide shows you, had a relationship to the school. Forty three of the forty attackers were related to the school in some way, and threats from individuals like this can be considered as insiders. The Department of Homeland Security talks about insiders and talks about them as one or more individuals with the access or inside knowledge that would allow them to exploit the vulnerabilities in school security systems. And students, or most student, former students are going to represent an insider threat.

I'll talk to you about some exceptions as we go along, but in general students, or former students are an insider threat. They may -- in addition to knowledge they may have a perceived legitimate purpose inside the school at the point they begin the attack. When the attacker is a student or a staff member, and you won't hear of staff members in this data set, just students because we didn't pick up any staff members attacking like this in this data set, but when it is a student or a staff member

their appearance at the school is likely not to cause alarm. When they're a former student they may understand how to blend in, how to move past security protocols, or they may be aware of when they need to begin the attack from the outside because they know where the security starts.

The strangers here were a fifty three year old of Black Canyon, a thirty year old of West Nickels Mines, a thirty two year old at Deer Creek, a forty one year old at Kelly Elementary School in California, and an individual who was on a community killing spree who attempted to attack a school that was locked down in Rancho Tacoma, and I'll mention those a little more as we go along.

This next slide breaks it down by school level, so if that's the overall picture what does it look like, well, it slightly changes at this level. In high school we see once again that it's former students, or students, and it's an insider attack. In middle school, and here I picked up junior high and junior high school and dropped them into the middle school category, although they can overlap with high

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

6

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

24

25

school a little bit in age, again it's inside threat. But in elementary school it shifts to outsiders. High schools and middle schools are attacked by insiders for the most part. Elementary schools are attacked by outsiders.

Now, you'll notice in here that there's a couple of former students. One of those is questionable as to whether we ought to categorize it that way. The attacker as Sandy Hook was a former student at Sandy Hook, but he was twenty- two at the time of the attack. And he attacked with a brute force attack in the way a stranger would have attacked. He shot his way through the glass panel that was beside the locked down school door and entered the school, and immediately confronted the administrators who responded to the entry and killed them and moved to the classrooms. That attack could have been done by a stranger and given his age and his form of attack you could shift that over in this to thinking of him as a stranger, but because he fit the, I used to go to school there, you know, he shows up here.

The outsider attack at Flat Canyon High School that I told you I would mention was a

fifty-three-year-old male. He apparently monitored the school for a little while. He entered an unlocked school. He entered an unlocked classroom. He fired his weapon into the ceiling. He forced the teacher and all of the males out of the classroom. He held seven female hostages in the classroom. He claimed to have an explosive device in the backpack. They locked down into a negotiation. He sexually assaulted five of the seven girls as he released them one by one during the negotiation. And he had set a time when he was going to explode the bomb.

2.2

2.3

2.4

At that point SWAT made entry. One of the girls got away during that entry. The other he shot, and he committed suicide. Actually, SWAT shot him, and he committed suicide simultaneously, so, you know, you almost have to think that he was able to commit suicide immediately prior to that shot.

An example of that middle school attack that I wanted to talk to you about by insiders, because it's hard to think of middle school students as insider attackers given their age, things that you would take more seriously in an

eighteen year old you may take less seriously in a twelve year old, and the example there that makes that point that I want to give to you is the 1998 attack that occurred at Westside Middle School in Arkansas by an eleven and a thirteen year old.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

They stole weapons, stole a vehicle, stole camping gear, drove it all to their school. The eleven-year-old walked through the main entry of the school which is observed and bluffed his way by the administrators by claiming he had a hall pass. He walked down the hallway, turned the corner, pulled the fire alarm, ran outside and waited in the woods with his companion. When the students and the teachers came out and lined up in fire alarm response formation, if you've seen schools do that, they shot at them from ninety- three yards away in the woods. They killed five and wounded ten. And the students had a hard time getting back in because the doors locked behind them, because they came out the fire exits.

I talked to the Jonesboro police chief who was a sergeant at the time who was there at the time, and what he tells me is that the death

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

toll would have been higher among the wounded, and this is important to mention I think, because the teacher in the schools were trauma trained, and they had trauma kits in the classroom that were typical at the time of 1998. Not because they anticipated a school attack, because that was one of my questions for him, right, why.

Well, it turns out that that particular school is in an earthquake and a tornado zone, and for sort of their response to earthquakes and tornadoes the school had trained all their teachers to deal with trauma and wounds, and they had put trauma kits in the classrooms, so then the wounded came back into the classrooms they had a way to deal with them, and he believes that that reduced the amount of death. He was unable to tell me how much, but he's convinced from having been there that the amount of deaths were reduced.

The Middle Creek, the middle school former student exception that you see on here was an attack at Deer Lake Middle School in Colorado in 2010. He was thirty-two, and he's the only attacker that claimed insane during the

2.2

2.3

2.4

adjudicatory process, and yet he bought ammunition on the way to the school. He went to the school and toured it, because it has been under construction. After the tour he went back outside of the school, took his rifle out of his vehicle, and waited until a sheriff's deputy left the parking lot and then attacked. He shot twice, and he was using 30-6 bolt action rifle, and a teacher responded while he was racking the bolt and was able to stop the attack at that point.

I talked to you about Sandy Hook. The other former student attack that occurred at an elementary school that was an outside, the second one on that list, was a fourteen-year-old who killed his father, and he drove the family vehicle to Townville Elementary School in South Carolina. And this is important, because what he intended to do was carry out a mass casualty attack according to the interviews with him later. And he picked the elementary school he had gone to, he had gone there, then he had been home schooled, then he had recently gone to this middle school and he had just, and he had had some trouble in

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

the middle school, but he picked the elementary school because he knew there was an armed security presence at his middle school, so he chose his former elementary school. He chose away from where he knew that presence was, according to the data.

It was a brute force outside attack, he attacked from outside. He killed one person, wounded two, but his gun jammed because he had the wrong kind of ammunition, and he had to fiddle with his gun. And a paramedic who was working at the school, paramedic/firefighter who was working then around the school for another reason overcame him, responded to him, and stopped him before he could do more.

The strangers at the elementary schools were forty-one, forty-six, fifty-six. The attacker at the one room school that I mentioned up there that I placed in the elementary school category, it's a one room school in Lancaster County, it was an Amish school. They have still one room schools where they do, you know, bottom to top the -- thank you, David. David is suggesting I stand closer to the microphone. Thank you, I appreciate it.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Maybe if I move the microphone that will help us. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The attacker who attacked the elementary school in West Nickels Mines was thirty-two. Based on what he took to the school there's a strong belief that he intended to sexually assault the students there. He took a bunch of devices to the school with him. He dismissed everybody but ten young girls, and that's why I put it in the elementary school category. He executed five, shot five. He taped them up, laid them on the floor, shot them all. He executed five, shot five. Five survived.

Law enforcement breached. And he was known to that community. He was not a member of the Amish community, but he was a milk truck driver, not the kind that delivers but the kind that picks up in large quantities from the farms around the area, and so he was familiar with the school and the community that he attacked.

Well, how old are the attackers? Fourteen through nineteen, and again I want to caution us about creating a profile. Because somebody is in that age range does not mean they're

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

going to be the next school attacker compared to somebody who is outside of that age range.

You can't profile that way. But fourteen through nineteen was the common age range. Six were thirteen years old or less. Six were thirty-two to fifty-six. It gives you an impression of why, given how rare these events are, we have to look at a wider range of possible threats.

Also, when you look at this data I'd like you to remember that schools and middle schools were the predominant location of the attacks, and the age range fits the location. And the other thing I'd like you to remember is that some of these attacks were planned well in advance of when this age range would say they And in addition to being planned some occur. of them were contemplated before they were planned, and there's very little data on when the contemplation would have started. So, when we look at this data we have to keep in mind, if we're thinking diversion, the contemplation may have occurred well before act, although the data here can't tell you how long before, that's something you have to struggle to figure

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

But don't -- please don't focus just on that age range.

What kind of weapons were utilized? The general answer to that is lots of different kinds of weapons were utilized and have to be dealt with in relationship to what's going on. It's semi-auto pistols, semi-auto rifles and shotguns, were the predominant weapons that were used. Edged weapons have been used, and I'll talk a little more about that later. IEDs and incendiaries are part of this pattern and must be dealt with.

One other note here is that although vehicles have not been used as weapons in K-12 a vehicle was used at Ohio State in November 28, 2016 to attack students on the campus of Ohio State, and that particular attacker drove a vehicle into a group of students intentionally then got out and used an edged weapon to stab others and killed eleven. I know that's outside of the K-12 range, and there have not been any in K-12, but I'd be remiss if I didn't mention it to you as having occurred, and as being a possibility.

Obviously knowing the type of weapons most

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

utilized, and the range of weapons provide insight into procedural and physical protections that must be employed as countermeasures, as well as response, and secondary weapons were sometimes utilized as well. Pistols are obviously easier to conceal. Shotguns may have a lower overall rate of fire, but they can be used as breaching weapons against locked doors. Semi-auto rifles may need to be concealed and the components reassembled inside the school, or the attack may need to start from the outside edge in because the weapon can't be concealed in the classroom.

It's important here to talk about
Columbine. Columbine was not intended to be a shooting attack, based on the behavior that occurred there. The Columbine attackers, two of them, drove to school and set up their vehicles outside the school in a way that they were going to create a crossfire killing field. They then entered the school and they placed two twenty- pound propane bombs in the cafeteria timed to go off when the cafeteria was full. It would have been four, five

800-726-7007

2.3

2.4

hundred students jammed into the cafeteria.

The cafeteria sits below the library.

The triggers didn't work, thank God. that didn't happen, the Columbine shooters left their vehicles and entered the school, and they began their attack on the outside of the They shot the first people they saw, school. who were on the outside of the school grounds because it was at lunch. And then they moved into the school, and they had about a hundred incendiaries and smaller IEDs with them, which they deposited, some of which worked, some of which didn't, but essentially it became a shooting attack inside the school because their original plan which was to shoot from outside the school and create a massive fireball inside the school didn't work. And it's worth noting in relationship to what we're dealing with her.

Knives have been used on at least two occasions. Knife attacks are a little harder to find because they're not as sensational. A sixteen-year-old in Franklin County Regional High School in Pennsylvania in 2014 took two knives and slashed twenty-one students and the responding security guard in the hallway on a

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

class break. He didn't kill anybody, but he left some significantly disfigured, and some maimed as a result of nerve damage, and others wounded. He was eventually stopped by the assistant principal.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And a sixteen-year-old in Utah in 2016 took a bo staff, that's a karate device, stick, and a knife, entered the high school locker room, because he knew there would be a lot of students there. He said he wanted to commit a mass casualty attack. He attacked one student with the bo staff and then shifted to the knife. He stabbed five, four other students in the neck, because he intended to kill them, and was stopped by a school resource officer with a Taser. No one died in that, but the trauma obviously, and the fear in both of those attacks with those weapons was significant, although we don't see as much of it happening.

In most, in high schools it was shotguns, semi-auto's, either pistols or rifles, as we break this down. In primary schools, in middle schools we see a much wider range of weapons use. I mentioned the eleven-year-old and the thirteen- year-old who used scoped rifles

800-726-7007

outside of the school. The most recent middle school attack in May of this year the student left the classroom, came back in with two pistols, shot a student, who he wounded, and the teacher overcame him, and was shot three times during the process. The teacher recovered. None of the wounds were life threatening. And of course, Sandy Hook, which I've mentioned to you already, was attacked with a semi-auto rife.

Fourteen and fifteen-year-olds tend to use semi-autos. Shotguns were used by sixteen through eighteen-year-olds. It says something to you about the kinds of things that are going to have to be responded to, or prevented, but all ages used weapons with mass lethality. It wasn't confined to a particular age. And here again I want to, I want to make you aware there are small numbers. These are, you know, as large as this is from a statistical point of view these are small numbers, and we need to be careful about drawing conclusions about, well, fifteen-year- olds always use this or that, or the other. You can't draw that data here as we look at these splits, but you can be aware of

2.2

2.3

2.4

the ranges and the patterns that you're dealing with.

Where did the weapons come from? Half of them were stolen, parents or relatives. The way in which they were stolen varies greatly. Sandy Hook, the weapon was in the house, and the mother was aware the weapon was in the house and had bought it as a way to bond with her son, who was interested in weapons. In other cases, the weapons were locked down, or in a relative's home and kept away from the child who used them.

I cannot tell you from the data whether the weapon location was the target, that is the student decides to shoot with a particular weapon and then seeks the source of that weapon as the point of theft, or whether the use, the availability of the weapons becomes part of the driver as to how it's going to happen. The data doesn't tell us that. It could be either, and likely in some cases it's one or the other, because there is some evidence that multiple weapons were chose, stolen in some cases, and singular weapons were used, like the case of the eleven and thirteen-year-olds, they stole

2.2

2.3

2.4

1

3

more than one type of weapon and then chose from that theft what they were going to use in terms of how they set up their attack.

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

But that's not always clear in every case so we break it down. And where did youth get the weapons, clearly those in the eleven through fourteen-year-old age range all stole their weapons. As we move to adolescents fifteen-year- olds stole their weapons, but we start to see a movement in adolescents, that as we get older some of them may have had other The Columbine attackers are weapons sources. somewhat unique, because that's the only example I can find where the weapons came off the street. One of the weapons -- one of the weapons in Columbine was a private purchase between two individuals that should not have been made. The other was a straw purchase made at a gun show by the girlfriend of one of the Columbine attackers. She was never convicted for that, by the way. But those are the only two that I can find.

There's one other weapons theft in, in all of this data, but that's a theft of a weapon that was used in school. The student was

dispelled from the school and the weapon was recovered, and that weapon was not used but another weapon was used. And there's no evidence, in this data at any rate, of street purchase, people going out into the streets and making purchases somewhere of weapons. There is adult weapons, of course, we start to see legal, predominantly legal ownership, and there is an example in the Springs shooting I mentioned where that individual was a convicted felon, couldn't own a weapon, and so he ordered parts and constructed his semi-automatic rifle that he eventually used for the spree shooting.

In that particular case, to be more clear, he began that shooting in his neighborhood. He carjacked a couple of cars, he shot at things going by. It's believed he attacked the elementary school he attacked because he thought one of his enemies, he had enemies, children went there, but when he got there that school was locked down and he couldn't breach it, and so he shot at it from the outside, and they put all the students in shelter. One student was slightly wounded, no one was killed, and then he was driven away by

2.2

2.3

2.4

bystanders, and then he eventually committed suicide during a firefight later when he was trapped by law enforcement offsite. So that particular, that particular attack on the school is a little unusual because it emerged from a community shooting, but it nevertheless was a targeted attack on that school and could have been different had that school not been so successfully locked down, or if somebody hadn't driven him away.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Mental and behavioral health is an issue you've been addressing, and it's present in school shootings. But I want you to be very, very, very careful about the utilizations stated. It indicates that prior mental and behavioral health issues exist, but beyond that I think it becomes difficult to interpret the data in a more granular way. It's very hard data to get. Most attackers were juveniles. A lot of it is covered in HIPAA.

What we can see is we can see some evidence in the court records and others, but where that, whether that diagnosis exists, and the extent to which it exists, and the severity to which it exists, and as you heard from the

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

mental and behavioral health experts these things fall out on a range, and just simply knowing the diagnosis, knowing the label doesn't necessarily tell you everything you need to know, but it's present in a large number of cases, and not seemingly present in others. And as I said only one person was adjudicated criminally insane in this process, during the adjudicatory process.

2.2

2.3

2.4

It also occurs at every level, but here the important point to make in relationship to this data is remember elementary schools are attacked by outsiders. The fact that it occurs at the elementary school level does not mean that elementary school students had mental or behavioral issues that could have been diagnosed. Here the attackers that we're looking at fell outside the school population, so while it occurred at every school level I don't want you to think it occurred with every school student. But it's clearly an issue.

Who responded? Looking at the green bar over on the left what you see is a lot of times there is civilian intervention that was effective. That civilian intervention is

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

usually teachers, administrators, or staff, but not always. Twelve events end in suicide. One of the attackers is killed by a law enforcement officer who is assigned as the SRO for that day and is patrolling the outside of the parking lot where the attack occurs. SROs stop eight of these events, including the one I just mentioned. Five times the SROs engage in firefights in this data. Law enforcement arrests three times onsite, five times offsite.

And among those suicides sometimes the suicide -- I keep drifting right, I'm not sure why. Sometimes the suicide -- and I apologize for that. Sometimes the suicide is after there's contact, sometimes not -- breaking that down, again, what do we see in high schools, in high schools including the individual that I'm describing as a law enforcement officer assigned as a school resource officer -- that individual, by the way, is patrolling the parking lot at a prom event, and is essentially the assigned officer on site.

I don't know for sure and can't tell if he was a regular school resource officer, if that was his assignment for that, but I've

2.2

categorized him within the school resource officer here, and in the law enforcement category, because he was assigned in the event at the time, and, you know, working the event.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And individual drove his bicycle to the parking lot, got out, started shooting at the prom people after they came out. The officer actually saw the shots sparking off the steps, turned, and immediately crossed the parking lot on foot and engaged the shooter, who turned toward him, and he shot him and killed him, and interrupted the event.

That's the only time where we see that particular kind of perpetrator killed by the school resource officer until we get to Santa Fe, where we see the school resource officer contain the shooter. In other cases where we've seen the school resource officer engage the student either gives up, or leaves if it's a gun fight, and commits suicide.

Looking at elementary school outcomes, and middle school outcomes, when schools, the first responders were, a thirteen-year-old in Oklahoma fired fifteen rounds, wounded five, and was tackled by a teacher before he could

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

reload. A fourteen-year-old shot three times in a hallway, wounded two, and the teacher approached him and talked him down. I told you about the insane thirty-two-year-old firing with a bolt action rifle outside of a middle school who was charged by a teacher as he was working a bolt and stopped. twelve-year-old began shooting in a school gym with a shotgun, and he was confronted and talked down by a teacher, and it was noted that that teacher had recently undergone training specifically for that purpose, to de-escalate a school shooter. And the one I mentioned to you just recently about the thirteen-year-old in May who entered the school classroom, shot twice, and the teacher overcame him.

A couple of the elementary schools were actually by civilians, construction workers in one case. And just to give you an idea of the range, in one case here where the teacher stops the event a fifty-six-year-old with a machete piggybacks his way into an elementary school, follows a family in. He is confronted by the principal. She engages him. He begins to fight with her. That fight spills into the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

classroom. The teachers collectively engage and subdue that fifty-six-year-old, and the injuries, two teachers, nine students, seven students are injured in that event. None were injured critically, but essentially it was a fight, teachers and a man with a machete.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Targeted schools' attacks are tragic, psychologically tragic even if no one is hurt, immensely tragic even if only one person is wounded, but they are low probability high consequence events, and in about half of them no one is killed, and in a few no one is killed or wounded. Data indicates, and I'm sure you've heard this, that children are must safer from violence when in schools compared to when they are in the community at large. national survey from July 2014 and 2015 shows that twenty of the one thousand one hundred sixty-eight homicides of school aged youth, that's age five through eighteen, occurred at a Twenty of the one thousand one hundred school. and sixty-eight occurred at a school. Six of those are in this database, including is a Reynolds High School attack where an attacker at Reynolds engaged with an SRO and committed

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

suicide during the attack, and the death of four students at Marysville Pilchuck high School.

That occurred when the shooter invited his cousins and his friends to join him in the cafeteria, he liked them, this wasn't something where he didn't. He then attacked all of them, and killed four, plus some others, and he left notes that said he wanted to take people over to the other side with him. I don't know what that means, and I don't, you know, I mean he committed suicide at the end, and it's hard to look at that beyond that point of view, but it was, it was where, you know, they skipped out to have lunch with him and then he attacked in the elementary school. He was confronted by a teacher, and he committed suicide. Those are in this day, in the NCES data.

This gives you an idea of the number wounded, and you can see that it varies.

Sometimes the individuals wounded occurs with low death tolls. Sometimes the numbers of wounded occurs with high death tolls. There's not a relationship between wounding and death tolls in these attacks. Twenty-five persons

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

were wounded and two killed in Thurston High School in Oregon by a fifteen-year-old attacker in 1998. Twenty- two persons were wounded along with the fifteen killed at Columbine. Twenty-two were wounded in a knife attack in Pennsylvania, no one was killed.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

We know of course seventeen were wounded along with the seventeen killed at Marjorie Stoneman Douglas. Fifteen were wounded and nine killed at the Red Lake Senior High School. Fourteen were wounded and two killed at Marshall County in Kentucky by a fifteen-year-old. In Sandy Hook there were twenty-six deaths, but only two wounded. what we did to try and give us some more insight into this data is created a lethality score. Now, this is an arbitrary thing. idea here was to try and see if we could find separation since the data was so variable and so what we did is we multiplied the number of deaths by three, we added the number of wounded, and we said, okay, you know, I get it that that's artificial, one death and one wounded is horrible, this doesn't, this is not intended to be a subjective analysis here of

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

305-376-8800

what's worse, but we wanted to look at could we tell anything about events if we did that, and what we noted was the most lethal attacks were committed by sixteen, seventeen, eighteen, nineteen, and twenty-year-olds. And with the exception of Sandy Hook, which it's hard to say that was a former student, although technically he is, the most lethal attacks were inside attacks from students and former students. The most lethal attacks were ended three times by suicide, once by an onsite arrest, and once, as you know, by an off-site arrest.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But what about the least lethal attacks, what about the other end of the scale? Well, SROs stopped six. Law enforcement officers stopped three. We saw unforced suicide in three ending them, and civilian capture, that includes teachers, principals, a custodian, and some outsiders, stopped thirteen, covers the sort of twenty-seven less lethal.

What I'd like to do now is shift a little and drill down into the five most lethal attacks. I've tried to give you a perspective up to this point, a variation in the scope of what exists for the purpose of context, and I

800-726-7007

want to take a minute to cover Columbine, Red
Lake, Sandy Hook, Marjorie Stoneman Douglas,
Santa Fe. And if you look at the six attackers
you immediately find a range of
characteristics, sixteen to twenty-four, foster
parents, single head of household, two parent
middle class, traditional school, special
school, home school, they were bullies and
bullied.

As we get current they all have an online presence, but if not, an online presence they kept journals. There are mental health concerns, or none. Some of them seem to be severe. Mental health medication, or behavioral medication appears to be in some cases, no in others, and refused in some. Some had prior arrests, some did not. Some were known to law enforcement by contact, some not. Some had prior school disciplinary issues, and some not.

And the weapons, well, Columbine, I told you, straw purchase, illegal purchase, shotguns and semi-automatic rifles. At Red Lake the grandfather was a tribal police officer. The student who attacked at Red Lake killed his

2.2

2.3

2.4

grandfather, killed the companion, stole his service revolver and his shotgun, drove his service vehicle to school. Sandy Hook, semi-auto rifle from the mother he killed. Marjorie Stoneman Douglas, illegal purchase. Shotgun and semi-auto pistol stolen from the father, Santa Fe.

2.2

2.3

2.5

And what about the school physical characteristics? Well, we have locked and unlocked with metal door, with metal detectors, and I'll mention that later, and open doors from the outside. Classroom doors are unlocked, locked, and we have unlocked common areas. The Sandy -- I'm sorry, the Columbine shooters breached no locked areas, although students fled the school not everybody got out, and everybody who was killed was killed in an unlocked common area.

The structures were multi building, multi floor, single building multi floor, single building single floor. The parking was typically adjacent to the building, and there were fire alarm sprinklers, code red announcements, no code red announcements, school radios, intercom only. Hallways and

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

unlocked areas seemed to be killing fields where the school shooter could not breach the classroom, and obviously at Marjorie Stoneman Douglas he shot through the windows.

In Columbine the entry actions I've described to you, they drive to school, they planted the bombs, they waited in the parking lot, when the bombs didn't occur, explode, they re-entered the school. They moved in the hallways and unsecured areas shooting. The SRO was outside of the school, actually on a lunch break because of his lunch. He posted up outside, and he briefly engaged them in a firefight from outside the school, they shot at each other. No one was hit.

In Red Lake, I've explained he stole the vehicle, moved there. He tried the locked doors. Shoots once, finds the unlocked door with the metal detector. There are two security guards at that location. One confronts him, he kills that security guard, they're both unarmed. The other one locks the school down, and the one who dies is given credit for the delay. Then he moves into the hallway shooting.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Sandy Hook I've described. He shoots out the window panel adjacent to the front locked door, and there's no SRO or school security there. Marjorie Stoneman Douglas you know in depth, and I won't repeat it here again. Not because it's not terribly important, but because you're terribly familiar with it.

2.

2.2

2.5

In Santa Fe he enters the school with a shotgun and a hand gun. He routinely wears a trench coat, that's his style of dress all the time, and the weapons are concealed in the trench coat. Now, I will tell you with Santa Fe the data on Santa Fe is pretty tightly still locked down at this point in the investigative process. I don't have a lot of insight into Santa Fe that I can share with you, or that is available, because of where they are in that investigative process, but so if that seems a little thin as we talk about Santa Fe it's because of timing, and adjudication, and other things.

Looking back at Columbine, remember they were straw purchase and illegal purchase. I haven't told you anything that's on this -- you haven't -- you've heard everything that's on

this slide, with the exception that they create an unforced suicide at the end. Santa Fe took forty-six minutes before law enforcement entered. The timing at Santa Fe fit the law enforcement protocol at the time. It was not a mistake, it was intentional, we form up, we contain the outside, we get everything under control then we enter. It took two or three hours to clear Santa Fe because they had distributed about a hundred IEDs and -- I'm sorry, Columbine. Excuse me. It took -- thank you.

It took about two or three hours to clear Columbine, and you'll see later why that's important in the Columbine commission report. In Red Lake, he enters, shoots one classroom, tries to shoot others that are fleeing through the classroom window, and tribal police that is nearby forms up in a tactical formation and enters the school. They were in the vicinity, and so en-force doing something else, and they responded, formed up in a diamond formation, entered the school. But it took about eight minutes overall, they engage in a firefight and he retreated and, you know, but they were in

2.2

2.3

very quickly.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Sandy Hook ended in about eleven minutes. Now as I talk about time frame here I want to be careful. If you look at the Columbine -- if you look at the MSD time frame do you measure it from the time he shows up in the Uber to the time he abandons the school, do you measure it in the time in which he's active inside the school killing before he goes to the third floor and stops, you know, all of those dates and time, all that data is important, so when we talk about time I'm typically talking about beginning to end, but the time of which there's active shooting, or other things, because there's movement and other things going on, for instance at Columbine it's forty six minutes, but they were not firing their weapons continuously for forty six minutes, they were moving, you know, but it took forty six minutes, so some of these are interrupted, some of them continuous, and as you look at that data, and you touch on time relative to what you're looking at it's important to recognize that time frames vary in terms of what was actually going on.

800-726-7007

As I say I'm not going to go over Marjorie Stoneman Douglas because of how well you know it. The time frame there was about eight from the arrival of Uber to when he leaves. In Santa Fe he enters an art compound classroom and he begins shooting. Some students managed to get into a closet, and he breached it with his shotgun and shot through the door. He shot some, and executed some, and let others live.

The SROs, there were two, engaged him within four minutes. The first SRO was wounded, but they contained him in that engagement. The school evacuates, and at twenty-five minutes about it ends with his willingness to come out. There was some amount of firefight, sporadic in between him and responding law enforcement. Law enforcement responded and backed up the SROs.

So, what do we know, well, Columbine, the SRO posted up outside, Leo established a perimeter, and suicide before SWAT cleared. Red lake, law enforcement arrives, engages in a tactical formation. The attacker is wounded, retreats, commits suicide. Sandy Hook, law enforcement, the data shows that they've

arrived at the outside about the time he shoots himself. They think they hear the shot as they get to the outside of the school.

In Marjorie Stoneman Douglas the SRO posts up outside, law enforcement responds en-force, the attacker flees, and he is arrested offsite, SWAT is formed up and clear the building. Those are not necessarily in chronological order. In Santa Fe we have the SRO engaging in a firefight containing the attacker, law enforcement providing backup. That's a shooter walking down a hall in a particular location.

So what conclusions can we draw from the data? Well, students and former students bring weapons to school in various concealed ways, but there's no record of others using or planting weapons for them to retrieve inside the school. If necessary, they're preparing their weapons in hallways, bathrooms, locker rooms, private spaces, and then they begin the attack. One attack involved driving school students outside using the fire alarm. One attack involved positing up and waiting outside at a prom to shoot. But sometimes the weapon is concealed on their person and it's retrieved

2.2

2.3

2.4

at the point of attack after a period of contemplation, they have the weapon with them for a period and it happens later in the day.

And sometimes the attack begins shortly after entry, or immediately on entry, they shot their way past unarmed security.

Insiders begin their attacks in hallways, bathrooms, locker rooms, cafeterias, common areas, and classrooms. Sometimes they shoot and move from victim to victim through the school, sometimes they shoot and execute, in return they were defeated by school staff, by SRO response, by nearby law enforcement responding to the school. And some break off when they didn't have to when the event could have continued, and I'll give you an example of that later.

Elementary schools are attacked by outsiders. There is a difference. And I've given you that, but they've attacked predominantly outside the school with a piggybacked entry, and they've forced entry. With the exception of Sandy Hook the elementary school attacks in this data set, although awful, are relatively brief and not well

2.2

2.3

2.4

executed.

2.

2.2

2.3

How old are the attackers? Well, they're fourteen to nineteen predominantly, but they could be as young as eleven in this data set, and they were in their thirties, forties, and fifties. And remember the age range tends to overlap the location. If we were to add post K-12, college, university, vocational school, trade schools, because attacks occur there too, we would change the age range. The age range there tends to be typical of the age range of the people in those schools, and it's a much wider age range.

Firearms were the primary weapons. Edged weapons were used. IEDs were used. And I want to repeat the comment about Columbine, had they been successful Columbine would have been a whole different discussion, as bad as it was, and future attacks where IEDs are used must be considered. Recently in Utah a fourteen-year-old, about a year ago I think, claimed to have a relationship with ISIS, but it may just be a terrorist wannabe. He had tagged the school, and he had flown the flag, and I'm not sure that, you know, I haven't seen data that

800-726-7007

suggests he was, you know, an absolutely linked, given his age it's a maybe, but he planted a bomb inside the school, and it started smoking instead of exploding, and there was a response, and it was disrupted. But that was an IED only attack, and that was Utah about a year ago. And I don't think vehicles can be overlooked, although we've not seen them.

The weapons source, relatives or home, some locked, some openly available, predominantly from this age group, and we have to remember that, and we have to remember that the attackers are insiders in their home as well, so they watch, and they know how to defeat weapons security. They can learn that. Or they can learn where the relative hides the weapon, or which relative has the weapon. indicated to you we didn't see any street weapon purchase, that is, and we didn't see any I'm going to leave this weapon and you can use it in the school kind of thing in this data set, with the exception of Columbine, where we saw a straw purchase, and an illegal one on one purchase.

Behavioral health issues are frequently

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

present, but from the data you're left with that conclusion, and I can't drill that down any more for you given the quality of the data here. I did not talk about leakage in this report. Leakage is an incredibly different data to get a hold of unless you have access to the complete case file, and there wasn't time to read all the case files here, and all the witness interviews, but the Secret Service told you in 2008 that 81% of the incidents more than one person had knowledge, 81% had knowledge, and in 59% more than one person had knowledge of what was going on.

Their 2018 report says that students may engage in a continuum of concerning behaviors and communication, the vast majority of which may not be threatening or violent, nevertheless schools are encouraged to set a low threshold, and identify students who may be engaging in unusual behavior. These are tragic, but they are low probability, high consequence events.

I want to shift -- who responds, that's the teacher who was shot three times. The individual above was a female teacher who had just received training on how to deal with

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

school shooting. When he got the first shot off in the cafeteria she rushed and grabbed his hands and held them over his head straight into the ceiling because that's what she had been trained to do, to secure where the weapon was until she could get him under control.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But you saw how many times teachers or administrators responded. Those are the ones where that ended the event. In places like Sandy Hook the teachers or others are often the first to respond and the first to die, so the list of when they respond and ended the event is smaller than the list of when they respond.

I want to talk about some other review commissions before I close. Columbine review commission completed their report two years after the event. I've told you the Columbine attackers were active for about forty-six minutes. One of the primary points in the Columbine report, and it's pretty much be adopted now universally for all kinds of shooting events, was rapid deployment is required, training equipment is required, and communication is a factor. Because of multiple IEDs it took a long time for law enforcement to

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

clear Columbine.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Incident command in 1998, which is now very standard, incident command started in World War II, it was adopted by the fire services in California in the '70's. It moved into other areas and was emerging in the '80's and '90's in law enforcement. Incident command, which is now very standard, was part of what was recommended at Columbine.

The Columbine attackers planned for more than a year. They built a hundred IEDs and propane bombs. There was leakage of sorts, but it was not responded to. And the Columbine commission suggested several specific models related to safe schools, including the Virginia threat assessment model. They also, because of the length of time it took to clear the building, suggested that SWAT and EMTs either be embedded together, or that SWAT members be cross trained as EMTs, because there was some evidence in Columbine of the difficulty of getting some of the victims treated as they went.

The National Academy of Sciences looked at six incidents of ten or less. Oh, Columbine

was not seen as effective. The National
Academy of Sciences -- and it may have just
been for emphasis on the idea of threat
assessment. It's hard to tell from reading it,
but they make that particular point. The
National Academy of Sciences did recommend
physical school security as important. They
pointed out the critical importance of school
resource officers, and in particular the
ability of school resource officers to create
clear channels of communicate for students
relative to safety.

They weren't just talking about the response issue, but the preventive issue. They talked about the fact that general gun violence is often, among teenagers is often with weapons found in the home and suggested the programs and activities to reduce the availability of weapons in the home by more effectively securing them was appropriate. And they, like others, addressed school climate, a climate of safety, a climate of anti-violence. The underlying principal in this climate recommendation that emerges in several cases is

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

that if the paradigm in the school is everybody is safe here, and should be safe here, and will be safe here, and the students engage in that, then the likelihood of being able to see things where people aren't safe and have them reported will increase. And they had a strong recommendation on climate.

Oregon has an ongoing task force. meet monthly. Their first report was out in 2015. But they focused on state level activities, they saw things as standardized at the state level. A state wide tip lines protects, called Our Web, the funding of a state wide common threat assessment system in two levels, where the school would do the first level, but at the point in time the school saw that it was likely to be beyond their capacity to respond a second level that was multi-agency, multi-disciplinary, that fell outside of the school, that was at the request of the school, that was funded at a state wide They recommended a state-wide school level. floor plan, and they also recommended state wide terminology. They found that terminology in response could be confusing because the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

terms lock down, lock out, shelter in place, evacuate, may not have specific meanings across the entire system, and they thought of that as important.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The Sandy Hook commission is different. They finalized their report about three years after the event after issuing a series of preliminary reports, and they covered many of the other areas that we've been discussing in these other reports, safe school climate, an integration of public safety dispatch centers for communication problems, the conduct of joint regional exercises. But they also separately in Sandy Hook talked about three things that are unique to the Sandy Hook commission somewhat, and those three are bolded in red there, and I'm going to cover them in order to fully cover Sandy Hook, but I'm going to cover them at a very high level.

They recommended a hundred fifty specific school security physical issues. Safe haven areas that lock from the inside. Exterior doors capable of full automatic perimeter shutdown. Up to date rosters. They went so far as to recommend a state-wide numbering

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

order for classrooms so that in the event of a response law enforcement could be trained from any area to know where things are, because they were all standardized across all schools. As I say they had a hundred and fifty detailed recommendations. And they're -- and they're available to you, and they're in their recommendations, but I'm not going to cover them here.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Sandy Hook spent a lot of time discussing firearm and ammunition regulations, increasing background checks, mandatory registration of firearms, extensive limitations on the type of firearms that could be owned, and the types of magazines available, limitations on ammunitions sales, right down to and including mark, individual markings on shell casings. They also touched home storage requirements, and they touched this idea of temporary removal of firearms on a judge's order. And again, I'm not going to go over all of their recommendations. They addressed firearms not just in relationship to school shootings but in relationship to the community at large.

The final thing they did at Sandy Hook is

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

they proposed community wide reform of the mental health system. They came at this from the point of view of integrating mental health and physical health into their perception of what they called well-being. They also called for changes in the protocols that insurance companies, that what forms of mental health treatment would be paid for, who would be paid for, the circumstances under which they would be paid, the reimbursement rates, and a series of other recommendations that they believed would increase access to and effectiveness of community mental health, and they expected that to spill over into school safety. So, they here too went, looked at it from a community macro level, and had very specific recommendations.

The foundation report on Arapahoe was done by the University of Colorado, and the University of Northern Colorado. This is one of those that I told you about where the school shooter broke off. He entered the school with a shotgun and a significant amount of ammunition. He randomly came into contact with a young lady in the hallway who questioned him

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

as to what he was doing. He shot her, and killed her, at which point he broke off, stepped into the library, stepped in between two stacks of books and killed himself.

His -- it's in this data set because it was an intended school shooting of the school. He did not know her. He didn't come there to kill her, he came there to shoot. Why did he break off we'll never know, but her parents set up a commission, and they funded the University to look at school shootings, and here climate was the issue, the building of a state school climate, which I've already talked about with you. Their approach was similar.

They saw the lack of ability to exchange data among agencies as very important and looked for a common school database. They recommended the Virginia system, VSTAG as the threat assessment system. They recommended two particular psychological tests for use by the school but noted that psychological tests alone can't predict school violence, but that they can be effectively used as part of the threat assessment process. The insisted that there be an adult who form a relationship with any

2.2

2.3

2.4

student that enters the school threat assessment process, with the idea that positive and negative changes over time can be monitored and are likely to occur. And they saw a school climate survey as necessary.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

They also talked about something they talked about as systems thinking. Those of you that have a business background will relate directly to this. There is a concept in business that a lot of small mistakes can lead to a tragic result, and various business failures, chemical releases, other kinds of things are studied, you know, nuclear plant meltdowns like Three Mile Island, and what they go back and look at is they're just a bunch of very small mistakes that are so complicated that the result can't be predicted and systems thinking is required, being able to look outside of, and somehow look at those issues. And that was one of the thrusts of the university, that you borrow from business failure systems approaches and find a way to incorporate it here into schools.

So, what do I want to tell you in closing? Well, the data indicates a wide range of

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

attackers in terms of characteristics using weapons they own, steal, attack outside the school, they enter unlocked schools openly, they sneak inside, they force their way inside, they attack on entry, they prepare in private spaces, they attack in common areas, they attack in locked and unlocked classrooms.

Mental and behavioral health concerns are frequent. Leakage is likely, and journals and online presence is common. Leakage is most likely to be understood by their peers, and attackers have preplanned, and sometimes extensively, and pre-considered, and sometime even longer.

High school and middle school attacks were age appropriate. Students and former students, they are insiders, and they must be countered with an insider response. After Columbine, depending on how you want to measure this, attacks have resolved in about eleven minutes or less, some even much less. And again, I'm talking about beginning to end kind of thing as opposed to, you know, how long some of the bits and pieces, you know. They're frequently confronted by school personnel, and sometimes

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

successfully, some of whom have been trained, some not, and occasionally they break off the attack for unknowable reasons.

Now, from an intelligence perspective then in closing what I want to tell you is that it is likely that some students, and former students, and less like that some strangers, will consider attacking K-12 schools. Threat assessments, school hardening, event trained school staff, and armed trained civilian or SRO presence, safe school climates, anonymous reporting systems, as well as safety procedures, processes and devices, and when necessary rapid deployment of law enforcement will likely reduce the frequency and/or the consequences of attacks.

The wide variety of how, why, when, and who, found in this data should inform those concerned with school safety that future attackers may find methods, weapons, and tactics, that are different from those that have been utilized in the past. Thank you.

CHAIR: All right, thanks very much,

Nevin. We appreciate all of your work over the

last few months. I know that was an extensive

2.2

project to undertake, and I think the information you presented is very valuable and enlightening, so thanks for your work.

Ouestions for Nevin? Yes, go ahead.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

UNDER SHER. HAPRPRING: Dr. Smith, thank you very much. The data set that you've taken a look at in your collected information that you've presented to us at least leads me to the conclusion that there's not a single threat, or a single data point that is predicative. fact, I'm led to the conclusion that a lot of the things that we've heard, that the predictive side of looking at this particular issue is problematic at best, and very difficult without some type of inside information that's gleaned from friends, associates, parents, as opposed to just an external observation or analysis that we in law enforcement might gain. Do you think that that's fair to say?

DR. SMITH: I think that both the FBI and the Secret Service have said as much, and I don't think that anything that I've gathered in this data would say anything different.

UNDER SHEF. HARPRING: Does that then lead

305-376-8800

us to many conclusions, one of which might be that fostering the environment that allows those people that would have that knowledge, the parents, the students, and so on, for those insider type attacks, it's going to be critical for us to have that information, to include the positive relationships with the SROs or others in the schools?

2.2

2.4

DR. SMITH: Prior commissions have indicated that parental involvement can be a key in what is going on because they're aware of what is going on, and finding a way to bridge that gap between the fear of law enforcement, you know, something bad is going to happen if something gets told, and the need for law enforcement, along with telling the school, is something that in the school climate survey that is frequently discussed is part of the climate survey, that the idea is that this is a safe place, and it's a collective responsibility.

CHAIR: Senator Book.

SEN. BOOK: Thank you so much for such a thorough presentation. It has really kind of put some things into perspective. And I know

800-726-7007

that we, or there is not, and a profile is not, won't, won't be helpful, right, however is there, or have you found indicators that are standard to look at of behaviors? And then as we look into - - we know -- we've talked a lot of about how we can look up behaviors, that escalating behaviors show certain things. there like a list of what, what those indicators may be, can that be developed if there isn't, and perhaps if certain red flags are met with indicators SROs, or, you know, quidance counselors within a school could monitor social media for some of the leak --I'm trying to just create nexus, nexes (ph), so that we can really provide some level of recommendation to look at where we go from, where we go from here.

DR. SMITH: Yeah, I want to avoid getting outside my area of expertise here, but what is being recommended is a process in every case, and the process is designed to gather data, and then gather more data as concerns raise. And it's not so much a particular piece of data as you read what is being recommended, but a whole look, because not everybody that exhibits

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

certain behaviors that we would describe as concerning behaviors is going to do this, and so part of it has to do, is separating those who will by their behavior from those who might, from those who won't, and that appears based on what the experts are saying to take an analysis, and process that is engaged over time by a group of individuals over time who have the expertise to judge it on a case by case basis.

Beyond that I don't think I can help you, but I'm sure there are experts who could tell you, well, if I see it this way then I am less concerned than if I see it that way, but I don't have that expertise.

CHAIR: Secretary Carroll.

SEC. CARROLL: Thank you, Dr. Smith. Just a comment. I don't have a question. But it struck me listening to this presentation that the landscape really changed following Columbine, and I know Commissioner Schachter has made this fact known over and over in this, most of these things are really quick. If you look at the time from when it started to when it ended it's minutes, and if you look at the

2.2

2.3

2.4

time where active killing was taking place it's an even shorter window, you're talking about three or four minutes.

So I think when you -- when we look at this thing, and I think we're going about it the right way, it's how, if you're going to respond to this it needs to be an almost immediately response if you're going to stop and prevent death, and so this whole idea that we're going to have school resource officers, guardians, whether you philosophically agree with it or not you have to have a response in minutes, not tens of minutes, and so I think that's good. The whole notion of hardening schools and slowing the person access into the school, and through the school, critically important, because the longer you can draw it out the quicker the response can have an impact.

But I think the other thing that the data shows is it's, as tragic as these things are, it's still a rather rare phenomenon, because I'm looking, even in twenty years I'm looking at this total, kids zero to eighteen that were involved in this. I think if my math is

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

correct it's thirty-four kids. Thirty-four kids over twenty years, and I can tell you that during that time we had tens of millions of kids in the school, and so to ever get a predictive model in terms of which of those tens of million is going to be the one in thirty-four is going to be difficult.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I think the threat assessment teams are going to help diffuse violence in schools.

Whether it prevents all this I don't know, and you never will know, by the way, if it does prevent the school shooting, because how do you know if it never happens. Clearly we have to improve the linkages that we have in the mental health system, and integrate it into the school system to reduce those chances, but what I heard yesterday with the gentleman who came up and talked about the, the videos, I got to tell you that if part of this isn't a societal issue, where we have the faith based community, and other communities stepping up, because part of this is a cultural issue.

We've desensitized our kids to violence, we've over glamorized guns. You know, I go to a Mission Impossible movie last week and, and I

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

can tell you no man would survive on episode that you saw in that movie yet he continues to live, and jump off buildings, and shoot folk, and we have totally desensitized our kids along the way to violence, a fascination with guns through video games, through TVs, and the only way you're going to change that I believe is through some type of community local response that focuses on those issues and makes more healthy environments for kids.

So, I'm happy the progress we're making, and focusing on making sure we have more responses, we have, we're focused on hardening of schools, and then identifying those threats, and responding to those threats in a proactive way through a threat assessment team, and through integrated mental health services. But because of the lack of our ability to predict which kid out of the tens of millions will be the one kid who does this it's not going to be perfect, and so there's a lot of facets to this that I hope the community understands that even when the work is done here communities need to step up and really look at what we need to do to make is safer for our kids.

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 Yeah, I was -- I was going to let 2. you all go and then save this comment for the 3 end, but since Secretary Carroll raised it I'm going to offer this at this point, because it 4 5 goes along with what you're saying. 6 look at slide, the slide on Page 10, it very clearly says, is that of these incidents what stopped then in eighteen of these cases, so you 8 9 have forty eight cases, eighteen of them were 10 stopped by civilian capture, and eighteen of 11 them were stopped by a teacher, a principal, a 12 custodian, some personnel, or somebody within 13 the school, and as you just said these are 14 happening within minutes, within minutes. 15 Probably some of these are stopped before law 16 enforcement officers are even dispatched. 17 You know, given what we heard here by the 18

You know, given what we heard here by the time somebody picks up the phone and calls 911 and it goes to Coral Springs, they do what they do with it, and transfer it to BSO, BSO, and so you go through that -- these people are there. So, when you see that the people who are stopping these predominantly are non-law enforcement personnel -- the SROs aren't stopping these, and the cops aren't stopping

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

these. And so, when you couple that with -and this is a point of frustration for me. I'm
going to tell you it's a point of frustration
because what I hear in our county and hear
around Florida from a lot of people is, is that
what they don't want.

We need to have the discussion about what we may not want, but what is necessary, and what is going to work, and what the data shows is the people who are stopping these things are these civilians, these non-law enforcement personnel. They're doing it, in many of these cases, they're not armed. I can tell you that when people say I don't want armed teachers, I don't want guardians, I don't want to pay for more SROs, I don't want, I don't want -- what do you want? You can't have your cake and eat it too.

Is that we've got to decide what works, and what the data is showing here is what works is who can get to that person first, and so we've got to get off of this. Is it a great idea to arm all school personnel? Not in the perfect that we don't live in, but we don't live in that perfect world. Is it a great idea

2.2

to increase the millage in a district so that they can pay for more SROs, so we have two or three in a school with three thousand kids as opposed to one; somebody needs to make some of these hard decisions, and we've got to get off with this about what we don't want.

I can tell that in the Stoneman Douglas incident with Cruz is, is that when he walked in there, and I've said this to you before, when he walked in there with that AR-15 and he confronted the one student who he shooed out, he assembled it, put the magazine in it -- there were only six rounds in that magazine. There were several other times when he was in that school that his gun was empty. There were several other times when school personnel saw him -- on the third floor, when Mr. Rasperski took all those kids to the west side to get them out of there it's because Cruz was reloading.

If you had somebody in there with a gun they could have mitigated this, so this whole notion that we don't want guardians, we don't want armed personnel, we don't want, we don't want, we don't want, we don't want more SROs, well, we want

2.2

2.3

them but we don't want to pay for them, and you see eighteen of these were stopped by somebody other than a cop, because we cannot be everywhere all the time, that's a fact, and whether it's outside the schools, inside the schools, it doesn't matter where it is, we are not going to be everywhere all the time, so it's something that is frustrating, because you here this throughout Florida now in all these districts in all these places about we don't want to fund this, we don't want to do that, we don't want to what, so we've got to come up with some suggestions, and I'm suggesting to the community is people need to change their mindset and figure out how to get this done that's consistent with who is stopping these things, and the way that they can be stopped.

Secretary Senior is next.

SEC. SENIOR: Just quickly, I do think that the numbers here are so, are so low that is hard to, to actually draw broad conclusions from it, but I have the impression that all of the perpetrators were male; is that correct?

DR. SMITH: That's all the ones in this database, yes.

25

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

SEC. SENIOR: Okay. And is there -- is there kind of rural, urban, suburban, is there sort of a socioeconomic profile at all?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DR. SMITH: I don't have the answer on socioeconomic profile, but the majority of these schools are suburban schools or rural schools.

SEC. SENIOR: Okay, thanks, that's all I have.

CHAIR: Sheriff Judd is next.

SHER. JUDD: Sheriff Gualtieri, I'm going to say ditto. I have -- long before the quardians came about I created the sentinel program, because I looked at the same data. And Commissioner Carroll is right, except it's not minutes that count, it's seconds that count, and these school staff members are running in with no guns and confronting very violent people with every intention to kill all that they can. And to back up with Sheriff Gualtieri said I've asked this simple question over and over and over again to everyone, especially those who go I don't want guns, I don't want guns, well, I don't want active shooters. If the active shooter is walking

down the hallway and has made it through all of our layers of security and intervention to walk to your child's classroom to murder your child and all of his or her colleagues do you want someone there well trained with a firearm to stop them? And one SRO on the campus is not enough. And one guardian on the campus is not enough.

The answer is obviously yes, but we've got to come to the reality that the school staff is scattered throughout that campus, and they are going to be the first responders. We can't get there in time. And if you have one school resource officer, and this individual plans his tactics, and his is what's in the database, he's going to wait until the SRO is on the other end of the campus, or he knows that the SRO or the guardian is down in one piece of the campus and has to spring across the campus, well that SRO or guardian probably has to walk, run past fifteen, twenty, twenty five staff members of the school to get to the active shooter.

We've got to recognize that this is a new world, it's a new time, and it's a very rare

2.2

2.3

2.4

event, but on those very rare occasions we're going to have to have the right people at the right locations, and that means we've got to change our paradigm in the school systems, and understand whether you like it or whether you don't we've got to have a few well trained people. And over the long term that's going to equate to the staff that's already there on the payroll, because you, to have a program you've got to have accountability, affordability, and sustainability.

Well, we can't afford to put three, or four, or five police officers on every campus across this nation. We can't afford to put three, or four, or five guardians on every campus across this nation. But I'll promise you that in most every school district in this nation, and it would be rare, I can find very talented very willing school staff and appropriately train them, and call them guardians under our law, and provide them with the right resources at the right location for that unavoidable event when it occurs to make a difference. Or we can keep doing what we've been doing in the past and watch children get

2.2

2.3

2.4

slaughtered on campuses.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And that's -- and that's why I want to back up what Sheriff Gualtieri said. This is not something we like, but there's your facts. Dr. Smith has given us the data. Who is going to be the first one there, who's going to intervene, and do you want to stop it before they kill more kids.

So, in the next meeting we're -as I mentioned the last time we were going to watch some video perhaps in this meeting. don't have time, so that's why it's not on the agenda. I think we're going to get to that next time. Keep this in mind when those of you who decide to watch it, when you watch the video next time, and you see him reloading, and you see that he's got an empty gun, and you see where there's school personnel that could have shot him and killed him but they couldn't because they didn't have it, is, is that there is no doubt in my mind from watching the video of inside Stoneman Douglas that if somebody had a gun they could have easily taken him out, and could have mitigated the deaths, because there were several times when he had an empty gun,

Veritext Legal Solutions

and there were opportunities for staff to have intervened if they had been armed, so.

Commissioner.

2.2

2.3

2.4

MS. SKINNER: Thank you, Dr. Smith. I think the thing, two things you talked about stood out the most for me, and one was the concept of systems thinking, and how many small decisions that are really mistakes in hindsight come together and cause a tragedy, and it's very complex, and I think that's one of the things we're faced with.

And even an example we talked about yesterday with the City of Tamarac and that tower, they're making a decision in a vacuum, and for I don't even know why since we haven't heard from them, but it's not looking at the bigger community, the bigger systems as a whole, and so that one decision could then be one of those mistakes that leads to a tragedy in the future.

The other thing that stood out to me was the concept of lowering our threshold, and we need to do that to create safer schools. We've lowered our threshold in settings such as airports, why wouldn't we do it in schools when

we're talking about our most precious resource, our future really, which is our kids?

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

And I think that part of it is that we spend so much time trying to protect individual rights, and not label individuals, and not make individuals feel bad, that we don't want to make a false accusation, but I firmly believe that if we train people what to look for, and really any unusual behavior, whether we can define that, or somebody can define that or not, but anything that's out of the ordinary, we need to have a safe place where we can report that and not have any consequence, and actually feel like someone's going to act on it, which is the other thing.

It's not just about do I trust the adults, it's about is someone going to do something about it, because that sense of learned helplessness, well, I'm not going to bother because nobody will do anything, is rampant. I just -- I didn't have any questions, I just wanted to make those statements for consideration.

CHAIR: Commissioner Petty is next.

MR. PETTY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr.

Smith, thank you for the comprehensive look at this. It was tough for me to look at some of this data, it's sort of -- I know you had a job to do. It was a little personally tough for me to distill down into data what happened to my daughter that day but thank you for the work.

A couple things stood out to me, and I want to agree with what's been said by the other commissioners, seconds matter, the data is telling us that whoever is on campus is in a, in a position to stop this, so we need to rethink that approach. What is clear from the data is the way we've been approaching this has not worked, it's not stopped these incidents of violence, so we've got to try something different.

I'm intrigued by the leakage. I think ideally in my mind we stop there before they get on campus, and this idea that, you know, 80% of these violent actors tell somebody they're going to do it -- and whether it -- whether it reaches the level of what happened at Stoneman Douglas or not these acts of school violence are typically shared with somebody else, somebody knows, so that ability to report

2.2

2.3

that, see something, say something I think is incredibly important. And I think we have to remove the stigma, particularly for the student body, because I think they are aware of these things, they are aware of these attacks, or potential attacks, and they need to be, they need to feel safe to report these behaviors, and these indicators.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I want to -- I also want to say that we are, we are starting to collect data on averted incidents of school violence. I'll just point to the Police Foundation website, they've got a website where they're collecting data now. Ιt goes beyond just, you know, these severe incidents like Sandy Hook, Marjorie Stoneman Douglas, but there are other school, acts of school violence that have been averted, and we're starting to see data on those, so I do in fact think we can stop these, and I think we can learn from the averted incidents as much as we learned from those that, that were unfortunately carried out. So, I just want to make sure everybody is aware of that too. Thank you.

DR. SMITH: Thank you, Commissioner.

1 CHAIR: Commissioner Blackburn is next.

DR. BLACKBURN: Sheriff, like you I was going to say some of these comments towards the end, but since we're on the topic, not, as a superintendent, as a lifelong educator, not surprised at all to see the high number of school personnel that intervened in these activities. Teachers and counselors, and administrators, and school district leaders, are some of the most selfless people I've ever been around.

As you all know when the Governor announced that he was going to allow for school staff to be armed Brevard County was one of the, the few that seriously considered that, while other districts made a commitment not to go that route. I spoke to many, many teachers, and other school staff, and they gladly wanted to arm themselves. The challenge was we've already done, we've already put so much of ourselves into a professions, we've known for a couple of decades now that the current accountability structure where we're focused on these finite academic, while important, measurements, and, and not prioritizing the

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

mental, social, emotional welfare of children, so educators have known that, so we need a complete, society needs a complete reset of what we expect from teachers, counselors, school and district leaders.

2.2

2.5

As a parent, and the parents who suffered this tragedy, I want my kids to come home every day, and I want them to be happy mentally and physically, and if we're not going to prioritize that in how we train our teachers, select our teachers, evaluate our teachers, and compensate our teachers, then, then we're not going to take the deepest dive into addressing these issues.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley is next.

SHER. ASHLEY: Thank you. First, I wanted to ask if all these studies, or cases, had SROs in the school. I mean we're talking about the difference in civilian intersession versus law enforcement intersession. Did all of these schools that are, that have these matching, did they have SROs?

DR. SMITH: The answer to that is no, but I can't --

SHER. ASHLEY: If you had a ration of how

1 | many --

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

DR. SMITH: I may be able to identify that for you and get it back to you.

SHER. ASHLEY: Well, I just -- for the commission's sake I wanted to, you know, yes, there's a lot of civilian intersession but it's only because there was a lack of law enforcement there to begin with, it was a responding rather than being there. I would take a note that in this case we did have a guy with a gun there, a good guy who did not act for whatever reason. And the second point I would disagree with that, with my good friend, that we can afford to have a law enforcement officer, and multiple law enforcement officers or guardians at these schools, and I would argue that we can't afford not to, that if we can't protect our kids I don't know how society functions outside of that first and foremost basic parental responsibility, society responsibility, and every teacher and counselor that, that I've ever spoken with, seemed to know who the killer is well before we did, so I would suggest that there is some that out there, there is some means by which to identify

Veritext Legal Solutions

who these would be shooters will be, and that's the points I would like to make.

CHAIR: So, what we're going to do, after we come back from the break we're going to get into some of that, because some of the questions there, Sheriff Ashley, are not just, you know, whether there was a school resource officer but were there enough school resource officers. And even if you take the Stoneman Douglas situation, and what Peterson didn't do, is remember at that campus on that day there were, there was no SRO at Westglades 'cause he was off, and you had one SRO on that entire campus. So, for roughly three thousand kids, a sprawling campus, you had one.

And one of the things that we're going to ask the question of you about when we come back from the break and will take us into the next meeting where we start formulating this, what is the appropriate role of the SRO. So as you raise the question is, is that was there one on campus, but if he's in a classroom, or she's in a classroom teaching, and you've got a campus monitor who sees something, they're not going to get there on time, so all of that has to be,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

I suggest, part of the discussion, what -- do we -- are we okay with the current role of the SROs, or does that role need to change. And if we're going to ask them to keep doing the same things they've been doing, which is mentoring kids, relationships, teaching, doing all the things, then how are they going to adequately provide the security function, which then begs the question is, is that can we pay, and are we willing to pay to have more, especially on campuses that have to and three thousand kids on them, because having one is nothing more than feel good because they can't get the job done.

2.2

2.3

So, we'll come back -- so that's going to lead into -- this is a segue way into the next one. Commissioner Harpring is next.

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: I think the commission has a unique opportunity at this time, in light of the fact that, know it's in 2019, there's going to be a legislative session to talk about the importance of resources, resources being funding, and unfortunately, I think the reality is, is we know that there will be another mass casualty event. I hate to

say it, but we know it's going to occur if history is, is true. The only thing that we can do I think as a commission is make some definitive statements about what kind of resources can be dedicated to the locations that we're talking about, and let's not forget these are our children, whether they're biologically ours or not they're all ours, they're our most precious resource, and what can we do to mitigate that on site.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And we've already mentioned a few things, which is training the staff, having enough personnel. But through the training of the personnel, having sufficient personnel, law enforcement, or otherwise, and I think law enforcement personnel are important, it comes back to the practical thing of cost. And much of cost is willingness, what are the people who ultimately hold the purse strings willing to do, and willing to say is their priority, what's their value judgment, what do they value in regard to funding.

And I'm just overwhelmed in some ways with, with anger, and incredulity when I hear finance people and county administrators tell

Veritext Legal Solutions

305-376-8800

sheriffs, tell state law enforcement personnel, tell school districts that they're unwilling, as Sheriff Gualtieri mentioned, to heaven forbid adjust the millage rate to ask people to pay to protect the most valuable resources that we have. And it becomes a value judgment, and if we don't make those defined strong statements in our recommendations for the legislature to address in the beginning of 2019 to be followed up thereafter then I think we're doing a disservice to all the children that, that we really need to think about protecting.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Commissioner Dodd.

MR. DODD: Thank you for the great information. I do have a couple questions on commonalities. Is there -- from what you've said there's no commonality on the time of day, the day of the week, or the time of the year that these incidents take place, correct?

DR. SMITH: They vary widely.

MR. DODD: Okay. But yet we have not seen an incident, a mass casualty incident, happen at an after-school event; is that correct?

MR. SMITH: The shooting that I mentioned where the assigned officer killed the attacker

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 at the prom was an after school event, but it, there were a couple of minor injuries, but because the officer acted and killed the shooter before he could be effective, he had posted up outside that prom which was after school in order to do that.

Now, having said that there is school violence that occurs at football games, at off campus dances, at assemblies of students who leave high school and go other places. That's not here because it's not considered targeted violence. So, you know, I don't want to leave you with the impression that violence can't occur, including shooting and death, between even, you know, rival students from outside that school. Those are now what we are looking at here. Although that sort of violence is also relatively minor it does occur.

MR. DODD: Certainly, and I know that the districts take that seriously, our district does. I think that would be something we don't want to lose sight of. I also think about summer schools. When school districts have summer schools they may not have it at all of the campuses, but some of the campuses, that

2.2

2.3

2.4

would be an area that maybe we should discuss when we talk about the SRO ratios, and how officers are assigned at those schools. I would like to say my hopes are that the active shooter drills that we have in the senate bill that we will be required to have will help our school staff realize their importance in protecting students, and so I think that in our district the work with law enforcement and the active shooter drills, and the way that's going to go about, it's going to be a good thing.

CHAIR: Okay, thank you. Mr. Schachter, and then I think we'll take a break after your question. Go ahead.

MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you, Sheriff. Thank you very much, Doctor. It's very upsetting to hear all this news, but it's important that the public does. I have several comments, and then several questions. I think as far as what I would like to see out of our commission is a couple of things that have been brought to light here. I think it's extremely important that we empower our teachers, train our teachers in self- defense, especially in light of the fact that they are unfortunately going

2.2

2.3

2.4

to be the first responders with ability to stop these shootings. The more you train the more you build confidence, and you give teachers the ability to act and save lives. So, whether or not we recommend to train all teachers in run, hide, fight, or in ALICE, I think that's very, very important.

Number two is that I would like to make a recommendation our report that all school districts have a reporting, or tip line. of the things that I think could have possibly, you know, stopped this, is, is if we had a tip line in Broward County. There -- there is a tip line, and we didn't really get into that in this commission, but it's called Safe to Tell. You spoke about that, Doctor. In Colorado they have stopped many shootings, many suicides, and has been the gold standard. Hopefully that will be in about twenty-one states soon. So -and actually Susan Payne, who developed that, will be presenting, along with myself, at the Federal Commission on School Safety at the White House August 16th.

Number three, in light of the fact that weapons have been, you know, stolen from

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

parents, I'm in favor of our commission making a recommendation that all guns be properly stored and, and locked, to try to prevent this from happening, and that there be penalties if that doesn't happen.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Concerning my questions, have interoperability did you find to be a mitigating factor in other casualties like it was in Marjorie Stoneman Douglas?

DR. SMITH: Mr. Schachter, could you explain interoperability a little further for me?

MR. SCHACHTER: Well, I mean I know that, you know, we had radio problems here, to the extent that the BSO and Coral Springs could not coordinate, they had to use hand signals. I was just curious, is that a prevalent factor that you found in your research in all these other shootings?

DR. SMITH: It was prevalent in the commission recommendations from prior commissions.

MR. SCHACTHER: And this might -- this is a question to the law enforcement personnel.

Maybe I don't know, but NIMS is the command

800-726-7007

structure for the fire service, and I hear that that is extremely successful. Do we have something that, like that in law enforcement?

CHAIR: Yes, everybody uses NIMS.

MR. SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay. I'm not sure if everyone is aware that the news that came out yesterday, that children were found in a New Mexico compound that were training for school shootings. Even though, you know, I feel all of these attacks on schools are domestic terrorism are, are you surprised that we haven't had multiple attacks on a school at the same time, and are you fearful that we need to be preparing for that, Doctor?

DR. SMITH: Surprised and fearful are the wrong words for me in relationship to answering that. Is it possible? Certainly, the international data says that schools have been attacked by terrorists. The data related to terrorists and their publications, online and in the internet, makes children in some terrorist organizations, and not in others, legitimate targets. So, the question is will they be a soft target that a terrorist chooses in the United States, that is a home-grown

2.2

2.3

2.4

violent extremist versus another target, that's going to be unique to that particular terrorist, but there is certainly evidence outside of the United States that it occurs.

MR. SCHACHTER: Thank you. I have always been, you know, curious, as to when I visit the, the highest crime rates in our country, for instance in Brentwood, New York, that is the heart of MS-13, the high school there, which is actually two high schools combined, there's five thousand kids that's in that high school, they don't have school shootings in that school. Now, there's violence outside the school, but do you have any idea, or opinion why, it seems to me, and correct me if I'm wrong, that these school shootings happen in low crime areas? Is that an accurate statement, or not?

DR. SMITH: I don't know if it's accurate to say low crime versus high crime, but they do tend to be suburban and rural, and I don't think there's any data by any researcher that has been able to parse out why that is part of what we're seeing, or if it's just a random effect of the small numbers that we're seeing.

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. SCHACHTER: On a macro picture in
your, in your research, did you find
duplication amongst the federal agencies, be it
Secret Service, FBI, Department of Homeland
Security, DOE, did you find duplication, that a
lot of these agencies are, you know, producing

the same reports, and overlapping?

DR. SMITH: I found similarities in all the federal reports relative specifically to threat assessment, specifically to the lack of profiles, and specifically to concern about the issue as an issue of public concern.

MR. SCHACHTER: And then concerning no notoriety, concerning the fact that these shooters, you know, crave this fame, and crave to be on the news, was that a factor in a lot these shootings?

DR. SMITH: I made no attempt to -- in the data I collected I made no attempt to find cause for a shooter to act, because of the complexity and the uniqueness in the nature of each shooter. Nor did I find any in any research that suggested, or other commissions that suggested we understand specifically why John or Bill does what John or Bill did. It's

800-726-7007

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

multi-factored, and it changes. Sometimes the shooters' stories themselves change.

There is no question that there are some shooters that track Columbine and school shootings. There is no question that there are fans of school shooters. There is one example in this data where the school shooter actually visited Columbine and bought a trench coat while he was in town and tracked that routinely. But that said, you know, was that simply because he was a fan of that event or was that the primary driver for why he did it; I don't think that's knowable from the data I identified.

MR. SCHACTHER: And then my last question, the monster that, that killed Alex, had an IEP, and I don't know if you can answer this, and I'm certainly not, not making a general statement that, that all students, you know, that have mental issues are, because the, the actual data is not, is not that case, I was just curious how many other shooters, I don't know if you know the answer, had IEPs?

DR. SMITH: I don't know the answer to that.

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 MR. SCHACTHER: Thank you for your 2 presentation.

CHAIR: All right, thank you, Dr. Smith, we appreciate it. Let's take a fifteen-minute break, and we'll start again about 11:15.

(Thereupon, the meeting is in recess.)

CHAIR: We're going to go ahead and begin with the next presentation. And we have Rebecca Kapusta, who is an Assistant Secretary for Operations with the Department of Children and Families with us to talk about DCF adult protective services, and one incident involving Cruz that warrants some clarification. So, welcome, and we appreciate your being here. PRESENTATION DCF ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES

MS. KAPUSTA: Thank you, Sheriff
Gualtieri. Again, my name is Rebecca Kapusta.

I am the Assistant Secretary for Operations for the Department of Children and Families. I oversee the six regional offices throughout the state, and all field operations, as well as adult protective services and children's legal services. Today I'm going to give you a brief presentation of our two investigative processes, one involving child protective

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

investigations, and the other involving adult protective services. As we walk through that you'll notice there are some similarities, however there also are many differences throughout those processes, and we'll talk about those differences as we walk through them.

Before I get started, however, I do want to offer my condolences to the family, the parents, and the friends in the room who have lost loved ones through the incident that occurred.

I am going to start with the, gosh, this is really loud, child protective investigations. It is governed by Chapter 39 of the Florida Statutes, and a call comes in, and is initiated, an investigation is initiated in the same way for adult protective investigation, which is a call to the hotline. The population of individuals that we're dealing with for child protective investigations are population for individual zero to eight, to seventeen, excuse me, zero to seventeen. The cutoff is at seventeen-year age.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

When a call is received by the counselor 1 an assessment is done to see if it rises to the 2. reasonable level of suspicion for abuse, neglect, or abandonment. 4 If so an 5 investigation is initiated by a field investigator. The primary goal of a CPI 6 investigator is of course to assess the safety and well-being of the child, to determination 8 9 whether or not the family has protective 10 capacity, and to determination the functioning 11 of that family. At all times the investigator 12 is assessing risk to the child, and how to keep 13 that child safe in their, in their environment 14 if possible. So again, the primary goal is the 15 safety, permanency, child well-being, and 16 family well-being.

The focus of the investigative process is, of course is to engage in the family, that's first and foremost, then to go and perform, and gather information around that child, and around that family. They will engage with school personnel, medical professionals, family, neighbors, and anyone else who has had contact with that child in the recent past, to determination whether or not that child is at

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

risk for abuse, neglect, or abandonment. Once that investigation is performed what that investigator does at that time is try to determination whether or not that child can safety remain in their home.

If that child is safe, then of course services are offered. The parents have the ability to decline those services that are offered, and the case is closed. If the child is unsafe the investigator assesses to determination what services can be put in place, of course with the initiation of a safety plan to keep that child in their home with adequate services to make sure that that child can remain safely in that home with those services in place until those services can be ended.

If the child is, of course, at risk, at high risk, and unsafe, and there's no other alternative, then the child will be removed. At that point of removal that child comes into state custody. That is not true on the adult side. I just want to make that clear. That is one distinction that's important. When a child is removed the child comes into the Department

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

of Children and Families' custody, a court case is begun, and that court case usually lasts about twelve months, in some circumstances longer than twelve months, so we try to get that child to permanency.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Again, the main goals of a child protective investigator is to look for and assess for child well-being, permanency, and safety of that child. So, our goal through that court process is to get that child to a permanent place, whether it can be in that child's own home and returned to the family, or in another permanent setting for that child. Again, that process takes about twelve months, sometimes longer.

I'm going to transition -- does anyone have any questions about that child protective investigative process that I just kind of walked us through?

All right, so I'm going to start now with the adult protective investigations. It is different. It is governed by Chapter 415. At one time adult protective investigations and child protective investigations were all captured under Chapter 415. The legislature

800-726-7007

carved out Chapter 39 and put child protective investigations in Chapter 39. Chapter 415 remained intact, and you have adult protective investigations governed by Chapter 415 of the Florida Statutes.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And an investigation for an adult protective services case is initiated in the same way as a child protective investigation, and that is through the call to a hotline. The hotline counselor again makes the same assessment that they make on the child's side, and that is to see whether or not there's a reasonable suspicion of abuse, neglect, or exploitation. So, there is one different element, that's exploitation or self-neglect. If so then an investigation is initiated, and an investigator is on the field performing an investigation, ordinarily within twenty-four hours.

I do want to make it clear in the vast majority of our investigations those investigates involve elderly folks, and it's ordinarily, I think it's about 70, maybe 75% of our cases do involve the elderly or disabled. The first assessment, so on a child's side

they're assessing for safety, their assessing for risk, and they're assessing for permanency and well- being of that child and the parent's protective capacities. On the adult protective side, it's a very different assessment that is being conducted by the investigator.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

The investigator first has to determination, one, whether the individual, the subject of the investigation is a vulnerable adult. The definition of a vulnerable adult is up on the screen. I'm going to read it so that I don't get it wrong, but a person eighteen years of age or older whose ability to perform the normal service or activities of daily living, or to provide for his or her own care or protection is impaired due to a mental, emotional history, long term physical or development disability, or dysfunction, or brain damage, or the infirmities of aging. That last category, infirmities of aging, is really where the bulk of our investigations lie.

If that individual that's the subject of the investigation is deemed to be a vulnerable adult by the investigator they next have to

determine two things, one does that individual have capacity to consent to services, or do they lack capacity to consent to those services. If the individual is a vulnerable adult and has capacity to consent to those service being offered, and the definition of capacity to consent is up on your screen as well, or in your slideshow that you have for you, then the individual can accept or decline any services being offered.

Those services are ordinarily services that we have in the community. The adult protective investigator can connect that individual with those services that are available and are appropriate for the needs of that individual and connect them with those services that are existing in the community. And again, the vulnerable adult that has capacity can say I don't want those services, no thank you, and that will conclude our involvement with that individual per statute.

If the individual lacks capacity to consent to those services then the adult protective investigator can do one of two things, and that is to put services in place in

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

the home. If the services are reasonably available and can adequately meet the needs of that individual those services will be placed in home to kind of wrap around that individual who is suffering at that moment and get them back and stable. If that is not an adequate need to meet the needs of that individual, then the investigator will place that individual into an appropriate facility. And those are our only two options per statute, is to provide those services or to place that individual into a facility. That facility would meet the level of need for that individual, so it would be an assisted living facility, a nursing home, or whatever the needs of that individual might be at that moment.

The API process is much like a CPI process. They go out, they gather the information, they do the assessment to determination whether or not that individual is able to function with, perform their daily activities of living. They assess and gather information from collateral contacts, meaning neighbors, family members, doctors, people in the community who have had contact with that

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

individual in the recent past. Once they gather that information they will make an assessment. Also, again, they cannot leave out the two main criteria, is the victim, or the subject of the investigation a vulnerable adult, and do they lack capacity, or have capacity.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

If the individual lacks capacity and is needing services, and those services are put in place, whether it be services in their home, whether that individual is placed into a facility that's appropriate to meet the needs of that individual, then a court case ensues.

Much like on the child side we don't act without court involvement and court oversight. When we get that court oversight one big difference between the child side and the adult side, again, is that the involvement of the Department in that adult individual's life is sixty days.

We have to petition the court within sixty days to request further involvement, ongoing protective services being put in place, or whether or not services should be discontinued at that time because the needs that were

existing at the time have been ameliorated, or whether or not the Department would recommend a petition for guardianship be filed under Chapter 44, 744 of the Florida Statutes.

Again, that's 744. I misspoke. I apologize.

2.2

2.4

2.5

When an API investigator is not, what does not happen throughout the API investigation is API is not authorized to Baker Act under statute, under Chapter 415. The API determines the victim's personal risk, and whether any further risk is going to be ensued by the caregiver, if there's a caregiver involved, or by the victim themselves through self-neglect, but not the risk of the behavior of that individual, and what it poses to other individuals. So, we simply look at the subject of that investigation.

Again, the subject of an adult protective investigation can decline services if they have capacity at any time. The caregiver as well, if there's a caregiver involved the caregiver can also decline services at any time.

So, I just wanted to do a side by side comparison of the CPI process and the APS process, and some of the distinct differences.

CPI service children, when I say CPI, Child Protective Investigators serve children that are zero to seventeen, whereas the Adult Protective Investigators focus on individuals eighteen and older. Again, the vast majority of our investigations are an elderly population or disabled. A child if they are removed from their home does come into state custody, is under the state custody of the Department of Children and Families, whereas the adult protective investigation, if that adult is needing services, or is placed into a facility, they are not in the custody of the Department.

And the child case, if a child is in our custody and turns eighteen that child does not transition into an APS case. They are very different by nature. If a child becomes an adult you would still have to assess to determine whether or not that individual lacks capacity, and whether they're a vulnerable adult and needing of services. So those are two distinct areas that I wanted to make sure we went over one more time.

Bear with me, I think I've -- API investigations and child protective

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

investigations are not public. They are not subject to Chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes, so any time an investigator, be it on the child side or the adult side, goes out an performs an investigation, those records are confidential pursuant to Chapter 39.202, so they are not provided to the general public.

2.2

2.3

2.4

In this case involving Nikolas Cruz the Department within five days of the investigation, or of the incident, went out and sought for these records to be made public, and we got a court order. So that's the only time that records do become public, is whether there is a death, or whether there is a court order. In this case the Department petitioned for those records to become public and disseminated, and they were disseminated nationally, to the legislature as well, and to congress as well, so they have been well disseminated throughout.

I will give you a high-level overview of the Department's involvement with Nikolas Cruz. We became involved in Nikolas Cruz on September 28, 2016. A call came into the hotline alleging that the subject was a vulnerable

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

305-376-8800

adult, and that his mother was the caregiver, and that he was being neglected, and that he was not receiving medication, and also that he was having a mental health crisis at the time.

An investigation ensued within twenty-four hours, and the investigator went out and made collateral contact. So, the investigator contacted school personnel, contacted mental health professionals, contacted family members, and law enforcement.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Through that course of that investigation it was determined that Nikolas Cruz at the time did have capacity, that he was able to perform all of his daily activities as well, and that his mother was cooperative, and providing essential oversight. Again, he was an adult, he is eighteen so he's responsible for the care of himself. His mother transitioned in and out of that role as caregiver when Nikolas was needing that assistance, that additional assistance.

At that time of the investigation the subject of that investigation was able to perform all of his daily activities and was not in a mental health crisis at that moment.

Again, collateral contacts were made with his mental health counselors. It was determined that in times when that additional oversight was needed the mother was taking care of making sure that the medicines were being taken, and it was confirmed throughout the course of the investigation that that medicine was being taken, and that the appointments were being made and kept.

At that point the case was closed with no indicators that the mother was neglecting the subject of the investigation, and the mother was the alleged perpetrator in that investigation by the way, so there was no indication throughout the course of that investigation that the mother was not acting in the capacity of the caregiver in times when she needed to, or that at times when she wasn't she was neglecting him in any way, so the case was closed with no indicators, and services that would have been available were already being received by the subject so those additional services were not warranted or necessary, because all of those services were intact throughout the course of our investigation.

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

That was the determination, that those services that could have been provided were being provided and were being followed through on.

So that concludes the investigation piece of what we did, and our involvement with Nikolas Cruz. I do want to indicate that we had no involvement other than that one investigation on September 28, 2016. He was not involved on the child side so there was no child protective investigation that occurred ever, and that at the conclusion in November that was the end of our contact with the subject involved in this situation.

CHAIR: And just for clarification, because he turned eighteen on the 24th of September, so this is the 28th, so is the APSI that went out and never did the CPI side, or child protective services side, ever go out, or no calls. So, this is on the adult side, one time, limited to the 28th of September, and we'll hear more about that this afternoon. That also ties into some other things that were going on at the time, because when your investigator went out BSO was there, and others, and it was part of the threat

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 assessment process.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MS. KAPUSTA: Yes.

CHAIR: So, we'll hear more about that this afternoon though. Mr. Petty.

MR. PETTY: Thank you for your presentation. A couple of questions. I want to -- we've talked a little bit on the commission and heard testimony about the gap between youth mental health services and, you know, zero to seventeen, and then when you, when you become an adult you shift into a different system. You've talked about that a little bit here today. Is there a transition process in place? Is data shared, or do you start sort of at zero when you turn eighteen, and everything we, we knew about you, or everything we were doing to try to help you, or any information we have, we might have, doesn't get transferred to you as an adult? I'm just trying to understand the gap, and how it's looked at by, by your office and others.

MS. KAPUSTA: So, I'm going to try to answer your question the best I can. A child that is in our system and receiving services at the time that child turns eighteen can stay

under our services through adult, through independent living process. In that process that child can continue to receive services. And it's really a process to help them transition into adulthood, help them be able to manage and budget a check, you know budget a, you know, balance a budget, excuse me, or, you know, make good decisions around, you know, jobs and schooling, and educate, you know, all of those sorts of things, making sure they're keeping up with their appointments, that, that sort of stuff.

The independent living process is designed to help that child transition into adulthood. However, a child does not, will not transfer into, or transition into an adult protective services case, so I'm trying to understand your question as best I can. If -- if we had involvement with a youth at the time they were zero to eighteen, and we performed an investigation, they're receiving services, so on and so forth, that information would still be in our system from the previous investigation that was done if another one comes in when that child is now an adult.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But if you're talking about the mental health services being received by a child, and when they transfer into, or transition into adulthood, I can't answer that. Those are outside the Department's role, if that make sense. I don't --

MR. PETTY: I think it does. And I don't want to blur the two, but I'm just trying to understand. We've got this, again not a profile, but we have incidents of these violent attackers being, you know, new adults, and they're -- and there's some history of care and services that were provided to them and their families as they were minors, and they become adults, how much of that is carried forward.

And I'm trying to understand because I think, I think, and I don't know this, but I think there may be a gap, and so we're missing an opportunity perhaps to carry services forward.

CHAIR: Mr. Petty, maybe -- and I want to clarify, and let Secretary Carroll weigh in on this, but I want to just -- what she's talking about here is, is that in all but seven counties in Florida for child protective

services it's done by DCF. In seven counties now the sheriff's do it, but it's all still within their system, okay, so it's within FSFN, which is the system, the database if you will, and then you've got the adult side of protective services for vulnerable, but you've got also an entire mental health system, and providers of mental health services, and the Department engages in some of that, but then you've got a whole private network out here as well.

MS. KAPUSTA: Right.

CHAIR: So if your question, and I'm just making sure, trying to help frame this, because I want to make sure I understand it, is, is that some of the information the Department would never have, so as an example Henderson information, private providers, Dr. Negin, or anybody else, I mean is that, that is not in the Department's database. So, if they got an APS call when let's say Cruz was twenty one years old, and he had been treated at fourteen, fifteen, by private providers, that's not anything that, and you can speak to this, that's not anything that they would even know

2.2

2.3

2.4

about, or even have any access to. So, if there's kind of what you're -- that's why I, I kind of am trying to help you --

MR. PETTY: That's helpful, Chair, and that is where I'm going. I'm trying -- it's sort of continuity of services across the board, right, when you graduate, you turn eighteen, you can, you can voluntarily choose to, to no longer be part of the ESE services in the school district, the mental, your mental health status I would imagine changes, certainly you become an adult, and things change there, so I'm just trying to understand how all those things weave together, and, and that transition, because it seems to me we have a gap when somebody becomes of age, right, and how do we, how do we continue, if we've identified a threat as a minor how do we continue that as they become an adult.

CHAIR: In order -- remember, the child protective service complaints are -- allegations that they would investigate are abuse, abandonment, and neglect of a kid. So, it is a situation generally where there is some type of harm, or a jeopardy situation that the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

kid is in, and child protection isn't 1 necessarily focusing on the bad behavior of the 3 kid or concerning the behavior of the kid. It's more the environment the kid is in, or are 4 5 they subject to, again, abuse, abandonment, neglect, environmental harm, those kinds of 6 things, physical harm, but it's at somebody else's hands. So that system is dealing with 8 9 that, more so focusing on the behavior, so. 10 MS. KAPUSTA: Correct.

MS. KAPUSTA: Correct. That's exactly correct.

MR. PETTY: Well, let me -- let me shift gears to that for just a moment, just a couple of questions.

CHAIR: Sure.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. PETTY: So -- so when -- when you visited -- when the investigator went out after receiving the tip from the hotline the, I guess the target of the investigation, if that's the right word, was, was the mother?

MS. KAPUSTA: Correct. The mother was the alleged perpetrator at that time. Of course, the investigator has to determine whether or not mother actually meets the statutory definition of caregiver, so the first

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

assessment is always on the subject of the
investigation, which is in that case Nikolas
Cruz, to determine whether or not he met the
definition of a vulnerable adult, because
otherwise the mother would not qualify as a

MR. PETTY: So -- so based on that investigation, or based on the questions that were asked of the mother it was determined that he was not a vulnerable adult, is that, was that the determination?

MS. KAPUSTA: Not just -- not just the questions of the mother. So, they went out, they assessed the subject of the investigation, which was Nikolas Cruz. They assessed his environment. They assessed and talked to him to determine whether or not he was functioning, whether or not he was able to perform his daily activities of living, whether he understood the situation and was able to make reasonable decisions about his well-being, and talk to mental health providers, talk to school personnel, talk to law enforcement. So collateral contacts were made, and through all of that information it was determined that he

800-726-7007

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

caregiver.

was at that moment not, you know, in crisis.

He was able to perform all of his daily activities of living, he was not truly a vulnerable adult. He transitioned in and out depending on his, you know, mental state at the time, but was engaged in mental health services through Henderson Health, his mom was compliant with all of his, you know, medical appointments, making sure he was taking his medications if he was not making sure he was taking his medications, which the investigation revealed that he was predominantly doing those things.

MR. PETTY: And -- and --

CHAIR: Can you just let -- Secretary

Carroll wants to weigh in on this and let him.

SEC. CARROLL: I want to clarify real quick, because I think I can answer some of these questions. Your first question about does the information transfer over, any information that we gather as part of a child protective investigation will always be available to an adult protective investigator because it's in the same system. In this case we had no information because this family had

2.2

2.3

2.4

no prior contact, so when the AP went out there, API went out there, they had really no information on this family, because this was our first contact with the family through the investigative process.

In terms of vulnerable adult, in this case it was assumed he was in vulnerable adult, because as Ms. Kapusta said this statute was originally established to look at elderly folks, so your grandmothers in the nursing home, and the, it's about folks who are over sixty or -- no, I would argue with the sixty, I'm getting pretty close to that myself, but it is typically older folks who can't care for themselves, or it's a disabled adult.

And so, in this case because one of the accusations that came in on the hotline was that this, that Cruz may have been in some type of mental health distress, that's why it was taken, and the assumption was made that he was a vulnerable adult based on that. When they went out it was quickly determined that he could, he had the capacity to do all of his daily living activities, that he was not inhibited by that, and once that determination

2.2

was made that difference between the seventeen year old and an eighteen year old, as a seventeen year old he is a child and we can in effect make decisions for him, and what's in their best interest. As an eighteen year old or older once it's established that you have the capacity to consent, and you can conduct your daily living activities, then we can assist, advise, encourage, work with you, but in the end you can decide to cooperate with us, not cooperate with us, you can accept services, not accept services.

2.2

2.3

2.4

In this case he did cooperate initially in the investigation, and then at some point he said I don't want to talk anymore, and we can't force him to do that. Ms. Kapusta also said what's available to us in adult protective investigation is we don't make -- and this kind of irritated me because it was portrayed in the newspaper that somehow, we found Cruz to be at low risk. What we found Cruz to be was at low risk of being abused and neglected as a victim.

MS. KAPUSTA: Right.

SEC. CARROLL: We never found him to be low -- that's what not adult protective

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

investigators do. But when the API went out the API was made aware that a Baker Act assessment had been done, and the Baker Act assessment had found that there was not a basis to involuntarily commit Cruz, and so at that point it was a question of does this, can we hook this person up with services.

It was also determined at that point that he was active, this is at a time period where he was still actively involved with Henderson, and there was a medication regiment already in Those are the things that adult protective investigative would have referred him to, and It was already in place. So -- so when that investigation was closed mom was never alleged necessarily to be the perpetrator of abuse and neglect, but the way our system is designed you need a perpetrator in order to evaluate abuse and neglect, and so she was by de facto in this case a perpetrator, but there were no direct allegations from anybody that she was actively abusing and neglecting this, this child, that was the trigger that allowed us to look at. Okay?

MR. PETTY: So, Secretary Carroll, thank

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

you, that's very helpful. When -- just some follow up questions then. When you look at things like was he taking his medications, I'm assuming you're talking to the mental health professionals, you're asking mom, you're asking Cruz himself, others. And the purpose of my question is we see in his life periods where he is managing life okay, and then periods where, you know, he is clearly not. What's the investigative period when you go out there, do you look back six months, do you look back a year, do you look back a month? What -- what was the sort of time frame and determination that he was following treatment, attending, going to treatment, taking his medications, those kinds of things? What's that time interval?

MS. KAPUSTA: So when the adult protection investigator goes out and assesses the situation they look and gather information from, you know, if they went to, you know, talked to Henderson Health, they gather all the inform to determine, you know, how long he's been receiving mental health services, so on and so forth, but it's not really a, a look

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

back, a look forward, it's what's going on at that time.

But all that information is assessed, it's gathered, it goes into the decision making process, and throughout that course of that investigation, that investigation was open for I want to say a couple of months, a month and a half, something along those lines, and throughout that course of that investigation of course all that information was a look back, but not really, you know, a look forward.

We're not looking forward to determining whether or not he may or may not have another incident where he's unable to manage, that's not the statutory framework.

The reason for my question, I'm just trying to understand, there are periods, again periods where he's been well and periods where he's not, so if you look at a short enough interval it may appear that he is managing things okay and, and investigation might determine as was done here that everything is okay, but if you look back far enough I think there's some evidence that perhaps he wasn't taking his medication, or complying with, with

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

treatment, and mom wasn't necessarily cooperative. That's -- that's where I'm going with this I guess.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

MS. KAPUSTA: So our statute -- our
Statute 415 allows us to look at the
circumstances existing at that time, so if we
were to go into court and say, but, Judge,
tomorrow or the next day, or a month from now
he may not be in the same circumstance, that's
not sufficient within Chapter 415 so there's
nothing we can do in terms of oversight or
intervention with that individual at that time
unless that individual is agreeable and accepts
the services that the Department wants to
intervene with and assist with.

Again, as Secretary Carroll pointed out, the services that the Department would have intervened with and put in place were already existing at the time.

MR. PETTY: Okay. And then ultimately, he rejected them.

MS. KAPUSTA: Correct.

MR. PETTY: Okay, thank you.

MS. KAPUSTA: You're welcome.

CHAIR: And just -- is that -- is your

800-726-7007

role at the time of going out there, you receive this complaint and you go out there, is that, is your role at the time, because this is the first time the Department ever had any contact with the Cruz family.

MS. KAPUSTA: Yes.

CHAIR: Is your role at the time holistic, and to look at the big picture of the Cruz family and Cruz, or is it to deal with the instant allegations, the instant complaint, and your scope if narrow as it relates to those allegations regarding that moment in time?

MS. KAPUSTA: It's both. So when we go out an investigator will look at holistically what's going on with the subject of the investigation, and what's going on within the family dynamics, however the statute does not contemplate future harm, so if that individual had in the past had circumstances where they were, they may have fallen into that category of vulnerable adult and may have not been able to perform their daily activities of living, if they are at that moment, the statute doesn't allow us to go in and ask for intervention because they are functioning, they have

2.2

2.3

2.4

capacity at that moment, and the adult has the ability to say yes or no and decline the services offered by the Department. So, we always look back at all the history, but with the adult side, unlike the child side, our ability to intervene is really limited to whether or not that adult has capacity at that time, and whether or not they're willing to accept those services.

2.2

2.3

CHAIR: And one of the other things you need to know is, is that, and you'll hear more about this hopefully this afternoon, is, is that one, one thing though that is sacrosanct in this area is who the reporter is, and that is something -- but know this, and I'm just going to speak in a hypothetical sense, and it has some bearing on this, is, is that sometimes it is circular, because you have mandatory reporters, and you have people who are required to report, and there's already other things that are in motion.

So as an example, if there are things that are going on in the school, and there's a process going on, and a threat assessment, and law enforcement is involved, and there's a

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

whole bunch of people involved, somebody touching that may have felt that they were required to report, and then that gets the Department involved. The Department is coming in when the wheel is already spinning at a hundred miles an hour, there's a lot of other things, happening, a lot of other people involved, and this is where when Secretary Carroll is talking about in conjunction with this there was somebody else there that was either had already done or at that point doing a Baker Act evaluation.

There were other people involved, so the Department's touch is often collateral to what else is going on because of others who are already involved, but they are reporters, and they're bringing the Department into it; is that accurate?

MS. KAPUSTA: Correct. That is absolutely accurate. Often times on the child side and on the adult side we are met at the subject location with law enforcement, and we're doing a dual investigation. As Sheriff Gualtieri already pointed out those investigations are conducted by Sheriff's Offices, in many of our,

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

seven of our counties across the state, so it's a collateral.

2.2

2.3

2.4

If there's criminal activity involved, and we go out and we determine that there's some sort of exploitation going on, or abuse going on by a caregiver of an adult, we of course immediately involve law enforcement, and we report it to the State Attorney's Office, so it's a dual investigation at that point, and we ordinarily take a step back and let that criminal process take its natural course.

MR. PETTY: I guess -- I'm not looking to find fault with what happened here, I'm just trying to, you know, it's the definition of in the moment, right? In any moment Cruz may have appeared to be okay, and there were other moments where he didn't, and so that definition of moment is, is vital in understanding whether or not he was vulnerable, et cetera, et cetera, in my view.

CHAIR: Well, and -- and -- and what she just said is extremely accurate, and I believe, I know that this will crystalize for you more, and make more sense as we get into some of the things we're going to get into this afternoon,

800-726-7007

and you see more about what's going on. If it's not we'll get the answers, or bring somebody back to answer any additional questions you have, but I think that if you let it evolve with some of the things you're going to hear this afternoon, I think that will, you'll have a better understanding and feel for it at that point, okay?

So, you -- Sheriff Ashley, go ahead.

SHER. ASHLEY: Thank you. My only question is, I'm assuming the Department has already reviewed their, their services in this case, and made some determination of whether it was effective or not effective. How do you do that in all cases? How do you determine the Department's services are effective or not, what measurements do you use to determination whether you are or not?

MS. KAPUSTA: So, I want to distinguish between services and investigation, because we perform the investigation. All of the services that are provided to families are provided by private entities, so the Department does not directly provide services in adult protective services cases, or in child cases.

2.2

2.3

2.4

1

2.

SHER. ASHLEY: You can refer them to that.

3 4

5 6

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

MS. KAPUSTA: Absolutely, absolutely. But when we're talking about how the Department takes a look at what we did in terms of the investigative process, we have a QA process in place, we have rapid safety feedback in place, so we have lots of opportunities for us to go back and look at all of our cases and make sure that the quality of the investigation was there. We have certs unfortunately, you know, that's on the child side, when a death of a child occurs and there was a verified abuse report within the last twelve months. So, we have lots of opportunities on both the adult side and the child side to take a look at what we did, and some missed opportunities, and to improve the system, or whether we did everything fabulously and unfortunately still something bad happened as an outcome, because that sometimes is the case.

> And Mr. Schachter, go ahead. CHAIR:

MR. SCHACHTER: Chair, can you -- and obviously, you know, you've identified a lot of the different silos, and I think one of our goals is to eliminate these, these barriers to

information sharing. Do you think it would be important, and you know, if somebody goes from a juvenile to an adult, obviously there's a lot more information, I understand you said you would have that information if he was in the juvenile system, do they have access to the school board juvenile system, the disciplinary process, and what happens in the school? Do they have access to law enforcement? I'm just curious. And -- and also is there one person that can holistically look at an individual as they age and grow to help make this process better; what do you think?

CHAIR: Well, the answer to the second question is, is no, there's no, at this point in this juncture there's nobody sitting with a three sixty view of everything, and I think you know that, you know, for everything that's been discussed, so. As far as the other questions that you have is, is that, and we've seen, is that there are, it's a complicated question with various answers, as far as do they have access to the school records, and you've got FERPA, you've got state requirements, you've got, you know, everything we talked about

2.2

yesterday, all that comes into play, and I think the answer is, is maybe it depends on the circumstances.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now, you do have a provision in 7026 that at least for Florida law breaks down those barriers and allows for information sharing among the various entities, and that's in SB7026, which of course is what, it made great changes, but that still doesn't affect FERPA, it doesn't affect HIPAA, it doesn't affect, to the extent that those apply. As we heard yesterday some of those may not apply. So, the answer is, is that it's not clean across the board that all information at all times is available to everybody, but again, 7026 took it in a significantly better direction to allow information sharing. Of course, we have the threat assessment teams that are required to be in every school, we know who the members of those teams are, and all of those members can share information.

So, it's better than what it was, and but there's still some times where there might be some challenges, and as we progress we'll identify some of those, and perhaps we can make

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

recommendations to the extent that we can on a state level, and if there's any potential federal barriers then that would have to be addressed separately, okay?

MR. SCHACTHER: Great, thank you.

CHAIR: Okay, so here's -- thank you very much, we appreciate it, Ms. Kapusta, great information. Thanks for being here.

MS. KAPUSTA: Thank you. Thank you for having me.

CHAIR: So, here's where we are. On the agenda we had scheduled to close the meeting for this afternoon's session. We're a little bit behind schedule so what I'm going to do is to leave the meeting open. We're going to break for lunch. We're going to come back at 1:00, and we're going to continue with the open meeting to cover some of those topics, and then we'll take a break to close the meeting.

I just want to make sure that everybody is aware, I know Mr. Schachter got with me yesterday on this, is, is that the parents from Stoneman Douglas are holding a press conference at 12:30, but that press conference has nothing to do with this commission, it is one that's

2.

2.2

2.3

being held by the parents on their own so it is not commission activity, and they're going to do that in back, but I just want to make sure we separate that, and bifurcate it so there's no misunderstanding that this has anything to do with the commission.

And your press conference will go from 12:30 to a little before 1:00, or at 1:00, and then we'll start again in open session at 1:00, take care of the rest of the business that we have to, and then we'll go into closed session for the rest of the day. So, we'll see everybody at 1:00. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the meeting is in recess.)

CHAIR: All right, we're going to go ahead and resume here. We can finish up this morning's agenda. So, one of the things that we said we want to talk about, just to kind of put on your dashboard for further discussion is one of the issues about the SROs. Do you have that PowerPoint presentation? Can you put that up? Okay.

So, in your books under the last tab you have this presentation. Just go ahead and advance the slides. I don't have the clicker,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

so you can just advance it for me. And keep going. There you go, right there. Okay, so in the statute there are a few things that we have to do regarding the SROs, and making a recommendation, and the first thing that we have to do is make specific recommendations for improving law enforcement and school resource officer response in the futures so -- if everybody would, if you're engaged in conversation if you can, we're trying -- we're back in session again, so if you have conversations please take then outside the room. Thank you.

The -- some of the factors that are going to come into play with that, is we have here our single officer response to active shooter, how rescue task forces may come into play.

Those of you who are not familiar, and you've heard that terminology used during this meeting and the previous meeting a little bit, is, is that the trend is to combine law enforcement officers with fire/EMS personnel so that they are jointly going in, and that the fire/EMS personnel and law enforcement form these rescue task forces to go in and rescue the victims,

2.2

2.4

and to provide emergency medical services.

One of the places that was notoriously done and talked about was in Las Vegas. In Las Vegas this is probably leading, and one of the leaders in rescue task forces, where you're taking fire/paramedics, combining them on teams with law enforcement officers, and they're going in to treat the victims in what's called that hot zone, if you remember that discussion, and that terminology from last time, so that's what that's referring to. Active shooter training with other agencies so that you have seamless response, and alarm systems and notifications.

want to talk about. If you would go the next slide. We have here for you something you can go back and take a look at on previous presentations. And go ahead to the next slide. And the second require is, is to, and this is like I mentioned yesterday in my opening remarks, and this is a lot easier said than done, but to make specific recommendations about the appropriate ration of school resource officers and done by school type. So, it was a

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

segue way from this morning into this conversation, as we were talking about that issue a little bit, because there's a lot of different considerations, again the whether it's an elementary school, whether it's a high school, the size of the school, the location of the school, and a number of factors. But the statute says at a minimum the methodology for determining the ratio should include these things.

As we've explored this, and I believe have exhausted it, is that there is no methodology out there. The methodology, or, I'm sorry, the ration that was talked about if 1:1000, and that came from NASRO, which is the National Association of School Resource Officers, but when we contacted them they said that, just kind of how they felt, there was no methodology, there was no framework, there was no analytics, there was no basis for it other than they thought that that was a good number. So that's not a good way to do it, and it's not what our mandate is by the statute, which is to come up with something.

So, if you would go ahead to the, to the

2.2

2.3

2.5

next slide, there are some things to consider, population of schools, the grade level, the design, et cetera. So I think the first consideration though, and the first thing that we have to discuss, if you would go to the next slide, before you can get to any of that is what's the role of the SRO, because the role of the SRO is going to drive whether we go Path A, Path B, and before we can get to all of the rest of it we have to decide has the time changed enough where the role of the SRO should be different than what it is, and if it's not going to be different than what it is then that, or should that impact the ratio, because if we want SROs to be in the classrooms teaching, we want them to be in offices doing counseling, and doing mentoring, and doing those types of things, that means they're not going to be driving the perimeter, that means they're not going to be, arguably, at the bus line, that means they're not going to be in the hallways, they're not going to be performing that security function while they're doing these other things, and what needs to go hand in hand with that discussion I suggest to you

is, if you go to the next slide, is additional security.

So, is it straight SROs or is it a hybrid?

Do you have SROs with guardians, do you have

SROs with armed school personnel, do you -- so

those are the discussions we need to have, and

-- go ahead, Senator Book.

SEN. BOOK: I also think it's something that has been talked about quite a bit today, also location of school, you know, how far is the commute time for law enforcement to get to a school that's farther away, and maybe those SROs if having other responsibilities in a classroom, as you described, you'd need more of them, or guardians would take X responsibility and SROs do others.

CHAIR: So what -- what my suggestion is, and I just wanted to put this on the table today because we don't have time, and it wasn't designed to have an extensive discussion, I think that this topic warrants an extensive discussion, and we need to allocate the right amount of time to have a thorough discussion about it, and that's not for today. What I wanted to do is put it out there with these

2.2

2.3

2.4

slides, with some of these factors, and kind of frame the issue, and frame the question, and then come back in September and allocate the right amount and have a discussion.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

And my suggestion is, is that we white board it, is that you bring forward your suggestions, you'll have the next few weeks to come up with your ideas and your thoughts, and then we open that segment of the next meeting with hearing from you all, and we have somebody, literally that we white board it, and we come up with the ideas, and we move it around, and we frame it, we get everybody's feedback, and we come up with, and I want to walk away from that topic in the next meeting, I'm going to allocate enough time, whether it's a couple of hours, or whatever it is, so we can have a good solid thorough discussion, and that that will be the basis of what we put in the report.

So, it gives you time to look at it yourselves, to consider it, to come up with your thoughts and your suggestions, then we'll walk away from that. And along the lines of that is that if there is anybody -- we've heard

305-376-8800

a lot, we've heard a lot from a lot of people. Is there anybody that you all believe, and you don't have to answer this question today, you can send me the information, you can send us the information on it, but I think it needs to be limited, is there anybody that you want here that we've heard from in the past, any subject matter experts, or anybody that you want here when we have that discussion to ask questions of that would help us as we make those recommendations.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Do you want somebody from FASRO, the Florida Association of School Resource Officers, do you want a principal, do want an actual school resource officer? The only thing, I throw it out there, but I caution, you say that cautiously because we can't spend a whole day on it. And so, I'm going to stop, that's what I wanted to put on the table. And Sheriff Ashley, you had something.

SHER. ASHLEY: Along with the same line you had on what the primary goal of an SRO is I would think that we would need to consider also what the response goal is, and in this case it would be an immediate response, it's not a

800-726-7007

Priority 1 or Priority 2 call where you have one or two minutes, it's an immediate response, and so I think we need to consider that as a commission as well.

CHAIR: Yeah, and right, and that goes -and so that begs the question, is, is that if,
you know, if you have a campus, and, you know,
let's just use MSD as the example, is, is that,
at least my opinion is, and you couple that
with, this day with, that day with Westglades,
on armed law enforcement officer on a campus of
twenty three hundred kids with a middle school
next door with a thousand, as sprawling that
is, is feel good. It doesn't even, it barely
checks the box, and that is not effective. So,
the question becomes is what, what are you
looking for in a response.

We know that Cruz was in the 1200 Building for right around six minutes. We know about three minutes of that was in the teacher's lounge trying to shoot out the windows, so all the carnage that he caused happened within three minutes. And we know, and you'll see is, is that the first law enforcement officers weren't even dispatched for probably the first

2.2

2.3

2.4

two minutes that he was in the building, so who's going to stop that?

And that gets back to the discussion this morning about what we saw, which was the civilians, so what do we want to suggest? And if it's going to be purely SROs, purely law enforcement officers, who's going to pony up the cash?

So, Mr. Schachter.

MR. SCHACHTER: You know, I think that everybody has got to face the realization, and we keep talking around the subject, but in Indiana they have a system where they can stop a shooter in under minute. Everybody is trying to figure out the salutation, how to do it, it's already being done. The law -- the -instantly the teacher hits the key fob, and then law enforcement can look inside that school, they can identify the shooter, and then they can launch a countermeasure. They can launch, it's smoke from the ceiling and it blinds the shooter. And if we're looking for a solution of how to stop the shooter in under a minute this is the only school that has that capability in the entire nation.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 We went to stop the shooter. We want to blind him. We want to impede his movement. 3 I'm not saying that that's the only method, but I'm saying that is how we should focus, is my 4 opinion.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: Okay. So, an -- so along those lines is, is that -- and that district in Indiana as I understand it, it's a very small district, and like a couple school, a couple high schools; is that right?

MR. SCHACHTER: It's only in one school.

One school, right. CHAIR:

MR. SCHACHTER: Currently they're expanding it, but --

CHAIR: Right, so -- and so if we want to get things done, and things done quickly, and we want to make change that is impactful in a swift way, there are, just elementary schools, middle schools, high schools, and K-12 schools in Florida, not including charters, not including private schools, we got somewhere around thirty six hundred schools, so you got to keep that in mind.

The reason it's only one MR. SCHACHTER: school is because of the ballistics in that

800-726-7007 305-376-8800 school, it's very, very expensive. We're not talking about ballistics. To put smoke canisters in a ceiling so we can blind the suspect and stop the attack is very inexpensive. That is -- that is -- that is -- that is doable.

CHAIR: So -- so what happens when -- now we're talking about this, okay, and the shooter comes in, and as Nevin mentioned this morning in his presentation for all these actions there's a reaction, and they're going to do things differently, and as it becomes known is, is that they're going to come in with equipment to, to counteract that type of stuff. I mean those are just all the things -- we got to have this discussion. I don't want to bog it down more, you know, because we need to get in depth in all of this. And we can certainly have those discussions, but it's the, whatever the will of the group is we need to come up with something, and the topic that we need to make sure that we focus on with this is SROs, law enforcement officers, armed personnel, because it all is within the rubric, it's all within that framework.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

And there will be time for all of the other recommendations, but we really need to focus on what we want to do recommendation wise with all, with the cops.

2.

2.2

2.4

MR. SCHACHTER: The only thing is there is, you know, the SRO, armed guards, that's Option A. There is a Plan B out there that, that we're not considering, that the nation is not considering that I think needs to be talked about, and that's countermeasures to stop the attack.

CHAIR: So, let's -- let's try and keep ourselves in our lane, okay, because if we don't keep ourselves in our lane with these topics, we can go to that lane, but let's talk about, when we have this discussion let's talk about SROs, police officers, deputy sheriffs, armed personnel, let's keep ourselves here.

Then you said there's a Plan B, we can have that Plan B discussion, but let's not mix Plan B and Plan A because otherwise we're going to be all over the board and we're not going to get there. Senator.

SEN. BOOK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. In lines of somebody that may be helpful to us, I

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

think one of the things that Dr. Smith talked about that was very important to me was the list of the responses to the most lethal attacks, and really the only one was in Santa Fe when the SRO engaged in, in what was happening. And I understand that they're holding back some of their information, but perhaps we could reach out and see if that SRO, or somebody close to that situation could come, even in a closed session, to come and speak with us about what went right, what could have gone better, because this, when we look at the case studies this is what we have.

CHAIR: Okay, we can take a look at that and see. I don't know where they are. All right, so does anybody want to, or have any feelings about doing that differently, or have any thoughts on it? I just wanted to lay the process out there, and what my suggestion is as how we handle this for next session, next session. I've given you some of the basics that we have to cover, and then we'll frame this, and we'll start the discussion, and but we really need you all to come prepared, and again to white board it, and to answer all of

2.2

2.3

2.4

these questions, or anything else that's relevant to it.

But we're -- we're going to begin the discussion because we have to with what we think the role of the SRO should be, and that will have to drive it, because otherwise it's cart before the horse. Go ahead.

MR. SCHACTHER: I think along the lines of the SRO, and making those recommendations, we should consult Mo Canady, the Executive Director of NASRO, and maybe, because they just came out with best practices, Chair, so maybe there's some kind of methodology in there.

CHAIR: Okay, we can look at that.

Anybody else want to weigh in? Secretary

Carroll.

SEC. CARROLL: What I -- what I would hesitate getting involved, getting bogged down in, because there's no real data, is around the ratio, around how many school resource officer, guardians should have in every school. But what I do think we can do is set a standard, and Sheriff Ashley mentioned it, the standard ought to be that you ought to have the capacity in the school to respond immediately, and

2.2

2.3

2.4

whatever that capacity looks like at a school that should be the, that that should be the standard, rather than trying to get into some arbitrary mathematical ratio, which would I think just get bogged down.

CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM. SWEARINGEN: Thank you, Chair.

Since part of this is going to involve the job task analysis that Dean Register and his folks are doing I'll have him here at that meeting as well, just in case there are any questions or

CHAIR: Okay, yeah, because they are -FDLE is doing a job task analysis for SROs,
which the job task analysis goes hand in hand
with the decision about a recommendation,
because it depends upon what the scope of the
recommendation is. I mean that, that's
extremely relevant to what they're doing, and
the feedback they're getting. Yes.

MS. SKINNER: I can't be here in
September, so I wanted to say in this forum so
I don't violate any Sunshine Laws trying to
offer my opinion, but I think that serious
consideration needs to be given to a hybrid

model, just based on all the information we've learned so far, and Sheriff Ashley's point that you need to decide, we need to decide what is, what time frame of a response do we need, like Secretary Carroll mentioned. So, I just want to put that on record.

CHAIR: Okay. Commissioner Dodd.

MR. DODD: I think -- I know we said, you have on the list District School Superintendents, that the Florida Association of District School Superintendents, FADSS, I think it would be a good avenue to look at their president maybe coming here also. I also -- I agree on the hybrid model, and on what we have to look at with guardians in addition to school resource officers. One of the things we need to discuss is what if a county is unable to have a quardian program because the sheriff, as the way the bill is written now, is not in favor of a quardian program, and so that to me is an issue that we should address as a commission, because if a district school board wishes to pursue a quardian program and a sheriff is opposed to it the way I understand it now is you cannot proceed with a guardian

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1 program.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: Right, that's correct.

MR. DODD: And I would like to have that addressed, because if we're going to look at that model of having SROs and guardians, or a hybrid model, a school board employee trained by a sheriff able to be on a campus in addition to a school resource officer, that's what I would be in favor of.

CHAIR: Okay. All right, anybody else?
No. Yeah.

SEC. DALY: So I do think it's important to have some members from FASRO here, and I think it would be good to have a mix of people from both urban and rural communities, because I think their, their needs are much different, and their resources are much different, be it, you know, timing of getting from one end to the county to the next, and vice versa. So, I think having a group from FASRO here that represents both urban and rural would be important.

SHER. ASHLEY: Maybe just not FASRO but NASRO as well.

MR. SCHACTHER: Yeah, Mo Canady is the

executive director, and I was with him a couple days ago. He would more than gladly, you know, come down.

CHAIR: Okay, Sheriff.

SHER. JUDD: Let -- let me off with some caution that depending on who you bring from FASRO, they're subject matter experts on officers on campus doing a job, but they're not administrators, and they can tell us all kinds of things absent financial considerations, local political considerations, local agency considerations, so be cautious when you bring a group of police officers here wanting their input on how to administratively structure a response team. That's not their expertise.

They can explain to you the difficulty in being on a campus and getting from point A to point B, but then suggesting, well, what do you suggest, and they go, well, six officers a campus. Well, that's great, and we can inculcate that into some kind of rule, and then we're all wasting our time here because it comes back to sustainability, accountability, finances. And that's why we've got to -- that's why we've got to operate in the real

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

world, and it's as diverse as our cities and counties, and states are, so one size doesn't fit all.

And I'll -- and I will quote Commissioner
Blackburn here, he said what we have to do is
have a standard, a state wide standard
inculcated by state law saying you've got to do
this, and here's the ways you can do it, but
you got to get there, or leave that up to the
individual county and -- but to think FASRO can
come here and be the end all, and the subject
matter expert on anything other than their
response from one end of the campus to the
other, or their day to day interactions and
requirements of their jobs, is, is allowing us
to, to not be able to make the right
administrative decisions.

CHAIR: Yeah, I mean there reaches a point where you got to taking input and you have to, because you're going to have a lot of different opinions, and you just got to stop and say, okay, thank you, we've heard enough, and we just need to make a decision and move on from it. I mean we'll, I'll allow plenty, plenty of time, but we're going to walk away from that

2.2

2.3

2.4

next meeting because we don't have time to kick it into October. We're going to walk away with, with our recommendations.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So, you know, and, you know, for some people, again, they're bringing, Sheriff Judd's, they're bringing their perspectives, and some of them, and I'm not saying that they're wrong, but some of this has to, the model has to change to some degree I think, is that what some of them are going to advocate for making sure that the SROs are staying in the classrooms, making sure they're doing the mentoring, because they believe in what they're doing. And I'm not detracting from what they're doing, but, you know, what's the priority, is the priority safety, security, making sure that they can deal effectively with an active assailant, or is there a priority to do the traditional role and, you know, and balanced against, is that you're not going to have six on a campus, it's not going to happen. Go ahead.

MR. SCHACTHER: I would impress upon the commissioners to think about if you have a hardened school that is well protected you will

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

need less law enforcement there, and I think in the end you will save a tremendous amount of money as well.

CHAIR: So, okay, ten years from now, because you're not going to harden all these schools, and have the resources to do it, and be able to do it when you've got thirty-five hundred schools across Florida. You are not going to in the next month, two months, six months, year, you're not going to harden these schools. That's just a fact, and a reality, so if you want to do something fast, and you want to do something that is impactful, this is the way to do it.

But, you're correct, but you're looking at years before that can be done, years, because it's not going to happen overnight, it can't.

MR. SCHACHTER: I understand.

CHAIR: So -- all right, so we'll look at some of these people, we'll bring them in, you know, ask some of them to come for a limited role, and to get their input, and but if you call would come up with your ideas and thoughts, we'll do the white board, just open it up to everybody, and we'll walk through it.

2.2

2.5

I'll probably, you know, just based on this discussion I'm thinking we'll probably allocate a couple hours for it to be able to get through it, so we have adequate time to, to move through it.

So, all right, let's move on to another topic here before we move into closed session. Another thing, and I'll just mention this now, it's probably a good time to do it, and I'm going to ask you all for some input maybe later today, or certainly by the next meeting is, is that we need to start thinking about who it is that you want to bring in before the commission as witnesses to either provide information or to ask questions of.

So, I've already said, and for sure, that we're going to bring Superintendent Runcie in, we're going to bring Sheriff Israel in, and offer Chief Perry to come in. We may need to bring Steve Ronik in, who is the CEO of Henderson, but I need you all to think about who else, if you haven't already, that you want to be there either to present where you can hear it first hand, because again, our investigators are interviewing a lot of people,

2.2

2.3

2.4

we're not bringing all these people in, but there are some, high level people especially, or some people that are key that you may want to yourself ask questions of. So, I'm not looking for answers to that right now, I'm not looking for names, but I am asking that you start giving that some thought, and some consideration so that we can take those requests and start planning that as we move forward.

So, as we segue way into -- pass these around. As we seque way into this afternoon, and the chronology that we're going to go through, I say it again, it is unfortunate because I think the community would benefit from it, to be able to have access to what you're going to hear this afternoon, but a lot of it is school records, a lot of it is mental health records, and a lot of it is confidential Some of it, of what's in there, are records. law enforcement records. The law enforcement records that are in there are not confidential or exempt, and I want to share this with the commission in open forum, but what is in here will also be in the PowerPoint that you see

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1 this afternoon.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

And the reason I want to do this is open forum is because I think it's beneficial for everybody to hear this, about the contacts that the Broward County Sheriff's Office had with Nikolas Cruz and/or Linda Cruz and/or Zachary Cruz, and that you know what those contacts We now have all the records. We have a are. synopsis, a summary of it, and you have that in front of you. As has been reported in the media is that there were forty-three contacts between the Broward County Sheriff's Office and the Cruz's while they lived here in Broward County. Of those forty-three contacts twenty-one of those contacts involved Nikolas Cruz alone, or Nikolas and Zachary Cruz. Twenty-three of the incidents involved only Zachary Cruz.

Of the twenty-one that involved Nikolas
Cruz most of those, as you'll see, are minor,
and really didn't warrant any further law
enforcement action. A couple of the incidents
should have been followed up more than they
were, and those are currently the subject of an
internal affairs investigation within the

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

Broward County Sheriff's Office. The category, categories of the twenty- one incidents are laid out for you in the summary that you have in front of you, and nine of those were complaints about children who were fighting, meaning Zachary and/or Nikolas were fighting, juvenile type activity, or running away from home.

Court of them I'd characterize as domestic related, in that it was Nikolas acting out toward Linda, his mother. One of them was simply a follow up from a previous run-away call. One of those was to do with the, and you heard about that this morning from DCF, was the September 16th call that was out there. The one where he was, where Nikolas Cruz was shooting at chickens.

The next one is a complaint, and there were Instagram posts involving guns. Those are -- those two incidents, and those involve the internal review by Broward. Now, in those there are additional things that should have been followed up on. One was a field interview report, or FIR as it's called, where Cruz was riding his bicycle without lights. You'll see

2.2

2.3

2.4

that here in a second, and a deputy stopped him, just a normal law enforcement contact. And then the last two that are on there about family friends calling and warning about Nick Cruz, Nikolas Cruz, and that again should have received some follow up.

So just we'll go through these briefly, so you can see the context, and you can see the nature of these. The first event, which was when he was ten years old is, is that Joelle Guaerno called Broward County Sheriff's Office, involved that Nick hit her child, and both parents agreed not to pursue the matter, so again it's just two children fighting. He was ten. It was ten years ago, and nothing else that needed or should have been done.

The next event was in May of '12. Cruz was thirteen, and Linda called because her teenage children were out of control. Event three, children throwing water balloons. Event four, children fighting and not listening to their mother. Event five, Linda called because her two teenage children Nikolas and Zachary were cursing and being disrespectful. So again these, this is what Broward was out there for.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And, you know a lot has been made about all these contacts, but now you're seeing what the nature of the contacts were, and event six is, is that she called the police because her two teenage children were fighting about the computer.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Event seven, Nikolas hit Linda with a plastic hose from the vacuum. Apparently, he left home, and that call was at 12:25 on November 17th, I'm sorry, November 27th at 12:00. Looking at event eight, at 3:25 and he had now returned home. Go to the next one, you know, Linda called because her two teenage children, and they leapt out a window and had run away. Event ten, Cruz threw objects in the home, and that he was angry because he lost the privilege to play video games, he locked himself in his bedroom. When the deputies got there, he was cooperative. Henderson also responded that day.

Event eleven, Linda called because her kids were missing again. They were located at a nearby residence. Event twelve, Linda called because of a verbal altercation with Nikolas. Event thirteen, Linda called because of a

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

verbal argument with her two sons. Now we get to the shooting of the chickens on November 20, 2014, and he is sixteen years old at that point, and the complainant whose chickens were shot did not wish to pursue charges because she found out that he was, quote, developmentally delayed, and she didn't want to pursue it.

The next event, and this is one that there could and should have been some follow up with. This is a female that requested to remain anonymous. She reported that Cruz had posted weapons on his Instagram page, and had threatened to commit a school shooting. So, you have an anonymous complaint that Cruz posted on Instagram that he was going to commit a school shooting. This was in 2016 when he was seventeen years old, and it was reported that he attended Stoneman Douglas.

Now, just keep in mind that, as it says here, is that remember is that even assuming that this was able to be verified, and you have an anonymous complainant in this, is that even assuming that they were able to investigate it and determine that this was a threat where he was a danger to himself or others, the law at

2.2

2.3

2.4

that time would not have permitted them to seize any firearms from him. If they had Baker Acted him at the time the law would not have permitted a seizure of firearms.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

And at that time, remember, remember Judge Leifman's presentation, all what we heard is, is that the most that would have happened is, is that he would have been Baker Acted for evaluation, and that there's no doubt that he would have been released within that evaluation period. And he was already at that time, you'll see more of this this afternoon, as you heard more last month, is that at that time, and, you know, Secretary Carroll I think has mentioned this about the level of services, is that he was already getting services from Henderson, so if he had been Baker Acted at that time and brought to the receiving facility, and they knew he was already under mental health care, what else are they going to do?

And so, and if you can get into this, and I don't know, Bruce, if you want to touch on this at all, but the law at the time also is that he posted, even arguing -- and here you

got an anonymous complainant, you got no
evidence, they weren't able to verify the
Instagram post, and so you got somebody that
says it happened who wants to remain anonymous,
first of all you can't, you couldn't prove the
case, but two, the law at that time had a
transmission element for these types of
threats, so there's a question about whether
the transmission element would have been met,
and from a state attorney perspective, from a
prosecution perspective, you got no
complainant, and you got no evidence.

2.2

Right. So, there is -- so you got to put it in context of what the law was at that time. Yeah, go ahead.

MR. SCHACHTER: One thing that just pops into my head is that the reporting app Safe To Tell, they encourage the people when they call in to take a picture of their Instagram post, so maybe if we would have had that evidence, you know -- I know it's a lot of ifs and maybes, but we have to have some --

CHAIR: Right. But I'm just laying out for you what happened. This was -- again, this was going back to 2016, and we got to keep in

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

mind what the law was, and what the situation was at that time. So, but anyway, nonetheless is, is that that incident is under investigation by the Broward Sheriff's Office internal affairs as to the deputy and what he did or didn't do at that time.

So, if you go to the next event, event sixteen, this has to do with the behavioral threat assessment, we'll talk more about that, when they responded out to the house. seventeen is when adult protective services was there. You heard about that this morning. Event eighteen is again Instagram, and again it's under investigation by BSO, and this woman Joelle Guaerno called BSO because Cruz had posted photographs on Instagram with knives and a possible firearm. The photograph was removed. It was never observed by law enforcement. Cruz denied the Instagram post when he was interviewed about it, but again that is currently under investigation by BSO internal affairs as to whether the deputy could or should have taken it to the next level.

Then you've got the FIR, event nineteen, again he was just riding his bike with no

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

headlight, law enforcement contact. Event twenty, this is the day Linda Cruz died. was on November 1, 2017, Catherine Blaine who lives up north in Connecticut, she called BSO and reported that Nikolas had weapons, and that he was supervising Zachary. The weight of this is - - and we've had investigators go up and talk to her and looked at this -- this is more of a welfare check of Nikolas and Zachary because their mother died, and she has, to a large degree, recanted her statement about the guns and reporting this, but her intention was really just to say that Nick and Zachary needed to be looked after, and it was more asking for a welfare check on Nikolas and Zachary than it was anything else.

Then event twenty-one, which is again under review by the Broward Sheriff's Office internal affairs. This is what most of you are familiar with, where Mary Hamill, she's the same person who called the FBI, called from New York, and she reported that Cruz had weapons and wanted to join the military to kill people when his mother had died, and that incident is under investigation by the Broward Sheriff's

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 Office.

2.2

So those are the forty-three -- and again these, the ones that I just went through are the twenty-one that involved Nikolas and/or Zachary. The remaining forty-three are solely about Zachary. But that is Broward Sheriff's Office contact with the Cruz family, and summaries of it. Anybody have any questions?

MR. SCHACHTER: I just want to make sure Fortify Florida has the ability that they can send pictures of these Instagrams, that's so critical.

CHAIR: Fortify Florida is the app that is being developed now by the Department of Education, and hopefully by the end of the year, I know they're in their RFP process on it, so it's being developed. All right, so that will -- let's get that out, and then that will help us as we go through this afternoon.

So, before we -- did anybody -- we're going to take public comment, we have to here before we go into closed session. And then we're going to read the announcement going into closed session. We're going to need to take a break for a few minutes so that they can remove

the cameras, and then we'll come back into closed session and we'll begin passing out the books with the chronology and go from there.

So that will be the plan is, so before we do public comment do any of the commissioners have anything they want to raise, or bring up?

Okay, so Christina Braziel, public comment.

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

MS. BRAZIEL: Thank you everybody again for being here, and for listening. So, I'm so thankful that you're looking, and drilling down into the SROs, and that to what it is that you are actually are thinking that you want to help and protect on our campuses, and how that process is going to work, and there's a lot to drill down. And there's a lot to drill down on the relationships with our school, with the district.

And I'm just going to say to you looking at the process my experience with Broward County Public Schools just the year before February 14th massacre, I'd like to try to share a little bit with you, and I'm identifying right now with Nikolas Cruz's mom in this process, and the number of people that

2.2

2.3

2.4

then come into our lives. And when you have trauma, and when you have abuse, and you are seeking help from law enforcement, if we don't have trained and qualified people that are there to meet and greet that need, or that situation, if we don't have, if we're using bad practices, and we're just going to look at this person as they're reporting something, they're a little deranged, they don't really understand, if you're not going to use tools to drill down and hear when they're trying to report an incident then maybe the person who was anonymous wouldn't be anonymous anymore if they felt like they were safe and secure to share the information that they truly knew was there for another person.

So, I thank you that, that you all have listened. I want more public to come forward. But the district needs to realign their processes the way that DCF has taken. My -- personally our family had a situation, and I pursued it with the district at every single level, and they are not paying attention. Staff is -- and they are actually, because, you know, when people don't like to be wrong, or

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

they want to hide things because they think their behavior isn't acceptable, if they don't have a huge guideline, process that they have to go through, it's a lot easier for them to do that.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And I'm sorry, I'm going to put in writing my experience, the three years with the district prior to the February 14th incident, and law enforcement in two different municipalities, and what transpired, and I hope that you, committee, can look at that, and look at ways so that it can help the solution, so that what happened to my family would never happen again, and so that we can take this culture with law enforcement and mental health and blend it together.

CHAIR: All right, thank you for your comments. Michael Sirbola.

MR. SIRBOLA: Yes, hello, commission, and thank you for all of your efforts. These MSD parents and their children are woke. They're stepping in because they have to. MSD parents do not trust your, our instincts. Your instincts are no different than anyone else's in this room. Listen to your children. They

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

haven't been subject to the same childhood amnesia that we all suffer.

And by the way that's a real thing, and we all do suffer it, and it keeps us from moving forward. But we are there. We have the science. We have -- that's part of the reason you're here, is to reach out to places that, that haven't been reached out to before, and to bring them to the public's attention. This is d,j... vu for me. I once convened something similar to this. We had a shooting, it was the first time in the country I think someone had brought a gun into the school, and a few years they had a high suicide rate, tried to get all the people together in the community, public and private, to share data so we could see what the spike was.

It was a mad house because at the time it had just come out that these brain changes that occur as a result of trauma are lasting and real, and everyone in the room had the impression I was telling they were all brain damaged, because as you're probably aware a very high percentage of first responders have suffered trauma and, and these changes that

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

make us think differently, a little bit more black/white, a little bit more reactive. You think but that's not damage, that's so we can survive the way the world really is.

Well, let's look around us. Do we want a world where we have to be in an arm's race with our children, because if we don't then to change, it's about, let's face it, no one feels safe if there's a guy with an M-16 behind you. Why is he there if it's so safe, just for decoration, or there's something dangerous out there? If we want to change our schools and make them fundamentally safe, we have to make them the last place that anyone would think to go do harm. You don't send fifty or sixty thousand of them to external detention, you don't treat them with disrespect.

If you want to see what I mean by all this watch Beyond Scared Straight. Anyone in a uniform of any type should want to rip it off with their teeth after watching how we treat children. That is our attitude towards children. We think that is helping them on that show. Go watch that show, it's very disturbing. That's where we're starting from,

2.2

2.3

2.4

and you will hopefully take us from that craziness to a place of sanity.

At one time there used to be a show called Honeymooners, everyone would laugh when a three- hundred-pound guy would get in the face of a small little woman and threaten to knock her to the moon. Our concept at that time of what a woman was was an abused woman, because even the woman said, oh, yeah, she might, might have needed to be knocked around a little bit, you know, to calm her down. Our concept of what a woman was was an abused woman. Our concept for what a child is can change in this same way thanks to your good efforts if you step up to the plate.

Ask the CDC to do some research. Ask the National Science Foundation to do some studies into what effective education is because there is no research. If you're not aware of it there is no research on best education practices, none, it's all for special needs students, and things like that, because no one wants to be talked to about how to care for our children because we still treat them like possessions.

2.2

2.3

2.4

They are not possessions, they are in fact better than us in many ways which we do not yet acknowledge. We can make change. You can make change. You can step outside of the little box that you were all put in and ask for some of these things, okay --

CHAIR: Okay, Mr. Sirbola, you're done. Thank you.

MR. SIRBOLA: Thank you very much.

CHAIR: Okay, as we did last time before we go in closed session Florida Law requires that I read this into the record. This meeting requires us to hear or discuss active criminal investigative information, active criminal intelligence information, and/or other information that is confidential and exempt under Florida law. Because of this under the authority of Florida Statute 943.687(8) the meeting is closed to the public and exempt from Florida's Sunshine Law found at Florida Statute 286.011 and Section 24(b) Article I of the State Constitution.

The required written declaration of the Commission Chair will be entered into the commission minutes. Only authorized commission

members, commission support staff, and persons otherwise specifically authorized by the chair may attend this meeting. We will not reconvene today in public meeting. We will take a break and begin the closed portion of the meeting as soon as we can. Thank you for your consideration.

So what we're going to do now, and I apologize to the commission members for this, but we got behind this morning, and what we had intended to do was, was to break this morning and come back this afternoon right into closed session, and the reason why I'm apologizing is we're going to have to break for a few minutes while the Florida Channel removes their It's going to take them whatever time it takes them to do that. I know they're going to do it as expeditiously as they possibly can, but we're not going to be able to convene, and reconvene, until they're able to do that, so I envision somewhere probably twenty, thirty minutes it's going to take them. But we had intended to do this during lunch so that we didn't have this down time, but unfortunately schedules are what they are.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

So, take a break until they can remove the cameras, and then we'll come back as soon as they are finished, and we'll get going with this afternoon, so thank you. (Thereupon, the public meeting concluded.) 

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Page 180
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	(STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	(COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
5	
6	I, NATHANIEL TORO, Reporter, certify that I was
7	authorized to and did report the foregoing
8	proceedings and that the transcript is a true and
9	correct transcription of my notes of the
10	proceedings.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Nathaniel Toro
16	<del></del>
17	NATHANIEL TORO, Reporter
18	Commission: GG 111434
19	Expires: 06/04/2021
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

[06/04/2021 - active] Page 181

0	<b>2002</b> 10:18	<b>744</b> 108:4,5	<b>abuse</b> 100:3 101:1
<b>06/04/2021</b> 180:19	<b>2008</b> 10:22 52:10	<b>75</b> 103:23	103:13 118:23
1	<b>2010</b> 19:24	8	119:5 124:17,19
	<b>2014</b> 26:23 37:17	<b>8</b> 177:18	131:5 133:12 172:2
<b>1</b> 145:1 169:3	165:3	<b>80</b> 81:20	<b>abused</b> 123:22
<b>10</b> 71:6	<b>2015</b> 37:17 56:10	<b>80's</b> 54:6	176:8,12
<b>111434</b> 180:18	<b>2016</b> 24:16 27:6	<b>81</b> 52:10,11	abusing 124:22
<b>119</b> 110:2	110:24 113:8	9	academic 83:24
<b>11:15</b> 98:5	165:16 167:25		academy 54:24
<b>12</b> 2:25 4:2,6,11	<b>2017</b> 169:3	9 1:9	55:3,7
6:25 7:16,19 24:14	<b>2018</b> 1:9 52:14	90's 54:7	accept 105:9
24:21,22 50:8 63:8	<b>2019</b> 87:21 89:9	<b>911</b> 71:18	123:11,12 129:9
147:19 163:17	<b>24</b> 177:21	93 9:8,12	acceptable 173:2
<b>1200</b> 145:18	<b>24th</b> 113:15	<b>943.687</b> 177:18	accepts 127:13
<b>12:00</b> 164:11 <b>12:25</b> 164:9	<b>27th</b> 164:10	<b>96</b> 9:18	access 14:9 52:6
<b>12:25</b> 164:9 <b>12:30</b> 136:24 137:8	<b>28</b> 24:16 110:24	<b>98</b> 5:10,16	59:12 68:15 118:1
13 95:9	113:8	<b>99</b> 5:14	134:6,9,23 160:16
13 93.9 14th 2:12,13	<b>286.011</b> 177:21	a	accidentally 8:16
171:22 173:8	<b>28th</b> 113:16,20	<b>a.22</b> 9:5	accomplished 2:15
<b>15</b> 73:10	3	abandonment	accountability
<b>16</b> 175:9	<b>30-6</b> 20:8	100:4 101:1 118:23	77:10 83:23 155:23
<b>16th</b> 92:23 162:15	<b>32</b> 1:21	119:5	accurate 10:25
<b>17381</b> 180:15	<b>33323</b> 1:7	abandons 46:7	13:8 95:17,19
17th 8:22 164:10	<b>39</b> 99:15 103:1,2	<b>ability</b> 11:19 55:11	130:18,20 131:22 accusation 80:7
1800's 6:1	<b>39.202</b> 110:6	60:15 70:18 81:25	accusations 122:17
<b>1927</b> 6:9	<b>3:25</b> 164:11	92:1,4 101:8	achieve 5:4
<b>1966</b> 6:23	4	104:13 129:2,6	achieve 3.4 acknowledge 177:3
<b>1984</b> 7:20	<b>415</b> 102:22,25	170:10	act 23:23 80:14
<b>1992</b> 9:2	103:2,4 108:9	<b>able</b> 17:19 20:10	85:11 92:4 96:20
<b>1994</b> 9:15	127:5,10	56:4 61:18 85:2	107:14 108:8 124:2
<b>1997</b> 10:2	<b>44</b> 108:4	95:23 106:21	124:3 130:12
<b>1998</b> 5:10 18:4 19:6	<b>49th</b> 7:22	111:13,23 115:5	acted 90:3 166:3,8
39:3 54:2	5	120:18,20 121:2	166:17
<b>1:00</b> 136:17 137:8,8		128:21 154:7	acting 112:16
137:9,13	<b>59</b> 52:12	156:16 158:7 159:3	162:10
<b>1:1000</b> 140:14	7	160:16 165:21,23	action 20:9 36:5
2	<b>70</b> 103:23	167:2 178:19,20 absent 155:10	161:22
<b>2</b> 145:1	<b>70's</b> 54:5		actions 43:5 148:10
	<b>7026</b> 135:4,15	absolutely 51:1	active 2:25 4:2,6
1 20 163:7	7020 133.4,13	130.10 133.2 2	active 2.23 4.2,0
<b>20</b> 165:2	7020 133.4,13	130:19 133:2,2	46:8,14 53:18 68:1
20 165:2	1020 133.4,13	130:19 133:2,2	,

## [active - announcement]

75:24,25 76:22	adjust 89:4	<b>affect</b> 135:9,10,10	alarm 15:2 18:14
91:4,10 124:9	administrative	<b>afford</b> 77:12,14	18:16 42:23 48:22
138:16 139:11	156:17	85:14,17	139:13
157:18 177:13,14	administratively	affordability 77:10	alaska 10:3
actively 124:10,22	155:14	afternoon 113:21	alex 97:16
activities 55:19	administrators	114:4 129:12	alice 92:6
56:11 83:8 104:14	16:17 18:11 34:1	131:25 132:6	
			allegations 118:22
106:22 111:14,24	53:8 83:9 88:25	160:12,17 161:1	124:21 128:10,12
120:19 121:3	155:9	166:12 170:19	alleged 112:13
122:24 123:8	adolescents 30:8,10	178:12 179:4	119:22 124:16
128:22	adopted 53:21 54:4	<b>afternoon's</b> 136:13	alleging 110:25
activity 131:3	adult 31:7 60:25	age 16:1,20 17:24	allocate 142:22
137:2 162:7	98:11,15,22 99:1	22:25 23:2,4,13,16	143:3,16 159:2
actors 81:20	99:18 101:22	24:2 28:17 30:7	allow 14:10 83:13
acts 81:23 82:16	102:21,23 103:3,6	37:20 50:6,10,10	128:24 135:16
actual 97:21	104:4,10,10,25	50:11,13 51:2,11	156:24
144:15	105:5,12,18,23	62:16 99:25 104:13	allowed 124:23
<b>add</b> 50:7	107:6,17,19 108:18	118:16 134:12	allowing 156:15
<b>added</b> 39:21	109:3,10,11,18,21	<b>aged</b> 37:19	<b>allows</b> 65:2 127:5
addition 14:18	110:4 111:1,16	<b>agencies</b> 60:16 96:3	135:6
23:17 153:15 154:7	113:19 114:11,19	96:6 139:12	altercation 164:24
additional 111:20	115:1,16,25 117:5	<b>agency</b> 56:19	alternative 101:20
112:3,22 132:3	118:12,19 120:4,10	155:11	ameliorated 108:1
142:1 162:22	121:4,23 122:6,7	agenda 78:13	<b>amish</b> 21:21 22:16
<b>address</b> 2:23 3:7	122:15,21 123:17	136:12 137:17	ammunition 20:2
89:9 153:21	123:25 124:12	ages 28:16	21:10 58:11 59:24
addressed 55:22	125:18 128:21	<b>aging</b> 104:19,20	ammunitions 58:15
58:22 136:4 154:4	129:1,5,7 130:21	<b>ago</b> 50:21 51:7	amnesia 174:2
addressing 32:12	131:6 132:24	155:2 163:15	amorphous 12:15
84:13	133:14 134:3	<b>agree</b> 68:11 81:8	amount 19:17,20
adequate 101:14	168:11	153:14	47:15 59:23 142:23
106:6 159:4	<b>adulthood</b> 115:5,14	agreeable 127:13	143:4 158:2
adequately 87:7	116:4	<b>agreed</b> 163:13	analysis 39:25
106:2	adults 80:16	<b>ahca</b> 1:17	64:18 67:7 152:9
adjacent 42:22	116:11,15	<b>ahead</b> 64:4 91:14	152:14,15
44:2	<b>advance</b> 5:1 23:16	98:7 132:9 133:21	analytics 140:20
adjudicated 33:8	137:25 138:1	137:15,24 139:19	anger 88:24
adjudication 44:20	advise 123:9	140:25 142:7 151:7	<b>angry</b> 164:16
adjudicatory 13:5	advocate 157:10	157:22 167:15	announced 83:13
20:1 33:9	affairs 161:25	airports 79:25	announcement
	168:5,22 169:19	_	170:23

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

announcements	appointments	142:5 145:11	120:1 124:3,4
42:24,24	112:8 115:11 121:9	148:23 149:6,18	129:24 135:18
anonymous 63:11	appreciate 21:25	arrest 40:11,12	168:9
165:11,14,22 167:1	63:24 98:4,14	<b>arrested</b> 12:11 48:6	assessments 10:18
167:4 172:13,13	136:7	<b>arrests</b> 34:10 41:17	63:9
answer 24:4 75:4	apprehended 9:7	arrival 47:4	<b>assigned</b> 7:9 34:4
76:9 84:23 97:17	approach 60:14	arrived 48:1	34:19,22 35:3
97:23,24 114:23	81:12	arrives 47:22	89:25 91:3
116:4 121:18 132:3	approached 36:3	<b>art</b> 47:5	assignment 34:25
134:14 135:2,13	approaches 61:22	article 177:21	assist 123:9 127:15
144:3 150:25	approaching 81:13	artificial 39:23	assistance 111:20
answering 94:16	appropriate 55:21	ashley 1:16 84:15	111:21
answers 132:2	62:16 86:20 105:15	84:16,25 85:4 86:6	<b>assistant</b> 1:13 27:5
134:22 160:5	106:9 107:12	132:9,10 133:1	98:9,18
anti 55:23	139:24	144:20,21 151:23	assisted 106:14
anticipated 19:6	appropriately	154:23	assoc 1:23
anybody 27:1	77:20	ashley's 153:2	associates 64:17
117:19 124:21	aps 108:24 109:16	asked 75:21 120:9	association 140:16
143:25 144:2,6,8	117:21	asking 125:5,5	144:13 153:10
150:16 151:15	<b>apsi</b> 113:16	160:6 169:14	assumed 122:7
154:10 170:8,20	ar 73:10	assailant 2:25 4:2,6	assuming 125:4
anymore 123:15	arapahoe 59:18	157:18	132:11 165:20,23
172:13	arbitrary 39:17	assault 22:7	assumption 122:20
anyway 168:2	152:4	assaulted 17:10	attack 4:13,16,17
ap 122:1	area 22:19 42:18	assembled 73:12	5:7,16 6:11,16 7:19
apartment 7:23	58:3 66:19 91:1	assemblies 90:9	8:1,3,21 9:1,9,13
<b>api</b> 106:17 108:6,7	129:14	assembly 10:13	9:19 11:20 12:4,12
108:8,9 109:24	areas 42:14,15 43:1	assess 100:7 102:8	12:23 14:20 15:5
122:2 124:1,2	43:10 49:9 54:6	106:22 109:18	15:14,22 16:11,12
apologize 34:13	57:9,22 62:6 95:17	assessed 120:14,15	16:19,20,24 17:21
108:5 178:9	109:22	120:16 126:3	18:4 19:7,23 20:11
apologizing 178:13	arguably 141:20	assesses 101:10	20:13,20 21:7
<b>app</b> 167:17 170:13	<b>argue</b> 85:17 122:12	125:19	24:16 25:11,17
apparently 17:1	arguing 166:25	assessing 100:12	26:6,14 27:11 28:2
164:8	argument 165:1	104:1,1,2	30:3 32:4,7 34:6
appear 126:20	arkansas 18:5	assessment 10:20	37:24 38:1 39:5
appearance 15:1	<b>arm</b> 72:23 83:19	54:16 55:5 56:14	48:21,21,23 49:1,4
appeared 131:16	arm's 175:6	60:19,24 61:2 69:8	51:6 62:2,5,6,7
appears 41:15 67:5	<b>armed</b> 9:11 21:2	70:16 96:10 100:2	63:3 148:4 149:11
			1
apply 135:11,12	63:10 72:13,14	103:11,25 104:5	attacked 8:8,23

[attacked - better] Page 184

20.0.21.0.22.2.21	-444 J. 175 00	05 2 06 4 17 07 15	L 4 10 10
20:8 21:8 22:3,21	attitude 175:22	85:3 86:4,17 87:15	bathrooms 48:19
27:11 28:9 31:17	attorney 1:13	88:17 106:6 125:11	49:8
31:18 33:13 38:7	167:10	125:11,12 126:1,10	bb&t 1:4
38:15 41:25 49:18	attorney's 131:8	126:23 129:4	bear 109:24
49:20 94:19	auburndale 1:12	131:10 132:3 133:8	bearing 129:17
attacker 4:25 5:3,5	august 1:9 92:23	136:16 137:3	<b>bedroom</b> 164:18
5:7 11:19,24,25	austin 7:2	138:11 139:18	<b>began</b> 12:7 26:6
14:20 16:9 19:25	authority 177:18	143:3 146:3 150:7	31:15 36:8
21:18 22:3 23:1	authorized 108:8	155:23 167:25	beginning 5:11
24:17 37:24 39:2	177:25 178:2 180:7	171:1 178:12 179:2	46:13 62:22 89:9
47:23 48:6,10	<b>auto</b> 24:7,7 25:9	backed 47:18	<b>begins</b> 7:5 36:24
89:25	28:10 42:4,6	<b>background</b> 2:6	47:6 49:4
<b>attackers</b> 4:12 14:4	auto's 27:21	3:20 58:12 61:8	<b>begs</b> 87:8 145:6
17:24 22:22 25:18	automatic 31:12	backpack 17:8	<b>begun</b> 102:2
30:12,20 32:19	41:23 57:23	backup 48:11	behavior 25:17
33:17 34:3 41:3	<b>autos</b> 28:12	<b>bad</b> 50:18 65:14	52:20 67:4 80:9
50:2 51:13 53:18	availability 29:18	80:6 119:2 133:19	108:14 119:2,3,9
54:10 62:1,12	55:19	172:6	173:2
63:20 116:11	available 12:23	<b>baker</b> 108:8 124:2	behavioral 32:11
attacking 14:24	44:17 51:10 58:7	124:3 130:12 166:2	32:16 33:1,16
63:8	58:15 105:15 106:2	166:8,17	41:15 51:25 62:8
attacks 4:10,24 5:2	112:21 121:23	balance 115:7	168:8
5:12,13 7:18 8:25	123:17 135:15	balanced 157:20	behaviors 52:15
10:2 11:16,18 12:6	<b>avenue</b> 153:12	ballistics 147:25	66:4,6,7 67:1,2
12:19,21 14:2	averted 82:10,17	148:2	82:7
23:12,15 26:20	82:20	balloons 163:20	belief 22:6
27:18 37:7 38:25	<b>avoid</b> 66:18	bar 33:22	<b>believe</b> 70:7 80:7
40:3,8,9,10,13,23	aware 15:5 28:18	<b>barely</b> 145:14	131:22 140:11
49:7,24 50:9,19	28:25 29:7 65:11	barriers 133:25	144:2 157:13
62:15,20 63:16	82:4,5,23 94:6	135:6 136:3	believed 31:17
65:5 82:5,6 94:10	124:2 136:21	bartlett 1:13	59:11
94:12 150:4	174:23 176:19	<b>based</b> 22:5 25:17	believes 19:17
attempt 96:18,19	awful 49:25	67:6 69:20 120:7,8	beneficial 161:3
attempted 15:13	b	122:21 153:1 159:1	benefit 160:15
attend 178:3		basement 6:14	<b>best</b> 64:14 114:23
attended 165:18	<b>b</b> 141:9 149:7,19,20	<b>basic</b> 85:20	115:18 123:5
attendees 1:11	149:21 155:18	<b>basics</b> 150:21	151:12 176:20
attending 125:14	177:21	<b>basis</b> 67:10 124:4	<b>better</b> 132:7 134:13
attention 172:23	back 6:1 8:17 10:18	140:20 143:19	135:16,22 150:12
174:9	13:23 18:21 19:15	<b>bath</b> 6:9,10	177:2
	20:5 28:3 44:22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	61:15 75:20 78:3		

		I	I
<b>beyond</b> 32:16	<b>bomb</b> 6:18 8:13,16	171:6 174:9	cafeterias 49:8
38:13 56:17 67:11	17:13 51:3	bringing 130:17	cake 72:17
82:14 175:19	<b>bombs</b> 25:23 43:7,8	157:5,6 160:1	caliber 9:6
<b>bicycle</b> 35:5 162:25	54:12	<b>broad</b> 74:21	california 15:12
bifurcate 137:4	<b>bond</b> 29:8	<b>broke</b> 11:25 59:22	54:5
<b>big</b> 107:16 128:8	<b>book</b> 1:21 13:14	60:2	<b>call</b> 6:11 77:20
<b>bigger</b> 79:17,17	65:22,23 142:7,8	<b>brought</b> 5:7 91:21	99:16,19 100:1
<b>bike</b> 168:25	149:24	166:18 174:13	103:9 110:24
<b>bill</b> 91:5 96:25,25	<b>books</b> 60:4 137:23	broward 92:13	117:21 145:1
153:19	171:3	161:5,12,13 162:1	158:23 162:13,15
biologically 88:8	<b>borrow</b> 61:21	162:21 163:11,25	164:9 167:18
<b>bit</b> 16:1 114:7,13	<b>bother</b> 80:19	168:4 169:18,25	<b>called</b> 2:1 56:13
136:14 138:20	<b>bottom</b> 21:23	170:6 171:20	59:5,5 92:15 139:8
140:3 142:9 171:23	<b>bought</b> 20:1 29:8	<b>bruce</b> 1:13 166:23	162:24 163:11,18
175:1,2 176:10	97:8	<b>brute</b> 16:12 21:7	163:22 164:4,13,21
<b>bits</b> 62:23	<b>box</b> 145:15 177:4	<b>bso</b> 71:20,20 93:15	164:23,25 168:15
<b>black</b> 15:9 175:2	<b>brain</b> 104:19	113:24 168:14,15	169:4,21,21 176:3
blackburn 1:20	174:19,22	168:21 169:4	calling 163:4
83:1,2 156:5	<b>braziel</b> 171:7,9	<b>budget</b> 115:6,6,7	<b>calls</b> 71:18 113:19
<b>blaine</b> 169:3	<b>breach</b> 31:21 43:2	<b>build</b> 92:3	<b>calm</b> 176:11
blanks 2:19	breached 22:14	<b>building</b> 42:19,20	cameras 171:1
<b>blend</b> 15:3 173:16	42:15 47:7	42:21,22 48:7	178:16 179:2
<b>blind</b> 147:2 148:3	breaching 25:8	54:18 60:12 145:18	camping 18:8
<b>blinds</b> 146:22	break 27:1,22 30:5	146:1	campus 7:11 24:16
<b>blows</b> 6:19	43:12 49:14 60:9	<b>buildings</b> 70:3	76:6,7,11,17,19,19
<b>bluffed</b> 18:11	63:2 86:4,18 91:13	<b>built</b> 54:11	77:13,16 81:10,19
<b>blur</b> 116:8	98:5 136:16,19	<b>bulk</b> 104:21	86:11,14,15,22,23
<b>bo</b> 27:7,12	170:25 178:4,11,14	<b>bullied</b> 41:9	90:9 145:7,11
<b>board</b> 1:21,22 6:12	179:1	<b>bullies</b> 41:8	154:7 155:8,17,20
118:7 134:7 135:14	breaking 34:15	<b>bunch</b> 22:7 61:15	156:13 157:21
143:6,11 149:22	breaks 15:17 135:5	130:1	campuses 78:1
150:25 153:22	brentwood 95:8	burned 8:20	87:11 90:25,25
154:6 158:24	<b>brevard</b> 1:20 83:14	<b>burns</b> 6:16	171:14
<b>bob</b> 1:11	<b>bridge</b> 65:13	<b>bus</b> 141:20	<b>canady</b> 151:10
<b>body</b> 82:4	<b>brief</b> 49:25 98:23	<b>business</b> 61:8,10,11	154:25
<b>bog</b> 148:16	<b>briefly</b> 5:25 43:13	61:21 137:10	canisters 148:3
<b>bogged</b> 151:18	163:7	bystanders 32:1	<b>canyon</b> 15:9 16:24
152:5	<b>bring</b> 12:17 48:14	c	capability 146:25
<b>bolded</b> 57:16	132:2 143:6 155:6		capable 57:23
<b>bolt</b> 20:9,10 36:5,7	155:12 158:20	c 180:1,1	capacities 104:4
	159:13,17,18,20	cafeteria 25:24,24	
		26:1,2 38:6 53:2	

[capacity - child] Page 186

capacity 56:17	36:19,20 52:7,8	<b>cdc</b> 176:16	178:2
100:10 105:2,3,5,7	66:20 67:9,9 85:10	ceiling 17:5 53:4	chairman's 1:5
105:19,22 107:6,7	97:21 101:9 102:1	146:21 148:3	challenge 83:19
107:8 108:20	102:2 103:7 107:13	center 1:4	challenges 135:24
109:20 111:13	109:14,16 110:8,15	centers 57:11	chances 69:16
112:17 122:23	112:10,19 115:17	centerstone 1:16	<b>change</b> 5:11 50:10
123:7 129:1,7	120:2 121:24 122:6	central 9:13	70:7 74:14 77:4
151:24 152:1	122:16 123:13	<b>ceo</b> 1:16 159:20	87:3 97:2 118:13
capture 40:17	124:20 132:13	<b>certain</b> 66:7,10	147:17 157:9 175:8
71:10	133:20 144:24	67:1	175:12 176:13
captured 102:25	150:13 152:11	certainly 3:22	177:3,4
capturing 13:23	167:6	90:19 94:17 95:3	changed 67:20
care 104:15 111:17	cases 29:10,21,23	97:18 118:12	141:11
112:4 116:12	33:6 35:17 41:16	148:18 159:11	<b>changes</b> 15:19 59:6
122:14 137:10	55:25 71:8,9 72:13	certify 180:6	61:3 97:1 118:11
166:20 176:23	84:17 103:24	certs 133:10	135:9 174:19,25
<b>careful</b> 28:22 32:14	132:15,25,25 133:8	<b>cetera</b> 131:19,19	channel 178:15
46:4	<b>cash</b> 146:8	141:3	channels 55:12
caregiver 108:12	casings 58:17	<b>chair</b> 1:11 2:2	chapter 99:15
108:12,20,21,21	casualties 11:21	13:15,19,22,25	102:22,25 103:1,2
111:1,19 112:17	93:8	63:23 65:22 67:16	103:2,4 108:4,9
119:25 120:6 131:6	casualty 20:20	71:1 75:10 78:9	110:2,6 127:10
carjacked 31:16	27:11 87:25 89:22	80:24,25 83:1	characteristics
carnage 145:22	categories 162:2	84:15 86:3 89:13	10:12 41:5 42:9
carolina 20:18	categorize 16:9	91:12 94:4 98:3,7	62:1
<b>carried</b> 9:21 82:22	categorized 35:1	113:14 114:3	characterize 162:9
116:15	category 15:25	116:21 117:13	charged 36:6
<b>carroll</b> 1:18 67:16	21:20 22:10 35:3	118:4,20 119:15	charges 165:5
67:17 71:3 75:15	104:20 128:20	121:15 127:25	charters 147:20
116:22 121:16,17	162:1	128:7 129:10	<b>check</b> 115:6 169:9
123:24 124:25	catherine 169:3	131:21 133:21,22	169:15
127:16 130:9	cause 15:2 79:9	134:14 136:6,11	checklist 10:21
151:16,17 153:5	86:12 96:20	137:15 142:17	checks 58:12
166:14	caused 11:20	145:5 147:6,12,15	145:15
carry 11:19 20:20	145:22	148:7 149:12,24	chemical 61:12
116:19	<b>caution</b> 10:8 22:23	150:14 151:12,14	chickens 162:17
cars 31:16	144:16 155:6	152:6,7,13 153:7	165:2,4
cart 8:12 151:7	cautioned 10:9	154:2,10 155:4	<b>chief</b> 1:12,13,23
carved 103:1	cautious 155:12	156:18 158:4,19	18:23 159:19
case 7:13 9:16,20	cautiously 144:17	167:23 170:13	<b>child</b> 29:12 76:3
29:24 30:4 31:14		173:17 177:7,10,24	98:25 99:14,21

[child - commission] Page 187

100 0 10 10 17 20	• • • • • •	1 1 0 00	26 4 20 12 16 20
100:8,12,13,15,20	circuit 1:13	cleveland 8:22	26:4 30:12,16,20
100:24,25 101:4,6	circular 129:18	clicker 137:25	39:4 41:1,21 42:14
101:9,13,15,18,20	circumstance	climate 55:22,22,23	43:5 44:22 45:11
101:21,24,25 102:5	127:9	55:24 56:7 57:10	45:14,15 46:4,16
102:6,8,9,10,13,17	circumstances 59:9	60:11,13 61:5	47:19 50:16,17
102:24 103:1,8	102:3 127:6 128:19	65:17,19	51:22 53:15,17,20
104:3 107:14,17	135:3	climates 63:11	54:1,9,10,13,21,25
109:1,7,14,14,15	<b>cities</b> 156:1	climbs 7:4	62:18 67:21 97:4,8
109:17,25 110:3	citrus 1:21	<b>clock</b> 7:1,5	combine 138:21
113:9,10,18 114:23	city 1:12 79:13	<b>close</b> 13:2 53:15	combined 95:10
114:25 115:3,14,15	<b>civilian</b> 33:24,25	122:13 136:12,19	combining 139:6
115:25 116:2,25	40:17 63:10 71:10	150:9	<b>come</b> 3:1,17 5:13
118:20 119:1	84:19 85:6	<b>closed</b> 101:9	29:3 47:15 60:7
121:21 123:3	civilians 36:18	112:10,20 124:15	74:12 76:10 79:9
124:23 129:5	72:11 146:5	137:11 150:10	84:7 86:4,17 87:15
130:20 132:25	<b>claimed</b> 17:7 19:25	159:7 170:22,24	109:8 136:16
133:11,12,15	50:21	171:2 177:11,19	138:15,17 140:24
163:12 176:13	claiming 18:12	178:5,12	143:3,8,12,14,22
<b>child's</b> 76:3 102:12	clarification 98:13	<b>closer</b> 13:17 21:24	148:13,20 150:9,10
103:11,25	113:14	closet 47:7	150:24 155:3
childhood 174:1	<b>clarify</b> 116:22	<b>closing</b> 61:24 63:5	156:11 158:21,23
children 31:20	121:17	<b>club</b> 1:5	159:19 171:1 172:1
37:14 77:25 84:1	<b>class</b> 9:22 27:1 41:7	<b>coach</b> 9:25	172:18 174:19
88:7 89:11 94:7,21	<b>classroom</b> 17:4,6,7	<b>coat</b> 44:10,12 97:8	178:12 179:2
98:10,19 102:1	19:5 25:14 28:3	<b>code</b> 42:23,24	<b>comes</b> 88:16 99:16
109:1,2,10 162:5	36:15 37:1 42:12	cokeville 8:2,8	101:21,25 115:25
163:14,19,20,21,23	43:3 45:16,18 47:5	collateral 106:23	135:1 148:9 155:23
164:5,14 173:21,25	76:3 86:22,23	111:7 112:1 120:24	<b>coming</b> 130:4
175:7,22,23 176:24	142:14	130:14 131:2	153:13
children's 98:22	classrooms 16:18	colleagues 76:4	<b>comm</b> 152:7
choose 118:8	19:14,15 49:9 58:1	collect 82:10	<b>command</b> 54:2,3,8
chooses 94:24	62:7 141:15 157:12	collected 64:7	93:25
<b>chose</b> 21:4,4 29:23	<b>clean</b> 135:13	96:19	comment 50:16
30:1	cleaning 3:13	collecting 82:13	67:18 71:2 170:21
<b>chris</b> 1:12	<b>clear</b> 30:4 31:14	collective 65:20	171:5,7
christi 1:18	45:9,13 48:7 54:1	collectively 37:1	comments 83:3
christina 1:23	54:17 55:12 81:12	college 50:8	91:18 171:8 173:18
171:7	101:23 103:20	colorado 19:23	commission 1:2,11
chronological 48:8	cleared 47:21	59:19,20 92:16	1:23 4:15 12:21
chronology 160:13	<b>clearly</b> 30:6 33:21	columbine 5:14	45:15 53:16 54:14
171:3	69:13 71:7 125:9	12:22 25:16,16,18	57:5,16 60:10
	77 ' T		

87:19 88:3 91:20	communities 69:21	computer 164:6	congress 110:19
92:15,22 93:1,21	70:23 154:15	conceal 25:6	conjunction 130:9
114:8 136:25 137:2	community 6:15	concealed 25:10,13	<b>connect</b> 105:13,16
137:6 145:4 153:22	7:10 15:13 22:15	44:11 48:15,25	connecticut 169:4
159:13 160:24	22:16,20 32:6	<b>concept</b> 61:9 79:7	<b>consent</b> 105:2,3,5,7
173:19 177:24,25	37:16 58:24 59:1	79:22 176:7,11,13	105:23 123:7
177:25 178:1,9	59:13,15 69:20	<b>concern</b> 96:11,12	consequence 37:11
180:18	70:8,22 74:14	concerned 63:19	52:21 80:13
commission's 85:5	79:17 105:12,17	67:14	consequences
commissioner 1:14	106:25 160:15	concerning 52:15	63:16
1:17 67:21 75:15	174:15	67:2 93:6 96:13,14	<b>consider</b> 3:22 63:8
79:3 80:24 82:25	<b>commute</b> 142:11	119:3	141:1 143:22
83:1 87:17 89:13	companies 59:7	concerns 13:5	144:23 145:3
152:6 153:7 156:4	companion 18:15	41:13 62:8 66:22	consideration
commissioners 4:8	42:1	conclude 105:20	80:23 141:4 152:25
81:9 157:24 171:5	compared 23:1	concluded 179:5	160:8 178:7
commissions 53:15	37:15	concludes 113:4	considerations
65:9 93:22 96:23	comparison 108:24	conclusion 52:2	140:4 155:10,11,12
<b>commit</b> 8:6 17:19	compensate 84:12	64:9,11 113:11	considered 11:15
27:10 124:5 165:13	complainant 165:4	conclusions 28:22	14:6 50:20 62:13
165:15	165:22 167:1,12	48:13 65:1 74:21	83:15 90:11
commitment 83:16	<b>complaint</b> 128:2,10	condolences 99:9	considering 12:12
commits 35:20	162:18 165:14	conduct 57:12	149:8,9
47:24	complaints 118:21	123:7	consistent 74:16
committed 7:24	162:5	conducted 104:6	constitution 177:22
17:16,17 32:1	<b>complete</b> 52:7 84:3	130:25	constructed 31:12
37:25 38:12,17	84:3	conference 136:23	construction 20:4
40:4	completed 53:16	136:24 137:7	36:18
committee 173:11	<b>complex</b> 5:8 8:7	confidence 92:3	<b>consult</b> 151:10
<b>common</b> 12:13	79:10	confidential 110:5	contact 34:15
23:4 42:13,18 49:8	complexity 7:15	160:19,22 177:16	41:18 59:24 100:24
56:14 60:17 62:6	96:21	confined 28:17	106:25 111:7
62:10	compliant 121:7	confirmed 112:6	113:12 122:1,4
commonalities	complicated 61:16	conflict 6:4,6	128:5 163:2 169:1
89:16	134:21	confronted 16:16	170:7
commonality 89:17	complying 126:25	36:9,23 38:16	<b>contacted</b> 111:8,8,9
communicate	components 25:10	62:25 73:11	140:17
55:12	compound 47:5	confronting 75:18	contacts 106:23
communication	94:8	confronts 43:21	112:1 120:24 161:4
52:16 53:24 57:12	comprehensive 4:1	confusing 56:25	161:7,11,14,15
	81:1	6	164:2,3
			,
	Varitant I a		

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

[contain - dade] Page 189

<b>contain</b> 35:17 45:7	corner 18:13	101:6,12,18 111:11	critically 37:5
contained 47:12	<b>correct</b> 69:1 74:23	112:6,15,25 119:22	68:16
containing 48:10	89:19,23 95:15	126:5,9,10 131:6	cross 54:20
contemplate	119:10,11,21	131:11 135:8,17	crossed 35:9
128:18	127:22 130:19	court 32:22 102:1,2	crossfire 25:21
contemplated	154:2 158:15 180:9	102:10 107:13,15	cruz 73:8,19 98:13
23:18	cost 88:17,18	107:15,16,21	110:8,22,23 111:12
contemplation	counsel 1:12	110:12,14 127:7	113:6 117:21 120:3
23:20,22 49:2	counseling 141:17	162:9	120:15 122:18
<b>context</b> 5:24 11:16	counselor 85:21	cousins 38:5	123:20,21 124:5
40:25 163:8 167:14	100:1 103:10	cover 5:25 41:1	125:6 128:5,8,9
<b>continue</b> 3:6 115:3	counselors 66:12	57:17,18,19 58:8	131:15 145:18
118:17,19 136:17	83:8 84:4 112:2	136:18 150:22	161:6,6,7,16,16,18
continued 12:2	count 75:16,17	<b>covered</b> 3:17,18	161:20 162:16,24
49:16	counteract 148:14	32:20 57:8	163:5,5,17 164:15
continues 70:2	countered 62:17	<b>covers</b> 40:19	165:11,14 168:15
continuity 118:6	countermeasure	<b>cpi</b> 100:6 106:17	168:19 169:2,22
continuous 46:21	146:20	108:24 109:1,1	170:7
continuously 46:18	countermeasures	113:17	cruz's 2:13 161:13
continuum 52:15	25:4 149:10	crave 96:15,15	171:24
<b>control</b> 45:8 53:6	counties 116:25	craziness 176:2	crystalize 131:23
163:19	117:1 131:1 156:2	create 25:21 26:16	cultural 69:22
convene 178:19	<b>country</b> 2:24 95:7	45:1 55:11 66:14	<b>culture</b> 173:15
convened 174:10	174:12	79:23	<b>curious</b> 93:17 95:6
conversation	<b>county</b> 1:16,19,20	created 39:16	97:22 134:10
138:10 140:2	1:20,21,22 21:21	75:13	current 41:10
conversations	26:22 39:12 72:4	creating 22:24	83:22 87:2
138:12	83:14 88:25 92:13	credit 43:24	currently 147:13
convicted 30:20	153:17 154:19	creek 15:11 19:21	161:24 168:21
31:10	156:10 161:5,12,14	<b>crime</b> 95:7,17,20	<b>cursing</b> 163:24
convinced 19:19	162:1 163:11	95:20	custodian 40:18
cooperate 123:10	171:21 180:4	<b>criminal</b> 131:3,11	71:12
123:11,13	<b>couple</b> 16:7 31:16	177:13,14	custodians 9:17
cooperative 111:15	36:17 72:1 81:7	criminally 33:8	<b>custody</b> 101:22
127:2 164:19	83:22 89:15 90:2	crisis 111:4,25	102:1 109:8,9,13
coordinate 93:16	91:21 114:6 119:13	121:1	109:15
<b>cop</b> 74:3	126:7 143:17 145:9	criteria 11:21	<b>cutoff</b> 99:24
<b>cops</b> 71:25 149:4	147:9,9 155:1	107:4	d
<b>coral</b> 71:19 93:15	159:3 161:22	<b>critical</b> 55:9 65:5	<b>d</b> 174:10
<b>core</b> 3:8	<b>course</b> 28:8 31:7	170:12	dade 180:4
	39:7 100:7,18		uaut 100.4

<b>daily</b> 104:14	date 57:24	declaration 177:23	depends 135:2
106:21 111:14,24	<b>dates</b> 46:10	<b>decline</b> 101:8 105:9	152:17
120:18 121:2	daughter 81:6	108:19,22 129:2	deployment 53:22
122:24 123:8	david 21:24,24	decoration 175:11	63:14
128:22	day 34:4 38:18 49:3	dedicated 88:5	deposited 26:12
daly 1:18 154:12	81:6 84:8 86:11	<b>deemed</b> 104:24	<b>dept</b> 1:18
damage 27:3	89:17,18 127:8	deepest 84:13	<b>depth</b> 44:5 148:17
104:19 175:3	137:12 144:18	deer 15:10 19:23	deputies 164:18
damaged 174:23	145:10,10 156:14	defeat 51:15	<b>deputy</b> 20:7 149:17
dances 90:9	156:14 164:20	defeated 49:12	163:1 168:5,22
danger 10:21	169:2	defense 91:24	deranged 172:9
165:25	days 9:5 107:20,22	<b>define</b> 4:12 80:10	describe 67:1
dangerous 175:11	110:9 155:2	80:10	described 43:6
dashboard 137:19	<b>dcf</b> 1:18 98:11,15	defined 89:7	44:1 142:14
<b>data</b> 4:13,20 10:7	117:1 162:14	<b>definition</b> 4:16	describing 34:18
10:14 11:2,4,12,19	172:20	11:17 104:10 105:6	desensitized 69:23
11:22 12:8,10,20	<b>de</b> 36:12 124:20	119:25 120:4	70:4
12:23,24 13:1,8,9	<b>deal</b> 19:13,16 52:25	131:14,17	design 141:3
14:22,24 21:6	128:9 157:17	definitive 88:4	designed 66:21
23:10,19,21,24	<b>dealing</b> 26:18 29:1	degree 157:9	115:13 124:18
28:24 29:13,20	99:21 119:8	169:11	142:20
30:24 31:4 32:18	<b>dealt</b> 24:6,12	<b>delay</b> 43:24	desmond 1:20
32:19 33:12 34:9	<b>dean</b> 152:9	delayed 165:7	detailed 58:5
37:13 38:18 39:16	<b>death</b> 12:3 18:25	delivers 22:17	detector 43:19
39:19 44:13 46:11	19:17 38:1,22,23	<b>denied</b> 168:19	detectors 42:10
46:22 47:25 48:14	38:24 39:23 68:9	department 1:14	detention 175:16
49:24 50:4,25	90:14 110:14	3:24 14:7 96:4	determination
51:21 52:1,3,6 60:5	133:11	98:10,19 101:25	100:8,10,25 101:4
60:16 61:25 63:18	<b>deaths</b> 19:20 39:14	107:19 108:2 109:9	101:11 104:8
64:6,10,24 66:21	39:21 78:24	109:13 110:9,15	106:20 113:1
66:22,23 68:20	decades 83:22	117:9,16 127:14,17	120:11 122:25
72:9,20 75:14 78:5	<b>decide</b> 72:19 78:15	128:4 129:3 130:4	125:13 132:13,17
81:3,5,9,13 82:10	123:10 141:10	130:4,17 132:11,23	determine 105:1
82:13,18 94:18,19	153:3,3	133:3 170:14	109:19 119:23
95:22 96:19 97:7	decides 29:15	department's	120:3,17 125:23
97:13,21 114:14	<b>decision</b> 79:14,18	110:22 116:5	126:22 131:4
151:19 174:16	126:4 152:16	117:20 130:14	132:15 165:24
database 12:13	156:23	132:16	determined 111:12
37:23 60:17 74:25	<b>decisions</b> 3:21 73:5	depending 62:19	112:2 120:9,25
76:15 117:4,20	79:8 115:8 120:21	121:5 155:6	122:22 124:8
	123:4 156:17		
		val Calutions	

1000	1.00	1	150 17 150 10 14
determines 108:9	diffuse 69:9	disrupted 51:5	150:17 152:10,14
determining	direct 124:21	disseminated	152:19 155:8
126:12 140:9	direction 135:16	110:17,17,20	157:12,14,15
detracting 157:14	directly 61:9	disservice 89:11	domestic 11:12
developed 66:9	132:24	distance 7:12	94:11 162:9
92:20 170:14,17	director 151:11	distill 81:5	<b>door</b> 16:15 42:10
development	155:1	distinct 108:25	43:18 44:3 47:8
104:18	disability 104:18	109:22	145:13
developmentally	disabled 103:24	distinction 101:24	<b>doors</b> 18:21 25:9
165:6	109:7 122:15	distinguish 132:19	42:11,12 43:18
<b>device</b> 17:8 27:7	disagree 85:13	distress 122:19	57:23
<b>devices</b> 22:8 63:13	disappear 12:25	distributed 45:10	<b>doubt</b> 78:21 166:9
diagnosed 33:17	disciplinary 41:19	<b>district</b> 1:21 73:1	<b>doug</b> 1:21
diagnosis 32:23	56:19 134:7	77:17 83:9 84:5	<b>douglas</b> 1:1 39:9
33:3	discontinued	90:20 91:9 118:10	41:2 42:5 43:4 44:4
diamond 45:22	107:24	147:7,9 153:9,11	47:2 48:4 73:7
<b>die</b> 53:11	<b>discuss</b> 91:1 141:5	153:22 171:18	78:22 81:23 82:16
<b>died</b> 27:16 169:2,10	153:17 177:13	172:19,22 173:8	86:10 93:9 136:23
169:24	discussed 65:18	districts 74:10	165:18
dies 43:23	134:19	83:16 89:2 90:20	<b>dr</b> 4:7 13:18,21,24
difference 49:19	discussing 11:8	90:23 92:10	14:1 64:5,21 65:9
77:24 84:19 107:17	57:9 58:10	disturbing 175:25	66:18 67:17 74:24
123:1	discussion 5:19	<b>ditto</b> 75:12	75:4 78:5 79:4
differences 10:15	50:18 72:7 87:1	<b>dive</b> 84:13	82:25 83:2 84:23
99:4,6 108:25	137:19 139:9	diverse 156:1	85:2 89:20 93:10
<b>different</b> 24:4 32:8	141:25 142:20,22	diversion 23:22	93:20 94:15 95:19
50:18 52:5 57:5	142:23 143:4,18	diverted 12:11	96:8,18 97:24 98:3
63:21 64:24 81:16	144:9 146:3 148:16	doable 148:6	117:18 150:1
102:22 103:14	149:16,20 150:23	<b>doctor</b> 91:16 92:16	<b>draw</b> 28:24 48:13
104:5 109:17	151:4 159:2	94:14	68:17 74:21
114:12 133:24	discussions 142:6	<b>doctors</b> 106:24	drawing 28:22
140:4 141:12,13	148:19	<b>dodd</b> 89:13,14,21	<b>dress</b> 44:10
154:16,17 156:20	disfigured 27:2	90:19 153:7,8	drifting 34:12
173:9,24	dismissed 22:8	154:3	<b>drill</b> 40:22 52:2
differently 148:12	dispatch 57:11	<b>doe</b> 96:5	171:16,16 172:11
150:17 175:1	dispatched 71:16	<b>doing</b> 4:15 45:21	drilling 171:11
<b>difficult</b> 12:7 32:17	145:25	60:1 72:12 77:24	<b>drills</b> 91:5,10
64:15 69:7	dispelled 31:1	77:25 87:4,5,6	<b>drive</b> 43:6 141:8
<b>difficulty</b> 7:7 54:21	disrespect 175:17	89:11 114:17	151:6
155:16	disrespectful	121:12 130:11,22	<b>driven</b> 8:4 31:25
	163:24	141:16,17,17,23	32:10

[driver - equate] Page 192

	1		
<b>driver</b> 22:17 29:19	<b>eight</b> 4:11 6:21	emphasis 55:4	56:3 100:18,21
97:12	7:21 34:6 37:19,22	employed 25:3	<b>engaged</b> 11:1 35:10
drives 6:18	45:23 47:3 71:9	employee 154:6	37:25 43:13 47:10
driving 48:21	99:23 164:11	empower 91:23	67:7 121:6 138:9
141:19	<b>eighteen</b> 18:1 28:13	<b>empty</b> 73:15 78:17	150:5
dropped 15:24	37:20 40:4 68:24	78:25	engagement 47:13
<b>drove</b> 18:8 20:17	71:8,9,10 74:2	ems 138:22,23	engages 36:24
24:17 25:19 35:5	104:12 109:5,15	<b>emts</b> 54:18,20	47:22 117:9
42:2	111:17 113:15	en 45:21 48:5	engaging 48:9
<b>dual</b> 130:23 131:9	114:15,25 115:20	encourage 123:9	52:19
<b>due</b> 104:16	118:8 123:2,5	167:18	enlightening 64:3
duplication 96:3,5	168:13	encouraged 52:18	<b>ensued</b> 108:11
dynamics 128:17	either 27:21 29:20	<b>ended</b> 40:10 46:2	111:5
dynamite 6:13	35:19 54:18 130:11	53:9,12 67:25	<b>ensues</b> 107:13
dysfunction 104:18	159:14,23	101:17	<b>enter</b> 45:8 62:3
e	<b>elderly</b> 103:22,24	<b>ends</b> 47:14	<b>entered</b> 9:25 16:15
e 180:1,1	109:6 122:9	<b>enemies</b> 31:19,19	17:3,3 25:22 26:5
earthquake 19:10	<b>element</b> 103:15	enforcement 1:15	27:8 36:15 43:9
earthquakes 19:11	167:7,9	3:25 7:7 22:14 32:3	45:4,23 59:22
easier 25:6 139:22	elementary 7:22	34:3,9,18 35:2	177:24
173:4	8:23 15:11 16:2,5	40:15 41:18 45:3,5	<b>enters</b> 44:8 45:16
easily 78:23	20:14,18,22 21:1,4	47:17,17,22,25	45:20 47:5 61:1
eat 72:17	21:16,20 22:3,10	48:5,11 49:13	<b>entire</b> 57:3 86:13
edge 25:12	31:18 33:12,14,15	53:25 54:7 58:2	117:7 146:25
edged 24:9,19	35:21 36:17,22	63:14 64:19 65:14	entities 132:23
50:14	38:16 49:18,23	65:16 71:16,24	135:7
educate 115:9	140:5 147:18	72:11 84:20 85:8	<b>entry</b> 16:17 17:14
education 1:17	<b>eleven</b> 5:17 18:5,9	85:14,15 88:15,16	17:15 18:10 43:5
170:15 176:18,20	24:20 27:24 29:25	89:1 91:9 93:24	49:5,5,22,22 62:5
educator 83:5	30:6 46:2 50:4	94:3 111:10 120:23	environment 65:2
educators 84:2	62:20 164:21	129:25 130:22	100:13 119:4
effect 95:25 123:4	eliminate 133:25	131:7 134:9 138:7	120:16
effective 33:25 55:2	else's 119:8 173:24	138:21,24 139:7	environmental
	embedded 54:19	142:11 145:11,24	119:6
90:4 132:14,14,16 145:15 176:18	<b>emerged</b> 13:1 32:5	146:7,18 148:23	environments
effectively 55:20	emergency 139:1	158:1 160:21,21	70:10
60:23 157:17	<b>emerges</b> 6:5 55:25	161:22 163:2	envision 3:11
effectiveness 59:12	emerging 54:6	168:19 169:1 172:3	178:21
efforts 173:20	emotional 84:1	173:9,15	episode 70:1
176:14	104:17	<b>engage</b> 34:8 35:18	equate 77:8
1/0.14		37:1 45:24 52:15	

[equipment - far] Page 193

equipment 53:23	146:11,14 158:25	expensive 148:1	<b>fact</b> 33:13 55:16
148:13	161:4 171:9	experience 171:20	64:11 67:22 74:4
escalate 36:12	everybody's 143:13	173:7	82:19 87:20 91:25
escalating 66:7	evidence 29:22	<b>expert</b> 156:12	92:24 96:14 158:11
<b>ese</b> 118:9	31:4 32:22 54:21	expertise 66:19	177:1
especially 75:23	95:3 126:24 167:2	67:9,15 155:15	<b>facto</b> 124:20
87:10 91:24 160:2	167:12,20	<b>experts</b> 33:1 67:6	<b>factor</b> 53:24 93:8
essential 111:16	evolve 132:5	67:12 144:8 155:7	93:17 96:16
essentially 26:13	exactly 119:10	expires 180:19	factored 97:1
34:21 37:5	<b>example</b> 17:21 18:2	<b>explain</b> 5:20 93:11	<b>factors</b> 138:14
established 47:20	30:14 31:9 49:16	155:16	140:7 143:1
122:9 123:6	79:12 97:6 117:17	explained 43:16	<b>facts</b> 2:12 78:4
et 131:19,19 141:3	129:22 145:8	<b>explode</b> 17:13 43:8	<b>fadss</b> 153:11
evacuate 57:2	exception 19:22	exploding 51:4	failure 61:22
evacuates 47:13	40:6 45:1 49:23	exploit 14:11	failures 61:12
evaluate 84:11	51:22	exploitation 103:14	<b>fair</b> 64:20
124:19	exceptions 14:15	103:15 131:5	<b>faith</b> 69:20
evaluation 130:12	exchange 60:15	explored 140:11	<b>fall</b> 33:2
166:9,10	excuse 45:11 99:23	<b>explosion</b> 6:17 8:20	<b>fallen</b> 128:20
event 7:15 11:13,14	115:7	<b>explosive</b> 6:14 17:8	<b>falls</b> 5:10
34:21 35:3,4,12	execute 49:11	extensive 58:13	false 80:7
36:21 37:4 49:15	executed 11:23	63:25 142:20,21	<b>fame</b> 96:15
53:9,12,17 57:7	22:11,13 47:9 50:1	extensively 62:13	<b>familiar</b> 22:19 44:7
58:1 63:9 77:1,23	executive 151:10	<b>extent</b> 32:24 93:15	138:18 169:20
87:25 89:23 90:1	155:1	135:11 136:1	<b>families</b> 98:11,19
97:11 163:9,17,19	<b>exempt</b> 160:23	exterior 57:22	102:1 109:10
163:20,22 164:3,7	177:16,19	external 64:18	116:14 132:22
164:11,15,21,23,25	exercises 57:13	175:16	<b>family</b> 20:17 36:23
165:8 168:7,7,10	exhausted 140:12	extremely 91:22	99:9 100:9,11,16
168:13,24 169:1,17	exhibits 66:25	94:2 131:22 152:19	100:18,21,23
events 9:8 11:4,23	exist 10:22 32:16	extremist 95:1	102:12 106:24
11:24,25 23:7 34:2	existing 105:17	$\mathbf{f}$	111:9 121:25 122:3
34:7 37:11 40:2	108:1 127:6,19	<b>f</b> 180:1	122:4 128:5,9,17
52:21 53:22	exists 32:23,24,25	fabulously 133:18	163:4 170:7 172:21
eventually 27:4	40:25	face 146:11 175:8	173:13
31:13 32:1	<b>exits</b> 18:22	176:5	<b>fan</b> 97:11
everybody 2:2,7	expanding 147:14	<b>faced</b> 79:11	<b>fans</b> 97:6
22:9 42:16,17 56:1	expect 84:4	facets 70:21	<b>far</b> 57:25 91:19
66:25 82:23 94:4	expected 59:13	facility 106:9,12,12	126:23 134:19,22
135:15 136:20	expeditiously	106:14 107:12	142:10 153:2
137:13 138:9	178:18	109:12 166:19	
-			

[farm - following] Page 194

<b>farm</b> 6:17	<b>field</b> 25:21 98:21	<b>fire</b> 18:13,16,22	163:22
farms 22:19	100:5 103:17	25:7 42:23 48:22	flag 50:24
farther 142:12	162:23	54:4 94:1 138:22	flags 66:10
fascination 70:5	fields 43:1	138:23 139:6	flat 16:24
<b>fasro</b> 144:12		firearm 58:11 76:5	fled 42:16
	<b>fifteen</b> 28:11,23		
154:13,20,23 155:7	30:9 35:24 39:2,4,9	168:17	fleeing 45:17
156:10	39:13 76:21 98:4	firearms 11:7	flees 48:6
fast 158:12	117:23	50:14 58:13,14,20	floor 22:12 42:20
<b>father</b> 20:16 42:7	<b>fifties</b> 50:6	58:22 166:2,4	42:20,21 46:10
<b>fault</b> 131:13	<b>fifty</b> 6:21 15:8 17:1	<b>fireball</b> 26:16	56:23 73:17
<b>favor</b> 93:1 153:20	21:17 23:6 36:21	fired 17:4 35:24	<b>florida</b> 1:7,14,16
154:9	37:2 57:20 58:5	<b>firefight</b> 7:9 32:2	1:23 3:24 72:5 74:9
<b>fbi</b> 10:11,17 64:21	175:15	43:14 45:24 47:16	99:16 103:5 108:4
96:4 169:21	<b>fight</b> 35:20 36:25	48:10	110:2 116:25 135:5
fdle 1:23 152:14	36:25 37:6 92:6	firefighter 21:12	144:13 147:20
<b>fe</b> 12:25 35:16 41:3	<b>fighting</b> 162:5,6	firefights 34:9	153:10 158:8
42:7 44:8,13,13,16	163:14,21 164:5	<b>firing</b> 36:4 46:17	170:10,13 177:11
44:19 45:2,4,9 47:5	<b>figure</b> 23:25 74:15	firmly 80:7	177:17,18,20
48:9 150:5	146:15	<b>first</b> 2:22 6:10,24	178:15 180:3
<b>fear</b> 27:17 65:13	<b>file</b> 52:7	7:19 9:1,9,18 26:7	florida's 177:20
<b>fearful</b> 94:13,15	<b>filed</b> 108:3	35:22 47:11 53:1	<b>flown</b> 50:24
<b>february</b> 2:11,13	<b>files</b> 52:8	53:11,11 56:9,15	<b>fob</b> 146:17
171:22 173:8	<b>fill</b> 2:18	72:21 76:12 78:6	<b>focus</b> 24:1 100:17
<b>federal</b> 92:22 96:3	<b>final</b> 58:25	84:16 85:19 92:1	109:4 147:4 148:22
96:9 136:3	<b>finalized</b> 57:6	100:19 103:25	149:3
feedback 133:6	finance 88:25	104:7 119:25	focused 56:10
143:14 152:20	finances 155:24	121:19 122:4 128:4	70:13 83:23
<b>feel</b> 80:6,14 82:7	financial 155:10	138:5 141:3,4	focuses 70:9
87:13 94:10 132:7	<b>find</b> 6:1,10 12:8	145:24,25 159:24	focusing 70:12
145:14	26:21 30:14,22	163:9 167:5 174:12	119:2,9
feelings 150:17	39:18 41:4 61:22	174:24	<b>folk</b> 70:3
<b>feels</b> 175:8	63:20 77:18 93:7	<b>fit</b> 16:22 45:4 156:3	<b>folks</b> 103:22 122:10
<b>fell</b> 33:18 56:19	96:2,5,19,22	<b>fits</b> 23:13	122:11,14 152:9
<b>felon</b> 31:11	131:13	<b>five</b> 6:21 7:13 8:25	<b>follow</b> 13:4 125:2
<b>felt</b> 130:2 140:18	<b>finding</b> 65:12	17:10 18:19 22:11	162:12 163:6 165:9
172:14	<b>finds</b> 43:18	22:11,13,13,13	followed 89:10
<b>female</b> 17:7 52:24	<b>finish</b> 137:16	25:25 27:13 34:8	113:3 161:23
165:10	finished 179:3	34:10 35:24 37:20	162:23
<b>ferpa</b> 134:24 135:9	<b>finite</b> 83:24	38:25 40:22 47:14	following 67:20
<b>fiddle</b> 21:11	<b>fir</b> 162:24 168:24	76:21 77:13,15	125:14
		95:11 110:9 158:7	

[follows - go] Page 195

<b>follows</b> 36:23	143:6 160:10	frustrating 74:8	<b>gear</b> 18:8
<b>foot</b> 35:10	172:18 174:5	frustration 72:2,3	<b>gears</b> 119:13
football 90:8	<b>foster</b> 41:5	<b>fsfn</b> 117:3	<b>general</b> 1:12 14:16
forbid 89:4	fostering 65:2	full 25:25 57:23	24:4 55:16 97:18
<b>force</b> 16:12 21:7	<b>found</b> 55:18 56:24	<b>fully</b> 57:18	110:7
45:21 48:5 56:8	63:18 66:3 93:18	function 87:8	<b>generally</b> 6:23 7:17
62:4 123:16	94:7 96:8 123:20	106:21 141:23	12:20 13:3 118:24
<b>forced</b> 17:5 49:22	123:21,24 124:4	<b>functioning</b> 100:10	gentleman 69:17
<b>forces</b> 138:17,25	165:6 177:20	120:17 128:25	<b>getting</b> 3:9 18:21
139:5	foundation 10:17	functions 85:19	54:22 66:18 122:13
foregoing 180:7	59:18 82:12 176:17	<b>fund</b> 74:11	151:18,18 152:20
foremost 85:19	<b>four</b> 3:16 6:20 7:24	fundamentally	154:18 155:17
100:19	8:19,24 25:25	175:13	166:16
forget 88:6	27:13 38:2,8 41:5	<b>funded</b> 56:21 60:10	<b>gg</b> 180:18
<b>form</b> 16:20 45:6	47:11 68:3 69:1,1,7	<b>funding</b> 56:13	girlfriend 30:19
60:25 138:24	77:13,15 103:18	87:23 88:22	<b>girls</b> 17:10,15 22:9
formation 18:17	111:5 163:21	<b>funds</b> 8:14	<b>give</b> 7:14 11:3 18:3
45:19,22 47:23	fourteen 9:21	<b>further</b> 5:15 93:11	36:19 39:15 40:23
<b>formed</b> 45:22 48:7	20:16 22:22 23:3	107:22 108:11	49:16 92:3 98:23
former 14:12,16	28:11 30:7 36:1	137:19 161:21	110:21
15:2,21 16:7,10	39:11 50:3,20	<b>future</b> 10:13 50:19	<b>given</b> 16:20 17:24
19:21 20:13 21:4	117:22	63:19 79:20 80:2	23:7 43:23 49:20
40:7,9 48:14 62:16	<b>frame</b> 46:3,5 47:3	128:18	51:2 52:3 71:17
63:6	117:14 125:13	futures 138:8	78:5 150:21 152:25
<b>forms</b> 11:7 45:19	143:2,2,13 150:22	g	<b>gives</b> 23:6 35:19
59:7	153:4	<b>gain</b> 64:19	38:19 143:21
<b>formulating</b> 86:19	<b>frames</b> 46:24	games 70:6 90:8	<b>giving</b> 160:7
<b>forth</b> 115:22	framework 2:7,9	164:17	<b>gladly</b> 83:18 155:2
125:25	126:15 140:19	gang 11:14	glamorized 69:24
forties 50:5	148:25	gang 11.14 gap 65:13 114:8,20	<b>glass</b> 16:14
<b>fortify</b> 170:10,13	franklin 26:22	116:18 118:16	gleaned 64:16
<b>forty</b> 4:10,11,21	frequency 63:15	gas 8:12	<b>go</b> 5:21 14:16 15:16
6:20 14:1,3,4 15:11	frequent 62:9	gather 12:14,17	16:22 25:24 47:1
21:17,17 45:3	frequently 6:4	13:11 66:21,22	58:21 61:15 64:4
46:16,18,19 53:18	51:25 62:24 65:18	100:20 106:18,22	66:16,17 69:24
71:9 161:11,14	<b>friend</b> 85:13	107:2 121:21	71:2,21 75:23
170:2,5	<b>friends</b> 38:5 64:16	125:20,22	83:17 90:10 91:11
<b>forum</b> 152:22	99:10 163:4	gathered 8:11	91:14 98:7 100:19
160:24 161:3	<b>front</b> 44:2 161:10	64:23 126:4	106:18 113:18
<b>forward</b> 3:6 116:15	162:4	gc 1:19	125:10 127:7 128:2
116:20 126:1,11,12		50 1.17	128:13,24 131:4
	***	ral Calutions	

[go - haprpring] Page 196

132:9 133:7,21	108:11 113:23	grandfather 41:24	78:25 85:11 174:13
137:7,11,15,24	114:22 118:5	42:1	guns 69:24 70:5
138:2,25 139:16,18	125:15 126:1 127:2	grandmothers	75:18,23,24 93:2
139:19 140:25	128:1,15,16 129:16	122:10	162:19 169:12
141:5,8,24 142:1,7	129:23,24 130:15	granular 32:18	<b>guy</b> 85:10,11 175:9
149:15 151:7	131:5,5,25 132:1,5	great 72:22,25	176:5
155:19 157:22	136:14,15,16,17	89:14 135:8 136:5	<b>gym</b> 36:8
160:13 163:7	137:2,15 138:2,14	136:7 155:20	h
164:12 167:15	138:23 139:8 141:8	greatly 29:5	half 29:3 37:11
168:7 169:7 170:19	141:13,19,20,21,22	<b>green</b> 33:22	126:8
170:22 171:3 173:4	143:16 144:18	<b>greet</b> 172:5	hall 18:12 48:12
175:15,24 177:11	146:2,6,7 148:11	grounds 26:8	hallway 18:13
<b>goal</b> 100:6,14 102:9	148:13 149:21,22	<b>group</b> 8:15 24:18	26:25 36:2 43:25
144:22,24	151:3 152:8 154:4	51:11 67:8 148:20	59:25 76:1
<b>goals</b> 102:6 133:25	156:20,25 157:2,10	154:20 155:13	hallways 42:25
<b>god</b> 26:3	157:20,21 158:5,9	<b>grow</b> 134:12	43:10 48:19 49:7
<b>goes</b> 46:9 71:5,19	158:10,17 159:10	<b>grown</b> 94:25	141:22
82:14 110:4 125:19	159:17,18 160:13	guaerno 163:11	hamill 169:20
126:4 134:2 145:5	160:17 165:15	168:15	hand 44:9 93:16
152:15	166:20 167:25	gualtieri 1:11	141:24,25 152:15
going 2:3,5 3:6,9,23	170:21,23,23,24	75:11,21 78:3 89:3	152:15 159:24
4:8 10:7 11:3,5	171:15,19 172:7,10	98:17 130:23	handgun 9:6
13:10 14:13 17:13	173:6 178:8,14,16	<b>guard</b> 26:25 43:21	handle 150:20
23:1 24:6 25:21	178:17,19,22 179:3	<b>guardian</b> 76:7,18	hands 53:3 119:8
28:14 29:19 30:2	<b>gold</b> 92:18	76:20 153:18,20,23	happen 26:4 29:19
31:5,17 46:15,25	<b>good</b> 2:2 4:7,7	153:25	65:15 89:22 93:5
47:1 51:20 52:13	12:17 68:14 85:11	guardians 68:11	95:16 108:7 157:21
57:17,18 58:8,21	85:13 87:13 91:11	72:15 73:23 75:13	158:17 173:14
65:5,11,12,14 67:2	115:8 140:21,22	77:15,21 85:16	happened 2:11,24
68:5,6,8,10 69:6,7	143:18 145:14	142:4,15 151:21	81:5,22 131:13
69:9 70:7,20 71:1,4	153:12 154:14	153:15 154:5	133:19 145:22
72:3,9 74:7 75:11	159:9 176:14	guardianship	166:7 167:4,24
76:12,16 77:2,7	<b>gosh</b> 99:13	108:3	173:13
78:5,6,10,13 80:14	governed 99:15	<b>guards</b> 43:20 149:6	
80:17,19 81:21	102:22 103:4	guess 119:18 127:3	<b>happening</b> 27:19 71:14 93:4 130:7
83:3,13 84:9,13	governor 83:12	131:12	150:6
86:3,4,16,24 87:4,7	grabbed 53:2	guidance 66:12	
87:15,21 88:1	<b>grade</b> 141:2	guideline 173:3	<b>happens</b> 49:3 69:13 134:8 148:7
91:10,11,25 95:2	graduate 118:7	<b>gun</b> 21:9,11 30:19	
98:7,23 99:13	grady 1:20	35:20 44:9 55:16	happy 70:11 84:8
102:16,20 104:11	- <del>-</del>	73:15,21 78:17,23	haprpring 64:5
	Veriteyt I e	1014	

[hard - ideas] Page 197

hard 17:23 18:20	120.10 142.25	<b>high</b> 20.1	homoforller 02.10
	138:19 143:25	higher 19:1	hopefully 92:18
32:18 38:12 40:6	144:1,7 156:22	highest 95:7	129:12 170:15
55:5 73:5 74:21	162:14 166:6,13	hindsight 79:8	176:1
harden 158:5,10	168:12	hipaa 32:20 135:10	hopes 91:4
hardened 157:25	hearing 143:10	historically 2:24	horrible 39:24
hardening 55:1	<b>heart</b> 95:9	<b>history</b> 4:2,10 5:15	horse 151:7
63:9 68:14 70:13	heaven 89:3	5:23,24 12:24 88:2	hose 164:8
harder 26:20	<b>held</b> 8:13 13:2 17:6	104:17 116:12	<b>hostage</b> 8:13 9:12
<b>harm</b> 118:25 119:6	53:3 137:1	129:4	hostages 17:7
119:7 128:18	<b>hello</b> 173:19	<b>hit</b> 43:15 163:12	<b>hot</b> 139:9
175:15	<b>help</b> 3:21 22:1	164:7	<b>hotline</b> 99:19 103:9
harpring 1:19	67:11 69:9 91:6	<b>hits</b> 146:17	103:10 110:24
64:25 87:17,18	114:17 115:4,5,14	<b>hold</b> 52:6 88:19	119:18 122:17
hate 87:25	117:14 118:3	<b>holding</b> 8:5 136:23	<b>hour</b> 130:6
<b>haven</b> 57:21	134:12 144:10	150:7	hours 45:9,13
<b>head</b> 41:6 53:3	170:19 171:13	holistic 128:7	103:19 111:6
167:17	172:3 173:12	holistically 128:14	143:17 159:3
headlight 169:1	<b>helpful</b> 66:2 118:4	134:11	house 29:6,8 92:23
<b>health</b> 32:11,16	125:1 149:25	home 20:23 29:11	168:10 174:18
33:1 41:12,14	<b>helping</b> 175:23	41:8 51:9,13 55:18	household 41:6
51:25 59:2,3,4,7,13	helplessness 80:19	55:20 58:18 84:7	<b>huge</b> 173:3
62:8 69:15 70:17	henderson 117:17	94:25 101:5,13,15	<b>hundred</b> 6:22 7:13
111:4,9,25 112:2	121:7 124:10	102:12 106:1,4,14	26:1,10 37:18,21
114:9 116:2 117:7	125:22 159:21	107:10 109:8	45:10 54:11 57:20
117:8 118:11	164:19 166:17	122:11 162:8 164:9	58:5 130:6 145:12
120:22 121:6,7	hesitate 151:18	164:12,16	147:22 158:8 176:5
122:19 125:4,22,24	hey 13:15	homeland 14:7	<b>hurt</b> 37:8
160:19 166:20	<b>hide</b> 92:6 173:1	96:4	<b>hybrid</b> 142:3
173:15	<b>hides</b> 51:16	homicides 37:19	152:25 153:14
healthy 70:10	<b>high</b> 1:1 9:1,13	honeymooners	154:6
hear 13:22 14:22	15:20,23,23,25	176:4	hypothetical
48:2 72:4,4 88:24	16:3,24 26:23 27:8	hook 12:22 16:10	129:16
91:17 94:1 113:21	27:20 34:16,17	16:10 20:12 28:8	i
114:3 129:11 132:6	37:10,24 38:2,23	29:6 39:13 40:6	idea 7:14 36:19
159:24 160:17	39:1,10 52:21	41:2 42:3 44:1 46:2	
161:4 172:11	57:19 62:15 83:6	47:24 49:23 53:10	38:19 39:18 55:4
177:13	90:10 95:9,10,11	57:5,14,15,18	58:19 61:2 65:19
<b>heard</b> 4:14 10:19	95:20 101:19	58:10,25 82:15	68:9 72:23,25
32:25 37:14 44:25	110:21 140:5	124:7	81:19 95:14
64:12 69:17 71:17	147:10,19 160:2	hope 70:22 173:10	ideally 81:18
79:16 114:8 135:11	174:14,24	_	ideas 143:8,12
	,		158:23

identified 97:14	134:2 150:2 154:12	inculcated 156:7	106:19,23 107:2
118:18 133:23	154:22	independent 115:2	114:18 115:22
<b>identify</b> 52:19 85:2	impossible 69:25	115:13	117:16,18 120:25
85:25 135:25	<b>impress</b> 157:23	indian 1:19	121:20,21,25 122:3
146:19	impression 23:7	indiana 146:13	125:20 126:3,10
identifying 70:14	74:22 90:13 174:22	147:8	134:1,4,5 135:6,14
171:24	improve 69:14	indicate 113:6	135:17,21 136:8
<b>ied</b> 51:6	133:17	indicated 51:18	144:4,5 150:7
ieds 24:11 26:11	improving 138:7	65:10	153:1 159:14
45:10 50:15,19	<b>inane</b> 9:10	indicates 32:15	172:15 177:14,15
53:25 54:11	incendiaries 24:11	37:13 61:25	177:16
<b>iep</b> 97:16	26:11	indication 112:15	inhibited 122:25
ieps 97:23	<b>incident</b> 2:12 54:2	indicators 66:3,9	initially 123:13
<b>ifs</b> 167:21	54:3,7 73:8 89:22	66:11 82:8 112:11	<b>initiated</b> 99:17,17
<b>ii</b> 6:14 54:4	89:22 98:12 99:11	112:20	100:5 103:7,16
illegal 41:22 42:5	110:10 126:14	individual 4:18 7:2	initiation 101:12
44:23 51:23	168:3 169:24	7:10 8:4 9:10 15:12	<b>injured</b> 37:4,5
imagine 118:11	172:12 173:8	31:10 34:17,20	<b>injuries</b> 37:3 90:2
immediate 144:25	incidents 52:10	35:5 52:24 58:17	injury 12:3
145:2	54:25 71:7 81:14	76:14 80:4 99:22	<b>input</b> 155:14
immediately 16:16	82:11,15,20 89:19	104:8,23 105:1,4,9	156:19 158:22
17:20 35:9 41:4	116:10 161:17,22	105:14,16,21,22	159:10
49:5 68:8 131:7	162:2,20	106:3,4,7,8,11,13	insane 19:25 33:8
151:25	include 2:23 9:1	106:15,20 107:1,8	36:4
immensely 37:9	65:6 140:9	107:11,13 108:15	inside 14:10,19
<b>impact</b> 68:19	included 11:12	109:19 127:12,13	16:1 25:11 26:14
141:14	12:8	128:18 134:11	26:16 40:8 46:8
impactful 147:17	<b>includes</b> 11:6 40:18	156:10	48:17 51:3 57:22
158:13	including 10:11	individual's 107:19	62:4,4 64:15 74:5
impacts 12:19	34:7,17 37:23	individuals 14:6,9	78:22 146:18
impaired 104:16	54:15 58:16 90:14	30:17 38:21 67:8	<b>insider</b> 14:13,17
<b>impede</b> 147:2	147:20,21	80:5,6 99:20	15:22 17:24 62:18
importance 55:9	incorporate 61:23	108:16 109:4	65:5
87:22 91:7	increase 56:6 59:12	inexpensive 148:5	<b>insiders</b> 14:7,8 16:4
important 19:2	73:1	infirmities 104:19	17:22 49:7 51:13
20:19 25:15 33:11	increasing 58:11	104:20	62:17
44:6 45:15 46:11	incredibly 52:5	<b>inform</b> 3:21 63:18	insight 25:2 39:16
46:23 55:8 57:4	82:2	125:23	44:15
60:16 68:17 82:2	incredulity 88:24	information 3:19	insisted 60:24
83:24 88:16 91:17	inculcate 155:21	3:20 64:2,7,16 65:6	instagram 162:19
91:22 92:7 101:24		89:15 100:20	165:12,15 167:3,19

instagrams         170:11         127:15 129:6         122:5 124:13         96:12,12 140:3         143:2 153:21           95:8         33:7 127:18         125:10 133:5         125:10 133:5         143:2 153:21         143:2 153:21           95:8         33:7 127:18         83:7 127:18         125:10 133:5         125:10 133:5         143:2 153:21           instant 1         128:10,10         intervention         33:24         investigator         100:7,11 101:3,10         61:19 70:9 84:14           instant 1         133:23 576:2 127:12         100:7,11 101:3,10         61:19 70:9 84:14         47:20 137:20           interrate 69:15         interview 162:23         interviewing         111:6,7 113:24         119:17,23 121:23           integrating 59:3         interviews 20:21         52:9         160:8 108:6 110:3         111:6,7 113:24         119:17,23 121:23           intended 20:19         22:6 25:16 27:14         39:25 60:6 178:11         165:23         investigation 99:17         109:4 124:1 159:25         invited 38:4         involve 103:22,24         131:7 15:8 162:20         131:7 15:8 162:20         131:7 15:8 162:20         131:7 15:8 162:20         131:7 15:8 162:20         131:7 15:8 162:20         150:8 13:24         150:8 14:8 13:7;14         150:23         150:4 13:22         150:4 13:22         131:10:7 13:24	168:13,16,19	intervene 78:7	100:17 102:18	64:14 69:20,22
instance   4:19 46:16				
95:8   instant   128:10,10   intervention   33:24   interview   146:17   128:24   interview   162:23   interview   162:23   interview   162:23   interview   162:23   interview   162:23   interview   168:20   interview   168:20   interview   169:15   interpretate   70:17   intergrating   59:3   interviews   20:21   interpretate   177:15   interpretate   177:16   interpretate   177:16   interpretate   177:16   interpretate   179:29   state   100:7,11 101:3,10   101:37,10   102:7,21 103:17 104:6   41:19 51:25 57:21   61:19 70:98 48:14   97:20 137:20   interpretate   18:22   119:17,23 121:23   111:6,7 113:24   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   investigators   109:2   109:4 124:1 159:25   169:7   investigates   103:22   investigation   99:17   109:4 124:1 159:25   investigation   19:17   109:4 1		intervened 79:2		·
instantly   146:17     33:25 76:2 127:12   100:7,11 101:3,10   102:7 103:17 104:6     97:20 137:20     issuing 57:7     106:8 108:6 110:3     106:8 108:6 110:3		83:7 127:18	177:14	issues 32:16 33:16
instantly   146:17     33:25 76:2 127:12   100:7,11 101:3,10   102:7 103:17 104:6     97:20 137:20	<b>instant</b> 128:10,10	intervention 33:24	investigator 100:6	41:19 51:25 57:21
128:24   102:7 103:17 104:6   104:7,25 105:13,24   106:8 106:8 100:3   110:24   106:8 106:8 110:3   111:6,7 113:24   119:17,23 121:23   119:17,23 121:23   119:17,23 121:23   119:17,23 121:23   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   139:13:2,24   119:17,12   110:4,14 159:25   109:4 128:15   131:7 152:8 162:20   110:4,7,25 105:13,24   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   125:19 128:14   119:17,23 121:23   119:17,23 121:23   119:17,23 121:23   119:17,12   110:4,14 159:25   109:4 128:14   159:25   109:4 128:14   159:25   109:4 128:14   159:25   109:4 128:14   159:25   100:4 128:15   159:4   159	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33:25 76:2 127:12	U	61:19 70:9 84:14
integrate 69:15 integrate 70:17 integrating 59:3 integration 57:11 intelligence 63:4 177:15 intended 20:19 22:6 25:16 27:14 39:25 60:6 178:11 178:23 intention 75:19 169:12 intentional 45:6 intentionally 24:19 intercom 42:25 interco		128:24		97:20 137:20
intact         103:3 112:24         interviewed         168:20         interviewing         111:6,7 113:24         items         2:18 3:7,14           integrate         70:17         interviewing         159:25         111:6,7 113:24         111:6,7 113:24         j         j           integration         59:3         interviews         20:21         159:25         111:6,7 113:24         j	· ·	interview 162:23	104:7,25 105:13,24	issuing 57:7
integrate 69:15 integrated 70:17 integrating 59:3 interviews 20:21 55:9 intended 20:19 22:6 25:16 27:14 39:25 60:6 178:11 178:23 intention 75:19 169:12 intentional 45:6 intentionally 24:19 intercom 42:25 intercom 42:	<b>intact</b> 103:3 112:24	interviewed 168:20	106:8 108:6 110:3	
integrated         70:17         159:25         interviews         20:21         159:25         j 174:10         james 1:19         james 2:19         james 2:19         james 1:19         james 1:19         james 2:19         james 2:19 <th< td=""><td>integrate 69:15</td><td>interviewing</td><td>111:6,7 113:24</td><td>i</td></th<>	integrate 69:15	interviewing	111:6,7 113:24	i
integrating strength         59:3 interviews         interviews         20:21 52:9 intrigued         125:19 128:14 investigators         jammed         21:9 26:1 january         32:6 19 jammed         21:9 26:1 january         32:6 19 jammed         21:9 26:1 january         32:6 19 jammed         21:9 26:1 january         32:0 26:1 january         32:2 jason         1:19 jammed         21:9 26:1 january         32:2 jason         1:12 january         8:22	integrated 70:17	159:25	119:17,23 121:23	_
Integration   57:11   Intelligence   63:4   177:15   Intended   20:19   22:6   25:16   27:14   39:25   60:6   178:11   178:23   169:12   109:4   124:5   123:18   124:10   129:25   131:7   152:8   162:20   168:15   108:7,17,19   109:11   109:25   110:23   113:9,13   124:10   129:25   130:1,4,8,13,16   169:12   110:23   113:9,13   124:10   129:25   130:1,4,8,13,16   131:3   151:18   161:15,17,19   168:15   169:23   104:9,24   107:5   110:23   113:9,13   124:10   129:25   130:1,4,8,13,16   131:3   151:18   161:15,17,19   163:12   170:4   168:15   106:23   106:23   106:6,6,9,21   128:16   130:23   139:13:5,7   115:19   108:17:15   109:11   109:21   109:21   109:25   130:1,4,8,13,16   131:3   151:18   161:15,17,19   163:12   170:4   168:15   100:8   120:20   100:20   100:2	integrating 59:3	interviews 20:21	125:19 128:14	•
Intrigued   81:17   investigate   118:22   169:7   invited   38:4   invited   38:4   involuntarily   124:5   jeopardy   118:25   job   4:1 81:3 87:13   152:8,14,15 155:8   jobs   115:9 156:15   joble   163:10   168:15   john   96:25,25   john	integration 57:11	52:9	investigators 109:2	•
intended 20:19 22:6 25:16 27:14 39:25 60:6 178:11 178:23 intention 75:19 169:12 103:6,8,16,18 104:9,24 107:5 intentionally 24:19 interactions 156:14 intercom 42:25 interest 123:5 interest 29:9 interest 29:9 international 94:18 interpret 32:17 interdad 38:4 involuntarily 124:5 involve 103:22,24 131:7 152:8 162:20 involved 48:21,23 68:25 108:12,21 110:23 113:9,13 124:10 129:25 joh 4:1 81:3 87:13 152:8,14,15 155:8 jobs 115:9 156:15 joelle 163:10 168:15 john 96:25,25 join 38:5 169:23 joint 57:13 jointly 138:23 joint 57:13 jointly 138:23 journals 41:12 62:9 judd 1:20,21 75:10 75:11 155:5 judg's 58:20 judgment 88:21 irritated 123:19 isite 50:22	intelligence 63:4	intrigued 81:17	109:4 124:1 159:25	-
165:23   investigates   103:22   involuntarily   124:5   jeopardy   118:25   job   4:1 81:3 87:13   152:8,14,15 15:8   job   115:28,14,15 15:8   job   115:9 156:15   job   4:1 81:3 87:13   152:8,14,15 15:8   job   115:9 156:15   job   4:1 81:3 87:13   152:8,14,15 15:8   job   115:9 156:15   job   4:1 81:3 87:13   152:8,14,15 15:8   job   115:9 156:15   job   115	177:15	investigate 118:22	169:7	1 9
10   10   10   10   10   10   10   10	intended 20:19	165:23	invited 38:4	•
Investigation   99:17   178:23   178:	22:6 25:16 27:14	investigates 103:22	involuntarily 124:5	
178:23   199:19 100:5 101:2   131: / 152:8 162:20   100:6,8,16,18   104:9,24 107:5   108:7,17,19 109:11   110:5,10 111:5,11   110:23 113:9,13   124:10 129:25   130:1,4,8,13,16   131:3 151:18   131:3	39:25 60:6 178:11	investigation 99:17	involve 103:22,24	•
103:6,8,16,18	178:23	99:19 100:5 101:2	131:7 152:8 162:20	1
intentional 45:6       104:9,24 107:5       68:25 108:12,21       168:15         intentionally 24:19       108:7,17,19 109:11       110:23 113:9,13       124:10 129:25       john 96:25,25       join 38:5 169:23         intercom 42:25       111:22,23 112:7,12       130:1,4,8,13,16       131:3 151:18       131:3 151:18       161:15,17,19       168:15       john 96:25,25       join 38:5 169:23       joint 57:13       jointly 138:23       joi	intention 75:19	103:6,8,16,18	<b>involved</b> 48:21,23	1 9
intentional       45:6       108:7,17,19 109:11       110:23 113:9,13       john       96:25,25         intertionally       24:19       110:5,10 111:5,11       124:10 129:25       john       96:25,25         intercom       42:25       111:22,23 112:7,12       130:1,4,8,13,16       131:3 151:18       131:3 151:18         interest       123:5       13:8,10 115:21,24       161:15,17,19       163:12 170:4       involvement       65:10         162:21 168:5,22       128:16 130:23       128:16 130:23       107:22 110:22       105:21 107:15,18       107:22 110:22       journals       41:12 62:9       judd 1:20,21 75:10       75:11 155:5       judd's       157:6       judge's       58:20       judge's       58:20       judge's       58:20       judgment       88:21       89:6	169:12	104:9,24 107:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
intentionally       24:19 interactions       110:5,10 111:5,11 11:22,23 112:7,12 intercom       124:10 129:25 130:1,4,8,13,16 130:1,4,8,13,16 131:3 151:18 13:3 151:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3 15:18 13:3		/ /		
interactions       156:14 intercom       111:22,23 112:7,12 112:14,16,25 113:4 113:3 151:18 113:3 15:18 113:3 15:13 113	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	124:10 129:25	•
intercom       42:25       112:14,16,25 113:4       131:3 151:18       jointly       138:23         interest       123:5       113:8,10 115:21,24       161:15,17,19       163:12 170:4       jointly       138:23         internal       161:25       121:11,22 123:14       163:12 170:4       involvement       65:10         162:21 168:5,22       123:18 124:15       126:6,6,9,21       105:21 107:15,18       105:21 107:15,18       journals       41:12 62:9       judd       1:20,21 75:10       75:11 155:5       judd's       157:6       judge       67:9 127:7       166:5       judge's       58:20       judge's       58:20       judgment       88:21       89:6		1		•
interest       123:5       113:8,10 115:21,24       161:15,17,19       jones       1:12         interested       29:9       119:19 120:2,8,14       163:12 170:4       jones ones       1:12         internal       161:25       162:21 168:5,22       162:21 168:5,22       163:12 170:4       jones ones       1:12         169:19       126:6,6,9,21       128:16 130:23       107:22 110:22       131:5,7 115:19       judd ones       1:23         internet       94:21       131:9 132:20,21       involving ones       1:12       jones ones       1:12         39:7,11       168:14,21 169:25       113:5,7 115:19       involving ones       1:12       jones ones       1:12       jones ones       1:12       jones       1:23       journals       41:12 62:9       judd ones       1:23       judd ones       1:23 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>  •</td></th<>				•
interested       29:9       119:19 120:2,8,14       163:12 170:4         internal       161:25       121:11,22 123:14       involvement       65:10         162:21 168:5,22       123:18 124:15       125:19 107:22 110:22       jonesboro       18:23         international       94:18       126:6,6,9,21       107:22 110:22       131:5,7 115:19       judd       1:20,21 75:10         internet       94:21       131:9 132:20,21       involvement       65:10       5       judd       1:20,21 75:10         75:11 155:5       judge       67:9 127:7       166:5       judge       67:9 127:7         166:5       judge's       58:20       judgment       88:21         35:12 46:20       102:24 103:2 4 21       isis       50:22				-
internal       161:25       121:11,22 123:14       involvement       65:10         162:21 168:5,22       123:18 124:15       105:21 107:15,18       journals       41:12 62:9         169:19       126:6,6,9,21       107:22 110:22       75:11 155:5         international       94:18       131:9 132:20,21       131:9 132:20,21       involving       4:11         interpret       93:7,11       168:14,21 169:25       10:8 162:19       10:8 162:19       166:5         interpret       32:17       102:24 103:2 4 21       103:2 4 21       103:2 50:22				•
162:21 168:5,22 169:19 126:6,6,9,21 128:16 130:23 131:9 132:20,21 133:9 161:25 168:4 93:7,11 168:14,21 169:25 1107:22 110:22 113:5,7 115:19 108:12,25 99:1 108:12,25 99:1 108:14,21 169:25 110:8 162:19		-		-
169:19       126:6,6,9,21       107:22 110:22       75:11 155:5         international 94:18       128:16 130:23       131:9 132:20,21       131:9 132:20,21       131:9 132:20,21       107:22 110:22       131:5,7 115:19       108:12,25 99:1				•
internet 94:21 interoperability 93:7,11 interpret 32:17 interpret 32:17 interrupted 12:6  35:12.46:20  131:9 132:20,21 133:9 161:25 168:4 168:14,21 169:25 investigations 99:1 99:15,22 102:21,23 102:24 103:2 4 21 131:9 132:20,21 198:12,25 99:1 108:14:11 98:12,25 99:1 110:8 162:19 irrespective 11:18 irritated 123:19 102:24 103:2 4 21 isis 50:22				-
interper 94:21 interoperability 93:7,11 interpret 32:17 interpret 32:17 interpret 32:17 interpret 12:6 35:12.46:20  131:9 132:20,21 133:9 161:25 168:4 168:14,21 169:25 investigations 99:1 99:15,22 102:21,23 102:24 103:2 4 21 133:9 132:20,21 198:12,25 99:1 110:8 162:19 irrespective 11:18 irritated 123:19 35:12.46:20 102:24 103:2 4 21 103:9 132:20,21 103:9 132:20 104:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
93:7,11 interpret 32:17 interpret 12:6 35:12.46:20  133:9 161:25 168:4 168:14,21 169:25 investigations 99:1 99:15,22 102:21,23 102:24 103:2 4 21 isis 50:22  136:5 99:1 166:5 judge's 58:20 judgment 88:21 89:6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
interpret 32:17 interrupted 12:6 35:12.46:20 interrupted 12:6 35:12.46:20 interrupted 12:6 interrupted 12:6	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
interrupted 12:6   investigations 99:1   irrespective 11:18   judgment 88:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		judge's 58:20
interrupted 12:6 99:15,22 102:21,23 irritated 123:19 89:6	_		_	• •
35:12 46:20   102:24 103:2.4.21   isis 50:22	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				judicial 1:13
intersession 84:19   104:21 109:6,25   island 61:14   iuly 37:17		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
84:20 85:6   110:1 130:24   <b>israel</b> 159:18   <b>iumn</b> 70:3				" "
interval 125:1/ investigative 13:2 issue 32:11 33:21 inneture 134:16				, ,
126:20 44:14,18 98:24 55:15,15 60:12	126:20	44:14,18 98:24	55:15,15 60:12	

[junior - launch] Page 200

junior 15:23,23 justice 1:18 justin 1:17 juvenile 1:18 134:3 134:6,7 162:7 juveniles 13:0	kids 68:24 69:1,2,4 69:23 70:4,10,25 73:3,18 78:8 80:2 84:7 85:18 86:14 87:6,11 95:11 145:12 164:22	knives 26:19,24 168:16 knock 176:6 knocked 176:10 know 3:22 12:5,10 13:12 15:6 16:23	164:13 166:14,23 167:21,21 170:16 172:25 176:11 178:17 knowable 97:13
<b>juveniles</b> 13:9 32:19	145:12 164:22 kill 27:1,14 60:8	13:12 15:6 16:23	knowing 24:25 33:3,3
	75:19 78:8 169:23	28:19 33:5 34:23	knowledge 14:10
k k 2:25 4:2,6,11 6:25 7:16,19 24:14,21 24:22 50:8 63:8 147:19 kapusta 98:9,16,17 114:2,22 117:12 119:10,21 120:12 122:8 123:16,23 125:18 127:4,22,24 128:6,13 130:19 132:19 133:2 136:7 136:9 karate 27:7 keep 23:21 34:12 77:24 78:14 87:4 100:12 101:13 138:1 146:12 147:23 149:12,14 149:18 165:19 167:25 keeping 115:11 kentucky 39:12 kept 12:14 29:11 41:12 112:9 kevin 1:23 key 65:11 146:17 160:3 kick 157:1 kid 70:19,20 118:23 119:1,3,3,4			·

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

[lauren - look] Page 201

lauren 1:21	<b>leapt</b> 164:14	<b>light</b> 87:20 91:22	175:2 176:6,10
law 1:15 2:9 3:24	learn 3:2 51:15,16	91:24 92:24	177:4
7:7 22:14 32:3 34:3	82:20	<b>lights</b> 162:25	live 47:9 70:3 72:24
34:9,18 35:2 40:15	<b>learned</b> 3:3 80:18	liked 38:6	72:25
41:18 45:3,4 47:17	82:21 153:2	likelihood 56:4	<b>lived</b> 161:13
47:17,22,24 48:5	leave 51:20 90:10	<b>limit</b> 13:10	lives 92:4 169:4
48:10 49:13 53:25	90:12 107:3 136:15	limitations 11:2	172:1
54:7 58:2 63:14	156:9	58:13,15	<b>living</b> 104:15
64:18 65:13,16	leaves 35:19 47:4	limited 12:19	106:14,22 115:2,13
71:15,23 72:11	<b>led</b> 64:11	113:20 129:6 144:6	120:19 121:3
77:21 84:19 85:7	<b>left</b> 20:7 26:4 27:2	158:21	122:24 123:8
85:14,15 88:14,15	28:3 33:23 38:8	<b>linda</b> 161:6 162:11	128:22
89:1 91:9 93:24	52:1 164:9	163:18,22 164:7,13	local 70:8 155:11
94:3 111:10 120:23	legal 31:8,8 98:22	164:21,23,25 169:2	155:11
129:25 130:22	legislative 87:21	<b>line</b> 92:10,13,14	locally 12:14
131:7 134:9 135:5	legislature 89:9	141:21 144:21	located 164:22
138:7,21,24 139:7	102:25 110:18	<b>lined</b> 18:16	location 23:12,13
142:11 145:11,24	legitimate 14:19	lines 56:12 126:8	29:14 43:20 48:12
146:6,16,18 148:22	94:23	143:24 147:7	50:7 77:22 130:22
156:7 158:1 160:21	leifman's 166:6	149:25 151:8	140:6 142:10
160:21 161:21	<b>length</b> 54:17	linkages 69:14	<b>locations</b> 77:3 88:5
163:2 165:25 166:3	<b>leo</b> 47:20	linked 51:2	lock 57:1,1,22
166:24 167:6,14	<b>lethal</b> 40:3,8,10,13	<b>linton</b> 1:23	<b>locked</b> 15:14 16:15
168:1,18 169:1	40:20,22 150:3	<b>list</b> 8:1 10:12,14	17:9 18:21 25:9
172:3 173:9,15	lethality 28:16	11:12,22 12:17	29:10 31:21 32:9
177:11,17,20	39:16	20:15 53:12,13	42:9,13,15 43:17
laws 152:23	level 15:18,20	66:8 150:3 153:9	44:2,14 51:10 62:7
lay 150:18	33:10,14,19 56:10	listen 173:25	93:3 164:17
layers 76:2	56:12,16,18,22	listened 172:18	locker 27:8 48:19
laying 167:23	57:19 59:16 66:15	listening 67:19	49:8
lead 61:10 64:25	81:22 100:3 106:12	163:21 171:10	locks 43:22
87:16	110:21 136:2 141:2	lit 8:16	logic 5:6
leaders 83:9 84:5	160:2 166:15	literally 143:11	long 7:9 23:24
139:5	168:23 172:23	little 5:15,20 13:17	53:25 62:23 75:12
leading 139:4	levels 56:15	13:21 15:15 16:1	77:7 104:17 125:23
leads 64:8 79:19	library 26:2 60:3	17:2 23:19 24:10	longer 62:14 68:17
leak 66:13	lie 104:22	26:20 32:5 40:21	102:4,15 118:9
leakage 52:4,5	life 2:13 28:7	44:19 81:4 93:11	longest 7:12
54:12 62:9,10	107:19 125:7,8	114:7,13 136:13	look 2:11 5:25 6:23
81:17	lifelong 83:5	137:8 138:20 140:3 171:23 172:9 175:1	7:17 10:7,8 15:19
		1/1.23 1/2.9 1/3.1	23:8,10,21 28:25

[look - members] Page 202

38:13 40:1 41:3	139:22 140:3 144:1	<b>males</b> 17:6	meanings 57:2
46:4,5,21 60:11	144:1,1 156:20	<b>man</b> 37:6 70:1	means 38:11 77:3
61:15,18,19 64:7	159:25 160:17,18	manage 115:6	85:25 141:18,19,21
66:4,5,6,16,25	160:19 164:1	126:14	measure 2:10 46:5
67:24,25 68:4	167:21 171:15,16	managed 47:6	46:7 62:19
70:24 71:6 80:8	173:4	managing 125:8	measurements
81:1,2 102:7	lots 24:4 133:7,14	126:20	83:25 132:17
108:16 122:9	<b>loud</b> 99:14	<b>mandate</b> 140:23	meat 2:8 3:10
124:24 125:2,11,11	<b>lounge</b> 145:21	mandatory 58:12	<b>media</b> 66:13 161:11
125:12,20,25 126:1	<b>loved</b> 99:11	129:18	medical 100:22
126:10,11,19,23	low 37:10 38:22	<b>marjorie</b> 39:8 41:2	121:8 139:1
127:5 128:8,14	52:18,21 74:20	42:5 43:3 44:4 47:1	medication 41:14
129:4 133:4,8,15	95:17,20 123:21,21	48:4 82:15 93:9	41:15 111:3 124:11
134:11 139:18	123:25	marjory 1:1	126:25
143:21 146:18	<b>lower</b> 25:7	mark 58:16	medications 121:10
150:12,14 151:14	lowered 79:24	markings 58:17	121:11 125:3,15
153:12,15 154:4	lowering 79:22	marsha 1:22	medicine 112:7
158:19 172:7	lunch 26:9 38:15	marshall 39:12	medicines 112:5
173:11,11 175:5	43:11,12 136:16	martin 1:22	meet 56:9 106:2,7
looked 5:14 54:24	178:23	mary 169:20	106:12 107:12
59:15 60:17 75:14	lystad 1:23	marysville 38:2	172:5
114:21 169:8,14	m	mass 20:20 27:11	meeting 1:2,11 2:1
<b>looking</b> 4:21 12:2,4	<b>m</b> 175:9	28:16 87:25 89:22	3:12,12 78:9,11
33:18,22 35:21	machete 36:21 37:6	massacre 171:22	86:19 98:6 136:12
44:22 46:23 64:13	macro 59:16 96:1	massive 26:16	136:15,18,19
68:23,23 79:16	mad 174:18	matching 84:21	137:14 138:19,20
90:16 126:12		math 9:22 68:25	143:9,15 152:10
131:12 145:17	magazine 73:12,13 magazines 58:15	mathematical	157:1 159:11
146:22 158:15	maimed 27:3	152:4	177:12,19 178:3,4
160:5,6 164:11		<b>matter</b> 74:6 81:9	178:5 179:5
171:11,19	main 18:9 102:6	144:8 155:7 156:12	meetings 3:16
looks 152:1	107:4	163:13	meets 119:24
lose 90:22	<b>majority</b> 52:16 75:5 103:21 109:5	max 1:15	melissa 1:16
<b>lost</b> 99:11 164:16		<b>maybes</b> 167:22	meltdowns 61:14
<b>lot</b> 20:7 27:9 32:20	making 31:6 70:11	mean 22:25 33:14	member 1:21,22
33:23 34:6,21 35:6	70:12 79:14 93:1	38:11 84:18 93:13	6:11 14:21,25
35:9 43:8 44:15	97:18 112:4 115:10	117:19 148:14	22:15
58:10 61:10 64:11	117:14 121:9,10	152:18 156:18,24	members 1:11
66:5 70:21 72:5	126:4 138:4 151:9	175:18	14:22,24 54:19
85:6 96:6,16 130:6	157:11,12,17	meaning 106:23	75:17 76:22 106:24
130:7 133:23 134:3	male 17:1 74:23	162:6	111:9 135:19,20
			,

[members - murder] Page 203

154:13 178:1,9	microphone 13:17	<b>missing</b> 116:18	126:7 133:13 158:9
mental 32:11,15	21:25 22:1	164:22	158:10
33:1,15 41:12,14	<b>middle</b> 5:16 9:9,13	mission 69:25	<b>moon</b> 176:7
59:2,3,7,13 62:8	9:15,19,19 15:22	mississippi 10:5	<b>morning</b> 2:2,20 3:6
69:14 70:17 84:1	15:24 16:3 17:21	misspoke 108:5	3:23 4:7,8,9 140:1
97:20 104:16 111:4	17:23 18:5 19:21	mistake 45:6	146:4 148:9 162:14
111:8,25 112:2	19:21,23 20:24	<b>mistakes</b> 61:10,16	168:12 178:10,11
114:9 116:1 117:7	21:1,3 23:11 27:22	79:8,19	<b>morning's</b> 137:17
117:8 118:10,10	28:1 35:22 36:5	misunderstanding	<b>mother</b> 7:4 29:7
120:22 121:5,6	41:7 62:15 145:12	137:5	42:4 111:1,15,18
122:19 125:4,24	147:19	mitigate 88:10	112:4,11,12,16
160:18 166:20	<b>mile</b> 61:14	mitigated 73:22	119:20,21,24 120:5
173:15	<b>miles</b> 130:6	78:24	120:9,13 162:11
mentally 84:8	military 169:23	mitigating 93:8	163:22 169:10,24
<b>mention</b> 7:14 15:15	milk 22:16	mix 149:20 154:14	<b>motion</b> 129:21
16:25 19:2 24:23	<b>millage</b> 73:1 89:4	<b>mo</b> 151:10 154:25	move 15:4 22:1
42:11 159:8	million 69:6	<b>model</b> 10:19,20	30:8 49:10 143:12
mentioned 21:19	<b>millions</b> 69:3 70:19	54:16 69:5 153:1	156:23 159:4,6,7
27:24 28:9 31:10	<b>mind</b> 3:17 23:21	153:14 154:5,6	160:9
34:8 36:13 78:10	78:14,21 81:18	157:9	<b>moved</b> 16:18 26:9
88:11 89:3,24	147:23 165:19	<b>models</b> 54:14	43:9,17 54:5
139:21 148:9	168:1	mom 121:7 124:15	movement 30:10
151:23 153:5	mindset 74:15	125:5 127:1 171:24	46:15 147:2
166:15	mines 15:10 22:4	moment 5:2 106:5	moves 43:24
mentoring 87:5	minimum 140:8	106:16 111:25	<b>movie</b> 69:25 70:2
141:17 157:13	<b>minor</b> 90:2,18	119:13 121:1	<b>moving</b> 3:6 46:19
met 66:11 120:3	118:18 161:20	128:12,23 129:1	174:4
130:21 167:9	<b>minors</b> 116:14	131:15,15,18	msd 46:5 145:8
<b>metal</b> 42:10,10	<b>minute</b> 41:1 98:4	<b>moments</b> 131:17	173:20,22
43:19	146:14,24	<b>money</b> 158:3	<b>multi</b> 42:19,19,20
<b>method</b> 147:3	minutes 45:3,24	monitor 66:13	56:19,19 97:1
methodology 140:8	46:2,16,18,20	86:24	multiple 10:10
140:12,13,19	47:11,14 53:19	monitored 17:2	29:22 53:24 85:15
151:13	62:20 67:25 68:3	61:3	94:12
methods 63:20	68:13,13 71:14,14	monster 97:16	multiplied 39:20
mexico 94:8	75:16 145:2,19,20	<b>month</b> 125:12	municipalities
<b>miami</b> 180:4	145:23 146:1	126:7 127:8 158:9	173:10
michael 1:18	170:25 177:25	166:13	<b>murder</b> 4:18 11:10
173:18	178:14,22	monthly 56:9	76:3
michigan 6:9,10	<b>missed</b> 133:16	months 13:4 63:25	
		102:3,4,14 125:11	

[name - occurred] Page 204

n	<b>needed</b> 112:4,18	new 76:24,25 94:8	<b>notion</b> 68:14 73:23
name 98:17	163:16 169:13	95:8 116:11 169:21	notoriety 96:14
names 160:6	176:10	newer 12:25	notoriously 139:2
narrow 128:11	needing 107:9	news 91:17 94:6	november 24:15
nasro 140:15	109:12,21 111:20	96:16	113:11 164:10,10
151:11 154:24	<b>needs</b> 68:7 73:4	newspaper 123:20	165:2 169:3
nathaniel 180:6,17	84:3 105:15 106:2	nexes 66:14	nuclear 61:13
nation 77:14,16,18	106:7,15 107:12,25	nexus 66:14	<b>number</b> 33:6 38:19
146:25 149:8	141:24 144:5 149:9	nick 163:4,12	39:20,21 83:6 92:8
national 37:17	152:25 154:16	169:13	92:24 140:7,21
54:24 55:2,7	172:19 176:21	nickels 15:10 22:4	171:25
140:15 176:17	negative 61:3	nikolas 110:8,22,23	numbering 57:25
	negin 117:18	111:12,19 113:6	<b>numbers</b> 28:19,21
nationally 110:18 natural 131:11	neglect 100:4 101:1	120:2,15 161:6,15	38:22 74:20 95:25
natural 131:11 nature 96:21	103:13,15 108:13	161:16,19 162:6,10	numerous 11:20
109:17 163:9 164:3	118:23 119:6	162:16 163:5,23	nursing 106:14
nces 38:18	124:17,19	164:7,24 169:5,9	122:10
nearby 45:19 49:13	neglected 111:2	169:15 170:4	0
164:23	123:22	171:24	_
necessarily 33:4	neglecting 112:11	nims 93:25 94:4	objective 5:4,5
48:8 119:2 124:16	112:19 124:22	nine 9:5 37:3 39:10	objects 164:15 observable 5:6
127:1	negotiated 8:14	162:4	
	negotiation 17:9,12	nineteen 22:23	observation 64:18
<b>necessary</b> 48:18 61:5 63:14 72:8	neighborhood	23:4 40:5 50:3	<b>observed</b> 18:10 168:18
112:23	31:15	168:24	
neck 27:14	neighbors 100:23	ninety 18:18	obviously 24:25
	106:24	non 71:23 72:11	25:6 27:17 43:3
<b>need</b> 2:18 3:7,19,21 4:12 15:5 25:10,12	nelson 1:12	<b>normal</b> 104:14	76:9 133:23 134:3
28:21 33:5 65:15	<b>nerve</b> 27:3	163:2	occasionally 12:16
	network 117:10	<b>north</b> 169:4	63:2
70:23,24 72:7 74:14 79:23 80:12	never 9:18 12:7	northern 59:20	occasions 26:20
	30:20 60:9 69:11	notable 8:1	77:1
81:11 82:6,7 84:2	69:13 113:17	<b>note</b> 24:13 85:10	occur 7:20 11:13
87:3 89:12 94:13	117:17 123:24	<b>noted</b> 8:21 36:10	23:17 43:8 50:9
106:7,13 124:18	124:16 168:18	40:3 60:21	61:4 88:1 90:14,18
129:11 142:6,14,22 144:23 145:3	173:13	<b>notes</b> 38:9 180:9	174:20
	nevertheless 8:7	<b>notice</b> 16:6 99:3	occurred 8:9 9:2,2
148:17,20,21 149:2 150:24 153:3,3,4	32:6 52:17	notifications	18:4 20:13 23:23
150:24 153:3,3,4	nevin 2:20 3:24,25	139:14	24:24 25:18 33:19
	4:4 13:15 63:24	<b>noting</b> 6:25 8:9	33:20 37:20,22
158:1 159:12,19,21 170:24 172:5	64:4 148:9	26:17	38:4 99:12 113:10
170.24 172.3			
		ral Solutions	

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

occurs 6:5 7:2 11:5	124:24 125:8	143:9 158:24	25:12,20 26:6,8,15
000000000000000000000000000000000000000		160:24 161:2	28:1 31:22 33:18
12:9 33:10,13 34:6	126:21,23 127:20		
38:21,23 77:23	127:23 131:16	opening 139:21	34:5 36:5 42:12
90:8 95:4 133:12	132:8 136:4,6	openly 51:10 62:3	43:11,13,14 45:7
october 3:12 157:2	137:22 138:2 147:6	operate 155:25	47:20 48:1,3,5,22
offer 71:4 99:9	148:8 149:13	operations 98:10	48:23 49:21 56:20
152:24 159:19	150:14 151:14	98:18,21	61:19 62:2 66:19
offered 101:7,9	152:6,13 153:7	opinion 95:14	74:5 85:19 90:5,15
105:6,10 129:3	154:10 155:4	145:9 147:5 152:24	95:4,13 116:5
office 114:21 131:8	156:22 158:4 171:7	opinions 156:21	138:12 177:4
161:5,12 162:1	177:6,7,10	opportunities 79:1	outsider 9:3 16:24
163:11 168:4	oklahoma 35:24	133:7,14,16	outsiders 16:3,5
169:18 170:1,7	<b>old</b> 5:17 7:21 8:24	opportunity 87:19	33:13 40:19 49:19
officer 27:15 34:4	9:4,21 10:4,5 15:9	116:19	overall 15:18 25:7
34:18,19,22,24	15:9,10,11 17:1	opposed 62:23	45:24
35:2,7,15,16,18	18:1,2,6,9 20:16	64:17 73:4 153:24	overcame 21:14
41:24 76:14 85:15	22:22 23:5 26:22	<b>option</b> 149:7	28:5 36:16
86:8 89:25 90:3	27:6,24,25 30:7	<b>options</b> 106:10	<b>overlap</b> 15:25 50:7
138:8,16 144:15	35:23 36:1,4,8,14	<b>order</b> 2:1 48:9	overlapping 96:7
145:11 151:20	36:21 37:2 39:2,13	57:18 58:1,20 90:6	overlooked 51:8
154:8	50:2,21 117:22	110:12,14 118:20	overnight 158:17
officers 40:15	123:2,2,3,5 163:10	124:18	oversee 98:20
55:10,11 68:10	165:3,17	ordered 31:11	oversight 107:15
71:16 77:13 85:15	<b>older</b> 12:23 30:11	ordinarily 103:18	107:16 111:16
86:9 91:3 138:22	104:13 109:5	103:23 105:11	112:3 127:11
139:7,25 140:16	122:14 123:6	131:10	overview 110:21
144:14 145:24	<b>olds</b> 28:11,13,23	ordinary 80:11	overwhelmed
146:7 148:23	29:25 30:9 40:5	<b>oregon</b> 39:2 56:8	88:23
149:17 153:16	once 15:20 40:11	organizations	<b>owned</b> 58:14
155:8,13,19	40:11 43:18 101:1	94:22	ownership 31:8
<b>offices</b> 98:20	107:1 122:25 123:6	original 26:15	p
130:25 141:16	174:10	originally 122:9	page 71:6 165:12
official 13:6	ones 53:8 74:24	ought 16:8 151:24	paid 59:8,8,10
<b>offsite</b> 32:3 34:10	99:11 170:3	151:24	<b>pan</b> 1:17
48:6	ongoing 56:8	outcome 11:18	panel 16:14 44:2
<b>oh</b> 54:25 176:9	107:22	133:19	<b>paner</b> 10.14 44.2 <b>panther</b> 1:6
<b>ohio</b> 24:15,17	<b>online</b> 41:10,11	<b>outcomes</b> 35:21,22	paradigm 56:1
okaloosa 1:16	62:10 94:20	outrageous 8:14	77:4
okay 13:19 39:22	<b>onsite</b> 34:10 40:11	<b>outside</b> 11:15 12:6	paramedic 21:11
75:1,8 87:2 89:21	open 42:11 126:6	15:6 18:14 20:5,14	21:12
91:12 94:5,5 117:3	136:15,17 137:9	21:7,8 23:2 24:21	21.12
	Vocitoret I ac	val Solutions	

	1		
paramedics 139:6	payroll 77:9	<b>periods</b> 125:7,8	petitioned 110:15
parent 1:15,22 6:7	pearl 10:4	126:17,18,18	<b>petty</b> 1:22 80:24,25
41:6 84:6	<b>peers</b> 62:11	permanency	114:4,5 116:7,21
parent's 104:3	penalties 93:4	100:15 102:5,8	118:4 119:12,16
parental 65:10	pennsylvania 26:23	104:2	120:7 121:14
85:20	39:6	permanent 102:11	124:25 127:20,23
parents 29:4 41:6	<b>people</b> 6:20,21 8:20	102:13	131:12
60:9 64:17 65:4	13:16 26:7 31:5	permitted 166:1,4	<b>ph</b> 66:14
84:6 93:1 99:10	35:7 38:9 50:12	perpetrator 35:14	phenomenon 68:22
101:7 136:22 137:1	56:5 65:3 71:21,22	112:13 119:22	philosophically
163:13 173:21,22	72:5,10,14 74:14	124:16,18,20	68:11
<b>parking</b> 20:7 34:5	75:19 77:2,7 80:8	perpetrators 74:23	<b>phone</b> 71:18
34:21 35:6,9 42:21	83:10 88:18,25	<b>perry</b> 159:19	photograph 168:17
43:7	89:4 106:24 129:19	<b>person</b> 21:8 33:7	photographs
parkway 1:6	130:1,7,13 144:1	37:9 48:25 52:11	168:16
<b>parse</b> 95:23	154:14 157:5	52:12 68:15 72:21	<b>physical</b> 25:2 42:8
part 8:4 16:4 24:11	158:20 159:25	104:12 124:7	55:8 57:21 59:4
29:18 54:8 60:23	160:1,2,3 167:18	134:10 169:21	104:17 119:7
65:18 67:3 69:19	169:23 171:25	172:8,12,16	physically 84:9
69:21 80:3 87:1	172:4,25 174:15	personal 108:10	<b>pick</b> 14:23
95:23 113:25 118:9	perceived 14:18	personally 81:4	<b>picked</b> 5:19 15:23
121:21 152:8 174:6	percentage 174:24	172:21	20:22 21:1
particular 3:14	perception 59:4	personnel 62:25	picks 22:18 71:18
7:13 19:9 24:17	perch 7:6	71:12,24 72:12,23	<b>picture</b> 15:18 96:1
28:17 29:15 31:14	<b>perfect</b> 70:21 72:24	73:16,24 78:18	128:8 167:19
32:4,4 35:14 48:12	72:25	83:7 88:13,14,14	pictures 170:11
55:6,10 60:20	<b>perform</b> 100:19	88:16 89:1 93:24	<b>piece</b> 66:23 76:18
64:13 66:23 95:2	104:13 106:21	100:22 111:8	113:4
particularly 82:3	111:13,24 120:18	120:23 138:22,24	<b>pieces</b> 62:24
<b>parts</b> 31:12	121:2 128:22	142:5 148:23	piggybacked 49:22
pass 18:12 160:11	132:21	149:18	piggybacks 36:22
passing 171:2	performed 101:2	<b>persons</b> 38:25 39:3	pilchuck 38:2
<b>path</b> 141:8,9	115:20	178:1	pistol 42:6
patrolling 34:5,20	performing 103:17	perspective 40:23	<b>pistols</b> 9:21 24:7
pattern 24:11	141:22	63:4 65:25 167:10	25:6 27:21 28:4
patterns 29:1	performs 110:4	167:11	<b>place</b> 2:10 9:8 57:1
<b>pay</b> 72:15 73:2 74:1	perimeter 47:21	perspectives 157:6	65:20 68:1 80:12
87:9,10 89:5	57:23 141:19	pertinent 5:18	89:19 101:12,16
<b>paying</b> 172:23	<b>period</b> 5:11 6:12	peterson 86:10	102:11 105:25
<b>payne</b> 92:20	10:6 49:1,3 124:9	petition 107:21	106:8,11 107:10,23
	125:10 166:11	108:3	114:14 124:12,14

[place - probably] Page 207

pointed 55:9	powers 1:22	press 136:23,24
127:16 130:24	practical 88:17	137:7
<b>points</b> 53:19 86:2	practices 151:12	<b>pretty</b> 44:13 53:20
<b>police</b> 1:12,23	172:7 176:21	122:13
18:23 41:24 45:18	<b>pre</b> 62:13	<b>prevalent</b> 93:17,20
77:13 82:12 149:17	<b>precious</b> 80:1 88:9	<b>prevent</b> 68:9 69:12
155:13 164:4	predicative 64:10	93:3
policies 2:9	<b>predict</b> 60:22 70:18	prevented 28:15
political 155:11	predicted 61:17	preventive 55:15
<b>polk</b> 1:20	predictive 64:13	prevents 69:10
<b>pony</b> 146:7	69:5	previous 115:23
poorly 11:23	predicts 10:13	138:20 139:18
<b>pops</b> 12:16 167:16	predominant 23:12	162:12
population 33:18	24:8	previously 3:3
99:20,22 109:6	predominantly	primary 27:22
141:2	31:8 49:21 50:3	50:14 53:19 97:12
portion 178:5	51:11 71:23 121:12	100:6,14 144:22
portrayed 123:19	preliminary 57:8	principal 6:7 9:12
	, <del>-</del>	27:5 36:24 55:24
-		71:11 144:14
	150:24	principals 40:18
_	preparing 48:18	<b>prior</b> 17:20 32:15
_	94:14	41:17,19 65:9
177:1	preplanned 62:12	93:21 122:1 173:8
possibility 24:24		prioritize 84:10
_	<del>*</del>	prioritizing 83:25
94:17 100:14	63:11	priority 88:20
168:17	<b>present</b> 32:12 33:5	145:1,1 157:16,16
possibly 92:11	33:6 52:1 159:23	157:18
178:18	presentation 2:20	<b>private</b> 30:16 48:20
<b>post</b> 50:7 167:3,19	3:5 4:6 65:24 67:19	62:5 117:10,18,23
168:19	98:2,8,15,24 114:6	132:23 147:21
<b>posted</b> 43:12 47:20	137:21,24 148:10	174:16
♣	166:6	privilege 164:17
,	presentations	proactive 70:15
	-	probability 37:10
_	presented 64:2.8	52:21
136:2	<del>*</del>	probably 71:15
<b>pound</b> 25:23 176:5	president 1:23	76:20 139:4 145:25
	:	
powerpoint 137:21	153:13	159:1,2,9 174:23
	points 53:19 86:2 police 1:12,23 18:23 41:24 45:18 77:13 82:12 149:17 155:13 164:4 policies 2:9 political 155:11 polk 1:20 pony 146:7 poorly 11:23 pops 12:16 167:16 population 33:18 99:20,22 109:6 141:2 portion 178:5 portrayed 123:19 poses 108:15 positing 48:23 position 81:11 positive 61:2 65:7 possessions 176:25 177:1 possibility 24:24 possible 13:8 23:9 94:17 100:14 168:17 possibly 92:11 178:18 post 50:7 167:3,19 168:19 posted 43:12 47:20 90:5 165:11,15 166:25 168:16 posts 48:4 162:19 potential 82:6 136:2	127:16 130:24   points 53:19 86:2   police 1:12,23   18:23 41:24 45:18   77:13 82:12 149:17   155:13 164:4   policies 2:9   political 155:11   polk 1:20   predicative 64:10   predictive 64:13   population 33:18   99:20,22 109:6   141:2   portrayed 123:19   posting 48:23   posting 48:23   posting 48:23   postion 81:11   positive 61:2 65:7   possible 13:8 23:9   94:17 100:14   168:17   possibly 92:11   178:18   post 50:7 167:3,19   168:19   posted 43:12 47:20   90:5 165:11,15   166:25 168:16   posts 48:4 162:19   potential 82:6   136:2   presented 64:2,8   presenting 92:21

problematic 64:14
problems 57:12
93:14
procedural 25:2
procedures 63:13
proceed 153:25
proceedings 180:8
180:10
<b>process</b> 11:24 13:3
13:5 20:1 28:6 33:8
33:9 44:15,18
60:24 61:2 66:20
66:21 67:7 100:17
102:10,14,18
106:17,18 108:24
108:25 114:1,14
115:2,2,4,13 122:5
126:5 129:24
131:11 133:5,5
134:8,12 150:19
170:16 171:15,20
171:25 173:3
processes 63:13
98:25 99:5 172:20
producing 96:6
professionals
100:22 111:9 125:5
professions 83:21
<b>profile</b> 10:12,16,20
10:25 22:24 23:3
66:1 75:3,5 116:10
profiles 96:11
program 75:14
77:9 153:18,20,23
154:1
programs 55:18
progress 70:11
135:24
project 64:1
<b>prom</b> 34:21 35:7
48:24 90:1,5

promise 77:16
propane 25:23
54:12
properly 93:2
propose 10:14
proposed 10:18
59:1
prosecution 167:11
<b>protect</b> 80:4 85:18
89:5 171:14
protected 157:25
protecting 89:12
91:8
protection 104:16
119:1 125:18
protections 25:3
<b>protective</b> 98:12,15
98:22,25 99:2,14
99:18,21 100:9
102:7,17,21,23,24
103:1,3,7,8 104:4,4
105:13,24 107:23
108:18 109:2,4,11
109:25 113:10,18
115:16 116:25
117:6 118:21
121:22,23 123:17
123:25 124:13
132:24 168:11
protects 56:13
protocol 45:5
<b>protocols</b> 15:4 59:6
<b>prove</b> 167:5
<b>provide</b> 25:1 66:15
77:21 87:8 104:15
106:10 132:24
139:1 159:14
provided 110:7
113:2,3 116:13
132:22,22

<b>providers</b> 117:8,18
117:23 120:22
providing 48:11
111:15
provision 135:4
psc 1:12
psychological
60:20,21
psychologically
37:8
<b>public</b> 1:2,11 13:6
57:11 91:18 96:12
110:1,7,11,13,16
170:21 171:5,7,8
171:21 172:18
174:15 177:19
178:4 179:5
public's 174:9
publications 94:20
-
pulled 18:13
purchase 30:16,18
31:5 41:22,22 42:5
44:23,23 51:19,23
51:24
purchases 31:6
<b>purely</b> 146:6,6
<b>purpose</b> 4:24 14:19
36:12 40:25 125:6
<b>purse</b> 88:19
pursuant 110:6
<b>pursue</b> 153:23
163:13 165:5,7
pursued 172:22
<b>put</b> 19:14 22:10
31:23 65:25 73:12
77:12,14 83:20
101:11 103:1
105:25 107:9,23
127:18 137:19,21
142:18,25 143:19
144:19 148:2 153:6

167:13	173:6 177:5
pyrotol	6:13
	q

<del>_</del> _
<b>qa</b> 133:5
qualified 172:4
qualify 120:5
<b>quality</b> 52:3 133:9
quantities 22:18
<b>question</b> 5:9 67:18
75:21 86:17,21
87:9 91:14 93:24
94:23 97:3,5,15
114:23 115:18
117:13 121:19
124:6 125:7 126:16
132:11 134:15,21
143:2 144:3 145:6
145:16 167:8
questionable 8:2
16:8
questioned 59:25
<b>questions</b> 19:7 64:4
80:21 86:6 89:15
91:19 93:6 102:17
114:6 119:14 120:8
120:13 121:19
125:2 132:4 134:19
144:9 151:1 152:11
159:15 160:4 170:8
quick 67:23 121:18
quicker 68:18
quickly 46:1 74:19
122:22 147:16
<b>quite</b> 142:9
<b>quote</b> 156:4 165:6
r

r 180:1 race 175:6 racking 20:10 [radio - remarks] Page 209

		1	T
<b>radio</b> 93:14	reading 55:5	recommend 55:7	regiment 124:11
<b>radios</b> 42:25	ready 6:16	57:25 92:5 108:2	regional 26:22
raise 66:22 86:21	real 121:17 151:19	recommendation	57:13 98:20
171:6	155:25 174:3,21	55:25 56:7 66:16	register 152:9
raised 71:3	realign 172:19	92:9 93:2 138:5	registration 58:12
rampant 80:20	<b>reality</b> 76:10 87:24	149:3 152:16,18	regular 34:24
ran 9:7 18:14	158:11	recommendations	regulations 58:11
rancho 15:14	realization 146:11	58:6,8,22 59:11,17	reimbursement
<b>random</b> 95:24	realize 91:7	89:8 93:21 136:1	59:10
randomly 59:24	really 2:13 65:24	138:6 139:23	rejected 127:21
range 22:25 23:2,4	66:15 67:20,23	144:11 149:2 151:9	relate 61:8
23:8,13,16 24:2,21	70:24 79:8 80:2,9	157:3	<b>related</b> 14:4 54:15
25:1 27:23 30:7	89:12 92:14 99:14	recommended 54:9	94:19 162:10
33:2 36:20 41:4	104:21 115:4 122:2	56:22,23 57:20	<b>relates</b> 13:9 128:11
50:6,10,10,11,13	125:25 126:11	60:18,19 66:20,24	relationship 14:3
61:25	129:6 149:2 150:4	<b>reconvene</b> 178:3,20	24:6 26:18 33:11
ranges 29:1	150:24 161:21	<b>record</b> 48:16 153:6	38:24 50:22 58:23
ransom 8:5	169:13 172:9 175:4	177:12	58:24 60:25 94:16
<b>rapid</b> 53:22 63:14	reason 21:14 85:12	<b>records</b> 13:6,6	relationships 65:7
133:6	126:16 147:24	32:22 110:5,11,13	87:6 171:17
rare 23:7 68:22	161:2 174:6 178:13	110:16 134:23	relative 46:22
76:25 77:1,18	reasonable 100:3	160:18,19,20,21,22	51:16,17 55:13
rasperski 73:17	103:13 120:20	161:8	96:9
rate 25:7 31:4 89:4	reasonably 106:1	recovered 28:7	relative's 29:11
174:14	reasons 5:6 12:1	31:2	relatively 8:11
rates 59:10 95:7	63:3	<b>red</b> 39:10 41:1,23	49:25 90:18
<b>ratio</b> 140:9 141:14	reassembled 25:11	41:25 42:23,24	<b>relatives</b> 29:4 51:9
151:20 152:4	<b>rebecca</b> 98:9,17	43:16 45:16 47:22	<b>released</b> 13:4 17:11
<b>ration</b> 84:25	recanted 169:11	57:17 66:10	166:10
139:24 140:14	receive 115:3 128:2	<b>reduce</b> 55:19 63:15	releases 61:12
ratios 91:2	received 52:25	69:16	relevant 151:2
<b>reach</b> 150:8 174:7	100:1 112:22 116:2	<b>reduced</b> 19:17,20	152:19
reached 174:8	163:6	<b>refer</b> 133:1	reload 36:1
reaches 81:22	receiving 111:3	referred 124:13	reloading 73:20
156:18	114:24 115:21	referring 139:11	78:16
reaction 148:11	119:18 125:24	reform 59:1	remain 101:5,15
reactive 175:2	166:18	refused 41:16	165:10 167:4
<b>read</b> 52:8 66:24	recess 98:6 137:14	<b>regard</b> 2:16 88:22	remained 103:3
104:11 170:23	recognize 46:23	regarding 128:12	remaining 170:5
177:12	76:24	138:4	remarks 139:22

[remember - role] Page 210

remember 23:11	requests 160:9	responders 35:23	reviewed 132:12
23:14 33:12 44:22	require 139:20	76:12 92:1 174:24	revolver 42:2
50:6 51:12,12	required 53:23,23	responding 7:7	reynolds 37:24,25
86:11 118:20 139:9	61:18 91:6 129:19	26:25 47:17 49:14	<b>rfp</b> 170:16
165:20 166:5,5	130:3 135:18	70:15 85:9	richard 1:14
remind 10:24	177:23	responds 48:5	riding 162:25
remiss 24:23	requirements	52:22	168:25
removal 58:19	58:18 134:24	response 3:4 18:17	<b>rife</b> 28:10
101:21	156:15	19:11 25:4 49:13	rifle 9:22 20:5,9
remove 82:3	requires 177:11,13	51:5 55:15 56:25	31:12 36:5 42:4
170:25 179:1	rescue 138:17,24	58:2 62:18 68:8,12	<b>rifles</b> 24:7 25:9
removed 101:20,25	138:25 139:5	68:18 70:8 138:8	27:21,25 41:23
109:7 168:18	research 12:10	138:16 139:13	<b>right</b> 2:2 13:24
<b>removes</b> 178:15	93:18 96:2,23	144:24,25 145:2,17	19:8 34:12 58:16
<b>repeat</b> 44:5 50:16	176:16,19,20	153:4 155:15	63:23 66:2 68:6
report 2:23 3:8 4:1	researcher 95:22	156:13	75:15 77:2,3,22,22
45:15 52:5,14	researchers 6:23	responses 70:13	98:3 102:20 117:12
53:16,20 56:9 57:6	10:10	150:3	118:7,16 119:20
59:18 80:13 81:25	reset 84:3	responsibilities	123:23 131:15
82:7 92:9 129:20	residence 164:23	142:13	137:15 138:2
130:3 131:8 133:13	resolved 62:20	responsibility	142:22 143:4 145:5
143:20 162:24	resource 27:15	65:21 85:20,21	145:19 147:10,12
172:12 180:7	34:19,24 35:1,15	142:15	147:15 150:11,16
reported 56:5	35:16,18 55:10,11	responsible 111:17	154:2,10 156:16
161:10 165:11,17	68:10 76:14 80:1	rest 137:10,12	158:19 159:6 160:5
169:5,22	86:7,8 88:9 138:7	141:10	167:13,23 170:17
reporter 129:14	139:24 140:16	result 6:4 12:5 27:3	171:24 173:17
180:6,17	144:13,15 151:20	61:11,17 174:20	178:12
reporters 129:19	153:16 154:8	<b>resume</b> 137:16	rights 80:5
130:16	resources 77:22	rethink 81:12	<b>rip</b> 175:20
reporting 63:12	87:22,23 88:5 89:5	retreated 45:25	<b>rises</b> 100:2
92:10 167:17	154:17 158:6	retreats 47:24	risk 100:12 101:1
169:12 172:8	<b>respond</b> 53:11,12	retrieve 48:17	101:18,19 104:2
<b>reports</b> 57:8,10	53:13 56:18 68:7	retrieved 48:25	108:10,11,14
96:7,9	151:25	<b>return</b> 49:12	123:21,22
represent 14:13	responded 16:17	returned 102:12	<b>rival</b> 90:15
represents 154:21	20:9 21:14 28:15	164:12	<b>river</b> 1:19
request 56:20	33:22 45:22 47:18	revealed 121:12	roamed 9:16
107:22	53:8 54:13 164:20	review 53:14,15	<b>role</b> 86:20 87:2,3
requested 165:10	168:10	162:21 169:18	111:19 116:5 128:1
			128:3,7 141:7,7,11

[role - school] Page 211

	T		
151:5 157:19	157:16	158:18 167:16	43:9,11,14,23 44:3
158:22	sake 85:5	170:9	44:8 45:20,23 46:7
ronik 159:20	sales 58:16	schacther 93:23	46:9 47:13 48:3,15
<b>room</b> 8:17 21:18,20	salutation 146:15	97:15 98:1 136:5	48:18,21 49:11,12
21:22 27:9 99:10	<b>sandy</b> 12:22 16:9	151:8 154:25	49:14,21,24 50:8
138:13 173:25	16:10 20:12 28:8	157:23	50:23 51:3,21 53:1
174:21	29:6 39:13 40:6	schedule 136:14	55:1,8,9,11,22 56:1
<b>rooms</b> 48:20 49:8	41:2 42:3,14 44:1	scheduled 136:12	56:15,16,20,21,22
rosters 57:24	46:2 47:24 49:23	schedules 178:25	57:10,21 58:23
roughly 86:14	53:10 57:5,14,15	school 1:1,21,22	59:14,21,22 60:6,6
<b>rounds</b> 35:24 73:13	57:18 58:10,25	4:17,18,20,22,22	60:11,12,17,21,22
<b>route</b> 83:17	82:15	5:16 6:2,3,11,12,15	61:1,4 62:3,15,15
routinely 44:9	<b>sanity</b> 176:2	6:19,24 7:17,19,22	62:25 63:9,10,11
97:10	santa 12:25 35:15	7:23 8:3,10,23 9:1	63:19 65:17,17
<b>rubric</b> 148:24	41:3 42:7 44:8,12	9:5,6,9,13,13,15,16	66:12 68:10,16,16
rule 155:21	44:13,16,19 45:2,4	9:17,19,20 10:21	69:4,12,15 71:13
run 76:21 92:5	45:9 47:5 48:9	11:1,8,11,13,15,16	72:23 73:3,15,16
162:12 164:15	150:4	12:4,7 14:3,5,11,19	75:17 76:10,13,22
<b>runcie</b> 159:17	save 71:2 92:4	15:1,12,14,17,20	77:4,17,19 78:18
running 75:18	158:2	15:22,24,24 16:1,2	81:23 82:11,16,17
162:7	saw 5:15 26:7 35:8	16:15,16,23,25	83:7,9,13,18 84:5
rural 75:2,6 95:21	40:16 51:23 53:7	17:2,3,21,23 18:5,8	84:18 86:7,8 89:2
154:15,21	56:11,16 60:15	18:10 19:6,10,12	89:23 90:1,6,7,10
rushed 53:2	61:4 70:2 73:16	19:21,23 20:2,3,5	90:16,23 91:7 92:9
<b>ryan</b> 1:22	146:4	20:14,18,22,24	92:22 94:9,12 95:9
S	<b>saying</b> 67:6 71:5	21:1,2,3,4,12,13,18	95:12,12,13,14,16
sacrosanct 129:13	147:3,4 156:7	21:20,21,22 22:4,5	97:4,6,7 100:22
safe 54:15 56:2,2,3	157:7	22:8,10,20 23:1	111:8 118:10
56:5 57:10,21	says 10:19,24 28:13	25:11,19,20,22	120:22 129:23
63:11 65:20 80:12	52:14 71:7 94:18	26:5,7,8,10,14,16	134:7,8,23 135:19
82:7 92:15 100:13	140:8 165:19 167:4	26:17,23 27:8,15	138:7 139:24,25
101:6 167:17	<b>sb7026</b> 135:8	28:1,2 30:25 31:1	140:5,6,6,7,16
172:14 175:9,10,13	scale 40:14	31:18,21 32:5,7,8	142:5,10,12 144:13
safely 101:15	<b>scared</b> 175:19	32:13 33:14,15,18	144:15 145:12
safer 37:14 70:25	scattered 76:11	33:19,21 34:19,24	146:19,24 147:9,11
79:23	schachter 1:15	35:1,15,16,18,21	147:12,25 148:1
safety 1:2 55:13,23	67:21 91:12,15	35:22 36:6,8,13,15	151:20,21,25 152:1
57:11 59:14 63:12	93:10,13 94:5 95:5	36:22 37:19,21,22	153:9,11,16,22
63:19 92:22 100:7	96:1,13 133:21,22	37:24 38:3,16 39:2	154:6,8 157:25
100:15 101:5,13	136:21 146:9,10	39:10 41:7,8,8,19	160:18 165:13,16
102:9 104:1 133:6	147:11,13,24 149:5	42:3,8,16,25 43:2,6	171:17 174:13
102.7 104.1 133.0			

[schooled - session] Page 212

schooled 20:23	secondary 25:5	seeing 95:24,25	separation 39:19
schooling 115:9	<b>seconds</b> 75:16 81:9	164:2	september 2:17
schools 4:11 5:12	secret 4:14,25 10:9	seeking 172:3	3:11 110:23 113:8
11:4,5 16:3,3,5	10:11,23 52:9	seeks 29:16	113:16,20 143:3
18:17 19:3 21:16	64:22 96:4	seemingly 33:6	152:22 162:15
21:22 23:11,11	secretary 1:17,18	seen 18:17 35:18	sergeant 18:24
27:20,22,23 33:12	1:18 67:16 71:3	50:25 51:8 55:2	series 57:7 59:10
34:16,17 35:22	74:18 98:9,18	89:21 134:20	serious 152:24
36:17 37:7,15	116:22 121:15	sees 86:24	seriously 17:25
49:18 50:9,12	124:25 127:16	segment 143:9	18:1 83:15 90:20
52:18 54:15 58:4	130:8 151:15 153:5	<b>segue</b> 2:4 3:11	<b>serve</b> 4:24 109:2
61:23 62:3 63:8	166:14	87:16 140:1 160:11	service 4:14,25
65:8 68:15 69:9	section 177:21	160:12	10:9,11,23 42:2,3
70:14 74:5,6 75:6,6	<b>secure</b> 53:5 172:14	<b>seize</b> 166:2	52:9 64:22 94:1
75:7 79:23,25	securing 55:21	seizure 166:4	96:4 104:14 105:6
84:21 85:16 90:23	<b>security</b> 14:7,11	<b>select</b> 84:11	109:1 118:21
90:24 91:3 94:10	15:4,7 21:3 26:25	<b>self</b> 91:24 103:15	<b>services</b> 54:5 70:17
94:18 95:10 141:2	43:20,21 44:3 49:6	108:13	98:12,15,22,23
147:10,18,19,19,19	51:15 55:8 57:21	selfless 83:10	99:2 101:7,8,11,14
147:21,22 158:6,8	76:2 87:8 96:5	<b>semi</b> 24:7,7 25:9	101:16,16 103:7
158:11 171:21	141:23 142:2	27:21 28:10,12	105:2,4,10,11,11
175:12	157:16	31:12 41:23 42:4,6	105:14,17,19,23,25
science 174:6	see 5:11 6:9 8:22	sen 65:23 142:8	106:1,3,11 107:9,9
176:17	10:1 12:9,15 13:11	149:24	107:10,23,24
sciences 54:24 55:3	13:13 15:20 19:22	senate 91:5	108:19,22 109:12
55:7	27:19,23 30:10	senator 1:21 65:22	109:21 112:20,23
<b>scope</b> 40:24 128:11	31:7 32:21,21	142:7 149:23	112:24 113:1,18
152:17	33:23 34:16 35:13	<b>send</b> 144:4,4	114:9,24 115:1,3
<b>scoped</b> 27:25	35:16 38:20 39:18	170:11 175:15	115:17,21 116:2,13
<b>score</b> 39:17	45:14 51:18,19	<b>senior</b> 1:17 39:10	116:19 117:1,6,8
<b>screen</b> 104:11	56:4 67:13,14	74:18,19 75:1,8	118:6,9 121:6
105:7	71:22 74:2 78:16	sensational 26:21	123:11,12 124:7
seamless 139:13	78:17,17 82:1,18	<b>sense</b> 80:18 116:6	125:24 127:14,17
<b>sec</b> 67:17 74:19	83:6 91:20 100:2	129:16 131:24	129:3,9 132:12,16
75:1,8 121:17	103:12 125:7 132:1	sentinel 75:13	132:20,21,24,25
123:24 151:17	137:12 145:23	separate 137:4	139:1 166:15,16
154:12	150:8,15 160:25	separated 8:15	168:11
<b>second</b> 5:9 9:3	161:20 162:25	separately 57:14	session 87:21
20:15 56:18 85:12	163:8,8 166:12	136:4	136:13 137:9,11
134:14 139:20	174:16 175:18	separating 67:3	138:11 150:10,20
163:1			150:21 159:7

[session - situations] Page 213

170:22,24 171:2	78:3 83:2 84:15	162:17 165:2,13,16	108:23,23 110:4,4
177:11 178:13	86:6 89:3 91:15	174:11	113:9,17,18,19
set 2:6 4:13,20 5:24	98:16 130:23 132:9	<b>shootings</b> 4:2 32:13	117:5 129:5,5
12:8 14:22,24	144:20 151:23	58:23 60:11 92:2	130:20,21 133:11
17:12 25:19 30:3	153:2,18,24 154:7	92:17 93:19 94:9	133:15,15
49:24 50:4 51:22	155:4 157:5 159:18	95:12,16 96:17	sight 90:22
52:18 60:5,9 64:6	sheriff's 20:7 117:2	97:5	signals 93:16
151:22	130:25 161:5,12	<b>shoots</b> 6:3 7:10	signature 180:15
sets 6:17	162:1 163:11 168:4	43:18 44:1 45:16	significant 27:18
<b>setting</b> 102:13	169:18,25 170:6	48:1	59:23
settings 79:24	sheriffs 89:1	shopping 8:12	significantly 27:2
seven 9:6 17:6,10	149:17	short 126:19	135:16
37:3 40:20 116:24	<b>shift</b> 10:1 16:21	shorter 68:2	signs 10:22
117:1 131:1 164:7	40:21 52:22 114:11	shortly 49:4	<b>silos</b> 133:24
seventeen 9:4 39:7	119:12	<b>shot</b> 7:12,22 9:17	similar 60:14
39:8 40:4 99:23,24	shifted 27:12	9:22 16:13 17:16	174:11
99:24 109:3 114:10	shifts 16:2	17:17,20 18:18	similarities 10:15
123:1,3 165:17	<b>shooed</b> 73:11	20:8 22:11,12,13	96:8 99:3
168:11	<b>shoot</b> 26:15 29:15	26:7 28:4,5 31:16	<b>simple</b> 75:21
seventy 8:19	45:17 48:24 49:9	31:22 35:11 36:1	<b>simply</b> 33:2 97:11
severe 41:14 82:14	49:11 60:8 70:3	36:15 43:4,14 47:8	108:16 162:12
severity 32:24	145:21	47:8 48:2 49:5	simultaneously
sexually 17:10 22:6	<b>shooter</b> 7:20 10:13	52:23 53:1 60:1	17:18
<b>share</b> 44:16 135:21	10:21 35:10,17	78:19 165:5	<b>single</b> 4:17 41:6
160:23 171:23	36:13 38:4 43:2	<b>shotgun</b> 9:11 36:9	42:20,20,21 64:9
172:15 174:16	48:11 59:22 75:25	42:2,6 44:9 47:8	64:10 138:16
shared 81:24	76:23 90:4 91:5,10	59:23	172:22
114:14	96:20,22 97:7	<b>shotguns</b> 24:8 25:7	singular 29:24
sharing 134:1	138:16 139:11	27:20 28:12 41:22	<b>sirbola</b> 173:18,19
135:6,17	146:14,19,22,23	<b>shots</b> 35:8	177:7,9
<b>shef</b> 64:25	147:1 148:8	<b>show</b> 30:19 66:7	site 34:22 40:12
<b>shell</b> 58:17	<b>shooters</b> 26:4 42:15	175:24,24 176:3	88:10
<b>shelter</b> 31:23 57:1	75:25 86:1 96:15	showing 72:20	sits 26:2
sher 64:5 75:11	97:2,4,6,22	shows 14:2 16:23	<b>sitting</b> 134:16
84:16,25 85:4	<b>shooting</b> 2:25 6:5	37:17 46:6 47:25	situation 86:10
87:18 132:10 133:1	6:24 7:1,5,18 25:17	68:21 72:9	113:13 118:24,25
144:21 154:23	26:14 31:9,13,15	shutdown 57:24	120:20 125:20
155:5	32:6 35:6 36:8	<b>side</b> 38:10 64:13	150:9 168:1 172:6
sheridan 9:14	43:10,25 46:14	73:18 101:23	172:21
<b>sheriff</b> 1:11,16,20	47:6 53:1,22 60:6	103:11,25 104:5	situations 2:25 3:1
4:7 75:10,11,20	69:12 89:24 90:14	107:14,17,18	4:3

[six - start] Page 214

	I	1	1
six 4:10,21 21:17	64:5,21 65:9 66:18	164:10 173:6	sprinklers 42:23
21:17 23:4,5,6	67:17 74:24 75:4	<b>sort</b> 5:10 19:11	<b>spur</b> 5:2
36:21 37:2,22	78:5 79:4 81:1	40:20 75:3 81:3	spurred 5:3
39:14 40:15 41:3	82:25 84:23 85:2	90:17 114:15	<b>sro</b> 34:4 37:25
45:3 46:16,18,19	89:20,24 93:10,20	115:12 118:6	43:10 44:3 47:11
53:18 54:25 73:13	94:15 95:19 96:8	125:13 131:5	47:20 48:4,9 49:13
98:20 125:11	96:18 97:24 98:3	sorts 54:12 115:10	63:10 76:6,16,18
145:19 147:22	150:1	<b>sought</b> 110:11	76:20 86:12,13,20
155:19 157:21	<b>smoke</b> 146:21	<b>source</b> 29:16 51:9	91:2 141:7,8,11
158:9 164:3	148:2	sources 30:12	144:22 149:6 150:5
sixteen 7:11 10:4,5	smoking 51:4	<b>south</b> 20:18	150:8 151:5,9
26:22 27:6 28:12	sneak 62:4	space 8:12	<b>sros</b> 34:6,8 40:15
40:4 41:5 165:3	<b>social</b> 66:13 84:1	<b>spaces</b> 48:20 62:6	47:10,18 65:7
168:8	societal 69:19	sparking 35:8	66:11 71:24 72:16
<b>sixth</b> 1:13	<b>society</b> 84:3 85:18	<b>speak</b> 117:24	73:2,25 84:17,22
<b>sixty</b> 37:19,22	85:20	129:16 150:10	87:3 137:20 138:4
107:20,21 122:12	socioeconomic 75:3	<b>special</b> 41:7 176:21	141:15 142:3,4,5
122:12 134:17	75:5	<b>specific</b> 2:21 3:7,18	142:13,16 146:6
175:15	<b>soft</b> 94:24	4:22 54:14 57:2,20	148:22 149:17
<b>size</b> 140:6 156:2	<b>solely</b> 170:5	59:16 138:6 139:23	152:14 154:5
<b>skinner</b> 1:16 79:4	<b>solid</b> 143:18	specifically 36:12	157:11 171:12
152:21	solution 146:23	55:1 96:9,10,11,24	<b>stab</b> 24:20
skipped 38:14	173:12	178:2	stabbed 27:13
slashed 26:24	somebody 6:3,3	<b>spend</b> 80:4 144:17	stable 106:6
slaughtered 78:1	22:24 23:2 32:9	<b>spent</b> 58:10	stacks 60:4
<b>slide</b> 13:14 14:2	71:12,18 73:4,21	<b>spike</b> 174:17	<b>staff</b> 1:23 9:11,17
15:17 45:1 71:6,6	74:2 78:22 80:10	<b>spill</b> 4:19 11:11	14:21,22,23,25
139:17,19 141:1,6	81:20,24,25 118:16	59:14	27:7,12 34:1 49:12
142:1	119:7 130:1,10	<b>spills</b> 36:25	63:10 75:17 76:10
<b>slides</b> 137:25 143:1	132:3 134:2 143:11	spinning 130:5	76:21 77:8,19 79:1
slideshow 105:8	144:12 149:25	<b>splits</b> 28:25	83:14,18 88:12
slightly 15:19	150:9 167:3	<b>spoke</b> 83:17 92:16	91:7 172:24 178:1
31:24	someone's 80:14	<b>spoken</b> 85:22	<b>stand</b> 13:16 21:24
<b>slowing</b> 68:15	somewhat 30:13	sporadic 47:16	standard 54:3,8
<b>small</b> 8:12 28:19,21	57:16	sprawling 86:15	66:4 92:18 151:22
61:10,16 79:7	son 29:9	145:13	151:23 152:3 156:6
95:25 147:8 176:6	sons 165:1	<b>spree</b> 15:13 31:13	156:6
smaller 26:11	<b>soon</b> 92:19 178:6	<b>spring</b> 76:19	standardized 56:11
53:13	179:2	<b>springs</b> 31:9 71:19	58:4
<b>smith</b> 2:21 3:24 4:7	<b>sorry</b> 13:18 42:14	93:15	<b>start</b> 3:9 4:12 5:10
13:18,21,24 14:1	45:11 140:13		10:1 12:9 25:12

[start - suggested] Page 215

30:10 31:7 86:19	steal 62:2	stories 97:2	38:2 40:9,9 42:16
98:5 99:13 102:20	step 70:24 131:10	<b>story</b> 8:7	47:6 48:14,14,22
114:15 137:9	176:15 177:4	straggler 2:18	52:14,19 55:12
150:23 159:12	<b>stepped</b> 60:3,3	<b>straight</b> 53:3 142:3	56:3 62:16,16 63:6
160:7,9	stepping 69:21	175:19	63:7 65:4 90:9,15
started 23:20 35:6	173:22	stranger 7:21 8:24	91:8 97:19 176:22
51:4 54:3 67:24	steps 35:8	9:14,16 16:13,19	<b>studied</b> 61:13
99:8	steve 159:20	16:22	studies 10:10 84:17
<b>starting</b> 5:13 82:10	stick 27:7	strangers 15:8	150:13 176:17
82:18 175:25	stigma 82:3	21:16 63:7	study 10:17,23
starts 12:24 15:7	stockton 8:23	straw 30:18 41:22	stuff 115:12 148:14
state 1:13 24:15,17	<b>stole</b> 18:7,7,7 29:25	44:23 51:23	<b>style</b> 44:10
56:10,12,12,14,21	30:7,9 42:1 43:16	street 7:22 30:15	subdue 37:2
56:22,23 57:25	stolen 29:4,5,23	31:4 51:18	subdued 9:25
60:12 89:1 98:21	42:6 92:25	streets 31:5	<b>subject</b> 104:9,23
101:22 109:8,9	<b>stoneman</b> 1:1 39:9	strings 88:19	107:5 108:16,18
121:5 131:1,8	41:2 42:5 43:3 44:4	strong 22:6 56:6	110:2,25 111:23
134:24 136:2 156:6	47:2 48:4 73:7	89:7	112:12,22 113:13
156:7 167:10	78:22 81:23 82:15	<b>struck</b> 67:19	119:5 120:1,14
177:22 180:3	86:9 93:9 136:23	structure 83:23	128:15 130:21
<b>stated</b> 32:15	165:18	94:1 155:14	144:7 146:12 155:7
statement 95:18	<b>stood</b> 79:6,21 81:7	structures 42:19	156:11 161:24
97:19 169:11	<b>stop</b> 20:11 34:6	struggle 23:25	174:1
statements 80:22	68:8 76:6 78:7	stuart 1:17	subjective 39:25
88:4 89:8	81:11,18 82:19	<b>student</b> 6:6 7:3 9:2	suburban 75:2,6
states 4:3 92:19	92:1 144:18 146:2	9:4,19,24 10:4,5	95:21
94:25 95:4 156:2	146:13,23 147:1	11:10 14:12,21,25	successful 50:17
statistical 28:20	148:4 149:10	15:2 16:10 19:22	94:2
<b>status</b> 118:11	156:21	20:13 27:11 28:2,4	successfully 32:9
<b>statute</b> 105:21	stopped 11:24	29:15 30:25 31:24	63:1
106:10 108:9 122:8	21:15 27:4,15 36:7	33:21 35:19 40:7	<b>suffer</b> 174:2,4
127:4,5 128:17,23	40:15,16,19 71:8	41:25 61:1 73:11	suffered 84:6
138:3 140:8,23	71:10,11,15 74:2	82:3	174:25
177:18,20	74:17 81:14 92:12	<b>students</b> 8:5,11,19	suffering 106:5
statutes 99:16	92:17 163:1	9:18,23 10:3 14:12	sufficient 88:14
103:5 108:4 110:2	<b>stopping</b> 71:23,25	14:13,16,17,23	127:10
statutory 119:24	71:25 72:10 74:16	15:21,21 16:7	<b>suggest</b> 85:24 87:1
126:15	<b>stops</b> 36:20 46:10	17:24 18:15,20	141:25 146:5
stay 114:25	storage 58:18	22:7 24:16,18 26:1	155:19
staying 157:11	stored 93:3	26:24 27:10,13	<b>suggested</b> 54:14,18
		31:23 33:15 37:3,4	55:1,18 96:23,24

[suggesting - teeth] Page 216

suggesting 21:24	surprised 83:6	tagged 50:23	116:23 125:4 130:9	
74:13 155:18	94:11,15	take 10:6 17:25	133:3 140:2 146:12	
suggestion 142:17	survey 37:17 61:5	18:1 38:9 41:1 67:6	148:2,8	
143:5 150:19	65:18,19	84:13 85:10 86:9	talks 14:8,8	
suggestions 74:13	survive 70:1 175:4	86:18 89:19 90:20	tamarac 79:13	
143:7,23	survived 22:13	91:13 98:4 131:10	<b>taped</b> 22:11	
suggests 51:1	susan 92:20	131:11 133:15	target 29:14 94:24	
<b>suicide</b> 4:18 7:25	suspect 148:4	136:19 137:10	95:1 119:19	
8:6 11:10 17:16,17	suspicion 100:3	138:12 139:18	<b>targeted</b> 4:10,13	
17:19 32:2 34:2,12	103:13	142:15 150:14	5:12 6:11,24 7:18	
34:13,14 35:20	sustainability	160:8 167:19	7:19 8:3 9:18 11:1	
38:1,12,17 40:11	77:11 155:23	170:21,24 173:14	11:15,17 32:7 37:7	
40:16 45:2 47:21	swat 17:14,16	176:1 178:4,16,22	90:11	
47:24 174:14	47:21 48:7 54:18	179:1	targets 94:23	
suicides 34:11	54:19	taken 64:6 78:23	taser 27:16	
92:17	swearingen 1:14	112:5,8 122:20	task 56:8 138:17,25	
summaries 170:8	152:7	168:23 172:20	139:5 152:9,14,15	
<b>summary</b> 161:9	<b>swift</b> 147:18	takes 102:14 133:4	<b>teacher</b> 6:6 9:23	
162:3	synopsis 161:9	178:17	17:5 19:3 20:9 28:5	
summer 90:23,24	<b>system</b> 56:14 57:3	talented 77:19	28:6 35:25 36:2,6	
sunrise 1:7	59:2 60:18,19	talk 4:8 5:22,23	36:10,11,16,20	
sunshine 152:23	69:15,16 114:12,24	11:5 14:15 17:22	38:17 52:23,24	
177:20	115:23 117:3,4,7	24:10 25:15 44:19	71:11 85:21 146:17	
superintendent	119:8 121:24	46:3,12 52:4 53:14	teacher's 145:20	
1:20 83:5 159:17	124:17 133:17	87:22 91:2 98:11	<b>teachers</b> 8:19 18:16	
superintendents	134:6,7 146:13	99:5 120:21,22,23	19:13 34:1 37:1,3,6	
153:10,11	<b>systems</b> 14:12 61:7	123:15 137:18	40:18 53:7,10	
supervising 169:6	61:17,22 63:12	139:16 149:15,16	72:14 83:8,17 84:4	
support 178:1	77:4 79:7,17	168:9 169:8	84:10,11,11,12	
<b>sure</b> 10:19 13:12,16	139:13	talked 10:1,24	91:23,24 92:3,5	
13:21,23 34:12,23	t	18:23 20:12 36:3	teaching 86:23	
37:13 50:24 67:12	t 180:1,1	36:10 55:16 57:14	87:6 141:16	
70:12 82:23 94:5	tab 137:23	60:13 61:6,7 66:5	team 70:16 155:15	
101:14 109:22	table 142:18	69:18 79:5,12	teams 69:8 135:18	
112:5 115:10	144:19	114:7,12 120:16	135:20 139:6	
117:14,15 119:15	tackled 35:25	125:22 134:25	technically 40:7	
121:9,10 133:8	tacoma 15:15	139:3 140:14 142:9	teenage 163:19,23	
136:20 137:3	tactical 45:19	149:9 150:1 176:23	164:5,13	
148:22 157:11,12	47:23	talking 46:12 55:14	teenagers 55:17	
157:17 159:16	tactics 63:21 76:15	62:22 68:2 80:1	teeth 175:21	
170:9	00.21 /0.10	84:18 88:6 116:1		
Varitant Lagal Colutions				

[tell - three] Page 217

tell 19:18 23:24	84:16 89:14 91:12	129:22 130:7	159:2,12 171:13
29:13,20 33:4	91:15,15 95:5 98:1	131:25 132:5	third 46:9 73:17
34:23 40:2 44:12	98:3,16 105:20	137:17 138:3	thirteen 5:17 18:6
55:5 61:24 63:5	114:5 124:25	139:15 140:10	23:5 27:25 29:25
67:12 69:2,18 70:1	127:23 132:10	141:1,18,24 147:16	35:23 36:14 40:19
72:3,13 73:7 81:20	136:5,6,9,9 137:13	147:16 148:12,15	163:18 164:25
88:25 89:1,2 92:15	138:13 149:24	150:1 153:16	thirties 50:5
155:9 167:18	152:7 156:22 171:9	155:10 162:22	thirty 7:12 8:25
telling 65:16 81:10	172:17 173:17,20	173:1 176:22 177:6	15:9,10 19:24 22:4
174:22	177:8,9 178:6	think 2:3,14,19	23:6 36:4 69:1,1,7
tells 18:25	179:4	3:19 8:2 17:19,23	147:22 158:7
temporarily 8:15	thankful 171:11	19:2 32:17 33:20	178:21
temporary 58:19	thanks 63:23 64:3	48:2 50:21 51:7	thorough 65:24
ten 18:20 22:9	75:8 136:8 176:14	64:1,19,21,23	142:23 143:18
54:25 158:4 163:10	theft 29:17 30:2,23	67:11 68:4,5,13,20	thought 5:13,18
163:15,15 164:15	30:24	68:25 69:8 74:19	7:17 31:19 57:3
tend 28:11 95:21	thin 44:19	78:13 79:5,10 80:3	140:21 160:7
tends 50:6,11	thing 10:9 23:14	81:17 82:1,2,4,19	thoughts 143:8,23
tens 68:13 69:3,6	39:17 51:21 58:25	82:19 87:18,24	150:18 158:24
70:19	62:22 68:5,20 79:5	88:3,15 89:10,12	thousand 37:18,21
<b>term</b> 77:7 104:17	79:21 80:15 88:2	90:21,22 91:8,13	73:3 86:14 87:11
terminology 56:24	88:17 91:11 129:13	91:19,22 92:6,11	95:11 145:13
56:24 138:19	138:5 141:4 144:16	95:22 97:13 103:23	175:16
139:10	149:5 159:8 167:16	109:24 116:7,17,17	threat 10:18,20
terms 30:3 46:24	174:3	116:18 121:18	14:14,17 16:2
57:1 62:1 69:5	things 2:17 3:10	126:23 132:4,6	54:16 55:4 56:14
122:6 127:11 133:4	6:7 10:22 17:25	133:24 134:1,13,17	60:19,23 61:1 63:8
terribly 44:6,7	28:14 31:16 33:2	135:2 141:3 142:8	64:9 69:8 70:16
terrorism 94:11	44:21 46:14,15	142:21 144:5,23	96:10 113:25
terrorist 50:23	56:4,11 57:15 58:3	145:3 146:10 149:9	118:18 129:24
94:22,24 95:3	61:13 64:12 65:25	150:1 151:5,8,22	135:18 165:24
<b>terrorists</b> 94:19,20	66:7 67:23 68:21	152:5,24 153:8,12	168:9
testimony 114:8	72:10 74:17 79:5	154:12,14,16,20	threaten 176:6
tests 60:20,21	79:11 81:7 82:5	156:10 157:9,24	threatened 165:13
texas 7:1,2,5	86:16 87:5,7 88:11	158:1 159:21	threatening 28:8
<b>thank</b> 4:4 13:19,19	91:21 92:11 105:1	160:15 161:3	52:17
13:24,25 21:23,25	105:25 113:22	166:14 173:1	threats 14:5 23:9
22:2 26:3 45:11	115:10 118:12,14	174:12 175:1,3,14	70:14,15 167:8
63:22 64:5 65:23	119:7 121:13	175:23	three 9:11 14:1,3
67:17 79:4 80:25	124:12 125:3,16	thinking 16:21	15:8 17:1 18:18
81:1,6 82:24,25	126:21 129:10,20	23:22 61:7,18 79:7	28:5 34:10 36:1

[three - trying] Page 218

39:21 40:10,16,17	127:12,19 128:1,3	tornado 19:10	114:13 115:5,14,16
45:8,13 52:23 57:6	128:4,7,12 129:8	tornadoes 19:12	116:3 118:15
57:14,16 61:14	139:10 141:10	toro 180:6,17	transitioned
68:3 73:3,3 77:12	142:11,19,23	total 68:24	111:18 121:4
77:15 86:14 87:11	143:16,21 149:1	totally 70:4	transmission 167:7
92:24 134:17	153:4 155:22	touch 46:22 130:14	167:9
145:12,20,23	156:25 157:1 159:4	166:23	transpired 173:10
161:11,14,17	159:9 166:1,3,5,11	touched 58:18,19	trapped 32:3
163:20 170:2,5	166:13,18,24 167:6	touching 130:2	trauma 19:3,4,13
173:7 176:5	167:14 168:2,6	tough 81:2,4	19:14 27:16 172:2
threshold 12:3	174:12,18 176:3,7	tour 20:4	174:20,25
52:18 79:22,24	177:10 178:16,24	toured 20:3	treat 139:8 175:17
<b>threw</b> 164:15	timed 25:24	tower 7:1,5 79:14	175:21 176:24
<b>throw</b> 144:16	<b>timer</b> 6:18	<b>town</b> 6:21 97:9	treated 54:22
<b>throwing</b> 163:20	times 28:6 33:23	townville 20:17	117:22
thrusts 61:20	34:8,10,10 36:1	track 97:4	treatment 59:8
thurston 39:1	40:10 52:23 53:7	tracked 97:9	125:14,15 127:1
ties 113:22	73:14,16 78:25	trade 50:9	tremendous 158:2
<b>tightly</b> 44:13	100:11 112:3,17,18	traditional 41:7	trench 44:10,12
time 6:12 7:8 10:6	130:20 135:14,23	157:19	97:8
12:10,11 16:11	timing 44:20 45:4	tragedy 79:9,19	trend 138:21
17:12 18:20,24,25	154:18	84:7	triangulated 13:7
19:5 35:4,13 44:11	tip 56:12 92:10,12	tragic 37:7,8,9	<b>tribal</b> 41:24 45:18
45:5 46:3,5,6,7,8	92:14 119:18	52:20 61:11 68:21	<b>tried</b> 40:23 43:17
46:11,12,13,22,24	today 11:3 98:23	train 77:20 80:8	174:14
47:3 48:1 52:7	114:13 142:9,19,24	84:10 91:23 92:2,5	tries 45:17
53:25 54:17 56:16	144:3 159:11 178:4	trained 19:4,12	trigger 124:23
58:10 61:3 67:7,8	<b>told</b> 4:25 16:25	53:5 54:20 58:2	triggers 26:3
67:24 68:1 69:3	36:3 41:21 44:24	63:1,9,10 76:5 77:6	
71:18 74:4,7 76:13	52:9 53:17 59:21	154:6 172:4	truck 6:18 22:16
76:25 78:10,12,14	65:15	training 36:11	true 88:2 101:22
78:16 80:4 86:25	toll 19:1	52:25 53:23 88:12	180:8
87:20 89:17,18	tolls 38:22,23,25	88:13 94:8 139:12	truly 121:3 172:15
94:13 101:3 102:23	tomorrow 127:8	transcript 180:8	trust 80:16 173:23
107:25 108:1,20,22	tools 172:10	transcription 180:9	try 13:8 39:15,18
109:23 110:3,12	top 7:4 21:23	transfer 9:4 71:20	81:15 93:3 101:3
111:4,12,22 113:20	topic 2:22 3:19	115:15 116:3	102:4 114:17,22
113:23 114:25	83:4 142:21 143:15	121:20	149:12 171:22
115:19 119:22	148:21 159:7	transferred 114:19	trying 66:14 80:4
121:6 124:9 125:13	topics 2:21 136:18	transition 2:14	114:20 115:17
125:16 126:2 127:6	149:15	102:16 109:16	116:8,16 117:14

[trying - video] Page 219

118:3,5,13 126:17	145:2 146:1 158:9	undertake 64:1	utilizations 32:14
131:14 138:10	162:20 163:3,14,23	unforced 40:16	utilized 24:3,5 25:1
145:21 146:14	164:4,13 165:1	45:2	25:5 63:22
152:3,23 172:11	167:6 173:9	unfortunate 160:14	<b>V</b>
turn 4:4 114:15	type 8:21 24:25	unfortunately	
118:7	30:1 58:13 64:15	82:22 87:23 91:25	<b>vacuum</b> 79:14
<b>turned</b> 10:16 18:13	65:5 70:8 118:25	133:10,18 178:24	164:8
35:9,10 113:15	122:18 139:25	<b>uniform</b> 175:20	valuable 64:2 89:5
turns 19:9 109:15	148:14 162:7	unique 30:13 57:15	value 88:21,21 89:6
114:25	175:20	87:19 95:2	variable 39:19
tvs 70:6	types 4:22 58:14	uniqueness 96:21	variation 40:24
twelve 18:2 34:2	141:18 167:7	united 4:3 94:25	varies 29:5 38:20
36:8 102:3,4,14	typical 19:5 50:11	95:4	variety 63:17
133:13 164:23	typically 42:22	universally 53:21	<b>various</b> 48:15
twenty 4:9 5:22	46:12 81:24 122:14	university 7:16	61:11 134:22 135:7
7:21,24 8:24 16:11		50:8 59:19,20	vary 46:24 89:20
25:23 26:24 37:18	u	60:10 61:21	vast 52:16 103:20
37:21 38:25 39:3,5	<b>u.s.</b> 4:6	unknowable 5:8	109:5
39:14 40:5,20 41:5	<b>uber</b> 46:6 47:4	12:1 63:3	vegas 139:3,4
47:14 68:23 69:2	ultimately 9:7	<b>unlocked</b> 9:22 17:3	vehicle 18:7 20:6
76:21,21 92:19	88:19 127:20	17:4 42:10,13,13	20:17 24:15,18
103:18 111:5	unable 19:18	42:18 43:1,18 62:3	42:3 43:17
117:21 145:12	126:14 153:17	62:7	vehicles 24:14
161:15,17,19 162:2	unarmed 43:22	<b>unsafe</b> 101:10,19	25:20 26:5 51:7
169:2,17 170:4	49:6	unsecured 43:10	verbal 164:24
178:21	unavoidable 77:23	unusual 32:5 52:20	165:1
twice 20:8 36:16	undergone 36:11	80:9	verified 133:12
two 7:24 8:25,25	underlying 55:24	unwilling 89:2	165:21
9:8,21,23 10:2	undersheriff 1:19	upsetting 91:16	verify 167:2
15:10 16:11 19:24	understand 15:3	urban 75:2 154:15	versa 154:19
21:9 22:4 23:6	77:5 96:24 114:20	154:21	versus 84:19 95:1
25:18,23 26:19,23	115:17 116:9,16	use 27:24 28:11,23	95:20
28:3 30:17,22 36:2	117:15 118:13	29:17 30:2 51:20	vice 154:19
36:4 37:3 39:1,3,5	126:17 134:4 147:8	60:20 93:16 132:17	vicinity 45:20
39:11,14 41:6	150:6 153:24	145:8 172:10	victim 1:15,22
43:19 45:8,13	158:18 172:10	useful 10:25	49:10,10 107:4
47:10 53:16 56:15	understanding 2:8	uses 94:4	108:13 123:22
60:4,19 73:2 79:5	131:18 132:7	usually 34:1 102:2	victim's 108:10
92:8 95:10 98:24	understands 70:22	utah 27:6 50:20	victims 54:22
105:1,24 106:10	understood 62:11	51:6	138:25 139:8
107:4 109:22 116:8	120:19		<b>video</b> 70:6 78:11,16
			78:21 164:17

[videos - weigh] Page 220

	157.0 159.05	94.16 95.5 109.22	
videos 69:18	157:2 158:25	84:16 85:5 108:23	we've 13:5,6,7
view 28:21 38:13	walked 18:9,12	109:22 142:18,25	35:18 51:8 57:9
59:3 131:20 134:17	73:8,10 102:19	144:19 150:18	64:12 66:5 69:23
violate 152:23	walking 48:12	152:22 169:23	69:24 72:19,22
violence 4:10,22,23	75:25	wanting 155:13	73:5 74:12 76:9,24
6:2,8,24 11:1,4,6,8	wannabe 50:23	wants 121:16	77:3,6,24 79:23
11:9,13,14,14	want 3:18 5:23	127:14 167:4	81:13,15 83:19,20
37:15 55:16,23	10:8 18:3 22:23	176:23	83:21 88:11 114:7
60:22 69:9,23 70:5	28:18,18 32:13	war 6:14 54:4	116:9 118:17
81:15,24 82:11,17	33:20 41:1 46:3	warning 163:4	134:20 140:11
90:8,12,13,17	50:15 52:22 53:14	warrant 161:21	143:25 144:1,7
95:13	61:24 62:19 63:5	warranted 112:23	153:1 155:24,25
<b>violent</b> 11:3 52:17	66:18 72:6,8,14,15	warrants 98:13	156:22 169:7
75:19 81:20 95:1	72:15,16,16,17	142:21	<b>weapon</b> 17:4 24:20
116:10	73:6,23,24,24,25	wasting 155:22	25:13 29:6,7,14,16
virginia 54:15	73:25,25 74:1,11	watch 51:14 77:25	29:16 30:1,24 31:1
60:18	74:11,12 75:23,24	78:11,15,15 175:19	31:2,3,11 48:24
visit 95:6	75:24 76:4 78:2,7	175:24	49:2 51:17,17,19
<b>visited</b> 97:8 119:17	80:6 81:8 82:9,9,22	watching 78:21	51:20 53:5
<b>vital</b> 131:18	84:7,8 90:12,22	175:21	weapons 7:8 11:7
vocational 50:8	99:8 101:23 103:20	water 163:20	18:7 24:3,5,8,9,14
<b>voice</b> 13:21	105:19 113:6 114:6	way 2:4,10 3:11 6:1	24:25 25:1,5,8
voluntarily 118:8	116:8,21,23 117:15	6:9 8:8 11:20 12:14	27:18,23 28:16
vstag 60:18	121:17 123:15	13:23 14:5 16:9,13	29:3,9,10,18,23,24
<b>vu</b> 174:10	126:7 132:19	16:14 18:11 19:16	30:6,8,9,12,14,15
vulnerabilities	136:20 137:3,18	20:2 23:3 25:20	30:16,23 31:6,7
14:11	139:16 141:15,16	29:5,8 30:21 32:18	41:21 44:11 46:17
vulnerable 104:9	143:14 144:6,8,12	34:20 36:22 49:6	48:15,17,19 50:14
104:10,24 105:4,18	144:14,14 146:5	61:22 62:4 65:12	50:15 51:9,15
107:5 109:20	147:1,2,15,17	67:13,14 68:6	55:17,20 62:2
110:25 117:6 120:4	148:16 149:3	69:11 70:5,7,16	63:20 92:25 165:12
120:10 121:4 122:6	150:16 151:15	74:17 81:13 87:16	169:5,22
122:7,21 128:21	153:5 158:12,12	91:10 99:18 103:8	<b>wears</b> 44:9
131:19	159:13,22 160:3,23	112:14,19 124:17	weave 118:14
W	161:2 165:7 166:23	140:1,22 147:18	<b>web</b> 56:13
	170:9 171:6,13	153:19,24 158:14	website 82:12,13
wait 76:16	172:18 173:1 175:5	160:11,12 172:20	week 69:25 89:18
waited 18:14 20:6	175:12,18,20	174:3 175:4 176:14	weeks 143:7
43:7	wanted 2:16,22	ways 48:15 88:23	weigh 116:22
waiting 48:23	17:22 27:10 38:9	156:8 173:12 177:2	121:16 151:15
walk 76:2,20 99:2,6	40:1 80:22 83:18		
143:15,24 156:25			

[weight - zone] Page 221

weight 169:6	<b>wish</b> 165:5	writing 173:6	york 95:8 169:22
welcome 4:4 98:14	wishes 153:23	written 153:19	young 22:9 50:4
127:24	witness 52:9	177:23	59:25
<b>welfare</b> 84:1 169:9	witnesses 159:14	wrong 21:10 94:16	youth 30:5 37:19
169:15	woke 173:21	95:16 104:12 157:8	114:9 115:19
went 20:2,5 31:20	<b>woman</b> 168:14	172:25	<b>Z</b>
54:23 57:24 59:15	176:6,8,8,9,12,12	wyoming 9:14	
109:23 110:10	woods 18:14,19	X	zachary 161:6,16
111:6 113:17,24	word 119:20		161:18 162:6
119:17 120:13	<b>words</b> 94:16	<b>x</b> 142:15	163:23 169:6,9,13 169:15 170:5,6
122:1,2,22 124:1	work 3:8 4:5 12:21	y	zero 68:24 99:23,23
125:21 147:1	26:3,17 63:24 64:3	yards 7:13 18:19	109:3 114:10,15
150:11 170:3	70:23 72:9 81:6	<b>yeah</b> 66:18 71:1	115:20
west 15:9 22:4	91:9 123:9 171:15	145:5 152:13	zone 19:10 139:9
73:18	worked 26:12	154:11,25 156:18	<b>Zone</b> 17.10 137.7
westglades 86:12	81:14	167:15 176:9	
145:10	workers 36:18	year 4:9 5:22 9:4	
westside 18:5	<b>working</b> 21:12,13	9:21 10:4,5 15:8,9	
<b>wheel</b> 130:5	35:4 36:7	15:10,11 17:1 18:1	
<b>white</b> 92:23 143:5	workplace 11:14	18:2,6,9 20:16	
143:11 150:25	works 72:19,20	26:22 27:6,24,25	
158:24 175:2	<b>world</b> 6:13 54:4	28:2,11,13,23	
wide 56:12,14,21	72:25 76:25 156:1	29:25 30:7,9 35:23	
56:22,24 57:25	175:4,6	36:1,4,8,14,21 37:2	
59:1 61:25 63:17	<b>worse</b> 40:1	39:2,13 40:5 50:20	
156:6	worth 6:25 8:9	50:21 51:7 54:11	
<b>widely</b> 89:20	26:17	89:18 99:24 123:2	
wider 23:8 27:23	<b>wounded</b> 6:20 7:24	123:2,3,5 125:12	
50:13	8:25 9:6,24 18:20	158:10 170:16	
wife 6:17 7:4 8:13	19:1,15 21:9 27:4	171:21	
8:16	28:4 31:24 35:24	years 7:21 8:24	
willing 77:19 87:10	36:2 37:10,13	23:5 53:16 57:6 68:23 69:2 104:13	
88:19,20 129:8	38:20,21,23 39:1,3		
willingness 47:15	39:5,7,9,11,14,22	117:22 158:4,16,16 163:10,15 165:3,17	
88:18	39:24 47:12,23	173:7 174:13	
window 44:2 45:18	wounding 38:24	yesterday 69:17	
68:2 164:14	wounds 7:11 19:13	79:13 94:7 135:1	
<b>windows</b> 43:4 145:21	28:7	135:12 136:22	
wise 149:3	wrap 106:4	139:21	
wise 147.3	wrestling 9:24	137.21	
	1	1	1

800-726-7007 305-376-8800