1	MARJORY STONEMAN DOUGLAS HIGH SCHOOL
2	PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	VIRTUAL COMMISSION MEETING
10	
11	February 24, 2021
12	9:00 a.m - 11:22 p.m.
13	
14	Florida Department of Law Enforcement
15	2331 Phillips Road
16	Tallahassee, Florida 32308
17	
18	Reported by:
19	JEFFREY R. BABCOCK Court Reporter
20	For the Record Reporting 1500 Mahan Drive - Suite 140
21	Tallahassee, Florida 32308
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
APPEARANCES:
   BOB GUALTIERI, CHAIR
   BRUCE BARTLETT
 3
   MIKE CARROLL
   DOUGLAS DODD
   JAMES HARPRING
   GRADY JUDD
 5
   MELISSA LARKIN-SKINNER
   CHRIS NELSON
 6
   RYAN PETTY
   MARSHA POWERS
   MAX SCHACHTER
   PAM STEWART
   RICHARD SWEARINGEN
 8
   KEVIN LYSTAD
 9
   SIMONE MARSTILLER
   JOSEFINA TAMAYO
10
   JACOB OLIVA
   SHEVAUN HARRIS
11
   ATTENDEES:
12
   PATRICK CROUGH
13
   TIM HAY
   TONY MONTALTO
14
   THOMAS JAMES
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## PROCEEDINGS 1 2 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: All right. I've got 3 about three minutes after 9:00, we're going to call 4 the meeting to order. Jennifer, if you would, please 5 go ahead and call the roll. MS. MILLER: Okay. Good morning. We have 6 7 Commissioner Bartlett? I can see you on there, but 8 you're muted. 9 COMMISSIONER BARTLETT: Yeah, I'm here. 10 sorry, I just undid it. MS. MILLER: Okay, Senator Book will not be 11 12 Commissioner Carroll? joining us. COMMISSIONER CARROLL: Here. 13 14 MS. MILLER: Commissioner Dodd? 15 COMMISSIONER DODD: I'm here. Good morning. 16 MS. MILLER: Good morning. Commissioner 17 Harpring? COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Here. 18 MS. MILLER: Sheriff Judd? We'll come back 19 20 to Sheriff Judd. Commissioner Larkin-Skinner? COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I'm here. 21 MS. MILLER: Commissioner Nelson? 22 23 COMMISSIONER NELSON: Here. 24 MS. MILLER: Commissioner Petty? 25 COMMISSIONER PETTY: Here.

MS. MILLER: Commissioner Powers?

4

2 COMMISSIONER POWERS: Here. 3 MS. MILLER: Commissioner Schachter? 4 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Here. 5 MS. MILLER: Commissioner Stewart? COMMISSIONER STEWART: Here. 6 7 Commissioner Swearingen's in the MS. MILLER: 8 room with us. Commissioner Lystad? 9 COMMISSIONER LYSTAD: Here. 10 MS. MILLER: And Sheriff Gualtieri. 11 Secretary Marstiller? 12 SECRETARY MARSTILLER: Here. 13 MS. MILLER: Secretary Tamayo? 14 SECRETARY TAMAYO: Here. Present. 15 MS. MILLER: Mr. Oliva? 16 CHANCELLOR OLIVA: Here. MS. MILLER: And Secretary Harris? 17 18 SECRETARY HARRIS: Here. 19 MS. MILLER: Sheriff Judd, did you join us? 20 I see you on there, but it's muted. Okay, so for right now we have everyone except Senator Book and 21 Sheriff Judd. 22 23 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Okay. We've had some 24 changes in personnel, Secretary Marstiller has 25 switched in her role from DJJ over to AHCA; Secretary

Harris welcome; and Secretary Tamayo, thank you for being with us. So we've had some shifts with the ex officio members of the Commission, I just want to make sure that we welcome you and announce that, and thank you for being with us.

We thought it was important to, even if somewhat briefly and via Zoom here and remote, be able to just get together and talk about a few things that are ongoing and get some updates. We had hoped that this meeting would be an in-person meeting, but again because of COVID, that's not possible. I hope that before the end of the year we will be able to do an in-person meeting down in south Florida and continue the Commission's work, and we'll have a discussion about that at the end, about the thoughts y'all have, and where you want to go.

And so this meeting this morning, as you can see from the agenda is more of an update, kind of a check-in status. We're not calling witnesses, but we will get some presentations -- or receive some presentations on where things stand.

A couple things I want to cover up front, I think first and foremost, is for the legislative session. We know that the two bills that were pending in last year's session, House Bill 7065 and Senate

Bill 7040, which were fairly extensive bills, and they were merged together towards the end, and they literally died on the floor in the waning moments of the 2020 legislative session. Sometimes those things just happen for a variety of reasons, and so we did not have a school safety bill that passed in 2020.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I've been in contact with President Simpson and with Speaker Sprowls, and they are willing to consider a school safety bill this year. asked representatives of both chambers to craft a committee bill that's in the process of being crafted, so we don't have that yet -- I had hoped that we would be able to have something to share with you at this juncture. I don't have anything to share with you, but I hope we'll have something when session starts next week. But you know, we're still in plenty of time, especially with committee bills, and they've had it now for several weeks, and I know that they're working on it. So as soon as we have the proposed committee bills, we'll be able to share that with you.

I can tell you from my discussions, though, with members in both chambers is that what they're looking to do is to try and get passed much of what was in 7040 and 7065, because we thought it was important last year, it remains important or we

wouldn't have asked for it, and that's the consensus of this Commission, and so we want to pick up where we left off and try and get some those things passed.

This is going to be a very tough session for the legislature because of how they're operating. You know, this is -- you know, the senate doesn't have anybody in at all, it's all remote; the house is limited, I think committee meetings are going to be, you know, somewhat limited. And so -- and they've got a lot of work to do as far as COVID and budget, et cetera, so I think the approach is going to be is to see if we can get through the things that didn't get through last year, and then for anything new, or old as they would say, that's probably going to have to wait until next year.

So -- but let's see where the bill comes out, and as soon as it comes out, we can share it. If we need to have another meeting to talk about it, we can certainly do that; but until we see it, we really can't have much more of a discussion other than me to share with you what I know, which is that it is going to pretty much track what was in 7065 and 7040 largely; not totally, but largely. So does anybody have any questions or anything on that as far as where we are in the legislative process for 2021?

Okay. I want to update you just on the Guardian funding. As you know -- and as I received a lot of questions about that, as you know, the original funding which was 67 million, some of it was used, the short version is is that there was a pot of money sitting there until spring of last year when, in the COVID situation crisis, they took that money for budget purposes, so it left no funding for the training of Guardians.

And we had discussions with Commissioner

Corcoran about it, I know he's had discussions with

the governor's office about it, but right now where it

is, unless anybody from DOE, Chancellor Oliva, if you

have any update or anything you want to add to that,

but the way it is right now is that each individual

district is having to foot the bill for the Guardian

funding. I know we have a class that is finishing

here now in Pinellas that we're doing, and I'm billing

the school district for it, so -- and they're going to

have to pay for it.

So if there's a way that the state comes up with money in this interim period, then they can reimburse the districts; but right now the last word I had is is that it's in the works but it hasn't been approved yet for funding, so -- and then we'll see for

next year whether in the FY '21/'22 budget, whether the legislature appropriates any money for the Guardian program. But right now, that's where it is.

Chancellor Oliva, is there anything you want to add to that or --

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: I think you pretty much summed it up well, and we look forward to working through this session; and the governor, through the proposed budget, has doubled the previous allocation and shown a strong commitment for securing these dollars in the future, but we're just navigating through this school year now and working with each district, so --

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Yeah, and the districts, you know -- and I know that there -- they do get their safe school allocation, so they do have, you know, money, and I believe that the sheriffs around the state are doing what I'm doing, which is to just bill the school district and -- because the Guardian training has to be done because it's a requirement that you have a safe school officer on every campus, and we were able to, from operating, if you will, the -- some of the equipment is that we were able to get together with all the superintendents and the sheriffs, and DOE was great about this and very

helpful in this, is is that with some of the end-of-year money from the last fiscal year, we were able to purchase some of that equipment.

So really the bill that's on the table was really the actual cost of training and the backgrounds and the screening; so the psychologicals, the drug screens, the cost of the trainers, some of those things; but stuff like uniforms, equipment, ammunition, targets, you know, the hard costs, all those were already covered, so you know, I think DOE was great and should be recognized for really trying to help everybody out to get in the best possible place. But we'll see what happens, and we'll keep you updated on the Guardian program. Anybody have any questions on that?

Next thing I want to talk about is the -- and update you on is the Broward radio tower issue. I've had some communications with County Administrator Bertha Henry down in Broward County. We know that they needed 16 towers to make the system come to life and to replace it and -- the old radio system down there. They had that stalemate in Hollywood over that 16th tower. If you'll recall, they decided to move ahead and replace the system with just the 15 towers. And what I've been told by the county administrator

now is is that the City of Hollywood has now decided that it will not oppose the 16th tower to be placed in West Lake Park.

So they're going to move ahead with it, but it's going to take some time to erect it, so that they are making -- have made progress with that. The good news is is that Hollywood has backed down from their opposition, and they're going to move forward with it. But right now it's operating on 15 towers, they don't have the 16th, but the good news is that they appear to have permission from Hollywood, or a lack of opposition from Hollywood, so that should be moving forward.

The best I can tell, and I'm going to follow up with some of the stakeholders down there, again, in the near future, and certainly before our next meeting, which will hopefully be an in-person meeting, is a situation with ORCAT, the Office of Regional Communication and Technology in Broward where we had that presentation; and our last meeting where there was a lot of discord, and probably to some degree dysfunction. It seems to be better, and it seems to be moving in the right direction and that's a good sign. So if I get anything more on that, I'll let you know, and I'll certainly get an update. What I hope

to do is to bring people in, bring witnesses in and get updates and all, but we'll do that when we have our next in-person meeting.

The grand jury's third interim report of course went out -- we sent that out to you all, and that went out in December; you all have a copy of it. And the grand jury is continuing its work, I believe that they were supposed to be done in a year, but because of COVID, the Supreme Court extended it, and I believe they're getting close to wrapping up; but when we actually have a final report from the grand jury, I don't know. Of course, their proceedings were all confidential under law, so -- but I think that they're getting close to wrapping up, from what I understand. So that's about the best I can tell you as far as the grand jury's concerned.

So anything -- any questions on any of that, Broward County, radio towers, ORCAT, grand jury?

Commissioner Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Sheriff, the grand jury made several recommendations in the latest report. Do you know if the legislature has any intention to put that, you know, into the school safety legislation? You know, I would certainly like to -- you know, for the Commission to recommend those

recommendations, or are you going to talk about that later?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: No, we can talk about it now. I know, Mr. Schachter, I know, okay, that the legislature's very aware of the recommendations in that third interim report by the grand jury. I don't know whether they are going to include those in any legislation for this year, given the circumstances that we're in.

I can tell you is that, you know, one of the things that's in there, while it seems maybe at first blush to be something that would be, you know, quote, "a good idea," or it has some appeal to it is the recommendation in there about the school police departments being abolished or coming under the sheriff. That is not as easy as it sounds; in fact, it's much more complicated. So I don't think that any big lift like that is something that the legislature could or should undertake; that's very complicated, and it would take a real study. And when you're taking entire police agencies, you know, like in Palm Beach County, Miami-Dade County, Duval, Pinellas, and all these that have many, many, many cops, and a whole infrastructure, and how they're set up, that is not something that can just be done easily or quickly.

And maybe there's a question, while I see where they're going with that; in discussions I've had with other sheriffs, it would require a lot of consideration before something that bold was done.

So if those are the things you're talking about in there, I don't think that that would -- that particular item would or should happen this year.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Would you also put the banned district-run building departments in that category, or would that be a much easier lift?

easy lift at all, either. I don't think that's an easy lift at all, either. I don't think so. And again, I don't speak for the legislature, I know they're aware of that, but I think that anything like that where you're taking these major pieces of infrastructure and shifting them to a smaller component of government would be something that really has to be studied and really has to -- there has to be a true transition plan if that were to happen, and it's really got to be studied from an unintended consequences and an impacts perspective.

I don't know -- we have Commissioner Dodd, who's a school board member, I don't know if you hear anything along those lines, or whether you share that sentiment. I can speak to the issue about police

departments more so than I can about the school
building departments and that issue, but I don't know
if there's anything you want to add on that? You

don't have to.

COMMISSIONER DODD: I -- no, I mean, I kind of agree with your perspective on that, it would be a pretty big push on that.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: So I think that those are things -- and I also think, you know, Mr. Schachter, that this is -- and while the grand jury report speaks for itself, it is an interim report. And I also think that, you know, for some people that I've talked to, they really want to see the final report by the grand jury, and hopefully we'll get to see that this year.

And I think it's also important to see that final report and what's in it, because that brings all of the grand jury's work together in one place with everything, and all their final recommendations, because they're still working. So you know some may say well, that's a recommendation, the city interim report, then it might be premature even until we see the final report from the grand jury.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Right. Right. Okay.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Anybody have anything

else on any of that? So I think most of you are aware of Alyssa's Law, that was a separate bill that passed the legislature last year, but a panic alarm system in all of the close to 4,000 schools in the State of Florida; and there was funding for it, there was a procurement process; Commissioner Harpring, represented us, meaning the Commission, during that procurement process. And I'll just ask him to give us an update as to where that is.

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Thank you, Sheriff.

Just did an ITN for the mobile panic alert system went out, there were ten submissions, we went through multiple days of multiple presentations every day, and that was a very interesting process to be a part of.

I want to compliment the DOE staff and the SMEs for their participation and everything that we were able to bring to the table in terms of evaluating presentations.

And for the most part, they were very, very well presented and thought through, so at this point in time, regarding the ITN, nine of the ten companies that submitted the proposals have been recommended to the DOE secretary. There are three -- it's my understanding that there are three notices of intent to protest, the substance of which I don't have any

idea, but those should be -- well, could be required 1 2 to be finalized within ten day's notice or the issuance of rather a recommendation --3 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Hey, Jim, we're having a 4 5 hard time hearing you, at least I am, I don't know if anybody else is. I don't know if it's on your end, or 6 7 Jennifer, is it somewhere in the line, but we're having a real hard time hearing you. A lot of 8 9 background --10 COMMISSIONER HARPRING: How about that, is that better? 11 Still about the same. 12 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: 13 COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Okay, well, I'll try 14 to speak up, I've never been --15 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: That's better now. 16 COMMISSIONER HARPRING: I'll try to do that. 17 Most people are happy not to hear me --18 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Because it's hard to 19 hear, so can you kind of recap a little bit? 20 COMMISSIONER HARPRING: Okay, Sheriff. 21 people are happy not to hear me, I'll try to get 22 through it this time. 23 So nine out of ten of the proposals were recommended to the secretary of DOE, and there were 24 25 three protests that were filed in that regard; those

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

would have to be submitted by tomorrow -- it's my understanding there's a ten-day window -- so the process is still ongoing, but I wanted to compliment the DOH -- or excuse me, the DOE staff and the SMEs, everyone was very interested and involved in going through the process.

Additionally, as I say, we met for a number of days throughout the day on these presentations, so we're hopeful that within the time frame allotted that we'll have all of those protests completed, as well as, you know, a final -- final determination from the secretary. And it's possible that you know, more than one is selected, but that's something that's outside of our purview.

I did want to just very briefly in just a few seconds circle back on the legislative and -- and being close and familiar with a number of, you know, state senators and representatives, I do want to echo that, what Sheriff Gualtieri said, that they are aware of what's going on.

I do become a little cynical, though, because for certainly Mr. Schachter, Mr. Petty, the other families of the victims and the survivors, this is real for them, and certainly real for all of us, and -- but as time goes by, sadly to say other

priorities do take place. We did have COVID, there are economic concerns, so I think to the extent that we can stay visible and stay vocal for our desires relative to school safety legislation, I think that's very, very important. Thank you, Sheriff.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: All right. Anybody have any questions for Commissioner Harpring? Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yes. In relation to the Alyssa Law appropriations, I know those -- I think there were nine you said that were approved, how is that going to roll out? I mean, we have to have this in place by next school year, which is August, so I'm just curious, when will districts know about the funding mechanism there for those approved contractors?

COMMISSIONER HARPRING: I'll defer to Mr. Oliva on that.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Go ahead, Mr. Oliva.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: Thank you. So if I could just kind of speak to this topic in a broad brush, the ITN process has not been complete, so I don't think it's fair for us to be talking about something that's currently going through a process, and then when that information is finalized, we will be able to provide

2.1

that support shortly there coming; but this is something I don't think we should be talking about in a public forum until we've finished that entire procurement process.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Yeah, fair enough. And it is still ongoing, so we'll just you know, leave it at. I think that where we really wanted an update was the fact that there was a process, it occurred; that it's still ongoing. I think it was publicly announced that there was an award, but there's protests, and that's probably about all to be said at this point. So we'll just leave it there for now, and then as soon as we can, we'll come back and update y'all on it.

All right. We're a couple minutes ahead of schedule, which is good. The next thing that we need to do is here is to review and approve the meeting minutes from the August 31st, 2020 meeting. Y'all received those, take a look at them, does anybody have a motion to approve the minutes?

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I'll make that motion.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Okay, the motion is by Commissioner Larkin-Skinner. Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER LYSTAD: Second.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Who -- Commissioner

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Carroll, was that you -- or, no, Commissioner Lystad was the second. All -- any discussion on that? All in favor signify by saying aye.

(Chorus of ayes.)

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Any opposed, same. All right, said motion carries, the minutes from the August 31st, 2020 meeting are approved.

The next thing we have on the agenda is Director Tim Hay who is the new director of the Office of Statewide School Safety, the Office of Safe Schools, at the Department of Education. And Tim welcome, and we'll turn it over to you for your presentation on the update -- Tim's going to do two things for us: He's going to update us on the Office of Safe Schools and where they are; and also, we recently updated -- a couple additional questions, but we recently updated the ongoing survey that we've been doing now for the last couple years, where the districts are in compliance with either the law and/or school safety best practices. So Tim has a two-part Tim, I'll turn it over to you. presentation for us.

DIRECTOR HAY: Thank you, Sheriff. And I just want you to know that you, your department, and community have been in our thoughts; what you've been going through there, I want you to know that.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

Commission members, you know, those of you that I haven't had an opportunity to meet I wanted to give you a little bit about my background. I have a Bachelor's degree in Criminology from Florida State University. I've been a sworn law enforcement officer since 2011, and I served as the Senate Sergeant At Arms for six years.

I came on board with the Department of Education on October 1st, 2020, and it is great to be a part of this incredible team here with the Office of Safe Schools. There's a lot of talented men and women that wake up each and every day with school safety being a -- really a mission, and it's great, and it humbling to be a part of this team. Director Damien Kelly had had a huge impact, and deserves a lot of credit for establishing such a strong foundation.

I wanted to share some of my recent activities. It was important for me during, you know, the first couple of weeks of coming into this role was to visit Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School. I had an opportunity to visit there, I toured the campus, met some teachers and faculty, got to visit with them and get their perspective. I also had the opportunity to meet with Commissioner Schachter and Mr. Tony
Montalto and just visit with them and share with them,

and just really listen. You know, they've done an incredible thing on improving what we do in regards to school safety, and it was great to be able to spend some time visiting with them, and I'm in regular contact with them as well.

I've had the opportunity to speak with the Florida Sheriff's Association members, and the Florida Association of District School Superintendents. I was able to visit with Sheriff Gualtieri and Superintendent Grego, and you know, trying to get plugged in and get involved at the district level.

I've also conducted virtual meetings with our school safety specialists, as well as participated in school safety check-ins with the regional staff. Just last week I was able to observe a full-scale school safety exercise in Seminole County at the invitation of Captain Rick Francis. And Captain Francis has just really been, you know, proactive in everything that he's done in regards to school safety, and he really tends to set a high bar, and then keep raising it.

And I just wanted to recognize him, you know, for his leadership in the school safety community. He has always been generous with his time and expertise, and he'll be transitioning into a new role within Seminole County Sheriff's Office, and I wish him all

the best, and we wish him all the best in his new mission there. I'm looking forward to an increased presence in the district, and I'll be more to happy to invite Commission members to join us on visits in your area.

So, talking about our regional staff, the Office of Safe Schools regional staff provide technical assistance and guidance on best practices for school safety and security. The region staff make announced as well as unannounced compliance visits to schools to review all safety and security practices. Since the beginning of this school year, staff have completed over 750 school monitoring visit. They share any concerns with the district and the Office of Safe Schools for prompt and continued follow-up to ensure requirements are being met. Again, our focus in everything that we're doing is to remain proactive with our school safety and security.

Specific elements of noncompliance are laid out in statute. The laws will serve as our guide for compliance monitoring, and further support for schools and districts. As compliance requirements expand, our office will provide ongoing support to districts to ensure clarity, consistency, and continuity across the state for all aspects of school safety measures.

The primary focus of our region staff it to look at the potential for specific vulnerabilities, and communicate those concerns of non-compliance within schools, including public charter schools and the district at large. As our staff go around to the state to visit schools, we're always identifying opportunities to provide more clarity to the districts. The Office of Safe Schools is currently working with our legal team on establishing a rule to be brought before the June's dated board meeting for approval.

The rule language is still in development, and will clarify requirements related to school safety including reporting, training, and monitoring. We'll be certain to share any updates on the rule with Sheriff Gualtieri.

One of the tools we use is the Florida Safe
School Assessment Tool, or FSSAT. This has been the
subject of a few Commission briefings, and you have
had the opportunity to provide us feedback. This tool
allows schools and officials to identify threats,
vulnerabilities, and appropriate safety controls.

In addition to the individual school and district assessments, compliance monitoring is documented within the FSSAT. And I'm pleased to

report that in this past year, all the districts and school assessments were completed as required.

In support of the Comprehensive School Threat Assessment Guidelines, known as CSTAG, we adopted in 2019, we have a process the focuses on behaviors that pose a threat to school safety; that serves as a preventative measure to identify needs and provide support to students. For this reason, we often refer to them as CARE assessments.

In the summer of 2019, we hosted three state-wide threat or CARE assessment trainer-to-trainer sessions for approximately 90 district staff. Last fall, the office started conducting supplemental training for schools -- for school threat assessment teams statewide. We are evolving the program to include annual threat assessment refreshers and overview presentations to raise awareness of the nature of the program. Threat assessment is a model of care for students long-term. Staff have conducted 42 training sessions since October 2020, with another five scheduled. 324 participants have been trained since January of 2021.

Office of Safe Schools staff continue providing technical assistance and training to districts and school-based teams to strengthen efforts

1 | 2 | 3 |

to ensure state-wide consistency, and create sustainability for threat assessments. And this really helps us take that proactive approach to being engaged in that way to provide that support for our students.

Another tool we utilize is School
Environmental Safety Incident Report, known as SESIR.
The new stand-alone SESIR rule was approved by the state Board of Education in May 2020. The rule was drafted to address concerns raised by this Commission.
The new rule provides clear reporting guidance and updated definitions, as well as specific requirements for training and accountability. It was developed with input from districts and agency partners, including FDLE and DJJ.

33 SESIR training sessions, 22 virtual and 11 in person, have been conducted during this school year with additional training scheduled. Every district has access to either the online or in-person training. The Department is pursuing more frequent collection of SESIR data which will help us and the districts improve data quality. The accuracy of SESIR data is a significant focus of the Office of Safe Schools, and one identified by the statewide grand jury in their latest report.

And we mentioned Alyssa's Law which was passed by the legislature in 2020, and we're grateful for Senator Book for her leadership on this bill.

Each public and public charter school will implement a mobile panic alert system by the fall of 2021.

Systems will ensure real-time coordination among first responders and transmit 911 calls and mobile activations. On February 15th, the Department announced its intended decisions to contract with nine vendors for this service.

Now, I'd like to talk to you about the results of our district survey that we conducted last month.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Hey, Tim?

DIRECTOR HAY: Yes, sir?

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: If you don't mind, at this point, just going through the survey and maybe it would be easier for Commissioners. If anybody has any questions for Director Hay on the presentation regarding the Office of Safe Schools and some updates, if you want to ask them now, go ahead while it's fresh. Does anybody have anything for Director Hay on what he's presented so far? Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you. Thank you, Director Hay. You and your team are doing a

great job, so thank you for everything you've done there.

You know, in reference to SESIR, is there a requirement that every district receive mandatory training? I know that there's a website with some training on it, but I'm wondering what the statute says as far as mandatory SESIR training from the state.

DIRECTOR HAY: Yeah, that was developed in the rule that was approved May 2020, and in the rule it does require that the superintendent identify someone to be trained in SESIR.

think that that is sufficient? You know, I know that training is a major issue with the accuracy of the reporting. You know, I personally, you know, am doubtful that we're going to have a hundred percent accuracy if you do not have every principal or assistant principal undergoing this training; I think it's critical. So I'm wondering if you think that is sufficient that you have a superintendent appoint one person, or it needs to be strengthened?

DIRECTOR HAY: Yeah, thank you for that question. So it's whoever the superintendent determines, so it's more than one person, typically;

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

and so I think the engagement we've had with the districts is that they're being proactive in reaching out to the training, I think -- and that's all about fostering those relationships; that's been a huge help having those region team members out in the field to establish those relationships.

I think the more frequent reporting is going to be a huge help so that if there is some numbers that either look high or low that we can be reaching out and correcting and providing the training that's necessary to make sure that there's consistency statewide.

So that's a huge focus of mine is -- and the office, is just to make sure that what we're receiving is consistently being reported. You know, you're only as good as the data that you have, and we want to be intentional where we place resources, and so if we can provide a little bit more structured accountability for that, we'll be better for it.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Did anybody else have any questions for Director Hay? Okay, go ahead, Tim.

DIRECTOR HAY: Okay. If we could put the next slide up please. Okay, as a result of the survey, we currently have 3,753 traditional public

2.1

schools and public charter schools. Some schools are co-located on a single campus. We have a total of 3,637 campuses statewide. Safe School Officer coverage is at 4,350 total, with 3,002 law enforcement officers, and 1,348 Coach Aaron Feis Guardians. Next slide please.

We asked districts about policies or procedures addressing Safe School Officer coverage at schools for those times when the assigned Safe School Officer is absent. For those 27 districts that responded "other" here, they generally addressed this issue via their agreements with law enforcement agencies. One district responded "no," since their agreement with the sheriff's office was verbal. Next slide.

Districts were asked if their sheriff had allowed a Coach Aaron Feis Guardian program, 47 replied "yes," and 20 replied "no."

Regarding school boards that have allowed the Coach Aaron Feis Guardian program, 23 districts responded "no," and 44 districts responded "yes."

40 districts noted that their sheriff have provided Guardian training, only those 44 districts that had Guardian programs would answer the next several Guardian-specific questions.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 districts report they had Guardians trained by sheriffs in another county. Note again that only 44 responded.

Eight of the 26 districts that have a school or schools covered only by Guardians report that those Guardians do not have a law enforcement radio.

This is a two-part question: It first asks if the district has shared its active assailant response plan or procedure with all district employees; and second, if they have all received training on the plan or procedure, 64 districts answered "yes" to both parts of this question. Again -- and going back to that last side, again, the statutory requirement is that school districts and public charter school boards adopt an active assailant response plan and certify that all school personnel have been trained annually. Next slide.

All districts reported that their schools conduct monthly active assailant drills. 45 districts replied that they have schools -- students do not physically move or react during the active assailant drills. Most of the "yes" responses are due to COVID protocols.

So of the 45 districts with schools where students do not physically move during active

assailant drills, 37 districts made that change due to current COVID protocols. Most of our school districts incorporate movement of students during active assailant drills. 56 districts report that their schools do require students to evade, in addition to locking down or hiding.

This question asks if all school employees either had a district-issued device or access to a device to immediately communicate a threat in an emergency. Currently 43 districts responded "yes."

Beginning with the '21/'22 school year, all public and all public charter schools will be required to implement a mobile panic alert system under Alyssa's Law.

This question asks if all school employees have a district-issued device, or access to a device, to immediately receive notification of a threat in an emergency. 47 districts responded "yes" here.

Regarding this question and the prior one, some districts use mobile phone apps that employees can download to receive or communicate active assailant information, but these are not usually district-issued devices.

This question applies to schools that are completely fenced: Does every school in the district

require gates to be closed and locked, or staffed when not being used for active ingress and egress? Some districts responded "no" here because the main entry gate remains open for visitors to access the parking area and main entrance. Our regional staff reinforced the need for gates to remain closed an locked during school hours.

Currently 15 districts do not require that gates be staffed when opened. Again, our regional staff are continually discussing best safety practices during their monitoring visits.

We ask districts which other suspicious activity reporting apps or tools they use, support, or promote, in addition area FortifyFL. The numbers here will add up to more than 67 since some districts have multiple tip lines; some are administrated by the sheriff's office, and others are district-managed hotlines. All districts report having a board-approved zero-tolerance policy and agreements with their local law enforcement agencies as required by the zero-tolerance statute. Also, every district responded that they behavioral threat assessment tools at all their schools.

When asked if a sworn law enforcement officer participates on every school threat assessment team in

the district, two districts responded no. Currently the statute reads the "Threat assessment team shall include persons with expertise in counseling, instruction, school administration and law enforcement." While the statute does not require a sworn law enforcement officer, only sworn officers would have access to relevant law enforcement information. Our draft rule on compliance would address and clarify this requirement.

2.1

All districts either use the state's standardized behavioral threat assessment instrument, or they are in the process of implementing it. In reference to the one district responding "no" to this question, that district was using an earlier version of CSTAG to create their own assessment model. They are currently training staff and transitioning to the updated CSTAG model which will be completed by the end of next month.

As I mentioned in the office update and the beginning of my presentation, staff had been very busy delivering CSTAG training to districts. Two additional sessions were delivered in central Florida so far this week. Assessing at-risk students in order to provide them critical intervention services is a priority function of the state's prevention strategy.

And I'd like to note, while all survey responses are not yet at a hundred percent, we have a lot of progress to show. We have regional staff visiting schools identifying improvements to their safety practices. Those same staff established partnerships within their region and share successful practices that they have observed in other schools. COVID has delayed some of our efforts, but we are striving for a hundred percent compliance on school safety requirements and best practices.

Thank you, Commissioners, for the opportunity to present today. It is in all of our best interests to maintain a proactive stance on school safety. Florida is leading the way, and we must continue to be focused and driven in our mission. Three years after the tragedy at MSD, it is still difficult to articulate the feelings of sadness, heartbreak, and loss that we all feel.

Through this unspeakable tragedy and grief, the MSD community, this Commission, and the families came together with strength and resolve to turn anguish into action. So much of this program's strength is due to the vision of the MSD families, and the dedication of those school safety professionals who are committed to keeping schools safe every day.

It's our goal to continue to work together with you to make positive changes to ensure all schools are safe.

Thank you.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Thank you. Are there Commissioners that have any questions on the survey for Director Hay? Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

regards to a couple of your slides, if you could go back, you -- there was a slide referencing that on certain counties Guardians did not have a radio. Is -- you know, I think that's extremely important. Sheriff, you know, you talk about that very, very frequently. You've got to be able to call for an emergency, call a code red, if you don't have a radio, obviously that can't happen, and you know, bad things are going to happen. So can you go back to that slide? How many districts, and is there any plan for funding or to make sure that this is fixed?

DIRECTOR HAY: Yeah, that's a good question.

That's one of the ones that our region team are trying to work directly with those districts on coming up with some solutions. I think some of the things that we're seeing is sometimes we have security companies that provide the Guardian support to a school and to a district, and so the challenges are who's going to

issue that radio specifically to that type when it's a vendor and it's not necessarily a district employee?

know, that I've heard within those that are represented that don't have those. I can tell you that it's not common; there are situations that it's there, and it needs to be addressed and, you know, improved on. We want to see those -- you know, our job here is to get those numbers down, and so hopefully we can sit down and have some conversations with the school superintendent and with the sheriff to bridge that gap and find some solutions to issuing those radios that are needed.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. So do we have all the counties represented here? It doesn't look like it on this slide. That's 36 plus eight --

DIRECTOR HAY: That's the ones that have Guardians. So they're not (unintelligible.)

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Got it, the other counties have SROs, so they -- they have radios.

DIRECTOR HAY: That's right.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Got it. And then in reference to this slide, it mentioned schools that have not given active assailant training to everyone, how many schools was that again? It was just several;

right? And what was the reason why?

DIRECTOR HAY: So let me find that slide.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: In the three years

after Parkland, I just don't understand --

DIRECTOR HAY: Sure.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: -- why there's three districts.

DIRECTOR HAY: Yeah, some of the feedback that I'm getting with this one that we've got to work through with the districts is there are times that you have substitute teachers that may be on board -- you know, and they look at these questions, you know, under a microscope. So "Has your written active assailant response plan procedure been distributed to all district employees?" So you know, they may have received something, but has every district employee received the whole active assailant response plan? They may not issue it to every district employee.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay.

DIRECTOR HAY: So you know, I think the three that are represented there are doing a lot of work in regards to active assailant responses, I mean, that's the feedback that I'm getting when I'm visiting the districts is this is a priority to them. I think the question, you know, is worded in a way that, under an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

abundance of caution, they put a "no," to answer that question.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay. At one point in time -- and maybe, Sheriff, you can answer this question -- we had talked about developing a statewide threat assessment tool for the districts to report into a database; is that still in the plans?

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Yeah, ideally, yes, Commissioner Schachter, but the obstacle to it is funding and development of a statewide database. Wе have now a statewide tool that everybody's using except for that one district, and Director Hay talked about that, and I've had discussions with them about that, there's a reason for that in their transition, so I'd say, you know, we have compliance, but there's no easy of exchange of information inter-district, which is what you're getting at, and that's where we had discussed. So that as a child is moving between Broward and Miami/Dade and Palm Beach and Brevard or wherever, that everybody would have access.

So I think ideally, but that's, you know, something that's going to have to be on the list for the future. Because that would be huge to have a statewide database, and the cost of that would be millions, so that's -- I think is the obstacle.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Right. Right.

Okay. And then lastly, in the grand jury report they talked about giving the Department of Education sufficient staff and investigative resources to provide meaningful oversight, feedback, information to investigate the completeness and veracity of SESIR reports. Director Hay, do you feel that you have the resources that you need to do your job, or do you think that, you know, that's something that we should recommend as a Commission?

DIRECTOR HAY: I think that we've come a long way from where we started. I think, you know, we're very grateful for the additional resources that have been provided through Department of Education. You know, I think, you know, we're still trying to be creative with meeting some of the challenges that we have, so you know, I think you can always -- you know, you can always use more, but I think we're really having an impact with what we have now.

Yeah, I still think we have to have our foot on the gas pedal; it's not time to let off of it, so I think that, you know, I see this -- you know, I see us being more engaged. I see us doing more training. You know, I see this as something that's going to continue to evolve and grow over time, and so I do

think there will be some discussions on some of those challenges that we face as we try to implement, you know, positive change moving forward.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And then Sheriff, the last question I have is -- and I'm not sure, you know, maybe, you know, one of the mental health experts can address this, but I'm very concerned about the mental health of our students in Florida. You know, taking into account, you know, this COVID pandemic everybody is going through, can anyone speak to that or -- that has a grasp on, you know, the number of suicides that have happened, the number of Baker Acts that have happened, you know, during COVID, you know, addressing the mental of our students? I'm wondering if anybody has any, you know, input or comments on that.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Well, as far as data goes, Mr. Schachter, on Baker Acts, it gets published periodically. You can look at the Baker Act through the University of South Florida, the Baker Act Reporting Center there, they publish information so anybody can go on and look at that regarding the number of Baker Acts that's broken down in all different types of categories.

As far as the number of suicides, there's

no -- that I'm aware of, there's no central repository for that, that's something that -- and even in districts, and Commissioner Powers or Dodd can speak to that, there's -- I don't know that the districts have a reporting on that. And some, as it involves kids, the districts may not because it would happen off-campus.

So I think, you know, your concern, rightfully so, about the mental well-being of the kids is that some of it, and especially if it's a suicide or suicide attempt is probably going to happen off-campus, so they wouldn't be in a position to attract any of that. So I don't know, again, if the school board members want to weigh in, or if Mr. Oliva wants to weigh in, and certainly Commission Larkin-Skinner, so why don't we start with -- Mr. Oliva, go ahead, and then we'll go to Commissioner Powers.

CHANCELLOR OLIVA: Thank you, Sheriff. Thank you, Mr. Schachter, for asking such a great question that we could spend a lot of time on navigating, but I would start by saying, as just kind of a general overview is maintaining that continuity of services for our students in a safe and an emotional and responsive place has been a top priority of

22

23

24

25

Commissioner Corcoran, the Governor's Office, the First Lady; and in fact, that sense of urgency around making sure that students are connected to peers and adults that care about them is then reflected in the diligence that we've executed with the reopening of schools, and knew that that was one of the main reasons why we needed to make sure our schools are open and our students have a safe place to go.

And when we look at what is really that system of care, and some of that's in the schools, and as some of the services ramp up going to the private providers and we have a lot of other experts on here, but it's really access to student services. Throughout this pandemic, we have students that need to see counselors, that need to see psychologists, that needed to belong to something, be in Little League, you know, being able to talk to their friends and not be isolated. So at kind of that universal level, at kind of what we would call that Tier 1 support, making sure throughout distance learning, throughout the summer and reopening schools, that was always at the first and forefront of how do we this in a safe and compassionate manner?

And Florida has been recognized nationally for being able to do it; other states are still trying

to figure out to do so. It's starts with great leadership, and we've been really fortunate here in the state to work with some really innovative leaders that helped us push the boundaries to that system of care.

2.1

But then even, kind of from that school end as well, we have that kind of path that goes down for access to student support services for our system and our families, but also access to the mental health education. And in fact, that conversation hasn't slowed down either with Commission Corcoran and our state board, and we've recently, within the past year, have adopted some state board rules that look at required instruction in reporting on how do we support students with mental health education, substance abuse education, and human trafficking. And for the first time this last summer, districts had to report to us how they're providing mental health education to all of our students.

And while we have not arrived in that manner, there's still a lot of work to do, but that is such a great question, and part of that question is why we've had to act at such high levels of urgency. I know there's other experts on here as well, but I did want to share that.

And then we were blessed with even the governor's budgets and recommendations for legislatures to continue the mental health allocation, and brought forward this school year to add additional dollars towards that; and our assurances with our reopening plans that we work with districts and how they're using their CARES and federal dollars, they had to explicitly share some of those plans on how do we make sure we stay connected to students. So there's a lot of work happening in that arena, but I think we still have a lot more to do.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Commissioner Powers, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER POWERS: Yeah, so I'll echo everything that Mr. Oliva said. It was -- we have had amazing support at the local level for mental health. And in addition to our community support, so while we've gotten a lot of support from DOE, we are seeking a lot of support from our community, as it is a priority for everyone.

But I wanted to talk a little bit about the Baker Act piece. I know, at least in our county and surrounding counties, even if a Baker Act happens to a student that is outside of the school day, there's still a threat assessment that is completed. So if it

happens on a Saturday, the sheriff's department or the police department notifies the school and there's a threat assessment, and I believe that the majority of counties are -- school districts are probably doing that exact same thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So just to reassure you, Mr. Schachter, that just because it happens outside of a school day, we are keeping track of that, and it's going through the same process as if it had happened at school.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: And just for the record, I -- you're correct on that, and I think it's happening most places, and what I was referring to is -- because I think his direct question, and it's all tied together, was suicides. Obviously if you had an unfortunate -- he was asking about the number of suicides, if there was a child that committed suicide at home, as an example, then of course there's not going to be a threat assessment attended to that, and the districts aren't keeping any data on the number of suicides, that I'm aware of; that would be through the law enforcement agency. But if there's a suicide threat, suicide ideations or anything, that would be -- then the districts and the threat assessment teams at some level are getting involved with that. just want to make sure that you knew what I was

talking about too, when I -- but Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yes. And Mr. Schachter, that's a good question. We have a lot of concerns over the mental health of our students, especially with virtual education. And you know, more students are coming back into brick and mortar, which we see as a good thing, to get them in face-to-face instruction around a support group.

I will tell you in our county, a mid-size county in Florida, Citrus County, we've had a good community response, we've -- the mobile response team process has worked amazing, we've got a lot of good things have happened there, we have good communication. It is a community issue.

You know, I'm very sad to say that last night I got a call from the district superintendent that a young man in our school district had taken his life, and that it's very, very shocking, and we have -- at that school we have a large contingency of counselors there today to help out, and to work with students and faculty members and the community, because it's very devastating. But our community sees it that we're all in this together and that's what we're trying to do with our school district, and so I just wanted to

1

mention that to you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, you know, it's so good for our students to be able to participate in extracurricular activities like sports. You know, we had fall sports, we've had winter sports, we had spring sports. The Florida High School Athletic Association and us districts have worked well to be able to bring that somewhat sense of normalcy for students to get them back active, and that's a great thing for a child's mental health to be able to be a part of a team and have coaches that really care about developing young men and women in the athletic spectrum, and that's a So those are some of the positive great thing. things, but we're very concerned about mental health issues with our students. There's no doubt.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Commissioner Larkin-Skinner, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: So Mr. Schachter, and everyone else, obviously everyone's echoing the same concerns that we all have for our Unfortunately most of the data that you talk youth. about, Mr. Schachter, is lagging data, and we don't have it all compiled, that I know of. I haven't seen it yet, but as far as what's happened since last March.

Anecdotally, however, I can tell you that one of the things I've discussed with many of my peers is the fact that our children's CSUs and children's psychiatric hospitals have been busier than before. We've had more admissions, longer lengths of stay. Our kids are really struggling with the atmosphere that we're all living in. There's a lot of family stress, a lot of stress on the kids. You know, an online or virtual learning environment is not conducive for every child to be successful, so they're falling further and further behind, despite the best efforts, I believe, of all of our districts.

So I think what we need to keep in mind is this: Even when everything is back to what we considered normal before the pandemic, that the impact of this is going to last, and we need to be aware of that, and we need to be prepared for that. And the mental health allocation, I think, the fact that it's in the governor's budget and he added to it is fantastic. And we need the legislature to hear how important this topic is, because all of the mental health services in Florida, because of the budget issues because of the pandemic, are at risk of being cut. So I think any voice that can step up and say "Listen, this is important, the mental health of our

2.1

youth in this state, they're our future, is important," will be really helpful for the legislature and the governor to continue hearing.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: All right. Anybody else? Go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yeah. Chairwoman Larkin-Skinner, I was just looking online, and -- at the USF report that Chairman Gualtieri mentioned, and it looks like for children under 18, there were -- you know, if I go back to 2016/2017, there were 32 thousand involuntary Baker Acts; and then 2017/'18, 36 thousand; 2018/'19, 37,800. So you're right, I don't see the numbers for the last couple of years. I certainly would like to see that and, you know, we're very, very concerned obviously; especially since we know that, you know, with schools opening and the depression that children are under. So yeah, do you know when the new report comes out?

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: I talked to them over there recently, Mr. Schachter, and I know they're in the process of getting the information out. And one of the things you're going to see, though, and this is where I talked to -- I talked to them about this, is that I think that it's going to be misleading. And the reason it's going to be misleading is -- to a

degree is because the kids are out of school for so long. So that's one of the things they're concerned about in the data, because with the schools being closed for a good part of, you know, last year is -- and the same thing with all the numbers, whether it is SESIR numbers or Baker Act numbers or -- everything, the numbers are going to be skewed. So it's going to be very tough, and it's going to have to be looked at with a critical eye, an analytical eye in that regard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right, anybody else? Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yes, sir. I had another question for Director Hay in reference to the Guardian And I was just curious if you keep any program. records on schools or school districts that have more than one School Safety Officer on campus? And I know that was a big topic of discussion that we had as a Commission, we talked about, you know, one officer may not be enough, and I know the issues that we were dealing with just trying to get enough law enforcement officers on every campus. And we did that, and we've continued to work on the Guardian program, and there's a lot of schools now that do have more than one School Safety Officer or armed person ready to respond, so I was just curious, do you have any of that data?

22

23

24

25

DIRECTOR HAY: We track that data. We do. And I'd be happy to get some numbers for you, but you're right, I mean, those that have a Guardian program usually have a number of those Safe School Officer presence on campuses, and so that is something we're seeing. And we're seeing some of the districts that said that they don't have a Guardian program currently, are actively pursuing a program. feedback I've received in the districts has been really positive to that program. You know, everybody seems interested in continuing it, growing it, and being able to really provide that layer and presence within our schools. We're going to be better for it. But I'll be happy to provide the specifics pertaining to the coverage for the schools.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yeah, and I think it would be a good idea. I know that it was mentioned in the interim -- this third interim report, minimum compliance I think was referenced for the School Safety Officer; but yet I know in our district, you know, we have many of our schools that have a School Resource Officer and a Guardian, and man, that's -- our parents feel very good about that.

And so I just -- I know other districts are in the same boat, I know we talk about larger

campuses, I know we never could figure out a ratio of how many students per SRO, I mean, we went all around on that; but I still think there is movement in that direction, and that's something that we should highlight.

DIRECTOR HAY: Absolutely. I agree with you, yes, sir.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Anybody else have any questions for Director Hay from his presentation and the survey? Commissioner Petty, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Thank you, Sheriff.

Director Hay, I just wanted to thank you and the team at DOE for the presentation today. I think it's important -- you know, we're not perfect, I don't think we expect to be perfect at this point, but I can see tremendous progress both at the Department, but also within our district, so I appreciate your report today.

I want to commend the districts and -- for taking some important steps; despite COVID, despite everything else that they're dealing with and going through this past year, I'm seeing progress. It doesn't mean we take our foot off the pedal, as you said, but it definitely -- we should recognize and thank them for the progress that's being made. So

thank you.

DIRECTOR HAY: Thank you for that. And that's something that I've seen as I visit the districts. Those school safety specialists have been doing this since, really, the beginning. You know, we can talk about all those challenges, and we spend a lot of time on "Okay, how do we address this, how do we address that," but when you come full circle they always go back to "We've come so far from where we were to where we are today." And that's really encouraging to hear, because you know, there's more challenges that are there that we've got to work through.

And you know, if you're doing safety and security right, you're always seeing those challenges, they're going to always be there. You've got to grow, you've got to evolve and continue to improve what you're doing; but to see their recognition of the work that's really been done has definitely left an impact on me, and has given me encouragement that we're going in the right direction, we've just got to continue to fight the good fight and keep working, you know, in the areas we need it.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Any other Commissioners have any questions for Director Hay? Okay. Just a

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |

couple things I want to -- just as we transition and segue into the next presentation that we have, one of the things, though, I do want to mention, for anybody's that's listening, especially for the districts that are listening out there, on the issue of the radio, and I understand that concerns that some may have as far as the Guardians, who is being used, able to use and having access to the law enforcement radio, that is a legitimate issue and a concern, but if -- if cost is an issue, just know that with the Guardian money that the Department, the Department of Education had authorized and does authorize the purchase of radios as part of the equipment for those Guardians.

I can tell you here in Pinellas that we have roughly about 110 guardians, and each of them who are employed by the district -- approved by me, but employed by the district -- they all have, each one of them has a law enforcement radio. And you can buy them without (inaudible) cost, they can get a lesser radio still through Motorola, who your vendor is, so don't let -- my point is don't let cost be an obstacle to equipping your Guardians with radios so that, if there is an incident and you have a law enforcement response, law enforcement can communicate with those

Guardians, you don't have a blue-on-blue situation, and the cops can be directed to where they need to be as quickly as possible.

Because if you have a Guardian that's got somebody at gunpoint, and they can't communicate with the responding cops, it has potential adverse consequences, and also can hinder the most effective response. So the whole point of that is don't let cost be a factor. If there's other factors, then so be it, but don't let cost be a factor.

The other thing I wanted to just mention was, in slide 15 that Director Hay had, there was two parts there. One was -- and part of it -- one part's going to be addressed Alyssa's Law, the question was do you have something in place where all employees can sound the alarm, can hit a panic alarm, can communicate and talk and say there's a problem?

But remember what we've been talking about for a long time now is the -- really the keys and successful components to the initial response to an incident. First thing is you've got to identify it. You got to have the people -- and we're working toward that, everything that's being done. You've got to identify the threat. You've got to know you have a threat. And that gets back to seeing, in this case,

Cruz on the campus and knowing he's a threat. So you got to identify the threat.

The second thing is that you have to be able to communicate the threat to others. So that gets to the panic alarm. That gets to some device where you can put it out so people know that there's a problem. But the thing that's not addressed, as I understand it -- and I can't get into the particulars, but by the panic alarm is people have to be able to receive the threat. And if everybody in there, every classroom teacher, and everybody in there can't receive it then, you know, that's a hole. And that's where the other question goes to.

So I don't think that, you know, as everybody's continuing to look in this and evolve, and we've made great progress with it, is to identify the threat, communicate the threat, react to it, and can everybody on that campus receive the information so when the alarm is sounded -- now, some of these panic alarms may have that, I don't know, I haven't seen them, we don't know what these nine vendors are going to do and all how it's going to be played out, but that also is an important component is that just because they hit a panic alarm, and if it's set up in a way where it goes to the police department, let's

say, and police are responding, that's great, but does everybody else on that campus know as soon as that button's hit that you've got a problem so that they can immediately react?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's -- I'm not sure -- I don't know whether we're going to have that with -- via the Alyssa Law's system or not, if it's going to be a panic alarm that goes out to a law enforcement agency, or the office, or how that's going to work, so I'd say that that's still an unanswered question.

The last thing which will be a segue into our next presentation -- on the agenda it had on there an overview of the 2021 legislative session, the third grand jury report, but I basically already did that, I put that on the agenda to have a more-detailed discussion if we had a copy of the proposed committee bill. As I said here earlier, we don't so, but -- so this will be a segue into the next presentation that we'll go to which is on the FortifyFL update is is that, in the presentation Director Hay did, on page 18, is that -- I'll tell you that we've really seen an up-tick -- and this goes, Mr. Schachter to, I believe, to the point that you were raising or the questions that you were asking about the kids' mental health and all this going on in the schools and outside with the

kids. And we're seeing a real up-tick in the reporting via the various reporting platforms.

But just remember that the data that we're going to get here in a second from Assistant Special Agent in Charge Annie White from FDLE is just the FortifyFL network. A number of districts are also operating other reporting platforms, everything from the See -- See Something Say Something app -- try and say that fast -- to a variety of other ones.

And so those are apps where the data and the metrics are maintained by the individual district, FDLE doesn't have that. So as you see ASAC White's presentation, know that that's only partial data. But I can tell you from a boots on the ground is is that we're seeing a real up-tick. And here in Pinellas as an example, is that they have the See Something Say Something app -- got it out that time -- and -- and the FortifyFL app. And a lot of it unfortunately -- and I think very sadly -- a lot of it we're seeing that is being reported as self-harm.

We are getting information about harm towards others, threats, but I'm telling you is that a whole lot of it is self-harm that is being reported by kids that are seeing other kids act in a certain way, social media posts, et cetera.

So just wanted to point that out that there are -- even though those districts are required to have and to promote the FortifyFL app, they also have had, even prior to FortifyFL, other platforms, or they've initiated additional platforms that they are dual-using, or dual-promoting. So again, this is only a small piece of it.

All right. Before we move on, anything on any of that with Director Hay, then we'll move on to the presentation on FortifyFL. All right, so we'll move on to that presentation. Annie, are you ready?

MS. WHITE: Yes, sir, I am.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Okay. Go ahead.

MS. WHITE: Yes. Give us a second, we're getting it up. Good morning, Commissioners. I was asked to provide a brief update on where we are with FortifyFL.

As you can see from the first slide, we are now at 249 law enforcement agencies, just over 6900 schools, and 73 districts. Since our launch in October of 2018, we have had just under 12,000 tips to-date. Next slide.

The next slide shows you the tips by year.

It should be noted that 2018 was the launch, and only has three month's worth of data; but if you look at

the 2021 numbers, as you can see, that is just one month. For the month of January, we had 437 tips. So we have seen an increase in tip reporting. Next slide.

We have been working to enhance the app with cyber security enhancements, including virus scanning of tips. As you all know, tipsters can include attachments; we recognize that this was a significant security risk on the cyber side, and we do now have our scanning of tips and any attachments.

We are also currently working with FR (phonetic) to test coding in an effort to reduce tips with no content, or meaningless content. We are working with staff at DOE, we have a test site that we are utilizing to make sure that it's -- it will function with our app. We're still testing, we don't know if we will implement this yet or not. Next slide.

And lastly, the next two slides provide categorization of tips. I had been asked in the past kind of what we were saying as far as tips. As you will note, this is -- we started that in August of 2019, so this is just August through December of 2019. Those are the tips that we were seeing. I'll give you just a second.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And then the next slide is our 2020 classifications. If any of you are trying to do math, you will notice that these do add up to over a hundred percent; that is because some tips may contain both categories, so they would register in both categories. But last year, as well as the year before, we saw some pretty serious tips come in, everything from self-harm to bomb threats, et cetera.

And that is where we're at with FortifyFL at this time. If there are any questions, I'm happy to answer them.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I have a question, Annie.

> MS. WHITE: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: The staff admin classification, what is that?

MS. WHITE: So we may receive tips as far as "I'm a teacher here, I wanted to make sure the app's working. How does this work?" So we still do get some tips like that. We may have tips that come in from administrative staff at the schools saying they're testing the app, so we put it in that category.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Anybody else have any question for ASAC White?

1 COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, I do, Sheriff.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: You know, prior to COVID, the school safety specialists had done a survey to analyze the effectiveness of FortifyFL as compared to the other school districts that have other apps like Speak Out, Say Anything; and what we found was the number of tips received -- let's see here -- was 3,700, and -- for the established systems; and FortifyFL, they received 580 tips.

The number of actionable tips that we surveyed amongst -- I think we did a look at seven or eight school districts, and this was surveying the school districts that had other existing tip apps, the number of actionable tips that they received from their established systems, not FortifyFL, was 3,500, and then the number of actionable tips from FortifyFL was 155. So -- and then, you know, the really, really surprising numbers were we were trying to figure out how much of the tips that came in from FortifyFL were spam versus -- and actionable, versus not. And what we found was that FortifyFL, 62 percent of the tips that came in were spam, and only 27 percent of them were actionable.

So you know based on what the school safety

specialists -- and I've spoken to them since then -you know, there's still a major problem with

FortifyFL, so you know, has there been an analysis to
see -- compare FortifyFL to the other tips apps,
because you know, the other apps are working very
well, the kids know how to report in to them, and
they're reporting, you know, actionable information,
whether it's self-harm or harm to others; but
unfortunately through FortifyFL, you know, it's -they're not utilizing the app the same way and we're
not getting the same number of actionable tips that we
are through the other services.

MS. WHITE: So we would have to compare their data, we don't have access to their data, and I know that was only seven or eight school districts.

FortifyFL was established, you know, to provide a base line reporting capability, as most districts across the state don't have the monies to procure some of those larger apps that you're speaking of. I would have to look at the data.

I will say that we have been working with the Department of Education to roll out more education on the app; I think that has helped. One of the other factors is some of those -- I did see that survey, and some of those districts reported that their app was

anonymous; however, when I inquired further, it was not.

So I think that factor has benefited them.

It has hindered us in such that we have had abuse of the system; unfortunately that's a factor, but per statute, ours is anonymous, and I do believe that factors in.

I do feel the education that Department of Education staff has been working on to educate people on what the app is, as you can see we still have administrative personnel at schools saying "What is this page for?" You know, without going into tip content, as I said, it's anonymous, but we have had school faculty accidentally placing tips, and then of course sending in other tips saying "Hey, sorry, I was testing this."

So I think the education piece is going to help with those non-actionable tips for certain, as well as, you know, like I said, the biggest factor is they can reach out to a tipster, we cannot because of the anonymity of the app.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And so were you saying that school administrators were reporting tips by accident?

MS. WHITE: Well, I -- they were using it, we

5

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: How many would you say are actionable or just spam? You know, I see non-school safety, unintelligible, you know, I'm just

what, you know, are just spam?

11 12

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

have had some that -- a new teacher that was testing the app by accident. We've had those. We have to report that in our tip categorization. Every tip we have comes in, and it's categorized so that we can accurately depict each tip.

MS. WHITE: We do not get the response from the recipient agency or school district on whether some of these tips -- sometimes we do, but more frequently than not -- we do not know the follow-up of this tip.

wondering what percentage are, you know, real, and

Now, you can certainly look at the classification and see that the meaningless tips or the unintelligible tips, 23 percent of them right off the bat are not actionable. But I don't know what actions the district or the law enforcement agencies took on the other tips to know if they were actionable or not. We don't receive follow-up often on a tip.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: You know, Sheriff, this survey that was done was done in March of 2020, and it was done on -- the districts that participated

were ones that already had existing tip apps; so look 1 2 at Seminole, Monroe, Santa Rosa, Orange, Pinellas, Lee I would like to make a motion that we 3 and Brevard. expand this survey to all the school districts to get 4 5 the definitive numbers to see, you know, how many tips that are coming in are actionable, how many are spam; 6 7 so that we have, you know, not just anecdotal information, but a full picture of the effectiveness 8 9 of FortifyFL. And if it is not effective, we remedy 10 that situation, because our school safety directors 11 that are receiving these tips are being inundated with this information. 12 They have a lot on their plate, and I want to make sure that they're not wasting their 13 14 So I would like to make that motion. 15 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Well, a couple things: 16 17 survey? COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: This was done by the 18

One, first I've heard of this survey, so who did the

school safety specialist organized by Captain Rick Francis to disseminate -- to you know, collect all the data and put it together.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Well, if you've got it, I haven't -- first I've seen it, heard send it to me. of it, I don't know anything about it. The other reason -- I'm curious about this is -- your motion is

somehow that FortifyFL is receiving more spam, as you call it, or is -- how is when you take See Something Say Something or Safer Watch, or you take any of these reporting apps, if the districts are, as they are, required to promote that there are reporting platforms and there are apps, web-based, et cetera, is that what makes FortifyFL one where, to use your way you're framing it, more junk, more spam, more irrelevant stuff? Why -- I would more go to FortifyFL than another, it's a reporting app, so you're saying -- and you said it before that you think that FortifyFL is flawed, so what's the flaw in FortifyFL, as opposed to the other ones? If I'm missing --

what Pinellas reported, so Dennis Russo compiled this data, and basically the number of tips that received the 2019/2020 school year, they received 2,200 tips into their Say Something app. FortifyFL only received 81. So 99 percent of the tips came through your Say Something app. If you look at the actionable tips in Pinellas, they received 2200 actionable tips, where through FortifyFL, they only received ten actionable tips that came through FortifyFL; that's only 12 percent.

If you look at the spam numbers through the

Say Something app, they only received five spam tips; but through FortifyFL, there were 71 spam tips, so 88 percent of the tips that came in from FortifyFL in Pinellas County were spam.

So to answer your question, I don't know what the difference is, but the kids have -- they know about both of these apps, and apparently, you know, they're misusing FortifyFL. I'm not a FortifyFL expert. I would recommend that we do an analysis so that we don't have just seven or eight districts, we have the entire state, to figure out these metrics on a statewide basis; and then based on that information, we have, you know, an analysis to compare these other apps to FortifyFL. And I'm sure that once you do that comparison, you'll be able to see what the difference is, what's lacking, and how we need to fix it.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Well, yeah, we can do
that, and -- but one of the things, as you talked, and
I think one of the things may be, is remember -- and
you've raised this before, is is that some of these
apps when it's being reported, they're live monitored,
you've got somebody who's vetting it, they can call
in, they can talk to somebody, et cetera, correct -with some of them; correct?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I'm not sure. I'm

not sure the answer to that. I would assume so, but I don't know for sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You have to look, too --SHERIFF GUALTIERI: and we'll get into it. We can get into it. We can do this on the next round of surveying we do, and -because you've got to determine how it's being vetted. So if it is being live answered and live monitored and there's a vetting, what are they reporting? they getting the junk, but they are not even reporting it because they're vetting it out at an early stage, that could account for it, because as we know in FortifyFL -- we know the work flow in FortifyFL is is that by the time somebody sends it (inaudible) directly with the law enforcement agency and the district, and then as ASAC White said is is that they then vet it. So there's not a vetting before it goes to the agency and the district, and they're vetting that.

So I think there's a lot of -- if we're going to do it, we have to know how each app operates, where the vetting is occurring, how it's occurring, and are they discarding something before it even hits down to the agencies, because here it's not. So there's a lot there and some of that data, as you know -- and you're talking about the Pinellas data, that was back in the

1 | 2 |

time when FortifyFL was being woefully underutilized. That's kind of old data at this point. And FortifyFL's now being much more utilized than it was back in that time frame.

So I made a note of it, we'll add that into the next round of surveys that we do, we'll try to identify all -- we have here, as Director Hay put in, we've identified a number of different -- in this survey, a number of different reporting platforms, but we'll see if we can do something more robust with it, get all of the methodologies, and see if we can get the data from the districts and then report back.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: All right, anybody have anything else on reporting apps, FortifyFL, or anything for ASAC White? Any other question?

All right. So the last thing we wanted to talk about from a presentation standpoint, and I'll just set the ground work and turn it over to Assistant Special Agent in Charge Patrick Crough with FDLE, is we know that there are behavioral threat assessment teams in the schools. We also know that it's necessary to do a threat assessment in a greater context. Commissioner Swearingen at FDLE had asked for the legislature to authorize and fund teams

throughout the state -- at the governor's request, I believe -- but the teams throughout the state that would be these threat assessment teams with psychologists, law enforcement, Multi-Disciplinary Teams that could look at threats and manage the threats in the various regions.

It didn't get fully funded, it got partially funded, I'll stop there and turn it over to ASAC Crough who will tell you where FDLE is on that in establishing these threat assessment teams. So go ahead, Patrick.

MR. CROUGH: Good morning. Well, thank you for allowing me the opportunity to present this to you. I was requested by Commissioner Swearingen to provide you a 35,000-foot high overview, an update of where we are with the programs standing it up.

Let me just take a minute just to tell you about myself and my background, since I have been determined to be the program manager for this initiative, and I'm very honored for that. I'm in currently my 38th year of law enforcement; I did approximately 30 years, a little less, up in Monroe County, New York. I started out the road patrol with the sheriff's office there, and went into the violent warrants and narcotics.

2.1

I spent 20 years of my 30-year career in the major crimes, special victims, homicide unit where we worked a lot of cases involving people under trauma, which was -- I was first exposed to. I also spent 20 years of my career in the hostage rescue team as a negotiator and ended up commanding that team at the end of my career. And we also provided crisis intervention services as well.

So the mental health perspective, in my career, was very prevalent and I found it to be very rewarding in talking somebody out of a tragic permanent solution to a temporary problem.

I also spent time working stalking cases. In Monroe County, we were very progressive in going after the protracted case that would cross over jurisdictions, and they -- either they stemmed from domestic situations, but then with all the lay-offs in Rochester, New York being the home base to Kodak, Bausch and Lomb, at one time Xerox and Rochester Products, there was a lot of lay-offs back in the 90s and early 2000s. This created a very hostile environment in that world where threats were being made against management or upper-echelon executives. So again, did a lot of work in that area, and we utilized the LAPD model, for any of you that are

familiar with that, at a time.

I came to FDLE back in 2012, I'm in my ninth year. I spent my whole career out of the Fort Myers region. I was focused on organized crime, specifically the human trafficking element, with an international nexus. Again, this was a victim-centered type of investigation, which the reason why I say that is because this initiative is going to be a subject-centered and victim-centered type program where we're going to focus on getting these people the services they need. Next slide please.

The Behavioral Threat Assessment Management objective is to identify the threat that is being posed, then what we want to do is complete a fact-finding investigation and assessment of the threat and all involved; the subject, as well as the intended target or targets.

We want to formulate a strategy that changes the subject's pathway to potential violence via behavioral management programs, or utilizing the arrest, and then a post-arrest behavioral management program. This strategy will involve potentially long-term management in certain cases, and we are prepared for that.

21

22

23

24

25

1

The BTAM strategy is a methodology -- the methodology that we're going to use is an actual paradigm shift from the traditional law enforcement operation where we focus on investigations that lead to an arrest and a conviction. Why do I say this? Well, we just went through our first iteration of training of our agents and task force officers, and I'll get to that in a moment; but the training was kicked off with a guest speaker, by Commissioner Ryan Petty. And Commissioner Ryan Petty used a wonderful analogy that I related to, being from Rochester. was the Kodak digital camera invention. I lived in Rochester and I remember that. And what Commissioner Petty pointed out was it was way ahead of its time, and the Kodak executive team wasn't very supportive of this mission, and as a result, eventually due to some hiccups and technological set-backs, they shelved the And I think we all recognize we live in a program. digital world now.

The reason why I bring this up is it was a great analogy as to where we are in law enforcement today. We are in a paradigm shift. We have to focus our resources and our objectives in a way that's going to holistically go after the situation for the two entities involved: The subject, as well as the

intended target. Next slide.

We're kicking off a pilot program. Now, this is not to exclude the other regions, but this is a huge undertaking in building out our program from the nucleus out; in building that what Sheriff Gualtieri referred to as a Multi-Disciplinary Team.

The three regions chosen were the Tampa Bay operations region, which is -- the "AOR" stands for Area of Responsibility. Each region includes probably about eight to ten counties. The Orlando Regional Operations Center, as well as a Fort Myers Regional Operations Center. These three regions are somewhat centralized, so in the situation where we have to serve other areas of Florida during this transition, the pilot project will be able to allocate resources quickly from those three regions.

The FDLE BTAM program will be overseen and coordinated by a program manager, that would be myself currently. The program manager is the designated Assistant Special Agent in Charge from the Office of Statewide Intelligence, for the purpose of maintaining operational and strategic consistency with the targeted violence program policy procedure, and sharing of information and intelligence between three regions, and ultimately the state. Next slide please.

The program manager will also continue seeking and cultivating partnerships with the law enforcement agencies at each of the AORs, and sharing the FDLE's targeted violence prevention program message with our other professional disciplines that may be able to assist with the overall mission of changing the pathway of those individuals intending to commit a premeditated act of violence against a targeted individual or the general public.

The Multi-Disciplinary Team, otherwise known as the MDT, will consist of state, local, and federal law enforcement agencies; state probation and Department of Corrections; the state's attorney's office, Office of the Attorney General; both government and non-governmental agencies that provide social, human, and mental health services; local school districts and state universities located at each of these three pilot project regions. These universities, as you well know, have robust psychology, social work, and mental health academics which we feel we can eventually tap into and bring them to the table to assist us in those respective regions, and throughout the state.

Each regional MDT will hold biweekly meetings for the purpose of reviewing ongoing cases in that

respective region. The process will utilize all of the MDT partners to implement a holistic approach to keep the targeted person and public at large safe. The goal is to assist the subject intending to commit targeted violence to change their pathway away from violence by receiving the necessary services via the prescribed behavioral management program.

This essentially means it's going to take a village to keep that village safe, and this is a concept we want to use, that community policing concept: Bringing the community together, and especially these professional disciplines to achieve this goal, and reduce the risk of that type of violence. Next slide please.

The current status of the program where it stands now. The FDLE policy and procedures are in their final phases of review and approval. It was important that we set these up prior to putting this program in full metric. There's 40 hours of BTAM training from nationally-recognized BTAM subject matter experts. We just went through one of our first weeks of training during the week of February 15th, out of our TB ROC location. Due to the social distancing requirement of COVID, we had to break some of these classes up into several. This was a huge

success. I attended, along with Commission

Swearingen, Assistant Commissioner Foy. And as well

as Shane Desguin from the Office of Statewide

Intelligence.

We reviewed and sat in the entire 40-hour course, found it to be very successful. Based on my training and experience over the past 38 years, and having been involved with many types of training involving mental health and strategizing about serving a victim-centered or subject-centered-type investigation, I found this training to be highly -- just these people were truly the experts, and they gave us some great insight in how to move forward from a perspective that law enforcement doesn't utilize.

We're standing up the targeted violence program Behavioral Threat Assessment Management squads and task forces, in the three regions as we speak. We wanted to get the first iteration of training going before we stood up these projects.

The FDLE assessment forms, these forms are being designed in the final phase. This form will be -- will support consistent investigative assessment operation throughout the entire state. So basically, every squad in every region will basically do the same type of investigation so we can share that information

and be consistent in our efforts.

For the time being, the data intel sharing will be utilized through our AIMS, which is an Automated Investigative Management System, our Insite, and the FCIC hot file. The FCIC hot file is under construction now with Charlie Schaefer's office at FDLE. We're currently in the stage of putting the procurement together and to formulate that on the dangerous violent person section of the FCIC.

The Insite database, which is usually used in the past --

(Brief interruption.)

MR. CROUGH: I'm sorry? So these three databases will be utilized. We will look into formulating something down the road as this project evolves, but right now, so we can be operational and we can share the data and intel, these three mechanisms will be utilized to do that. Next slide.

The FDLE's professional unit was tasked with also developing additional training; right now, basic recruit training to teach police recruits some of the principles involved in this type of investigation, what to look for, and how to respond to it. We're also devising an on-line course for the current officers that are currently already working, and

that's a work in progress but that will be more of a continuing education where they, again, can be educated on the matters involved with targeted

violence.

And also we're coming up with our own 40-hour course that we'll be able to teach around the state, and also our agents as well. Again, we're getting help from those subject matter experts that are providing the training now. Ultimately, FDLE would like to provide that training for the rest of the agencies in the state when this course is fully designed. It's in the working process.

We're also building a BTAM program for agency executives, sheriffs, chiefs, and their command staffs, it's a short version, but an -- just an overview of what the program's about, the principles of the program, and what our objectives will be, and what they can also anticipate from FDLE and the task forces in their region. Next slide please.

Where we stand today as far as the FDLE support resources, we are acquiring, we've been approved for a regional legal adviser. This person will provide legal counsel and support to the BTAM squads and partner agencies. And what I mean by "partner agencies," is the task force members. This

person will specialize and be up-to-date in the case law involving this type of investigation. Obviously we're very concerned about not violating people's civil rights, we want to make sure we do it by the numbers, and so this regional legal adviser will be that person for us that specializes in this arena.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As it stands right now, they'll be stationed out of our Fort Myers Regional Operations Center to serve the southern part of Florida during the BTAM process, but they'll be available to all seven regions.

We also acquired an Operational Review This person will Specialist, otherwise known as ORS. be a pivotal role for our program. What we asked in the job description was they be fluent and skilled in allocating mental health, social services, human and services for the subjects of investigations, and also their intended targets. Again, we're going back to that victim/suspect/subject-centered investigation. We want to change the paths of these people, and what do we need for that? We need a lot of support from the social mental health agencies, in each respective region and statewide. The reason for that is if we're going to change the path of these people, we have to give them some alternative means to focus and to

change their thinking.

Now, in the case of an arrest, we also want to be able to provide them with a program post-arrest, and that's -- let's not forget our intended victims; especially with protracted multi-jurisdictional stalking cases, domestic violence, where this type of targeted violence would come up, we want to be able to supply them with the same services as well.

We've also been approved for Inspector in the Office of Statewide Intelligence. This inspector will serve as a person in the Office Statewide Intelligence to assist with the oversight of FortifyFL. And it will also be that person to assist in the BTAM program as we build it out throughout the state, and be that subject matter expert on-hand to assist those regions.

We're also contracting for the first year with a forensic operational psychologist. This person is a clinical psychologist; however, they're going to specialize in the forensic side, and also that word "operational" means they're going to assist us with investigative, real-time decisions that we have to make to determine what course of action we need to take and what types of operational decisions we need to make, depending on what this person's behavior is demonstrating to us as we're conducting this

investigation and assessment. This is a small field with limited specialists. We are putting this out to bid, and hopefully we'll have one of those on board sooner than later. Next slide please.

The evolution of this program, the targeted violence prevention behavioral threat assessment pilot project in the three regions, TB ROC, O ROC, and FM ROC, will be evaluated periodically to determine where modifications need to be made to the program to improve both performance and expanding it over the next four regions.

The reason why we're doing it in these three regions, there's going to be some bugs to work out, and we figured it would be easier to condense it, work out of those three regions, before we expand it, just from a managerial standpoint.

It is anticipated that additional funding and positions may be needed for the program to evolve in the statewide program that it intends to become; however, Commissioner Swearingen has made it very clear, whether we get this funding or not, FDLE's committed to this mission. We hope to get finding, but whether it's there or not we will make the necessary changes and get this program up and running statewide in the very near future. Next slide please.

2.1

22

23

24

25

In conclusion, I just want to share an anecdotal experience. I participated in a meeting with the FBI behavioral unit out of Quantico, in Orlando, when I met with some of our law enforcement partners in Orlando last month. According to them, the State of Florida, this program, is the first statewide program of its kind in the United States; that's according to them. This novel program will utilizing current law enforcement best practices, along with the community policing concept, as I mentioned earlier, to cultivate the long-standing partnerships between the aforementioned government and non-government agencies, and higher educational institutions. These partners will continue to work together via the Multi-Disciplinary Team model, in an effort to reduce the risk of targeted violence on a long-term basis. Any questions?

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Does anybody -- Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Let me just look at my notes. Let's see her, SAC Crough, thank you very much for your presentation. I think that this is great, this is definitely what we need. Can you speak to how the new BTAM unit will eliminate silos?

You know, I just think of, you know, the FBI

is creating their own BTAM unit, we've got fusion centers, we've got threat assessment units in local sheriff's departments and police departments, can you just, you know, address that, and how we're going to make sure that everybody is communicating, and there aren't -- we're not just creating additional silos?

MR. CROUGH: Yes. The goal of this program -- the goal of this program is to eliminate those silos via the information sharing and intel sharing through these Multi-Disciplinary Teams. What the purpose of that is not only for case review and deconfliction, but is to develop relationships.

Where you develop relationships with each of these agencies that are participating, whether they're going to show up at the meetings or not, we're going to cultivate those relationships. This is regional project that will be overseen by each SAC and ASAC of that region. I will serve as the coordinator to maintain consistency.

But your concern is very valid, and that's what we're going to eliminate is those silos. I have assurance from the three FBI regions now -- or the two FBI regions in the state that they will participate in the sharing of information which they can share.

I think it's imperative that we partner with

the larger agencies in each respective region to open those lines of communication, develop those relationships with at least one point of contact, as well as the smaller agencies, to bring them in the loop. And this is going to take some time. It's a build-up.

We start with a nucleus team, and then we start to build it out with each of these other discipline, including the universities; and we create that rapport, that relationship, where hopefully then it just subterfuges basically some of the bureaucratic red tape we run into with these type of issues. We can pick up the phone and talk to somebody immediately about what we're dealing with, and continue on with our efforts.

So Commissioner, that is the goal of this is to eliminate those silos, and we're going to do everything we can to do that. It's just going to take some time, but I can assure you based on my -- I was brought into this project in October, I've been living like a nomad driving around talking to law enforcement agencies, and the desire is out there. Everybody is welcoming this with open arms to establish those lines of communication.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. Beautiful.

Beautiful. Are you utilizing any social media monitoring, you know, programs, you know, to -- I understand you're -- you know, we're going to be getting, you know, threat information from all these different agencies that are going to funnel information to you, is that something that you're looking at as well?

MR. CROUGH: Absolutely. We have probably some the best analysts in the world working at FDLE, and those people are gifted when it comes to monitoring social media, so yes, that's going to be a big component of our strategy.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: You know, I know that all these social media companies are moving towards end-to-end encryption of all of their data. Is that a concern of yours and, you know, as we look to try to prevent these acts of targeted violence emanating from social media?

MR. CROUGH: Well, withholding information would always be a concern, but we'll have to learn to navigate those new waters as they're unfolding in front of us. So that will be a challenge, but I anticipate we'll come up with a solution.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And my last question is, Sheriff, the reason that I think that that

statewide database that I was speaking about earlier, you know, to make sure that if children move school districts, we're able to, you know, have that information sharing. I think one of the other gaps that this Commission should address is after kids, you know, go to college and universities, can we provide information to higher education?

And you know, this would, you know, also concern the BTAM unit so that they're going to be working with universities, you know, a lot of these K through 12 schools are doing these threat assessments, we have threat assessment teams in all of our schools, but there currently is no linkage to higher ed, to really inform, you know, higher education if there are threats, you know, of children that want to commit harm to themselves or others. So do you think that that is an area that, you know, we could spend some time or that needs to addressed?

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Well, there's a lot there, you know? I would say -- I don't think we need to spend time on it, we've already spent time on it. We've address it, we've made recommendations on it, and you know, I think -- if you think you're going to have at some point some higher ed, non-ed, schools, everybody all on one system, I don't think that's

going to happen. I think that's just not realistic.

And you know, if you could -- if we could get something just within the schools, because there's so many complicated areas there with law enforcement agencies, various bubbles that share information, you've got FRPA, you've got all these different -- mental health, you've got all these different components, and all the laws and access to information, if we could just get something in the schools, that would be a great start.

And you know, people are well aware of that recommendation, but like I told you before, it's a huge lift and a huge cost factor. But it doesn't mean we don't keep raising it, we will, and we'll keep it on the forefront, and you know, hopefully at some point in time -- I think it's a great stride to just get a consistent behavioral threat assessment instrument statewide -- that's big -- in these teams now where, you know, we've got the right people on the teams and they're functioning, so that would be a next step, we'll keep pushing it.

Does anybody else -- anybody have anything else on the -- just that statewide -- it was more of an overview and a familiarization just to bring it forward. Commissioner Swearingen asked that we hear

about that and see what's going on on a statewide level regarding threats, threat management, because that's really what it's all about. Anybody have anything thoughts on that?

All right. So Jennifer, what we're going to do is just we're going to have any discussion here with the agenda. We had scheduled our conclusion for 11:45, other than any discussion commissioners want to have, we're ready to conclude and we -- except for public comment.

And I was going to put on the record, consistent with the settlement agreement we reached based upon that lawsuit is that we have to do public comment within an hour on either side of what we advertised it, and we are within that hour. I had advertised it for 11:45, it's now 11:08, so we are within that hour, so we're not going to wait until 11:45 for public comment. So right now as we sit, we'll have a brief round table for anything that anybody wants to bring up. Do we have anybody that wants to make any public comment at this point, Jennifer?

MS. MILLER: We do. We've received two requests but they have not called in.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Okay. So that's why I'm

saying it now so that anybody that does want to make public comment, abide by the guidelines that were published, and we will have a brief round table and then we'll call for public comment. And if people are there, then we'll take the public comment at that point, if not then we'll -- we're within the hour that we published.

All right. So moving forward, like I said, you know, we need to wait and see these bills that come out from the legislature, see what those are.

We'll see what this -- what the grand jury does. I have a list of a number of people to bring before the Commission for updates, including Superintendent Runcie and Sheriff Tony, a whole bunch, when we're able to meet in person again. We'll continue to monitor the situation, of course, and it would be nice to be able to meet in person sometime this year, but we don't have any direct control over that situation of course, so we'll just keep an eye on it.

A lot of the things that we have on the list to cover are things that we've provided input on previously, so is there anything else that any of the Commission members want to bring up, any input you want to provide? Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: Yeah, Sheriff, I just want to

suggest that, at the appropriate time, we need to wrap around and support that grand jury report. They've done a lot of heavy lifting, they've done a lot of stuff that can and should help us, and they may have even given us new ideas that we would need to push toward the legislature, as well as the grand jury.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I don't know what format you want to do that in, but I think it's important that we closely monitor and wrap around and support that grand jury effort.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Yeah, I agree. that we -- we had a brief discussion about it, I think, you were maybe tied up early on and then came on, we did talk about it. I don't know for sure, but -- of course, it's all confidential -- I think, though, that we'll hear something from the grand jury with a final report this year. Exactly when -- and they've issued the interim reports, but once we have that final report, I agree with you it's something we'll delve into. We'll make sure as soon as it's out that you all have access at the same time we will, but make sure that everybody's aware and get it out, and I think that will be a robust discussion that we have. Because I know that, just from the interim reports, that they've done a tremendous amount of work, so

absolutely that will be something we'll look at closely, it will be on the forefront.

Anybody have anything else from a round table standpoint? Anything else you want to bring up?

Anything that's not already on the list of things that you've provided about what we want to talk about that we can't do a in-person meeting? Mr. Schachter?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yeah, I just think that this meeting was another example of how Florida is a leader in the safety of our schools, from the presentation that SAC Crough just gave on the Behavioral Threat Assessment Team statewide, to protect not only our schools, but every citizen in our state, from acts of targeted violence; to the presentation by Director Hay.

So as I travel around the state everyone asks, you know, what is Florida doing? They're watching us because post-Parkland, we have made a lot of changes that I think our students and our staff are much safer than they were prior to the tragedy. So thank you to all the members of this Commission.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Anything else before we take public comment?

All right, Jennifer, we're ready for public comment, do you have the speakers who want to address

the Commission?

MS. MILLER: Yes, sir. We have Tony Montalto on the line.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Mr. Montalto, go ahead.
Mr. Montalto, are you there?

MR. MONTALTO: I'm here. Hello?

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Okay. Yeah, go ahead.

MR. MONTALTO: Okay. Thanks everyone who presented today, and to all the Commissioners for continuing this vital work. All Florida citizens should be grateful for your efforts.

However, I must take a moment to remind everyone why this Commission exists. I didn't hear any mention of it at the beginning, so I'm just going to say it now, we just passed the third commemoration of the massacre which took the lives of 17 wonderful souls. I'm going to take a moment now to read their names.

We'll start with the three staff members, all of which who served their schools and their communities: Scott Beigel, Aaron Feis, and Chris Hixon.

Next, we'll go on to the students who were lost, each with a bright future: Alyssa Alhadeff, Martin Duque, Nicholas Dworet, Jamie Guttenberg, Luke

Hoyer, Cara Loughran; my beautiful daughter, Gina Rose
Montalto; Joaquin Oliver, Alaina Petty, Meadow
Pollack, Helena Ramsay, Alex Schachter, Carmen
Schentrup, and Peter Wang.

2.1

We've made great strides as have been mentioned, but of course there's more work to do. We implore your Commission, the statewide grand jury, the Florida legislature and the governor to continue to pass policies and laws which will keep all of Florida's students and staff members safe.

Something that will additionally help that is letting the families know the threats that their children are under. We stand with Parkland, the National Association of Families for Safe Schools, taking the time to partner with Representative Daily and Senator Jones in order to introduce the Parents Need To Know Act.

The purpose of this act that we propose, is to compel schools to notify families within a 24-hour period of threats that they receive. It's important for the families to have the knowledge to help keep our kids safe.

We saw just a couple of weeks ago here in Broward, in the state court, that the school districts had no duty to warn the families. I can tell you all

of our families would have made different choices 1 2 about where to send our children had we known there was a threat to shoot up their school. So we hope 3 that your Committee will be able to join with our 4 5 founding families as we push to close this vital gap in notifying families of problems. Again, thank you 6 7 all. SHERIFF GUALTIERI: All right. Thank you, 8 9 Mr. Montalto. Next speaker, Jennifer? 10 MS. MILLER: We have Thomas James on the 11 line. 12 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Okay. Mr. James, go 13 ahead. You have three minutes. 14 MS. MILLER: Mr. James, are you muted? 15 unmuted you on my end. 16 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Mr. James, are you there? 17 Do we have any other speakers? No, sir, that's the last one. 18 MS. MILLER: 19 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Are you connected to him? 20 MR. JAMES: Can you hear me? 21 MS. MILLER: There you are, now --22 SHERIFF GUALTIERI: We can hear you now. 23 ahead, you have three minutes. 24 MR. JAMES: Thomas James, I've spoken three 25 times, retired teacher, Dade County Public Schools, I

also worked for the teachers union, I also worked at

Department of Education when Columbine took place, as
a senior policy aide. Basically I'm very interested
in school safety. As you know, if kids aren't safe in
school, then obviously, you know, everything else is
irrelevant.

I applaud the work you've done and the positive changes that are making our schools safer; however, as you realize, you know, much work needs to be done. I specifically wanted to thank Max for all of his amazing work with regard to the school incident reports and school dashboard, and that's a fantastic tool, so kudos to him.

Now, however, your mission is to make this thing truly functional, accurate, and transparent, so you might ask well how do we do that?

Last session unfortunately some of the safety proposals were derailed by several factors that had nothing to do with school safety; one, I believe, had to do with the minimum age a student, you know, had to be in order to be arrested or put in handcuffs, dealing with some little girl up in north Florida who had allegedly attacked three teachers, and unfortunately I guess the Democrats in the state senate did not want to approve the safety package

based on that; and also I know that Senator Diaz had made a comment about our superintendents have been, you know, beat up enough, and we don't need to go forward with any kind of criminal sanctions.

In order to make this transparent, you must have criminal sanctions against superintendents, school board members, or school district administrators who fail to report campus crime, who cover up campus crime, who mis-report, mis-classify, doctor or manipulate school safety data on official documents that are sent to the Florida Department of Education, and U.S. Department of Education.

You as a Commission, to your benefit, have already identified three school districts guilty of this behavior: Broward County, Miami/Dade County and Duval County, and I can assure you this practice is widespread. So the failure to hold school district officials accountable is the biggest hurtle our state faces in implementing real changes that will truly make our kids safer.

The bottom line is, you know, this needs to be an integral part of any legislation going forward, and I would certainly hope that Mr. Montalto would include that with the package that's being prepared by Senator Jones from Fort Lauderdale.

Basically when there's no penalties or consequences for school district officials to do this stuff, their behavior will not change. And what's happened is they haven't really been punished, they've just been rewarded with lots more money from the federal government and state government.

So I just implore you to please pass this legislation that will hold school district officials liable, because you know, the data needs to be accurate, and if we're not getting accurate data in, then we're not getting accurate data out.

So thank you so much, and I appreciate it, and keep up the good work.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: All right. Thank you,
Mr. James. Just for the record, for anybody who
listens, so everybody's clear, we don't pass any
legislation, we don't pass anything; that's up to the
legislature. So you're asking us to pass things, we
don't have any authority to pass things, so just to
make sure there's no miscommunication about that. All
right. Jennifer, do we have anybody else?

MS. MILLER: No, sir, that was everyone.

SHERIFF GUALTIERI: Okay. All right, well unless any of the Commissioners have anything else, we'll stay in touch. We'll shoot for hopefully an

in-person meeting this year, and we'll get the draft bill out to you when we see it from the legislature, and of course when that grand jury report comes out, as soon as we have access to it, we'll make sure that gets out to everybody. So with that, we will be adjourned. (Proceedings were adjourned at 11:22 a.m.) 

- 1	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	
4	
5	I, JEFFREY R. BABCOCK, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings, and that the transcript, pages 1 through 102,
8	is a true and correct record of my stenographic notes.
9	
10	Dated this 10th day of March, 2021 at
11	Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.
12	
13	Jeffrey Babcock
14	JEFFREY BABCOCK
15	Court Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
•	