Veritext Legal Solutions

DODD - SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, CITRUS COUNTY

CHRISTINA LINTON - COMMISSION STAFF, FDLE

RYAN PETTY - VICTIM PARENT

LAUREN BOOK - SENATOR, DISTRICT 32 (ABSENT)

MARSHA POWERS - SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, MARTIN COUNTY KEVIN LYSTAD - PRESIDENT, FLORIDA POLICE CHIEF ASSOC

800-726-7007

22

23

24

(Thereupon, the meeting is called to order:)

CHAIR: All right, good morning everybody.

We'll call the meeting to order. Before we get started with the first agenda item Mr.

Schachter has something he wants to bring forward. Mr. Schachter, you are recognized.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Commissioners, on your desk in front of you you have the Marjorie Stoneman Douglas High School Newspaper that was recognized by the Pulitzer Committee. It has beautiful memorials of all of the seventeen victims, so please take a look at it at your leisure. Thank you for everything you do for this commission.

CHAIR: All right, thank you, Mr.

Schachter. We appreciate you making that available to everybody. It's very well done, and we appreciate it. The governor's executive order directed the Department of Education and the Commissioner to provide the districts with guidance on adhering to the requirements of SB7026. As we talked about, letters went out superintendents from Commissioner Corcoran last week, and you've been provided with copies of both letters.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.2

2.3

2.4

Now, if you recall I sent a similar letter to all superintendents and school board chairs back in March on behalf of this commission. At our April meeting Director Kelly provided you with the results of a survey showing school districts' compliance, and non-compliance with SB7026, as well as established best practices. That survey has been recently updated, and Director Kelly is now going to share with us the results of the updated survey.

As you're going to see as Director Kelly goes through this presentation there are still come districts that are out of compliance with the law, and as Commissioner Corcoran indicated in his letter last week non-compliance will leave the Department no choice but to, quote, use the full extent of the law to ensure compliance, closed quote.

For those school districts in the State of Florida not in compliance more than one year after SB7026 became law, I wholeheartedly encourage Commissioner Corcoran to take immediate action against those who for whatever reason just don't seem to take school safety seriously enough. And this non-compliance

needs to stop. The districts need to be in a hundred percent compliance, and frankly there's no reason for them not to be in compliance.

This isn't a cost issue, this is a will issue, and this is spending more time figuring out how to get out of it than how to do it.

And is you'll see, and Director Kelly is going through his presentation, but it is clear, and the legislature reiterated it in 7030, is that drills are required to be done on monthly basis, and why do we still have nineteen school districts in the State of Florida that aren't doing drills on a monthly basis. We have some that people aren't even moving. They're not drills, they're just talking to them in a classroom.

So let Director Kelly go through his presentation, and then we can have some further discussion about it, but the new sanction authority that's in 7030 that the Commissioner can directly use I think needs to be done, and somebody needs to be made an example of, because it's clear after a year that we still don't have compliance, so that's what it's going to take unfortunately. There's no reason

2.2

2.3

2.4

it shouldn't be that way. People should just do what the law says, and do the right thing, but they're not.

2.2

Do, Director Kelley, we'll turn it over to you for an update, and appreciate you being here.

SHERIFF JUDD: Sheriff, if I may -DIRECTOR KELLY: Good morning, Mr.
Chairman --

SHERIFF JUDD: Excuse me.

DIRECTOR KELLY: -- commission members, I appreciate the opportunity to be back today to give you an update on a survey that --

CHAIR: Damien, hold on a second. Sheriff Judd, did you have something?

SHERIFF JUDD: Since you -- I mean you brought, brought the topic up before he presents, is it appropriate, either before his presentation or after, that we, we have a vote of this Board so, so there's a clear message to Richard Corcoran what, what we need to do, and how serious we are?

CHAIR: Yeah, let's -- let's hear the presentation, so you can have all the data, and then we'll entertain any motions that any

commission members want to make.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHERIFF JUDD: I'll have one.

PRESENTATION SCHOOL DISTRICT SURVEY AND STATEWIDE

COMPLIANCE WITH SB7026

DIRECTOR KELLY: Thank you for the opportunity for being here, and I'm going to go through the survey that we sent out again. It was a carbon copy of the April survey, with the exception of the first question, which was do you have a safe school officer assigned bell to bell at each school. I'm going to go through the reason why we didn't send that question out again. This slide that you're seeing here is updated from April. There were nine law enforcement officers added to the list from the April numbers, and there six guardians added to the list.

This is the breakdown of the, what was the second question. Back in April we had thirteen school districts that reported that they did not have a law enforcement officer or a guardian present bell to bell. Rather than resend the survey I decided to visit the thirteen districts who had reported that they could not, they could not say they had a law

enforcement officer or guardian there. Since my visits we have dropped that down to ten. There were three schools that had, or three districts that had a small number of schools that didn't have full time covering, and they were able to finish out the school year with full time coverage.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Of the ten that are remaining I can report to you that eight of the, or seven of the ten are going to correct this for the 2019/2020 school year by adopting the guardian program. And the, the other one who, who is reporting that they will be in compliance are going to be in compliance by moving the one program that they have that's non-compliant to a location that already has a law enforcement officer present. And then there are two school districts that still have not gotten with us to tell us what their plan is for the 2019/2020 school year.

CHAIR: Damien, would you just clarify on that, of, of those ten districts, and you said seven of them are going to fix it by adopting the guardian program, is, is that, for our benefit, and without naming them because we

don't want to expose any vulnerabilities, but are any of those districts where it is, I'll call it the direct public schools themselves that are under the superintendent as opposed to charters, are those all districts where the problem where there isn't somebody there -- I know up until recently there's a problem in Orange County, and I'll call it in the main public schools, and so, my understanding is that that's been fixed, but the question is, is that in those ten districts is it all charters, or are there any of the schools under a superintendent that are not compliant with this?

2.2

2.3

2.4

DIRECTOR KELLY: Seven -- seven are charters. Two are a combination of charters and public schools. And the last one is a small program which is, has thirty five students in it that are moving to a different facility.

CHAIR: Okay. Sheriff Judd?

SHERIFF JUDD: Let me tell you that we had one charter that despite our best efforts would not comply. Well, the day that I went to the media and pointed out that this charter is the

800-726-7007

only one in the county that's not complying, the next day they complied. School is out right now; I think it would be a great time to air them out, and that gives them all summer to figure it out.

So I'm, you know, I don't know -- I'm one commissioner's voice here, but I would like, unless there's opposition and I'm overwhelmed, let's name them. Let's name names, and name people, and name districts of who the heck doesn't care enough to protect their children, and let's get it on, on the table. And that gives them all summer before school let's back in to correct the deficiency.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I would second that motion.

CHAIR: Okay, well, you know, I don't know. I think that it really -- and it may be something -- my understanding, and Director Kelly, you can speak to this is, is that my understanding is, is that I'm not sure that you have the authority to make that decision, because I think it's being made above you within the Department of Education not to do that, so he, or Chancellor Oliva may need to

2.2

2.3

2.4

speak to it, but I don't think that, you know, we're in a position to tell him to do it.

I think that he's getting that from, my understanding is, is that that concern is raised at the highest levels within the Department of Education, and they don't want to do that; is that correct?

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir.

SHERIFF JUDD: Well, you know, this commission doesn't work for that Department of Education, and we're here to get a problem fixed.

CHAIR: Go ahead. Commissioner Petty, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: I would speak in support of what Sheriff Judd is saying. These schools are already at risk, and I don't know that by exposing at this time when school is out we put, we put them at any more risk. I think we actually improve the safety and security of those schools by naming them now, and giving those superintendents or administrators a couple of months to get their acts together and protect those kids comes school time.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHERIFF JUDD: We've given them all year so that we didn't put them at risk.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Well, they do have summer programs going on.

SHERIFF JUDD: In some of the schools.

But, you know, they can get -- they've got

partial covering in all of these counties so
they can move that covering around to cover
those summer programs.

CHAIR: Well, you know, I hear you.

Commissioner Nelson, did you have something?

CHIEF NELSON: Director Kelly, of the two districts that will not be in compliance have they given a reason, is it an issue with the guardian program, not wanting to do it? What's their excuse for not being in compliance with it?

DIRECTOR KELLY: One of the districts is not in the position to respond to me regarding charter schools at all because of a lawsuit that they are tied up in where they, where the Judge ruled that they cannot go out and actually find out what the circumstances are at the charter schools until the lawsuit if resolved. And the other district is, again is

charter schools, and they're just, they just don't have an answer right now, when I, when I visited them last month, you know, we had a face to face with the superintendent. And it is something they are going to address, but they weren't able to tell me right then and there that this, this is the direction they were going in.

CHAIR: But are their -- are those schools -- I'm -- now I'm not clear, is, is that are there -- because again because careful because charter schools are public schools, so when we talk about public schools I don't want to be using the wrong nomenclature, but what, so I'm going to refer to the schools under the superintendent for our purposes of this discussion, schools that directly fall under the superintendent is public schools, and the schools that are charter schools that are governed by a Board, and have a principal for that charter schools, okay, so we're on the same page.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir.

CHAIR: Are there any public schools that fall under a superintendent that, in any

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

	rage
1	districts that are in non-compliance?
2	DIRECTOR KELLY: Yeah, there there are
3	two.
4	CHAIR: Those are two that are.
5	DIRECTOR KELLY: Two districts, yes.
6	CHAIR: Okay, but that are charters.
7	And everything else in the other eight
8	districts are, are charters?
9	DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct.
10	CHAIR: And in in to Commissioner
11	Nelson's question, as to those other, those two
12	that are public schools and under a
13	superintendent, do we know, are, in those
14	districts are they guardian districts, or no?
15	DIRECTOR KELLY: One will be.
16	CHAIR: But it's not now.
17	DIRECTOR KELLY: That's going to be their
18	
19	CHAIR: So so one so they are
20	they're already going to fix it, they've
21	already said they're going to fix it.
22	DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir.
23	CHAIR: All right, so that leaves the
24	other one, and then the other one that is not a

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

guardian district either?

DIRECTOR KELLY: It's not. And it has one school, and they are going to use guardians to correct that.

CHAIR: They're going to what?

DIRECTOR KELLY: They're going to --

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

they're going to have the guardian program.

And it's actually going to be, I was going to bring up later on, it's going to be the situation where it will be the first time that we have a guardian program where the guardians are trained outside of their county.

CHAIR: Outside of the county.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct.

CHAIR: Because the Sheriff doesn't, doesn't want to do it in that county.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct.

CHAIR: Okay. So -- so the two public school districts that are under a superintendent that are not now in compliance, and haven't been during this school year, have indicated to you they're going to be in compliance when school starts in August --

DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct.

CHAIR: -- and they're going to use, excuse me, they're going to use the guardian

800-726-7007

1 program.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

DIRECTOR KELLY: They are, yes.

Okay. So does that -- and then CHAIR: all the rest are -- and do you have any number -- do you have any idea, if you do, as to -- so in the other eight districts that are all involving charters that are in non-compliance -- and again now under 7030 -- and what they were doing before, some of them, were saying that the law didn't apply to them, and I know you've dealt with that in a number of places around the state, well that, that even position, argument, is off the table now because the legislature clearly in 7030 stated unequivocally that all of this applies to charters, but in those other eight districts where it is all charters that have been in non-compliance do you have any idea just generally the number of, total number of charters that didn't have a safe school officer, where they weren't in compliance? DIRECTOR KELLY: I could tell you by district without naming the districts if you --

Veritext Legal Solutions

I'm looking at how many -- not -- we know it's

But how many -- but how many --

CHAIR:

eight districts, but about how many schools? 1 Are we talking ten schools, a hundred schools, five hundred schools? 3 DIRECTOR KELLY: In one district it's 4 5 forty seven schools. 6 CHAIR: Forty seven. 7 DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes. That were not in compliant? 8 CHAIR: 9 DIRECTOR KELLY: Yeah, I'd say two, two 10 districts --11 CHAIR: And -- and then -- and in order to 12 gain compliance that requires going to the 13 Board of Directors for each of those forty 14 seven, and they all have different Board of 15 Directors probably, unless they're a particular 16 charter that has multiple campuses, but it 17 would mean going, for to get in compliance you got to go to each one of those different 18 19 Boards; is that right? DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct. Unless it's --20 21 unless it's a Board that cover, if they have 2.2 several in the district --Several schools, right, it's a 23 CHAIR: 2.4 corporation or something, right. Go ahead,

Sheriff.

2.5

SHERIFF JUDD: Let me clearly point this out. There's many charter schools in my county. There's different charter school boards in my county. Every one of them has a full time guardian, or a full time law enforcement officer, and has since I had to call out that one school, which stood up ceremoniously and said, oh, he's put the kids at risk, so gosh, I guess we'll add a school resource officer. Well, imagine that.

Those charter students are human beings, and they're funded through the school board. And why in the world do those forty seven charters in, one area, wherever they are, have the latitude and the luxury not to comply with the law. And we've given them all year, and we've said here all year long during these meetings, and Sheriff Gualtieri has been very clear that the law does apply to them, and now if we call them out today that gives them the whole summer to get it, get it right. And if they happen to have any school functions in any of those areas that are ongoing they can have one of the school resource officers or quardians that's out of school cover the school

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 until they get someone there.

2.

2.2

2.3

But, we wouldn't allow anyone else to stand up here in state government, and certainly the DOE is on the commission, and say, well, we're not going to tell you that, and, and who, and Mr. Kelly, Damien Kelly knows, you know, I think he walks on water, and he's, he's doing what we say, but I want their names public. I want them called out. I want to make sure there is a guardian or school resource officer on every campus across this state full time when school let's back in, and us sitting here keeping them quiet is enabling what they're doing.

I found sunshine moved a, moved a group of people in one day in my county, and we can get that same compliance in every county in this state if they will do what I did, and my superintendent did in our county, so --

CHAIR: Well, we've done it here before, you know, you know -- you know, Jacksonville is not real happy with me, but that's okay, but now they're in compliance, so we got what we needed. And I'm happy about that, and they can be unhappy all they want, and others. Orange

800-726-7007

County is another example of that, and you can do down the list.

2.2

But with that said, it's not fair to put Damien on the spot. He's under directives. So, Chancellor Oliva, what do you want to do, do you want to run it up a flagpole at a break and come back to us --

MR. OLIVIA: I'd be happy to do that. And just as a point of clarification 7030 gave new guidelines, and it gave rule making authority to the Board to put those protocols and procedures, and expectations clearly in place with compliance, so that, that process is going to move forward, and we're going to get these schools in a hundred percent compliance.

SHERIFF JUDD: I want to know who they are today.

CHAIR: Well, we can tell you -- and he can certainly tell you. His question is, is that do they tell, tell you, or tell us as a commission, or do they make it public, and that's what he needs to find out, if -- you know, because again, we can't put Director Kelly on the spot, he's under directives, so, so it's, so it's up to, up to Chancellor Oliva

Veritext Legal Solutions

to tell us what the Department's position on that is going to be, and then if you would at break come back, and we can readdress this after the break.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

But -- but it has worked, Sheriff, you're right, and we have done this numerous times, and we have named districts, and schools, and other entities, and called them, out, and it does move the needle, you're right.

SHERIFF JUDD: And, Sheriff, you don't know how many children you may have saved in Orange County and/or Duvall County because of your action, or our action. And, Chancellor, I say this with all due respect, and you know I'm, I was passionate about this, and I'm a missionary now after, after sitting here for a year, if they don't release the information then let's subpoena whoever we need to subpoena before this commission and find out why you are not doing what we know -- I've got -- I have --I have got a solution that forces people to do what they should do, and it's sitting back It's called television cameras and there. reporters, who all of the sudden the community now knows that their charter schools and their

Veritext Legal Solutions

folks don't care about the students in those schools.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

And I'm -- I'm hot about this. The thought that they're, that we've gone an entire year is bad enough. Yes, we have the law, but let's call them out. Let's put some heat on them right now.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Chair.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley, go ahead.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Is -- are these -Damien, are these two school districts that
we're discussing here, are they, did they
accept safe school funding for SROs or
quardians?

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: So is that not what the grand jury has been empaneled for?

CHAIR: I don't know. I'm not going to into what, the scope of they're going to, I don't think we can do that, so.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Sheriff, just quickly, I believe 7026 was, was clear. I think 7030 put a fine point on it. And while I -- I completely appreciate the issue of not wanting to expose weaknesses, or shortcomings in

security, I do believe that's it incumbent upon all of us here to force this issue, to require the disclosure, to require that today regardless of whether it's a charter school, a traditional public school in a district, not just so that the, that the community knows, and the public knows, but at its core is meets our responsibility to ensure the safety of the children, so that the parents can make a choice if they know that a school is not covered, or there is a, an issue at that particular school, and they can make that choice, and then it's going to be up to the, up to the people in the community.

CHAIR: So to be clear, I agree with you, and, you know, what we have done, what I've done demonstrates that, and it has worked, so -- but let's -- in fairness to Director Kelly, let's let Chancellor Oliva make a phone call at the break, he'll get back to us, and let's let Director Kelly continue with the presentation, and you can see the remaining results of the survey, and we'll take it from there. So why don't you keep going, Director Kelly?

SHERIFF JUDD: And, Sheriff, let me

800-726-7007

2.2

2.5

underscore one more time, Damien Kelly is my hero. We wouldn't be where we are without him, so, so -- and, Damien, clearly you, you understand that I'm not asking you to violate the direction that you're given. And he has done a remarkable job, but it's time for us to act. Thank you.

DIRECTOR KELLY: And I'll just add that my, my main concern is that there are some small school districts that it could be easy to try to figure out what school they're talking about that may, that may not have covering.

And I understand schools are out right now, but we also told the districts when we surveyed them that this, we were not going to be identifying any district. That may be something that, that the Chancellor will say, you know, we'll overrule, but that was --

CHAIR: Yeah, let's let him -- let see what he finds out, you know, but all that against the backdrop of, it's over a year, come on, you know, you know, what, what are we doing. And -- and -- and for some of them, you know, part of the problem is, is that they've had an option, and I've said this a thousand

2.2

times in the past, and people say, well, this is an unfunded mandate, it is not an unfunded mandate. They have an option, and it doesn't cost them a dime, and that is to implement the guardian program and having existing employees do it as a collateral responsibility.

2.2

That's the option that the legislature provided, they just don't like that option, and because they don't like that option, and they don't want to pay for it, they just decided not to comply, and they don't, just don't get to do that. That's just wrong. And that's what frustrates me, and frustrates everybody, because they can do it, and there's no barrier to doing it other than will, and their will is they're being defiant, and they just don't want to do it because they don't like it.

That day is gone. That ship sailed. You don't get to do that anymore in the area of school safety, and that's the message they need to receive.

DIRECTOR KELLY: And -- and just to follow up on it, when, when I left here in April I was frustrated by the numbers that were there, and that's why I chose to, to go visit all thirteen

districts. And we did make some in-roads, and 1 get some better covering, but -- and then I 3 felt like, yes, I do, I do have the plan from eight, but there are still two that, that I 5 can't tell you anything about --CHAIR: And -- and there should be zero. 6 DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct. 8 CHAIR: Because there's just no reason, 9 there's no excuse, there's -- there is nothing 10 anybody can say, and they shouldn't have been 11 exposing the students to that vulnerability, 12 and, and that hole needs to get plugged, and it 13 needs to stop, and it needs to stop now. 14 CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Mr. Chairman, I 15 have a question, just, just for clarification. 16 So on a statewide basis of the public schools 17 how many are non-compliant right now in the state of Florida? 18 DIRECTOR KELLY: Of any districts --19 20 CHAIR: Districts is ten. 21 CHEIF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: How many 2.2 schools? 23 DIRECTOR KELLY: You've got ten, ten --2.4 CHAIR: He's asking the number of actual

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

schools?

2.5

DIRECTOR KELLY: The total of like all of 1 the ten districts? 3 CHEIF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Yes. DIRECTOR KELLY: It's I believe a hundred 4 5 and ninety. 6 CHEIF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: A hundred and ninety? DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir. 8 9 CHEIF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Wow, that's a 10 bigger number than I thought, than we were 11 talking about the districts. 12 CHAIR: And -- and that -- and that's the 13 current number, and that gap has closed 14 recently. It was bigger than that. At the 15 April meeting it was bigger than that. 16 DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct. 17 CHAIR: And, you know -- and probably the 18 biggest one that there was, and that existed 19 right around the time in April, was still in 20 Orange County, where they had, in public 21 schools where they had one deputy covering four 2.2 or five schools. Now, they stopped that, and

COMMISSIONER DODD: I've got a question.

they came into compliance, but that was only in

the last couple of months, so.

23

24

2.5

1 CHAIR: Go ahead.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER DODD: And just to continue on, on that clarification though, so what, Damien, you're saying, is at the beginning of next school year, as of the start of next school year how many schools will not be in compliance, what is that number?

DIRECTOR KELLY: If everybody that I've met with does what they said they're going to do, and those guardian program, then there are only two school districts that I, I can't report on. And that would be a little less than a hundred schools between the two districts that, that I just don't know the circumstances there.

COMMISSIONER DODD: There will still be almost a hundred schools at the start of next school year from what you know now --

DIRECTOR KELLY: If -- if nothing changed from the information I have right now, but -- COMMISSIONER DODD: Okay.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Just let me clarify, I don't know the situation with those schools because the district is not able to report to me what's the situation.

Veritext Legal Solutions

CHAIR: And that district -- and that district -- I mean I'll just tell you, I know that's Palm Beach, and there's litigation going on, which again, my opinion, ridiculous, where there's litigation between the school district and the charters about the applicability of all of this, and they're fighting with each other, and it's before an administrative law judge, and they're haggling back and forth about who can do what, and who can tell who what, and what money, and everything else, when just do their job and provide for effective safety.

Instead they're all fighting with each other, you know, and you're going to, you want to, it's a good segue way into what we're going to hear later today about communications here in Broward County where everybody is just fighting with each other. So the fighting needs to stop, and people just need to figure it out. And but that's what's going on. And so the district he's talking about, I'll tell you because I know, that that district is Palm Beach County.

SHERIFF JUDD: And arguably it's the wealthiest county in the State of Florida, and

while this fight is going on, if they cared about the children in these schools they'd say, hey, we're going to staff it with a school resource officer, or we're going to staff it with a guardian, and then we'll let the courts figure it out. To think for a second that a lawsuit and a couple of lawyers on each side of a issue can stop the safety and security of the officer, and usurp two years worth of state law is ridiculous. It's -- it's absolutely totally ridiculous.

And the people of Palm Beach that have children in those schools ought to be standing up and saying have you all lost your ever-loving mind? This is nuts. It's not like the law passed one month and we're here wearing people out the next month. This is the opportunity today to wear them out, while school is out. And for the few schools that are in there's certainly all kinds of school resource officers or guardians that's not assigned to the schools, they can go cover those schools while they fix the problem. But I can tell you if we sit here and keep them quiet, and nobody in the community understands,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

over a hundred schools, over a hundred schools don't have what we've, what we've had in the law for over a year -- I don't know how many different ways I can say it. I'll think of some more in a minute.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: And let's -- let's -- let's keep going through the presentation. I think

Chancellor Oliva is probably making some phone calls. We'll find out what he finds out, and let's keep going through the presentation.

DIRECTOR KELLY: And -- and, Sheriff, my message is always the same when I travel. That -- that number that you see on the top is the number of traditional public schools, to include charter schools in the state. I'm responsible for all three thousand six hundred and ninety five, and I make that clear everywhere that I go.

So we'll start in on the survey. The -the guardian numbers, I put a note on the
bottom of this because later on I don't want
you to get confused by some other guardian
numbers that you'll see. So back in April we
had, according to the survey response we had
thirty one school districts that had authorized

the guardian, and thirty six who had not. The June survey, we have thirty eight reporting that they, they've authorized guardian, twenty nine have not. And if you look at the note at the bottom, in some instances the school district is indicating their support for the guardian program, although the county is not yet actually participating, thus the difference between these numbers and the total of thirty active guardian programs. And you'll see that again on another slide coming up.

The next question was does your district authorize school employees, principals, coaches, counselors, et cetera, to perform guardian duties in addition to their regular duties. Back in April we had eighteen, and we've increased that by three. We now have twenty one who are reporting that the district authorizes other employees.

This one, I also put a note on it so, so to make it understandable. Has the sheriff in your county authorized the guardian program?

Back in April it was thirty one, and now we've increased that by eight, so we're up to thirty nine according to what the district repairs.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And again, in some instances the sheriff is indicating their support for the guardian program although the county is not yet actually participating in it, thus the difference between these numbers and the total number of thirty active guardian programs.

CHAIR: Commissioner Dodd, I believe your county now has the guardian program; is that right?

COMMISSIONER DODD: That is correct.

CHAIR: Right. Good.

2.2

2.3

2.4

DIRECTOR KELLY: The next question was has guardian training taken place in your district. Back in April we had twenty five that trained guardians, and in the June survey we now are up to twenty eight. And the ones, the three new counties that have done training are Baker, Escambia, and Union County.

This was a question that wasn't in the April survey, and the sheriff wanted this question added. The question was, for schools that are only served by a guardian and no law enforcement officer assigned, does at least one guardian on every campus have a law enforcement radio. Of the twenty five ten reported that

they do have law enforcement radios. Fifteen do not. And as you've heard here countless times over the last year, that seconds matter when something happens on a school campus, and we are doing anything and everything we can to assist any district who is doing the guardian program to have radios issued by the sheriff.

CHAIR: So -- and just for everybody's benefit, and anybody listening, especially in the districts that have the guardian program that have not provided police radios, law enforcement radios to the guardians, is that those radios are able to be paid for by the guardian money, so there's really no reason for you not to have the guardians have radios. We have talked about this methodology, and the methodology for best mitigating harm is you have to be able to communicate the threat, and you have to be able to react to the threat.

If you can't identify the threat then there's nothing to communicate. If you identify the threat but you can't communicate it effectively then there's nothing for people appropriately to react to. So if you have

2.

2.2

2.4

quardians that are tasked with being on these 1 school campuses with the sole purpose of 3 addressing an active assailant situation, and taking effective action against an active 5 assailant, but those people who you task with that don't have a communication device to 6 directly communicate with what will be their colleagues in the first response, and that is 8 responding law enforcement officers, or if that 10 guardian has somebody at gunpoint, or is trying 11 to take somebody into custody, or to avoid a 12 good guy on good guy situation, and all the 13 other reasons, it is paramount that these 14 quardians who are the armed people on campus have the communication devices, and I really 15 16 would encourage every district that has a 17 guardian program to apply or the money, because it is available, and use those dollars to buy 18 19 the radios so that the quardians have the 20 necessary communication equipment that will be 21 an essential tool, is an essential tool, and 22 makes a difference.

Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

2.3

2.4

25

SHERIFF JUDD: Yes, sir. And let me reiterate that every school in our county,

every public school, charter school, has a radio. When they pick that up and talk they talk directly to our desk sergeant, so we can dispatch in seconds. We've got a shooting at Crystal Lake Elementary, and we dispatch it that quick, because there's no 911, there's no going through call takers, and it's -- and as Sheriff Gualtieri eloquently pointed out the radio is available, I mean there's money for them, and it's just a mandate. I mean no communications, no response.

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: Every -- every guardian in our district, and we have about a hundred and ten of them, every guardian has a police radio that they carry on their person, every single one of them. And so they've been trained on it, they've been doing it now for a full school year, there's been no issues, and it's been very effective, and so I would encourage you all to do it. Anybody else? Yeah, Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And, Director, in Broward County we use the guardians, right?

DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And do all the

1

2.

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2.2 23

24

2.5

quardians have radios, are you aware of that?

DIRECTOR KELLY: They -- they have applied for funding for radios, so I don't -- I don't have the numbers, the breakdown of numbers, but I would imagine that they're, they're applying for funding to, to give every guardian a radio.

CHAIR: Or do you know right now -- I don't believe they do right now. Do you know? I don't -- I don't believe they do here in Broward, but I don't know. Do you know?

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yeah, I don't know for sure what -- I do know they've applied for funding for them.

Secretary Mayhew, go ahead.

SECRETARY MAYHEW: Yeah, I just wanted to go back on the lack of training, or for the districts that have not had guardian training, I just want to understand of the districts that are intending to use a quardian to meet their legal obligation, is that the barrier, or do we know if those districts that are still not in compliance, is it the training that they have not yet fulfilled? I'm just trying to match up some of the data here.

DIRECTOR KELLY: It's -- it's not really

one particular thing. For example, one district, they cannot report compliance because they're, they have schools that contract with private security, they're armed private security. The sheriff in that district has opted for the guardian program, and is going to train all of those private security guards so that they will be ready, and they'll be in compliance for '20, '19/2020.

2.

2.2

2.5

Other districts, they, they just haven't done the training yet. They're -- you'll see -- later on when we get out of the survey you'll actually see some slides on, you know, everything about the guardian program, who's in it now, who's reapplied, who has applied for the first time and have had their grants approved, and then the ones who are, who have notified us, yes, we want to participate but they have yet to actually send paperwork in. And it -- it will be forty seven counties that will, will be, have told us they're either participating or they're going to participate.

SECRETARY MAYHEW: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that last part.

DIRECTOR KELLY: It will be forty seven

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

counties of the sixty seven, is what it will jump to.

SECRETARY MAYHEW: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR: Harold -- Harold, can you turn his mic up a little bit. It's hard to hear so you can turn it up a little bit. Commissioner Larkin-Skinner, and then Commissioner Dodd.

COMMISIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: I'm just trying to understand the numbers on this particular slide. If there are twenty eight counties that have had guardian training, only twenty five answered either yes or no regarding the radios, so who is in the forty two, is that all districts that are either using law enforcement or security? The numbers just don't quite match.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Well, there's -- there are only twenty five who actually have guardians in schools. Three more were added, they've begun the training, but the guardians have not gone to, into the field, so when this survey went out only twenty five reported that, that -- only twenty five could respond to that question, and ten said, yes, we have radios, and fifteen --

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISIONER LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay, thank you.

2.2

CHAIR: These -- these numbers are not going to add up, they're not going to match.

And some of it is because guardian training, guardians have been approved in some counties but not implemented.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Correct.

CHAIR: All right, Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yes. And I just wanted to mention the deadline for applying for money, I mean you've continued to extend that, so any programs that are, that are just coming on board, will they still be able to apply for the guardian funding?

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Okay. And I think that's a great thing. I know Damien has worked with our county. I mean we have SROs in all of our schools, but we're, we've expanded the guardians as a secondary, and so --

CHAIR: And there's plenty of money. So remember that the -- in 7026 the legislature allocated \$67 million in non-recurring funds.

In 7030 they rolled, and in the budget, they rolled over the balance into FY20, so and there is only somewhere, and this is ballpark number, somewhere I think about, maybe around \$12 million that's been encumbered, but actually disbursed is somewhere only around \$5 or \$6 million, so the point is that there is a tremendous amount of available guardian money, and that same \$67 million, the balance will be available through the next fiscal year, so there's plenty of funds available.

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yeah, and Commissioner

Corcoran has made it clear that, that this is
an option he wants kept on the table, and that,
you know, our goal would be that eventually all
sixty seven sheriff's offices would, would see
this as a viable option, and the districts
would see this as a good option for the future.

CHAIR: Go ahead, Sheriff.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Just for those schools that don't have an SRO or a guardian, for sheriffs that have trained guardians, I mean it's a hundred forty four hours, so if you started today you're almost a month at eight hours a day in getting these folks trained, so

they don't have much time before school starts to train, they got to get started.

2.2

DIRECTOR KELLY: And I think a lot of it is, has started in this, you know, in the last week. The one district that we're helping to send guardians to, another sheriff to train, we made it clear to them that you've got to meet that sheriff's deadline, as he's, you know, he's not going to work around what suits you, you've got to get your people over to him now. And that's what's happening.

CHAIR: All right. Chancellor Oliva, do you want to -- anything -- you got any information for them?

MR. OLIVIA: I'd just say right now our staff is working on a response. We'll have it shortly, so --

CHAIR: Okay. All right, thank you.

MR. OLIVIA: -- it's going to take some time to review, and then we'll get back to you.

CHAIR: All right, thank you. All right, Director Kelly, why don't you keep going.

DIRECTOR KELLY: The next question was does your district have a written, have a written active assailant response policy or

Veritext Legal Solutions

procedure. Back in April four districts responded that they did not. In June that has dropped down to two. I've spoken to both districts and the, both of them at the June school board meeting, will adopt an active, active assailant response policy, so we will, we will have all sixty seven.

CHAIR: And just -- and out of fairness, because it's fair, is, is that neither of those districts, that I called them out last time, they now how have it, neither of those are Jacksonville. Jacksonville does now have a policy, correct?

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. CHAIR: All right.

DIRECTOR KELLY: The next question was, if so has that policy or procedure been distributed to all district employees. Back in April nine reported that it hadn't been, and in June eight reported that it had not been. And I went through the notes, because we gave them the option to write some notes, so I'll, I'll share with you. Five of the eight, they report that it will be in place for the 2019/2020 school year, and three did not give any, any

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

1 indication.

2.2

CHAIR: No, you know, and (unintelligible) but you've got to scratch your head on that, you know, come on, you know, you got a policy but you haven't given it to your employees, I mean what - - really -- I mean what -- how do you -- I'm not going to say anything else because it speaks for itself, but seriously people, I mean, you know, you got a policy but you haven't given it to everybody, then it, that is no better than not having a policy.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Right. The next question was if so does the policy or procedure clearly state that any and all employees are authorized to initiate an active shooter response. Back in April fourteen responded no to this question. Since then it's dropped down to six. And looking at the notes for the six that, that responded no, two said it will be in place for 2019/2020, and four didn't indicate any response.

The next question was does your district have a policy or procedure requiring that all classroom doors be locked when occupied by students. Back in April fourteen responded

they did not, and in June seven still reported they did not. Of the seven in the notes three of them didn't give any indication. One said it was a directive of the superintendent. One said that it is, will be in place for 2019/2020. One said it's a best practice, and one reported that they are in, in the process of installing new locks in all of their schools, and it will be in place for 2019/2020.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

CHAIR: Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DODD: So you said that one of the districts said that it's not best practice, that's the reason they're not locking their doors; is that what I heard?

DIRECTOR KELLY: They said it is a best practice. That's what they, they consider it a best practice, but it's not a policy.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Oh, I'm sorry, so they consider it a best practice to lock doors, but they don't want to put it in their policy.

DIRECTOR KELLY: They -- yeah, they have not yet put it in as a policy, yeah.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Okay.

DIRECTOR KELLY: The next question was does each classroom door with a window have a

Veritext Legal Solutions

teacher accessible opaque covering that may be quickly applied in response to an active response, in response to an active assailant response. Back in April we had thirty six who responded no. In the June survey that dropped down to twenty seven. And of those twenty seven twelve report, list that it's in progress, they just haven't completed all the schools yet. Two responded that it's up to the teacher.

And as I've travelled to a lot of these districts I have made it clear to them that it doesn't make sense that you're putting this one a teacher to make that decision, that this is, you've heard that, this commission say that this is a best practice, and it's something that doesn't cost anything other than, you know, a piece of paper, so we'll keep working away on that.

The next question, does your district have a policy or procedure requiring the establishment and identification of a hard corner, or other safest area in the classroom.

Back in April fourteen responded no, and in June thirteen responded no, but after I read

2.2

2.3

2.4

through the notes what, what you see here is the aggregate. If they said yes or no that's what you're seeing on here, but then in the notes some of them said, three said that they use the term safe area, which, you know, they should have responded yes if that's, that's the term they use, because that's what the question says.

Two said that they train teachers to do it but it's not, they don't have a policy on it. One responded that it was a procedure. responded that it will be in place for 2019/2020, and one said they use ALICE training, and ALICE training kind of, the hard corner seems to contradict what ALICE training What I've made it clear to them is that from the Office of Safe Schools we consider this a best practice, and it's just another tool for their toolbelt that doesn't fit every scenario because all classrooms are not the same, but as we, we've pointed out here countless times, that on the second floor at Marjorie Stoneman Douglas High School people, you know, stayed safe because they used the hard corner.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

2.

2.2

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Director, so I think you were, you were just alluding to this, but do, when you talk to these school districts are we stressing the fact that, you know, obviously safe school officers understand that unfortunately we could be training our next murdered, and that they need to be teaching the staff and the students to be able to make decisions in different scenarios? You know, obviously we don't want to just focus on this incident because, you know, the murderers are going to do something different next time. I just want them to be teaching the kids to have situational awareness, and be able to make decisions obviously on the fly in duress.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley, go ahead.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I just want to add that absent a policy there is no accountability, so whether they practice it, or whether they think they should do it, or whether they think it's a best practice, if it's not in policy there's no accountability if they don't do it. And that's what we ran into multiple times over here in

Broward County, is they thought they knew, this one said, that one, this one said this, but there was no policy so there was no ability to hold people accountable. So they need to have it in policy.

2.2

DIRECTOR KELLY: And I think part of the problem, Sheriff, with this is that some schools, the classroom layout, there is no such thing as a hard corner. It's -- there -- there are glass on four sides, and when, when they're answering these surveys they're answering them for all of their schools, so if they have any schools that they can't report that, yes, we have the hard corners, and we've identified them, and we train people to, you know, that that's the safe place to go to, they, they respond no to this because of the layout of their school.

But I think in general all of our school safety specialists know that this is a very viable option for them, and I do believe they all train on it.

CHAIR: But that's -- that's the reason why we asked the question the way we did, is with hard corner or safest area. And -- and I

Veritext Legal Solutions

want to be clear about this for anybody and everybody that's listening, is, is that the important concept is that every single room, and it doesn't matter what that room is, every single room, this room, a portable classroom, the classrooms at Stoneman Douglas, every room has what is the safest area in that room.

And the safest area in a room may not be a safe area in and of itself, but in that particular room it is the safest place. So as an example, as an example is, is that if you take this room as an example, and let's just say that that's a wall that has windows in it, and in this particular room, and there may not be a hard corner, the safest place may be on the floor underneath those windows, and that may be the safest place given the circumstances of this room and the dynamics of the event that are unfolding.

So even if you take a portable, and people can talk about all the vulnerabilities in portables, rightfully so, at least in most cases, but there are still in that portable what is the safest place, and it may be low on the floor in a corner at the opposite end of

2.

2.2

where the point of entry is, or against the point of entry. It just doesn't -- you got to look at it. And this is what's so important, that the teachers and the people occupying those classrooms, and this is why drills are important, which is getting to the next slide, because if you don't think it through, and you don't have a plan, and you don't train on that plan, and muscle memory doesn't kick in, you're not going to do anything, and we all know that.

So this is where the concept, and that term -- and if I frankly had it to do over again probably would have not used as much the term hard corner but safest area, or safe space, because people are getting mixed up with the hard corner, and the hard corner being an absolute, as opposed to every room, I don't care what the room is, has the safest area, and sometimes that safest area needs to be identified by the teacher, or whoever it is that is the person in charge of that individual room.

So hopefully that, you know, adds some clarification to it, so all the school safety specialists, to anybody listening or watching,

2.2

that's what we're looking for here, is that have you in every room, and whether it's the cafeteria or whether it's the gymnasium, whether it's a classroom or a portable, has somebody gone through and identified in those rooms under those circumstances what the safest place is so when it goes back the kids can get in whatever that safest area is. That's the concept. Mr. Schachter.

don't want to -- I want everyone to understand it's based on the threat, you know, if, if the, if the murderer, you know, ignites a Molotov cocktail in a room, you know, it would be different. And at the last two school shootings the murderers have gotten into the classroom with guns, so, you know, this brings up another topic that you just mentioned, is, you know, do we teach kids run, hide, fight, ALICE. Does DEO -- do you -- what do you recommend to your school safety officers?

I know, you know, Fieldcrest is, is doing great things, and they, they teach their, their kids and their staff; do we have a position on that as far as DOE goes?

2.2

DIRECTOR KELLY: Well, with our active shooter training that, that we've procured for the school safety specialists we went with an Alert T, which is a, it's an age based program that, that it covers several options, every, it's not a one size fits all, it just depends on what, like you said, where is the threat coming from, are you out in the open, are you in a hallway, are you in a classroom, and it goes through all of those different scenarios on how best to react to that.

And we'll -- we'll come to that in a little bit, because I do have some updates on, on the training for that.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay, thank you.

DIRECTOR KELLY: The next question was does every school in your district conduct an active assailant drill at least one time per month. Back in April twenty four districts responded no, and in June that dropped down to twenty one. I know, Sheriff, that's not acceptable, and moving forward it's, it's been made crystal clear that the expectation is that, you know, you will drill, you will do active shooter drills as often as you do fire

drills.

2.

2.2

2.5

Just to give you what some of the notes said, four districts of those twenty one said it will be in place for 2019/2020. Others responded that they do it every nine weeks, that they -- another one said they do critical incident drills monthly, so they've lumped active shooter into there somewhere. Some said they do five per year, I per semester, one per quarter, so it was kind of --

CHAIR: I just don't -- you know, I just don't -- I mean -- and make more out of this, but I don't understand the concept is, is when a law is passed by the legislature, and the governor signs it into law, is we're all expected to follow the law. We don't get to pick and choose the laws we follow and the ones we don't, you know, if I get told to do something, and it's in law, we do it whether we like it, whether we agree with it or not. And we have process in place, and the legislature considers bills, we get to weigh in on those, and give them our input, and then they decide, and they set the policy in the statute.

I just don't understand this at all, you

know, I really don't. This is one of those areas to me that, you know -- and again now it's been reaffirmed in 7030, and when the legislature says the law in this state is, is that you will drill every month, and you have some that just decided, well, we'll just do it nine times a year, we'll just do it this way, we'll just do it that way. I -- it -- it's unexplainable.

So I -- I seriously hope that -- be -because why don't we just obey other laws, we
don't like them, we just don't have to follow
them? It shouldn't work that way. It doesn't
work that way. There needs to be consequences,
so.

DIRECTOR KELLY: And I think Chancellor
Oliva sort of alluded to that we're, you know,
we're moving forward, the expectations have
already been sent out to districts from
Commissioner Corcoran, and --

CHAIR: Yeah, those letters last week will go a long way I hope. And knowing Commissioner Corcoran, I know he'll follow through on those, and that's what needs to be done.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And, Director

2.

2.2

2.5

Kelly, what advice do you give school districts to prevent drill apathy, and kids and staff not taking these drills seriously because they're so often?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

DIRECTOR KELLY: I mean it's -- it's -- to be honest I don't encounter in, in my travels any, and I haven't been anywhere where people have said, you know, we don't take this seriously. I -- I am -- I guess I'm fortunate that when I speak to them, you know, and before I came to DOE I worked for Commissioner Swearingen, and the last case I worked on was to investigate what happened at Marjorie Stoneman Douglas, and sadly I'm able to tell them what I saw during that investigation, and that, you know, this is something that it's not if this is going to happen again, you know, it's when, and that we have to do everything that we can, and take this seriously moving forward.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Are any school districts, you know, doing scenario based training as opposed to just the same thing every time?

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yeah, they're -- they're

-- when Commissioner Stewart was still commissioner one of her earliest messages to me was that she wanted to make certain that school districts varied their drills all the time because you don't, if you do the same drill where the assailant is coming from the same place, then you just get boredom, and, and apathy.

And I don't know if you remember, we had that conversation, and I've made that crystal clear to the districts, that you've got to change this up, you've got to keep the students and the staff engaged. And -- and we'll stay on top of this until, until we get the results that we want.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you.

CHAIR: A lot of districts are doing that, Mr. Schachter. A lot of them are. I know we are. It's all progression based, and it's all very -- and -- and the ones who aren't, then, yes, it is susceptible to drill apathy, but if you're just doing the same thing time and time again then you're just not doing it the right way. So there's a whole bunch of models, and, and those of us that have those, all these

2.2

2.3

programs developed where they are appropriate at the elementary level, and different at the middle level, and different at the high level, and age appropriate, et cetera, and they have all these variations, is we're all willing to share them with others if they, if they ask.

There's -- there's a lot of models out there that are effective in drilling, and the feedback that we get from parents is very positive, and the kids have been able to use some of what they learned in these drills in other environments, and so the feedback overall once they go through the right training is positive, and now they understand why it's being done, and especially to create that muscle memory, so.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I think that that's critical, and so for anyone to say that, you know, we're traumatizing our kids by, by having them drill is, is putting the lives of the children in danger. They need to drill. We saw it at Marjorie Stoneman Douglas. They never had a drill in the 2017/2018 school year. They didn't teach the teachers, they didn't teach the staff, and we saw the results of

2.2

2.3

2.4

that.

2.2

CHAIR: Yeah. You know -- you know, I'm dating myself, you know, but some of you remember this, I wasn't traumatized by air raid drills. I remember as a kid sitting in elementary school up against the wall waiting because bombs are coming through the roof. I wasn't traumatized by that. And how many fire drills, and all the other drills. Kids aren't traumatized by that. You can go to a plethora of examples, so that is, if it's done right they're not traumatized by it, and they're made safer by it, and that's just a bunch of rhetoric, so. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yeah, I just want to speak on behalf of some school districts that I'm, that I'm familiar with that are taking the drills very seriously, that are varying the drills, that are varying the time of the drills. They're, you know, in our district, you know, they don't know when the drill is going to happen. We notify parents prior to the drill so that they know that there's an ALICE drill that's going to be taking place, and so I really have seen a lot of that, and,

800-726-7007

you know, documenting what the drill scenario was.

And, you know, if, you know, you got to -if you don't count it a lot of times it doesn't
get done, and so in my district, you know,
pushing for that documentation of the incident
is a great way to show those monthly drills,
but also how we're varying them, and so I've,
I've seen a lot of that.

DIRECTOR KELLY: The next question was are, are there schools in your district that conducts active assailant drills where the students do not physically move or react during the drill. I'm kind of baffled that --

CHAIR: It's going the wrong direction.

DIRECTOR KELLY: -- there were ten in, in April, and twelve in June. I think part of it is that because this is a general question some reported this time around that they're -- like one, for example, said that they do have a program where they have mentally and physically disabled students, and that they, they have a different protocol for, for doing the drill there with, with those students. But, yeah, I was a little - - when I, when I saw the

800-726-7007

2.2

results, and it's something that I'm going to follow up on with the districts.

The next question was do you conduct active shooter drills that require the students to run in addition to locking down and hiding. Back in April there were twenty six that said no, and in June there were, it dropped down to twenty. And this is something that we, we discussed the last, back in April, that having the word run in there, a lot of districts responded by saying we don't allow the students to run during the drill because they don't want to, somebody to trip, and that they have an injury as a result of running, so that word run is what's --

CHAIR: Well, we probably should -- we can change it, you know, the next time around, whatever, and do it to flee. You know the whole -- the whole concept here is, is that because we found is, is that districts were only drilling in hiding, and again that's not effective, it's not good, because it does create that muscle memory, and so when the right response may be to flee as opposed to hide, and if all you're doing every single

2.2

2.3

drill every single month is only a hiding drill then that's what they're going to do, and so it needs to encompass fleeing.

And again, it goes back to varied drills with varied scenarios, and some of the drills should, I think, as the year progresses you teach the concepts, and then what the drill should progress to are individual decision making drills. So today's drill is not going to be a run drill, it's not going to be a hide drill, it's not going to be, if you will, a fight drill, it's not going to be any other, is we're going to put the scenario down, and put the scenario out there, and based upon what you've learned in the proceeding months you decide what to do, and what is the best course of action. That's the way it should work. But, if you're only teaching one reaction then when it happens you're only going to get one reaction, and that may be a reaction, and it may actually cause more harm.

DIRECTOR KELLY: The next question, does each school employee have a communication device on their person, and/or a device that is immediately accessible at all times when he or

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

she may immediately communicate an observed threat and active an active assailant response. This -- the numbers on here, I've, I've corrected them. One district, they, they got their, they answered their question in the wrong line, so it actually, the numbers for April, you had thirty three that said yes and thirty four that said no, and the one for June should say forty five and twenty two.

Of those twenty-two two thirds of them in the note said that in 2019/2020, that they will have this in place.

CHAIR: And -- and what you got there, and what's going on is, is that you have people that all right staffing doors and staffing gates that don't have communication devices.

So go back to the methodology, identify, communicate, and react. And if you're the person that's standing at the gate, because you're tasked with being at that gate to make sure somebody doesn't get through during ingress and egress time, and you see somebody come up to the date like a Nikolas Cruz carrying a rifle bag, but you can't communicate that to anybody else, it's useless.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So, you know, having people that are in these positions that don't have the ability to communicate -- and what the next slide is going to show is the other side of it, is, is they have where they can receive communication, so if, again, if somebody is identified with a threat, and they have communicated the threat but you can't hear the communication, then you can't react to it.

So these communication devices are paramount, and I think what's happening is in too many places, they're waiting for that big picture high tech high cost item, I said it before, Walmart is right down the street, go buy walkie- talkies. They can do that. Why -- why are they not doing that, why? It can be done. If there's a will there's a way.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I just spoke to Brian Kast, they director of school safety of Broward County, and he informed me that all guardians will be issued radios, BSO radios prior to the start of the school year, so that's good.

CHAIR: That's a good thing.

DIRECTOR KELLY: And then this, this slide

2.5

Veritext Legal Solutions

is similar to the other one, that you have the correct answers on here. The difference is whether they can, are able to receive communications, and it was the same response, thirty three back in April and forty five in June, with the same, moving forward the same numbers that would be ready for 2019/2020.

The next question was does every school in your district have a requirement that gates to fences surrounding the school be closed and locked when not being used for active ingress and egress. Back in April we had ten that responded no, and in June we have six that responded no. And I went into the notes for all six, and they did put some notes in there. One school reported that both of their high schools do not have any fences at all. second one reported that it's in progress. third one said that some of their schools have no fences. The fourth one said it will be in the place for 2019/2020. The fifth one said they don't have a policy but that they, they do keep their gates locked, so I think they, they probably could have answered yes to this. the sixth one would be the same; they said they

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

keep their gates locked, but they, they did answer no. So think there are, there are four that as moving forward that we, we need to follow up to figure out the situation with their fencing.

The next question, is there a requirement that gates opened for ingress and egress be staffed at all times when open, and back in, in April we had, thirty eight responded no, and in June that dropped down to twenty nine. And a lot of them in their notes added that for 2019/2020 this was something that they were going to, to rectify.

I'm going to talk a little bit about the, where we are with the Coach Aaron Feis Guardian Program. So currently we have twenty eight sheriffs throughout Florida that have trained guardians. We have received application requests totaling a little over \$13.3 million, and to date a little over \$5.3 million has been paid out to sheriff's offices based on the invoices that they have provided us.

So this slide here shows you the original twenty five districts who participated in

25

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

guardian, the guardian program, and of that twenty five sixteen of those districts have reapplied for funding to train more guardians moving forward. This will explain the question you had earlier of guardians who, who -- so we have five new districts that you see there, Baker, Escambia, Franklin, Levy, and Taylor, who have had their, their application has already been approved.

And then we have seventeen districts who have, who have notified me that they want to participate in guardian. And I can go ahead and add an eighteenth one, because Sheriff Harden in Glades County has contacted me, and that's something that he would like to, to look at, and moving forward. And as I said earlier Jackson County, who is going to participate in guardian, are going to have their guardians trained by a, a different sheriff's office. They're trying to work the particulars out right now.

So I do have something positive. This was the, the students that presented to you back in April from the Flagler Palm Coast High School. They were contacted by the Department of

800-726-7007

2.2

Homeland Security after they saw the presentation, and they were, they received these awards for, for the work that they're doing there, so I just wanted to add it, very proud of what those students have done there.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So I wanted to give you a follow up on some training that, that has been taking place. Active shooter training, we completed the first round in Fort Lauderdale back on May 14-16. Training was very successful. We did realize it was going to be the smallest number of school safety specialists, just because of the location, and fifteen school safety specialists received their certification at that training.

The next training scheduled for active shooter is June 11-13, and the final one, that one would be in Orlando, and the final one will be June 18-20. That will be in Tallahassee.

And at the end of that training all of the school safety specialists will be certified.

The Virginia threat assessment model training that we put on, we completed our first training, which was on the 21st of May, 21-24 at Polk State, where we brought in Dr. Dewey Cornell, and also Dr. Scott Poland. Dr. Poland

did the suicide prevention portion of it. We have a second round of training July 23-26, again at Polk State, this time in Winterhaven, and then the final one will be July 30th through August 2nd, and that will take place at Valencia College in Orlando.

And I'd like to, to thank Mr. Schachter for inviting me to come down last week to the target violence, targeted violence seminar, it was very, very, very informative. It was a great turnout for both the days. And the same thing to, for you, Mr. Petty, I appreciate you putting that training on.

And I'd also -- let me give you an update also on the social media monitoring tool.

We're moving forward with contract negotiations, even though there are two bit protests in place. Commissioner Corcoran has made it clear that this is a project that he, we want to get through, and right now they, the stage it's in is agreeing on some language with the vendor. And on the centralized integrated data repository, legal is working on data sharing agreements with DCF and school districts for this project. The vendor Five

2.2

2.3

2.4

Point Solutions have completed the first draft of the system security plan, configuration testing for access to the single sign on environment was successful, and Five Point Solutions successfully, successfully connected to SESIR and FortifyFL data APIs. This, again, is a project that will be up and live by August 1st.

2.2

And I'd just like to finish by thanking all of the school district superintendents, school safety specialists, and staff, for everything they did this year to keep our students safe on campus. And I'd also like to thank all of our sheriffs, police chiefs, all of the deputies under their command, and all of the officers under their command, and all of the guardians for what they did this year to keep our students safe. And I'd be happy to take any, any other questions.

CHAIR: All right, does anybody have -- Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: Damien, is it, is it fair to say that some districts are being passive aggressive, or clearly don't, some of these that aren't complying, that they don't have any

intention of complying outside of us forcing them to comply?

DIRECTOR KELLY: I think the situation with having a, a law enforcement officer, or a guardian on campus, I feel confident it will be rectified for beginning of school. The only -- there are only two districts that, that, like I said, can't give me answer today on what their plan is, but the other eight have a, have a clear plan in place, and it involves the guardian program, and they, they have the, the applicants already in place to, to fill those positions, and the training has been scheduled, so I feel confident for that.

I do think that, you know, the school safety specialists, as I've said time and time again, for me was the best part of 7026, because it gives us somebody, a single point of contact to get our message out to, and I find all of them very dedicated. I don't see complacency. Is it acceptable that we still have the numbers that we have, no, sir, no, it's not, and moving forward I'm going to make it my mission to see that, that everybody is in compliance.

2.2

2.4

SHERIFF JUDD: In addition to the guardians I continue to see in the data that you presented that they're not complying with things such as safe areas, such as policies and practices. Now, we're well over a year from 7026 passing and I still see a, a pattern of lack of sense of urgency for many districts, correct?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

DIRECTOR KELLY: I mean on, on paper it, it is that way. When -- when I visited them I don't, I really don't get that. It's -- the -the two districts that have large number of schools that they can't answer for, it is frustrating that there is litigation there, and that, that that's the, that's the reason that they're saying that they don't know the situation with those schools. We -- we did --I traveled with our new Chancellor of Innovation Eric Hall, who Commissioner Corcoran brought on board, and we traveled down to see both of those districts, and to, and made it clear to them that they have to have a plan moving forward to be able to account for all of those schools.

Like I said on the slide I'm responsible

for three thousand six hundred and ninety five schools, and, you know, they, they're all, every one of them is as important as the other one.

SHERIFF JUDD: But at, at the end of the day there are, are still districts that amount to at least one hundred public and/or charter schools that are not complying. I mean they're not complying, and I think that there has not been a sense of urgency by a lot of them, but, hey, if you, if you came on late that's better than never. But I -- I request that this commission, you know, continue to have Damien report to us, survey and report, and as I said before we publicly, today is a good day to publicly hold them accountable, and to continue to hold them accountable until the children of the various districts and schools are safe. And that's what we're here for, I mean that's, that's our mission, is to investigate what went wrong and what we need to do to correct it.

CHAIR: And just a couple more and we're going to move on here. Commissioner Dodd, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yeah, I would like to

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

see us add a question, or a survey point on the secondary. I mean it was a recommendation for the commission that there be an immediate backup, and I mean we're, we're trying to get those first officers in, which is good, but there's a lot of districts that are already there, and I would like to see how many districts are moving towards a secondary, an immediate backup on their campus.

2.2

I would also like -- you know, we didn't make a decision on how many, how many safe school officers per student based how large the schools are. We got a lot of big schools out there that, that should have more than one person trained and ready to respond in case of an active assailant. I wish we could capture that somehow in a survey.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes, sir.

CHAIR: I know. Yeah, I mean one is not enough, but once we get to one then we can -- Secretary Mayhew, go ahead.

SECRETARY MAYHEW: I guess just to follow up on that question, and I apologize, Mr.

Kelly, if this information has been presented before, but do we have a breakdown of the

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007

percentage of schools that are satisfying the obligation with a guardian? Just -- just to try to address some of the future vulnerabilities that may need strengthening from a compliance perspective.

DIRECTOR KELLY: Yes. I have a list of every single school, and what the coverage is, whether it is a law enforcement officer or guardian, or nothing. I have all of that information.

SECRETARY MAYHEW: I think -- I think that would be helpful to just understand as future policy is considered, from either auditing for compliance, or just understanding where some of those additional vulnerabilities may be.

CHAIR: I think what you'll find around the state is where the guardians mostly are, and this isn't a hundred percent, mostly where you're going to find the guardians is in the elementary schools and the charter schools.

Most of the high schools and the middle schools are going to be covered by a law enforcement officer. Maybe you're going to have some bleed over, but that's kind of the breakdown. That's the majority of what you see of the guardians,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

so.

2.2

One more. I think we had Mr. Schachter, and then we're going to move on.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you very much, Director. We -- I think this commission all, you know, owes you a debt of gratitude for what you've done to get all these districts in compliance. If it wasn't for you nobody thinks that we would be at this stage of compliance, so thank you for all of your efforts.

In my view I agree with, with both the Chair and the Sheriffs, that if we can call these districts out -- the public needs to understand, the parents need to understand that their schools are not safe, and public pressure will, will make them come into compliance and protect children's lives.

And lastly, I -- in the last two school shootings the murderer has gotten a gun into a classroom, and we know from our analysis of this horrible incident that law enforcement is just not going to get there in time. You know even if you've got one SRO, two SROs, they just can't be everywhere at every instant, especially if you have a gun that reaches

800-726-7007

inside a classroom. We know in the last two shootings a hero was there to save lives. I feel very strongly that we need to embolden, we need to empower the students, and teach them life skills. Unfortunately we don't live in, you know, there's a lot of bad things out in this world, and children need to know how to take care of themselves, defend themselves, and especially during active shooter situations I'm in favor of giving them options.

And, you know, there's been a lot of talk in the media about how we should not teach our kids, I, I believe that we should be teaching either run, hide, fight, ALICE, or some self defense, a training to give kids an option, to empower them, and give them the confidence, and involve them in the safety of their schools.

So, you know, I mentioned that earlier. I know a couple of districts are doing that.

Does the Department of Education have a position on that? And I certainly would like this commission to make a recommendation in that --

CHAIR: We already have, Mr. Schachter.

And you just made the case about why one good

2.2

2.3

2.4

guy with a gun is not enough, and why you're not going to have a enough cops, and you just made the case, is the, is what Commissioner Dodd was asking about, about how many have more, because two is better than one, three is better than two, and four is better than three.

And you just made a case about why, and districts are resistant to it, is, is that if they really want to do that, if you want to send an unarmed kid, like what happened out in Colorado, to stop the shooter, or do you want to have somebody there like a Chris Hixon, you can put a gun in his hand and he can kill the, kill the killer, then you just made the case about why that's important.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Chair, I firmly agree that, you know, two is better than one, and we need more than one school safety officer, but I'm also cognizant of the fact that they're -- it does -- you're still not going to be able to get there time. We need to stop these incidents in a matter of seconds, and if a gun gets into a classroom, you know, we need to embolden and teach the kids, you know, different options, and way to prevent

2.2

this from happening, and save lives.

CHAIR: All right. All right, Director
Kelly, thank you for being here, we appreciate
it. Annie, you're up. The next topic before
we get into the communication issue is, is that
some of you, Mr. Schachter asked about
FortifyFl and its utilization, and we did a
survey of all sixty seven districts on
FortifyFl, and to give you some statistics on
it.

I'd ask, just ask you to keep in mind as you hear the presentation from ASAC White about the survey that 7030 now has a requirement that the districts promote the FortifyFl app, so the results of this survey are before that requirement law, and before it is going to be promoted as it needs to be by the district, so this is just to give you -- and -- and also importantly, to give you an idea about utilization, about the districts that have an app, or some type of a system other than FortifyFl, because that distorts the numbers of FortifyFl, because if there's another system in the district that is being heavily used then that doesn't mean nothing is happening, so

800-726-7007

2.2

2.4

2.5

we'll hear the survey, and then we'll get into 1 the communications issue. Annie, go ahead. PRESENTATION FORTIFYFL APP UTILIZATION SURVEY 3 MR. WHITE: Good morning, Commissioners. 4 5 So basically the survey went out. We had 6 several survey questions. We sent them to the safety specialists for each of the sixty seven districts and the five lab schools. The survey 8 9 initially went out with an email, and they were 10 asked the questions contained in the survey. 11 Initially eight districts answered the initial 12 email saying they used another safety app, but 13 we did not get a response as far as what app 14 they were using other than FortifyFl, so that's

> Annie, hang on. Hang on a second. CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley, did you have a question?

why I just want to preface that with some of

the numbers that you're going to see. We did

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I just clarified, I didn't understand that we had seventy two school districts.

CHAIR: No, sixty seven, but they got some of the other --

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I -- I forgot the first

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

have --

800-726-7007 305-376-8800 _

2.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: Okay. All right, go ahead, Annie.

MR. WHITE: And we did have some that did not respond, even after our emails and phone calls. So one of the questions was what app if any is the school using, if not using an app do they have a hotline or other reporting measure. Thirty of the seventy two school districts are using FortifyFl only. Now, again, this was before 7030, their all promoting it, but they had something other than FortifyFl.

They -- thirty two of them have an app in addition, so they're using both. Six of the seventy two school districts do not have an app, but do have a hotline or other reporting measure. Again, they all have FortifyFl, they all receive FortifyFl, and have been receiving FortifyFl since last year.

Eleven schools consisting of the six who currently do not use any app, and five who currently have an app, are in the process of procuring another app other than FortifyFl.

Four of the school districts, again, did not respond at all. I'm sorry. Are you promoting FortifyFl? We know now that all of them, with

800-726-7007

7030, are, but at the time of this forty two of the seventy two districts were promoting it, and again, 7030 requires that. Sorry.

CHAIR: Hope they listen to the law.

MR. WHITE: Yes, sir. We asked them who their app notified. Of the thirty two school districts using an app other than FortifyFl three notify only school administration, seven notified the law enforcement only. Eleven notified both law enforcement and somebody within the school district, and two notified someone other than law enforcement. One of them actually notified the website administrator, who would then I guess pick up the phone and call somebody. And we had another one that notified the crisis center, so, in the county.

Internet monitor 24/7, does your app have the capability to chat with the tipster, or communicate while the tip is being reported.

Twenty two of the thirty two school districts that use an app, another app other than FortifyFl, reported that their app is monitored 24/7 in some capacity. I will say that was overreported. When we actually got

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

clarification on that they said they would get an email. Well, you're not up 24/7, checking your email at night, so those numbers are skewed, and were overreported.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Fourteen of the thirty two report they have the ability to chat with the tipster while the tip is being reported. This was again overreported because when we asked for clarification they said that the live chat capability was them to be able to send someone an email back, so it's not they're seeing it pop up on their screen as the tip is being entered and they can communicate, similar to, I know Mr. Schachter has talked about what Colorado has. It's not that feature on, on most of those.

Is your app anonymous, is there an ability in any manner to find out who the tipster is? Twenty five of the thirty two state their app is completely anonymous. Seven of those twenty five report they have the ability to provide law enforcement with identify of a tipster if requested by law enforcement with legal process.

Does your app allow for media and photos

to be uploaded, what languages are available, does your app have any analytics and statistics reporting built in? Nineteen that use something other than FortifyFl allow media and photos. Twelve have languages other than English that can be used, and thirteen had analytics and statistics built in. Again this number was overreported because when went back and got clarification some were considering the ability to compile statistics as analytics when that is not truly analytics.

How long have you been using the app, and how many tips to date? Most apps were established last Spring. There was a handful that had it for the last four to five years. Of those that the number of tips, four, four schools had zero to twenty five tips. Two reported twenty five to, or, I'm sorry, twenty six to fifty. Twenty two in the fifty one to ninety nine range, five in the hundred plus, and seventeen could not provide us any data.

Just an update on FortifyFl, you have the information there in your PowerPoint. It has changed as recently as today. I know we're, we're up a couple from that as far as tips.

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

We're over a thousand tips now as of this morning. And that's pretty much the information.

CHAIR: Anybody have any questions? It just gives you a snapshot of FortifyFl's utilization, and where we are. I think probably, you know, some time next year it would probably be good to update it again after the requirement that it be promoted, and just update everybody. But anybody have any questions? Yeah, Mr. Schachter?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Are -- are there any plans to add that two, two way live dialogue, or, you know, develop a center so that people can be there to communicate with the tipster?

MR. WHITE: I can tell you currently there are not plans for that with the resources that we have available at this time. It is dynamic. We are making changes. We're in the constant conversation with the app software designer. We are looking at some of the other states.

Other states are coming on board, and are using the same vendor, so we're getting ideas from them, some of the things the other states are

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

seeing, and we're taking all that into consideration, and see where we can apply them here with our app.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Can you tell us

-- I know the number one tip that comes in to
Safe to Tell in Colorado is a child that wants
to commit self harm. Do we have -- do we have
any of -- have we had any of those tips? Have
we --

MR. WHITE: Yes, sir, I will tell you that's not our primary tip. If we had to break it down a lot of it is smoking in bathrooms. I think we had a lot of test tips. A lot of those numbers are people coming in, testing the tip. We have had some self harm tips. We have had bomb threats. We have had just a variety, you name it, anything from selling drugs on campus to someone doesn't like a particular teacher, and food is bad in the cafeteria.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I mean do -- do the -- do the districts that, that have the tip going straight to the school, understand that, you know, that shouldn't be that way, a law enforcement should be getting these tips? If a child reports in that he's being sexually

2.2

assaulted by a teacher the last thing we want is the school being notified so they can tell the teacher.

2.2

2.5

MR. WHITE: And let me clarify on that, because I want to make sure people understand. Those are those other apps. Those are not FortifyFl. FortifyFl goes to the school, and law enforcement, and FDLE at the same time.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR: All right, thank you, Annie.

Appreciate it. All right, we're going to segue way now into a discussion which is pretty much going to be the rest of the day, and that is radio, and 911 regional communications here in Broward County.

PRESENTATION RADIO TOWER ISSUE BROWARD COUNTY

CHAIR: So as we first talk about the Broward County police radio system I just ask that everyone keep in mind that every day that this system is not replaced there is a public safety, and officer safety, issue here in Broward County.

The radio system did not work again for about an hour a week ago Saturday, and from talking to police chiefs, they had to pull

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

their officers off the road because they had no radio communications on the main channels, and the entire county had to move to one mutual aid channel. This meant that all radio traffic was limited to emergency traffic only, and all proactive policing in essence stopped. The protocol in most cities in Broward County is for officers to report to the police station when the radio system stops working.

It's not a good thing when there actually has to be a protocol in place to that affect.

Cities without cops on the street doing proactive policing is not good, and cops responding to calls with limited communications is bad, and potentially dangerous.

After our last meeting based on a vote and a resolution of this commission I sent a letter to the City of Hollywood mayor, and the city manager, and you were all copied on that correspondence. To better understand, and try to help resolve the Hollywood radio issue I've met over the last few weeks with Hollywood Mayor Josh Levy, with the City Manager Dr. Ishmael, and the Assistant City Manager over a Public Safety George Keller, as well as County

2.2

2.3

2.4

Commissioner Michael Udine, and County Administrator Bertha Henry.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Here's the short version, and while it may not seem all that short, trust me, it's the short version of where we are. The need for two radio towers in Hollywood was first raised in about late 2016. One was needed on the east side of the city, and one was needed on the west. Hollywood quickly approved the west site at a city wastewater treatment plant. The West Lake Park site was discussed but not approved, and the West Lake Park site is on the east side of the city.

In 2018 the east site in West Lake Park was again discussed, and the city proposed an alternative site on top of the new Cirque hotel building in Hollywood. The County opposed the Cirque site for optimal radio reasons, and the city opposed the West Lake Park site due mainly to citizen opposition, as well as other environmental concerns that the city had.

The City of Hollywood and Broward County had been back and forth with experts' studies on radio covering, and other issues. Excuse me. As you can imagine the experts don't agree

on everything, and in some cases don't agree on anything. In any event, the issue we left off with after our April meeting was whether action could be taken by the Broward County Board of County Commissioners to place the tower in West Lake Park regardless of Hollywood's opposition.

2.2

2.3

2.4

There were media reports about a, quote, super majority vote by the DCC, and we had some confusion about what that entailed. You'll remember that discussion at the end of April's meeting. That issue dealt with the deed restrictions on West Lake Park for recreational use only, and that's what required an eight out of nine vote by the Board of County Commissioners, to remove the deed restrictions.

The Board of County Commissioners voted two weeks ago to remove the deed restrictions by a vote of eight to one. So with those deed restriction issues resolved the matter moved back to the City of Hollywood to approve the County's permit to built the tower at the West Lake Park site. The Hollywood City Commission will consider that request tonight at its regular commission meeting.

Now, Broward County has initiated Chapter

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

164 Dispute Resolution proceedings, and before the County can file a lawsuit it requires a joint meeting between Hollywood and the City Commission of Hollywood and the Broward County Commission. That joint meeting under Chapter 164 is set for tomorrow, June 6th. However, if the City Commission approves the permit tonight then that joint meeting will be cancelled. If the permit is not approved then meeting will of course proceed as it's scheduled for tomorrow between the County Commission and the Hollywood City Commission.

If the matter is not resolved after the joint meeting then the County, excuse me, the County will decide whether to file suit against the City of Hollywood. But if the City approves the permit tonight then the residents opposing the site, and there are many, and they are represented by lawyers, will decide whether to file suit to block the approved permit and radio tower construction.

The best case scenario for public safety and officer safety in Broward County is that the Hollywood City Commission approve the permit tonight, that there be no litigation,

Veritext Legal Solutions

2.2

2.3

2.4

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

and the County moves forward with building the towner in West Lake Park. Even if the City approves the permit tonight and there are no further delays, realistically speaking, and under the best case scenario, it's probably about a year and a half before the new radio system becomes operational.

So that's where it is, and trust me, that is the short version of many meetings that I've had over the last several weeks with officials in Broward County and the City of Hollywood, and we'll know tonight. And so with all of that I decided, because the Commission is meeting tonight, and they're having a scheduled 164 Resolution tomorrow, and because these matters are pending, that we didn't bring any of those officials before the commission.

You'll have several here today on the other issue, which is an extremely pressing issue, but there's a chance that this is going to get resolved tonight with the City of Hollywood approving the permit, and then of course, like I said is, is that there could be litigation over it because there is strong opposition by residents in Hollywood. So

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

that's where it is. I'm happy to answer any questions that you all have. Sheriff Judd.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHERIFF JUDD: First off, Mr. Chair, I appreciate you spending the time, and working with the Board of County Commissioners. To get an eight to one vote is a strong message. And I -- I have had no communications, I don't presuppose what will happen tonight, but I can imagine the City of Hollywood more than likely is going to vote in the affirmative for that.

I want to implore those who think that it's worthy of a lawsuit in the event it passes tonight, shame on you, that you would put those personal feelings ahead of the safety and security of the people of Broward County. I want you to go home, have an introspective look at yourself, look across the table tonight at your child, and say do I want my child at risk because I don't want a radio tower in Hollywood, Florida.

I'm certain that the Hollywood City

Commissioners have had that discussion around
their table at home, and certainly in their
communities. It is mandatory that we have the
appropriate covering so that we can deliver

emergency services as quickly as possible throughout Broward County. The people of Broward County deserve that.

I hope in the unlikely event that a small group of people want to file a lawsuit that the overwhelming majority of people in Hollywood, and certainly Broward County, will stand up and say what are you thinking, you want to create an environment where we can delay the implementation of this radio system that the County has, as I'm told, put aside \$59 million, \$59 million so we can clearly communicate?

Look in your heart and soul today, commissioners from Hollywood, and vote yes tonight. And for the people who are threatening a lawsuit, there's always a lawyer that ethically and morally will file a suit if a group of people want that, but I want that group of people that think that way to understand they're putting the risk, they're putting children, and their neighbors, and themselves at risk, and they're still having to use the old antiquated system that we have seen fail time and again, and the delays that we've already experienced apparently are going to

2.2

2.4

cause this radio system to be pushed back again.

It's a complicated process to put a massive system in place to improve communications over a county the size of Broward, so I ask my fellow commissioners, and I don't think there's any doubt, we need to stand in today and support the Hollywood City Commissioners, and encourage them to do the right thing and vote for that tower. We need to make sure that we as a commission push and push, and check and balance, and stay after this until all of the permitting is done, and, and encourage Motorola, and all the County, and whoever is involved in the process, to expedite this radio system as quickly as possible.

And to, once again for the naysayers, the handful of naysayers out of the millions of people in Broward County, look into your heart, look into your soul, look across the dinner table and say is my child worth having a professional radio system that will ensure we can get EMS, fire, and police where they need to be when they need to be to save your life. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Hearing you talk about that the radios just went down for an hour, it is just infuriating. And now we're talking about the radio towers not being up until 2021 when they told us that this would be fixed in 2019, I'm just flabbergasted. just so, so upsetting. But I want everybody to understand what happened at Marjorie Stoneman Douglas, and the failures there, and the failures at the airport, can happen again. And not only at a school, we're talking about another mass casualty incident. It could be at a church, a synagogue, a mall. We've got to get this fixed, so I a hundred percent agree with the Sheriff, let's get this done.

CHAIR: All right, so the next area that we're going to go into, which is tied into this, but remember the issues are twofold.

One, as it relates to radio communications themselves, and that's what we just discussed, and what's paramount about the radio communications system is the towers so that the system can be replaced, but the other component of this is the overall regional communications,

800-726-7007

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

and regional 911 centers in Broward County.

So prior to regional communications in Broward County there were eleven different 911 centers. The system was bifurcated, and it was redundant. The eleven different 911 centers were consolidated into three regional centers, and two independent centers, which we know are Coral Springs and Plantation, because they did not join regional communications.

For those cities that consolidated the results were efficiencies, streamlined call processing, and importantly, much of the call transfer process was eliminated by consolidation. And you all remember we talked about that extensively. It's important to retain the consolidated model because when it is run properly it creates a better 911 system, and gets people the help they need faster.

We saw the affect of a bifurcated system requiring call transfer during the shooting at Stoneman Douglas High School. This commission determined that the bifurcated system delayed the law enforcement response, and adversely affected all public safety responses to the shooting at Stoneman Douglas. Remember the

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

first 911 call from the girl in Building 12 who called 911 with shots being fired in the background, and you heard that conversation sitting right here in this room, remember that Coral Springs, and the call taker who could not communicate with the Broward Sheriff's Office deputies when that call taker took that call from that girl with the shots being fired in the background, remember that extensively delayed call transfer from Coral Springs to the Broward Sheriff's Office, to regional communications, and that no law enforcement officer was dispatched to Stoneman Douglas High School by the Broward County Sheriff's Office for one minute and twenty eight seconds after the first 911 call was received.

Now, think about that. The first time a dispatcher put voice to radio to dispatch somebody, to dispatch a law enforcement officer, because of the delay was one minute and twenty eight seconds. Twenty four people were shot and/or killed in one minute and forty four seconds, so most of the first floor shooting was done, over with before anybody even got on the radio to dispatch somebody

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

because of this delayed call processing. We need look no further for evidence as to why the call transfer process that is part of a bifurcated system is poor. It unquestionably delays people getting help, and it adversely affects public safety.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

While consolidated communications has created efficiencies in Broward County it unfortunately has come with significant controversy, and that controversy threatens the system today, and thus threatens public safety. This system in Broward County has real governance and operational issues that are causing discord, and at least two police departments, Coconut Creek and Margate, are in the process of leaving the regional communications system.

Now, make no mistake, these cities are not merely talking about leaving, as some have reported, both cities' commissions support withdraw, and are actively negotiating contracts with the City of Coral Springs. This commission's responsibility is to determine what went wrong at Stoneman Douglas, and we did, and to make recommendations for

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

improvements, which we have, but the regional 911 system is in serious trouble in Broward County, and degradation of that system through dismantling of the regional communications system is contrary to this commission's recommendations and effective policy.

You need to hear from our presenters today to have an understanding of the seriousness of the problems, and see if somehow we can make any recommendations on how thee issues can be resolved. You're going to hear from a representative of the Broward County Police Chiefs Association, the Broward County Fire Chiefs Association, Chief John Shaw from the Margate Police Department on why his city is leaving regional communications, from the Broward County Sheriff's Office as the system operator, and from Broward County Administrator Bertha Henry is the, County, is the overall responsible entity for managing the system.

You received testimony, written testimony from Sunrise Mayor Mike Ryan on Monday, and that statement will be made part of the record. So we emailed this out as soon I received it to you all, and you all have received a copy of

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

it. For the media, we have copies of Mayor
Ryan's statement, and that is available from
the FDLE PIO who is here. So for the media, we
do have copies for you, again, of Mayor Ryan's
written statement, and she can give that to you
now.

Last week the Broward County Police Chiefs
Association sent a letter to the County mayor,
and the entire Broward County Board of County
Commissioners, recommending based on a recent
vote of the Police Chiefs Association that the
County's role in regional communications be
taken away from the County, and be transferred
to the Broward County Sheriff's Office.

Now, I'm going to stop there for a second, and, you know, think about that. And for those of you in law enforcement, that's a big deal, and one of the reasons why that's a super big deal, and I'm just going to tell you, it's the way it is, and you all know it, is that to have a statement by police chiefs, and to have the Police Chiefs Association vote to take something like this and put it under the Sheriff is virtually unheard of, because in most counties it doesn't work that way. Is --

800-726-7007

2.2

is that for the police chiefs to seed something from them and give it to the Sheriff's Office you got to have some really serious issues going on, and some serious problems, and I'm here to tell you that there are some serious issues going on here in Broward County.

Now, you were provided a copy of the letter from the police chiefs, and I think the last sentence on the first page of that letter pretty much sums it all up, where it says that this whole situation, quote, seems to be a consistently confrontational relationship, closed quote. Now, regardless of ones' views, or which side of the fence one is on, this is the problem, the battle lines have been drawn, and because it is a consistently confrontational relationship it cannot work as it is.

So I'm going to now go through very briefly, because I've already covered some of it, but I want you to have, and I want it to be in the record, and to update for familiarity before we begin hearing from all the presenters today, just a refreshed background on how we got to this point. So in the PowerPoint on the

2.2

2.3

2.4

first slide, remember there are thirty one municipalities in the County, twenty fire, and nineteen law enforcement agencies. You have differing models. Some cities provide their own police services, some provide their own fire services, some contract with others, and some contract with the Broward County Sheriff's Office, so it's a real mixed governance situation throughout the County.

In 2002 to promote closest unit response, especially with fire/EMS, is the votes in Broward County amended the County charter and established a communication infrastructure to promote that closest unit response. So this was the origin of regionalization in Broward County. Not much happened, and it's outlined in Mayor Ryan's testimony, and I hope you all had a chance to read it, but not much happened between the charter amendment in 2002 and the formation of the Broward County Consolidated Communications Committee, or the CCCC as it is referred to down here, in 2011.

When the CCCC was formed, and it had twenty two members, and its composition is in front of you, it was to evaluate the

2.2

2.3

2.4

feasibility of this regional communications.

That resulted in 2012 in the formation of the I Board, which was the implementation board for consolidated communications. And that was formed in March of 2012 by the County Commission. Again its composition was a cross section from across Broward County, and it issued its final report in early 2013, and the I Board recommended that the governance structure be that County government oversee regional communications.

So I want to be clear about what I'm going to say, is, is that this is my characterization, having been very involved in this for, especially the last month or so, but here's, here's, here's the characterization, the shorthand, is the County owns it, and BSO is the management company. So think about it in a business, you got an owner, somebody owns a hotel, but you got a management company that runs it. That's kind of the model that's going on here.

And when the County took over regional communications, and you'll see a little bit about this in here, is, is the County also

2.2

2.3

became the hundred percent funder, so all of the cities that had these individual PSAPs, these individual 911 centers, these individual communications centers with their own dispatch, is they were able to eliminate millions of dollars from their budgets because the cities no longer paid for the dispatchers, the radio operators, the 911 centers, it was all taken over by the County, and regional communications to the cities in transferring that responsibility to the County saved millions and millions of dollars.

So the County took it over, they became the owner, but the day to day operations, the management company, the operator, is the Broward County Sheriff's Office. Plantation and Coral Springs, they decided they weren't going to join, and they were concerned about the level of service, and that it would be inferior to their current system.

The next slide, the agreements were signed in September of 2013 by the appropriate officials, and through interlocal agreements with the municipalities. And as I said, the County shall provide the management,

2.2

2.3

2.4

administration, and oversight, of consolidated E-911 communications systems. It all kicked off in 2014, and the Office of Regional Communication and Technology, or it is, as it is referred to as, ORCAT was formed. Again, ORCAT, the owner if you will, the management entity, and Broward County Sheriff's Office became the operator. The County funded everything, and the participating cities closed their communication centers, saving millions of dollars.

This next slide, this is the structure today, as you can see. So on the ownership side under the County Commission, and under the direct control and responsibility of the County Administrator, and you have those people, and in those positions, that are responsible on the ORCAT side. And then of course on the operator side it falls under the Sheriff's Office, and you have Sheriff Tony down to Angie Mize, who you will hear from here today, and you've heard from Angie before, and she is the acting communications director for the Sheriff's Office. And again there are three sites, north, central, and south.

2.2

2.3

2.4

14 15

16

17

11

12

13

18 19

20

21

22

24

2.3

25

In addition to the consolidation there are governance boards, and those governance boards under the agreement provide direction for regional communication. And there are three governance boards, once involving CAD, which is computer aided dispatch, the other is law enforcement, and the other is fire. You also had formed fairly recently in this process what is called the ORT, and you're going to hear from Chief Rosa from Sunrise on behalf of the Broward County Police Chiefs Association today, but he is also the Chair of the ORT, which is the operational review team, and that is the team that is supposed to vet, make recommendations on those operational systems that affect all of the users. So the ORCAT personnel answer to Bertha Henry as the County Administrator, and the BSO personnel answer to Sheriff Tony.

The problem started pretty much from the beginning. ORCAT, BSO, and the Public Safety Agency system users, whenever you start anything you're going to have growing pains, startup pains, startup issues, and those would be what you'd consider to be usual, but right

from the get go they were much greater than that. Unfortunately the issues progressed, and have become personal, and the tension grew significantly among the stakeholders. Distrust became an impediment to resolving issues.

The users, which are the city police departments, the fire departments, et cetera, boulevard that ORCAT was exceeding its boundaries in dictating operational issues with which it had no qualifications and no experience, so to address the concerns that were raised the County, and you remember we went through some of this last time, retained Fitch & Associates in 2016 to conduct a system study, and to make recommendations.

Fitch found that tensions existed. To quote part of the Fitch report; there was significant agreement and consensus in the early stages of the consolidation, based on numerous meetings and adoption by all parties of interlocal agreements, however it's fair to note that such consensus has now dissipated in a number of areas. In some of those areas, and I'm not going to read all of this, but a couple are worth highlighting so you have the

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

background and the backdrop against which all of this is occurring, County staff is essentially attempting to run operations of the law enforcement and fire rescue agencies, and employee surveys revealed organization that has significant moral problems, and frustration with the lingering staffing, training, and management issues.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Remember in the April meeting we shared with you the results of those employee surveys, and the results of those employee surveys, if you recall, in a number of areas were very troubling, including being unprepared for significant events, including a mass shooting. Now, you asked us to update the survey results, and we have with the cooperation of Sheriff Tony updated those survey results, and when I get done here, after the break, Sergeant Suess is going to come back and share with you those updated survey results, and I'll tell you that the results are worse today than they were in 2016.

Fitch also noted one of the major concerns shared by all the stakeholders is the state of relations among the parties, specifically the

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

County, BSO in its role as the operator, and the end users, namely law enforcement and fire rescue personnel who provide direct services to the residents and visitors. There's a consensus among the parties that something is broken. Every group indicated there's a lack of trust between system participants. This is 2016, and this is in the Fitch report.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Then in June of '17 there's a joint statement by the Fire and Police Chief Associations. They issue this in writing.

ORCAT makes operational decisions when they have no expertise in E-911 call taking, police, fire rescue dispatching, and the operational requirements of the police and fire rescue services. They propose at that point to the County Charter Review Commission that the Charter Review Commission create the Director of Public Safety Communications, who would be a direct report to the County Commission.

In the Charter there are only three reports to the County Commission, and that's the County Auditor, the County Administrator, and the County Attorney, so to have anyone part of that that is outside of the County

305-376-8800

Administrator it would require a charter change to make them a direct report to the County Commission. They also propose creating a public, a Public Safety Communications Advisory Council, and that that would also be in the Charter.

2.2

2.3

2.4

In mid 2017 in response to that statement by the chiefs Mayor Ryan of Sunrise and County Administrator Henry spoke before a sub-committee of the Charter Review Commission. Administrator Henry proposed hiring an ORCAT Director with public safety experience to address the chiefs' concerns, and as a result of that the CRC sub- committee rejected the proposal to create this Charter Director position, and this committee. So that didn't go anywhere, and there was no creation of that position directly reporting to the Board of County Commissioners.

In April of '18 there is a renewed push.

Mayor Ryan authored an email to Broward County

Commissioners. He referenced the '17 effort in

front of the Charter Review Commission, and he

asked the Commission to put that matter on the

ballot for a referendum, which didn't happen.

800-726-7007

He noted reported outages of the 911 system, the throttling of radios during major events, and the radio tower failures that resulted in unreported radio failures.

In May of '18 the new letter that was sent to County Administrator Bertha Henry, and this was from BSO and chiefs; we believe there exists a lack of planning, a lack of oversight, and a lack of funding, and no clear vision for one of the most important components of public safety. There have been committees such as the CCCC and the ORT with little or not measurable success. Representatives of both associations, and the Broward County Sheriff's Office, to these committees, have reported frustration on an inability to get things done.

In September of '18 the chiefs' letter to
Bertha Henry, and this was Sunrise Chief Rosa
as Chair of the ORT along with Sunrise Fire
Chief John McNamara, and they said the lack of
input by the respective public safety
professionals demonstrated fundamental
misunderstandings as to the appropriate role of
the County, and raising the specter of
unsettled unilateral operation decisions and

2.2

2.3

2.4

performance standards without regard to impact on our collective agencies.

So the Fitch report, as we said, was in December of '16. The chiefs expressed concern over the lack of ORCAT operational experience in '17, so Administrator Henry hired Tracy Jackson as the ORCAT Director in the Spring of 2018. Director Jackson came from Miami-Dade County Fire Rescue. He does have public safety experience, but I can tell you that, and you'll hear today from all of the people that we have talked to, more than a year after Director Jackson assumed his position the issues are unresolved, and some even characterize them as worse than ever.

A couple months ago, in March of this year, March of 2019, and again we provided you a copy of this in the last few days, Mayor Ryan authored an op-ed that was published in the South Florida Sun-Sentinel. In that Mayor Ryan stated today friction and distrust persist as it relates to our 911 system between County technology employees and our public safety professionals.

So to fast forward to where we are, our

2.2

2.3

commission investigators interviewed numerous stakeholders, primarily mid and upper level managers, and the investigators found the same tone present that Fitch found, and it is evident that there remains today significant distrust among the stakeholders, and that this is an us versus them environment, and that is a barrier to issue resolution, and the success of regional communications.

So to sum it up, the stakeholders feel the County exceeds its area of responsibility, that it's too domineering regarding stakeholder's operations. They feel the County lacks a collaborative inclusive decision making approach. They also feel the County is too bureaucratic in its decision making. On the other hand the County feels the stakeholders have co-located, but not sufficiently consolidated under regional communications. Because of this the County believes that the efficiencies and cost savings of true consolidation have not been realized, and the County feels that it has fiscal responsibility as the funder, and that obligation is not being realized or respected by the stakeholders.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

The County also feels that the stakeholders have not been responsible to fully implementing fire/EMS closest unit response protocols, they have not developed a common fleet map that would better organize police communications, and respond to other ORCAT requests. The County recognizes that there is discord, but doesn't believe that it is universal among all stakeholders.

Here's a list of the people and entities that we have talked to over the last month or I can tell you that I've spent a lot of time down here in the last several weeks, and I have personally interviewed fourteen agency heads, other high level individuals representing stakeholders, representing their mayors, city managers, police chiefs, fire chiefs, and every single one of them, every single one of them agree with Mayor Ryan's statement that today friction and distrust persist as it relates to the 911 system between County technology employees and our public safety professionals, and I'm here to tell you that it does. They all agree that the system is not working. Where they disagree is on the

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

responsibility and the reasons for the problems.

So as we conclude, after speaking with these stakeholders over the last several weeks there's no doubt the high level discord and high level distrust is beyond repair in my view. The issues have become personal. They can't be resolved under the current governance structure with the existing personnel. Coconut Creek and Margate are leaving, and to avoid others, and regressing to the disjointed system that existed before 2014, something has to change.

You're going to hear directly from these representatives of the stakeholder groups, or the stakeholders themselves today. The Broward County Police Chiefs recently voted again to have BSO assume all responsibility for regional communications. There is not consensus with the Broward County Fire Chiefs Association for BSO to assume ORCAT and regional communication responsibility, so you've got the Broward County Police Chiefs that say give it to BSO, Broward County Fire Chiefs, you'll hear from Chief Babinec today, how is the president of

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

the association, and what he's going to tell you is they don't have consensus. They believe it should go to some other entity, but not necessarily BSO, and what that entity is nobody knows. The County Administrator, you'll hear from her today. She does not currently support BSO, or any other entity assuming the County's current role and responsibilities regarding ORCAT and regional communications.

So while the system is broken, and this course has to be reset, I think it comes down to a few things as far as options. Either the people have to change, it's probably not going to happen, the governance structure has to change, which doesn't seem to be a will to do. And probably about the only thing that's left is to true some neutral objective outside facilitator to come in and chip away at this, and bring it to some degree of functionality.

I don't have the answers. And that's where it is, and you all need to hear from them directly, but to some degree I throw my hands up because I rarely find things that I can't fix, and this ain't fixable the way it is. So, it's a mess. And we're going to take a break.

2.2

2.3

2.4

We're going to come back. Sergeant Suess is going to give you an update on the Fitch report, and then we're going to begin with the presentations, and you'll hear beginning from Chief Rosa. Mr. Petty.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Just a -- just a quick thank you, Mr. Chair, for taking the time to dig into this, for meeting with all of the constituencies, and for, and for the summary as we, as we get into hear testimony about, about the problems. We may have stumbled onto the one thing that works less well than the Broward County School District today, so I'm interested in digging into the solution. I appreciate your comment about throwing your hands up, but I think if we dig into it together we can make some recommendations.

CHAIR: Yeah, you know, I do think -- you know, when -- when I say that, you know, I do think it's fixable. I do think it's fixable, but I, but I know it requires somebody to come in here and take the bull by the horns and fix it, you know, and, and -- well, you know, I got a full time job. While it -- while it's tempting to, to want to do it, I'm not going to

2.2

2.3

do it. And -- and I know how to do it. It can be done. It can be done, but these parties just need to come to the table and -- well, let's here from them, and then you can all talk about it. Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: I too want to compliment you on the work you've done on this. And I think it's the obligation of this commission to hold the parties responsible for finding the solution, or we have the talent sitting around this table to find the solution for them. The question is do you want to find it at home or do you want the outsiders to come in and fix it for you; that's a decision that you're going to have to make.

Everybody is going to have to give a little. We're going to hear information in a few minutes, but make no mistake about it, this commission was empaneled to investigate what occurred and fix problems, and it is absolutely unequivocally not acceptable for public safety professionals not to be able to sit in a room like this around tables, get the, get their professional experience and education together, because they all deal with communications every

2.2

2.3

2.4

day, and fix the problem.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

We can be the catalysts for them, but they can't be a bunch of children in a sandbox. And I look forward to us hearing from them and finding, them finding solutions, and having answers today. I certainly hope I don't hear any whining and crying. I want to hear professionals who I know have the experience and the ability to fix this, to come together, together when they stand up here, and then we as a commission need to, to support a change, because as we're about to hear from the Sheriff and our Chair, that it's broken, and it's got to be fixed because the people of Broward County deserve it, and we as a commission on behalf of the people of Broward County should demand it.

CHAIR: Go ahead, Mr. Schachter, and then we're going to take a break.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I -- I would like to add on to what Sheriff just said, and remind the parties involved that we have a great governor in this state who has removed the failures of this, the former sheriff, who was now arrested yesterday, and he empaneled a

800-726-7007

grand jury to investigate the school board, and we have a great commission here that has done a lot of good work, so I expect to hear answers, and we will get to the bottom of it. If they can't fix it we will fix it. Thank you very much, Sheriff, for, for all of your work on this effort, and all of your meetings, and coming down here and spending so much time on this. So thank you.

2.2

CHAIR: It's 10:45. We need to really keep on track. We've got a lot to cover here today by the end of today, so we'll start again promptly, promptly at 11:00. 10:45, fifteen minute break.

(Thereupon, the meeting is in recess, and the proceedings continue as follows:)

CHAIR: Sergeant Suess is going to provide you an update on the questions that were re-asked from the Fitch study that he reported on back at the April meeting. So go ahead, John.

PRESENTATION UPDATED FITCH STUDY

SERGEANT SUESS: I'll keep this pretty

brief. Before I move ahead -- so looking at

the response rates for the different survey, so

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

in 2016 there were a total of three hundred and seventy seven personnel to which the survey was provided. Only one more employee here in 2019, and then the response rates, they were a little more, a little higher response rate in 2016 as compared to the 2019 survey, but a hundred and ten of three hundred and seventy eight responded in some form to the survey.

So before I get into it I'll give you a quick overview as a reminder. So on the left side, the left grey box, you'll see there's two different categories there, dispatchers and managers within that single grey box. On the right there's no delineation between dispatchers and managers, every one of them, every one is combined is combined in these responses.

But we looked at this particular question, or statement, I believe we provide a good level of service to citizens who call 911. We see there's a significant reduction in those who agreed, and a significant increase in those who are neutral about this particular statement.

When I began my current job the initial training I received prepared me well for the

2.2

2.3

work. There's an increase in those who feel neutral about the training, the initial training, but a significant number still do not feel that training prepared them well for the work.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The ongoing training I receive continues to enhance my skills. Not much changed over the past three years regarding ongoing training. Two thirds of employees are either neutral or disagree about ongoing training enhancing their skills.

The regional communications system is equipped and prepared to handle large scale emergencies such as Hurricanes or mass shooting incidents. We see there's a drastic change here. The employees recognize that the regional system is not equipped to handle large scale emergencies.

CHAIR: This is the -- this is the one that is most troubling of all. And, you know, there are others, but when fifty seven percent of the employees, and they were all given the opportunity to respond to the survey, and the survey was open for at least ten days, and but when fifty seven percent come back and say that

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

they don't believe that they're equipped to handle large scale emergencies such as

Hurricanes or mass shootings that's bad. And

-- and it's gone up since '16, so.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SERGEANT SUESS: The work methods we utilize help improve the efficiency in our work. We see overall that the responses for this question have also worsened.

Policies and procedures are easily understood and applied. We have fewer employees agree with this statement, and a greater number of them feeling neutral about this particular statement.

Moving on to the next slide --

CHAIR: And more that disagree with it, too, right? Well, the managers.

SERGEANT SUESS: Right.

CHAIR: It's up to fifty nine percent, and it's sixty five of the dispatchers, but it's still a very high number.

SERGEANT SUESS: Yes, sir. The technology and information systems we use are reliable and appropriate to the job. We see that there is continued lack of faith in the technology within the regional system.

305-376-8800

Equipment problems are handled

appropriately, and I get feedback on the

problems I report. Again it's pretty much the

same as previous years. There is a significant

disagreement with that statement, as far as any

equipment issues or problems being handled.

As I said, very brief, just providing you

As I said, very brief, just providing you an update, overall it's safe to say things have indeed worsened. Is there anyone that has any questions?

CHAIR: Yeah, Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: So am I to understand that the entity that's in charge of this would be the, would be the Sheriff?

SERGEANT SUESS: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: No? Okay.

SERGEANT SUESS: No, sir, the County is.

All --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: The County is in charge of training, and all that?

SERGEANT SUESS: Well, so there's some bifurcated responsibility there. BSO largely would be responsible for managing the personnel, and providing the training to them.

CHAIR: So -- so but all these employees,

just to be clear with that, and there is, is that all of these people who were surveyed are employees of the Broward County Sheriff, so all of these people are the people that are doing the day to day call taking, the day to day dispatching. Now, where it gets into the bifurcation is, is that the technology, the technology is what the, you know, that -- the technology is responsible for ORCAT. The technology is on the County side.

2.

2.2

2.5

But I would say, and Angie Mize and Colonel Zukowsky are here, and you can ask them, and talk to them later today about this, but when they talk about that the people in there, fifty seven percent of them say that they don't feel that they're equipped to handle the emergencies in, large scale emergencies and school shootings, I do think that's a BSO thing, because those are the people who are working for BSO.

Those people aren't working for the County, they're working -- now, ultimately the County is responsible because the County is the owner, they're at the top of the system, but the way it's supposed to work is, is that the

County is the owner of the system, and ORCAT is ultimately responsible, and they're the funder, but the management company is BSO, and those are BSO employees.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yeah, no, I understand that, but like when it says policies and procedures, the work methods we utilize, who develops all of that?

CHAIR: Yeah, so I think -- I think that
-- save those questions for the County
administrator, and for BSO when you hear from
them this afternoon. All right, anybody have
any other questions for Sergeant Suess? No.
Update, that. Okay, so we're going to move in
now, in here, the first presentation is from
the Broward County Police Chiefs Association,
and we have Chief Anthony Rosa from Sunrise
that's here. And remember Chief Rosa is a
representative of the Police Chiefs, but also
is Chair of the Operational Review Team. And,
Chief Rosa, welcome. We thank you for being
here.

PRESENTATION BROWARD COUNTY REGIONAL COMMUNICATIONS

AND ORCAT, ISSUES, BROWARD COUNTY POLICE CHIEFS

ASSOCIATION

2.2

CHIEF ROSA: Thank you, and good morning. 1 So my name is Anthony Rosa. I'm the Chief of Police for the City of Sunrise, and I'm an 3 Executive Board Member for the Broward County Chiefs of Police Association. I am the Association's representative for most matters related to communications, and I am Chair of the current version of the Joint Operational Review Team with law enforcement and fire 10 rescue, and I'll discuss that in a few more 11 minutes.

5

6

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I want to give you a little bit of background so when I'm speaking you understand where I'm coming from. I have approximately twenty four years of law enforcement experience. Twenty two of those are here in the City of Sunrise. My background with communications consists of experience with the direct oversight of the Sunrise Police Department's PSAP staring in early 2009, and ending after Sunrise consolidated into the regional communications system.

You know, this gave me the experience, and any insight into all aspects of law enforcement, and fire rescue E-911 intake,

dispatching, law records management, and essentially what makes up the Broward County Regional Consolidated Communications System today. You know, I have experience in all of the ancillary and administrative functions that, that come along with that. I was heavily involved in the transition to the consolidated system, and I was considered a subject matter expert on operational matters, and I provided any guidance, or information as it came up.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Part -- part of the reason that happened is in Broward County I'm one of the few law enforcement officers that has this level experience with both fire rescue dispatching, E- 911 intake, and law enforcement outside of the Sheriff's Office. And -- and outside of those two municipalities that were non-participating members of the consolidated system.

So I'm here today, I really want to discuss issues that concern the Broward Chiefs related the County administration's oversight, and what we consider interference with operational matters into the consolidated system. And I'm really going to try to stay in

my line with discussing the nature of the Operational Review Team's interactions with the Broward Chiefs, and, you know, how we interact with County administration on matters related to the system.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So what you see in here is the Operational Review Team in its current form. It was developed as part of a recommendation from the Broward County consultant's report that we heard about, and I know you're aware of, the Fitch report. Both the Broward County Police Chiefs and the Fire Chiefs Association of Broward County collaborated, and we decided it was appropriate as a result of the recommendations in that report to consolidate, and develop and operational decision making structure that involved both the law and fire disciplines, and we acted together, and in the best interests of public safety.

The ORT consists of three designees from the Broward County Chiefs of Police
Association, three designees from the Fire
Chiefs Association of Broward County, and one designee from the Broward County City Managers
Association. And you see the, the list of

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

names and agencies that they're representative of on your screen at this moment.

The need to establish this version of the Operational Review Team I believe was widely recognized, including by the consultants, who stated in one of their reports the County has inappropriately made, and public safety officials has allowed, and I'll touch on that because I think that's an important part of this, some operational decisions to be handled by the County that should instead be determined by public safety officials. So because of this the chiefs from both associations, you know, when we collaborated, we developed a structure to ORT that did differ from what was envisioned and recommended in the Fitch report, because we tailored it to meet our needs as the actual recipients, or operators of the system.

The Fitch report recommended two distinct and separate review teams, one for law and one for fire, and instead what the chiefs, what the chiefs received, you know, or came up with after evaluation, was we thought we should combine this. And we thought that by doing that we're going to have a lot better, and

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

closer, collaboration between the law and the fire disciplines, and in the combined format the County would have one operational and decision making body that they could seek guidance or receive direction from. And the chiefs further decided that it was important to include one city manager on this committee, and that ensured just absolute transparency to our decision making process, and with the hopes that the city managers could see, you know, what we had already identified as some problems with the relationships that had existed.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Both public safety associations, police and fire, agree that this is one of the biggest examples of what the system has done up to this point, is it's caused the police and fire chiefs to get together, collaborate, and come up with what, you know, what we believe are solutions to solve the problem.

I want to further clarify, and kind of delineate the structure that the chiefs established. And when I say the chiefs established this, we did this through the Operational Review Team. We established a hierarchy that included the existing governance

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

boards within Broward County for law records management, fire records management, CAD, and then any other working group that may be needed in -- and I'll call radio, it probably the most important working group that's needed within the County. There was a previous version of a radio collaborative effort with the County called the Regional Public Safety Communications Committee, but there has not been a meeting of that in several years.

So these governance boards that I just spoke about, they're highly successful examples of delegated decision making, as the boards consist of all subject matter experts in that specific topic that are appointed by the participating agency's chief executive. So the organizational structure adopted by ORT accounts for the fact that if there was ever an unresolved dispute at the governance board level the issue would be escalated to the, to the chiefs, or ORT, for a final decision. Excuse me.

Since the ORT is the representative body of both police and fire chiefs it has been assigned the authority to make decisions on

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

behalf of both associations, and if the ORT members in their judgment determined that an issue needed contemplation, or a decision by the actual chief of the agency, and it's brought then to a chiefs only vote of the respective organizations.

This slide that I just put up, it depicts the work flow process that the operational review team has adopted. I'm not going to go through the details, and the flow of it, but I think it's important to recognize that this chart really represents inclusion, and the ability to say yes or not to almost any issue that arises from any entity involved in, in whatever the issue is. We designed it to allow maximum input by all of the operational decision makers, and we've also included the County through ORCAT almost at the very beginning of the process.

And again I, you know, we believe that collaboration to resolve some of the issues is important, and that's why we did that. And then at the other end of it, at a higher level we recognize that some of our requests, or some of our issues may require funding from the

2.2

2.3

2.4

County, and there is a structure in place for that, but we're not representing it on the work flow.

So up to this point everything that I've discussed was created by the chiefs as a result of us recognizing that the police chiefs and the fire chiefs did cede some operational control upon the formation of this system.

And, you know, we got together, collaborated, and we decided we need to get some of the operational decision making control back. Now, when we developed this -- and everything that I've just previously discussed has been opposed by either County administration or their representatives of ORCAT at some point in the process.

And I can give you an example. A representative of County administration directly opposed the joint nature of the ORT after its formation, voicing opposition during several meetings of the stakeholders, during one of the Broward County consolidated committees, that, that this structure the chiefs adopted is not what Fitch recommended. And I think it's important to recognize that

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

the chiefs view the Fitch report as a guideline, or a roadmap designed to make recommendations, or making recommendations for improvement to the system, and how we can make things better. But we do not see it, and we do not believe that it's a mandate, nor should it be considered a final authority on any operational matters. The police chiefs believe the decisions on any operational matters must be made by the police chiefs.

So kind of to highlight what I'm talking about, that the County has opposed it, and they currently don't recognize the current ORT organizational structure, or the work flow process. This slide is actually a screen picture that I took late last week of the County website that shows two operational review teams in existence, once for fire rescue and one for law enforcement, and, you know, the Operational Review Teams have taken on many different structures and versions over the years, and I've been involved since my involvement in communications with all of them in one capacity or another. I'm not ever aware of there being two operational review teams,

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

and, you know, I think that this is similar to other things that the County is presenting, and this highlights the, the division between the operational stakeholders and some administrative issues that exist, because similar, there's a similar, and I'm not going to put it on a slide, but there is a similar misunderstanding, or misrepresentation in my opinion, of our work flow process.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The work flow process that I had up there previously is what the Broward chiefs think is best for the regional system in its operational capacity, however the County misrepresents both, the ORT as two separate organizations that don't exist, and that's misleading to the public, and unclear I think to the leaders of our municipalities. It's not representative of what the Operational Review Team has adopted, and the process that's actually followed by the police and fire chiefs.

County I don't think recognizes the organization hierarchy that the ORT has adopted recently. A member -- very recently actually. A member of ORCAT made a statement to an ORT governance, I'm sorry, an ORT Board member,

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

that the Law of Records Management Governance Board goes not report to the ORT. And this is not the first time this sentiment has been articulated by the County, or any of their representatives regarding our governance boards, and it's perplexing to the chiefs that they don't recognize, even after we've explained it, the natural relationship that occurs in the law enforcement and fire rescue rank system. The fact that, you know, I mentioned before these governance boards consist of members appointed by the agency head, automatically lend itself that they are subordinate to the ORT in the structure, and, and given the ability to make certain decisions by the chiefs.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So I think these are just a couple of examples of lack of recognition by the County, or the public safety professionals in Broward County, as the subject matter experts, and the group that's most appropriately suited to make decisions that affect the operations of our agencies. So the concerns that I brought up, they began soon after the migration of the technological component of the system, which is

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

known as ORCAT. It used to be under the leadership of the Broward Sheriff's Office, and it's part of the regional system, it migrated over to County government.

When that happened there was almost an immediate sentiment that the fact that the County owned the system meant that the County was able to make decisions, and County administration through ORCAT continues to cause the police chiefs to have to become personally involved, because the constantly confrontational relationships that have developed at the operational level.

I'm going to show you two slides here, and, and this one is a representation of the governmental structure of Broward County in 2015. The next one was taken in May of 2019, and I'll get to that in a moment. So for, for several years during and after consolidation the chiefs have been raising concerns related to the County's oversight of the system, and there has been little improvement. During the most recent Broward County Charter Review discussion in 2017, and I'm not going to get into because the Sheriff already talked about

2.2

2.3

2.4

it, but the chiefs did support the creation of a Director of Public Safety Communications, and a Communications Advisory Council, and we did that because we recognized that the system was broken and, and needed to come up with a solution to fix it.

Clearly the Director of Communications reporting directly to the County Commission did not come to fruition. We think, you know, we believe that partially, because during one of the sub-committee meetings, as stated, the County Administrator did commit to hiring a director for ORCAT who has public safety experience. When it was proposed the Broward Chiefs agreed that was an acceptable outcome, and we ceased any direct advocacy for the creation of the position of either director or an advisory council.

Eventually a Director of ORCAT was hired, and the credentials that he came with demonstrated the experience that the police chiefs were expecting, but in reality unfortunately the County organizational structure was modified, and the new director was assigned as the Director of Regional

2.2

2.3

2.4

Emergency Services and Communications. So this spot actually took the position of ORCAT on the County's governmental structure in the chart. This chart represents a distinction. There is Office of Regional Communications Technology, and then there's also a Office of Emergency Management.

So you can see those two changes on the two charts, and, and like I said I won't point them, out, but they, they do exist there, as I'm sure you've noticed. So really what the Chiefs asked for, what was needed for the system, and what is currently needed, is a dedicated director of ORCAT, because what we got, though after asking, is we got consolidation of two positions that in my opinion Emergency Management and Communication are worthy of having two distinct leadership structures because of the importance of each.

I think that -- not only the importance, but how busy this was, you know. And I think that the amount of responsibility on the new Director of ORCAT, to have both Emergency Management and Communications under his charge, most likely accounts for the fact that the

2.2

2.3

2.4

Director has only attended one meeting of the Operational Review Team since his hire as the Emergency Management and Communications

Director, when like I said I believe they're worthy, each one is worthy of full time leadership.

Unfortunately the relationship between the ORT and ORCAT has continued to deteriorate to a point where after a rather contentious ORT meeting ORT boulevard that a private meeting was necessary with the Director and Assistant Director outside of an audience to try to improve the relationship and create better understanding between the two entities.

Unfortunately on the day of that scheduled meeting both the Director and the Assistant Director cancelled that meeting. And we've since rescheduled it, but it has not happened yet.

So in general I want to point out that most Chiefs, and including myself, and maybe myself more than most, have excellent long term working relationships with most members of ORCAT. There are varying levels of effective communication though with some other members,

2.2

2.3

2.4

and it's clear that personalities interfere, and are an obstruction to cooperative attempts to communicate on operational issues.

Essentially we don't speak the same language, the operational people and the technology people.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I can give you multiple examples of the disconnect that I think happens. One I'm going to touch on, though, is the radio throttling issue. You know the role of the first responder during a mass incident will almost always require the use of some level of the radio system. You know, and after MSD the Chiefs learned for the first time that the simple toggling of a switch will put capacity on a radio system. And -- and when I say we learned for the first time, in some instances law enforcement officers with forty plus years experience had never heard this before, and the same goes true for myself.

But, with that knowledge, and once we were told about it, we agreed that first responders should be educated on how to most efficiently use a radio, you know, to mitigate any, any problems that the system may experience. You

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

know, we have -- we do have an issue with the fundamental lack of understanding of the role of the first responder during a mass type, mass type incident. The advice that the Chiefs were given from ORCAT during this incident in order not to put load on the radio system was to turn our radios off, you know, if we're not responding. I -- I almost don't know what to say to that, because it's not appropriate to make that recommendation, it's not practical, and it's not safe, because police and fire rescue units while they aren't responding to a mass incident are still providing emergency services to the rest of the county, there's oversight, there's command and control, and other reasons that we do have to be on the radio.

You know, I don't think that advice ever took into the fact what the police and fire chiefs talk about, is we are concerned, and we train what if we have to respond to multiple mass incidents within Broward County at one time. What capacity goes onto the radio system if that happens? I think we all know the current radio system, what would happen, and

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

the police and fire chiefs have been training to, to deal with that, and to try to mitigate those, those issues. What I don't know is how the new system will be affected if there was two mass casualty or critical incidents occurring simultaneously within Broward County. We've asked several times, and we have not received answers.

2.3

2.4

I bring this issue up, and I talk about it, because we've learned that the County knew about a potential user issue with radios, users causing unnecessary capacity on the system at a prior significant incident, the airport shooting, but did not coordinate or present any preventive or mitigative suggestions the Chief. I think it's just another example of the lack of acknowledgment of the chiefs in their role as operational people within the system.

It is extremely concerning to the Broward County Chiefs of Police Association, and it's evidence that we are not considered equal partners in the governance of the system, and we do not have an effective voice with operational decisions or operational management. This hampers our ability to

800-726-7007

provide the best services to the residents, visitors, and businesses within Broward County. We believe that the current structure of the consolidated system will never be effective as long as the County is involved in operations matters, either directly or indirectly, that affect the law and the fire rescue services. So due to the fundamental lack of understanding of the needs of the first responder community by County administration the Broward County Chiefs support the entire consolidated communication system properly funded, and with a governance structure in place that gives the Chiefs a seat at the table, real true decision making ability on operational matters, and that the system be transferred to the Broward County Sheriff.

We believe that the system can only be operated by somebody with operational expertise and understanding of public safety matters, and I believe that this will certainly allow the for the effective and efficient resolution to operational issues that arise, and for the improvement to the level of service being provided by BSO to public safety agencies

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

1 without any interference from Broward County.

And I think -- I think it's important to note a couple things, the system and the governance that's set up in place today.

Everybody at this table, everybody on this commission I believe would recognize you can't have two bosses, and what we have right now is the County, who owns and funds, and provides support through technology to the system, and then you have the Sheriff who operates the system, and from our perspective there are two bosses, and conflicting messages that exist.

I also want to point out that in my opinion it's not appropriate to have ORCAT managing the system. ORCAT is the Office of Regional Communications and Technology. I believe the purpose of technology is to support the operation, not, not drive the operation.

I'm not sure of any business model, whether it be in public safety, government, or even in the private sector, outside of maybe technology sector itself, where technology drives and governs the operation. And I think that the solution, or the best solution at this point is to have the system, and I don't believe this,

2.2

2.3

2.4

you know, it is my personal opinion, but it's also the opinion of the Broward County Chiefs of Police Association, that the system needs to be placed under the leadership of the Broward County Sheriff.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

So that -- that ends my presentation, and I'm open to any questions.

CHAIR: And once -- I just want to clarify something, because on, on the meeting that had been scheduled with Director Jackson to resolve some of these issues, that private meeting is, is that, I'm confused, because has that been rescheduled or not been rescheduled?

CHIEF ROSA: It has been rescheduled.

CHAIR: When was the communication on that to reschedule it, because that's --

CHIEF ROSA: It happened early last week. Late two weeks ago, or early last week.

CHAIR: Okay, but so that has been -- that --

CHIEF ROSA: Yes.

CHAIR: Okay. Is there a date set for that, or is it --

CHIEF ROSA: There is a date, and I don't know what it is.

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

CHAIR: Okay. All right. All right, Sheriff Ashley, go ahead.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Thank you for the presentation, that was certainly insightful, and certainly matches my own experience in law enforcement communications. What operational -- you gave one example, the toggling example, what, what actual examples of operational control would you like back? As police chiefs you're making the decision how many call takers you have versus how many dispatchers you have, versus the calls, administrative calls. What -- what specifically would you like back that ORCAT doesn't provide you the opportunity to do?

CHIEF ROSA: So there's going to be several things, but when, when there's a recommendation by ORCAT to, to change something in the system -- and I'm going to give you an example of the something the Sunrise Police Department and the Lauderhill Police Department are current dealing with. There was a recommendation, and it's the County's intent, as it was explained to me, to consolidate the Sunrise Police Department and the Lauderhill

2.2

2.3

2.4

Police Department's radio channels overnight from two separate and distinct channels to one channel.

That -- that was done as a result of a study by the Fitch report. I'm not going to pretend to understand the methodology they were, but what I can tell you is that that's not going to work. The Lauderhill Police Department and the Sunrise Police Department, two different agencies. I've had significant discussions with the Lauderhill Police Chief on this, and we both concur that by doing this Lauderhill will effectively shut down Sunrise Police Department at night. Call volume --

SHERIFF ASHLEY: So they didn't take any jurisdictional considerations in making that decision.

CHIEF ROSA: There was no jurisdictional considerations, nor was there any, at the time the recommendation was made, even any involvement from the police chiefs on it, other than the police chiefs coming together as a group saying that we're not going to accept any of those, outside of the direct approval from the affected police chief, you know, the

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

agency's police heads, agency heads.

CHAIR: So not only that -- and I just wanted, just for clarification, and you can respond to this, because I've talked to Chief Stanley, and talked to Deputy Chief Siegel, and, and their concern is -- and this is where it goes -- this is where the operational stuff just isn't matching up is, what they say is, is that the County is basing it totally on statistical information and PTTs, push to talks, and they're using data, where, where they're saying from an operational standpoint, because whatever everybody calls it, and we all call it something different, but when the air is held for emergency situations, et cetera, that doesn't give the full picture.

And Lauderhill is at night, put it this way, crime is more in Lauderhill than it is in Sunrise, and, and they're exponentially busier, and the have a lot more emergency situations, and a lot more time. So if you take a Sunrise police officer that's trying to do a traffic stop, trying to check out with somebody, trying to do proactive policing, trying to do whatever, but they're on the same channel with

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Lauderhill, and Lauderhill's channels are continually held, the air is held for emergency traffic because of all those high risk situations they're dealing with, then they won't be able to get on the radio.

And so what they're saying, the frustration is the County doesn't listen to them because they're using pure metrics and statistical information, which when the, when the air is held for five, ten, fifteen minutes, or whatever it is, they're saying operationally isn't going to work, and the County is not listening to them. Is that -- is that correct?

CHIEF ROSA: Yeah, that's exactly correct.

And, you know, I want to point out for the non-law enforcement officers that during critical incidents mostly all transmissions stop, so if you're evaluating the system, or you're trying to make a recommendation to consolidate something for a cost savings potentially, and not taking into consideration why there are no transmissions, so if there's a critical incident occurring there's no transmission, obviously that stat is not registering in the system, and then - - and this is something that

2.2

2.3

2.4

we repeatedly pointed out needs to be taken into consideration.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: And this is what gets to the core of this, because they're not communicating, and because, you know, when the director only shows up at one ORT meeting, and they're not, there's no lines of communication, and you get into the distrust, and you get into every, is that they can't -- I mean a simple issue like that, they just can't get it resolved because they're not communicating on it.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: And -- and given the opportunity when the County Manager presents I certainly think I have a solution for him, or at least an alternative that we can discuss then. But thank you for your presentation and information.

CHIEF ROSA: Thank you.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Thank you.

CHAIR: Chief Lystad, go ahead.

CHIEF LYSTAD: Just a couple questions,
Chief, some of them are from a financial, and
as familiar as you are with PSAPs you should be
able to answer the questions. So when you
consolidated with the PSAPs, the PSAP funding

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1

that you had before, did that go to the County?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHIEF ROSA: No. No, the City realized the savings with the PSAP funding. The County -- the County took on the funding by itself, it funds the system.

CHIEF LYSTAD: And so before the consolidation you had frequencies assigned to those cities, specifically as we are talking about Sunrise and Lauderhill?

CHIEF ROSA: So Sunrise owns several frequencies. As part of the consolidation process we did, although we maintain ownership of them we did sign over some rights to the County for use of those frequencies.

CHIEF LYSTAD: So is the County using those frequencies for the dispatch that you just, the example you just talked about?

CHIEF ROSA: Yeah. And my weakness is going to be radio, and exactly specifically where the frequencies are assigned to, so I'm not going to tell you yes because I don't know if that will be accurate, but I do know that it was presented to us there was a need for the additional frequencies in order to consolidate the system.

CHIEF LYSTAD: Okay, so in the presentation I saw ORT is not being recognized by ORCAT, so there's no real interaction; is that a fair statement?

CHIEF ROSA: There -- there is interaction. So things are done in silos, or, or at least my observations within the County things are done in silos, so I believe County Administration believes there's two separate ORT structures in place, one for police, one for fire. The -- the assistant director has come to almost every ORT meeting, and been involved. One of the problems that we find though is that we're continuously regurgitating questions and information for our desires, and it seems to die at the ORT level. And that's -- that's a, you know, an operational concern of ours, because we decided that this should be the, the clearinghouse for any operational I hope that answers your questions. issues.

CHIEF LYSTAD: The example you presented about the two agencies for the dispatch, prior to consolidation did the have their own frequencies, and their own dispatch?

CHIEF ROSA: Yes, we have our own

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

dispatch. So I want to -- I'll step back -I'll go back a little bit. So frequencies,
we'll refer to them, or we refer to them as our
radio channels, but really what they are is
individual talk groups for the agencies. So,
yes, Lauderhill and Sunrise could talk
simultaneously and never hear each other, so we
were on two separate what I'll call radio
channels, what I believe you're referring to as
frequencies, that were completely apart from
each other, and only merged when there was an
operational need, if, if one jurisdiction
entered the other with some kind of a police
response.

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you, Chief, for your presentation. I'm just curious, did, did Fitch interview Lauderhill and the other agency prior to making that decision?

CHIEF ROSA: Well, I don't know if they interviewed Lauderhill. I did speak with one of the authors of the Fitch report, and then after the Fitch report we actually authored a letter asking them to reconsider that, because we know that there's, it's not going to work,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

and gave some examples of previous instances since the system has been consolidated of, of radio channel lessening that had to be undone.

And, you know, once of the reasons I've said, is I'm not going to explain because I don't understand the methodology that was used. What I do understand is the operational impacts of the agencies.

2.2

2.3

2.4

800-726-7007

One of the things that happened, the Fort Lauderdale Police Department lessened the amount of radio channels they had, and quickly, because of the push to talk stats showed that it could work, you know, in the cooperative nature that I think all the law enforcement agency are, we tried it, and it was quickly undone because it didn't work. So that really concerned me as the Sunrise Police Chief, the impact it's going to have on my agency, you know, and I know Lauderhill feels the same way if, if this happens.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Did Fitch have any recommendations on the macro level to fix all these problems?

CHIEF ROSA: Well, and I think that's, that's kind of the point, you know, Fitch made

Veritext Legal Solutions

several recommendations, but were not looking at it as a wholistic document, one total recommendation for general improvement to the system. What I've -- what I've found, and what I realized is, and this is including the Police Chiefs, we go into that Fitch report and we find the things that are most important to us, and we say, look it, Fitch said this, we have to do it. County does the same thing. Nobody is looking at this Fitch report as a document as it should be, a recommendation for general improvement to the system.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And then my last question is, and I asked this earlier, as I look through the updated Fitch, and it says the ongoing training I received, you know, and it talks about training, and it talks about preparation, who do you feel is, is in, who is in charge of that?

CHIEF ROSA: So, you know, we have two things going on. Directly I believe that the Broward County Sheriff is in charge for training, and ensuring his employees are trained. But there is some involvement from the County from a funding, from an

2.2

2.3

2.4

availability, and a staffing perspective, to allow people to be trained, so, you know, it's not just one person. I think, you know, as an agency head I believe that it's the agency head's responsibility to ensure his people are trained, but if you don't control your funding stream, you don't control portions of your budget related to the funding of that specific function, then you can cause some problems. You may not be able to -- I don't want to speak for the Sheriff, but you may not be able implement some of the things that you think are important.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And I understand that, you know, the, the people, that the dispatchers might not feel that the technology would, would work, and they know that it failed on these last two mass incidents, and that might affect how they answered some of the questions, but when it comes to policies and procedures are easily understood that's by BSO, right?

> CHIEF ROSA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR: And just to I guess give you a

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

305-376-8800

little insight on, when I talked to, personally talked to several about the Fitch study, and I heard it several times, was, is that they didn't, because of the undercurrent, and the distrust is, is that they didn't respond fully, or at all to the Fitch questions, because Fitch was being compensated by the County, and paid for by the County, and they felt it was a report paid for by the County, and they didn't share a lot of information with Fitch because they didn't trust it.

2.2

2.5

Now, whether that's right or wrong I'll just tell you that that's what was said, and so that's the level of discord, and that's the level of problems that exist. I mean just with, you know, with what you said, Chief, and I want to make sure I got this right, and in part of a question, is that you said that the police chiefs are not considered to be by you all, you all, you don't consider to be equal partners with ORCAT in the structure, and with the County involved it will not be effective.

And -- and you've told me previously that for it to work County administration has to be to of it, and I think you said that here, here

1 today.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHIEF ROSA: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIR: So if -- if that's not an option what is the Police Chiefs' position on how it would work? If this relationship is so fractured, and your view is, is that for it to work they have to be out of it, you're not considered peers, and on an equal level with them, but if they're not getting out what's the next step?

CHIEF ROSA: So I think when you say, the premise of them not getting out, I think they have to get out. But if -- if the system is to continue to reside in the County the step is to have one leader, and it has to be the right person that's not subject to influence of the same person that's responsible for all other aspects of the County. So, you know, our original support for a person reporting directly to the County Commission for matters of communication, you know, that's, that is definitely another solution.

I think it's important, because that would allow the County Commission to hire the right person with, with the management skills and

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

leadership skills to be able to handle a system of this complexity.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: So -- so since Mr. Jackson was hired, and I'm not going to pick on him, but he's in that position, and, and because of what's been said, and I think we've talked about this is, is that what's the view of the Police Chiefs, are things better, worse, the same, over the last year? Because he's been in place for a year now, and he was brought in to try and resolve these issues; is the situation better, worse, or the same?

CHIEF ROSA: I'm -- characterization from the Police Chiefs is it's worse. And, you know, I want to qualify that by saying, you know, in part of my presentation, and I have developed a great relationship with Mr.

Jackson, but I do think that it's going to be virtually impossible within a county the size of Broward to be responsible for emergency management and this communication system.

They're both complicated. They're both labor intensive, and they both need directed leadership.

CHAIR: I guess -- maybe you've already

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

answered this question but I want to ask anyway. If you had to identify one thing as the greatest barrier to the success of regional communications what would that one thing be?

CHIEF ROSA: Interference by administrative people that don't have, and don't appear to want to hear from the operational people.

CHAIR: Anybody else, any questions? Mr. Petty.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Just an observation. We talk a lot about -- I've heard the term used, equal relationship, or relationship of equals, when I look at this I look at you as the customer for this, and I don't think that's a symmetric relationship. I think -- I think the first responders and police, fire/EMS, you guys are the customers, and what you need to do your job should be at the top of the priority list for the County, regardless of agency department head, and we'll get into more of that later, you're, you know, you're the customer, so this, this whole idea of trying to get a symmetric relationship doesn't make any sense to me.

2.2

2.4

2.5

I think you guys should say this is what we need to do our jobs, this is the jobs we've, the job we've been tasked to perform in this County, this is what we need, and if there's some budgetary limitation then we deal with those things. But other than that I don't understand why, why, you know, why the relationship even needs to be symmetric.

CHIEF ROSA: So when the system was first formed it was formed with the idea that, that we are the customers of the system, and I think that attitude permeated throughout the County, that we're going to receive the level, you know, what we receive. So the -- the customer relationship wasn't really there, because it was, yeah, you're our customers, but we're not going to necessarily give you what you want, we're going to give you what we think you need, and doing that without any background, or any understanding of how we provide public service to the community.

So because of that, and I think that morphs into what the Fitch report said, that the Chiefs have, you know, inappropriately allowed decisions to be made, you know, we

decided, no, that can't happen anymore, we have to start making the decisions because we're the ones ultimately responsible to provide protection, provide, you know, policing services to the community.

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER PETTY: And I recognize public agencies and private enterprise are quite different, so I don't, I don't see this naively, but there are very few private businesses that could operate the way that I'm understanding from you testimony the County is operating and still remain in business.

CHIEF ROSA: Yeah. And -- and we recognize that, and I think, you know, part of the recommendation that there be one person in charge with public safety experience, I don't, just it's more complicated than that, like they have to have significant management experience, budgetary experience, and leadership experience, but with an understanding of operational issues, police and fire departments, fire rescue agencies have.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Or at least a willingness to listen.

CHIEF ROSA: A willingness to listen would

1 be an excellent start.

2.2

CHAIR: When -- when that meet -- when that meeting was cancelled a couple weeks ago, and it was cancelled just before the meeting was to occur, and that was to try and resolve in private these differences --

CHIEF ROSA: Yes. Yes, so --

CHAIR: -- and -- and -- and, you know,

I've heard from others, and I think I've talked
to you about it briefly, but how did that make
you all feel, how did that -- when this -- when
you all are going to sit down and try and make
peace, and that meeting was cancelled abruptly,
what was the result of that?

CHIEF ROSA: So I, you know, the members of the Board, I know them very well, and, and, you know, we think it's the right thing to do. The relationship is broken, it's been broken for years, you know. At a contentious public meeting there's an audience, and in my observation some people play to the audience a little bit, and I thought that it was important to have this meeting privately without an audience so we could just kind of hash out some of the problems, some of the differences.

800-726-7007

1 We do that in spirit of cooperation, so we 2. want this system to work. We think -- we think 3 it's a robust system. We know it's complicated, but, you know, when it's 4 5 cancelled, a meeting of that magnitude where we're making an effort, we're putting ourselves 6 out there to, to try to resolve some of these differences, and, and it gets cancelled, you 8 9 know --10

CHAIR: Were you told why it was cancelled?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHIEF ROSA: Yes, there was a conflicting meeting for both people.

CHAIR: For both of their people?

CHIEF ROSA: For both of their people,

yes.

CHAIR: Okay. Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: Chief, we see the letter from the Broward County Police Chiefs
Association, and I think it's powerful that you say, hey, if, if the operations were turned over to the Sheriff and, and he hired someone who solely was in charge of this system, and you had the fire chiefs, and the police chiefs, then we could solve these issues.

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

CHIEF ROSA: Yeah, that -- and that's the sentiment of the letter. You know, we're not naive. You know, there, there are concerns that we discussed internally with handing this over to the Sheriff. Some of the things we talked directly to the Sheriff about, you know, before we came to this conclusion that, the Broward Chiefs came to the conclusion that, that this is the best course of action at this time, is, is we want a commitment that the Chiefs are going to have a voice at the table and be, be decision makers, and, and, you know, so we don't send that letter lightly, and you know, because I think we, you know, if you're in the law enforcement business you see, and you can anticipate certain things happening over time.

The Sheriff is an elected position, you know, it could be every election a new sheriff comes in, new sets of standards are, you know, applied by that sheriff, so we send that letter knowing that, but we think that the system is, is in such dire straits that this is the best, the best solution to the problem.

SHERIFF JUDD: Also by the chief -- by the

sheriff being a publicly elected official every four years you, you as a police chief, and as a citizen, have the right to check his report card, that, hey, this, this is working.

CHIEF ROSA: Yes. Yes.

SHERIFF JUDD: I reflect, we have a consolidated system in our county that I run, and Chris Nelson, who is now with the State Attorney's Office, was a chief in Auburndale, but I reiterate, Chris had as much power to modify rules, change rules, as my own people did, because they sit as a group, the fire chiefs and police chiefs, they sit as a group, and they hash out issues and say, well, we need this for our community, and we need this, and they, they worked through these issues.

I'll ask this question later, but as I understand the Fire Chiefs don't agree with your letter; is that correct?

CHIEF ROSA: Yeah, you know, I spoke with the President of the Fire Association, and his words to me, they don't have consensus when we spoke, right before we sent this letter out, and, you know, I'd prefer if you'd ask him directly about that situation --

2.

2.2

1 | SHERIFF JUDD: I will.

2.

2.2

2.5

CHIEF ROSA: I don't -- I don't know their inner workings, but them, them not having consensus was what was relayed to me.

SHERIFF JUDD: You obviously see the issue here. If -- and -- and this commission can, can be really a power broker between the Board of County Commissioners, the County

Administrator, the Police Chiefs, the Fire Chiefs, and the Sheriff. But if you've got one side saying -- if the Sheriff says, yeah, I'll do it, and the Police Chiefs say, yeah, we think the Sheriff ought to do it, and we need to have seats at the table, and a voice in how operationally it occurs, and then the Fire Chiefs sit back and go, well, we don't have consensus, well, you, you see the problem that the Sheriff and our Chair was just alluding to.

So, I leave you with this. We want to do our part to help resolve this. We've heard from the County Administrator in the past, and she tells us the same thing, she's got a huge county to run, and certainly we could be influential, and we can provide a solution, but the solution we provide you from all over the

state of Florida may not be in the best interests of Broward County. But if we can't get those that are complaining and pointing fingers at the County to agree then that means you all are part of the problem too.

CHIEF ROSA: Yes. And -- yeah, I certainly understand that. I think, you know, Broward Chiefs decided we were going to take a leadership role, and something has to be done, and instead of just saying something has to be done this recommendation is indicative of what we think will effectively solve it. I can tell you, you know, I mean I've had personal conversation with the Sheriff about some governance things that we'd be looking for, and to get his commitment before Broward Chiefs took this step.

You know, I'm not sure the Fire

Association was -- I know they weren't involved in the conversations I had, and I think that it needs to be vetted a little bit more for them, but I'm optimistic that ultimately -- because the Broward County Sheriff also runs Broward County Fire Rescue, so, you know, separate from the municipalities that have their own

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

agencies, but I think that we're not too far off. And again I don't want to speak for the Fire Association, I'm certainly not involved in their discussions, but it's my sense that with the right governance structure, the right, the right input, the right seats at the table, so to speak, for everyone involved, at least at the Chiefs level, is important for everybody.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SHERIFF JUDD: Well, first off I want to commend the Broward County Chiefs under your leadership, because that's what you've demonstrated here. I want to encourage you, and I will encourage the Fire Chief after we hear his presentation, or her presentation, that it's very important to the Fire Chiefs, and the Police Chiefs, and the Sheriff to, to get together and say, look, we're the operators, we'll be, we understand the funding sources, the Board of County Commissioners, but we will solve our problems if you'll inculcate this in one place, and for the sake of this argument at this moment in time, follow the Police Chiefs' recommendation, which we hope is the Fire Chiefs' recommendation, which we hope is the Sheriff's recommendation, so they County

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

Administrator can say, look, this is the best solution to what is now by the consensus, and survey, and feedback from the stakeholders, a failed system in its, in its current form.

The -- and I would encourage, we're going to have a lunch break at some point in time, and then we're going to meet again in a couple of months, that, that there be some intense work, because I can tell you from a personal perspective if I were where you are, and where the Fire Chiefs are, and where the Broward Sheriff is, I wouldn't want folks from outside solving the problem. I would say, look, we're experts, we're professionals, we've got decades and decades, and decades of experience and education, we have brought to this Commission what we believe will be the beginning of the solution, will you support us. And I think if that's, if that's solidified appropriately it will be easier for us to make a decision that will be in the best interests of, of not only the stakeholders, but to me the stakeholders are really, really the people who need emergency services, and deserve the very best.

CHIEF ROSA: Absolutely. Absolutely.

800-726-7007

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

1 Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

SHERIFF JUDD: And I -- what I hear is you'll, you all agree with that.

CHIEF ROSA: Yes, we do. Thank you, Sheriff.

CHAIR: Chief, just -- and so as a member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of the Chiefs Association, and as a law enforcement leader in Broward County and Chair of the ORT, in the last two years how many times have you had a personal sit down with the County Administrator?

CHIEF ROSA: Two years, none.

CHAIR: In the last two years not once.

CHIEF ROSA: That's correct.

CHAIR: What about the Chiefs Association, or the Board of the Chiefs Association, how many times have you all sat down directly face to face with the County Administrator?

CHIEF ROSA: I believe none. I was not -I was not -- in the last two years I was not on
the Executive Board for the Chiefs, so I --

CHAIR: Are you aware of any meetings between the Chiefs Association and the County Administrator in the last two years?

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1 CHIEF ROSA: I am not.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIR: Have you all invited the County Administrator to any of your meetings?

CHIEF ROSA: No, we have not.

CHAIR: And any reason why, do you know?

CHIEF ROSA: You know, we've been working with ORCAT through the County Administrator, but I an tell you just it has not happened, so I don't know why.

CHAIR: All right. Under Sheriff Harpring, go ahead.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Thank you, Chief. I'd like to note that the letter that went out is fairly bold, and I think does show a commitment to, to leadership. You referenced affirmative interference in that particular letter, and I find that to be a strong statement. I'm not saying it's either good or bad. Was that interference, did any of that come from BSO, or was that strictly from ORCAT?

CHIEF ROSA: Everything I referred to in that letter is geared towards ORCAT. You know, to be fair we work through problems with BSO, but I think there's more of a symbiotic relationship between the law enforcement

agencies so I'm less likely to highlight a problem, because at least from the Sunrise Police Department standpoint when we do have an issue that we believe is the responsibility of BSO we communicate that with them, and their issue gets resolved. I can't say the same with the County, the issue does not always get resolved.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: And I do think it's telling, and in Indian River County, which is exponentially smaller than here, we have three PSAPs, our system which dispatches for us, and all fire and EMS, and then two other much smaller municipalities, so I think that's, I think that's, that's telling also. Do you know BSO's position in regards to your recommendation?

CHIEF ROSA: Well, you know, I think -- I think it would be appropriate for the Sheriff or his representative to, to give that. I did have discussions directly with the Sheriff where, where he has told me that he agrees to take on this responsibility. There was some needs to happen, you know, it needs to be properly funded, you know, there needs to be a

2.2

2.3

2.4

structure in place, things like that. But I -- but I suspect that when, when they do speak if you ask that question the answer should be yes.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: But from a system and organizational standpoint you didn't get a, an abject no, it's not going to happen, we're not going to, we're not willing to do it.

CHIEF ROSA: Absolutely, yeah, we did not get that.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Do you believe that the lack of consensus on the fire side is an insurmountable impediment, or do you think that concerns that they may have can be resolved in some way through your groups' work, and, and BSO's work, to perhaps allay any fears or concerns that they have?

CHIEF ROSA: You know, again, you know, I don't want to make judgment calls on behalf of the other association. It's my sense, though, that this can be, it's not insurmountable, it can be discussed. You know, I want to point out that associations, you know, they're made up of all the different municipalities, police chiefs and fire chiefs, a chief representing his individual agency may not agree with

2.

2.2

something, but as part of the association may agree with something, so from an association standpoint I don't think it's insurmountable.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Do you see any costs coming back to the municipalities if there's a switch from ORCAT to BSO, and, and if there is do you think that would be retaliatory?

CHIEF ROSA: Well, yeah, there should not be a cost. The system is funded. I think that in order for it to go to the Sheriff -- and Broward Chiefs would not support it if it didn't go to the Sheriff and be properly funded by the County at the same time. So I think if there was anything less than proper funding, you know, then that's going to be a problem, and we have to ensure that if this recommendation does come into fruition there has to be, and there's a lot of pieces to the puzzle, but funding is very important because I think the Sheriff in order to make correct operational decisions have to have proper funding for that operation.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Would any of that funding include any of the operational piece more towards your individual agencies, IE, you

2.2

2.3

2.4

1

2.

know, radios, P25, all that kind of stuff?

3

4 5

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

2.5

CHIEF ROSA: No, you know, I think right now there's, there's clear demarcation points, who's responsible for which level of funding, you know, the police agency is, you know, responsible for the computers in their vehicle that, you know, they're interacting with CAD, responsible for their individual portable radios, things like that, just a couple examples. I think the current delineation, you know, is appropriate, and should remain in effect when this goes to the Sheriff's Office.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR: All right, thanks, Chief, we appreciate it. Thank you for being here. we're going to break for lunch, and we'll start again at -- yeah, Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: Yeah, before we break for lunch, I talked to Commissioner of Education Richard Corcoran about making public the school districts and schools, and he said he would like to give the school districts and schools until August 1st to, to get in line. If they didn't he would make them publicly, he would do

1 it -- his quote was; I'll do a press conference, and you can stand beside me, and we'll call out the districts at that time if 3 they don't. So --4 5 CHAIR: It sounds like a fair resolution. SHERIFF JUDD: It does to me. 6 CHAIR: A fair -- a fair compromise to it, 7 and hopefully they get the message just from 8 this discussion. 9 10 SHERIFF JUDD: Well -- well, and -- and 11 the important is, yesterday, and, and you said 12 it very eloquently there, it's important for 13 them to hear the train coming, feel the train 14 coming, and see the train coming, because 15 August 1st the train is going to run over them. 16 CHAIR: Yep. 17 SHERIFF JUDD: So there's -- there's the 18 message. 19 CHAIR: Get it done. So all right, so 20 we'll start again promptly at 1:00. We'll 21 begin with Chief Baninec from Coral Springs 2.2 Fire Department on behalf of the Fire Chiefs Association. 23 1:00. 24 (Thereupon, the meeting is in recess, and the

2.5

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

proceedings continue as follows:)

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: All right, welcome back. We'll go ahead and continue with the discussion about 911 communications. And next on the agenda is Chief Frank Babinec from Coral Springs, who is the President of the Broward County Fire Chiefs Association. And I believe Chief Babinec has a couple of other fire chiefs from the association here with him, and we appreciate you being here, and welcome back Chief Babinec.

PRESENTATION BROWARD COUNTY REGIONAL COMMUNICATIONS
AND ORCAT ISSUES, BROWARD COUNTY FIRE CHIEFS

ASSOCIATION

CHIEF BABINEC: Yes, sir, thank you.

Thank you for having us. Sheriff, as you said

I'm here today representing the Fire Chiefs

Association of Broward County. I am the

President of the Association. I'm here on

behalf of the Vice President Julie Downey, our

Secretary Percy Sayles, who is out of town, and

our Treasurer Rodney Turpel. We do have

several fire chiefs in attendance with us

today, and representatives from other

organizations. They'll be available to help

answer any questions if there are, if we have

any questions afterwards. Chief John McNamara

from Sunrise has, also wants to say something as soon as I'm done. If you would allow for that I'd appreciate that.

The reason that we don't have more fire chiefs here today is we have an annual conference that happens every year, and it's happening today. And there's actually a southeast meeting of fire chiefs going on right now, so we've kind of split up the duties of, of being at two different places.

Today we're here to speak on a very important issue regarding public safety communications. As you know this is an imperative resource to all first responders to be able to provide the highest level of service to our communities, and the residents, visitors, that they deserve. You guys should have all have gotten a letter that was sent out on May 18th of last year. That was a joint letter between the Broward Fire Chiefs Association, the Broward Police Chiefs Association, and BSO, that kind of outlines some of the concerns that the, the bodies had from the different organizations related to communications. If you haven't gotten that,

2.2

2.3

2.4

Sheriff, I'll be happy to get you a company of that so you can disseminate that.

At the time we stated -- and this is very important. I want everybody to realize this. At the time we stated, and we sit here today, we continue to maintain that we're committed to working collaboratively to ensure interoperability countywide between the communications systems that will serve all of us to the highest level. All of our agencies heavily depend on regional communication and the infrastructure to provide life saving emergency services. The communications system technology and people are the most vital link to us to be able to provide this service as emergency responders.

There are issues that need to be addressed, however these technical and operational changes cannot occur until the administrative and trust issues are resolved and worked out by all the stakeholders. We cannot afford to continue to work in an environment that impedes change, success, or progress in emergency communications. Public safety operational decision must be made or

2.3

2.4

influenced by public safety professionals.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

It's the only answer that makes sense, and it's the only way the public will truly be served at the highest level.

The membership of the Broward Fire Chiefs Association feels that at times there's a lack of oversight, support, respect, trust, and accountability, that influence the decisions going into the public safety communications. We have, and still believe that a collaborative approach to countywide interoperability to communications is essential, and something we need to collectively figure out. I would go further and say not only do we need to collectively figure it out, I would say we have a responsibility to collectively figure it out. The public safety officials that serve Broward County in my opinion, and in the Board's opinion, we have had a conversation about this, we feel that that's our responsibility, our public deserves that, and probably expects that from us, to be able to do so.

Vital communication equipment and training in policy need to be provided to the end users to they can do their jobs efficiently and

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

safely. The Fire Chiefs Association of Broward County seeks a system wide collaborative approach led by subject matter experts, technical experts with the experience, ability, authority, and right attitudes and resources to ensure communications infrastructure that we can depend on on a daily basis. As I previously stated the Fire Chiefs Association is committed to working together to achieve this.

As you are aware the Police Chiefs
Association sent out a letter last week, and I
was contacted on Friday in reference to this
letter. At the time the Police Chiefs
Association was asking for the Fire Chiefs
Association to support the letter they were
sending out. I did not have an opportunity as
the President of the Fire Chiefs Association to
reach out to the membership to get that answer
right then and there, so over the weekend I
reached out to the twenty fire rescue agencies
in Broward County that we represent, and I
personally talked to each chief from each one
of these organizations, and I asked them to
tell me what their position was, and basically

2.2

2.3

2.4

took a vote over the phone from the, from the membership.

Nineteen of the twenty organizations committed that they are in support of the Police Chiefs position, and one entity couldn't commit to it because they didn't have the opportunity to go back and talk with their, their bosses. More importantly they stated their -- they -- they're not -- it's not a blanket statement that they're committed to doing, just turning anything over. They want to make sure that there are processes and controls in place that allow for end users to be involved with operational decisions that affect the end users.

CHAIR: Chief, I'm just going to stop you for a second, I just want to clarify because of what we heard before, so I just want to make sure at this juncture that we're all understanding is, is that what we had talked about before, and obviously it's changed over the weekend, that before there wasn't consensus, and there was, the organization wasn't able to support the position to transfer responsibility from the County to BSO. If I

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

understand correctly now you have nineteen of the twenty, so you have absolute majority in, in that the organization does support the transfer to BSO, but with certain caveats, and certain other conditions that, that may be with it, but as far as the transfer of the responsibility as long as the conditions were met that the, the Fire Chiefs Association's position is supportive and consistent with the Police Chiefs; do I have that right?

CHIEF BABINEC: Yes, sir.

CHAIR: Okay. All right.

CHIEF BABINEC: And -- and more importantly they want the system fixed, so collectively whatever that comes out to be is what the Fire Chiefs, the majority of them said they would support. But if that is the fix to being able to provide the level of communications needed for the first responders that's what they support.

CHAIR: And hang on. Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

Thank you very much. SHERIFF JUDD: had the opportunity to talk to Sheriff Tony over lunch. He's home recuperating from some

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

minor surgery or he would have been here. And he told me to make sure that I clearly communicated to the fire chiefs, and to the police chiefs, that should you all agree, and I hear nineteen to one, and that's, that's convincing in my mind, by nineteen to one the Fire Chiefs agree with the Broward County Police Chiefs Association that should the County agree to turn that responsibility over to him that he would in writing by contract guarantee the fire chiefs and the police chiefs to be voting members on the Board directing the Sheriff's operations as to a consolidated system.

Obviously he has to have the resources from the Board of County Commissioners to, to run, to appropriately run that system, but he told me clearly, make no mistake about it you will be a decision maker at the table with voting authority, so will the police chiefs. And he wanted me to make sure that I communicated that to the commission, and to the public today.

> CHIEF BABINEC: Thank you, sir.

And Colonel Zukowsky, the Under CHAIR:

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Sheriff is here, and he'll have an opportunity to speak later, and I think he'll speak to that issue as well. So go ahead, Chief Babinec.

Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHIEF BABINEC: That -- that's pretty
much, you know -- in closing, again, we want to
ensure that we get everybody, all the
stakeholders to the table, talk this through,
make sure that we are coming up with the best
solution for the visitors and residents of
Broward County, whatever that is, and then
collectively move forward with a plan that all
stakeholders had a voice in creating.

So that was the consensus that I got from the fire chiefs throughout the County. This message was collectively written by those fire chiefs. I got parts of it from, from each one of them, so I stand here today speaking on their behalf. I know Chief McNamara had something he wanted to follow up on, Sheriff, if that's okay?

CHAIR: It's okay, but before you do that

-- and I do want you to get into this, and I,

because I think it's important to understand,

and is we're vetting all the issues, we're

fleshing everything out, and I think that has to be done, and, and hopefully it leaves us some type of a moving forward in a positive direction, and some reconciliation to this, but we got to get it all out there because this commission needs to understand, at least a lot of what we've discussed.

I need you talk about the current issue with closest unit response, and the computers, and the equipment on the fire apparatus that are eight or nine years old that are not being replaced because of this dispute over closest unit response. I need you to share with the commission that situation.

CHIEF BABINEC: So the closest unit response project is something that Chief Levy has been working on, along with Chief McNamara, Chief Kane, Chief Mozur, a lot of other stakeholders, and actually the closest unit response issue is somewhat of a success issue, or item that we've been working on that we've actually gotten some resolution on, and we've worked collectively with the County to come up with a project charter. The closest unit response first phase is launching, or just

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

launched, it's going to launch this summer, where we have five cities that are in on that first phase. We're going to do that for a six month period. We're going to go back and look at it, and make sure that it's being done right. Once we get a handle on that we're going to move to the second phase, and we're going to move throughout the County until all of the fire rescue agencies are up and running with closest unit response.

Previous to that we had several of the chiefs that came forward to -- we have a mutual aid in our communications sub-committee that came to the sub-committee and were voicing concerns about the age of the computers that were on, on their apparatus. Some of these computers were, were seven, seven, eight year old range, and for technology that's old, and they were complaining about the ability for those computers to operate.

There were some discussions that were had with ORCAT, and ORCAT came back and basically said, listen, we need to get closest unit response going, once we get closest unit response going then we can change out the, the

2.2

2.3

2.4

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

MDTs, and start working towards a replacement plan. Some of the fire chiefs will argue that we have closest unit response. It's card driven. It's CAD driven today, it's not AVL driven, which obviously AVL driven is, is our goal, truly where the closest unit is on the, on the computer, not where it's projected to be by a computer, and we are working towards that. And I truly feel that we will accomplish closest unit response in this county in, over the next, hopefully over the next year to eighteen months, but that is something that's moving forward.

But in the interim there is an issue with the age of the, of the equipment that is, that is in some of the apparatus, and these, these gentlemen can speak to that, and there's probably some more chiefs in my background that, that can talk better to that than I can.

CHAIR: Well, was there a quid-pro-quo, where you all were told that you're not getting the equipment replaced until you get this closest unit response agreement done, and that there was a delay in replacing that equipment?

CHIEF BABINEC: We -- we were told that

2.2

2.3

the equipment replacement was contingent on us getting the closest unit response up and running.

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: So, thank you,
Chief, and for all, all the other Chiefs. Can
you -- for us non-law enforcement professionals
can you just back up a little bit and explain
why the County is so insistent on closest unit
response, and how was it done before? Not a
long presentation, just, just, you know,
quickly, if you could just elaborate a little
bit.

CHIEF MCNAMARA: John McNamara, I'm the Fire Chief of the City of Sunrise Fire Rescue Department. Just repeat the context of your question again, because I want to make sure I answer directly.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Can you just explain what closest unit response is, what was happening before versus what we're trying to do now?

CHIEF MCNAMARA: Okay, so closest unit response in the context of what the charter required in 2002 was for high priority calls,

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

and for years there were several debates on what high priority call consisted of. I might think it's X. You might think it's Y. That along with -- there were fire chiefs with respective agencies that also debated, probably more for the political reasons of crossing each other's boundaries as it related to was closest unit county wide or was it independent jurisdictional, and I can speak on that after Chief Babinec a little more in length.

That delayed that a little further, but the intent of that is to ensure that no matter what agency you are and where you're at its time sensitive emergencies to get the truck that's closest to that unit there, and the real only way to do that is through an automatic vehicle locator system inside your unit that is in turn connected to the 911 center so they can send it to you, send a unit to the incident.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I would think that would be done all the time. I don't understand why you would send someone further away than, you know, than someone is actually located.

CHIEF MCNAMARA: I could tell you -- and I

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

don't -- not that I'm being given permission by every fire chief in Broward County to say this, but I feel very comfortable in saying that every fire chief in Broward County subscribes to sending the closest unit to the closest call in their community regardless of the priority. That has been that way for my entire time in the fire service. The debate came when it was the cross jurisdictional of agencies on how that process was to take place.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: And so that wasn't happening, so the County wants to force it to happen? That sounds like a good thing.

CHIEF MCNAMARA: To follow upon Chief
Baninec's statement in relation to the
Sheriff's question there was some debate as it
related to what agencies had to sign the
closest unit response agreement that, that they
were asking every fire chief to sign. And
quite frankly for the professionals we were
having a difficult time explaining why
Deerfield Beach in the northeastern part of
Broward County had really no operational need
to have a closest unit response with Miramar in
the southwest part of the county because they

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

would never get there, and, and the matrix that
was provided to use was essentially that, and
it was partially being delayed because those
boxes were not being checked.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Why -- why -- yeah.

CHAIR: All right, and -- well -- and

Sheriff Ashley has a question, but before did

you want to make a statement as well? Did you

have --

CHIEF MCNAMARA: Sure. I don't want to -CHAIR: No, let's just do it now. I'll
let you, let you do it now, because otherwise
there may be some questions on what you have to
say, so why don't we just let you make your
statement now.

MR. MCNAMARA: Appreciate that. Again I want to thank Chief Babinec for allotting me some time under the Fire Chiefs Association to speak to you on this topic. I want to start by saying that what I'm going to speak about is a little bit different in context than what you've probably heard as it relates to operational technical decisions, et cetera, because I don't know, and forgive me if some of

800-726-7007

2.2

you have obtained this type of information, but this is a subject that you would be hard pressed to convince me that has not been debated, over-commissioned, over-analyzed, over-counseled, over-committed in Broward County than communications systems, and specifically fire rescue and closest unit response.

And I can show you documents dating back to 1984, where we've been talking about this same subject that you all are talking about I could show you report after report in the '90's from consulting firms like Tri-Data, Fishkind and Associates, the Fire Chiefs Association, Frontline Group, all recommending how to get here. And I applaud you all for researching this, although I do feel it's important to say that there's probably one particular group that's been left out of this, and it's actually, although Fire Chiefs tried their best to, to represent their own employees, the labor organizations representing firefighters had probably one of the most influential roles in getting the ballot charter passed.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.3

2.4

25

1

And I can say that with certainty because since 1997 being employed in the fire service I was a union representative leading that charge in 2002, and the intent was exactly what I, I said to you, sir, and that was to push an initiative to send the closest units to the closest calls. And we felt it as important respective of independent agencies to get that done. And when it passed we finally said, okay, we're moving in the right direction to the intent, that if you notice in the charter it created a Fire Rescue Services Council to facilitate this discussion. Part of my role and responsibility prior to becoming a fire chief I had the opportunity to serve on that council from 2007-2014. All of these items have been consistently discussed.

We're here today for two reasons beyond the, the scope of what you've been looking at. One was the fact of that charter, that it was pushed, it was forced, and it was supported by the residents, but it took another decade to even remotely get any of it done, and it was done professionally in my opinion, with a statement you made earlier about money, and the

responsibility of, because of money who should oversee it, and I think the point to that was right around 2010, '11, and the economic crisis, letters were sent to municipalities that did not have their own PSAP centers that they were not going to begin being charged for the 911 service.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Now, I'm not here to debate whether that's correct or not, but my point of reference for bringing it up is that even after 2002, and even the common sense approach that you would think would be the right thing to do, it still did not get here until money, because cities were now being told they were going to be charged for it. Again, I'm passionate about this because I was charged with the responsibility prior to becoming the Fire Chief in representing the men and women's interests in the field using this equipment, and prior to 2012 every time we tried to push the initiative it was pushed on firefighters that they were almost, had an ulterior motive to get this done.

I'm not trying to take any of the limelight from the fire, from the police side

800-726-7007

of things, but it really was the firefighters. We stepped aside from that because we felt regardless of how it was happening it was finally happening, we were finally getting somewhere with this. After it occurred still nothing changed, it was still all about who was in charge, who had the power, and we started committing it again.

2.2

I don't want this to come out directly to any one person, I'm trying to say it as a process of the group. When this committee was put together, and I'll end with this, I actually had some hope that finally we'd have outside individuals that would come in and tell people like myself, now that I'm a Fire Chief, you're doing it wrong, you're going to do it this way, and here's why, because it's, it's no longer about the money, it's about the life and safety of the people using this system.

In your presentation it was kind of articulated that, man, we're, this is pretty broke, I don't even know how we can fix this, it's, it's a lot of parts here that I don't know if we can fix. I got to tell you I'm a

little deflated because I've sat on both sides of this now for so long, and I thought, finally, this is the time that some people are going to tell us you're doing this wrong, we know how to do it, and we're going to tell you how to do it. I implore all of you to sit back down -- you know - - I'm sort of directing this to you, obviously at this point, but you know how to fix this.

2.2

2.5

You could tell us how to fix this. There is no ties from you to here. We need to be told. We need to stop -- please do not give credit to the idea that when you want something to fail you send it to a committee.

Collaborate, but give us decisive action. You know what needs to be done to stop thirty five years of discussion, because I ensure you if Margate and Creek are leaving, and this continues to be this way, we will be back to where we were in 1984, except I won't be in the fourth grade. Thank you.

CHAIR: And -- and -- and -- and just to put this other issue, Chief Baninec, and then we'll go to Sheriff Ashley, because I just want to get all this, a couple of these things out

here, because I think it's just, tells the, the story as to where we are, and, and why that isn't, it isn't working, it is broken, and the relationships, and two things I want you to address, because you didn't is, is that one is, is the issue about fire station already that we talked about, and the cost of not being consulted, and the, and that system, and the lack of coordination with ORCAT on that.

2.2

2.3

And then secondly I want you to address the issue, and your feeling on this, is, is about the ORT process, is the ORT process working, is it effective. From the Fire Chiefs perspective, what is the Fire Chiefs perspective on ORT.

CHIEF BABINEC: Chief Levy is going to have the best answer on the ORT process, because he actually, him and, and Chief Kane are the two that really attend those meetings for us.

CHAIR: All right.

CHIEF LEVY: Good afternoon, Jeff Levy,
Assistant Fire Chief Lauderhill Fire
Department. Sheriff, if you would please just
repeat that question for me.

CHAIR: Yeah, sure. So I mean, and specifically related to the ORT, what is the Fire Chiefs perspective on ORT, and is it working, is it effective, is there effective dialogue, do the Chiefs feel like that they're listened to, is there a seat at the table, what's the relationship with Director Jackson as far as it relates to ORT; just the, the whole dynamic there from a fire, from the Fire Chiefs perspective.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHIEF LEVY: Okay. So ORT as you know has representatives from law enforcement and fire rescue, and the City Managers Association. The ORT was a recommendation that came out of the Fitch report for fire rescue and law enforcement to facilitate operational requirements of the 911 system, operational between us and the Sheriff's Office, who is the operator.

I can tell you I have been on, a member of the ORT since its inception along with Chief Rosa, and it's, I think the representation from ORCAT is limited. We continually have Mr. Bayag at the table. Questions are asked of Mr. Bayag. He is unable to answer questions at

times, says that he would get back with you, the group with the questions, and that is usually a month or two down the road when we have that next meeting. I can only recall that Mr. Jackson has been at one of those ORT meetings. I do know that Chief Rosa, who is the Chair of the ORT, has made the request that Mr. Jackson be in attendance, since it is a operational review team, and we as the ORT, and I'm sure Chief Rosa might have expressed this earlier, the ORT doesn't feel that the County administration has, takes it serious.

You know, the CCCC committee that was taking place with Mayor Ryan, elected officials were there, County administration was there all the time, things were getting done. At the ORT it seems like we're constantly asking the questions and we're waiting the answers, and answers, until the last, the most recent meeting that we had, there was then representatives from the CAD team, the 911 team, and, and different members of the ORCAT group that were able to answer questions, but — I hope that answer your question.

CHAIR: So, and were you here, or did you

2.2

2.3

2.5

1 hear Chief Rosa earlier?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHIEF LEVY: I did not.

CHAIR: Okay. Do you think the relationship between the ORT and the County ORCAT is effective?

CHIEF LEVY: I do not. I don't -- I don't think there is any -- I know that the -- the ORT consistently says things, makes recommendations, makes requests of the ORCAT group, and it doesn't seem like the, again like I stated before, that the ORT is being taken serious from County administration. The lack of attendance, the lack of communication, the lack of questions that get answered, it doesn't appear to me.

CHAIR: All right, Sheriff Ashley, go ahead.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Help me understand, is the fire districts in Broward County, are they their own taxing authorities, or do they fall under municipalities and the County?

CHIEF LEVY: With the exception of the unincorporated areas of Broward County everybody else falls within a municipality.

There are no fire taxing districts in Broward

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1 County.

2.2

2.5

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Okay, so do any of the municipalities contribute any revenue towards the consolidated emergency communications system?

CHIEF LEVY: It's only -- Chief McNamara advised me it's only being done through residential taxes.

CHAIR: I think the answer to that is no.

They -- everything -- everything -- when -whenever they took over the County funds, it
funds it all.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Okay. And that was -that was my question, is that I see a way past
this through, through the County, and through
having a single person -- you're -- you're
describing the same things the Police Chiefs
are describing, is not having operational
control over where you're people are at, what
gets fixed, what doesn't get fixed, how many
radios you have, where they communicate,
jurisdictions, all those things that some IT
professional is trying to tell you you need to
do this, and you, you understand you need to
something else.

So I think that picture is clear for us, and I think there is a solution, and we'll certainly recommend it, or at least I hope we will, and you all will have to, to actually implement that.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, you had something?

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yeah, I mean from the, the Chief's testimony earlier, ORCAT does not even recognize the ORT, right?

CHIEF LEVY: It appears to us that ORCAT doesn't take ORT as serious as they do with the CCCC committee. Again, in the CCCC committee you have elected officials, city managers, mayors that are there. County administration is present at those CCCC meetings. Within the ORT meeting the, for the vast majority of the -- the only representation we have is from, from ORCAT level is Mr. Bayag.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yeah, I mean based on my interpretation of Chief Rosa's testimony, you know, you formed the ORT without the consent of ORCAT, and that's why they're not on board.

CHIEF LEVY: I do know that as the ORT was formed, in recommendations from the Fitch

report the ORT was formed by both law enforcement, fire rescue, with the recommendations, a flow path of, organizational flow path which created -- I know there are multiple attempt from the ORT to get a consensus with ORCAT to agree upon how the process of information were to flow, and it's yet to be, yet to be agreed upon.

CHAIR: Commissioner Harpring, and then Sheriff Judd.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Thank you, gentlemen. And just collectively not to one person, but whoever is, feels most, most able to answer, the Chiefs of Police Association represented in their letter, which I know you're aware of, that they have experienced affirmative interference in regards to the County and ORCAT relative to operations. Have you experienced that, an affirmative interference?

CHIEF MCNAMARA: Yes, sir. I can't give you specific number of times and dates, but I can assure you we've expressed out concerns regarding the current situation, and although I do understand there are the sides of the technology component in making that work that

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

might be out of our specific controls, but we have experience just as, I would say probably the same number of those operational usage issues, where we've had to get off channels, if you're referring to Chief Rosa's testimony earlier about going on joint channels, et cetera, we've had to share those same experiences.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: And -- and just to clarify, the ORT was a specific recommendation from Fitch, and it seems that, based on the testimony this morning and the testimony this afternoon, that that recommendation had, seems to be largely ignored by the County and the governance of ORCAT, would that be fair to say?

CHIEF MCNAMARA: Yes. I would refer to Chief Levy, even down to the flow chart design to how to vet issues, and who you vet them to, and how you debate when someone disagrees with you, and how far it can go, to my knowledge, and I'm, I've been on the Board just recently, that has not been ever codified.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: And I think the point is that this, you know, ORT didn't just come up as a, you know, something that was decided, you

2.2

know, over a few beers on a Saturday afternoon, hey, this is a good idea, let's do this, this was from a systems evaluation standpoint, from a neutral third part observer, and that recommendation again seems to have been, you know, just largely ignored by one of the, you know, one of the largest players, to apparently the detriment of the people who are actually doing the work in the field.

CHIEF MCNAMARA: Yes, sir.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: And Chief Babinec mentioned earlier, or actually I think it was you, that you believe that there was a quid-pro- quo relative to ratification of the MOUs for closest unit response as it would relate to your securing new MDTs for your units; did I hear that correctly?

CHIEF MCNAMARA: I would say maybe up until most recently, as we were just notified that there is some procurement process in place to facilitate the phase on teams. Up through that point, yes, there was a, certainly the intended insinuation directly, if Deerfield and Miramar, the example I gave earlier, could not check that box, you were not doing closest unit

2.2

2.3

response, and again, trying to operationally explain how that's just not relevant was difficult.

2.2

2.4

2.5

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Right. Right. And sometimes I see the, you know, the sort of inquiry into procurement that's like a definite maybe just to kind of put people off. I'm not putting words in your mouth. And two things finally, on the economic side, is there a role that the municipalities would play economically, in terms of some sort of contribution in light of the information that came up relative to Sheriff Ashley's comments, in terms of, you know, that, that being unified, and is there an additional economic contributory role for the municipalities to play?

CHIEF BABINEC: I -- I can tell you that the municipalities right now, you know, pay for certain things, you know, subscribers, station alerting, and, and stuff like that, but as far a paying into the system itself I can't answer that question.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: And finally, in light of the, what's pretty clear from the testimony

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

so far, that there's been affirmative interference, reticence to follow recommendations based on independent third party evaluations of the system, if you were to push this way, and pull out of the County, we realize the County still has a major arm in funding, and opposed to in system overview, are any of you concerned that there could be economic retaliation through the County Administrator, the County, or any other entities associated with the County, to include the commission, if this gets pushed forward?

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHIEF BABINEC: I -- as I said before I see the County being one of the primary stakeholders here, so I think no matter what happens here the County is going to have to be at the table, and be part of the overall solution, so I would certainly hope that that wouldn't be the case.

CHAIR: Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: I understand that Mr.

Jackson has only been to one ORT meeting in the past year, correct? Has the County

Administrator been to an ORT meeting?

CHIEF LEVY: As far as my attendance at

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

305-376-8800

the meetings I do not recall the County

Administration being at any of the meetings.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHERIFF JUDD: Has the County

Administrator been invited to the meeting, or have you all asked to speak directly to the County Administrator?

CHIEF LEVY: I do know that the County maintains the distribution lists, the County Administration, the County ORCAT maintains the distribution lists, they maintain the minutes. I can't answer that question one hundred percent, but I can only make the assumption that they are aware of the meetings, and I would have to defer to Chief Rosa to see if they were officially invited.

SHERIFF JUDD: Is the conflict, the bigger conflict that's occurring between the Police Chiefs, the Fire Chiefs, and ORCAT/the County, is the, is the conflict over funding issues for equipment, or is it operational neglect?

CHIEF BANINEC: I think it -- I think everything kind of all plays together. And we were in a meeting with, and I forget if it was Tracy or Brett Bayag that said it, and I think it was a great -- actually, Chief McNamara, I

believe you were there, and you might even have said it, but somebody said it's like we all live in the same big house, but we all go in our separate bedrooms and never come out into common areas to get together and have a conversation, and figure out what's best for the household. And -- and that's kind of what it's like, you know, everybody kind of has their piece, but collectively we're not just, we're not working together well.

SHERIFF JUDD: So if we set aside for a moment the funding, okay, and the County Administrator said today the funding is what it is, and we will make sure that the Sheriff gets the designated funding to run the system, and the Sheriff said I'll take over operations, the Fire Chiefs will, and the Police Chiefs, will make up a Board, a voting Board, so that all of the sudden there's not a windfall of money, there's not a retraction of money, but you function with what you have now, and it's like any other process, then the, the team goes to the Sheriff, who goes to the Board and says this is what we need, we need MDTs this year, or we need replacement radios next year, but

2.2

2.3

2.4

aside from a budge battle operationally if the County Administrator would say I'll be glad to give the Sheriff the responsibility and the funding that's allocated for that operation could you all make that work, could you all make a consensus with the Sheriff to run that, and, and to eliminate the operational issues, or is the fight over a money grab, cause, cause if the fight's over a money grab then we're dealing with something else.

Are we dealing with an operational breakdown because people won't talk and get along?

absolutely correct. And I say that although there may be some people that would quietly sit there and have reservations on the aspect of Broward Sheriff's Office running the system.

We'll speak openly about that for a second.

Operationally speaking when you can sit and speak with someone who understands operationally how you actually use the equipment in the field compared to what maybe the book tells you how to use, not saying the book is, information is incorrect, but

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

operationally using it you'd know how that works -- I think the Sheriff alluded to earlier in the day when Chief Rosa was talking about some of the recommendations about mixing channels at certain hours of the evenings, we understand that that's not operationally conducive to what we do. If you're dealing with someone specifically in the public safety realm of that I believe wholeheartedly even if there's still disputes on internally how that happens you're now dealing with someone the same level playing field.

I would also go, not that I'm given the authority to speak on behalf of Sheriff Tony, but I would also say that it would also kind of matriculate back down to fire chiefs, that there would be a, essentially a person that is in charge of the system, that while it would be great to have the voting on it he or she is still representative of in charge of that system, so if they institute some operational thing, or program that specifically representing Sunrise, for example, do not like, well, I have a choice, I can stay or I can go.

Most of these cities, most of these

2.2

2.3

2.5

entities across the country that do these things, I have personally visited Phoenix Fire Rescue's consolidated 911 system, that's how these things operate. So again, you have right now operationally -- it's almost like you're not sure who's in charge, and, and it would certainly behoove us to be able to do that, irrespective of how much they're providing the Sheriff's organization to pay for that. And obviously that would be up for the Sheriff to decide, I certainly couldn't speak on that, but I believe that can be done.

SHERIFF JUDD: Let -- let me -- I'm trying -- I'm trying to focus us in on this, okay?

I'm sitting here, we got the magic wand, okay, and we really don't, but the commission has got a big bullet holder, if we go to the County Administrator, and we say, County

Administrator, we've heard from all the stakeholders, and by the surveys a couple of years ago by Fitch, the resurvey today, the Police Chiefs, the Fire Chiefs, the system has lost trust, and its lost value to the point that people are wanting to bail out, now,

County Administrator, you can switch that line

2.2

2.3

2.4

1

item of funding over to the Sheriff, and get rid of that headache that obviously is not working well for wherever the perception is, you can do that, now I as the County Administrator say, hey, I'd be more than happy to give it to the Sheriff, the question is at that point, the Sheriff said, and like you said when I say it once you can repeat it a thousand times, I will have a voting Board of fire chiefs and police chiefs, the stakeholders and the customers, who will direct the operations of the emergency dispatch center, and that team with his team matriculates to him, and then he's responsible for getting the funding, if I'm, what I'm hearing today then at that point in time it's the duty and responsibility of the fire chiefs collectively, and the police chiefs collectively, to get their teams on board and say, hey, we're not going to get all of what we want here, but we've got to, we've complained, now the County Administrator is giving it to us, and we will absolutely unequivocally fix this with our counterparts who are in the police services, our counterpart who is the Sheriff, and he is the elected official that

2.5

every four years has to get a report card checked, and obviously it's, you know, the County Administrator reports to a Board so nobody gets to vote on the County Administrator if they don't like the way the County Administrator's administrating the 911 service and the other duties and responsibilities the have, not so with the Sheriff, so am I hearing that the fire chiefs, the police chiefs, and I've heard from the Sheriff, if make this recommendation after receiving feedback from the County, irrespective of, you know, we can argue dollars and cents, but that that funding comes to the Sheriff, and then the Sheriff is responsible for it, are you all going to fix it?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Are you going to fix it? Are you going to collaborate and cooperate with public safety emergency services through the Sheriff and fix it?

CHIEF BANINEC: Yes. I've gotten that commitment from all the fire chiefs, that they collectively have a vested interest in making this work. Whatever -- again, whatever the, like you said, whatever that collective

solution is, if that's the solution is the one that's best for this County I've gotten a commitment from all the fire chiefs that they are all in, they want to fix this. Whatever that fix is they are on board.

The other thing I want to make clear too, Sheriff, is when I talked to the fire chiefs it wasn't, you know, yeah, we simply, we support the police chiefs position, it was we support it, however exactly what you just said. We need to have a voice. We need to have an operational voice at the table that we can sit down and articulate what we need to do to effectively serve the community. And that's really the bigger piece of this I think for a lot of the fire chiefs, is having that avenue to be able to operate in that environment.

SHERIFF JUDD: And -- and I'll -- I can speak for what the Sheriff told me, but the Under Sheriff is coming up, so what I'm hearing right now is at the conclusion of this commission hearing, all of this information, if this commission says we've heard from the fire chiefs, they say we'll make it work, we want a voting seat at the table, the police chiefs say

2.2

2.3

2.4

we want it to work, we want a voting seat at the table, the Sheriff says I'll give you a voting seat at the table, you will direct the operations, and the chiefs get together on the fire side, the chiefs get together on the police side, the Sheriff and his staff get together, so you work out the individuals, then you all work together, and you will, you will bring in for a landing this thirty five year problem, and obviously that you'll work through the, the systems and processes, and ultimately be able to present to the Sheriff, and then he'll be able to turn to the Board of County Commissioners who he receives funding from, and also collaborate with the Administrator to say I got this thing, because the chiefs have it on the fire side, the police side, my guys and gals do, and we'll get this, we'll get this horrible position that we've had in the past off of the County's back.

> CHAIR: So -- so --

CHIEF BABINEC: Sheriff, the say you just put it you named every stakeholder, and you gave a streamlined approach to how operations should go, and that I believe is the intent of

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the message that I received from our fire chiefs throughout the county.

CHAIR: So if -- if that doesn't happen is that do you see any viable path to success with the current governance structure, the current people, and the current situation -- is there any -- from your perspective, from the Fire Chiefs perspective, again with the current people, the current governance, the current structure, leaving it in place, without this significant change is there any pathway forward to success in this?

CHIEF BABINEC: Sheriff, as I was talking to the fire chiefs over the weekend, and every one of them, I could sit here and quote twenty different things that were said, but the gist of it was every one of them felt something needs to change in order to move this forward. Some even reiterated that they hope they see the change before the day they walk out in retirement. Some say that they're worn out by this. Some say that they don't know what the fix is but the fix has to happen. So something is going to have to -- I -- we can't -- collectively we all can't move in the direction

2.2

2.3

2.4

we're moving now without doing some kind of reorganization that provides for more effective communications.

CHAIR: And -- and, Chief McNamara, you're shaking your head no. Your answer to my question is no? All right, it's not -- there's no path forward given the, the current structure, something absolutely has to change? Yes. All right. And I hope that everybody is listening to this, and I hope that across the board people are listening to this, and, you know, that's a very important answer, and a very important statement, because what it says is from the people that are boots on the ground, in the trenches involved in this, that this is not going to work, and it can't work.

And so at all levels, from the County commissions to the City commissions, and to everyone, is that they need to hear that, because I can tell you I've been hearing that for the last months, and there isn't one person, not a single person that I've talked to at any level, and you'll hear from her in a few minutes, except for the County Administrator, and she said that she thinks it can still work,

2.2

2.3

2.4

but everybody else, everybody else has said no way, no how, not going to happen, is that the relationships are too fractured, they're too broke, and the trust is too destroyed, that this cannot work under any circumstances.

So that's a very, very important statement, and perspective that needs to be considered as whatever decisions are made are made in this to, to fix it. And it can't stand, and it shouldn't stand the way it is, because I can tell you, and you're going to hear in a minute from Chief Shaw from Margate, is, and I can tell you that from every other fire chief, police chief, people, that I've talked to, the only thing that's keeping others from leaving the system, the only thing that's keeping them from leaving the system is the money, and I have been directly told by numerous police chiefs that they have considered it, and their cities have considered it, but because it would cost, depends on the size of the city, 7, 8, 9, \$10 million to recreate and resurrect their communications centers, their 911 centers, is that they're kind of, they're stuck.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

But some have said the money doesn't matter, they don't care anymore, and that's Margate and Coconut Creek, and there's others that eventually may get to the same place. And that's what -- there's a degradation of the system, and it's a reversion back to a bifurcated system, and it's just all going back to a bad process, so I mean at the end of the day that is the bottom line, is, is that from the stakeholders there is no path to success without structural change. Go ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: That is exactly my conclusion as well, and at, at your direction I would like the Under Sheriff to speak for the Sheriff instead of me. I would like to get him on the record, as well as, as Chief Rosa. And we -- we have Chief Babinoff from the, Babinec from, from the fire side. I'd like to hear from the Under Sheriff, and on behalf of the Sheriff, and then have the three of them stand up at this microphone and, together, and give us that information. And then of course we'll hear from the County side of it.

CHAIR: Yep, and you're going to hear from
- - we're going to -- as soon as soon as we get

done here, we'll wrap this up, and you'll hear from Chief Shaw, and then we're going to hear from the Broward County Sheriff's Office, from Colonel Zukowsky, and from Angie Mize, and then we'll hear from the County Administrator, so. Commissioner Dodd.

COMMISSIONER DODD: Yeah, I just -- I just wanted from the fire services perspective, and dealing with the emergency management side of this, with ORCAT, if that were to fall under the operation of the Sheriff, we have that emergency management component, and yet we see in the, in the, the survey, that it seems like if this change would take place with the regional communications and technology it would even improve the opportunity, or the ability to respond to an emergency management event, a Hurricane, a tropical storm, a mass casualty event, would you agree with that, or are there concerns with the emergency management side?

CHIEF BABINEC: The -- the Fire Chiefs
Association recently developed a group of
municipal emergency managers to go out on
behalf of the Fire Chiefs to start gathering
some information on emergency management so we

2.2

2.4

can kind of see where we are within, within the
County. They're continually working on trying
to assess that state, if you will, for us.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

I don't know -- I can't sit here and tell you it's going to have an affect not going to have, I just don't have enough information on that. I don't know if anybody else can speak to that, but I wouldn't feel comfortable in answering that, only because I don't have the information.

CHAIR: All right. I think the last question is Chief Lystad, and then we'll move on.

CHIEF LYSTAD: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Thankfully some of the other commissioners

asked the questions I wanted to ask. I just

had two questions and then a statement, or just

one question then a statement. As it relates

to the, to the Broward County Administrators

Office has the Broward Fire Chiefs went and met

with them at any particular time, sought an

audience to discuss the issues, or has that all

been through ORCAT?

CHIEF BANINEC: As the President of
Broward Fire Chiefs I'm going on my second

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

year. I have not met with the County

Administrator as the President of the Broward

Fire Chiefs. I have been in meetings with her
in my capacity as the Fire Chief for Coral

Springs/Parkland. All of my meetings on behalf
of the Broward Fire Chiefs have been with Mr.

Jackson, Mr. Bayag, and their staff.

CHIEF LYSTAD: And so nothing's ever been elevated over Mr. Jackson?

CHIEF BABINEC: He -- he conveys to me that he brings the message back to his bosses and then gets back to us with whatever answers, or questions we ask.

CHIEF LYSTAD: Okay. And then my -- my statement is just for the non-law enforcement people, or people in government on the commission. This -- this in my opinion is, one of the biggest crucial problems is trust, and there's no trust between the, the end users, the law enforcement fire personnel, and the County Administration. And I can tell you, speaking from the law enforcement side, once you lose trust you almost never, never get it back, and so this, this system is not going to be fixed without something drastic from this

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

commission. Thank you.

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: All right, Chiefs, thank you very much for being here. We appreciate it. So the next presenter is Chief John Shaw from the Margate Police Department. And again I asked Chief Shaw to present as a representative of one of the two cities that have made the decision that they are leaving regional communications, and to provide the reasons why. Chief Shaw, welcome. We appreciate you being here.

PRESENTATION BROWARD COUNTY REGIONAL COMMUNICATIONS

AND ORCAT ISSUES - MARGATE POLICE DEPARTMENT

CHIEF SHAW: Thank you. Good afternoon, my name is Jonathan Shaw, and I am the Police Chief for the City of Margate. This is my twenty fourth year with Margate. Prior I was employed with the Collier County Sheriff's Office. Some of the commissioners may not be familiar with Margate, or its geographical location in Broward County, so I want to share some information about our city.

Margate has grown to become the fourteenth largest city in Broward County. We have a population in excess of fifty five thousand.

We are 9.4 square miles. We have 135 miles of roadways, and we have 30 miles of waterways.

We border the cities of Coconut Creek, Coral Springs, and North Lauderdale. We have been an accredited law enforcement agency since 1994, first in Broward County to become dually accredited in 1997. Margate had its own communications center, a PSAP, from the early 1980's through 2014 when we merged with the County system.

So now that you have an idea of the City of Margate let me address why I've been asked to be here today. On May 2nd, about one month ago, along with representatives from the Coconut Creek Police Department, Chief Perry from Coral Springs and I, met with Sheriff Gualtieri. This meeting was organized after Sheriff Gualtieri learned that the City of Margate and the City of Coconut Creek were in negotiations with the City of Coral Springs to provide communication services to both cities.

The Sheriff wanted to know why we wanted to merge with the Coral Springs communications system, what were the issues that made our citizens, city administration, our police

2.2

2.3

2.4

officers, fire department, and our commission, support the decision to start facilitating a contract with Coral Springs.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Before I start sharing some of the reasons my city has made this decision I want to explain that in Margate are the end users of the County system. I will be discussing several critical issues with you, but my job as Chief of Police in Margate is not to decide if these problems are an ORCAT issue or a BSO issue. We have reported these concerns and difficulties, and have been disappointed in the results of improving the system thus far. However, it is my job to ensure that I am attempting to get the best possible communications system for the citizens and first responders in our community.

In 2014 after over thirty years of having our own dispatch center the regionalization of the County system occurred. We knew that there would be some growing pains and issues with the new system. After three years of a communications system that was not meeting the expectations we were told we would receive a more comprehensive collection and review of

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

complaints were in initiated. This started in April of 2017. All complaints were reported to the Margate Communications Manager, and they were submitted to 911 Operation Center, or direct contact with communications staff.

Their responses were routinely reviewed by Margate command staff.

These complaints continue without Margate having any ability to correct the issues -- excuse me. These -- these abilities to correct the issues that are brought to my attention.

They are initiated from citizens disappointed with the service they received from contacting the communications center via 911, and the non-emergency lines. They come from our police officers, and our fire department personnel as well.

I want to share information of a complaint that was filed that I also shared with Sheriff Gualtieri during our meeting. This incident is one of the most frustrating complaints we have made. Just prior to meeting with Sheriff Gualtieri in April of 2019 the County had a string of serial robberies that were occurring at Dollar Stores and pharmacies by a small

2.2

2.3

2.4

group of individuals pictured here in this
Margate BOLO. With the robberies occurring in
our general area deployment strategies were
used, and we reassigned our street crimes unit
as a robber prevention measure.

One of our Dollar Stores in Margate was robbed, and as the robbery was occurring an employee at the back of the store called 911.

As she watched the store getting robbed she had two not answered 911 calls, and showed the sergeant upon their arrival her cell phone pictured here. The first call was fifteen seconds of ringing. She hung up and called again. The second phone call was forty seconds of ringing, no answer. The third call was answered in thirteen seconds, and then processed and dispatched out in two minutes and fifty seconds.

Margate's street crimes unit was across the street at another pharmacy location, and arrived on scene to the Dollar Store in less than one minute when being given the call. The subjects were gone in a situation where every second counts. They committed two more robberies, one of those in Margate, before the

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

task force from BSO, Margate, and other jurisdictions, took them into custody days later.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Upon filing this complaint we were notified that based on the implementation of a new IAED call taking answering protocol there was a delay in processing call processing talk times, which is what we were told accounted for this situation. Margate was added additional communication staff -- Margate was informed that additional communication staff was added.

From April of 2017 through July of 2019 we have documented a total of a hundred and sixty eight complaints. Fifty five percent have been radio dispatch related, thirty one percent have been call taker related, fourteen percent, which includes equipment issues or other. In comparison with communications centers not affiliated with the County system Coral Springs has recorded two complaints within that same time frame. The City of Plantation has recorded six.

When discussed at staff meetings and patrol briefings Margate police officers and fire personnel indicated over time they tend to

report issues less because the complaints are frequently repeated types of incidents and they see no improvement occur.

A sample of some of our communication frustrations: The radio stops working. Officers must return to the station, back up plans are implemented, and all proactive policing in Margate stops, non-emergency phones are not answered. We have multiple dates for both those issues. Holding priority calls. Citizens frustrated with the call taking process. Pertinent information not being given to officers, or information not gathered from citizens. Wrong locations of incidents, where they occurred. Officers being given calls for other jurisdictions. Wrong address of the incidents. Officers being sent to the incorrect address, and officers being assigned to the wrong active calls.

In the interest of time this is a reminder that this is a sample of a hundred and sixty eight complaints that as an agency head I have no control or ability in our current system to correct. I do want to add from a previous comment made earlier today about call

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

transfers, the implementation process with Coral Springs is being developed to interface CAD systems through Motorola which will eliminate the concern for call transfers, and allow for fire to utilize the closest unit response.

In conclusion, the best way I can describe my city is that we strive for a hometown feel. Our communications system used to be part of a community, that was a clear benefit for our citizens and first responders. Margate's commission and city officials have decided that we are willing to merge with the Coral Springs system, and create a partnership with them to get back to a level of service we had prior to 2014.

Currently we have input into the customer service that our callers receive. There is no way to have a high level of control, oversight, and any measure of accountability for a system that our residents use. We don't have input into the level of training the staff receive, or their knowledge of my community. Both Coconut Creek Police Chief Butch Arnell and I agree that consolidating in North Broward, and

2.2

2.3

2.4

merging with the Coral Springs system, brings a better service, a better level of service to the residents in both of our communities.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you. I just want you to --CHAIR: just to recap, I want to make sure everybody understands this, is that, that the problem you had with that robbery detail was, is that you had your street crimes unit and detectives that were set up across the street from the Dollar Store, the robbers went in, the clerk called three times, didn't get through to 911 three times, and it was a total of five minutes before the call was actually dispatched, and by the time the officers got across the street the robbers were long gone. And that your detective -- that's a screen shot that's up there from the clerk's phone where the clerk tried to call 911, couldn't get through, and your detective provided you a copy of the clerk's attempted 911 calls from that screenshot; is that correct?

CHIEF SHAW: That's correct. That's -- the sergeant that was on scene the day of the robbery took that photo.

CHAIR: And -- and that's because of the

implementation of EPD, emergency dispatch police protocols, not being staffed correctly, and having delays in the call taking where they just couldn't answer calls.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHIEF SHAW: That's correct. I summarized. I could have Lieutenant Suarez read you the explanation that we received via email if you'd like.

CHAIR: All right, we'll see if any of the commission members want to hear that. other thing I'd like you to address, just, I'm going to open it up to questions, is, is that the issue that you all have, and it's up there in some of your summarized topics, but a far as familiarity, and one of the things, a couple things I want you to address is, is that the problems you have with personnel not being properly trained, and properly familiar, and not knowing the area, and you gave me an example of not knowing the difference between Atlantic Avenue in Delray Beach in Palm County, in Palm Beach County, and Atlantic Boulevard down here, and then the problem with, and you can expand on that.

But also the problem with, you're up here

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

in North Broward, but you have three centers, north, central, and south, and you've got south center dispatchers, or call takers that are taking north calls and, and not being familiar with the area. Would you just kind of expand on all that a little bit?

Sure. The -- the incident CHIEF SHAW: that, that we spoke about for Atlantic Avenue and Atlantic Boulevard was a subject that had been struck by lightening, and the caller was having difficulty with, one, explaining to, the caller saw the subject in the field and didn't know an address to give them, she was calling from her condo, and they struggled or several minutes, I believe it was almost ten, for them to determine a location. And this comes back to the fact that the call takers are not familiar with my city, so they could be accepting this call from one of three dispatch centers, and they may have independent knowledge of Margate.

The system that our city wants to go to, these dispatchers would have training and, and knowledge of the specific city, and that's something that we had prior to 2014.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Sheriff Judd, you have a question?

SHERIFF JUDD: Chief, you've heard the

conversation we had a minute ago with the fire

chiefs.

CHIEF SHAW: Yes, sir.

SHERIFF JUDD: If we wave the magic wand, and the County Administrator said we'll turn this over to the Sheriff, you have police chiefs, the fire chiefs, who are going to be voting members, and between the fire chiefs and the police chiefs and the Sheriff they're going to be in total operational control of this system to improve it, would you still leave ORCAT, or would you stay and be part of the solution to the current system you're involved with?

CHIEF SHAW: Sheriff, I feel like -- we're at step one or two currently. I feel that if we leave and go with Coral Springs, they're already at the finish line, and they're putting forward a phenomenal product, and a customer service level that the residents of my community deserve. And I feel that we can go there now and even with the implementation process that it will take we will still be

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

there much faster than we will be if we stay and hope that this can be resolved.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHERIFF JUDD: So you're leaving regardless of the solution that's reached?

CHIEF SHAW: Correct. Our -- both of our communities want to, want to -- and, you know, I feel like leaving is, is kind of not the most appropriate term. This plan is to create a fourth PSAP that will have the interoperability to communicate with the County's system. And -- and that's why I did make the comment about the CAD systems that are looking to interface together. They will be able to rely on this, on this operations center as a back up.

And I am not the, the most technically advanced to explain this, and there, there are people here that are better able to explain it, but in simplest terms, we use the term leaving but it's not really correct. We are looking for a different level of service in both of our communities, and we feel that Coral Springs offers that level of service that, that both of our communities want, and are willing to pay for.

CHAIR: But, you know, with that -- and I

305-376-8800

understand -- and I don't want to get too far into the weeds with this, but in order for that to take effect the way that you're hoping it will take effect is, I understand that, that the decision still needs to be made by the County, and the County Administrator, about whether to allow that interface between Coral Springs CAD, and Coral Springs is going to have to invest several million dollars early to buy a new CAD system.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Coral Springs right now still has shelf life left on its current CAD system. In order to make this work they're going to have to put it off the shelf and by the new P1 CAD that is compatible with the County's new CAD system, and then the County would have to approve the interface between the Coral Springs, which would then be yours, new P1 CAD and the County's CAD, in order to in essence create this fourth regional center that would function with a degree of similarity to the other ones.

But there's a lot of contingencies on that, and if the County wouldn't approve that then it would be back to an island of the way it is now, with Coral Springs, Margate, and

800-726-7007

Coconut Creek, and you wouldn't have that CAD interface. So in order for it to work the way you're hoping it would work with this, whatever you want to call it, leaving, migration, whatever, is that there are a lot of yet to be determined steps that there haven't been commitments on.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

CHIEF SHAW: I don't know what stage the County and Coral Springs are at. I'm not the right person to answer that question.

CHAIR: Well, that's the way I understand it from Chief Perry, so -- Sheriff Ashley first, and then - go ahead.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Thank you, Chief, for your presentation. Is your municipality a user city in, do they have a contract already with Coral Springs?

CHIEF SHAW: Both cities are, the contracts are in the final stages of review with the attorneys, and they're set to be voted on in the near future.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Has there been an amount settled on, the cost for your city?

CHIEF SHAW: The first -- the first year, and this is only based off of --

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1 SHERIFF ASHLEY: I'm just looking for a round - -3 CHIEF SHAW: A ballpark is about, approximately \$2 million. 4 5 SHERIFF ASHLEY: And how much does your city currently contribute to the countywide 6 emergency communications system? CHIEF SHAW: Well, I know, you know, part 8 9 of every residents' taxes go in. I know \$12.50 10 of every municipal ticket goes towards the 11 County's system. And that --12 CHAIR: But you don't pay anything in your 13 budget, so there's not a line item you can see 14 in the budget --15 CHIEF SHAW: There's not a line item. 16 CHAIR: They don't pay anything out of the 17 budget. 18 CHIEF SHAW: Correct. 19 SHERIFF ASHLEY: I keep asking that 20 question because I'm concerned about the skin 21 in the game. So we want a better system, and 2.2 we want control over our system, but we really 2.3 don't want to contribute towards that system in 24 regards to funding, and so that's why I'm

25

asking that question repeatedly.

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

municipalities want the best system, and whether that's via County or their own cities, then certainly the expectation by the County I'm assuming would be, all right, if we're paying all the, if we're paying all the bills then we should have the majority of the say on how this thing operates. Now, that doesn't help you operationally; I understand that. But cities need to contribute as well to the fix, instead of, you know, the County is going to handle all of that, we're going to pay for it.

So I understand the dilemma here, and I'm not sure that I'm not in the same boat that the, our Chair is, that it may not, it may not fixable.

CHAIR: Yeah. Well, I can -- and you get

-- and -- and I know you probably have, but

read Mayor Ryan's written testimony. And with

the history of this is, is it was a decision

made the County, and by the County

Commissioner, to pick up all the funding on

this, so the, you know, it was, that's what the

offer was, and that's how it came about. And

so the County offered to pick up the tab fully,

2.2

2.5

so that was something that -- and that's one of the reasons why it happened. So that was a County offer, and a County decision, and they committed to funding it, so. Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you, Chief, for, you know, this testimony was really great to help me understand the issues, and if I was in your shoes I would want out as well, you know, after, after seeing all these complaints, and, you know, just listing all these frustrations. Do you attribute these failures to the County and ORCAT, or do you attribute the failures to the training, and at the Sheriff's Office?

CHIEF SHAW: You know, I'm not there. I'm not one of the policy makers making these decision. To be honest I'm assuming that there's a little bit in both, or, you know, I don't know. I guess the best way for me to answer is there are problems, and I know that I have a means of trying to correct it, and it's by not staying.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Yeah, I understand. I understand. You know, the

reason I ask is because you obviously, you know, you're in law enforcement, you understand, you know, what's going in to makes these problems the way they are, but, you know, just from sitting here as I look at your sample of communication failures, you know, tell me if I'm wrong, but the only, I se the radio channels stop working, that would be a County issue, but all these other issues seems like training issues, you know, correct me if I'm wrong, Chair? So --

2.

2.2

CHAIR: It seems to be, I mean a lot.

Some of them are, you know, and they, you know
--

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Right, it's problems with both.

CHAIR: All right, I think -- I think we had one -- Mr. Petty, did you have something?

COMMISSIONER PETTY: I think Mr. Schachter asked part of my question. I just wanted to clarify the issues that I'm seeing here seem to be with BSO, who I understand is running this. I mean a lot of these -- the radios not working aside, it seems to be the other issue, but these are, these are train, these are

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

operational issues.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHIEF SHAW: Correct, they are operational issues, and I don't have the independent knowledge to say what part of these operation decisions are from ORCAT or from BSO. - - there is a difference in the, in the appearance of training that we were used to in Margate prior to 2014 and the service that we get now. You know a term that, that Chief Arnell and I used, is a concierge service is, is what our residents expect when they call dispatch. They want to know that they can call at 2:00 in the morning and the dispatcher understands that we have animal control twenty four hours a day. That doesn't always happen. They don't always know what our city provides because they can be calling one of three call centers that may not know everything about Margate, and so we want to get back to a system where we have that ability.

CHAIR: So -- so in the last year, and you've experienced a lot of problems, and at our meeting you brought a huge three ring binder that had two hundred complaints in it, and, and all, but in the last year how many

times have you sat down with the County Administrator as the owner of the system, and you and the County Administrator had a discussion about this?

> CHIEF SHAW: Zero.

CHAIR: How many times have you in the last year with the operator, because your issues, and this is why, you know, I structure of these presentations this way, you heard from the fire chiefs and the police chiefs, and now you hear from one that's leaving who does seem to have issues on, not just on the owner side but on the operator side, how many times did you sit down with Sheriff Israel and have a one on one with him about this?

> CHIEF SHAW: Zero.

CHAIR: So Angie Mize, who's now the acting director for BSO as it relates to the operations, have you ever sat down with her and had a one on one with her?

CHIEF SHAW: Several years ago there was a meeting, I believe maybe in early 2017 before we started this formalized process of filing the complaints, when we went over some concerns, but that was a one time meeting and,

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

and nothing came of that. All of these complaints get forwarded, you know, through the chain, through whatever channels that their 911 center wants, you know, complaints filed through, so I'm assuming that she sees some of these, or all of them.

CHAIR: Well, one of the things we see as a theme here, I'll say it we see it as a theme, and I observe as a theme is, is a lack of effective communication at the top levels. And this is something we saw yesterday, and I asked some of these questions about discussions between agency heads, and that seems to be a void here across the board, and there has not been at the top levels effective communication from my observation. Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Can you explain to me why you've got massive failures, a hundred and sixty eight complaints, and someone in a leadership position in your city doesn't pick up the phone and call someone at ORCAT or the County and say, listen, we forwarded the last ten, it's still happening, what are we doing to fix it?

CHIEF SHAW: I don't know who I could get

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

to call, or who I should call. I had give complaints filed last week. I had an issue this morning that I was told about. I don't know what the answers are.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Why -- why aren't you calling the County Administrator?

CHIEF SHAW: Well, because as a Chief we're going through the Chiefs Association to try to make changes. But they're aware of all of these problems.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: But the Chiefs
Association -- and did you -- I'm sure you've
addressed these complaints to them. They're
not reaching out to the County Administrator
either. I just don't understand it, why no one
is going and meeting with her, and talking
about these, and getting a stakeholder meeting
of everybody together and say, listen -- you
got a hundred and sixty eight, I'm sure every
other city has these similar results.

CHAIR: Well, she'll be here in a minute, and she can answer, but it does seem that there's a delegation down, and the communication is probably at the, mostly it does seem, and Angie Mize will be here in a

Veritext Legal Solutions

minute, and she's the Director, and what she'll tell you is, is what she told me is, is that she has very little interaction with what would be her counterpart, who is Tracy Jackson. Most of her interaction is with Brett Bayag, who is the Assistant Director, and so they're -- and Alfonso Jefferson, who is above Tracy Jackson, or with the County -- there's very little dialogue there, if any.

So that's just the way -- and that's -- and I -- and I believe that that's, that contributes to these problems. So let's hear from them, and let them tell you for the, by themselves.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Chair, just one comment. It has to be pretty bad, though, when you're, you're willing to make your citizens pay \$2 million for a service that they currently get for free.

CHAIR: Right.

2.

2.2

SHERIFF ASHLEY: So it has to be pretty bad.

CHAIR: That's the point. I wholeheartedly agree with you, and that's what I said before, and other cities, and I am

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

concerned that other cities are, are on the cusp of saying we don't care, and if it costs us \$2 million, \$4 million, \$6 million, we're done with this, and they're going to bail.

And that's what -- one of the whole purposes of doing this is to try and prevent that from happening, because you get back to the whole reason why we're here and having this discussion, is the, the nonsense, and what happened when that girl was on that phone in Building 12 saying I need help, and she's crying, and you hear the shots firing in the background, and the first voice doesn't go on the radio to dispatch the first law enforcement unit for a minute and twenty eight seconds.

That's -- let's get back to that. That's what this is all about. And then you've got situations that the Chief is, is giving examples of, about a robbery team sitting across from a store, and you've got a five minute delay, and you go into all these other things -- and there's two sides to every coin, but the bottom line is, is that it is bad enough where -- and Coconut Creek, probably spending as much as you are, if not more --

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

CHIEF SHAW: Yeah, they're spending the same amount.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The same amount. So you've got --CHAIR: between two cities they're spending \$4 million and saying see ya, so.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: I would -- I would as well, you know, if it was this bad I would want out too. Just a quick question. You said that -- you mentioned two minutes and fifty seconds before a call was dispatched. that -- can you tell us why, is that because of the call transfer process, or is that just because --

CHIEF SHAW: Right, that's probably a better question for the Sheriff's Office to handle, but, right, so we get -- we get data, and it said, you know, from call answer to dispatch was two minutes and fifty seconds.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: That's horrible. Thank you very much for your testimony.

CHAIR: All right, Chief, thanks for being here. Wait, one second. Mr. Petty, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Just to comment first I quess, you know, the irony of the fact that we're here trying to solve communications

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007

problems, and what we're seeing is the stakeholders unwilling to communicate with each other to solve problems is not, hope, I hope not lost on the, my fellow commissioners. A lot of these problems could be solved by picking up the phone, or going to lunch together, or something. I just don't -- I don't get it.

2.2

And I think your point about \$2 million, you know, now the, now the residents of Margate are going to pay \$2 million because we're not picking up the phone and talking to each other; I don't get that. Chief, can you help me understand -- I've -- I've heard a lot about that community feel and local policing. I get -- I get it -- I think I understand it. But there are trade offs I think with being integrated with a countywide, more, more integrated with a countywide system. Are there trade offs, or am I missing, am I missing something there?

Are you going to -- are the residents of Margate going to lose something because you're not more tightly integrated with the rest of the County?

CHIEF SHAW: No, I don't believe we're going to lose anything. I think -- I think we, we'll get back the level of service that we were expecting, and still have all the same capabilities that Coral Springs currently has. And they have a -- they have a phenomenal system.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: I guess for my fellow commissioners in law enforcement is that, can you still have that community feel in those different dispatch centers, as I understand it, and still deliver the same public safety capability?

SHERIFF JUDD: One of the big advantages to a combined 911 dispatch center is that you can hear each other across the city/county lines, so that you don't have one car on one side of a divided highway on one dispatch channel, and one on the other side on another dispatch channel. So some of the advantage — there's economies of scale, so you should be able to save huge tax dollars. If it's appropriately stacked you should be able to turn that call around as quickly as a city can. And then on the radio traffic the law

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

1

3

enforcement officers who work next to each other, which otherwise would be on a different frequency, can hear each other.

2.4

25

Now, of course when you have a big metropolitan area everybody can't be on the same frequency, so there's got to be a line some place, but you reduce those lines. it's -- if you're running a robust center day in and day out, you have the economies of scale, you should be able to save the tax payers money and improve the services. And if both of those aren't happening then you end up with the result where they're saying public safety is worth us going back to our own system. And quite frankly in today's environment, which has been exacerbated by state law a few years ago, where you could hire a dispatcher and communications operator, give them some hands on training, or some standard protocol training, and put them to work, you have to give a dispatcher over three hundred hours of training now before they can answer a call by themselves, so you'll struggle to staff those additional centers to a greater extent than, than if you have the whole, and if it's

1 being done right.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

CHAIR: And that goes to -- and the key to that, Sheriff, is what you just said about adequate staffing, because here, here's one of the problems we're facing down here, is that they are, do have staffing challenges, and they can talk to that, but and this is part of the problem with that whole, if you will, maintaining that level of service, and that small town, and, and just, it can happen, but you have to be properly staffed, and you have to have the right people, and consistent people, and when you're constantly switching dispatchers -- so I've heard, as an example, from, you know, Lauderhill, they say, well, they're fortunate enough a lot of times they get the same dispatcher.

Others say, no, we don't even get the same dispatcher every day, and they're clueless as to our people, and they're clueless as to the city, and then you get a situation because they're not staffed right, and some of that may go to funding, which may go to the County, is, is that you get a call, and it go, it's going to be a call that comes in, a 911 call let's

say that comes in, and it's from a resident in Margate, but because they're backlogged, backed up, and they don't have the staffing at the north center, it's answered by a call taker in the south center who is familiar with Cooper City, is familiar with all those south county cities, so when that person says, you know, I'm here at, you know, Joe's store here on this street, and they're like -- or -- or you get people that call up that, about city business, or other things, and it's being answered at the south center, that person who's familiar with Cooper City that's clueless about Margate, is you end up with all this disjointed misinformation, and that's where you end up with all the problems.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

So if every call that was in Margate was being answered in the north center, and they had consistency in dispatchers, consistency in personnel, it'd probably work a lot better, but, and you tell me if I'm wrong, but that's part of the problem.

CHIEF SHAW: Nope, you're a hundred percent accurate.

CHAIR: So that -- you know, so there's a

lot of ifs in that, and the ifs are properly staffed, consistent people, all, all those other things, and that's part of what they're saying is the frustration, so.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Thank you, Chief.

CHIEF SHAW: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIR: All right, thanks for being here.

We appreciate it. Next up is the Broward

County Sheriff's Office, Colonel Zukowsky and

Angie Mize, we'll hear from them and then we'll

take a break. And then we're going to come

back and hear from the County Administrator.

But we'll hear from BSO first. Colonel, thank

you for being here. Appreciate it.

PRESENTATION BROWARD COUNTY REGIONAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ORCAT ISSUES - BSO

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: Good afternoon to the members and the crowd, the families, the viewers at large. Mr. Chairman, commission members, I'm humbled to be before you today, and I would be remiss to not echo the sentiment that I've heard several times during these proceedings of appreciation for the commitment each of you have made to this commission, and to the Broward County, and that is appreciated

on a personal and professional level.

2.2

2.3

2.4

My name is Sean Zukowsky, and since

January of this year I have served in the
capacity as Under Sheriff of Broward County.

The position is a second in command, and
encompasses primary responsibility for
maintaining the day to day operational capacity
of the Sheriff's Office. I'm here to provide a
brief synopsis of Sheriff Tony's intended
course of action with regards to the future of
regional communications. He does regret not
being here in person.

His intent is to continue to foster positive working relationships and conditions conducive to a more collaborative and productive approach right now, in the short term. To be direct and candid, as we've already alluded to, thank you Sheriff, to be very direct and candid, it's our belief that a return of all aspects of regional communications to the Broward Sheriff's Office is in the best interests of the public safety providers and the citizens of Broward County.

It is BSO's intent and commitment in the mid to long term to achieve the conditions

favorable for the stakeholders in the County to agree to this and implement it, because the short version is that would take time. That is not a flip a switch today and can happen tomorrow. So we recognize that it starts with the short term efforts to improve what exists currently, and work towards setting those conditions that will make for a successful transition.

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Sheriff Tony seeks to return that full operational control of communications to the Broward Sheriff's Office, but only, and to reinforce what was previously said, only with a permanent communications advisory board, for lack of a more defined term, comprised of ranking members of the Broward Chiefs of Police and Fire Chiefs Associations. This advisory board will include voting powers related to the operational decisions and enhancements of communications services provided to the participating entities. So one last time, the Sheriff made sure that I knew to convey unequivocally voting members to an advisory, a membership board that would be part and parcel to this, this vision, and this entity, if it's

Veritext Legal Solutions

afforded the opportunity to move forward.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So Sheriff Tony finds that extremely imperative to reduce any negative impact that could arrive from a new administration coming into power as the newly elected Sheriff, so unless we establish from the onset the next Sheriff, as we've articulated, if it's not, once you make an administration change they can change a lot of things, and it would be detrimental I think to the benefit of the entire populace of those public service providers if we didn't install that, that board. It's paramount. It's critical.

So for some other context, Sheriff Tony's immediate predecessor in interest divested the Broward Sheriff's Office of the responsibility over the administrative and technical aspects of regional communications, ceding its control over to Broward County. This command decision at the time is believed to have been an effort to amicably resolved the contentious litigation over the subject of control of technology and communities started by then Sheriff Lamberti.

Sheriff Lamberti's administration, I am told, strongly opposed the County taking over

any aspect of communications, and was rightfully I think concerned about vesting a non-sworn law enforcement agency, the Broward County ORCAT division, with responsibilities to adhere to complicated CJIS compliance regulations while having ongoing access and complete technical control over a system that transfers sensitive CJIS data. That was just one of the primary concerns that was relayed.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Such concerns were put aside by the former Broward Sheriff's Administrator in 2013, and control over those regional communications were transferred to Broward County, with Broward Sheriff's Office becoming a vendor of sorts to the County offering only personnel tasked with day to day dispatch and PSAP call answering services. This was a substantial change in circumstances in the County, as prior to 2013 the Sheriff's Office was the leading public safety agency operating and completing controlling many PSAPs, as we've heard described in the summary, for large portions of the County and contract cities.

So some would characterize that eventual transfer of the responsibility to the County as

one agreed to only after the request, or concession of that BSO administration in 2013. I am not intimately familiar with all of the contributing factors driving the decision at that time, but I am in the position now, at least, to at least reasonably conclude and offer to you that one factor had to have been the sheer complexities and expenses involved with that business side of ORCAT. And I'd be remiss if we didn't at least put that out there, that that is a tremendously sizable endeavor.

So the desire to exit the litigation of the matter as well in an amicable way I have no doubt was part and parcel to that decision. So the challenges presented by the notion of providing communication service to a myriad of end users with particular nuances and expectations, much like the small town feel that several of the chiefs have articulated, could be intimidating, or overwhelming to some, but keep in mind this includes vendor contracts, technology software, development, equipment procurement, programming, deployment of equipment, MOUs, MOAs, fleet mapping,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

leasing structurally sound and secure operation sites, complicated CJIS compliance, creating and implementing contingency plans for emergency operations, and the hot topic of late, radio tower placement and construction.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So even with all those challenges though my position to you today, the Broward Sheriff's Office's position is that a capable and determined entity with similar operational responsibilities and expectations is in the best position to advance the communications needs of that public safety provider community, and the community of the County. The absolute bottom line is that with, I'm sorry, that entities without actual operational responsibility cannot be reasonably expected to provide optimal level of advocacy and support for entities with operational responsibility. Those two things just I don't think are congruous.

To be fair -- and it is generally accepted that up until January 25, 2019 the Broward Sheriff's Office did not want the responsibility of the full spectrum of ORCAT.

It should not be a surprise then that the

County would be strenuously reluctant after only four months of this significant change in the Sheriff's Office position. The County, and namely the County Administrator, has an absolute obligation to ensure any such transfer or responsibility, of responsibility is in the best interest of the community, that it can be conducted with out interruption in service, and that regional communications will maintain its operational integrity, and that it can be sustained financially for the longest term.

2.2

2.3

So things we've talked about, that it needs to be funded appropriately, to the appropriate levels, and needs to be transitioned without interruption of service, critical items. So if a public service provider has made a decision to depart from regional communications BSO is not the big bad villain with any evil plans to obstruct, or even take it personally if they want to do so. We do understand some of the decisions, and rationale behind it, but BSO today does not want responsibility of ORCAT for the sake of power and authority.

For what it's worth -- I'm sorry. We are

committed to providing that quality public safety services to the County across the fire rescue, detention, and law enforcement spectrum, and are the single largest user of the operational side of regional communications. For BSO to be successful in providing our public safety services to our jurisdictional constituents BSO needs effective regional communications, and thereby is resolutely committed to be a staunch and supportive advocate for all of the participating members. That's the only way this works successfully, moving forward for all parties.

We are steadfast in our obligation to provide that system, and to resolve any issues that reside, arise. So our processes are getting better, with commitment from this BSO administration to work through and resolve any challenges or problems. For what it's worth neither Sheriff Tony, Angie, or I, feel that all referenced some of the issues that have been raised, as you said, and we've talked about in smaller groups. I always default to if the folks in the subordinate chain of

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

command cannot resolve issues then at some point it needs to get to that cross talk at the executive level, and that is what I think has been clearly identified as sorely deficient in our environment right now.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So, I'll try to land the plane here as quickly as I can. For BSO to be successful in providing public safety services BSO needs effective regional communications, as we said. We will continue to improve. BSO has demonstrated its commitment to the partners within the regional communications with our consistent attendance and senior level involvement in the ORT. Rather than just go to ORCAT with another problem Sheriff Tony directed we collaborate to develop and test solutions to an existing communications problem in Parkland, and then present our specific request to ORCAT for review and discussion in the absence of an alternative implementation. That seems to have worked most recently, as recently as last week, so we have seen some movement in that regard. So we will continue to endeavor in that effort in the short term, to work within the construct as it stands right

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 now.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So what will follow from our acting
Director Ms. Angie Mize are here observations
of other historical obstacles we interpret as
being counter-effective to communications
operational performance. We have often found
ourselves at odds with ORCAT over the
interpretation and application of two
particular terms, operational versus
administrative, and that continues to be a
pretty sizable stumbling block for us. So
please keep in mind that we as committed
professionals to public safety are passionate
about the advocacy of public safety, and
primarily the advocacy for providing the best
service possible.

I think, or at least hope that the true contention or conflict on a lot of these issues is that we collectively are passionate, so passionate about what we think is the best course of action to resolve these problems. So I'll turn it over to Angie, and remain prepared to answer any questions.

CHAIR: All right. Thank you, Colonel, appreciate it. Angie, welcome back.

Thank you, Sheriff, I DIRECTER MIZE: appreciate it. Good afternoon, and thank you for having me again. My name is Angela Mize. I am the Assistant Director, actually acting Director of the Broward County Sheriff's Office of Regional Communications. So Sheriff Gualtieri had asked me, and challenged me with regards to what are the obstacles, what are the concerns, what are the barriers to success of the regional system from the perspective of the Sheriff's Office regional communications staff and operational team, and in doing so I've provided, I have a list of concerns that have been outlined, and have been some impediments to our success.

So a little bit of historic information; the Sheriff's Office communications division was always a regional site. Before regional communications became the Sheriff's Office was a regional site. We not only dispatched four BSO districts for a number of independent municipalities that chose to have 911 and dispatch services through the Sheriff's Office, so the framework was always there, and had been for decades prior to regionalization coming to

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

be.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

When the regionalization plan began it began with the County Administration and their team to begin to conceptualize feasibility to what the regional system would like, and in doing so they came up with a lot of concepts, and a lot of planning, specific to budgeting, to staffing, to what the city, participating cities would be dispatched out of, to even the site locations and what those floor plans would entail. All this occurred prior to an operator being selected. It occurred prior to BSO assuming operational ownership of the system.

So when BSO signed on to become the operator of the system the wheels were already in motion, very much so, to where we were brought into a system that was already established with essential rules and regulations, site locations and floor plans, municipal participation and partnerships, and where those participating cities were going to be assigned and dispatched from. That began the system.

The system also started with a lack of some of the support functions that are needed,

things like the training unit, audio evidence unit, and quality assurance, were never considered prior to the advent of regionalization, and these were things that the Sheriff's Office had to come forward and say you need this for a large consolidated system to operate, you need these support functions.

The County has ownership, management, and governance of the system. That has been the mantra from the day the system was incepted. That has been what has been sold from the day BSO signed on to become the operator. And in doing so, again, the wheels were already in motion prior to BSO coming on board, so when BSO signed on to become the operator of this system, and for the past now six years, there's been a lot of things that have occurred that does prevent us from reaching our optimal objectives, from our achievements.

BSO has no control, ability to control operate, or the operational technology side of the house. We have said that before, the personnel and the operations of the personnel falls to the Sheriff's Office, however anything technology goes to the ORCAT side. Why this is

2.2

2.3

2.4

so important is that there are issues with equipment that we do not have the ability to migrate, to stand up, to reposition, to acquire. These our outside of our control.

And when we do find opportunities where our processes and operations could be benefited from some of these adjustments we are essentially at the mercy of ORCAT to actually execute, first of all confirm, vet it, and then ultimately decide to execute it if that is done, to achieve these objectives.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Not only is it with hard equipment, the equipment itself, it also goes to the programming of that equipment. So some of our current technologies, like our CAD system and our telephone system, and our radio system, there's been recommendations that we have made with regard to how to enhance the utilization of those equipments, and things that we would like to see done for reprogramming, and again, those recommendations must be submitted to County, who must first consider, vet, move forward, or decline to move forward with those requests.

Much like the municipalities if we do have

any issues pertaining to equipment issues we do open up and submit what they essentially call tickets to the County system. It's essentially opening up a complaint, or a concern, to say we need you to take a look at this. Some of the results of the surveys that you have just gone over a few hours ago hinted on some of these issues, with regard to functionality of the equipment, and the assurances that the equipment is functioning as proper.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So, we will open up complaint tickets, we'll open up concern tickets with regard to technology. The response to those tickets can be in some cases delayed, or not response, with at times very limited follow up to what those concerns were. So operationally we address our employees needs, we open up concerns with regards to whatever the equipment issue is that they may have, and we continue with operations. And with regard to what happened to that ticket, what happened with that concern, was it corrected, was it adjusted, was it fixed, oftentimes the feedback to us is very limited, if at all, and leads to the frustration of the staff, to say why do I even bother bringing

Veritext Legal Solutions

this up when I have told you multiple times and this equipment is still not functioning as proper.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

We cannot control environmental assets, headsets and chairs, and things that make the environment more comfortable for the staff. That purchasing and procurement is outside of our control, so we can engage the County with regard to what our preferences are, but ultimately it's going to be done through the process that they have set forth. The staff is frustrated. They have a lack of identity. They have a lack of inclusion. These three sites are not BSO sites although we are BSO employees, they operate out of municipal locations, and the feeling of the staff from day one has been a lack of inclusion, a lack of identity. They don't feel like they belong. The staff is frustrated with that feeling, clearly, and that's been a pervasive opinion that we've seen through a number of years.

There's operational interference, for lack of a better term, with regard to -- the County does have oversight and management, they have governance, so as such with regard to things

pertaining to our staffing, and to our budget, the County is going to have a very sound voice with regard to what they feel we should be doing with that. We have had multiple years of debate and argument on what our staffing should be, our staffing counts should be, or the staffing allocation should be, what the staffing should be doing, and obviously when you start debating about staffing and numbers behind that comes funding.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So these debates have been going on for quite some time, and in fact it was the debates over these particular items which essentially launched the Fitch, or the Fitch report, which brought Fitch in as an independent consultant to take a look at what was going on with those topics, in addition to the overall operation of the system. But Fitch was launched essentially based upon a discuss with regard to the staffing, personnel counts, and funding that BSO as the operator stated that we needed to run the system, and the disconnect to what County administration expected those numbers and those costs to actually be.

Our contract has got a number of

performance benchmarks that the implementation board at the time had recommended as objectives that we should be achieving. Just like everything else we go through those benchmarks, we take a look at our performance, we go against what the benchmark objectives are, and we have discussions with regard to what we met and what we did not. There's a considerable argument between operations, and essentially the ORCAT team by following their contract that talks about operational objectives that are difficult, if not impossible to achieve. provide a lot of support, a lot of discussion, a lot of mitigation, a lot of reasoning why that operational processes in a complex consolidated system can have difficulty meeting those objectives.

And the problem that we have seen, and you've heard this theme repeated by the other speakers that have come up, is that despite a lot of discussion, there is a lot of discussion, there is a lot of discussion, there's just no action taken on that discussion. So what we have always been lacking is somebody who is going to champion the cause, and basically come forward and say,

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

okay, I hear what you're saying, I see what objectives need to be, and we need to develop a better process, we need to develop a better benchmark series. That is something that has been missed, and that has led to a lot of debate, argument, angst between BSO and the ORCAT team.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

The Fitch report has a lot of recommendations in it. We heard a lot of speakers comment with regard to a lot of the recommendations in there, and BSO operations echoes some of the opinions that were stated by the various chiefs, that some of the Fitch report should be seen as recommendations, not mandates. Some of these recommendations are difficult, if not ill-advised, to achieve, but yet again there has been this, this expectation to proceed forward with these recommendations despite, and that has been again another source of issue.

BSO does not have vendor contract or control, so going back to the original concern with regard to equipment not working, working, needing to be adjusted, reprogrammed, acquired, repositioned, BSO does not have the ability to

800-726-7007

engage any of these vendors to do anything that we need. The vendor contracts are with the County, and the vendors have specific instruction because we do not hold the contracts through the Sheriff's Office that we cannot direct or authorize any adjustments to any equipment whatsoever. So again, when we do identify we don't even have the avenue to go to the vendor and have the vendor on our behalf execute things that we need; it cannot go through that way.

The PSAP locations themselves we do not hold the lease agreements. The Sheriff's Office has no control over the three PSAPs, the site locations, the environment of those locations, what our staff can access, what our staff cannot access, and any kind of specialized conditions specific to those site locations. The lease is held by the County, we merely occupy the space. But if there's a need for us to have more availability, more accessibility, it is not, and if it is not within the lease terms, we do hit a roadblock because we do not have that lease, we cannot renegotiate that lease. And again, this ties

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

into the operators' feeling of lack of identity, lack of inclusion. There's very few things that we can adjust around when it comes to the site location.

2.2

2.3

2.4

To mirror some of the comments that were made earlier, my experience has been there is a lot of the membership of ORCAT that does operate in silos. There does not seem to be a lot of communication amongst the many main entities of the organization, so in turn what you'll have is one entity that will begin a project, going down one course of action, only to have the other entity essentially almost duplicate that effort. And when you say, okay, well, that person is trying to work on something that you are doing right now, there doesn't seem to be a lack of communication amongst them on a lot of projects that have gone forward in the past.

The current operators contract is unsigned. It expired in September of 2018, and we are currently under multiple extensions of the original language. There's major sticking points with regard to that contract, which is predominantly the reason why it is remained

pending at this point. Some of the issues that have come up on the operational standpoint, and an issue that I know that this committee has had concern with before, with regards to the Sheriff allowing management to select police chiefs, the same language exists in the operator contract, to where the County wants the right to select the managers of our three PSAP bldgs, so that is a contention point.

There is an issue with quality assurance, and quality improvement stemming from the Fitch report. One of the recommendations out of the Fitch report was to stand up an independent quality assurance division. This harks back to my original comment about despite operational concern, evidence, mitigation, argument, justification, there doesn't seem to be anybody from the ORCAT side that is pushing forward these concerns to the higher powers that could make the decision to overturn, or to alter course. So often what we find is, well, this is what's in the contract, this is what the Fitch recommendation says.

The quality assurance/quality improvement unit is a prime example of that. The Fitch

2.2

2.3

2.4

recommendation called for an independent third party source. There's a lot of concern with the utilization of an independent third party source. I have expressed many times in writing, and verbally, to the team what those concerns are, but despite that there is a still an independent third party source, and as predicted there are operational concerns as a result of that. This ties back into our contract, and ties back into the County's, their oversight, and their management, wanting to stand down our quality assurance/quality improvement group.

And again this comes back to BSO as an operator, and our ability to say I need personnel doing this function for this purpose at this job, and having County come in and say, well, we don't agree with that, we think you should repurpose them here, you don't need them anymore because we gave you this, and this will replace that. And unfortunately that is not how it works, particularly when there's concerns with regard to what that third party entity can do, and we are seeing it come to realization now. That independent team is up,

2.2

2.3

2.4

and the predictions that we've had are coming true.

The end users, there's a lack of end user participation, and I think that this is due to a number of factors. Going back to Chief Shaw's comments before, I completely respect everything he says. I appreciate everything he has to say. I have never engaged Chief Shaw as a Chief. The meeting he is referring to, it was his predecessor that we engaged, and his predecessor told us there was no issues with BSO as operating the system. So my concern with this is that, and we see this a number of times through the municipalities that we do provide service, some of them will engage BSO directly, and we have exceptional relationships with them, Sunrise being one. Exceptional relationships, if there's issues they come to us, we deal with what we can deal with, we fix what we can fix, and anything that is outside of our control because it does deal with the technology aspect, or something that we cannot manage ourselves, we advise, and then we facilitate to get that done.

But there's some municipalities that just

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

do not participate, there are others that are not sure where to go for their concerns, so I can tell you that there are definite entities out there that will go to the County directly, which if that's what they want to do that is fine to do that, but the problem is how much of that information gets back to you, in what context, what agreements, or promises, or admissions were made during that discussion.

We do not have that information, so oftentimes we find ourselves saying we didn't speak to you about that, you're telling us that this is what was said to you but that may not be what we can do, and here's the reason why we cannot do that.

I was told yesterday that they, one team of ORCAT set up a meeting with a city that involves us. We don't know anything about the meeting, but the meeting was established. So these are some of the concerns that we have. And please understand, I mirror Chief Rosa's comments, there are people in ORCAT who are amazing, they are passionate, they are well informed, they do a good job, they're very dedicated to what they do, so there are very

2.2

good people out there.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

I think that the problem is the way the system was set up, from the onset of the County has management oversight and control, and some municipalities taking that and saying them my contact is through County, others saying I'm going to go BSO as the operator to get some stuff taken care of for us, and you have a clear diversion right from there.

BSO does participate in the ORT. there every month. We provide report outs, we tell them where we are with our hiring, with our staffing, with our training, where we are with obvious and concerns that we have. We are there every month with them. We participate in one of the governance boards. We are there at every meeting, where we talk about things that we would like to have seen done, so BSO participates. BSO is a support entity. here in support of what the fire rescue and the law enforcement communities need. communications is not here to dictate what they get, we are here to support what they want, which in turn frustrates me when there is lack of end user participation, or there is

Veritext Legal Solutions

misinformation to the end users that BSO has not had an opportunity to address or correct. It's concerning, and it's problematic, and it becomes a bigger problem unto itself because it begins to go downhill.

BSO -- back to the original survey comments, and the questions with that -- I know that question has come up before. I'm not surprised by the responses, and this ties back in to Chief Shaw's concern before about the one call in particular. One of the Fitch recommendations was utilization of protocol based interrogation, IAED. IAED has three protocols, emergency medical dispatch, which we have been on for well over fifteen years, emergency fire dispatch, which we launched in November of '18, emergency police dispatch, which we launched in March of 2019. These were Fitch recommendations with full support from the police and fire organizations to move forward with these protocols, and with BSO operational support as well, because we have --

The protocol essentially is a scripted series of questions for chief complaints, so regardless of what the caller tells you in the

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

medical call if somebody is having chest pains the protocol will tell you precisely what information to get from that caller so that nothing is essentially missed, it's scripted. Now, in the medical community it is very rigid, because none of us are physicians, so we have, we follow the script as written, because it really will give you the precise initial diagnosis of what that patient is experiencing.

In the emergency police dispatch world though it is much more fluid and it allows for much more flexibility of the operators. former DLE dispatcher, I'm a former call taker. I appreciate the DLE interrogation, and the fluidness behind DLE events, and knowing that a one size will never fit all in the DLE community, it just does not. EPD gives you much more flexibility, but because of that there's a lot of training behind this, there's a lot of demand.

In March of this year, March 25, 2019 we launched EPD, which was a seismic shift from what operators have known for in some cases twenty or thirty years, and we told them do it this way, do it with a scripted series of

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

questions. Yes, you can be a little more flexible, yes, you can insert to your expertise level, yes, you can customize to a degree, but do it this way. In doing so; I'm not certain about what my policies are anymore, I'm not certain about my work processes, I'm not certain I'm providing good service. All of this is indicative of what just happened to them, which was a considerable shift in what they knew to where we are bringing them.

Now, having said that, and back to the training issue, I personally have gone out and conducted training with everybody. I went to nine meetings covering three buildings, covering three shifts, and spoke to over three hundred of my staff members. I not only, not only went through the EPD concerns, which is a significant source of angst for them, but I went through critical operations.

Interestingly, on that critical operations question it was written as critical operations/Hurricanes, and you look at some of the commentary with regard to that, and some of the respondents was responding to the Hurricane preparedness, and when you put that into

2.2

2.3

2.4

perspective to why it makes sense.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

During Hurricane Irma the south building did not have the assist -- the -- they lost commercial power. It did not have a chance to click to generator. The generator caught fire. They went dark in the middle of the Hurricane. The central site has, had a little bit of a snafu with regard to some leaking, and some whatever, and the north site had a bit of a snafu. And all of this comes back to the staff inclusion, and the staff feeling like they belong somewhere. These are not our buildings. We have no authority to dictate to any of the three municipalities where our personnel will sleep during a Hurricane, where they will put their storage, how they will operate outside of their PSAP.

And for the law enforcement and fire rescue team on the Board you can appreciate, you understand during a Hurricane activation you come in with all of your gear, and you're going to hole up for a few days, depending upon what that storm is about. The employee's angst has come from a lot of the experiences, and the concerns with the ability for us -- we cannot

go in and tell any municipality, well, we're going to take this floor, and we're going to use this for our space. It doesn't work like that. Prior to regionalization it did; there were our buildings. This is some of their frustration, which I appreciate because I understand where they're coming from.

Now, the cities have been great. We have reached out to them. We've tried to have meetings with them, tried to memorialize what we need going forward, but the staff are still very much shell shocked with regards to what has happened, and what they fear happening going forward.

CHAIR: But, Angie, that community -- that question was -- what happened in significant events, natural disasters, and school, in active shooter situations, and what you're saying, I think it was, whatever that number was, fifty seven percent say that you're not prepared, you're saying that that's driven by an event a year and a half ago, by Irma?

DIRECTER MIZE: I'm saying that there is
-- some of them took that question and focused
on the Hurricane.

2.2

2.4

1 CHAIR: How do you know that?

2.2

2.3

2.5

DIRECTER MIZE: Because they hand writ it on -- the handwrote it on there. Some of them talked about -- they put handwritten comments in regard to that question. So I'm not saying all of them by any stretch, and again the training that I just completed with them, we covered emergency operational procedures, we covered what the plans are going forward. We covered how to basically be prepared should the system, the radio system start to have affects of throttling, what it means, what it looks like, what do you do if that were to happen, so this training was just done with all the line staff.

CHAIR: You think having fifty, fifty seven percent I think was the number of your people who answered that question on a negative is, is a good thing?

DIRECTER MIZE: Is a good thing?

Absolutely not. No, absolutely not.

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: Well, it's good to know it right now, but you know, for what it's worth, Sheriff --

CHAIR: All right, keep going.

DIRECTER MIZE: So that's basically the,
the end result with regard to the end users,
there's confusion over who to call, when to
call, and why they would call that entity. So,
those have really been the experiences of the

operator going forward.

CHAIR: All right, a couple of things then I'll open it up. One of the things I want to clarify is, is right now, when you talk about the operator agreement, there is no signed contract between BSO and the County, so that's the operator agreement that's just on extensions right now. And one of the things you said, and I want to clarify this, is, is that it is currently being negotiated, but one of the sticking points in the negotiations is you have three sites, and you have three managers of that sites, and those, those three managers are BSO employees who oversee the employees who are the day to day call takers and dispatchers, correct?

DIRECTER MIZE: Yes.

CHAIR: And the -- the County wants to dictate to you, and they want to be the decisions maker as to who those center managers

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.

2.2

1 are?

2.2

2.3

DIRECTER MIZE: They want to have a voice in the selection process.

CHAIR: Any idea why?

DIRECTER MIZE: Because they said that it -- from what I recall they said that it was mirrored from the district contracts that BSO has with the municipalities for law enforcement, so they were just mirroring the language.

CHAIR: Which is a flawed way of doing it, but okay, I guess we can ask the County

Administrator about that. A couple things is, is that from what you told me, and tell me this is right, and you told me this, is that you told me that Tracy Jackson spends ninety nine percent of his time on emergency management, not ORCAT, and he's not your primary contact, even though he is the Director, and should be your peer as the acting Director; is that true?

DIRECTER MIZE: Most of my engagements are with Mr. Bayag, correct.

THE COURT: And your view is he spends ninety nine percent of his time on EM.

DIRECTER MIZE: I can't -- I mean my view

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

is that I don't see him in a lot of meetings, so the presumption is that's where he's spending the majority of his time.

CHAIR: Okay. Are you short staffed?

DIRECTER MIZE: Yes.

CHAIR: And people are on mandatory overtime?

DIRECTER MIZE: Yes.

CHAIR: Okay. And does that contribute to some of the other problems you heard in the discussion with Chief Shaw?

DIRECTER MIZE: So with Chief Shaw in particular -- we analyze our call processing time monthly. We ensure that our call processing time has sufficient staffing behind it every month, so we do take a look at how many operators are required, and if there's not enough operators we mandate. The utilization of EPD drove the call processing time higher than it had been before. So we launched it in March 25, 2019, and we took a few weeks worth of data to determine how long, how much longer call processing time is, is that it gave us a higher requirement for staffing on the phones. That was done just a couple of days after the

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 incident that she --

CHAIR: And I -- and I get that. And I should have been clear. My questions is more directed as the issue of if you have a call that's coming into Margate, not answer, not being answered by the Margate center but being shifted down to the south center, so you got somebody familiar with Southwest Ranches or Cooper City, and they're not familiar with Margate, is that part of, of being, like if you're short staffed here then the calls are being answered down there?

DIRECTER MIZE: That has always been the framework, so that is unusual, and that has nothing to do necessarily with being short staffed, or any, that is just how the system was established, so that's not indicative of a staffing concern. That's just the ability to be more efficient, to allow the calls to be moved around.

CHAIR: Okay. And so you are short staffed, as you just said, and, and you told me, and I want you to elaborate and explain to the commission, that two years ago you came up with a proposal to consolidate the three

2.2

1 centers into two --

2.2

DIRECTER MIZE: Yes.

CHAIR: -- which would help with staffing

DIRECTER MIZE: Yes.

CHAIR: -- and create efficiencies. But the County has not acted on it, and refused to implement that recommendation; so talk about that.

DIRECTER MIZE: So again it goes back to our inability to do anything other than personnel. So I can write policy, but I can't change provisioning, and programming, or anything to that affect. A couple of years back, again as a result of the Fitch recommendation, Fitch came up with a couple of requirements, or recommendations for, for efficiency, and consolidation was one of the topics they came up, which you heard the Chiefs mention, which was essentially taking talk groups and just putting them together during certain times a day.

And in looking at that, and considering my staffing concerns that I have, I started taking a look at what efficiencies we can find in the

system itself that would not require consolidation, and the bottom line is we do not need three PSAPs. You just do not need three buildings. We have operated in a flee capacity, which basically means one building shuts down and moves into the two others, and we found that when we do that the requirement for overtime goes down, people can get to go home at the end of the day, and the buildings that are fleeing into has the capacity to support that manpower, so you don't need three on a daily basis.

So in doing that I started planning through what we can shave down should we go to two buildings. Now, going back to my staffing, and my budgeting, my staffing is done through a recognized staffing model through APCO, which is called Project Retains, and it's basically a nationally recognized model for PSAPs that tells you how many people you need in a location based upon call volume and work station requirements, and what your operators are available to work. So in doing that you realize that for every one work station, that's about six people to run it on a 24/6 basis 365.

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

So if I go from three buildings down to two I have the ability to remove some workstations, because I do not need the representation of that workstation in that building anymore because I already have it.

For example, there's three law enforcement tack assignments that are meant to be manned 24/7. That's literally a daily dispatcher waiting for a critical incident to happen, so that when it does they can assume the workload, and aid in the critical event. There's three of them, one per building. You go down to two, I only need two of them. In saving that one workstation that's six less full time people that I need, which will come off of my vacancy.

This proposal was written 2017, where we planned it out, we demonstrated floor plan models, we demonstrated the personnel savings that could be achieved as far as head count, and we presented it to ORCAT at the time. I don't know how far it went, so in fairness to administration I don't know if it made it there, but we did share it with the ORCAT membership, and they looked at it. But the bottom line is we can't take action, because in

2.2

2.3

2.4

order to achieve two I have to take some of the
workstations from the third building and
repurpose it. I can't do that.

CHAIR: Who's the highest level person

CHAIR: Who's the highest level person that, within ORCAT or County Administration that you know of that was made aware of this proposal?

DIRECTER MIZE: The last time this proposal was mentioned was probably in 2018, with Mr. Jackson being the highest ranking at the time that it was discussed.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

SHERIFF ASHLEY: -- out of, you tell me what your annual communication operational budget it.

DIRECTER MIZE: Roughly \$43 million.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: And you control who you hire and who you fire.

DIRECTER MIZE: Yes.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: And who is disciplined.

DIRECTER MIZE: Absolutely.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: So how many complaints do you have against your operators on a, on an annual basis?

DIRECTER MIZE: Well, that's the

interesting thing, and thank you for that. We have, obviously have twenty nine municipalities that operate out of our three buildings. The complaint numbers that I receive at any given time, one or two a week. If you're going to look for mistakes you're going to find them, and when you look at the number that was presented, a hundred and sixty, I'm not sure what the number was, but when you look at that number it's very jarring, and it can invoke a serious response, to say are you kidding me. But we have to keep in mind (a) how many calls for service are processed; tens of thousands for that one municipality in the course of the year, and what are those complaints about.

So, I'm not okay with any complaint, particularly an officer going to a wrong location. That is not okay. But to suggest that it's due to a lack of training is unfair. We have a comprehensive training program. We do put people through training on the floors. We do put people on remedial. And I have done everything from remediated, counseled, to recommended termination for people who are not performing to standards.

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 So the problem, or the benefit of regional communications is we are so large, there's 3 three hundred plus operators on those floors, and you have to look at us like a cruise ship. 4 You need to make a change in process, you start it, and when you start turning that wheel it doesn't turn right away, you've got to get everybody moving in the same direction, and it takes time to do that. We take our complaints 10 seriously.

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Do you -- do you investigate the complaints, or some other organization?

DIRECTER MIZE: I do have a member of my O team investigate, but I overview it before it gets sent out, so I do confirm that I agree with the findings.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: You have stats, I'm assuming, that say how many people have been disciplined during the course of a year.

> DIRECTER MIZE: Absolutely.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Is it normal, abnormal, above average, below average, based off of best practices? Do you have any ideal about that?

DIRECTER MIZE: Off the top of my head I

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800 would say that we roughly issue a couple of hundred counselings a year, but keep in mind counselings are going to range from performance and behavioral, so it cannot be seen as two hundred mistakes, the both of them will tie into that. Internal Affairs discipline is much much lower, clearly.

Chair, what I'm trying to get at SFRA: here is we heard from Chief Shaw, and I thought we were talking about operational issues, and then to hear his sample of communication frustrations, it seems that it's more, it's more complaint driven, not necessarily about how, you know, radio channels stop working, or throttling, or some other technical term, it's more about holding priority calls, just citizens frustrated with the call taking process, pertinent information not being gathered, giving officers wrong locations, wrong address, officers assigned to wrong active calls, and so it seems to be that the, that the complaint is not necessarily against, or at least from Margate is not against ORCAT and technical issues, it's more against operators. Or at least that's what it seems to

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

be here. And I'm just trying to get some sense of what your view is on these, these particular frustrations.

2.2

2.4

2.5

DIRECTER MIZE: So with regard to the list there are going to be some that were absolutely sustained, those mistakes were made. There is mistakes, or complaints that come in that are not sustained, the operator is exonerated. So there is a number of the complaints that are put in, that just because a complaint is filed does not mean it occurred as outlined, so with ——

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Is it -- is this normal, I guess, is this normal or abnormal, wrong locations?

DIRECTER MIZE: It's not frequent. It
does happen. And like I said if you're looking
for mistakes you're going to find them. There
are certain cities who will submit far more
issues than others so you have to also look at
that as well. Is it reasonable to assume that
all the mistakes only happen in one
municipality but yet the other twenty have zero
issue? So it's also, has to be considered that
if Margate and Coconut Creek do go to Coral

Springs they're going to have the same growing 1 pains, and the same potential issues. 3 SHERIFF ASHLEY: My last question, Chair. Who in the world -- I think you told us, Under 4 5 Sheriff, who in the world agreed to this? DIRECTER MIZE: I really don't --6 7 SHERIFF ASHLEY: Who -- who in the world 8 gave away your operational control, your ability to manage your own communications? Who 10 was that? DIRECTER MIZE: 11 That's probably better for 12 administration to speak, because that would 13 have been prior to my coming to this position. That would have been in the 2011/12 discussion. 14 15 CHAIR: Sheriff Israel signed the 16 agreement, so the answer to your question is 17 that --18 SHERIFF ASHLEY: I've just never heard of 19 that. 20 CHAIR: -- that the, the agreement was 21 signed by Sheriff Israel. 2.2 SHERIFF ASHLEY: Thank you. 23 SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: It was in litigation up 24 until that point.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

Yeah.

DIRECTER MIZE:

2.5

1	CHAIR: Commissioner Bartlett, go ahead.
2	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: So if you're
3	short staffed
4	DIRECTER MIZE: Yes.
5	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: The answer that
6	the Chief got was that you were short staffed
7	for some of his issues
8	DIRECTER MIZE: That is not that was
9	not what happened there.
10	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Is that on you
11	though, or is that on the County?
12	DIRECTER MIZE: So we were not short
13	staffed that day
14	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: But just
15	just let's say you are. If that if you are
16	short staffed
17	DIRECTER MIZE: Right.
18	CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: is that on
19	you, or is it on the County?
20	DIRECTER MIZE: If we are short staffed,
21	and we do not secure the required overtime to
22	fill the staffing requirement that is on us.
23	Just because we're short staffed does not mean
24	we operate short staffed. That is incorrect.
25	Short staff on a number just means that I don't

have the full time personnel to meet the requirements day to day without needing overtime.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Let's try this.

If you have to hire somebody do you hire them
--

DIRECTER MIZE: Yes.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: -- or does the County provide --

DIRECTER MIZE: We hire them.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Okay, you hire So the last time we met we met because them. of an issue related to the failure to, among other things, answer 911 calls, and being able to dispatch them. Today we see you again, and ironically we hear from earlier testimony one of the issues that's facing the Chief in Margate is, and he shows the telephone, which is part of his materials, showing that there were three 911 calls made before an answer was taken by the dispatchers during the course of a robbery, which I'm assuming when somebody calls and says there's three guys sticking up the store there's a pretty short dialogue that goes on with a dispatcher, right; is that fair to

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

say?

2.2

2.5

DIRECTER MIZE: Not necessarily, it just depends. I would love it to be that cut and dry on a caller, but not necessarily.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: I meant that's pretty simply put, but that's pretty easy to understand that's what's happening, what's happening, and it's two minutes, two minutes and thirty seconds plus before they can dispatch everybody after two phone calls, one of which was over forty seconds ringing. How can you -- how can that be explained? I don't get it.

operators to answer inbound calls. The operator count needed to be increased due to the utilization of EPD, which went online three weeks or so prior to. So we had the required staffing that would have been sufficient prior to the EPD solution. After the EPD implementation we had to reassess our staffing, and increase the staffing to ensure. Now, remember, the State of Florida requires ninety percent within ten seconds, but ninety percent, which means that within the state statute

Veritext Legal Solutions

itself there's still variance that understands calls are going to be answered outside of ten seconds. And every PSAP throughout this country I guarantee you are going to have calls answered outside of their prescribed frameworks, it will happen, these are surge calls, so it's going to take place.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Now, with regard to that particular issue, was the staffing on the phones that needed to be on the phones for the original prescribed call answer, yes. And that was the information that was given back to the Chief. We needed to have X amount of people there. That was -- that was the number of people on the phones, so the personnel were there. The issue though was it's taking longer to hand up the phone from the phone call I'm on because I'm using the system.

We increased the staffing number behind the new call processing times, so now we're back to where our call processing pick up time has be. But at any given time any PSAP throughout the this country is going to have a surge to where their operators are overwhelmed with the inbound call volume, it just will

happen. I'm not saying it's okay, but I'm saying it does happen, and that is the reasoning why that does occur.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: So there was a surge that day, and that's why that person had to call you three times before she could get a dispatch two minutes and thirty eight seconds, or whatever it was later.

DIRECTER MIZE: Yeah, but -- yeah, well, they got -- the second -- the third call that picked up, it took two minutes to enter the call into the system, so it wasn't, it wasn't a two minutes for it to ring, but, yes, in that particular case there was more calls coming in than operators available.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: So that's -- I mean it just doesn't seem to be acceptable to me. I just -- I guess maybe --

DIRECTER MIZE: Well, this goes back to the original argument with regard to staffing and budget, and how many people should be on the phones, and looking at some of the original Fitch recommendations that actually stated the Sheriff's Office was overstaffing our 911 phone lines.

800-726-7007

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: But, see, Chief Shaw though it maybe justified in making his complaints.

DIRECTER MIZE: I'm not saying he's not.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Saying he's not getting the level of service he needs.

I'm not saying in that --DIRECTER MIZE: I'm not saying in that case he was not, and I am in no way suggesting that his experiences are okay. But what I would love to say was I would loved to have an opportunity to sit down with the Chief and gone through specifically what needs he's looking for, what objectives he's looking for. He mentioned concerns with our training program. I'm not aware of what concerns with our training program that the city does have. So while tickets are being submitted to say look into this concern, concerns do not equate to you have problems with how you're running things.

Mistakes are mistakes. What I would loved to have seen was an opportunity to sit down with any of the municipal partners to say I need you to look at -- and we do enjoy that relationship with many of the partners, who

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

will call and say, look, take, tell me what's going on here, what do we do to fix it. Other partners don't, which is fine, and others go through a third party. And this becomes part of this disjointed system that we've got right now, to where not anybody is necessarily sure of who's in charge of what. So you have people making calls that doesn't necessarily get to us with regard to what they need us to take a look at.

BSO is, and always will be, very receptive to what the stakeholders want. We are in support of them. If they say they need something done we will figure out a way to make that happen for them. That has always been how we operated, and that's how we will continue to operate, but mistakes --

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Did you know about his call before you came in here today, the issue --

DIRECTER MIZE: I knew about it when the point of contact submitted it to me in April, so yes. And again, the response is we have increased staffing to prevent this from being an issue of surge. We increased staffing a few

2.2

2.3

2.4

days after that incident occurred. We had already been in process to increase staffing, so by the time the concern came increase in staffing was done.

CHAIR: Is it true that in your system when somebody calls 911 in Broward County that if the call is not answered by a live call taker within a certain amount of time that the call is answered by in effect an answering machine?

DIRECTER MIZE: No. A 911?

CHAIR: Some -- some -- some sort of automated system picks up on it.

DIRECTER MIZE: We have automated call distribution, so the system is going to automatically distribute the call to the available operator. Now, when the operator, when the call finds an operator, and it picks up and makes the line active there is a pre-recorded message that gets you live with the operator. It's not a recording as in please leave a message, it is I've connected you and now you're live with an operator, and the voice basically says 911, what is the address of the emergency.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: So if I call 911 and it's not picked up, within how many seconds does that recording come on?

2.2

2.3

2.5

DIRECTER MIZE: It doesn't. The recording only comes on when it's connected with a person. Other than that you're not going to get that recording.

CHAIR: So what does it do, it just keeps ringing and ringing and ringing? All right.

DIRECTER MIZE: It will ring, and then it will bounce to make sure -- it will look for an operator in one of the other two buildings.

And I've asked the County to, to help me do some reprogramming on that process as well, because I think there could be better efficiency served there.

CHAIR: So what's -- what -- what is the delay, between the time that recording comes on and they are actually speaking to a live call taker --

DIRECTER MIZE: They're -- they're live. So when you look in the system, the system it looking for an operator, so when the system -- when the call hits the system it documents the time that the call hit the system. The next

800-726-7007

time that you're looking for is when the system found an operator. Right there you're live. The call is picked up. It would be no different than somebody manually picking up the phone and speaking.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: You have what I believe, probably the most intimate knowledge of the system than anyone else that we've heard of. From your personal experience do you feel like the system is broken?

DIRECTER MIZE: Broken, yes.

CHIEF ASSISTANT BARTLETT: Do you feel like it can be fixed under the current situation that it is right now with the County being responsible for the equipment and Broward SO being responsibility for the personnel?

DIRECTER MIZE: From my six years I don't see that being a workable solution going forward, so no.

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you for coming in today. Can you -- looking at the, the list of complaints from the Chief, I only see one here that have, you know, the radio channel, that was the, the County, but these

800-726-7007

other issues, are they, can you attribute them to lack of, you know, cooperation, lack of technology, lack of staffing, or is this -- go ahead, I'm sorry, I'll let you answer.

DIRECTER MIZE: No, no, no, I'm sorry.

Lack of staffing, no. Technology it's hard to say, on addressing it would depend upon what the nature of the error of the address was.

Was it because we weren't getting the correct information, the correct coordinates from the phone system, was it because -- so it could be both technology and operator. The majority of that, that list, I'm going to be a hundred percent honest the majority of that list is going to be on operator for making mistakes, there were procedural errors not followed.

Now, they were trained to the procedure, they know what the procedure, or they should know what the procedure is, because we do coach, we do train, we do do random quality assurance to make sure that the operators are following protocol, but humans make mistakes. So a lot of that is -- and again, that doesn't make it okay, it makes them human.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: So how long has

2.2

2.3

2.5

the, has the Chief been the Chief of Margate, do you have any idea?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

DIRECTER MIZE: I don't. I would guestimate a couple of years, but that's a total guess. I don't know.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: A couple years.

So, and where do those complaints go to, those hundred and sixty complaints?

DIRECTER MIZE: They filter them through the Broward County system, and the system autoforwards the complaint to my attention, as well as members of my team.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay. So when you get those complaints do you follow up with them? I mean --

DIRECTER MIZE: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: -- I'm just wondering. And nobody from Margate reached out to you to say we keep getting these complaints, we need to fix this, we're very upset.

DIRECTER MIZE: I'll be honest with my experience with the point of contact from Margate. So the complaints come in, they go to my attention, my team investigates. They forward me the CAD, the audio, the telephone

data, whatever I need in order to take a look at what that complaint was. I look through it. I see what it is that they've written, and I concur with, either I concur or I alter the response to make sure that it meets the, the end result. That is a hundred percent given back to the city. So every city who submits a complaint regardless will always get feedback from us. They always have the opportunity to question the response. So it's not that because we said we're done here, if they don't like it they are always welcome to say, look, I don't understand, I don't agree, can you look at it again, a hundred percent.

Now, with regard to some of the complaints I can tell you there has been debate between me and the Margate contact with regard to the issue that was brought forward, and I've had to sit down a couple of times and say, look, you're misreading the data, you're misreading the interpretation, that is not what the data is showing. And there's been a couple of things additionally as well, and this falls back to the discussion about training, and the discussion about not having participation in

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

our training methodology, a lot -- some of the concerns, I'm not going to say a lot, some of the concerns are because there is a preset idea from the former independent PSAPs about how training should be done, and what should be taught, and what should be emphasized, and what discipline should be applied, and it's not necessarily how we do business.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So in that respect I've always gone back and said we will talk about it, we can look at it, just because it's BSO's way does not mean it is the right way, it's always subject to be re-looked at and re-discussed.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: What are the numbers of complaints from the other twenty nine jurisdictions? I've -- I've --

DIRECTER MIZE: There's some we get zero. The second follow up to Margate would probably be Fort Lauderdale, and they have dropped considerably. In the span of a month I would say under ten, maybe five depending. Beyond that there's some municipalities we do not hear from ever.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: So Margate is the outlier, they have a lot more complaints than

any other district. Okay. And -- and when you knew that they were going, that they were seriously thinking about changing, along with the other city, did you reach out to them to try to --

2.

2.2

2.5

DIRECTER MIZE: I actually did -
COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: -- you know, go

over these, and work through these issues?

DIRECTER MIZE: I -- yeah, I actually did. So again, to Chief Shaw's predecessor was when we sat down, and that was the meeting that he was referencing, when we sat down with his predecessor and said, hey, I'm hearing rumblings, what's going on, what do we need to do, how do we fix it. He said I have no issue with BSO, my problem exists -- and that was the end of it. To the Fire Chief -- I reached out multiple times to the Margate Fire Chief and said, hey, let's sit down, I'm hearing, what are you talking, what, yes, we'll sit, we'll sit, it never happened.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Because I view them as your customer, and you want to make your customers happy.

DIRECTER MIZE: Absolutely, a hundred

1 percent.

2.

2.2

2.3

with all these complaints coming in, you know, somethings got to give. And now on the, on the slides about the Fitch report, obviously it's very disconcerting to hear all of these, you know, these numbers the second time again.

When it says policies and procedures are easily understood and applied, and, you know, fifty nine percent, you know, disagree, that is, that is you, or are you saying that -- you develop those policies and procedures, right?

DIRECTER MIZE: I'm -- BSO has complete ownership of policies and procedures, and as such -- and again what I can say is because we did move, and migrate to this new system starting in November the policies do need to be rewritten, and I, again, I have met with every one of my staff, and I have told them we are going to be re-writing it, we are going to be addressing it, but the reason I haven't yet is because this is a new system to them, and I want their feedback as to what operationally they need the system to do for them in order for them to do their jobs, and for them to have

Veritext Legal Solutions

comfort in the system that they're operating.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: You expected those numbers to be like that.

DIRECTER MIZE: I'm not surprised, a hundred percent.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay.

Okay. And the ongoing training I received continues to enhance my skills; why do you think that's so bad?

DIRECTER MIZE: So I can tell you, and I don't want to go into -- I mean I'll tell you as much as you'd like to know with regard to training. I can't say what they're thinking, what they're mindset is with regard to that, but I can tell you that we have done with regard, particularly to our first line supervisors, a tremendous focus of active assailant critical incident training. We just concluded scenario based training with our first line supervisors the last Friday of May, where every one of my supervisors went through drills, where we tested, evaluated them in their environment utilizing their technology, seeing what they do in response to prompts. I had actors in the center playing dispatchers,

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

playing call takers, and we graded them on their response.

2.3

2.4

2.5

We have developed processes materials for them. We have trained them on that, and that was, the culmination was that scenario training. For my line staff, and that was the one thing I realized we need to do a better job, with regard to dealing with the line on anomalies that they don't normally deal with, things like radio throttling, emergency operational things, evacuation of the buildings.

We had an unplanned emergency evacuation a couple of months ago that we had done after action on and realized that we've got to shore up some process. So that was the focus of my training that I just completed on June 3rd. I met with three hundred of them and gave them hard copies, went through all the materials, explained everything, and then I opened the floor for anything they needed to hear.

So I think the regional system took a lot of focus of BSO management away from the people, and focused it on making the operational system work, and dealing with a lot

of the stressors that were coming at us from all angle, and we are done. Our attention is with our people. Our attention has to be with our staff. These are passionate people. These people work hard. Their passion is undeniable. They want to do a good job, and my job is to make sure they can do that. And that is the message that they're getting.

COMMISSIONER SCHACHTER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Sheriff Judd, and then the last question will be from Commissioner Harpring, and then we're going to take a break, and then we're going to get to the County Administrator.

SHERIFF JUDD: What is your allocated staffing?

DIRECTER MIZE: I'm fully budgeted to four forty seven.

SHERIFF JUDD: How many do you have on duty today?

DIRECTER MIZE: I'm down to fifty six.

SHERIFF JUDD: You're down fifty -- so you have fifty six. What -- what's your turnover percentage?

DIRECTER MIZE: The last -- the last

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

fiscal year it was 15.9, I believe was my turnover.

DIRECTER MIZE: And what do you pay, what's your starting pay and top pay?

DIRECTER MIZE: Geez, I can't even say. I want to say for -- because we have three levels of communications operators. My top -- my first entry level probably starts at the upper 20's to lower \$30,000 range. Now, I can tell you that our union is requesting a salary study to potentially reposition the base, which we are in full support of them doing.

SHERIFF JUDD: What percentage of your calls do you answer in ten seconds or less, your 911 calls?

DIRECTER MIZE: At the busy hour we're always over ninety percent. Throughout the twenty four hour cycle it really depends upon time of day. Typically on the twenty four hour cycle we are a ninety percent or higher, but there's definitely surge periods. But at our busiest hour, which is what the statute requires, we are, we meet that benchmark almost every day ever month. Our anomaly was EPD going live. For the month of May we may have

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

305-376-8800

1 missed it twice.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHERIFF JUDD: You've heard the testimony from the Police Chiefs and the Fire Chiefs. If you accept the leadership of the Police Chiefs Association and the Fire Chiefs concurrence that they make up a committee, and that the Sheriff, I'll direct this to the Under Sheriff, and that the Sheriff have operational control, and the duty and responsibility of managing the process, the equipment is moved from the office of the County Administrator to the County Sheriff, does things improve for you? I'll ask both of you that.

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: Answer for -- absolutely we would recognize process improvement, and overall operational improvement as well.

DIRECTER MIZE: We would have the ability to control the things that we do not, so operationally I would see it as improving, and going back to the way it was prior to 2013.

SHERIFF JUDD: Do you have -- have you set a meeting to say once a month, once a quarter I want to meet with the stakeholders, and every discipline I dispatch for?

DIRECTER MIZE: Have we set up a meeting,
no, but we do participate in the ORT, and
that's, they are, all the stakeholders are
invited to that, so that's the purpose of that
meeting. We have not initiated one as BSO, no.
SHERIFF JUDD: How often is that?
DIRECTER MIZE: Once a month.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: And for what it's worth, Sheriff, I go to that every, every month since I've been appointed, and the Under Sheriff or equal will participate in those with Angie.

SHERIFF JUDD: Under Sheriff, when is the last time that you went into your communications center and sat down and talked to one of your call takers or dispatchers?

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: I popped into the north

center two weeks ago.

DIRECTER MIZE: A couple weeks ago.

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: Yes, sir, two weeks ago.

SHERIFF JUDD: Is this the beginning of the solution to the communication problems as outlined by the Police Chiefs, the Fire Chiefs, and the Sheriff over the phone call earlier

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1 today?

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: I absolutely believe that to be the case, sir.

SHERIFF JUDD: Thank you.

CHAIR: Okay, last question, Commissioner Harpring, and then we're going to take a break.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Just very briefly, and I don't need a dissertation on the response, but if, if we were to look at the updated Fitch survey the trend line would obviously be in a negative direction. Do you have any reason to believe that the responses to the 2019 survey are, are inaccurate, or skewed for some reason?

DIRECTER MIZE: The only thing I can say is that less than thirty percent responded, so skewed in the sense that you had less than thirty percent participate give back responses to that. I don't feel that they were being untruthful, if that's the question, no. I feel they were speaking their mind.

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: And what I'll add is just we, we are, we welcomed that type of feedback, and, and now can focus obviously more attention to those things, whether they be artificially created through implementation of

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

a new training protocol, and a new answering protocol, it doesn't matter, it has a requirement for us to focus attention onto those things, and that's our intention. And I'll leave with one last thing, and I can speak for our communications personnel. To a T they are unequivocally committed to performing the services, and they do so on a regular basis in an exemplary fashion. And every day -- I go in there and talk to them -- when I go in and talk to them, speak to them, they are earnestly embedded in the commitment to providing the service to all of our stakeholders, and I believe that. And I think we have faced challenges, but the people certainly carry the day.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Do you welcome the removal of the County from its current level of involvement?

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: What I would welcome is the, the focus from an operational perspective, sir, and what was identified is we certainly would still need the County, for example, perfect example is the radio towers, eminent domain issues, legal issues, so it needs to

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

continue a relationship, a working relationship as well. We're committed to producing that environment conducive to taking it, and then that's where we think we're, we'd all be best suited.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Yes, sir. Thank you.

SHERIFF JUDD: Can I make one comment? You know, one thing that concerns me as we look at the operational aspect of this, you had a thirty percent response to this survey, if that were my office and I was trying to gather data to get us some help you daggumm can believe that I'd have a hundred percent, so what, what's up, why didn't you all, why did we only get a thirty percent response when we're trying to gather data to help you?

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: Yes, sir. And through my experience in the military, as well we have command climate surveys, so very similar, intent is to have as much of a reply and response rate as you possibly can facilitate without strong arming somebody, and forcing them to the table and literally making them check the block. So your point is well taken. One of the artificial constraints was a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

timeline that we were provided the surveys and asked to return it, but aside from that I certainly would have been hopeful for more participation.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHERIFF JUDD: One more editorial comment. In my shop when I pay people they do what I tell them to do. I'd have -- I'd have handed that survey to every one of them, and I'd say I'm not telling you how to fill it out or what to say, but you gonna fill that out and turn it back in. And that's how you get compliance.

DIRECTER MIZE: Well, I can tell you that it was presented, given to every operator. We made sure that that was done, but the -- the --

SHERIFF JUDD: You all didn't manage the process very well, or you either didn't want the answer.

SHERIFF ZUKOWSKY: Understand, sir.

CHAIR: All right, so we really, really need to take a break. So go ahead --

SHERIFF JUDD: I'll just make this one comment, Under Sheriff. With all due respect to the County, and their, their responsibilities, as an independent constitution officer the Sheriff can run his

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

emergency communications center, and everything in it, IT, equipment, without the assistance of the County. I just want to make that clear. Thank you.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: All right, so we'll start again.

Thank you, Colonel, thank you, Angie,

appreciate it. We'll start again promptly at

4:00 with the County Administrator.

(Thereupon, the meeting is in recess, and the proceedings continue as follows:)

CHAIR: Okay, I call the meeting back to order, and welcome Broward County Administrator Bertha Henry. Ms. Henry, thank you for being here.

PRESENTATION BROWARD COUNTY REGIONAL COMMUNICATIONS

AND ORCAT ISSUES - BROWARD COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

MR. HENRY: Thank you. Can you hear me?
There we go. So, anyway, thank you all of you,
and I know you've had a long two days, and I've
only been here since, oh, maybe 11:30 or so,
and I've heard a lot of commentary, and it's
just, to some extent it can be a little
overwhelming where to begin, and I thought that
maybe what I would do is begin with how did,
how do we get here, because everybody picks a

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007

point in time when they want to start the clock, but prior to 2006 what is today called ORCAT was a technology group that was owned by the County. It was with the County. It never started out with the Sheriff, it started out with the County.

Some time when shortly after the Board via an agreement decided that it would contract with the Sheriff to provide fire and EMS, maybe a year or half so later we decided, well, we'll try moving ORCAT over at the same time. Fast forward where we began a number of discussions regarding the, the benefits, if you will, of consolidation. So as you can imagine, you have thirty one municipalities, and the boundaries are very close. I mean there's not like wide chasms between municipalities, you're in and out of one city, and you would never know unless you see a certain vehicle for a Sheriff or a Fire Department that you would recognize.

So there were a number of reasons these conversations seemed to move forward, but if you had the number of PSAPs that we had, and there were concerns by some of the municipalities that if you were a contractee of

2.2

2.4

the Sheriff there was certain services that you were getting, and if you were not, meaning you had your own police, you had your own fire, there were certain services that you would not be getting. And so over time the conversation centered around how do we make sure that there's equity in the community, and we said, hey, look, this is a municipal level function, and if the Sheriff isn't covering his costs through his agreements with the municipalities, he should.

Well, anyway, I'll fast forward. We agreed to pursue the study for consolidation, and we did. Now, in between that time, because there's a lot of things going on, there's sub-committees, where were committees, they were all looking at how this could happen, what kind of, what kind of technology, there were a lot of conversations going back and forth, and where, where, in which community where you had a comparable number of police officers could you look to, to give guidance. There wasn't many. Clearly there were not a comparable entity in the state of Florida, although we did have one of our counties around Gainesville

2.2

2.3

2.4

that had done that, so we, we looked at that, and there were some in other, other states.

But in the end we came up with a set of priorities and recommendations that ultimately were presented to the County Commission. Now, I heard today that the County Commissioner offered to, to pay for this, the County Commission just offered to, to do this. That would not be true. There was much debate on my Board about whether or not we should take on this new financial responsibility, a lot of debate about that.

But ultimately what I believe carried the day, because I was one of those individuals that advocated to let's try it, let's see if we can make it work. I went against some of my commissioners, some of which remind me of that every day, but I felt like we should give it a try, because my colleagues were, and when I refer to my colleagues I'm talking city managers at this point in time, they were very much concerned about the way certain things were occurring with a number of activities at that time with BSO. So now you're talking three sheriffs ago, and when this conversation

2.2

2.3

2.4

started.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

But what ultimately drove the day for the County Commission was that the County would operate and maintain it, that this wasn't -the concern that a lot of the commissioners had was that we would be turning over the pocketbook, and it would just never be an end. And my colleagues said, look, here's some basic principles -- there were eight basic goals of the system that we all bought into. And it talked about having the best technology, which we agreed we would do. It talked about being an efficient system, because all, through all those studies the response was clearly you're going to save money when you go from twelve PSAPs to wherever you end up. And we looked at that, and it certainly made sense to, to me.

And we have been at somewhat of a crossroad for quite something time, you've heard it, and I think a lot of that is because a lot of our police and fire chiefs, I don't know that they have read the interlocal agreements. The interlocal agreement that we have with each municipality, there is, there are two agreements with each city, and that,

and that agreement actually speaks to how you elevate an issue. There was absolutely concern that cities, many of our cities with their own police and fire departments did not want to have all the decisions made by one law enforcement agency. They wanted to make sure that municipalities had a say, and that's written in our agreement, and we try to adhere to that.

To -- to fast forward to the conversations that, that have been going back and forth about their, the police and fire chiefs interaction with, with the County, let me start with I have been asked to meet with the Police and Fire Chiefs Association, to my knowledge, at least I have met with individuals outside of that a few times, and that request came as a result of cities at the time, we were, as we were getting ready to procure the 911, the new radio system that we're building today, when we were going through how, what is the best system we want to buy, what is it, what, they're, as you can imagine, as some of you police and fire chiefs you'll know there's, there are really two entities in the marketplace that are

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

providing these type of systems, and my County Commission would never spend 50, 60, 70, \$80 million without going through a competive process.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So when we decided that, okay, it's time to go, we're going to move forward, we invited a representative from the Police Chief Association to be on the selection process. We invited a representative from Fire Chiefs Association to be on the process, I mean to be part of the process. They had lots of say as it relates to how do we make sure that this new system can be heard, and can function in any type of scenario. We created scenarios where there's construction activity going on, and all those things, to make sure that ultimately the recommendation for the system was going to be the best for everybody.

Subsequent to that -- now, there were a representative from each. I can't really speak to how they communicate with each other, but one of the frustrations that I have experienced is that that communication when you talk to one or two, you don't know how far down into the organization their communication is going,

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

because clearly I received a letter from the Association that had, oh, maybe twenty five, thirty questions related to what we were doing with the system, so we responded. They invited me to come to each of their, their meetings. I went. I responded to all the questions at the meeting, in writing.

So when, I just, some of the members here asked the question have you reached out to Ms. Henry on all of these issues that they're talking about right now; the answer is no, they have not. Would I take a meeting if they did, absolutely. I have -- I don't know of anyone that's asked for a meeting from me that I have turned down. There's no, no benefit for me to do that. We're vested in this system.

So, I hear that, wow, Tracy has only been to one meeting, or hasn't been to a meeting, and I scratch my head because clearly in the Fitch report when you read it they wanted, quote, ORCAT out of the ORT. That was very clear. And so when they said they wanted ORT out, I mean they wanted the ORCAT out of the ORT, at that point it was clear that there is a process in place. And oh, by the way, someone

2.2

suggested that the ORT came as a recommendation from Fitch. That would not be true. There was an ORT long before. The ORT goes back a long time, it just got really focused on what its role was going to be. But we have -- the system has always contemplated having input from the operations.

So in the Fitch report it talks about governance, and it talks about technology governance, and so those of you that are in the technology field, you know that governance in the terms of technology has a different meaning, and so the, the document talks about to technology governance, and so that exists. It has fire. It had representatives from fire chiefs and police chiefs on that governance committee. That governance committee, I saw ---- line items of things that were brought forth, done. Some things they had consensus on, some things they didn't, they put -- so clearly that committee is, is functioning, and things are happening. And I'm happy to provide you with that document subsequently.

But the ORT, which was supposed to -- now, these are the field people, these are the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

people who should be making, making decisions about how things should go in the field. So we're, my staff's direction, and it's laid out in the Fitch escalation chart, if it is, if City A wants a change, and it doesn't affect City B, or anybody else, make it. We don't have to talk about it, let's just make it. If it doesn't -- if it doesn't affect another city, and it, and it doesn't impact the system, it's just my city wants every time I get this type of call I want two officers dispatched, that is unique to a city, and we can program that that can happen.

2.2

2.3

2.4

If there is a recommendation that's coming out of the ORT that affects other municipalities we said you, that, they need to get consensus. But if they reach consensus and it have no financial impact do it, don't care. If you -- if we in fact now have a situation where the ORT is making a specific recommendation, and it's going to have impact throughout the system, and obviously have an impact financially, then we need to be brought into those discussions. We need to be brought into those discussions because, you know,

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

clearly my Board has to say here's the open checkbook, go and spend whatever you want, and the process for me isn't -- there are rarely those things that, that, that have that kind of impact.

So I listened very intently today to hear what is it that's, you know, this, this system is just broken, and it's just broken, and I'm trying, okay, so what's broken, because clearly the police and fire chiefs are unhappy, but at the same time, as I've shared with Chief Gualtieri, I reached out the City Manager for Fort Lauderdale, the City Manager for Pembroke Pines, the City Manager for Coconut Creek, the City Manager for -- so all of these are my, these are my colleagues, are you guys, what is going on, because I'm hearing you are, you know, that you guys are taking the position that ORCAT needs to go back to the Sheriff's Office, because this is a conversation I can have easily, because I know the conversations that I've been having with them.

And let's talk about Coconut Creek. And I'm just going to use that as an example because Margate probably tacks onto that.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Coconut Creek did come to me, cause my colleagues know how to get a hold of me, they call me and they let me know when they have a problem about something. Coconut Creek reached out to me and said we are having all kinds of problems, and we can't get them resolved, operational issues, we cannot get them resolved. And I said can you allow me to get with the Sheriff to see if can put you two together, see if you can't work it out, because they in my opinion has some very legitimate issues.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And it's -- I won't get into all of them now, because, you know, just that takes this meeting in a whole different direction in the end; you'd figure that out. But when I reached out to the Sheriff I said, Sheriff, you have a problem with Coconut Creek, they're wanting to leave the system, and they're wanting to leave the system because they're unhappy about ABCDEFG, you need to go talk to them.

Okay, the Sheriff went, had a meeting. At the end of the day I wasn't involved in the meeting because I wanted them to make sure they had that opportunity to really talk about that.

305-376-8800

In the end Coconut Creek said we're still leaving, but, Bertha, would you consider, would you consider allowing us to stay in the system and have our own call takers and dispatchers. I said of course, because all I really care about is whether or not, you know -- we -- when we're having this conversation about call transfers, and all of that, that issue will not be there, and if you want this higher level of service, like you heard somebody say concierge service, if you want that for your city you can have access to all the technology, the CAD, the radio system, you can have access to everything, we just need to make sure that your people understand the basic protocols because in, in a situation where there's a major incident and they need to talk to each other, that you all know what's going on, so we said, and so I said yes, I would do that.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

And I have not -- I know that there's conversations. I've certainly heard that they're having conversations with the City of Coral Springs, and I look at that as, okay, that's a decision that municipality wants to make, and ultimately I know in their heart of

hearts they are ask concerned about these call transfers as I am, and that they would figure that out. Someone said earlier -- in the past I've had sheriffs say to me I am not going to have anybody else dispatch my officers. I can't do anything about that. Sheriff Tony said, okay, I'm willing in this incident, in this instance, because clearly we kept saying you've got calls coming to this, two different call centers, and you really should, this isn't working -- we've been talking about this for a long time.

2.2

2.4

So he's agreed to do that, and I sent the technology team over there, let's go meet with them, find out what they need, make it happen, because this is the first time that invitation was ever offered. And ultimately they're working through the issues, they put a -- because they set a meeting, and I'm like immediately -- so they put a little bit of a pause on it because they figured out, well, there's probably some things we need to have in place before that happens.

So I don't buy into the concept that we're inflexible. I think there are a number of ways

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

that we can certainly address the concerns that I've heard, but what I can't -- if the -- if I'm being asked today if I would recommend to the County Commission that this function be transferred over to BSO the answer is no, I cannot, because I go, I start this from a long, from a long place, and I know that there were my colleagues at the time that were very upset about it, they did not want that to happen, they did not want that to happen, and now we're on, like I said, three sheriffs later with another, so I can't -- I don't believe at this point that we are at a place where this, where all of the technology is even in place.

And I really do appreciate you all weighing in on this, on this tower, because I think it is helping to move the needle. It certainly moved it with my Board. So we're just gearing up to get that done. The team that's been focused on that, I actually, my heart goes out to them, because what I hear, they're in ORCAT, ORCAT, ORCAT, bad, bad ORCAT, but nobody's talked about the fact that they're standing up two radio systems, the E-911 system and the local government radio system.

2.2

2.3

Nobody talked about that we didn't even
know that ultimately we were going to have the
school board as a partner until the last
minute, and they've had to scramble to make all
these things happen. And they're happening.

The radios are programmed. We're given -we're kicking -- we're kicking in place, we're
getting things done from a technology

And if you're asking if I'm willing to sit down to try to bridge the communication gap, absolutely, I am absolutely prepared to do that. I have, as I'd shared also with Chief, look, you said, you know, could there be an honest broker to help with, facilitate this conversation. I said it's a honest broke, because let me tell you when someone suggested here's the reason Fitch came about -- Fitch came about because -- I'm a County Administrator, and I know that when you put a system, you take twelve PSAPs and you try to do what we have to do, find a home for them, and all of the things that were involved in that, you absolutely need to stop, step back and assess what you're doing, and, and that's how

800-726-7007

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

standpoint.

1 Fitch actually came about.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So there were lots of issues that people have concerns about, but when we brought Fitch on, and Fitch said, hey, ORCAT interfered in, and they stuck their nose in, and they, you know, there was a lot of that type of conversation, but no one actually talked about why that occurred. That occurred because my, the municipalities said you need to do this consolidation ASAP, can you get it done in a year, and we said yes. And so when you're pulling those systems together you have to move, you have to make decisions, so when we asked for decisions to be made, and nobody's making decision, and the clock is ticking because my colleagues, they want this money, they want this off their, off their books, they want to be able to take those savings into that subsequent year --

And, oh, by the way, nobody actually talked about how we did that. No one lost their job. We said we'd take on every last one of them, they would stay where they were in terms of their pay, we didn't want anybody harmed. So there were a lot of things going

on, and I got to tell you that the two, when I hear that there was, oh, a little bit of an issue about certain things when were pulling things together, I will promise you that I sat in a room with the leadership from BSO, who in a room full of folk said, well, you know, the problems that we're having is from those, from those Coconut Creek people. I was horrified. The -- the transition had already happened, and I said these are your people, what do you mean.

So when you start -- if you couldn't embrace it then you're absolutely setting this thing up for problems. So I'm hearing that BSO had absolutely no role in the discussions about the layout in the PSAPs. BSO at that time had other plans for the PSAP that was left in that building. They wanted that floor for something else, so we ended up with three PSAPs because at the end of the day when you look at the size of, the size of the number, of the dispatch center to be able to do county wide, we didn't have a facility big enough to do that. BSO's building wasn't big enough to do that, so we looked at the communities that volunteered, hey, we will take this on, Coconut Creek,

2.

2.2

Sunrise, and Pembroke Pines.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And so we worked with -- when we looked at that we said, okay, we can make this work until we're able to build something better. So we had been, had in our, in our plans, in our five year capital plan, an opportunity to build a functioning one big happy family PSAP, but I don't -- that's, you know, it's in the plan, because right now the priorities are the towers, and everything else that we're doing, and, and I -- I don't want to spend as, spend a lot more time what could, for me seem like rambling, but I do want you to be able to ask me questions because I think once I'm able to respond, because there was so many things said today that was inaccurate, and then it just gets frustrating, because I have been here from the beginning, unlike a lot of the people that were here talking today.

I've been here from the beginning, and
I've been at a position in this conversation
were I know at lot of back stories that a lot
of these front line people don't even know. So
with that I am prepared to answer your
questions.

1

So -- so I, I know from talking to you, and from what you said here today, that, you know, you're, as you said your colleagues are the city managers, and that the police chiefs work for the city managers, but you clearly heard the frustration of the police chiefs and the fire chiefs, and so, so my question is, as my same question was for them, that as the County Administrator, and as the owner, in my characterization, of the system, and the person that's at the top of the system, and while the question for them was have they reached out to you, knowing that there are all these problems, and there are clearly problems, unequivocally there's problems, is that have you reached out to them and said, come on, I got a conference room, let's sit down and work this out?

MR. HENRY: So the answer to that is I've talked to individuals, because when you get with this, you, you start talking to an association, at some point you start to, you don't really know who or what you're talking about, so I will tell you that I've talked to a number of chiefs, and, and I'm willing to, if

24

this becomes now the Association, because the
Fire and Police Chiefs Associations, they're,
they're establishing a role, but ultimately
I've got to make sure that the roles that they
have staked out is the role that my
municipalities who sign the agreement, because
there's no agreement signed because Police and
Fire Chiefs Association and the County, but
with my municipalities there's an agreement
that says this is how this thing gets
escalated.

2.2

2.3

2.4

They're not following the process, they
don't really want to, so, you know, I'm just,
at this point there's enough frustration for
everybody. I'm frustrated. They're
frustrated. But not to the point where I can't
have a dialog if there, if there's a
willingness and a wanting to do that.

CHAIR: So -- so with, without the interpersonal issues being resolved how do you even move forward? Doesn't that have to be the first step in this process, is to try, if there's going to be some effort, that these tensions, and the true animosity, and the, just the problems with the relationships, there has

to be some bridge building here in order to even have a chance of getting out of the starting gate, because right now it ain't even in the starting gate. You can't get to the substantive issues until you deal with these interpersonal issues.

MR. HENRY: And I think these interpersonal issues come around, come about as a result, once again, of miscommunication.

Because if -- if the report says ORCAT get out, we, we don't want you involved in our, in the, in the ORT, he gets out. He gets out. If what they really meant, we just want you to come and listen, then they should say that. What they said, they wanted him out, so I don't -- I -- you know, I feel for the, for the individuals here, and Tracy, because we're trying to, we're trying to figure out what they want, and respond in a way that's acceptable to them.

I have people from ORCAT attend the meeting, and they're there to take notes, and they're there to get the questions that they may have, but all of the whatever specific recommendations, and things like that they need, they didn't want us involved in that I'm

2.2

2.5

told, so now if that, if that's changed -okay, that's new.

CHAIR: I'll let some of the other commissioner probe some of this. I want to ask a couple of just the questions, follow up from what you heard, though, with Angie Mize, because some of this is, is, is that no doubt perception, reality, both, but perception is reality --

> MR. HENRY: Sure.

CHAIR: -- is -- is that you are all, you all are exceeding your bounds, exceeding your lane, domineering, my way, highway, and I'm not, and that that's causing problems. And like one of the things that was mentioned, and what's your view on this, and is it true, that you all in the process of negotiating the contract are insistent that you get to have say in the center managers? That leads to, which is a consistent theme, that it's the County's way or the highway.

MR. HENRY: So the Sheriff -- the way that that agreement is written the Sheriff gets to pick. We just want to make sure -- and I'm going to give you the back story behind that.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

1 CHAIR: I'm asking.

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. HENRY: The back story behind us asking to make sure we can do that is to make sure that the person that you're appointing, that we kind of say yes and no over, only because I have, I have, some of my municipalities, they seem to know more about certain leadership in that organization than I do, and, and so they were concerned about certain activities, and people being promoted into things where they can clearly say they don't think that they're technically qualified.

Now, have we rejected anybody that they have proposed? The answer is no. And why is that, because no, the candidates they have proposed to run those facilities, there's been on issues raised at, at the time of their appointment that that person would not be able to do a good job.

CHAIR: So one of the things that -- and I'll let you speak to this, it was raised, is, is that, and you're big about efficiencies, and cost savings, and fiscal responsibility, which you should be, but what about this issue that Ms. Mize raised about the recommendation two

800-726-7007

years ago to consolidate from three PSAPS to two, which would do all of that as far as efficiencies, and cost savings, and personnel, et cetera?

2.2

2.4

MR. HENRY: Because when that recommendation was brought, and I, you know, passed it on to, to the consultant to take a look at, there were questions and concerns raised, and to my, and it was my understanding that they were brought to them, but so what was being proposed at the time was that there be an operation, and I believe it was for fire, and another one for police, right.

So now you have a dispatch center that only does police, and another dispatch center that only does police, and they said, well, what about, what happens when you have these, how do you deal with some of the calls where you actually need both, so there were some technical issues that, that they were trying to work through. I would -- I would -- I would love to move from three to two.

CHAIR: That's what I figured.

MR. HENRY: My goal is to go to one with a, with a back up. So, you know, they have

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

everything they need. But we put that system together in one year, and we didn't, and, and the decisions that you have to make about these dispatch centers, because we, we have lots of flooding in south Florida, we know where Hurricane, the Hurricane zones are, so we had a consultant to, we hired a consultant, and they say that we have to, if we have to build these facilities where do you build them, because you want to make sure they are as redundant, and they perform whatever the situation is. And so the came back with a couple of locations given where they, that what happened.

So did we move quickly with the three, yes. Would I like two, yes. Would I like one even better, with a back up, absolutely.

CHAIR: So I go back to what I said when we opened this this morning, is I don't know, and I'm very skeptical that this is fixable in, in the current situation, and that means the current governance, and the current people, and, and the way things are today, because I think that the relationships are strained at their limits, if not broken beyond repair, but one of the key reasons, if not the key reason I

2.2

2.3

2.4

am confident as to why it has gotten to the point that it is is from the lack of communication, the lack of effective communication.

Whether there can be effective communication to fix it, I don't know, and again I'm skeptical of it, but something's got to give somewhere, because continuing down the path that it's on is not good, and isn't good for anybody. And as with most things, as they say the truth is in the middle, the reality is in the middle some place, and there's, there's room on both sides of this, there's skin on both sides of this.

And I can tell you that in -- and I've told you this in our discussions, that I come away from all the talks we've had, all the discussions we've had, and all the discussion I've had with the fire chiefs, the police chiefs, mayor, city managers, come with what you say makes sense, then I go to them, what you say make sense. There is room on both sides. If you all would just sit at the table, put it all out on the table, and get some incremental successes that people can live

2.2

2.3

with, and if everybody leaves the table being a little bit unhappy that's a good thing, that's called compromise, nobody should get it the way they want it all the time.

2.2

2.5

And -- and if you all would go into it with that perspective, it may be too late, but if it's going to work that's the only way it's going to work.

MR. HENRY: I will definitely go into it with that perspective, and I need some of my colleagues with me, because again this, this conversation that I'm having with you, and why the County, and the role that we're all in, you know, it didn't, it didn't just come as a result of, oh, Bertha woke up one day and decided that she wanted to, you know, increase her budget by a few hundred million dollars. It didn't happen that way.

So I was -- and we were all trying to solve problems, and there were problems that were laid out for everybody to see, and there were behind the scene issues that were very frustrating to my colleagues, and so when you hear, or when you see that some of the municipalities are saying that they were not

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

going to go into this system, they were not going to go in this system if the only decisions that get made are made by BSO, and quite frankly because I'm not involved in the interactions that the, that a lot of these municipalities were having with, with the then Sheriff, I can't, I really, I can tell you what was told to me -- so if they come to the table, and they're willing to say, okay, we're good, Bertha, with letting the Fire Chiefs

Association speak on our behalf, we're, we're good with letting the Police Chief Association speak on our behalf -- but I -- but that needs to come from them, because that's, that's where that needs to come.

And -- and -- and with my colleagues at the, at the, at the forefront, I'm happy to start that dialogue, because they have to embrace this too.

CHAIR: And you're right, your colleagues meaning the city managers.

MR. HENRY: I beg your pardon?

CHAIR: Your colleagues, meaning the city managers.

MR. HENRY: Yes.

2.2

2.5

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1 CHAIR: Yeah. Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHERIFF JUDD: Thank you, Mrs. Henry. I recognize the, the job of a county manager or county administrator is a mess, and that the challenges are significant, and we're glad to help you on this, this tower issue. I certainly hope and pray Hollywood does the right thing tonight and votes like we, we hope they do. I want to start with an illustration, and the illustration is based on what I've heard from everyone today.

If you, Mrs. Henry, ran the Broward

Communication Center as a private enterprise I could build one across the street and bankrupt you in a year, and the reason I could do that is because the trust is bankrupt, the communication is bankrupt, he training environment is bankrupt. Not this -- and it goes across the board. It's not just -- but it's your center, you own it, it's, it's under your organizational structure, but when you go -- the perception is bankrupt. If we were in private enterprise I'd be drive, floating me a 43' Hatteras in a couple of years, and, and you'd say, well, since I don't have a job

2.

2.2

anymore let's go fishing.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

That's where we are. The -- the perception fills in the gaps between the, the different operation structures. But we're not in that environment. So we're in a government environment, where everybody kind of has to take what they can get. We see as government professionals, and we've done this, and I took over a center for the County because they wanted to get rid of EMS and fire dispatch, or wanted to consolidate it with police dispatch, and I said no problem, I'll do it. They still control it ultimately because they control the money, but they said, here, Sheriff, you take it, we've got emergency management, we've got addressing, we got the 911 money, we'll own the radios, we'll own the system, but it's yours, and the line item comes over.

If you do that with Sheriff Tony what you have done is taken away the ability of them to point their fingers at you. You still ultimately control the process because you have the purse strings, and like you said no on can just open up the checkbook and say, well, here it is, and just spend all the money you want

to. They still have to live within the guidelines of the fiscal budget that's provided. And we hear them talking about economies of scale, a blending two to one, let me go find it. Let them do it.

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

My point is this. If you -- if you listened to all of that, for whatever reasons it is, but you say, you know, I'm going to recommend to the Board of County Commissioners, rather than have this Commission maybe recommend to the Board of County Commissioners, that I'll sit down with the Sheriff and say, Sheriff, you say you'll do this, you'll have the Police Chiefs Association, the Fire Association to have voting rights to operational issues, to how we RFP, or, you know, equipment, technology updates, whatever, and then they take that, the Sheriff puts the request in the budget, ultimately the County Administrator, the Board of County Commissioners has fiscal control over everything.

To me it seems like you're just, you, you are moving a headache to the subject matter experts, and you're now saying at this juncture

that was then before the blend happened, and now the people who were saying we're not going to cooperate if the Sheriff runs it stood up here today and said as long as we have a seat at the table, and a vote to make sure that we can direct the operations, and the technology needs, understanding, and my question was take, take the economic side of it, because we're going to, if, if we wave the magic wand and you get it you're still under the same economic constraints as if the County is managing, then my question to you as, as one professional administrator to another, if I, if I were running the, if I was the county administrator in the county next I'd look at you and say, Bertha, from Grady, give it to them, you've got the control over it, give it to them and let them deal with that, just let them work through that, because ultimately if they come to you and say, hey, now that we've got it we need \$15 million more next year, and you say, well, that's great ladies and gentlemen, but we only have \$5, so get a third of whatever you needed.

force the communication together. I can

Ultimately it seems to me that that will

2.4

2.5

imagine -- I -- and maybe I can't imagine, as massive as this county is that you run that you don't have the time. There's no way you can craft enough time in a twelve or fourteen hour day, which is probably your average day, to sit down and deal with an operational issue at that level. So I guess my, my end question to you, and I don't, is, Lord have Mercy, if they want it why in the heck wouldn't you give it to them, and then they're responsible, and that Sheriff is responsible, and he has to get re-elected. If he doesn't deliver the services that the people need and deserve, and that way you all are out from under this, this finger pointing, and ultimately you're the check and balance because you're in charge of the money anyway.

MR. HENRY: Okay, to respond, I think we have to -- once again I want to make sure everybody understands what, what we're talking about. We probably have close to half a billion dollars worth of infrastructure in the, in these totality of all the systems that we have. It's a lot of money. And -- and I have -- I, Bertha, would have to have confidence

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

305-376-8800

that this entity that I'm turning over these assets to had the ability and the wherewithal to manage those facilities that way that I, that., that we would, particularly since we're putting quite a bit of money into these facilities as we speak.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

The issues that I've heard thus far isn't the people that actually monitor the radio, and make sure that all of the towers and things are working, and the systems are maintained, it's about who gets to make operational decisions. That's what this, what appears to me this fight is about. So if you're asking me could I look at a system that would give them more operational decision making in the system, absolutely. If you're asking me to turn over all of these assets to my now fourth Sheriff, and I have confidence that they're going to be managed and maintained the way that they should; no, I do not.

SHERIFF JUDD: The issue is when you look at assets, in the State of Florida -- I'm saying this for every else, you know.

MR. HENRY: Of course.

SHERIFF JUDD: Every building that the BSO

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007

1 is in is your asset.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MR. HENRY: You've got it.

SHERIFF JUDD: It's the County asset.

MR. HENRY: You've got it.

SHERIFF JUDD: Every jail is your asset.

MR. HENRY: Correct.

SHERIFF JUDD: So you're not turning over anything you don't already own anyway; do you see what I'm saying? But there is an elected official that's directly responsible, and it takes the heat off of you, so what I'm, what, what I'm hearing is that you don't have the confidence that the Sheriff's Office, Police Chiefs Association, Fire Association can manage the, manage that operation.

MR. HENRY: What I don't have confidence in, that they, that there is the expertise available in the Sheriff's Office to manage these assets. Because I think we're talking -- I want to make sure we're talking about the same thing, because, yes, you're right, we own the buildings, but when it's time to replace the roof the County does that, I mean so -- so -- and I maintain the, the assets to be able to do that. And that's what I'm talking about.

800-726-7007

So if you're asking me could we come up with something that we could look at to allow cities to have, or the police and fire chiefs to have more operational wherewithal in the system, I think that we can. Do I feel comfortable recommending to my Board that I turn all, turn over all these assets to the Sheriff's Office right now, and the Police Chiefs and Fire Chiefs Association, no, I do not.

SHERIFF JUDD: Here's -- here's my -- and maybe you can help explain this to me. And -- and I equate this to my shop. If I need a new update on my, my computer system, hardware, software, whatever, you get your subject matter experts together, and they say here's our needs, here's our needs, here's our needs, here's our needs, you write an RFP, and you go through the process. Then you take that RFP and you to the funding source, IE., the County, and you say, look, the system is ten years old, twelve years old, we've done the RFP, you know, and here's the cost, and, and the, you put it in your budget, it comes over in your budget, you're good to go, we got the budget money, you're right, your

time for it, and you buy it.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The difference is the process is managed by the end user, and it's financed by the County. It's not that the end users say we need all this, and then it has to go over to the County, who doesn't have to manage anything, and decide, hm, we can wait five more years, so to me I think the issue is, and I don't know what the will of Board is, that we look at a process, because the County Commission and the County Administrator ultimately have oversight with the fiscal side of it, that you put the responsibility in the shop that should be dealing with the responsibility.

The County government doesn't need to be in the dispatch business any more than they need to be in the business of answering calls for service, or working a paramedic scene or a fire scene. They need to fund it. There's checks and balances, and oversights in the process, but it seems like to me you could get the same outcome, you could push the responsibility down, hold them liable, and then be able to sit back from an auditing

800-726-7007

perspective and go, okay, I gave you what you wanted, and why aren't we getting there.

But what I'm hearing is you're not comfortable that they're capable of doing that.

MR. HENRY: That's correct.

SHERIFF JUDD: Thank you.

CHAIR: Commissioner Petty, you're next.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Ms. Henry, thank you for being here today. So -- so you talked a lot about how we got here, and that was helpful context, and it's always in my view helpful to get both sides of the issue, so thank you for walking us through that. What I'm looking for right now is your honest assessment of where we're at today.

MR. HENRY: My honest assessment is that the technology is in good -- I mean it's -- when we're done we're going to have the best technology bar none, clearly in the state, and from around the country. That's not just my assessment, that comes from people that have come into our system, like the president of NINA to look at, wow, so the technology isn't broken. I -- so when I hear that I go I just don't buy that, the technology isn't broken.

2.

2.2

2.4

What I believe is broken is as the Chief said early on, this, there is some communication issues that we have, and so when I look at, when I look at what's been going on on the, on the log records and other governance, and how much work is getting done there, there's no -- nobody is talking about all that work that's getting done, but there's work getting done.

Do I believe that there needs to be, I need to see improvement, with, with our BSO operator, absolutely I'm not comfortable, and I'm not the only one that's not comfortable. When I hear that this is, you know, this is the consensus, this is the consensus of the Police and Fire Chiefs, I can, you know, maybe that is true, and then I'm trying to -- okay, so what is that they're really -- I'm trying to listen, what is it they're really upset about.

So I heard one of the things that they're really, really upset about, is this channel consolidation. Nobody asked did it happen.

No, it didn't happen. They raised some concerns about it. We're trying to talk about it. I've had the consultants come down a

2.

2.2

2.5

couple of times, and ultimately, you know, I told the guys, I said when they came back, and some of these things did get to me, look, we have a radio system, two radio systems now we have to stand up, let that be our focus, and at some point we should sit down and have that talk, but in the meantime they need to talk about how it affects them operationally so that all of that information is right out front for everybody to, to address.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So there has been no channel consolidations, the recommendation came from the consultant and, and I have strongly considered it because from day one we were told we, this, we were going to get this, this consolidated system down to be, you know, we, County Commission, you can save so much money, the taxpayers can save this money. None of that's happening yet, so I have to keep pushing this issue.

The other issue is closest unit response. My God, if we've been having conversations about closest unit response, I heard in the '90's, I wasn't there, I came here in 1996, came to the County in 1996, so it's been going

on since 1996, but yup, it may have been going on so -- okay, so the charter changed 2002, yes, so now we're going to really get it done. It's now 2019 and we're finally making some headway on that.

Why do you -- why -- you know, if you ask me why do I think we finally made some headway on that, because someone said that I threatened them with the equipment. And so that we can all be clear what I said was my commission fulfilled their part in 2002, they have paid for the infrastructure, they put all this equipment in the vehicles, and we still don't have closest unit response. It's now 2019, or '18, so here's what I'm going to recommend to my commission, that we don't spend any more money until we get a plan for closest unit response.

I did say that. But I also said, and if your system is really in bad shape you can go ahead and purchase it, and we will reimburse you your costs when we have our plan, so nobody was going to ever be without any money. But I -- I would have to -- I would have to say that in Bertha's opinion, that it would never have

2.

2.2

happened unless we, you know, lit a fire. And that's all I cared about, lighting that fire, because there, there are ALS licenses that are granted saying we do closest unit response.

Well, you know, I know we don't do closest unit response. Yes, there are run cards that says, well, if this, then that, that, that, but within their community -- but if our lines -- with thirty one cities our lines are so porous, you don't know, so why wouldn't you have that in the system.

2.2

2.5

And -- and for me twenty years is a long time to trying to figure that out. And other communities are doing it, other communities are doing it, and it's really just on the fire side, and its, it's maybe twenty five percent of the calls that would be impacted, I don't know, there's some study that said it wouldn't even be that. We're not talking about a hundred percent of the calls, we're talking about life threatening calls, why wouldn't we want to do that.

So, yes, I just want that, I want clarity on that. Not once did I say at the end of the day if you, you go ahead and buy it, but once

1	you've spent the money that's too bad. The
2	intent was always to fulfill the County's
3	obligation, but we needed to get them moving.
4	Twenty some odd years is too long.
5	COMMISSIONER PETTY: Okay, so I think
6	MR. HENRY: I'm trying to see who's
7	speaking.
8	COMMISSIONER PETTY: There there was a
9	lot yeah, I'm still going here.
10	MR. HENRY: Okay. No, I you were
11	looking down at the table
12	COMMISSIONER PETTY: So technology I
13	think, I think we've heard from the
14	stakeholders that the technology plan in place
15	is, it's a great system, it will solve a lot of
16	the technology problems that, that the County
17	experienced during the MSD tragedy, and
18	earlier, so I think, I think we're aligned on
19	tech. You mentioned the impasse has really
20	been about communications, it's really been
21	about the stakeholders communicating.
22	MR. HENRY: Correct.
23	COMMISSIONER PETTY: So I'm interested to
24	understand, understanding where we are today,

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

great technology, we've made some progress on

closest unit response, and some other great things. We're making some progress on radio towers hopefully. How do we -- if the -- if the solution is not to give operational control to BSO how do we move forward, what's, what's your recommendation for moving forward, and how do we solve this, because I think we, I think we all agree that the users of the system are unhappy, they're unhappy with it because not only do they want to replace the roof, they're asking for a new floor, a new wing, they want some new windows, it's not, and they want to be able to make those operational changes without having to ask permission all the time, I guess is --

2.2

MR. HENRY: Well, I don't -- I'm not quite sure what you mean by that, because I want to make sure I understand. So the buildings that, that they're in right now, they're not our buildings. They -- we lease the buildings from the municipalities.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: I'm sorry, I was using your analogy of replacing, replacing a roof. I mean we're -- the roof is long overdue for replacement, and look, I think we're

replacing the roof. But what they're asking for is not a replacement of the roof, they're asking for some new rooms, a new configuration of those rooms, some new equipment in those rooms. That's what the users are asking for, so the police chiefs and the fire chiefs are frustrated at the pace with which they're able to make changes and use the system, so I'm just -- my question to you is if the, if he issue, or the solution is not to hand control to the Sheriff so that those changes can be made what is, what is your recommendation, what is your path forward?

MR. HENRY: My -- my recommendation is what is, today what is in the system that people, we really, we really aren't using, and, and that is -- and I -- and I'm willing to make sure that unequivocally if, if the members, the participants in the system want to see something that affects their own, their, just their jurisdiction, they don't have to talk to anybody, they go to tell them, they get it done, they, you know, we get the ticket, we read it, we get it done, because it's not impacting the system, it's just a field

800-726-7007

2.2

operation for that municipality.

If there are field operations that affect the, the system, my colleagues have said that they want to be able to weigh in on that, and because ultimately, believe it or not, not everybody agrees. I have -- I'm hanging onto a city right now that's a participant in a city, you talk about Coconut Creek, and you talk about Margate and others, but there are other cities that, well, County, you all, you promised, or BSO promised they were going to do this, they're not doing that. Well, you know, enough's enough, so they made a change. have -- I have -- I have municipalities that, I mean, I, you know, I hear what the police and fire chiefs are saying, but that's not what I'm, I'm hearing.

My team just went out to that city about a month ago, two months ago, once again trying to keep them. Hey, so we'll figure out what, what they did, and, and, yeah, they had made a change, and nobody knew about it. Those are the things that cities are concerned about, and so if, if we call can agree that if, if the changes that they're making, and my, these,

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

305-376-8800

it's all fine with the system, what, I don't care. I'm -- I'm with you, Chief, that, you know, make, make those changes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

But if in fact it is going to have global impacts, and, and cities need to weigh in on whether this is something that they want to do -- and not all cities -- and I'm not going to -- I'm not going to say this is all -- but there are -- Pembroke Pines is my second largest city. I have to care about whether they're getting what they believe they, they should be getting. And so when you tell -when -- when we, if we can all agree, and I'm happy to have that conversation with the police chiefs and -- and the Broward City County Managers Association, they, they create sub-committees all the time. I am happy to have them create a sub-committee to work with the Police and Fire Chiefs Association so we can all get concurrence about what it is that's going to make everybody happy.

But today I'm not, I am, I am, I cannot comfortably say that I would, that I can recommend that we just turn over the system, I can't.

800-726-7007

2.4

COMMISSIONER PETTY: So let question. I got the sense in listening to the Chiefs, and I know you were, you were here for, for that too, that, that the trust -- and Commissioner Lystad mentioned this earlier today, that the trust is broken, and that's a difficult thing to, to restore. So if this is going to work going forward on, on the plan that you just outlined, how do we restore the trust?

MR. HENRY: So trust is restored when all the partners live up to the commitments that they make. So if I'm willing to live up to my commitments they have to be willing to live up to theirs as well. When people live up to the commitments that they've made that's where trust starts to come back. And sometimes it's not -- it's -- someone said it needs to start small, and it gets bigger, and bigger, and bigger, and bigger, and bigger, and maybe at some point we all one big happy family and it's not an issue, but today it's, it's trust, it's distrust on both sides. I don't disagree with that. But it's clearly on my side as well.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley, you're next.

MR. HENRY: I'm sorry.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Thank you for being here today, and thank you for providing the information you have. And I hear your frustration, and I heard it from, the frustration from the police chiefs and the fire chiefs, so what I also know, this group is frustrated, this commission is frustrated because clearly it's broken. And you, you may not believe it's broken but it is broken. Ιt broke in the airport incident, it broke in Parkland incident, and it just broke here recently from my understanding, where it went down a couple hours. So it's broken when you have police departments that participate in it for free willing to leave it, a free service, and go pay millions of dollars annually to create their own service.

So it's broken. There's no other way to say it. There's no -- there's plenty of evidence that it's broken, and it's not working, and the fear, at least from this commission, is that it's going to happen again in the next mass killing or the next mass incident. It's going to break down when everybody needs it the most, because nothing is

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

more important in public safety than emergency communications. Nothing's more important to that. You've got to be able to communicate to get services to wherever the incident is.

So with that, do the cities, do the municipalities that participate in the program, do they contribute any revenue towards that program, via contracts, allocations, or taxes, do they contribute anything towards the consolidated emergency communications system?

MR. HENRY: No, it comes out of the County's budget.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: So it's a shared service amongst all residents of Broward County, so there's nothing exclusive about it, everybody shares, everybody shares the service, all the citizens except those cities that do their elusive communications themselves.

MR. HENRY: Right. And even in some of those cities that we provide, there are services that we provide that their residents take advantage of. They may not be in the, the consolidated communication, from that, from that standpoint, but there are other assets that County provide that the do take advantage

2.2

2.3

2.4

of, and we're fine with that.

2.2

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Do you know how many employees the Broward County Sheriff's Office has?

MR. HENRY: They are probably right around six thousand or so.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: And it's you belief that they don't have the ability to manage a communications system?

MR. HENRY: So let's -- a communications system, we should be -- you know, again I want to understand what, what that mean, because that, that means different things to different people.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: IT technology --

MR. HENRY: If you're talking about just - if -- I'm going to start with, with clearly
call taking and dispatch. You have some of
your cities that are up front saying, no, they
don't do a good job, and I don't -- they -- and
they're the recipients, the recipient of that
service from us through a contract, but yeah,
they, they, they question whether or not they
do a good job at that. Are they working on it?
I -- I, God, I hope so, and I think that they

are, but they're not there yet.

2.2

2.3

2.4

If you're asking me whether or not they have the expertise to take over this infrastructure the answer is no. When we were having -- there was a point in time when the, several Sheriffs ago they went out and they hired an IT person to do some stuff on the radio. Oh my God, the radio system was down for many mega hours, and why, because that person didn't understand, didn't know, and the only way the system got back and running, they ended up reaching out to us to get some technical expertise to get, to make that happen. So the answer is they don't have, I, no, I don't believe that they have the technical expertise to do that.

The reason I'm so, I feel so passionate about this, is because the people who make the system run every day, go up and down, not the people making decisions about whether or not the field operations can happen or not, but the people that, that sits behind mega computers trying to make all this stuff, make sure it's all going, these people have years of experience doing what they do, and they can do

it like that, it's quick, they know it, they 1 understand it, they've been doing it for years. 3

So somehow somebody just automatically assumed that these people, oh, yeah, we'll go work for the Sheriff --

SHERIFF ASHLEY: How many of them are there? How many network administrators do you have --

MR. HENRY: Oh my God, we have -- can you -- we'll get you a number in just a second, because it's -- I know just to -- so he'll get a number for you.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: So you have a number of network administrators in charge of maintaining the system.

MR. HENRY: The E-911, the radio, the towers, the -- I mean it's, it's, it runs the whole gamut of all the services, the CAD, everything that we're providing.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: And so the, so the County manages those individuals, and thereby they manage the emergency communication system.

> MR. HENRY: Correct.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: So we'll -- as an analogy -- I'm a simple minded fellow so I like

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

analogies. So you pay for the Sheriff's Office 1 evidence facility; do you not? 3 MR. HENRY: I'm sorry, say it again. SHERIFF ASHLEY: Do -- do you not pay for 4 5 the Sheriff's Office evidence facility, where he stores all of his evidence, his criminal 6 evidence? MR. HENRY: 8 Yes. 9 SHERIFF ASHLEY: Do you have any access to 10 that facility? 11 MR. HENRY: No. 12 SHERIFF ASHLEY: Do you have any control 13 over that facility? MR. HENRY: Under certain circumstances. 14 15 SHERIFF ASHLEY: I can't imagine what that 16 would be, but --MR. HENRY: No, again, with their 17 18 concurrence, you know, if you've got to go in 19 and make repairs you've got to be able, and 20 they're of a nature that they don't do, then, 21 yes, we have access with their concurrence. 2.2 SHERIFF ASHLEY: It's the same with communications. We -- in Okaloosa County the 23 24 Sheriff's Office manages emergency

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

communications, so we have all the IT

specialists, we have all the network specialists, we have all the contracts and vendors that manage our system for us, and so I'm not sure why it would be such a big swing, or something you couldn't overcome in order to turn over those resources and consolidate this under a single entity, and remove the County's management of it rather than its funding of it.

And I'll ask it another way. If the Sheriff submits his budget, his certified budget this coming budget year, which we're in the middle of, and says I need \$60 million in capital outlay in order to improve our communications system, and your County Commission says no, we're not going to give you that, we're in charge of that, and he takes that to the Governor, and the Governor says there is a need for this, this is broken, and he appeals this denial by your County Commission, certainly the Governor can say I agree with the Sheriff, I agree with the Police Chiefs, I agree with the Fire Chiefs, County, this capital outlay will be provided; do you agree with that? Do you believe he has the authority to do that?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

There are certain budget items that under the statutes the Sheriff has the ability to appeal in his budget. I can't tell you that, today that communications is one of them.

2.2

2.5

SHERIFF ASHLEY: I can tell you that it is. The capital outlay is one of those, personnel, operation costs, and capital outlay, he can appeal all of those.

MR. HENRY: For -- for communications?

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Capital outlay is

communications.

MR. HENRY: No, I understand, but again, my understanding, and clearly there are, there are services that our Sheriff provides that he would not be able to appeal to the Governor's Office, so I'm just suggesting for a moment that I would take a look at that, because I don't know how -- how large is your unincorporated area in Okaloosa County?

SHERIFF ASHLEY: We're -- we're not nearly as large. We're two hundred and twenty thousand.

MR. HENRY: No, but I mean what is the -is it forty percent, fifty percent, the
percentage of your --

1	SHERIFF ASHLEY: Eighty percent.
2	MR. HENRY: Eight percent unincorporated.
3	SHERIFF ASHLEY: Unincorporated. We have
4	nine municipalities, all very small
5	municipalities, but
6	MR. HENRY: See, we're we our
7	unincorporated area is less than three percent,
8	and so everything else is incorporated, and
9	those are municipal level responsibilities that
10	the County had decided to do on its behalf.
11	SHERIFF ASHLEY: On its behalf, but those
12	municipal
13	MR. HENRY: On cities on municipalities
14	
15	SHERIFF ASHLEY: So you want to get into
16	dual, dual taxation. Every one of those
17	municipal residents are a County resident as
18	well; would you agree?
19	MR. HENRY: Yes.
20	SHERIFF ASHLEY: And they pay County
21	taxes?
22	MR. HENRY: Yes.
23	SHERIFF ASHLEY: And they pay city taxes.
24	MR. HENRY: Yes.
25	SHERIFF ASHLEY: So I don't I don't

Understand what your, what your logic there is telling me, that it's only three percent. They pay taxes. They pay dual taxes for a service that they don't believe they're getting.

2.

2.2

2.5

MR. HENRY: But what I will also say, that there is nothing it the statute that says that the County Commission is required to provide call taking and dispatch for a municipality.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Then why are you doing it?

MR. HENRY: Because we thought it was a great idea.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: So you've heard from the end users, is what I'm trying to get at, who say that it's not working. So if you're not responsible for it, and you don't have to do it, why not turn it over to the people who are responsible for it, and that have to do it? That's my, my final say. But thank you for being here, and for your information.

MR. HENRY: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR: Okay, so we need to wrap this up.

Commissioner Harpring briefly, Commissioner

Dodd, and then we're going to wrap up.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

SHERIFF HAPRRING: I'll be direct. And I really appreciate your comments, and your statements. There are multiple sides to every story. What you say has validity, as what the others before you said, but it seems to some extent that it's kind of like we're, you know, on a carousel at a circus that's, you know, stuck inside a carnival somewhere. You can tell I've been sitting next to Sheriff Judd for a number of, number of year here now, it seems like something he might say, so thank you for that, Sheriff.

The -- you know, you mentioned committees and sub-committees. To me those have always been places that good ideas go to die. Is there any reason why you, your counter parts, and by that I mean the, you know, the, the municipal administrators, the city managers, and those with authority, IE., someone from the Sheriff's Office, someone who can speak with authority, can sit at the table and resolve these issues in some short term, at your level, not at some delegated level, or some committee level?

MR. HENRY: Yes. Yes.

2.

2.2

2.5

SHERIFF HAPRRING: And are you wiling to
initiate those discussions in a relatively
short period of time?

MR. HENRY: Yes.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Okay. Is there any
reason that ORCAT has to exist?

MR. HENRY: Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHERIFF HAPRRING: And does that have to do with your concerns that you've articulated relative to the ownership, the IT systems that you are currently involved in and maintaining; is that correct?

MR. HENRY: That's one of the reasons, yes.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Okay. And finally, you talked about your desire to go to, your preference would be one County owned dispatch center with a back up that is also County owned, something that's not leased by, or leased from the municipalities; is that correct?

MR. HENRY: That's correct.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Is there any reason why
-- or let me ask you this. Is there a plan to
do that? Do you have some plan in place to

look for property, put out RFPs for construction, all those kinds of things? If that's what you desire to do has the Commission indicated to you that they don't want you to go down that road, or is there some impediment to doing that?

2.2

2.3

2.5

MR. HENRY: No, the Commission has -- the Commission hasn't done that. What we -- we develop a capital plan, and we present it to the Commission. And it's usually five years out, so I know -- I would love to say that, you know, everything can go at the moment, but we're at like \$120 million into this current program that we have right now, so now this, this center has to get in the budget queue to get that done.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: Is it in your five year plan now?

MR. HENRY: Go ahead. Alfonso, go ahead.

CHAIR: Just go ahead and talk. He'll
turn it one.

MR. JEFFERSON: Alfonso Jefferson,
Assistant County Administrator. The center
itself, right now we have funding to start
doing the conceptual designs and programming

for the particular center itself. We have identified a particular location. Right now I don't feel comfortable in identifying that location because within Broward County we are fully incorporated, and we have to work with our municipalities in order to get certain things done within those particular municipalities.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But we've already identified the location of it. We already have started the design and the programming for that. We will be bringing the stakeholders on board to actually start having that discussions regarding the program component to make sure that everything that we need within that dispatch center incorporates what the stakeholders want in that dispatch center as well.

MR. HENRY: So -- so what he means is -because I do need to -- I just want to make
sure I can clarify this. So here's our, our
five year plan, and that in one year we say we
need a couple hundred thousand to do some
reconnaissance kind of work. And then the next
year we put in some money for something else.
The next year may be land acquisition. The

next year it might be the capital. So it spans over a five year period.

SHERIFF HAPRRING: We're going to meet again I believe in August, and I just would love to have a report back in August as to not that issue but the, the issue of you getting together with your counterparts, as well as the law enforcement and fire individuals. And I would love to hear that you've had a meeting, and this is the direction that we're going.

MR. HENRY: I commit to you I will have a meeting.

CHAIR: All right, last one. Commissioner Dodd, then we're going to, then we're done.

COMMISSIONER DODD: I have two brief --

CHAIR: Go ahead.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMMISSIONER DODD: I mean there's obviously, you know, a communication problem. I'm hearing mixed -- I think with this unwillingness to cooperate, and to compromise, and it comes in my view at a big risk to public safety. I'm skeptical that improvements can be made at this point without a fresh start. It's been very frustrating for me as a Board member hearing all of the oral arguments, or

discussions that we've had regarding this, and because of the fact it's already been sixteen months since the attack at Marjorie Stoneman Douglas High School will very, no, with no real radio communication solutions, I think it's time for a change.

And I think that you trust the Sheriff's Office with fire services, I don't know, maybe the only Sheriff's Office in Florida now that runs the, runs fire services, but I think a fresh start for all the stakeholders, and for your administration too, to give ORCAT to the Sheriff's Office if he's wiling to run it. the stakeholders have said their willing for the Sheriff to take over that responsibility then I think that needs, that's what should happen, and that you, your administration can see it as a fresh start, and let the Sheriff be responsible, he's an elected official, and stay focused on your job with emergency management, which I know you've spent time on that, and that's a very important part to the citizenry here in, in Broward County, is to be ready for emergency management incidents. Thank you.

CHAIR: Ms. Henry, we certainly appreciate

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

you being here today, and spending pretty much all afternoon and part of the morning with us. I'll say that your cooperation, your access, and the communication and dialogue that we've had, and I appreciate that, because it's all in the interest of trying to find some resolution to this, and we look forward to be able to continue talking with you, and dialoguing with you, and to do whatever we can as a commission to help resolve this issue, because I think we all, and I don't think that anybody would disagree that we all want the same thing, and that is resolution, and an effective system, and that's the whole goal.

You know, and I've heard the commission members make their comments, their recommendations, I don't know that we will have a motion, or a resolution, and a vote, and to the extent that we do it's just that anyway. I think from my discussions with you, and knowing you, sort of, but well enough now is, is that I can tell you that I don't think she's giving it up, and absent the Board of County Commissioners telling her to give it up it ain't gonna happen.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.2

23

24

25

So I'll ask you, consistent with what Commissioner Harpring mentioned, is will you over the next two months, and we meet again here in August, will you convene a group of the stakeholders, including Sheriff Tony, Chief Rosa, or whoever, Chief Stanley, is the President of the Police Chief Association designates, and/or herself, and Chief Babinec, and some, whoever is designated as far as the City Managers are concerned, and just sit down, and one of the things you said, and I wrote it down, is you said that you're willing to look at a system that gives them more operational control, but not control over assets, and that we can give more operational wherewithal to the chiefs, and see if you all can come back in August with at least an outline, and some general plan, because that does seem like that it moves the needle in the direction that the stakeholders are looking for, and if you can come back with some slightest movement in that direction then that's a positive step.

So I'll -- and I'll communicate with you between now and then, but I just ask that you would give that some consideration, and, and at

least try. And come back with Sheriff Tony, and come back with those chiefs, and let's see where things are in the next sixty days.

MR. HENRY: Okay. There was just comment I really, I want to, I want to respond to, because I would hate to walk away from this podium and leave you the impression that since the incident at Marjorie Stoneman Douglas we've done nothing, because I, you know, that, that's very troubling to me. You know the -- I think we were all horrified about what happened there, and I think we were all desperately trying to find out what happened from a communications system. We know there were lapses all over the place, but I wanted to understand what happened from the communications system.

So we all know that there were call transfer issues, you all talked about that, but I think it's important to say that there have been meetings between Coral Springs and the, the system, the regional system, and BSO, just to close some gaps there. There have been — the — the system — everyone knows our system is over, there's nearly, pushing thirty years

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

old, and we have been, I mean the team has been working night and day to get that, get those systems up.

There was -- there was -- we -- we found ourselves in the conversation about how do we get the school board radios off the system. We have been -- I mean we've worked night and day to make that happen. They were not in our original plan, but we worked night and day to make that happen. Those radios are now programmed. They go -- they are going on recess, and we're going to spend the rest of this summer making sure that those, that they are completely off the system and we have freed up capacity.

So I -- I just want to make sure that there is -- I don't want to leave the impression for the, for the, for the group, that we've done nothing. You know we heard about the training and the, the throttling, and the, the other issues. I would take issue with some of the things that have been said here about when they first found out because I know some of that not to be true, but it's irrelevant.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

The fact of the matter is we jumped on a training program right away, and involved the, some of the participants in the police and fire chiefs to get that done. So it's not that nothing's happened, a lot has happened. We've all jumped to as many hoops as we can to make sure that we are as ready and poises, and positioned as we can be if we have another incident.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Sheriff has said to me he is now, in fact he said to my County Commission at his budget workshop I am taking my team through MIMS training, I am doing that. I will contended that I'm still waiting for a fleet You know part of this whole conversation about, well, the County is, they don't let us, you know, we can't all, we have to all cut off our radio, and lots of other things like that, well, in, in some of the other counties I'm sure people, the officers know where they are to go in their system. That doesn't exist here. We're still waiting on that. desperately want that to happen, but that is an operational issue, it's not mine, but we keep pushing, we're pushing, say let's, let's make

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 sure that that happen.

2.2

2.5

But everything on the technology side that can be done, it is moving, and it is hopping, and I don't want to walk away from the podium to have anybody think that we have been dragging our feet, and we haven't been moving expeditiously to take care of those issues. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you for being here. Mr. Jefferson, Mr. Jackson, thank you for being here as well. And we'll be in touch, and we look forward to talking to you. Okay, thank you. All right, so we have one public comment. Ronald Barish, you got three minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

MR. BARISH: My name is Ronald B. Barish,
Tracy Jackson. 911 towers, I just want to let
you know the West Park has voted on it, passed.
Tamarac has voted on it, passed. It should be
starting construction, it should the next
school year, during the next school year, okay?
Three hundred feet high, lights a hundred feet
-- lights will be a hundred feet, a hundred and
fifty feet high, okay, in accordance with that.

For the record, Alfonso Jefferson and

Tracy Jackson were partly responsible for lack of communication for 911. He was in charge of E-911 call taking to dispatching and regional communications. Failed. Failed February 14, 2018, therefore I am asking, officially asking Tracy Jackson to step down because of a lack of accountability of 911 communications on February 14, 2018.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Also the Promise Program, revamp it for two chances for a child to be arrested. After two chances the child, the student should be arrested, not six or seven. Cruz had fifty five incidents, okay. For Scott Peterson, a photo that I was, that was taken by ABC World News was on February 18, 2015, the Broward School Board meeting, I was sitting right behind Scott Peterson on the right in the, in the picture. At that time he was also, it was BSO officer for MSDHS, I heard him say that he will keep the students safe and secure in so many words. That was then. This is now, okay. And also it changed it three hundred sixty degrees.

Also -- also, you're cleaning house, there's one to go, all right. Also, metal

detectors, Runcie doesn't, doesn't really want them, but I think that we should have them, and that's what I'm saying to you. And also that the towers will encompass Tamarac, Coral Springs, North Lauderdale, parts of Margate, Coconut Creek and Parkland, okay, so that's what I wanted to tell you.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

And also we should have mental health services, more mental health services, and I recommend a licensed psychologist in every middle and high school. Guidance counselors must do their job for mental health for their students. And that's what I wanted to tell you. And these metal detectors, it also has a backpack that changes into a bulletproof vest. Please understand we have to do something now, it's been too long.

CHAIR: Okay, Mr. Barish. Thank you. Thank you, you're done.

MR. BARISH: Thank you very much.

CHAIR: So what's the will of the, of the commission? We're missing some members now.

Some had to leave, it's late. We can have further discussion about this, and consider any motions that you want, or we can pick this up

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

again in August at the beginning of the meeting, and invite back, as I said, Ms. Henry. Sheriff Tony will be available in August. He's told me he'll be available. Any other stakeholders, and just see where they are, and then consider at that point what if anything we want to do as far as a motion, and any formal recommendations that we may send to anybody.

2.2

2.3

I mean really the only formal recommendations that I could see sending to anybody that would have any relevance would be, is to send it to the County Commission. I mean they're -- you know, ultimately if there's going to be any change, and the County Administrator is opposed to it, that's the only entity that can force her to do it, and perhaps we just sit, wait, see what happens, and then pick this up again in August. So what's the will of the group?

SHERIFF JUDD: Mr. Chairman, you're exactly right. We submitted our report to the legislature and, and they acted on part of it with our current law. I think our job, at least at this juncture, is to check and balance, to set timelines, and hold people

accountable until they comply with the law, and until they resolve the issues. We know the communications system was a major issue. We also know that the Broward County has set aside, I'm told, \$59 million to improve the infrastructure.

We also know that the trust is bankrupt in the current operations, so at the end of the day I agree with you, but I don't think we just send a resolution if it, from the commission, I think we bring the Board of County

Commissioners in here one at a time, and we ask them a series of questions, and go what are you going to do about it, because if, if we, if we don't reach an affirmative request and, and put the direct responsibility upon the people that have to make a decision, then we're going to continue to get what we have gotten, because at the end of the day we're talking to top level administrators.

We're talking to the County Administrator. We're talking to the Chiefs of Fire, the Chiefs of Police. We're talking to the Sheriff, and still the operational people tell us it's a dysfunctional mess. And -- and when you ask

2.2

2.3

2.4

about communicating, they're not communicating, so I think -- I think we kind of march this down in a small circle, and then we deal with it.

CHAIR: So -- so what I'm going to do,

Commissioner, or County Commissioner Michael

Udine is here. He's asked to make a statement.

I'm going to allow him to make a statement

briefly. We're not going to engage in a lot of

dialogue, questions. He wants to make a

statement, and we'll consider at the next

meeting what we do in that regard. But since

Commissioner Udine is here and he wants to make

a statement, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER UDINE: Thank you. Thank you for your service. I've been attending most of the meetings. I was the former Mayor of Parkland. I had students in the, children in the school that day. My daughter was a senior that year. I know Max Schachter's family, most of the Pollack's, the Petty's lived around the corner from me. I - - I served County Commission District 3, which covers Parkland, Coral Springs, Tamarac, and North Lauderdale, where two of the tower sites that needed to be

800-726-7007

2.2

built were located.

2.2

2.3

2.4

It's been a long eighteen months to get those tower sites sited. It has not been pleasant or easy. I think that your letter helped last year with Tamarac, because around this time last year, it was last summer when we attended meetings, and we've been fighting and pushing with the City of Hollywood to make sure that that tower is sited in the proper place.

There's been a lot of information left out, but I'll just leave it at it was the City of Hollywood that recommended that site. We had -- there was another site within the park. There's a water park there. There's a water tower there. I've been there. It's not really that huge of an issue when you look at the entire surrounding community. That being said, the Parkland tower is under construction. That tower will be finished in July. These are not complicated to build once you get the sites. The Tamarac tower is under construction. That tower will be finished in July.

This system would have been up and running by 2019, as was promised. I asked the consultant at the County Commission meeting

back in November if we didn't wait around for six months dealing with siting it somewhere else, where people pretty much knew that it couldn't go anyway, it's a park with a water tower already in place, you're not ruining anything that's not already there, this was a simple way to do it.

I just must point out though for the record over the last eighteen months there was not one police officer that came, one sheriff's deputy who uses that system that came to help at those meetings to say we need that system. I'm not casting blame on anybody, I'm just saying if I was a police officer in one of those green uniforms, and I knew that I had to be subject to this system, I would be screaming at the top of my lungs to get this finished. And it would have been a big help to have public safety officers come to these meetings where there were votes that needed to be taken to provide a talking point about what was needed on a public safety platform, because like you said yourself Sheriff, Sheriff Judd, the difference between a three hundred foot tower and a twenty foot gravesite is, is pretty

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

stark, and it's pretty sobering. And I -- and I agree with what you said with that issue, that these towers, everybody is using cell phones, and cellular devices now, and if we want to use that technology we need to have that in place.

So I'm committed to working with the Board of County Commissioners, any municipality in my district. I voted for the two towers where they are. I'm sure they're not going to be popular votes at some point, but I'm committed because I believe that it's a public safety, public safety imperative that we get that done. I'm committed to work with the police officers, the fire chiefs, the police chiefs, the police I've worked with the City of Coral Springs. I've facilitated some of the meetings with Mr. Schachter with the City of Coral Springs, with the City of Tamarac, Ms. Henry, and, and staff from the County Administration was there, and you have my commitment as one member of the County Commission.

CHAIR: Well, and in addition to that you've been here today, and you've heard the testimony, and you've heard the discussion.

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

What is equally troubling, and puts public safety at risk, is all this dysfunction within the 911 system, and I would ask you as a sitting County Commissioner, that I really think that it's going to take the County Commission to be involved in this, and to facilitate a resolution.

I think it is at such a level that it's going to be a challenge for the current people to resolve this. I hope they can, and we'll do everything we can to help them, but I'd ask you to please take note of the discussion over the last six hours today and please, and with your colleagues on the County Commission, fix this.

COMMISSIONER UDINE: I've taken note of it. I intend to stay on top of it. And I also have taken note of the fact that all sides have to work together. There cannot just be one side that's completely funding an unlimited checkbook of ideas, and that's where the rub is always going to come on this, because like Sheriff Ashley has stated everyone is going to have skin in the game on this thing, and it's very easy to say we want to take all the, the costs out of a municipal budget and put it onto

2.2

2.3

2.4

a County budget, but we want all this level of service.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Any level of service that anybody wants on any one of these systems can be paid for, can be purchased. I've heard some terms, concierge service. You can get concierge service, you can get it in any one of our social services in any of these different call agencies, you just would have to pay for it. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you, Commissioner, I appreciate you being here. So we'll bring this up, ask them to come, as we were talking about in August. The plan is -- I want to see if there's any different views on it, is, is that I think it's time in August to bring Superintendent Runcie back. We said we were going to do that. I'm sure that you all want to hear from him again, and have follow up with him. Ask Sheriff Tony to come, we have a few things to follow up on.

I'm going to change what I've been doing the last couple times on the agenda, in putting it at the end of the second day, a commission discussion on where we're going moving forward. I'm probably going to put that at the first of

the agenda next time, because we don't get to that. I do think that we have to have some discussion, and some serious discussion about that. We're missing a lot of commissioners here right now so this isn't the time to do it for a number of reasons, but I think we really need to have a real serious discussion about the path forward, and perhaps that we, maybe, I don't think August, but perhaps the October meeting, and don't have a December meeting, and let all what is taking effect sit for a while, and give it a break for a little bit.

You know, there is a lot that is in 7030. There's a lot that's in 7026. There's a lot in the Governor's executive order. There's a lot of wheels that are in motion, and I think we can have a productive meeting with bringing Superintendent Runcie back, Sheriff Tony, get an update on the things with the executive order that have to take affect July 1st, et cetera, try and deal with this communication issue, talk about what we want to put in a next report, perhaps we have an October meeting that deals with a next report.

But at that point, you know, my feeling

2.2

2.3

2.4

is, is that we give it a break for a while, and we let all these things take hold, and maybe meet again some time next year with an update.

But I don't see -- we've done Yeoman's work, the commission has made a difference, but I don't see keeping it going at this pace much longer, and I think we need to bring it in for a landing.

So that's my take, and I don't know what you all think about that, but I wanted to give you -- I want you all to give that some though.

SHERIFF JUDD: My last comment is they need to know we're not going away, they've got to fix the problems.

CHAIR: Right.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Sheriff, I'm not sure if
I missed the reconciliation of if this
commission is going to name those two school
districts or not, if that was ever --

CHAIR: Sheriff Judd did make an announcement on that. He talked to Commissioner Corcoran.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Okay.

SHERIFF JUDD: Yes, Commissioner Corcoran said clearly and unequivocally that the ones

that are not prepared to be in compliance on August 1st he's going to call out the district and the schools, and he invited me to be there. He said I'll do a press conference, and you can stand beside me. And Sheriff Gualtieri said I want to stand beside him too. So the shot over the bow to them is you are going to be called out August 1st if you're, you're not prepared to be in compliance on the first day of school.

SHERIFF ASHLEY: Thank you. I don't know where I was at, but I missed that. The other thing, Chair, is once we get past this, and this commission allows this, these 7026/7030 to settle in, and the Governor's executive order, moving forward after that then the, since all these issues affect every school district, and every citizen in Florida, I'll make another motion that we start moving our meetings around the state so other stakeholders other than Broward County residents can have a say.

CHAIR: Okay. Anybody have anything else before we go to the -- Commissioner Petty.

COMMISSIONER PETTY: Just one comment. I just want to thank the commission, and the commission staff, for the great work putting

2.2

2.3

2.4

these meetings together, countless hours that I'll never, I'll never know about, and it's very much appreciated. I will say to, to those from the County, from Broward County that are still here, as a resident of Broward County I am, I am disturbed that over a year later we're still suffering from some of the same issues that precipitated the tragedy that took the life of my daughter and sixteen others, and I would expect by our next meeting that, that those in positions of responsibility in this County will have gotten together and had some discussions, and made some progress, and be ready to come back here and report that back to us, or, Mr. Chair, I would, I would argue that our work is not yet done, and we're going to have to make some very stern recommendations for changes here in Broward County.

CHAIR: Okay. Well, we'll put that, you know, put that discussion -- we're not -- as far as where we go, and we'll have a better idea, we'll put that on the agenda early on for August, and whatever the will of the group is. I just throw it out as give it some thought, that's all I ask. And I agree with you, we're

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

not going away, none of us are. We haven't come this far - - and I'm not suggesting that we should, but just give some thought as to, you know, exactly what we're going to be doing going forward.

So with that everybody travel safe, and we'll see you in August. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the meeting concluded.)

	Page 417
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	(STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	(COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
5	
6	I, NATHANIEL TORO, Reporter, certify that I was
7	authorized to and did report the foregoing
8	proceedings and that the transcript is a true and
9	correct transcription of my notes of the
10	proceedings.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Nathaniel Toro
16	
17	NATHANIEL TORO, Reporter
18	Commission: GG 111434
19	Expires: 06/04/2021
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

[**& - 911**] Page 418

&	1:00 179:20,23	233:12 265:22	6
& 107:14	1st 69:8 178:24	286:18 287:21	6 40:6 252:3
0	179:15 412:20	294:21 327:12	60 337:2 386:12
	414:2,8	372:4,14 407:24	67 39:25 40:9
06/04/2021 417:19	2	2019/2020 7:10,19	6th 90:6
1	2 243:4 251:17	42:24 43:20 44:6,9	7
10 223:22	252:3 254:9,11	46:13 53:4 62:11	7 223:22
10:45 120:10,13	20 37:9	64:7,21 65:12	70 337:2
11 198:3	20's 324:9	2021 95:6	7026 21:22 39:24
11-13 67:16	2002 102:10,19	21-24 67:23	70:17 71:6 412:14
111434 417:18	192:25 197:4	21st 67:23	7026/7030 414:13
11:00 120:13	198:10 372:2,11	23-26 68:2	7030 4:10,20 15:8
11:30 331:20	2006 332:2	24/6 297:25	15:14 19:9 21:22
12 1:18 40:4 97:1	2007-2014 197:16	24/7 81:18,24 82:2 298:8	40:1 54:3 78:13
252:11	2009 127:20		80:10 81:1,3
12.50 243:9	2010 198:3	25 265:22 287:21 294:21	412:13
120 392:13	2011 102:22	2:00 247:13	8
13.3 65:20	2011/12 304:14	2nd 68:5 229:13	
135 229:1	2012 103:2,5		8 223:22
14 402:4,8	198:20	3	80 337:2
14-16 67:9	2013 103:8 104:22	3 406:23	8:30 1:7
15 363:20	263:11,18 264:2	30 229:2	9
15.9 324:1	325:21	30,000 324:9	9 223:22
16 112:4 123:4	2014 105:3 115:12	30th 68:4	9.4 229:1
164 90:1,6 91:15	229:9 230:18	32 1:22	90's 196:13 371:24
17 109:9 110:22	235:16 238:25	33323 1:4	911 35:6 86:14 96:1
112:6	247:8	365 297:25	96:3,5,17 97:1,2,16
17381 417:15	2015 138:17 402:15	3rd 322:17	99:2 104:3,8 105:2
18 110:20 111:5,17	2016 88:7 107:14	4	109:13 111:1
286:17 372:15 402:15	108:22 109:8 121:1	4 252:3 253:4	112:22 114:21
18-20 67:18	121:5	43 299:16 360:24	121:20 127:25
18th 181:19	2017 110:7 138:24	4:00 331:8	128:15 180:3
19/2020 37:9	231:2 233:12	5	193:18 198:7
19/2020 37.9 1980's 229:9	248:22 298:16	5 1:6 40:6 363:23	202:17 203:21
1984 196:10 200:20	2017/2018 57:23	5.3 65:21	216:3 218:6 223:24
1994 229:5	2018 88:14 112:8	5.3 05.21 50 337:2	231:4,14 232:8,10
1996 371:24,25	280:21 299:9 402:5 402:8	50 337.2 59 93:11,12 405:5	236:11,18,20 249:3
372:1	2019 1:6 95:7	5:30 1:7	255:15 257:25
1997 197:2 229:7	112:17 121:3,6	J.50 1.7	270:22 306:14,20
	138:17 231:23		309:24 312:6,11,24
		ral Calutions	313:1 324:15

[911 - addressed] Page 419

226 10 247 24	254 10 255 24	11 1 101 0	10 15 15 0 0 50 1
336:19 345:24	354:18 366:24	accomplish 191:9	43:15 45:2,3 52:1
361:16 384:16	368:25 375:13	account 71:23	52:18,25 53:8
401:17 402:2,3,7	376:7 377:4 381:3	accountability	59:12 60:4 62:2,2
410:3	385:19 387:15	47:20,24 183:8	64:11 67:8,15
a	396:7	235:20 402:7	73:16 76:9 234:19
a.m. 1:7	abnormal 301:22	accountable 48:4	290:18 302:21
aaron 65:16	303:14	72:16,17 405:1	312:19 321:17
abc 402:14	abruptly 165:13	accounted 233:8	actively 98:21
	absence 268:20	accounts 132:18	activities 334:23
abcdefg 342:21	absent 1:22 47:20	140:25	354:10
abilities 231:10	396:23	accredited 229:5,7	activity 337:15
ability 48:3 63:2	absolute 50:17	accurate 153:22	actors 321:25
82:6,17,21 83:10	131:8 186:2 265:13	258:24	acts 10:24
119:9 133:13	266:5	achieve 184:9	actual 25:24
137:15 144:25	absolutely 29:10	260:25 273:11	130:17 133:4 148:8
145:15 184:4	118:20 172:25,25	277:12 278:16	265:15
190:19 225:16	176:8 214:15	299:1	add 17:9 23:8 39:4
231:9 234:23	217:22 222:8	achieved 298:19	47:19 66:13 67:4
247:20 272:20	291:21,21 299:21	achievements	73:1 84:13 119:21
273:2 278:25	301:21 303:5	272:19	234:24 327:21
282:15 289:25	316:16 319:25		
295:18 298:2 304:9		achieving 277:3	added 6:15,16
325:18 361:20	325:15 327:2 336:2	acknowledgment	32:21 38:19 65:11
365:2 382:8 387:2	338:13 346:12,12	144:17	233:9,11
abject 176:6	346:24 348:12,14	acquire 273:4	addition 31:15 60:5
able 7:6 12:6 27:24	356:16 365:16	acquired 278:24	71:1 80:13 106:1
33:13,18,19,20	370:12	acquisition 393:25	276:17 409:23
39:15 47:9,15	accept 21:13	act 23:7	additional 74:15
55:14 57:10 64:3	149:23 325:4	acted 129:18 296:7	153:24 210:15
71:23 77:21 82:10	acceptable 52:22	404:22	233:9,11 256:24
104:5 118:22 138:8	70:21 118:21	acting 105:22	additionally 317:23
151:5 152:24	139:15 309:17	248:18 269:2 270:4	address 12:5 74:3
158:10,11 161:1	352:19	293:20	107:11 110:13
181:15 182:15	accepted 265:21	action 3:23 20:13	201:5,10 229:12
	accepting 238:19	20:13 34:4 61:17	234:16,18 237:11
183:22 185:24	access 69:3 263:6	89:3 167:9 200:15	237:16 238:13
186:18 203:23	279:16,17 343:12	260:10 269:21	274:16 286:2
207:13 216:7	343:13 385:9,21	277:22 280:12	302:20 312:25
219:17 220:12,13	396:3	298:25 322:15	315:8 345:1 371:10
240:13,17 255:22	accessibility 279:22	activation 289:20	addressed 182:18
255:23 256:10	accessible 45:1	active 31:10 32:6	250:13
306:14 347:18	61:25	34:3,4 41:25 42:5,6	
348:21 349:4,13,14	31.23	5 1.5,1 11.25 12.5,0	
-	Varitant I as		•

	1-1-1-1		1.50 1.1.50 5.0
addressing 34:3	174:7 211:10,24	advisory 110:4	158:4,4 162:20
315:7 320:21	212:4,6 213:13	139:3,18 261:14,17	176:25 178:5
361:16	214:2 216:18,19,25	261:23	193:13 229:5
adds 50:23	217:5,21 218:3,4	advocacy 139:16	234:22 249:13
adequate 257:4	220:15 222:24	265:17 269:14,15	263:3,20 336:6
adhere 263:5 336:8	225:5 227:2 239:7	advocate 267:11	agency's 132:16
adhering 2:21	241:6 248:2,3	advocated 334:15	150:1
adjust 280:3	250:6,14 259:12	affairs 302:6	agenda 2:4 180:3
adjusted 274:22	263:11 266:4	affect 87:11 96:19	411:22 412:1
278:24	293:13 323:14	106:16 137:22	415:22
adjustments 273:7	325:11 331:8,12,16	145:7 158:19	aggregate 46:2
279:6	346:20 350:9 360:4	185:15 226:5	aggressive 69:24
administrating	362:20 363:13,14	296:14 340:5,8	ago 86:24 89:17
218:6	368:11 392:23	377:2 412:20	112:16 147:18
administration	404:15 405:21	414:16	165:3 216:21
81:8 105:1 129:4	administrator's	affiliated 233:19	229:14 239:3
134:14,18 138:9	218:6	affirmative 92:10	248:21 256:17
145:10 154:9	administrators	174:15 207:16,19	274:7 290:22
159:24 203:12,15	10:23 226:19 384:7	211:1 405:15	295:24 322:14
204:12 206:14	384:14 390:18	afford 182:22	326:18,19,21
212:2,9 227:21	405:20	afforded 262:1	334:25 355:1
229:25 262:4,8,24	admissions 284:9	afternoon 126:12	377:19,19 383:6
264:2 267:19 271:3	adopt 42:5	201:22 208:13	agree 22:15 53:20
276:23 298:22	adopted 132:17	209:1 228:14	75:11 77:17 88:25
299:5 304:12	133:9 134:24	259:17 270:2 396:2	89:1 95:15 114:19
395:12,17 409:20	136:18,22	age 52:4 57:4	114:24 123:11
administration's	adopting 7:11,23	190:15 191:15	131:14 168:18
128:22	adoption 107:20	agencies 102:3	170:4 173:3 176:25
administrative	advance 265:11	108:4 112:2 130:1	177:2 187:4,7,9
28:8 128:5 136:5	advanced 240:16	137:23 145:25	207:6 225:19
148:12 162:6	advantage 255:20	149:10 154:22	235:25 251:24
182:20 262:17	381:22,25	155:5 156:8 164:7	261:2 282:18
269:10	advantages 255:14	164:22 171:1 175:1	301:16 317:13
administrator	advent 272:3	177:25 182:10	375:8 377:24
81:14 88:2 99:18	adversely 96:23	184:21 190:9 193:5	378:13 386:21,21
105:16 106:18	98:5	194:9,17 197:8	386:22,24 388:18
109:23 110:1,9,11	advice 55:1 143:4	411:8	405:9 409:2 415:25
111:6 112:6 116:5	143:18	agency 106:22	agreed 121:22
126:11 139:12	advise 283:23	114:14 133:4	139:15 142:22
169:9,21 172:1	advised 205:7	137:12 150:1	207:8 264:1 304:5
173:12,19,25 174:3	278:16	155:19 156:15,18	333:13 335:12

344:13	ain't 116:24 352:3	amazing 294.22	answer 12:2 65:2
		amazing 284:23 amended 102:12	
agreeing 68:21	396:25		70:8 71:13 92:1
agreement 106:3 107:18 191:23	air 9:4 58:4 150:14	amendment 102:19	106:17,18 152:24
	151:2,10	amicable 264:14	176:3 180:24 183:2
194:18 292:10,12	airport 95:11	amicably 262:21	184:19 192:18
304:16,20 332:8	144:13 380:10	amount 40:8 72:6	201:17 202:25
335:23 336:1,8	alert 52:4	140:22 156:11	203:23,24 205:9
351:6,7,9 353:23	alerting 210:21	242:22 253:2,3	207:13 210:22
agreements 68:24	alfonso 251:7	308:13 312:8	212:11 222:5,12
104:21,23 107:21	392:19,22 401:25	analogies 385:1	232:15 237:4
279:13 284:8	alice 46:13,14,15	analogy 375:23	242:10 245:21
333:10 335:23,25	51:20 58:24 76:14	384:24	250:22 253:17
agrees 175:22	aligned 374:18	analysis 75:20	256:22 269:23
377:6	allay 176:15	analytics 83:2,7,10	295:5 304:16 305:5
ahca 1:17	allocated 39:25	83:11	306:14,20 307:15
ahead 10:13,14	214:4 323:15	analyze 294:13	308:11 315:4
16:24 21:9 27:1	allocation 276:7	analyzed 196:4	324:14 325:14
34:23 35:21 36:14	allocations 381:8	ancillary 128:5	330:17 338:11
39:10 40:19 44:10	allotting 195:18	angela 270:3	345:5 349:24
47:1,18 58:14	allow 18:2 60:11	angie 105:20,22	350:19 354:14
66:12 69:21 72:24	82:25 83:4 133:15	125:11 225:4	383:4,14
73:21 79:2 80:2	145:21 158:2	248:17 250:25	answered 38:12
92:14 95:1 118:5	160:24 181:2	259:10 267:21	62:5 64:24 79:11
119:18 120:20,24	185:13 235:5 241:7	269:3,22,25 290:15	158:19 162:1
124:11 148:2	295:19 342:8 367:2	326:12 331:6 353:6	204:14 232:10,16
152:20 155:15	406:8	angle 323:2	234:9 258:4,11,18
166:17 174:11	allowed 130:8	angst 278:6 288:18	291:18 295:6,12
178:18 180:2	163:25	289:23	308:2,5 312:7,9
186:22 188:3 192:4	allowing 281:5	animal 247:14	answering 48:11
204:17 211:20	343:3	animosity 351:24	48:11 226:9 233:6
224:11 242:13	allows 287:11	annie 78:4 79:2,18	263:16 312:9 328:1
245:5 249:16	414:13	80:2 86:10	368:18
253:22 305:1 315:4	alluded 54:17	announcement	answers 64:2
330:20 360:1	215:2 260:18	413:21	116:20 119:6 120:3
372:21 373:25	alluding 47:3	annual 181:5	144:8 154:20
392:19,19,20	169:18	299:14,24	203:18,19 227:12
394:16 406:14	als 373:3	annually 380:16	250:4
aid 87:3 190:13	alter 281:20 317:4	anomalies 322:9	anthony 126:17
298:11	alternative 88:16	anomaly 324:24	127:2
aided 106:6	152:15 268:20	anonymous 82:17	anticipate 167:16
		82:20	

anybody 25:10 appears 206:10 57:1,4 92:25 areas 33:9 35:20 49:1 365:12 104:22 111:23 71:4 50:25 62:25 69:20 applaud 196:16 123:23 129:14 108: 84:4,10 97:24 applicability 28:6 143:9 146:14 213: 126:12 162:9 226:7 applicants 70:12 175:19 178:11 argua	ably 28:24 191:2 218:13
33:9 35:20 49:1 365:12 104:22 111:23 71:4 50:25 62:25 69:20 applaud 196:16 123:23 129:14 108: 84:4,10 97:24 applicability 28:6 143:9 146:14 213: 126:12 162:9 226:7 applicants 70:12 175:19 178:11 argua	1 107:23,23 :12 204:23 :5 ably 28:24 : 191:2 218:13
50:25 62:25 69:20 applaud 196:16 123:23 129:14 108: 84:4,10 97:24 applicability 28:6 143:9 146:14 213: 126:12 162:9 226:7 applicants 70:12 175:19 178:11 argua	:12 204:23 :5 ably 28:24 : 191:2 218:13
84:4,10 97:24 applicability 28:6 143:9 146:14 213: 126:12 162:9 226:7 applicants 70:12 175:19 178:11 argua	:5 ably 28:24 : 191:2 218:13
126:12 162:9 226:7 applicants 70:12 175:19 178:11 argua	ably 28:24 191:2 218:13
	191:2 218:13
281:17 311:6 340:6 application 65:19 240:8 266:14 argue	
344:5 347:24 66:8 269:8 appropriately 415:	
	nent 15:13
The state of the s	:22 276:5 277:9
	:6 281:16
408:13 411:3 applies 15:15 approval 149:24 309:	
	nents 394:25
	133:14
	211:6
	d 34:14 37:4
	ng 329:22
	235:24
364:17 366:8 appointing 354:4 91:3 247:	
	ted 119:25
	:10,12
	al 232:11
	e 262:4
	ed 232:21
	late 219:13
	llated 137:4
	:22 262:7
	:20 391:9
	cial 329:25
	cially 327:25
	78:12
	347:10
	y 1:16 21:8,9
1 1 1	0,16 40:20
apparently 93:25 appreciation april's 89:10 47:1	8,19 79:19,20
	25 148:2,3
	:15 152:12,19
	:8 200:24
	:16,18 205:2,13
	:12,14,22 243:1
	:5,19 251:15,21
Variant Legal Solutions	-

[ashley - august] Page 423

299:12,13,17,20,22	aspects 127:24	116:1 126:16,25	assurances 274:9
301:11,18,22	160:18 260:20	127:5 129:12,22,23	assure 207:22
303:13 304:3,7,18	262:17	129:25 144:20	atlantic 237:21,22
303:13 304:3,7,18	assailant 34:3,5	147:3 166:20	238:8,9
380:1 381:13 382:2	41:25 42:6 45:3	168:21 170:19	attack 395:3
382:7,15 384:6,13	52:18 56:6 59:12	171:3 173:8,16,17	
384:20,24 385:4,9	62:2 73:16 321:18	171.3 173.8,10,17	attempt 207:5
/ /			attempted 236:20
385:12,15,22 387:5	assaulted 86:1	177:1,2 179:23	attempting 108:3
387:10,20 388:1,3	assess 226:3 346:25	180:6,8,12,16,17	
388:11,15,20,23,25	assessment 67:21	181:21,22 183:6	attempts 142:2
389:10,14 410:22	369:14,16,21	184:1,8,12,15,16	attend 201:19
413:16,23 414:10	asset 366:1,3,5	184:18 187:8	352:20
ashley's 210:13	assets 275:4 365:2	195:19 196:15	attendance 180:21
aside 93:11 199:2	365:17,22 366:19	202:13 207:14	203:8 204:13
213:11 214:1	366:24 367:7	225:22 250:8,12	211:25 268:13
246:24 263:10	381:24 397:14	325:5 336:15 337:8	attended 141:1
330:2 405:5	assigned 6:10	337:10 338:2	407:7
asked 48:24 78:6	29:22 32:23 132:25	350:22 351:1,8	attendees 1:11
79:10 81:5 82:8	139:25 153:7,20	359:11,12 362:14	attending 406:16
108:15 110:24	234:18 271:22	362:15 366:14,14	attention 231:11
120:19 140:12	302:20	367:9 378:16,19	316:11,24 323:2,3
144:7 157:14	assignments 298:7	397:7	327:24 328:3
184:24 202:24	assist 33:6 289:3	association's 127:6	attitude 163:12
212:5 226:16 228:5	assistance 331:2	186:8	attitudes 184:5
229:12 246:20	assistant 1:13	associations 109:11	attorney 1:13
249:11 270:7	25:14,21 26:3,6,9	111:13 130:13	109:24
313:13 330:2	87:24 141:11,16	131:13 133:1	attorney's 168:9
336:14 338:9,14	154:11 201:23	176:22 261:17	attorneys 242:20
345:3 347:14	251:6 270:4 305:2	351:2	attribute 245:12,13
370:22 406:7	305:5,10,14,18	assume 115:18,21	315:1
407:24	306:4,8,11 307:5	298:10 303:21	auburndale 1:13
asking 23:4 25:24	309:4,16 310:1,5	assumed 112:13	168:9
77:4 140:15 155:24	311:18 314:6,12	384:4	audience 141:12
184:15 194:19	392:23	assuming 116:7	165:20,21,24
203:17 243:19,25	assoc 1:23	244:4 245:18 249:5	226:22
346:10 354:1,3	associated 211:11	271:13 301:19	audio 272:1 316:25
365:13,16 367:1	associates 107:14	306:22	auditing 74:13
375:11 376:1,3,5	196:14	assumption 212:12	368:25
383:2 402:5,5	association 99:13	assurance 272:2	auditor 109:23
aspect 214:17	99:14 100:8,11,22	281:10,14,24	august 14:22 68:5
263:1 283:22 329:9	106:11 115:20	282:12 315:21	69:7 178:24 179:15

[august - base] Page 424

394:4,5 397:4,17	avl 191:4,5	200:19 203:1	bad 21:5 76:6
404:1,3,18 411:13	avoid 34:11 115:10	215:16 220:20	85:19 87:15 123:3
411:15 412:9 414:2	awards 67:3	224:6,7 227:11,12	174:18 224:8
414:8 415:23 416:7	aware 36:1 129:10	227:24 232:8 234:6	251:16,22 252:23
authored 110:21	135:24 173:23	235:15 238:16	253:7 266:18 321:9
112:19 155:23	184:11 207:15	240:14 241:24	345:22,22 372:20
authorities 204:20	212:13 250:9 299:6	247:19 252:7,16	374:1
authority 4:20 9:22	310:15	255:3 256:14	baffled 59:14
19:10 132:25 135:7	awareness 47:15	259:12 269:25	bag 62:24
184:5 187:20		278:22 281:14	bail 216:24 252:4
215:14 266:24	b	282:9,10,14 283:5	baker 32:17 66:7
289:13 386:25	b 340:6 401:16	284:7 286:6,9	balance 40:2,9
390:19,21	babinec 115:25	288:11 289:10	94:12 364:16
authorize 31:13	180:4,6,9,13	296:10,15 297:15	404:25
279:6	186:11,13 187:24	308:12,21 309:19	balances 368:21
authorized 30:25	188:3,5 189:15	317:7,24 318:9	ballot 110:25
31:3,22 43:14	191:25 193:10	325:21 327:17	196:24
417:7	195:18 201:16	330:11 331:11	ballpark 40:3
authorizes 31:19	209:11 210:18	333:19 336:11	243:3
authors 155:22	211:13 220:22	339:3 341:19	baninec 179:21
autiors 133.22	221:13 224:17	346:24 349:22	200:23 212:21
automated 312:13	225:21 227:10	353:25 354:2	214:14 218:21
312:14	397:8	355:25 356:12,16	226:24
automatic 193:16	babinoff 224:17	356:17 368:25	baninec's 194:15
automatically	back 3:3 5:12 6:19	371:2 379:16	bankrupt 360:14
137:13 312:16	9:13 18:12 19:7	383:11 391:18	360:16,17,18,22
384:3	20:3,22 22:20 28:9	394:5 397:16,21	405:7
availability 158:1	30:23 31:16,23	398:1,2 404:2	bar 369:19
279:21	32:14 36:16 41:20	408:1 411:16	barish 401:14,16
available 2:17	42:1,18 43:15,25	412:18 415:14,14	401:16 403:18,20
34:18 35:9 40:8,10	45:4,24 51:7 52:19	backdrop 23:21	barrier 24:14
40:11 83:1 84:19	60:6,9 61:4 62:17	108:1	36:20 113:8 162:3
100:2 180:23	64:5,12 65:8 66:23	backed 258:2	barriers 270:9
297:23 309:15	67:9 82:11 83:8	background 97:3,9	bartlett 1:13 25:14
312:17 366:18	88:23 89:20 94:1	101:24 108:1	25:21 26:3,6,9
404:3,4	108:19 117:1	127:13,17 163:19	305:1,2,5,10,14,18
avenue 219:16	120:20 122:25	191:18 252:13	306:4,8,11 307:5
237:21 238:8 279:8	134:11 148:9,13	backlogged 258:2	309:4,16 310:1,5
average 301:23,23	155:1,2 169:16	backpack 403:15	311:18 314:6,12
364:5	177:5 180:1,9	backup 73:4,9	base 324:11
JUT.J	185:7 190:4,22	Dackup 13.4,7	Dast J∠4.11
	192:8 196:9 200:6		

[based - bit] Page 425

based 51:12 52:4	326:22 349:18,20	believed 262:20	130:25 135:5
55:22 56:19 61:14	404:1	believes 113:20	141:13 161:8,12
65:22 73:12 87:16	begins 286:5	154:9	191:19 236:2,2
100:10 107:19	begun 38:20	bell 6:10,11,22,22	240:17 243:21
206:20 208:11	behalf 3:3 58:16	belong 275:18	253:15 258:20
211:3 233:5 242:25	106:10 119:16	289:12	267:18 275:23
276:19 286:13	133:1 176:18	benchmark 277:6	278:3,3 304:11
297:21 301:23	179:22 180:18	278:4 324:23	313:15 322:7 349:4
321:19 360:10	188:19 215:14	benchmarks 277:1	356:16 415:21
basic 335:8,9	224:19 225:24	277:4	beyond 115:6
343:15	227:5 279:9 359:11	benefit 7:25 33:9	197:18 318:21
basically 79:5	359:13 388:10,11	235:10 262:10	356:24
184:25 190:22	behavioral 302:4	301:1 338:15	bifurcated 96:4,19
277:25 291:10	behoove 216:7	benefited 273:6	96:22 98:4 124:22
292:1 297:5,18	beings 17:11	benefits 332:13	224:7
312:24	belief 260:19 382:7	bertha 88:2 99:19	bifurcation 125:7
basing 150:9	believe 21:22 22:1	106:17 111:6,18	big 63:12 73:13
basis 4:11,14 25:16	26:4 32:7 36:8,9	331:13 343:2	100:17,18 213:3
184:7 297:12,25	48:21 76:13 111:7	358:15 359:10	216:17 255:14
299:24 328:8	114:8 116:2 121:19	363:16 364:25	256:4 266:18
bathrooms 85:12	123:1 130:4 131:18	bertha's 372:25	348:22,23 349:7
battle 101:15 214:1	133:20 135:6,8	best 3:7 8:23 33:17	354:22 379:19
bayag 202:24,25	139:10 141:4 145:3	44:6,12,15,17,19	386:4 394:21
206:18 212:24	145:18,21 146:6,17	45:16 46:18 47:23	408:18
227:7 251:5 293:22	146:25 154:8 155:9	52:11 61:16 70:17	bigger 26:10,14,15
bb&t 1:3	157:21 158:4	90:22 91:5 129:19	212:16 219:15
beach 28:3,23	172:17 173:20	136:12 145:1	286:4 379:18,18,19
29:12 194:22	175:4 176:10 180:6	146:24 167:9,23,24	biggest 26:18
237:21,22	183:10 209:13	170:1 172:1,21,24	131:14 227:18
beautiful 2:11	213:1 214:14 215:9	188:9 196:21	billion 364:22
becoming 197:14	216:12 220:25	201:17 213:6 219:2	bills 53:22 244:5
198:17 263:14	238:15 248:22	230:15 235:7 244:1	binder 247:24
bedrooms 213:4	251:11 255:1 314:7	245:20 260:22	bit 38:5,6 52:13
beers 209:1	324:1 327:2,12	265:11 266:7	65:15 68:17 103:24
beg 359:22	328:14 329:12	269:15,20 301:23	127:12 155:2
began 121:24	334:13 345:12	329:4 335:11	165:22 170:21
137:24 271:2,3,22	355:12 370:1,10	336:21 337:18	192:8,13 195:22
332:12	377:5 378:11 380:9	369:18	238:6 245:19
beginning 27:4	383:15 386:24	better 25:2 43:11	270:16 289:7,9
70:6 106:21 117:4	389:4 394:4 409:12	72:11 77:5,6,6,17	344:20 348:2 358:2
133:19 172:17		87:20 96:17 114:5	365:5 412:12

[blame - broward] Page 426

blame 408:13	bob 1:12	breakdown 6:18	339:18 340:23,24
blanket 185:10	bodies 181:23	36:4 73:25 74:24	347:3 355:6,10
bldgs 281:9	body 131:4 132:23	214:12	broward 28:17
bleed 74:23	bold 174:14	brett 212:24 251:5	35:23 36:10 48:1
blend 363:1	bolo 232:2	brian 63:19	63:20 86:15,16,18
blending 362:4	bomb 85:16	bridge 346:11	86:22 87:7 88:22
block 90:20 269:11	bombs 58:7	352:1	89:4,25 90:4,23
329:24	book 1:22 214:24	brief 120:24 124:7	91:11 92:15 93:2,3
board 1:21,23 3:2	214:25	260:9 394:15	93:7 94:6,19 96:1,3
5:20 12:20 16:13	books 347:17	briefings 233:24	97:6,11,14 98:8,12
16:14,21 17:12	boots 222:14	briefly 101:20	99:2,12,13,17,18
19:11 39:15 42:5	border 229:3	165:10 327:7	100:7,9,14 101:6
71:20 84:23 89:4	boredom 56:7	389:24 406:9	102:7,12,15,20
89:14,16 92:5	bosses 146:7,12	bring 2:5 14:8	103:7 104:16 105:7
100:9 103:3,3,9	185:8 227:11	91:16 116:19 144:9	106:11 110:21
110:18 120:1 127:4	bother 274:25	220:9 405:11	111:14 115:16,20
132:19 136:25	bottom 30:21 31:5	411:11,15 413:7	115:22,24 117:12
137:2 165:16 169:7	120:4 224:9 252:23	bringing 198:10	119:14,16 125:3
171:19 173:7,17,22	265:14 297:2	274:25 288:10	126:16,23,24 127:4
187:12,16 206:23	298:25	393:11 412:17	128:2,12,21 129:3
208:21 213:18,18	bought 335:10	brings 51:17	129:9,11,13,21,23
213:23 217:9,18	boulevard 107:8	227:11 236:1	129:24 132:1
218:3 219:5 220:13	141:10 237:22	broke 199:23 223:4	134:22 136:11
222:11 249:14	238:9	346:16 380:10,10	137:19 138:2,16,23
261:14,18,24	bounce 313:11	380:11	139:14 143:22
262:13 272:14	boundaries 107:9	broken 109:6	144:6,19 145:2,10
277:2 289:19 332:7	193:7 332:15	116:10 119:13	145:16 146:1 147:2
334:10 341:1	bounds 353:12	139:5 165:18,18	147:4 157:22
345:18 346:3	bow 414:7	201:3 314:10,11	161:20 166:19
360:19 362:9,11,20	box 121:11,13	341:8,8,9 356:24	167:8 170:2,8,16
367:6 368:9 393:12	209:25	369:24,25 370:1	170:23,23 171:10
394:24 396:23	boxes 195:4	379:6 380:8,9,9,13	172:11 173:9
399:6 402:16	break 19:6 20:3,4	380:18,20 386:18	177:11 180:5,10,11
405:11 409:7	22:20 85:11 108:18	broker 169:7	180:16 181:20,21
board's 183:18	116:25 119:19	346:15	183:5,17 184:1,22
boards 16:19 17:4	120:14 172:6	brought 5:17,17	187:7 188:11 194:2
106:2,2,5 132:1,11	178:17,19 259:11	67:24 71:20 133:5	194:4,23 196:5
132:13 137:6,11	323:12 327:6	137:23 161:10	204:19,23,25
285:16	330:20 380:24	172:16 231:11	214:18 225:3
boat 244:14	412:12 413:1	247:23 271:17	226:19,20,25 227:2
		276:15 317:18	227:6 228:12,21,24

[broward - calls] Page 427

229:6 235:25 238:1	365:25 370:11	bullet 216:17	194:5 232:12,14,15
259:8,15,25 260:4	375:5 377:11	bulletproof 403:15	232:22 233:6,7,16
260:21,23 261:12	398:22 402:19	bunch 56:24 58:13	234:11,25 235:4
261:16 262:16,19	bso's 175:16	119:3	236:13,18 237:3
263:3,11,13,13	176:15 260:24	bureaucratic	238:3,17,19 242:4
265:7,22 270:5	318:11 348:22	113:16	247:11,12,17
312:6 314:15	budge 214:1	busier 150:19	249:21 250:1,1
316:10 331:12,15	budget 40:1 158:8	busiest 324:22	253:10,12,17
331:16 360:12	243:13,14,17 276:1	business 103:19	255:24 256:23
378:15 381:14	299:15 309:21	146:19 164:12	257:24,25,25 258:4
382:3 393:4 395:23	358:17 362:2,19	167:15 258:10	258:10,17 263:16
402:15 405:4	367:23,24,25	264:9 318:8 368:17	274:2 286:11 287:1
414:20 415:4,5,18	381:12 386:10,11	368:18	287:13 292:3,4,4
bruce 1:13	386:11 387:1,3	businesses 145:2	292:20 294:13,14
bso 63:21 103:17	392:15 400:12	164:10	294:19,23 295:4
106:18,21 109:1	410:25 411:1	busy 140:21 324:16	297:21 302:17
111:7 115:18,21,23	budgetary 163:5	butch 235:24	308:11,17,20,21,25
116:4,7 124:22	164:19	buy 34:18 63:15	309:6,10,12 311:1
125:18,20 126:3,4	budgeted 323:17	241:9 336:22	311:19 312:7,7,9
126:11 145:25	budgeting 271:7	344:24 368:1	312:14,16,18 313:1
158:21 174:19,23	297:16	369:25 373:25	313:19,24,25 314:3
175:5 177:6 181:22	budgets 104:6	c	322:1 326:16,25
185:25 186:4	build 349:4,6 356:8	c 417:1,1	331:11 340:11
230:10 233:1	356:9 360:14	cad 106:5 132:2	342:3 343:4,7
246:22 247:5	407:20	178:7 191:4 203:21	344:1,10 377:24
248:18 259:13,16	building 88:17 91:1	235:3 240:12 241:8	382:18 389:9
264:2 266:18,22	97:1 252:11 289:2	241:10,12,14,15,18	398:18 402:3 411:8
267:6,8,18 268:7,8	297:5 298:4,12	241:19 242:1	414:2
268:10 270:21	299:2 336:20	273:15 316:25	called 2:1 18:9 20:8
271:12,14 272:12	348:17,23 352:1	343:12 384:18	20:23 42:10 97:2
272:14,15,20	365:25	cafeteria 51:3	106:9 132:8 232:8
275:14,14 276:21	buildings 288:14	85:19	232:13 236:10
278:6,11,21,25	289:12 290:5 297:4	call 2:3 8:3,8 17:7	282:1 297:18 332:2
282:14 283:12,15	297:9,15 298:1	17:20 21:6 22:19	358:3 414:7
285:7,10,18,19,21	300:3 313:12	35:7 75:12 81:15	caller 238:10,12
286:1,6,21 292:11	322:12 366:22	96:11,12,20 97:1,5	286:25 287:3 307:4
292:19 293:7	375:18,20,20	97:7,7,10,16 98:1,3	callers 235:18
311:11 319:16	built 83:3,7 89:21	109:13 121:20	calling 238:13
320:13 322:23	407:1	125:5 132:4 148:10	247:17 250:6
326:5 334:24 345:5	bull 117:22	149:14 150:14	calls 30:9 80:5
348:5,13,15 359:3		155:8 179:3 193:2	87:14 148:12,12
T. Control of the Con		I .	T. Control of the Con

[calls - chair] Page 428

150:13 176:18	car 255:17	ceased 139:16	312:8 332:19 333:1
192:25 197:7	carbon 6:8	cede 134:7	333:4 334:22 348:3
232:10 234:10,15	card 168:4 191:3	ceding 262:18	354:8,10 385:14
234:19 236:20	218:1	cell 232:11 409:3	387:1 393:6
237:4 238:4 295:11	cards 373:6	cellular 409:4	certainly 18:4
295:19 300:12	care 9:11 21:1	center 1:3 81:16	19:19 29:20 76:21
302:16,21 306:14	50:18 76:8 224:2	84:14 193:18	92:23 93:7 119:6
306:20,22 307:10	252:2 285:8 340:18	217:12 229:8	145:21 148:4,5
307:15 308:2,4,7	343:5 378:2,10	230:19 231:4,14	152:14 169:23
309:14 311:8 312:6	401:7	238:3 240:14	170:7 171:3 206:3
324:14,15 344:9	cared 29:1 373:2	241:20 249:4	209:22 211:18
355:18 368:18	careful 12:11	255:15 256:8 258:4	216:7,11 244:3
373:17,20,21	carnival 390:8	258:5,12,18 292:25	328:15,22 330:3
cameras 20:23	carousel 390:7	295:6,7 321:25	335:17 343:21
campus 18:11	carried 334:13	326:15,18 331:1	345:1,18 360:7
32:24 33:4 34:14	carroll 1:19	348:21 353:19	386:20 395:25
69:13 70:5 73:9	carry 35:15 328:15	355:14,15 360:13	certainty 197:1
85:18	carrying 62:24	360:20 361:9	certification 67:14
campuses 16:16	case 55:12 73:15	391:18 392:15,23	certified 67:20
34:2	76:25 77:3,7,14	393:1,15,17	386:10
cancelled 90:8	90:22 91:5 211:19	centered 333:6	certify 417:6
141:17 165:3,4,13	309:14 310:8 327:3	centers 96:1,4,5,6,7	cetera 31:14 57:4
166:5,8,11	cases 49:23 89:1	104:3,4,8 105:10	107:7 150:15
candid 260:17,19	274:14 287:23	198:5 223:24,24	195:24 208:7 355:4
candidates 354:15	casting 408:13	233:18 238:1,20	412:21
capabilities 255:5	casualty 95:13	247:18 255:11	chain 249:3 267:25
capability 81:19	144:5 225:18	256:24 296:1	chair 1:12 2:2,15
82:10 255:13	catalysts 119:2	344:10 356:4	5:14,23 7:21 8:21
capable 265:8	categories 121:12	centerstone 1:16	9:17 10:13 11:3,10
369:4	caught 289:5	central 105:25	12:9,24 13:4,6,10
capacity 81:24	cause 61:21 94:1	238:2 289:7	13:16,19,23 14:4
135:24 136:13	138:9 158:9 214:8	centralized 68:22	14:12,14,17,24
142:15 143:23	214:8 277:25 342:1	cents 218:13	15:3,24 16:6,8,11
144:12 227:4 260:4	caused 131:16	ceo 1:16	16:23 18:20 19:18
260:7 297:5,10	causing 98:14	ceremoniously	21:8,9,18 22:15
399:15	144:12 353:14	17:8	23:19 25:6,8,20,24
capital 349:6	caveats 186:4	certain 56:3 92:21	26:12,17 27:1 28:1
386:13,23 387:6,7	cccc 102:21,23	137:15 167:16	30:6 32:7,11 33:8
387:10 392:9 394:1	111:12 203:13	186:4,5 210:20	35:12 36:7,14 38:4
capture 73:16	206:12,12,15	215:5 288:4,6,7	39:3,9,23 40:19
		296:22 303:19	41:12,18,21 42:8

[chair - cheif] Page 429

42:15 43:2 44:10	250:21 251:15,20	chances 402:10,11	157:19,22 164:16
47:1,18 48:23	251:23 253:3,21	change 56:12 60:17	166:23 197:3 199:7
53:11 54:21 56:17	257:2 258:25 259:7	110:1 115:13	215:18,20 216:6
58:2 59:15 60:16	269:24 290:15	116:13,15 119:11	311:7 364:16
62:13 63:24 69:20	291:1,16,25 292:7	122:15 148:18	384:14 386:16
72:22 73:19 74:16	292:23 293:4,11	168:11 182:23	402:2
75:12 76:24 77:16	294:4,6,9 295:2,21	190:25 221:11,18	charged 198:6,15
78:2 79:18,23 80:2	296:3,6 299:4,12	221:20 222:8	198:16
81:4 84:4 86:10,17	302:8 304:3,15,20	224:11 225:14	chart 133:12 140:3
92:3 95:1,17	305:1 312:5,12	262:8,9 263:17	140:4 208:17 340:4
106:12 111:19	313:1,8,17 314:20	266:2 296:13 301:5	charter 8:23,25
117:7,18 119:13,18	327:5 330:19 331:5	340:5 377:13,22	11:20,24 12:1,12
120:10,17 122:19	331:11 350:1	395:6 404:14	12:19,21 16:16
123:15,18 124:11	351:19 353:3,11	411:21	17:2,3,11 20:25
124:25 126:9,20	354:1,20 355:23	changed 27:19	22:4 30:15 35:1
127:7 147:8,15,19	356:17 359:20,23	83:24 122:7 185:21	72:7 74:20 102:12
147:22 148:1 150:2	360:1 369:7 379:24	199:6 353:1 372:2	102:19 109:17,18
152:3,20 155:15	389:23 392:20	402:22	109:21 110:1,6,10
158:25 160:3 161:3	394:13,16 395:25	changes 84:20	110:15,23 138:23
161:25 162:9 165:2	401:9 403:18,21	140:8 182:19 250:9	189:24 192:24
165:8 166:10,14,17	406:5 409:23	375:13 376:8,11	196:24 197:11,20
169:18 173:6,10,14	411:10 413:15,20	377:25 378:3	372:2
173:16,23 174:2,5	414:12,21 415:15	403:15 415:18	charters 8:5,11,16
174:10 178:14,15	415:19	changing 319:3	8:16 13:6,8 15:7,16
179:5,7,16,19	chairman 5:9 25:14	channel 87:4 149:3	15:17,20 17:14
180:1 185:16	259:19 404:20	150:25 156:3	28:6
186:12,21 187:25	chairman's 1:3	255:19,20 314:25	charts 140:9
188:22 191:20	chairs 3:2 275:5	370:21 371:11	chasms 332:17
192:4 195:7,12	challenge 410:9	channels 87:2	chat 81:19 82:6,9
200:22 201:21	challenged 270:7	149:1,2 151:1	check 94:12 150:23
202:1 203:7,25	challenges 257:6	155:4,9 156:11	168:3 209:25
204:3,16 205:9	264:16 265:6	208:4,6 215:5	329:24 364:15
206:6 207:9 211:20	267:20 328:15	246:8 249:3 302:14	404:24
220:21 221:3 222:4	360:5	chapter 89:25 90:5	checkbook 341:2
224:24 226:11,14	champion 277:24	characterization	361:24 410:20
228:2 236:4,25	chance 91:20	103:14,16 161:13	checked 195:4
237:9 239:1 240:25	102:18 289:4 352:2	350:10	218:2
242:11 243:12,16	chancellor 9:25	characterize	checking 82:2
244:15,17 246:11	19:5,25 20:13	112:14 263:24	checks 368:21
246:12,17 247:21	22:19 23:17 30:8	charge 50:21	cheif 25:21 26:3,6,9
248:6,17 249:7	41:12 54:16 71:18	124:13,20 140:24	

[chest - children] Page 430

chest 287:1	204.1 2 6 22 205.6	chiefs 69:14 86:25	193:4 195:19		
chief 1:13,13,17,23	204:1,2,6,22 205:6 205:6 206:10,20,24	99:13,14 100:7,11	193:4 195:19		
, , ,	· · ·	, , ,	*		
11:12 25:14 99:14	207:20 208:5,16,17	100:21,22 101:1,8	201:14 202:3,5,10 205:17 207:14		
106:10 109:10	209:10,11,18	106:11 110:8,13			
111:18,20 115:25	210:18 211:13,25	111:7,17 112:4	212:18,18 213:17		
117:5 126:17,18,21	212:7,14,21,25	114:17,18 115:17	213:17 215:16		
127:1,2 132:16	214:14 215:3	115:20,23,24	216:22,22 217:10		
133:4 144:15	218:21 220:22	126:16,19,24 127:5	217:10,17,17 218:9		
147:14,17,21,24	221:13 222:4	128:21 129:3,12,12	218:9,22 219:3,7,9		
148:16 149:11,18	223:12,14,14	129:21,23 130:13	219:16,24,25 220:4		
149:25 150:4,5	224:16,17 225:2,21	130:21,22 131:6,17	220:5,16 221:2,8		
151:14 152:18,20	226:12,14,24 227:4	131:21,22 132:21	221:14 223:19		
152:21,22 153:2,6	227:8,10,14 228:4	132:24 133:5 134:5	225:21,24 226:20		
153:10,15,18 154:1	228:6,10,14,16	134:6,7,24 135:1,8	226:25 227:3,6		
154:5,21,25 155:16	229:15 230:9	135:10 136:11,20	228:2 239:4,9,9,10		
155:20 156:17,24	235:24 236:22	137:6,16 138:10,20	239:11 248:10,10		
157:20 158:23	237:5 238:7 239:2	139:1,15,22 140:12	250:8,11 261:16,17		
159:16 160:2,11	239:5,17 240:5	141:21 142:14	264:20 278:13		
161:13 162:5 163:9	242:8,12,14,18,24	143:4,20 144:1,17	281:6 296:19 325:3		
164:13,25 165:7,15	243:3,8,15,18	144:20 145:11,14	325:3,4,5 326:24		
166:12,15,18 167:1	245:6,16 247:2,9	147:2 148:9 149:21	326:24 335:21		
167:25 168:2,5,9	248:5,16,21 249:25	149:22 157:6	336:12,15,24 337:9		
168:20 169:2 170:6	250:7,7 252:18	159:19 160:4 161:8	339:16,16 341:10		
171:13 172:25	253:1,14,21 254:13	161:14 163:24	350:5,7,7,25 351:2		
173:4,6,13,15,20	255:1 258:23 259:5	166:19,24,24 167:8	351:8 357:19,20		
174:1,4,6,12,21	259:6 283:5,8,9	167:11 168:13,13	359:10 362:14		
175:18 176:8,17,24	284:21 286:10,24	168:18 169:9,10,12	366:14 367:3,9,9		
177:8 178:2,15	294:11,12 302:9	169:16 170:8,16	370:16 376:6,6		
179:21 180:4,6,9	305:2,5,6,10,14,18	171:8,10,15,16,23	377:16 378:15,19		
180:13,25 184:23	306:4,8,11,17	171:24 172:11	379:2 380:5,6		
185:16 186:11,13	307:5 308:12 309:4	173:8,16,17,22,24	386:22,22 397:16		
187:24 188:3,5,19	309:16 310:1,1,5	176:24,24 177:11	398:2 400:4 405:22		
189:15,16,17,18,18	310:12 311:18	179:22 180:5,7,11	405:22 409:15,15		
191:25 192:6,14,15	314:6,12,23 316:1	180:15,21 181:5,8	409:16		
192:23 193:10,25	316:1 319:10,17,18	181:20,21 183:5	child 85:6,25 92:18		
194:2,4,14,14,19	337:7 341:11	184:1,8,11,14,15	92:18 94:21 402:10		
195:11,18 197:15	346:13 359:12	184:18 185:5 186:8	402:11		
198:17 199:15	370:1 378:2 397:5	186:10,16 187:3,4	children 9:11 20:11		
200:23 201:16,16	397:6,7,8	187:7,8,11,11,20	22:9 29:2,13 57:21		
201:18,22,23	chief's 206:8	188:15,17 190:12	72:17 76:7 93:21		
202:11,21 203:6,10		191:2,18 192:6	119:3 406:18		
Veritext Legal Solutions					

Veritext Legal Solutions

children's 75:17	381:17	clarified 79:20	clerk 236:10,17
chip 116:18	citrus 1:21	clarify 7:21 27:22	clerk's 236:17,20
choice 3:16 22:9,12	city 1:13 87:18,18	86:4 131:20 147:8	click 289:5
215:24	87:23,24 88:8,10	185:17 208:10	climate 329:19
choose 53:17	88:13,15,19,21,22	246:21 292:9,14	clock 332:2 347:15
chose 24:25 270:22	89:20,22 90:3,7,12	393:20	close 332:16 364:21
chris 1:13 77:12	90:16,16,24 91:2	clarity 373:23	398:23
168:8,10	91:11,21 92:9,21	classroom 4:16	closed 3:18 26:13
christina 1:24	94:8 98:22 99:15	43:24 44:25 45:23	64:10 101:13 105:9
church 95:14	107:6 114:17 127:3	48:8 49:5 51:4,17	closer 131:1
circle 406:3	127:17 129:24	52:9 75:20 76:1	closest 102:10,14
circuit 1:14	131:7,10 153:2	77:23	114:3 189:9,12,15
circumstances	192:15 202:13	classrooms 46:20	189:19,24 190:10
11:23 27:15 49:17	206:13 222:18	49:6 50:5	190:23,24 191:3,6
51:6 223:5 263:18	223:22 228:16,22	cleaning 402:24	191:10,23 192:2,9
385:14	228:24 229:11,18	clear 4:9,23 5:20	192:20,23 193:7,15
circus 390:7	229:19,20,25 230:5	12:10 17:19 21:22	194:5,5,18,24
cirque 88:16,18	233:21 235:8,12	22:15 30:17 40:13	196:7 197:6,7
cities 87:7,12 96:10	238:18,22,24	41:7 45:12 46:16	209:15,25 235:5
98:18,20 102:4	242:16,23 243:6	49:1 52:23 56:11	371:21,23 372:14
104:2,6,10 105:9	247:16 249:20	68:19 70:10 71:22	372:17 373:4,5
153:8 190:2 198:13	250:20 255:16,24	103:12 111:9 125:1	375:1
215:25 223:20	257:21 258:6,10,13	142:1 178:3 206:1	closing 188:6
228:7 229:3,21	271:8 284:17 295:9	210:25 219:6	club 1:3
242:18 244:2,9	310:17 317:7,7	235:10 285:9 295:3	clueless 257:19,20
251:25 252:1 253:4	319:4 332:18	331:3 338:22,24	258:13
258:7 263:23 271:9	334:20 335:25	372:10	coach 65:16 315:20
271:21 290:8	340:5,6,9,10,12	clearinghouse	coaches 31:14
303:19 336:3,3,18	341:12,13,14,15	154:19	coast 66:24
367:3 373:9 377:10	343:11,22 350:4,5	clearly 15:14 17:1	cocktail 51:14
377:23 378:5,7	357:20 359:21,23	19:12 23:3 43:13	coconut 98:15
381:5,17,20 382:19	377:7,7,18 378:10	69:24 93:12 139:7	115:9 224:3 229:3
388:13	378:15 388:23	187:2,18 268:4	229:15,19 235:24
citizen 88:20 168:3	390:18 397:10	275:20 302:7	242:1 252:24
414:17	407:8,11 409:16,18	333:23 335:14	303:25 341:14,23
citizenry 395:22	409:19	338:1,19 339:21	342:1,4,18 343:1
citizens 121:20	cjis 263:5,8 265:2	341:1,9 344:8	348:8,25 377:8
229:25 230:16	clarification 19:9	350:6,14 354:11	403:6
231:12 234:11,14	25:15 27:3 50:24	369:19 379:22	codified 208:22
235:11 251:17	82:1,9 83:9 150:3	380:8 382:17	cognizant 77:19
260:23 302:17		387:13 413:25	

coin 252:22	combination 8:16	381:11 394:21	commission 1:2,11
collaborate 131:17	combine 130:24	comfort 321:1	1:24 2:14 3:3 5:11
200:15 218:18	combined 121:16	comfortable 194:3	6:1 10:10 18:4
220:15 268:16	121:16 131:2	226:8 275:6 367:6	19:21 20:19 45:15
collaborated	255:15	369:4 370:12,13	72:13 73:3 75:5
129:13 130:14	come 3:13 19:7	393:3	76:22 87:17 89:22
134:9	20:3 23:21 43:4	comfortably	89:24 90:4,5,7,11
collaboration	52:12 62:23 68:8	378:23	90:12,24 91:13,17
131:1 133:21	75:16 98:9 108:19	coming 31:11 39:14	94:11 96:21 103:6
collaborative	116:18 117:1,21	52:8 56:6 58:7	105:14 109:17,18
113:14 132:7	118:3,13 119:9	84:23 85:14 120:8	109:20,22 110:3,10
183:10 184:2	122:25 128:6	127:14 149:22	110:23,24 113:1
260:15	131:17 139:5,9	177:5 179:13,14,14	118:8,19 119:11,15
collaboratively	154:12 174:19	188:9 219:20 262:4	120:2 139:8 146:6
182:7	177:17 189:23	270:25 272:14	160:20,24 169:6
collateral 24:6	199:9,14 208:24	283:1 290:7 295:5	172:16 187:22
colleagues 34:8	213:4 231:15	304:13 309:14	189:6,14 211:12
334:19,20 335:8	259:11 272:5	314:22 320:3 323:1	216:16 219:22,23
341:16 342:2 345:8	277:20,25 281:2	340:14 344:9	227:17 228:1 230:1
347:16 350:3	282:17,24 283:18	386:11	235:12 237:10
358:11,23 359:16	286:8 289:21,24	command 69:15,16	259:19,24 295:24
359:20,23 377:3	298:15 303:7 313:3	143:15 231:7 260:5	334:5,8 335:3
410:14	316:23 338:5 342:1	262:19 268:1	337:2 345:4 362:10
collection 230:25	350:16 352:8,8,13	329:19	368:11 371:17
collective 112:2	357:16,20 358:14	commend 171:10	372:10,16 380:7,22
218:25	359:8,14,15 363:19	comment 117:15	386:15,20 389:8
collectively 183:13	367:1 369:22	234:25 240:11	392:3,7,8,10 396:9
183:15,16 186:15	370:25 379:16	251:15 253:23	396:15 400:11
188:12,16 189:23	397:16,21 398:1,2	278:10 281:15	403:22 404:12
207:12 213:9	408:19 410:21	329:7 330:5,22	405:10 406:23
217:17,18 218:23	411:12,19 415:14	398:4 401:13	407:25 409:22
221:25 269:19	416:2	413:12 414:23	410:6,14 411:23
college 68:6	comes 10:24 85:5	commentary	413:5,18 414:13,24
collier 228:18	116:11 158:20	288:23 331:21	414:25 417:18
colonel 125:12	167:20 186:15	comments 210:13	commission's
187:25 225:4 259:9	218:14 238:16	280:5 283:6 284:22	98:23 99:5
259:13 269:24	257:25 258:1	286:7 291:4 390:2	commissioned
331:6	276:10 280:3	396:16 401:15	196:4
colorado 77:11	282:14 289:10	commercial 289:4	commissioner 1:14
82:15 85:6	313:5,18 361:18	commisioner 38:8	1:20 2:7,20,23 3:14
	367:24 369:21	39:1	3:22 4:20 9:15

	T		
10:13,15 11:11	commissioner's 9:7	committees 111:11	384:22 394:18
13:10 26:25 27:2	commissioners 2:7	111:15 134:23	395:5 396:4 402:2
27:16,21 32:7,10	79:4 89:5,15,16	333:16,16 378:17	412:21
35:22,25 38:6,7	92:5,22 93:14 94:6	390:13,14	communications
39:9,11,18 40:12	94:9 100:10 110:19	committing 199:8	28:16 35:11 64:4
44:10,11,18,23	110:22 169:8	common 114:4	79:2 86:14 87:2,14
47:2 51:10 52:15	171:19 187:16	198:11 213:5	92:7 94:5 95:20,23
54:20,22,25 55:11	220:14 226:15	communicate	95:25 96:2,9 97:12
55:21 56:1,2,16	228:19 254:4 255:9	33:19,22,23 34:7	98:7,17 99:4,16
57:17 58:15 63:18	334:17 335:5 362:9	62:1,18,24 63:3	100:12 102:21
68:18 71:19 72:23	362:11,21 396:24	81:20 82:13 84:15	103:1,4,11,24
72:25 75:4 77:3,16	405:12 409:8 412:4	93:12 97:6 142:3	104:4,9 105:2,23
84:12 85:4,20 86:9	commissions 98:20	175:5 205:21	109:19 110:4 113:9
88:1 95:2 117:6	222:18,18	240:10 254:2	113:19 114:6
119:20 124:12,16	commit 85:7	337:21 381:3	115:19 116:9
124:19 126:5	139:12 185:6	397:23	118:25 122:12
155:16 156:21	394:11	communicated	126:23 127:7,18,22
157:13 158:14,24	commitment	63:7 187:3,22	128:3 132:9 135:23
162:11 164:6,23	167:10 170:16	communicating	139:2,3,7 140:1,5
178:20 192:5,19	174:14 218:22	152:4,11 374:21	140:24 141:3
193:20 194:11	219:3 259:23	406:1,1	146:16 148:6 162:4
195:5 206:7,19	260:24 267:18	communication	180:3,10 181:13,25
207:9 225:6,7	268:11 328:12	34:6,15,20 61:23	182:9,13,24 183:9
244:22 245:6,24	409:21	62:16 63:5,8,10	183:12 184:6
246:15,19 249:17	commitments	78:5 102:13 105:4	186:19 190:13
250:5,11 253:6,19	242:7 379:11,13,15	105:10 106:4	196:6 205:4 222:3
253:23 255:8 259:5	committed 182:6	115:21 140:17	223:23 225:15
305:1 314:21	184:9 185:4,10	141:25 145:12	228:9,12 229:8,23
315:25 316:6,13,17	196:5 232:24 245:4	147:15 152:7	230:16,23 231:3,5
318:14,24 319:7,22	267:1,10 269:12	160:21 161:21	231:14 233:18
320:2 321:2,6	328:7 329:2 409:7	182:11 183:23	235:9 243:7 253:25
323:9,11 327:5	409:11,14	204:13 229:21	256:18 259:15
334:6 353:4 369:7	committee 2:10	233:10,11 234:4	260:11,21 261:11
369:8 374:5,8,12	102:21 110:10,14	246:6 249:10,15	261:14,20 262:18
374:23 375:22	110:16 131:7 132:9	250:24 264:17	263:1,12 265:11
379:1,4 389:24,24	139:11 173:7	280:9,17 299:14	266:9,18 267:6,9
394:13,15,17 397:2	190:13,14 199:11	302:11 326:23	268:9,12,17 269:5
406:6,6,13,15	200:14 203:13	337:23,25 346:11	270:6,11,17,19
410:4,15 411:10	206:12,12 281:3	357:3,4,6 360:13	285:22 301:2 304:9
413:22,24 414:22	325:6 339:17,17,21	360:17 363:25	324:7 326:15 328:6
414:23	378:18 390:23	370:3 381:23	331:1,15 374:20

201.2 10 10 202.0	217.2 0	166.4 262.5 265.2	oongomed 104.10
381:2,10,18 382:9	317:2,8	166:4 263:5 265:2	concerned 104:18
382:10 385:23,25	complaints 231:1,2	407:20	143:20 156:17
386:14 387:4,9,11	231:8,21 233:14,20	complied 9:2	211:8 243:20 252:1
398:14,17 402:4,7	234:1,22 245:10	compliment 118:6	263:2 334:22 344:1
405:3	247:24 248:24	comply 8:24 17:15	354:9 377:23
communities 92:24	249:2,4,19 250:2	24:11 70:2 405:1	397:10
181:16 236:3 240:6	250:13 286:24	complying 9:1	concerning 144:19
240:21,23 262:23	299:22 300:15	69:25 70:1 71:3	286:3
285:21 348:24	301:9,12 303:7,9	72:8,9	concerns 88:21
373:14,14	310:3 314:23 316:7	component 95:24	107:11 108:23
community 20:24	316:8,14,19,23	137:25 207:25	110:13 137:23
22:6,14 29:25	317:15 318:15,25	225:12 393:14	138:20 167:3
145:9 163:21 164:5	320:3	components 111:10	176:13,16 181:23
168:15 194:6	complete 263:7	composition	190:15 207:22
219:14 230:17	320:13	102:24 103:6	225:20 230:11
235:10,23 239:23	completed 45:8	comprehensive	248:25 263:9,10
254:15 255:10	67:8,22 69:1 291:7	230:25 300:20	270:9,13 274:16,17
265:12,13 266:7	322:17	comprised 261:15	281:19 282:6,8,23
287:5,17 290:15	completely 21:24	compromise 179:7	284:2,20 285:14
333:7,20 373:8	82:20 155:10 283:6	358:3 394:20	288:17 289:25
407:17	399:14 410:19	computer 106:6	296:24 310:14,16
company 103:18	completing 263:20	191:7,8 367:14	310:19 318:2,3
103:20 104:15	complex 277:15	computers 178:6	329:8 332:24 345:1
126:3 182:1	complexities 264:8	189:9 190:15,17,20	347:3 355:8 370:24
comparable 333:21	complexity 161:2	383:22	391:9
333:23	compliance 3:6,6	concept 49:3 50:11	concession 264:2
compared 121:6	3:13,15,18,20,25	51:9 53:13 60:19	concierge 247:10
214:23	4:2,3,24 6:4 7:13	344:24	343:10 411:5,6
comparison 233:18	7:14 11:13,16 13:1	concepts 61:7	conclude 115:3
compatible 241:15	14:19,22 15:7,18	271:6	264:6
compensated 159:7	15:21 16:12,17	conceptual 392:25	concluded 321:19
competive 337:3	18:17,23 19:13,15	conceptualize	416:8
compile 83:10	26:23 27:7 36:22	271:4	conclusion 167:7,8
complacency 70:21	37:2,9 70:25 74:5	concern 10:4 23:9	219:21 224:13
complained 217:20	74:14 75:8,9,16	112:4 128:21 150:6	235:7
complaining 170:3	263:5 265:2 330:11	154:17 235:4 274:4	concur 149:12
190:19	414:1,9	274:12,21 278:22	317:4,4
complaint 231:18	compliant 7:15	281:4,16 282:2	concurrence 325:5
233:4 274:4,11	8:13 16:8 25:17	283:12 286:10	378:20 385:18,21
300:4,16 302:13,22	complicated 94:3	295:18 310:18	conditions 186:5,7
303:10 316:11	161:22 164:17	312:3 335:5 336:2	260:14,25 261:8
	\$7. ' T		

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 435

270.10	240.17 17 270.15	20mgisting 00.10	401,20,407,19,21
279:18	340:17,17 370:15	consisting 80:19	401:20 407:18,21
condo 238:14	370:15	consists 127:18	consultant 276:15
conducive 215:7	consent 206:22	129:20	355:7 356:7,7
260:15 329:3	consequences	consolidate 129:15	371:13 407:25
conduct 52:17 60:3	54:14	148:24 151:19	consultant's 129:9
107:14	consider 44:16,19	153:24 295:25	consultants 130:5
conducted 266:8	46:17 89:23 106:25	355:1 361:11 386:6	370:25
288:13	128:23 159:20	consolidated 96:6	consulted 201:8
conducts 59:12	273:22 343:2,3	96:10,16 98:7	consulting 196:13
conference 179:2	403:24 404:6	102:20 103:4 105:1	contact 70:19
181:6 350:17 414:4	406:11	113:19 127:21	231:5 285:6 293:18
confidence 76:16	considerable 277:8	128:3,7,18,24	311:22 316:22
364:25 365:18	288:9	134:22 145:4,11	317:17
366:13,16	considerably	152:25 156:2 168:7	contacted 66:14,25
confident 70:5,14	318:20	187:13 205:4 216:3	184:13
357:1	consideration 85:2	272:6 277:16	contacting 231:13
configuration 69:2	151:21 152:2	371:16 381:10,23	contained 79:10
376:3	397:25	consolidating	contemplated
confirm 273:9	considerations	235:25	339:6
301:16	149:16,19	consolidation	contemplation
conflict 212:16,17	considered 74:13	96:14 106:1 107:19	133:3
212:19 269:18	128:8 135:7 144:21	113:22 138:19	contended 400:14
conflicting 146:12	159:19 160:8 223:8	140:16 153:7,11	contention 269:18
166:12	223:20,20 272:3	154:23 296:18	281:9
confrontational	303:24 371:14	297:2 332:14	contentious 141:9
101:12,17 138:12	considering 83:9	333:13 347:10	165:19 262:21
confused 30:22	296:23	370:22	context 192:16,24
147:12	considers 53:22	consolidations	195:22 262:14
confusion 89:9	consist 132:14	371:12	284:8 369:11
292:3	137:12	constant 84:20	contingencies
congruous 265:20	consisted 193:2	constantly 138:11	241:22
connected 69:5	consistency 258:19	203:17 257:13	contingency 265:3
193:18 312:22	258:19	constituencies	contingent 192:1
313:5	consistent 186:9	117:9	continually 151:2
consensus 107:18	257:12 259:2	constituents 267:8	202:23 226:2
107:22 109:5	268:13 353:20	constitution 330:25	continue 22:21
115:19 116:2	397:1	constraints 329:25	27:2 71:2 72:13,16
168:22 169:4,17	consistently 101:12	363:11	120:16 160:14
172:2 176:11	101:16 197:17	construct 268:25	179:25 180:2 182:6
185:23 188:14	204:8	construction 90:21	182:22 231:8
207:6 214:6 339:19		265:5 337:15 392:2	260:13 268:10,23

274:19 311:16	243:22 244:12	cooperation 108:16	14:16,23 16:20
329:1 331:10 396:8	247:14 261:11	166:1 315:2 396:3	25:7 26:16 32:10
405:18	262:18,22 263:7,12	cooperative 142:2	35:24 39:8 42:13
continued 39:13	272:20,20 273:4	156:13	64:2 71:8 72:21
123:24 141:8	275:4,8 278:22	coordinate 144:14	151:13,14 160:2
continues 122:6	279:14 283:21	coordinates 315:10	168:19 173:15
138:9 200:19	285:4 299:17 304:8	coordination 201:9	177:20 198:9
269:10 321:8	325:8,19 361:13,13	copied 87:19	211:23 214:15
continuing 357:8	361:22 362:21	copies 2:24 100:1,4	231:9,10 234:24
continuously	363:17 375:4	322:19	236:21,22 237:5
154:14	376:10 385:12	cops 77:2 87:12,13	240:5,19 243:18
contract 37:3 68:16	397:14,14	copy 6:8 99:25	245:22 246:10
102:6,7 187:10	controlling 263:21	101:7 112:18	247:2 286:2 292:21
230:3 242:16	controls 185:13	236:19	293:22 315:9,10
263:23 276:25	208:1	coral 96:8 97:5,10	366:6 369:5 374:22
277:10 278:21	controversy 98:10	98:22 104:17	384:23 391:12,21
280:20,24 281:7,22	98:10	179:21 180:4 227:4	391:22 417:9
282:10 292:11	convene 397:4	229:3,16,20,23	corrected 62:4
332:8 353:18	conversation 56:10	230:3 233:19 235:2	274:22
382:22	84:21 97:3 170:14	235:13 236:1	correctly 186:1
contractee 332:25	183:19 213:6 239:3	239:19 240:21	209:17 237:2
contracts 98:22	333:5 334:25	241:7,8,11,17,25	correspondence
242:19 264:23	341:20 343:7	242:9,17 255:5	87:20
279:2,5 293:7	346:16 347:7	303:25 343:23	cost 4:4 24:4 45:17
381:8 386:2	349:21 358:12	398:21 403:4	63:13 113:21
contradict 46:15	378:14 399:5	406:24 409:16,18	151:20 177:9 201:7
contrary 99:5	400:15	corcoran 2:23 3:14	223:21 242:23
contribute 205:3	conversations	3:22 5:21 40:13	354:23 355:3
243:6,23 244:9	170:20 332:22	54:20,23 68:18	367:23
294:9 381:7,9	333:19 336:10	71:19 178:21	costs 177:4 252:2
contributes 251:12	341:21 343:21,22	413:22,24	276:24 333:9
contributing 264:4	371:22	core 22:7 152:3	372:22 387:7
contribution	convey 261:22	cornell 67:25	410:25
210:12	conveys 227:10	corner 45:23 46:15	council 110:5 139:3
contributory	convince 196:3	46:25 48:9,25	139:18 197:12,16
210:16	convincing 187:6	49:15,25 50:14,16	counsel 1:12
control 105:15	cooper 258:5,13	50:16 406:22	counseled 196:5
134:8,11 143:15	295:9	corners 48:14	300:23
148:9 158:6,7	cooperate 218:18	corporation 16:24	counselings 302:2
205:19 234:23	363:3 394:20	correct 7:10 9:14	302:3
235:19 239:12		10:7 13:9 14:3,13	
	Varitant I ac		

Veritext Legal Solutions

counselors 31:14	102:12,16,20 103:5	171:19,25 173:9,12	267:2 270:5 271:3
403:11	103:7,10,17,23,25	173:19,24 174:2,7	272:8 273:22 274:3
count 59:4 298:19	104:9,11,13,16,25	175:7,10 177:13	275:8,23 276:2,23
307:16	105:7,8,14,15	180:5,10,11,16	279:3,19 281:7
counter 269:5	106:11,17 107:12	183:18 184:2,22	282:17 284:4 285:3
390:16	108:2 109:1,17,20	185:25 187:7,9,16	285:6 292:11,23
counterpart 217:24	109:22,23,23,24,25	188:11,15 189:23	293:12 296:7 299:5
251:4	110:2,8,19,21	190:8 191:10 192:9	305:11,19 306:9
counterparts	111:6,14,24 112:9	193:8 194:2,4,12	312:6 313:13
217:23 394:7	112:22 113:11,13	194:23,25 196:6	314:14,25 316:10
counties 11:7 32:17	113:15,17,20,23	203:11,15 204:4,12	323:13 325:11,11
37:20 38:1,11 39:6	114:1,7,22 115:17	204:19,21,23 205:1	328:18,23 330:23
100:25 333:25	115:20,23,24 116:5	205:11,15 206:14	331:3,8,12,15,16
400:19	117:13 119:15,16	207:17 208:14	332:4,4,6 334:5,6,7
countless 33:2	124:17,19 125:3,10	211:5,6,9,10,11,14	335:3,3 336:13
46:22 415:1	125:22,23,23 126:1	211:16,23 212:1,3	337:1 345:4 346:19
country 216:1	126:10,16,23,24	212:6,7,8,9,18	348:21 350:9 351:8
308:4,23 369:20	127:4 128:2,12,22	213:12 214:2	358:13 360:3,4
counts 232:24	129:4,9,11,13,21	216:17,18,25 217:4	361:9 362:9,11,19
276:6,20	129:23,24 130:6,11	217:21 218:3,4,5	362:20 363:11,14
county 1:16,20,21	131:3 132:1,6,7	218:12 219:2	363:15 364:2 366:3
1:21,23 8:8 9:1	133:18 134:1,14,18	220:13 221:2	366:23 367:20
14:11,12,15 17:3,4	134:22 135:12,17	222:17,24 224:23	368:4,6,10,11,16
18:16,17,19 19:1	136:2,13,21 137:4	225:3,5 226:2,19	371:17,25 374:16
20:12,12 26:20	137:18,20 138:4,7	227:1,21 228:12,18	377:10 378:15
28:17,23,25 31:7	138:7,8,16,23	228:21,24 229:6,10	381:14,25 382:3
31:22 32:3,8,18	139:8,12,23 143:14	230:7,20 231:23	384:20 385:23
34:25 35:23 39:20	143:22 144:6,10,20	233:19 237:21,22	386:14,19,22
48:1 63:20 66:14	145:2,5,10,10,16	239:7 241:6,6,16	387:19 388:10,17
66:17 81:17 86:15	146:1,8 147:2,5	241:23 242:9 244:2	388:20 389:8
86:16,18,22 87:3,7	150:9 151:7,12	244:3,10,21,21,25	391:17,18 392:23
87:25 88:1,17,22	152:13 153:1,3,4	245:3,3,13 246:8	393:4 395:23
89:4,5,14,16,25	153:14,15 154:7,8	248:1,3 249:22	396:23 400:11,16
90:2,4,11,14,15,23	157:9,22,25 159:7	250:6,14 251:8	404:12,14 405:4,11
91:1,11 92:5,15	159:8,9,22,24	254:25 255:16	405:21 406:6,22
93:2,3,7,11 94:5,14	160:14,18,20,24	257:23 258:6 259:9	407:25 409:8,20,22
94:19 96:1,3 97:14	161:19 162:20	259:12,15,25 260:4	410:4,5,14 411:1
98:8,12 99:3,12,13	163:4,12 164:11	260:23 261:1	414:20 415:4,4,5
99:17,18,19 100:7	166:19 168:7 169:8	262:19,25 263:4,13	415:12,18 417:4
100:8,9,9,13,14	169:8,21,23 170:2	263:15,18,23,25	county's 89:21
101:6 102:2,7,9,12	170:4,23,24 171:10	265:13 266:1,3,4	100:12 116:7
	Veriteyt I eo	101.	1

Veritext Legal Solutions

[county's - day] Page 438

138:21 140:3	covered 22:10	266:16 288:19,20	custody 34:11
148:23 220:20	74:22 101:20 291:8	288:21 298:9,11	233:2
240:10 241:15,19	291:9,10	321:18	customer 162:15
243:11 282:10	covering 7:5 11:7,8	cross 103:6 194:9	162:23 163:14
353:20 374:2	23:12 25:2 26:21	268:2	235:17 239:21
381:12 386:7	45:1 88:24 92:25	crossing 193:6	319:23
countywide 182:8	288:14,15 333:9	crossroad 335:19	customers 162:18
183:11 243:6	covers 52:5 406:23	crowd 259:18	163:11,16 217:11
254:18,19	craft 364:4	crucial 227:18	319:24
couple 10:23 26:24	crc 110:14	cruise 301:4	customize 288:3
29:7 72:22 76:19	create 57:15 60:23	cruz 62:23 402:12	cut 307:3 400:17
83:25 107:24	93:8 109:18 110:15	crying 119:7	cycle 324:18,20
112:16 137:17	141:13 235:14	252:12	d
146:3 152:21 165:3	240:8 241:19 296:6	crystal 35:5 52:23	dade 112:8 417:4
172:7 178:9 180:7	378:16,18 380:17	56:10	daggumm 329:12
200:25 216:20	created 98:8 134:5	culmination 322:5	daily 184:7 297:12
237:15 292:7	197:12 207:4	curious 155:17	298:8
293:13 294:25	327:25 337:14	current 26:13	damien 5:14 7:21
296:14,16 302:1	creates 96:17	104:20 115:8 116:8	18:6 19:4 21:11
316:4,6 317:19,22	creating 110:3	121:24 127:8 129:7	23:1,3 27:4 39:19
322:14 326:19	188:13 265:2	135:13 143:25	69:22 72:13
353:5 356:12	creation 110:17	145:3 148:22 172:4	danger 57:21
360:24 371:1	139:1,17	178:10 189:8	dangerous 87:15
380:13 393:22	credentials 139:20	207:23 221:5,5,6,8	dark 289:6
411:22	credit 200:13	221:9,9 222:7	data 5:24 36:24
course 61:16 90:10	creek 98:15 115:10	234:23 239:15	68:23,23 69:6 71:2
91:23 105:18	200:18 224:3 229:3	241:12 273:15	83:21 150:11
116:11 167:9	229:15,19 235:24	280:20 314:13	196:13 253:16
224:22 256:4	242:1 252:24	328:18 356:20,21	263:8 294:22 317:1
260:10 269:21	303:25 341:14,23	356:21 392:13	317:20,21 329:11
280:12 281:21	342:1,4,18 343:1	404:23 405:8 410:9	329:16
300:14 301:20	348:8,25 377:8	currently 65:17	date 62:23 65:21
306:21 343:5	403:6	80:20,21 84:17	83:13 147:22,24
365:24	crime 150:18	116:6 135:13	dates 207:21 234:9
court 293:23	crimes 232:4,19	140:13 235:17	dating 58:3 196:9
323:10	236:8	239:18 243:6	daughter 406:19
courts 29:5	criminal 385:6	251:18 255:5 261:7	415:9
cover 11:8 16:21	crisis 81:16 198:4	280:22 292:15	david 1:17
17:25 29:22 120:11	critical 53:6 57:18	391:11	day 8:24 9:2 18:16
coverage 7:7 74:7	144:5 151:16,22	cusp 252:2	24:18 40:25 72:6
	230:8 262:13		72:15 86:13,19
			72.13 00.13,19
	Varitart I a	ral Solutions	

	I		T
104:14,14 119:1	278:6 317:16 334:9	decisions 47:10,16	252:21 313:18
125:5,5,5,5 141:15	334:12	109:12 111:25	delayed 96:22
215:3 221:20 224:9	debated 193:5	130:10 132:25	97:10 98:1 193:11
236:23 247:15	196:4	135:9 137:15,22	195:3 274:14
256:8,9 257:19	debates 193:1	138:8 144:24	delays 91:4 93:24
260:7,7 263:16,16	276:11,12	163:25 164:2	98:5 237:3
272:10,11 275:17	debating 276:9	177:21 183:8	delegated 132:13
292:20,20 296:22	debt 75:6	185:14 195:24	390:23
297:9 305:13 306:2	decade 197:22	223:8 247:5 261:19	delegation 250:23
306:2 309:5 324:19	decades 172:14,15	266:21 292:25	delineate 131:21
324:24 328:9,16	172:15 270:25	336:5 340:1 347:13	delineation 121:14
334:14,18 335:2	december 112:4	347:14 356:3 359:3	178:10
342:23 348:19	412:10	365:11 383:20	deliver 92:25
358:15 364:5,5	decide 53:23 61:16	decisive 200:15	255:12 364:12
371:14 373:25	90:15,19 216:11	decline 273:23	delray 237:21
383:19 399:2,7,9	230:9 273:10 368:7	dedicated 70:20	demand 119:17
405:9,19 406:19	decided 6:23 24:10	140:14 284:25	287:20
411:23 414:9	54:6 91:13 104:17	deed 89:11,15,17	demarcation 178:3
days 68:11 112:18	129:13 131:6	89:18	demonstrated
122:24 233:2	134:10 154:18	deerfield 194:22	111:22 139:21
289:22 294:25	164:1 170:8 208:25	209:23	171:12 268:11
312:1 331:19 398:3	235:12 332:8,10	default 267:24	298:17,18
dcc 89:8	337:5 358:16	defend 76:8	demonstrates
dcf 1:17,19 68:24	388:10	defense 76:15	22:17
deadline 39:12	decision 9:22 45:14	defer 212:14	denial 386:19
41:8	61:8 73:11 113:14	defiant 24:16	deo 51:20
deal 100:17,19	113:16 118:14	deficiency 9:14	depart 266:17
118:25 144:2 163:5	129:16 131:4,9	deficient 268:4	department 1:14
283:19,19,21 322:9	132:13,21 133:3,17	defined 261:15	2:19 3:16 9:24 10:6
352:5 355:18	134:11 145:14	definite 210:6	10:10 66:25 76:20
363:18 364:6 406:3	148:10 149:17	284:3	99:15 148:21,21,25
412:21	155:19 167:12	definitely 160:22	149:9,9,14 156:10
dealing 148:22	172:20 182:25	324:21 358:9	162:21 175:3
151:4 214:10,11	187:19 228:8 230:2	deflated 200:1	179:22 192:16
215:7,11 225:9	230:5 241:5 244:20	degradation 99:3	201:24 228:5,13
322:8,25 368:14	245:3,18 262:19	224:5	229:15 230:1
408:2	264:4,15 266:17	degree 116:19,22	231:16 332:20
deals 412:24	281:20 343:24	241:21 288:3	department's 20:1
dealt 15:11 89:11	347:15 365:15	degrees 402:23	127:20 149:1
debate 194:8,16	405:17	delay 93:9 97:20	departments 98:15
198:8 208:19 276:5		191:24 233:7	107:7,7 164:22
			,

336:4 380:14	despite 8:23 277:20	dialoguing 396:8	direct 8:3 105:15
depend 182:11	278:19 281:15	dictate 285:22	109:3,20 110:2
184:7 315:7	282:6	289:13 292:24	127:19 139:16
depending 289:22	destroyed 223:4	dictating 107:9	149:24 217:11
318:21	detail 236:7	die 154:16 390:15	220:3 231:5 260:17
	details 133:10	differ 130:15	260:19 279:6 325:7
depends 52:6 223:21 307:3	detective 236:16,19	difference 31:8	363:6 390:1 405:16
	detectives 236:16,19	32:4 34:22 64:2	
324:18			directed 2:19
depicts 133:7	detectors 403:1,14	237:20 247:6 368:2	161:23 268:16
deployment 232:3	detention 267:3	408:24 413:5	295:4
264:24	deteriorate 141:8	differences 165:6	directer 270:1
deputies 69:15 97:7	determine 98:23	165:25 166:8	290:23 291:2,20
deputy 26:21 150:5	238:16 294:22	different 8:19	292:1,22 293:2,5
408:11	determined 96:22	16:14,18 17:3 30:4	293:21,25 294:5,8
describe 235:7	130:11 133:2 242:6	47:10,13 51:15	294:12 295:13
described 263:22	265:9	52:10 57:2,3 59:23	296:2,5,10 299:8
describing 205:17	detriment 209:8	66:19 77:25 96:3,5	299:16,19,21,25
205:18	detrimental 262:10	120:25 121:12	301:14,21,25 303:4
deserve 93:3	develop 84:14	135:21 149:10	303:16 304:6,11,25
119:15 172:24	129:16 268:16	150:14 164:8	305:4,8,12,17,20
181:17 239:23	278:2,3 320:11	176:23 181:10,24	306:7,10 307:2,14
364:13	392:9	195:22 203:22	309:9,19 310:4,7
deserves 183:21	developed 57:1	221:16 240:20	311:21 312:11,14
design 208:17	114:4 129:8 130:14	255:11 256:2 314:4	313:4,10,21 314:11
393:10	134:12 138:13	339:12 342:15	314:17 315:5 316:3
designated 213:15	161:17 225:22	344:9 361:4 382:13	316:9,16,21 318:17
397:9	235:2 322:3	382:13 411:8,14	319:6,9,25 320:13
designates 397:8	development	differing 102:4	321:4,10 323:17,21
designed 133:15	264:23	difficult 194:21	323:25 324:3,5,16
135:2	develops 126:8	210:3 277:12	325:18 326:1,7,19
designee 129:24	device 34:6 61:24	278:16 379:6	327:14 330:12
designees 129:20	61:24	difficulties 230:12	directing 187:12
129:22	devices 34:15 62:16	difficulty 238:11	200:7
designer 84:21	63:10 409:4	277:16	direction 12:7 23:5
designs 392:25	dewey 67:24	dig 117:8,16	59:15 106:3 131:5
desire 264:13	diagnosis 287:9	digging 117:14	189:4 197:10
391:16 392:3	dialog 351:17	dilemma 244:13	221:25 224:13
desires 154:15	dialogue 84:14	dime 24:4	301:8 327:11 340:3
desk 2:8 35:3	202:5 251:9 306:24	dinner 94:20	342:15 394:10
desperately 398:12	359:18 396:4	dire 167:23	397:19,22
400:23	406:10		

[directive - district] Page 441

directive 44:4	141:11,12,16,17	299:11 318:13	356:4 361:10,11
directives 19:4,24	147:10 152:5	discussing 21:12	368:17 382:18
directly 4:21 12:17	154:11 202:7	129:1 230:7	389:9 391:17
34:7 35:3 110:18	248:18 251:1,6	discussion 4:19	393:15,16
115:14 116:22	269:3 270:4,5	12:17 86:12 89:10	dispatched 97:13
134:19 139:8 145:6	293:19,20	92:22 138:24 179:9	232:17 236:13
157:21 160:20	directors 16:13,15	180:2 197:13	253:10 270:20
167:6 168:25	173:8	200:17 248:4 252:9	271:9,22 340:11
173:18 175:21	disabled 59:22	268:19 277:13,21	dispatcher 97:18
192:18 199:9	disagree 114:25	277:22,23 284:9	247:13 256:18,21
209:23 212:5	122:10 123:15	294:11 304:14	257:17,19 287:13
223:18 283:16	320:10 379:22	317:24,25 357:18	298:8 306:25
284:4 366:10	396:12	403:24 409:25	dispatchers 104:7
director 3:4,9,11	disagreement	410:12 411:24	121:12,15 123:19
4:7,17 5:4,8,11 6:5	124:5	412:3,3,7 415:20	148:11 158:16
8:15 9:19 10:8	disagrees 208:19	discussions 149:11	238:3,23 257:14
11:12,18 12:23	disappointed	171:4 175:21	258:19 292:21
13:2,5,9,15,17,22	230:12 231:12	190:21 249:12	306:21 321:25
14:1,5,13,16,23	disasters 290:17	277:7 332:12	326:16 343:4
15:2,22 16:4,7,9,20	disbursed 40:6	340:24,25 348:14	dispatches 175:12
19:23 21:15 22:18	discipline 302:6	357:16,18 391:2	dispatching 109:14
22:21,24 23:8	318:7 325:25	393:13 395:1	125:6 128:1,14
24:22 25:7,19,23	disciplined 299:20	396:20 415:13	402:3
26:1,4,8,16 27:8,19	301:20	disjointed 115:11	dispute 90:1
27:22 30:11 32:12	disciplines 129:18	258:14 311:5	132:19 189:12
35:22,24 36:2,11	131:2	dismantling 99:4	disputes 215:10
36:25 37:25 38:17	disclosure 22:3	dispatch 35:4,5	disseminate 182:2
39:8,17 40:12 41:3	disconcerting	97:18,19,25 104:4	dissertation 327:8
41:22,23 42:14,16	320:6	106:6 153:16	dissipated 107:22
43:12 44:15,21,24	disconnect 142:8	154:22,24 155:1	distinct 130:19
47:2,17 48:6 52:1	276:22	217:12 230:19	140:18 149:2
52:16 54:16,25	discord 98:14	233:15 237:1	distinction 140:4
55:5,25 59:10,16	114:8 115:5 159:14	238:19 247:12	distorts 78:22
61:22 63:19,25	discuss 127:10	252:14 253:18	distribute 312:16
70:3 71:9 73:18	128:21 152:15	255:11,15,18,20	distributed 42:18
74:6 75:5 78:2	226:22 276:19	263:16 270:23	distribution 212:8
105:23 109:18	discussed 60:9	286:14,16,17	212:10 312:15
110:12,15 112:7,8	88:11,15 95:21	287:10 306:15	district 1:22 6:3
112:12 139:2,7,13	134:5,13 167:4	307:10 309:7	11:25 13:25 15:23
139:17,19,24,25	176:21 189:7	325:25 344:5	16:4,22 22:5 23:16
140:14,23 141:1,4	197:17 233:23	348:20 355:14,15	27:24 28:1,2,5,21

[district - dynamic] Page 442

	I	I	
28:22 31:6,12,18	159:5 379:21	270:12 271:6	drawn 101:15
31:25 32:13 33:6	disturbed 415:6	272:13 276:4,8	drill 52:18,24 54:5
34:16 35:13 37:2,5	diversion 285:9	280:16 282:16	55:2 56:5,21 57:20
41:5,24 42:18	divested 262:15	288:4 293:11	57:21,23 58:21,23
43:22 45:20 52:17	divided 255:18	297:13,23 324:12	58:24 59:1,14,23
58:20 59:5,11 62:4	division 136:3	338:3 346:25	60:12 61:1,1,7,9,10
64:9 69:10 78:17	263:4 270:17	349:10 369:4	61:11,12
78:24 81:11 117:13	281:14	373:14,15 377:12	drilling 57:8 60:21
293:7 319:1 406:23	djj 1:18	383:25 384:2	drills 4:10,13,15
409:9 414:2,16	dle 287:13,14,15,16	389:10 392:6,25	50:5 52:25 53:1,7
districts 2:20 3:6	document 157:2,10	400:13 411:21	55:3 56:4 57:11
3:13,19 4:1,12 6:20	339:13,23	416:4	58:5,9,9,18,19,20
6:24 7:4,18,22 8:2	documentation	dollar 231:25 232:6	59:7,12 60:4 61:4,5
8:5,11 9:10 11:13	59:6	232:21 236:9	61:9 321:22
11:18 13:1,5,8,14	documented	dollars 34:18 104:6	drive 146:18
13:14 14:18 15:6	233:13	104:12 105:11	360:23
15:16,23 16:1,10	documenting 59:1	218:13 241:9	driven 191:4,4,5,5
20:7 21:11 23:10	documents 196:9	255:22 358:17	290:21 302:13
23:14 25:1,19,20	313:24	364:22 380:16	drives 146:22
26:2,11 27:11,14	dodd 1:21 26:25	domain 328:25	driving 264:4
30:25 33:10 36:17	27:2,16,21 32:7,10	domineering	dropped 7:2 42:3
36:18,21 37:10	38:7 39:9,11,18	113:12 353:13	43:17 45:5 52:20
38:14 40:17 42:1,4	44:10,11,18,23	door 44:25	60:7 65:10 318:19
42:10 44:12 45:12	58:15 72:23,25	doors 43:24 44:14	drove 294:19 335:2
47:4 52:19 53:3	77:4 225:6,7	44:19 62:15	drugs 85:17
54:19 55:1,22 56:4	389:25 394:14,15	doubt 94:7 115:5	dry 307:4
56:11,17 58:16	394:17	264:15 353:7	dual 388:16,16
60:2,10,20 65:25	doe 18:4 51:25	douglas 1:1,21 2:9	389:3
66:2,6,10 68:25	55:11	46:23 49:6 55:14	dually 229:6
69:23 70:7 71:7,12	doing 4:13 15:9	57:22 95:10 96:21	due 20:14 88:19
71:21 72:6,18 73:6	18:8,14 20:20	96:25 97:13 98:24	145:8 283:4 300:19
73:8 75:7,13 76:19	23:23 24:15 33:5,6	395:4 398:8	307:16 330:22
77:8 78:8,14,20	35:17 51:22 55:22	downey 180:18	duplicate 280:14
79:8,11,22 80:8,14	56:17,22,23 59:23	downhill 286:5	duress 47:16
80:23 81:2,7,21	60:25 63:16 67:4	dr 67:24,25,25	duties 31:15,16
85:21 178:22,23	76:19 87:12 125:4	87:23	181:9 218:7
179:3 204:19,25	130:24 149:12	draft 69:1	duty 217:16 323:20
270:21 413:19	163:19 185:11	dragging 401:6	325:9
distrust 107:4	199:16 200:4 209:9	drastic 122:15	duvall 20:12
112:21 113:6	209:25 222:1	227:25	dynamic 84:19
114:20 115:6 152:8	249:24 252:6		202:9

dynamics 49:18	educated 142:23	42:23 65:9,17 70:9	email 79:9,12 82:2
dysfunction 410:2	education 1:20	79:11 89:13,18	82:3,11 110:21
dysfunctional	2:19 9:24 10:6,11	92:6 97:15,21	237:8
405:25	76:20 118:24	121:7 189:11	emailed 99:24
e	172:16 178:20	190:17 233:14	emails 80:4
	effect 178:12 241:3	234:22 249:19	embedded 328:12
e 105:2 109:13	241:4 312:9 412:11	250:19 252:15	embolden 76:3
127:25 128:15	effective 28:12 34:4	309:7 335:9 388:2	77:24
345:24 384:16	35:19 57:8 60:22	eighteen 31:16	embrace 348:12
402:3 417:1,1	99:6 141:24 144:23	191:12 407:2 408:9	359:19
earlier 66:5,16	145:4,22 159:22	eighteenth 66:13	emergencies
76:18 157:14	201:13 202:4,4	eighty 388:1	122:14,18 123:2
197:25 203:11	204:5 222:2 249:10	either 5:18 13:25	125:17,17 193:14
204:1 206:8 208:6	249:15 267:8 268:9	37:21 38:12,14	emergency 87:5
209:12,24 215:2	269:5 357:3,5	74:13 76:14 116:12	93:1 140:1,6,17,23
234:25 280:6	396:13	122:9 134:14	141:3 143:13
306:16 326:25	effectively 33:24	139:17 145:6	150:15,20 151:2
344:3 374:18 379:5	149:13 170:12	174:18 250:15	161:20 172:24
earliest 56:2	219:14	317:4 330:16	182:13,16,24 205:4
early 103:8 107:19	efficiencies 96:11	elaborate 192:12	217:12 218:19
127:20 147:17,18	98:8 113:21 296:6	295:23	225:9,12,17,20,23
229:8 241:9 248:22	296:25 354:22	elected 167:18	225:25 231:15
370:2 415:22	355:3	168:1 203:14	234:8 237:1 243:7
earnestly 328:11	efficiency 123:6	206:13 217:25	265:4 286:14,16,17
easier 172:20	296:18 313:16	262:5 364:12 366:9	287:10 291:8
easily 123:9 158:21	efficient 145:22	395:19	293:17 312:25
320:8 341:21	295:19 335:13	election 167:19	322:10,13 331:1
east 88:7,12,14	efficiently 142:23	elementary 35:5	361:15 381:1,10
easy 23:10 307:6	183:25	57:2 58:6 74:20	384:22 385:24
407:4 410:24	effort 110:22 120:7	elevate 336:2	395:20,24
echo 259:21	132:7 166:6 262:20	elevated 227:9	eminent 328:24
echoes 278:12	268:24 280:14	eleven 80:19 81:9	empaneled 21:17
economic 198:3	351:23	96:3,5	118:19 119:25
210:9,15 211:9	efforts 8:23 75:10	eliminate 104:5	emphasized 318:6
363:8,10	261:6	214:7 235:4	employed 197:2
economically	egress 62:22 64:12	eliminated 96:13	228:18
210:11	65:7	eloquently 35:8	employee 61:23
economies 255:21	eight 7:9 13:7 15:6	179:12	108:5,10,11 121:3
256:9 362:4	15:16 16:1 25:4	elusive 381:18	232:8
ed 112:19	31:2,24 32:16	em 293:24	employee's 289:23
editorial 330:5	38:10 40:24 42:20	CIII 275.24	chipioyee 8 209.23
	30.10 40.24 42.20		
	Veritevt Leo	101	

employees 24:5 151:16 156:14 entire 21:4 87:3 189:10 191:15,22 31:13,19 42:18 167:15 173:9 100:9 145:11 194:7 191:24 192:1 43:5,14 112:23 174:25 192:7 262:11 407:17 198:19 212:20 114:22 122:9,16,22 202:12,16 207:2 entities 20:8 114:10 214:23 233:17 123:11 124:25 227:15,20,22 229:5 141:14 211:11 264:24,25 273:2,12 125:3 126:4 157:23 246:2 252:14 255:9 216:1 261:21 273:13,14 274:1,9 196:22 274:17 256:1 263:3 267:3 265:15,18 280:10 274:10,18 275:2 275:15 292:19,20 285:21 289:18 284:3 336:25 278:23 279:7 382:3 293:9 298:6 336:6 entity 99:20 105:7 314:15 325:10
43:5,14 112:23 174:25 192:7 262:11 407:17 198:19 212:20 114:22 122:9,16,22 202:12,16 207:2 entities 20:8 114:10 214:23 233:17 123:11 124:25 227:15,20,22 229:5 141:14 211:11 264:24,25 273:2,12 125:3 126:4 157:23 246:2 252:14 255:9 216:1 261:21 273:13,14 274:1,9 198:19 212:20 214:23 233:17 264:24,25 273:2,12 227:15,20,22 229:5 216:1 261:21 273:13,14 274:1,9 275:15 292:19,20 285:21 289:18 284:3 336:25 278:23 279:7
114:22 122:9,16,22 202:12,16 207:2 entities 20:8 114:10 214:23 233:17 123:11 124:25 227:15,20,22 229:5 141:14 211:11 264:24,25 273:2,12 125:3 126:4 157:23 246:2 252:14 255:9 216:1 261:21 273:13,14 274:1,9 196:22 274:17 256:1 263:3 267:3 265:15,18 280:10 274:10,18 275:2 275:15 292:19,20 285:21 289:18 284:3 336:25 278:23 279:7
123:11 124:25 227:15,20,22 229:5 141:14 211:11 264:24,25 273:2,12 125:3 126:4 157:23 246:2 252:14 255:9 216:1 261:21 273:13,14 274:1,9 196:22 274:17 256:1 263:3 267:3 265:15,18 280:10 274:10,18 275:2 275:15 292:19,20 285:21 289:18 284:3 336:25 278:23 279:7
125:3 126:4 157:23 246:2 252:14 255:9 216:1 261:21 273:13,14 274:1,9 196:22 274:17 256:1 263:3 267:3 265:15,18 280:10 274:10,18 275:2 275:15 292:19,20 285:21 289:18 284:3 336:25 278:23 279:7
196:22 274:17 256:1 263:3 267:3 265:15,18 280:10 274:10,18 275:2 275:15 292:19,20 285:21 289:18 284:3 336:25 278:23 279:7
275:15 292:19,20 285:21 289:18 284:3 336:25 278:23 279:7
382·3 293·9 298·6 336·6 entity 90·20 105·7 31/·15 325·10
273.7 270.0 330.0 Chity 77.20 103.7 314.13 323.10
empower 76:4,16 394:8 116:3,4,7 124:13 331:2 362:17 372:9
ems 94:23 102:11 engage 275:8 279:1 133:14 185:5 372:13 376:4
114:3 162:17 283:15 406:9 261:25 265:9 equipments 273:19
175:13 332:9 engaged 56:13 280:11,13 282:24 equipped 122:13
361:10 283:8,10 285:19 292:4 122:17 123:1
enabling 18:13 engagements 333:24 365:1 386:7 125:16
encompass 61:3 293:21 404:16 equity 333:7
403:4 english 83:6 entry 50:1,2 324:8 eric 71:19
encompasses 260:6 enhance 122:7 environment 69:4 error 315:8
encounter 55:6 273:18 321:8 93:9 113:7 182:23 errors 315:16
encourage 3:22 enhancements 219:17 256:16 escalated 132:20
34:16 35:19 94:9 261:19 268:5 275:6 279:15 351:11
94:14 171:12,13 enhancing 122:11 321:23 329:3 escalation 340:4
172:5 enjoy 310:24 360:18 361:5,6 escambia 32:18
encumbered 40:5 enough's 377:13 environmental 66:7
endeavor 264:12 ensure 3:17 22:8 88:21 275:4 especially 33:9
268:24 94:22 158:5 177:16 environments 57:15 75:25 76:9
ended 348:18 182:7 184:6 188:7 57:12 102:11 103:15
383:12 193:12 200:17 envisioned 130:15 essence 87:6
ends 147:6 230:14 266:5 epd 237:1 287:17 241:19
enforcement 1:15
6:15,21 7:1,16 17:6 ensured 131:8 294:19 307:17,20 183:12 271:18
32:23,24 33:1,12 ensuring 157:23 307:20 324:24 essentially 108:3
34:9 38:15 70:4 entail 271:11 equal 144:21 128:2 142:4 195:2
74:8,22 75:21 81:9 entailed 89:9 159:20 160:8 215:17 273:8 274:2
81:10,12 82:22,23 enter 309:11 162:13 326:11 274:3 276:13,18
85:24 86:8 96:23 entered 82:13 equally 410:1 277:9 280:13
97:12,19 100:17
102:3 106:7 108:4 enterprise 164:7 equate 310:19 296:20
109:2 127:9,15,25 360:13,23 367:13 establish 130:3
128:13,15 135:19 entertain 5:25 equipment 34:20 262:6
137:9 142:18 148:6 124:1,6 183:23

established 3:7	288:13 301:8	exception 6:9	264:19 265:10
83:14 102:13	307:10 331:25	204:22	expected 53:16
131:22,23,24	337:18 351:15	exceptional 283:16	265:16 276:23
271:18 284:19	358:1,21 361:6	283:17	321:2
295:17	364:20 371:10	excess 228:25	expecting 139:22
establishing 351:3	377:6 378:21	exclusive 381:15	255:4
establishment	380:25 381:15,16	excuse 5:10 11:16	expects 183:21
45:22	409:3 416:6	14:25 25:9 88:24	expedite 94:15
et 31:14 57:4 107:7	everybody's 33:8	90:14 132:22	expeditiously 401:7
150:15 195:24	evidence 98:2	231:10	expenses 264:8
208:6 355:4 412:20	144:21 272:1	execute 273:9,10	experience 107:11
ethically 93:17	281:16 380:20	279:10	110:12 112:5,10
evacuation 322:11	385:2,5,6,7	executive 2:18	118:24 119:8
322:13	evident 113:5	127:4 132:16 173:7	127:16,18,23 128:4
evaluate 102:25	evil 266:19	173:22 268:3	128:14 139:14,21
evaluated 321:22	exacerbated	412:15,19 414:14	142:19,25 148:5
evaluating 151:18	256:16	exemplary 328:9	164:16,18,19,20
evaluation 130:23	exactly 151:14	exist 136:5,15	172:15 184:4 208:2
209:3	153:19 197:4	140:10 146:12	280:6 314:9 316:22
evaluations 211:4	219:10 224:12	159:15 391:6	329:18 383:25
evc 1:18	404:21 416:4	400:21	experienced 93:25
evenings 215:5	example 4:22 19:1	existed 26:18	207:16,18 247:22
event 49:18 89:2	37:1 49:11,11,12	107:16 115:12	337:22 374:17
92:12 93:4 225:17	59:20 134:17	131:12	experiences 208:8
225:19 290:22	144:16 148:7,7,20	existence 135:18	289:24 292:5 310:9
298:11	153:17 154:21	existing 24:5 115:9	experiencing 287:9
events 108:14	209:24 215:23	131:25 268:17	expert 128:9
111:2 287:15	237:20 257:14	exists 111:8 261:6	expertise 109:13
290:17	281:25 298:6	281:6 319:16	145:19 288:2
eventual 263:24	328:23,24 341:24	339:14	366:17 383:3,13,16
eventually 40:15	examples 58:11	exit 264:13	experts 88:23,25
139:19 224:4	131:15 132:12	exonerated 303:8	132:14 137:20
everybody 2:2,17	137:18 142:7 148:8	expand 237:24	172:14 184:3,4
24:13 27:8 28:17	156:1 178:10	238:5	362:25 367:16
43:10 49:2 70:24	252:19	expanded 39:21	expired 280:21
84:10 95:8 118:16	exceeding 107:8	expect 120:3	expires 417:19
146:5,5 150:13	353:12,12	247:11 415:10	explain 66:4 156:5
171:8 182:4 188:7	exceeds 113:11	expectation 52:23	192:8,20 210:2
204:24 213:8 222:9	excellent 141:22	244:3 278:17	230:6 240:16,17
223:1,1 236:5	165:1	expectations 19:12	249:17 295:23
250:18 256:5		54:18 230:24	367:12
		ral Calutions	

[explained - fifty] Page 446

		I	T
explained 137:8	facing 257:5	families 259:18	240:21 254:15
148:24 307:12	306:17	family 349:7	255:10 264:19
322:20	fact 47:5 77:19	379:20 406:20	267:21 275:18
explaining 194:21	132:18 137:10	far 51:25 79:13	276:3 314:9,12
238:11	138:6 140:25	83:25 116:12 124:5	327:18,19 352:16
explanation 237:7	143:19 197:20	171:1 186:6 202:8	367:5 383:17 393:3
exponentially	238:17 253:24	208:20 210:21	feeling 123:12
150:19 175:11	276:12 340:19	211:1,25 230:13	201:11 275:16,19
expose 8:1 21:25	345:23 378:4 395:2	237:14 241:1	280:1 289:11
exposing 10:18	400:1,11 410:17	298:19,21 303:19	412:25
25:11	factor 264:7	337:24 355:2 365:7	feelings 92:14
expressed 112:4	factors 264:4 283:5	397:9 404:7 415:21	feels 113:17,23
203:10 207:22	fail 93:24 200:14	416:2	114:1 156:19 183:6
282:4	failed 158:17 172:4	fashion 328:9	207:13
extend 39:13	402:4,4	fast 112:25 332:11	feet 401:6,22,22,23
extensions 280:22	failure 306:13	333:12 336:10	401:24
292:13	failures 95:10,11	faster 96:18 240:1	feis 65:16
extensively 96:15	111:3,4 119:24	favor 76:10	fellow 94:6 254:4
97:9	245:12,14 246:6	favorable 261:1	255:8 384:25
extent 3:17 256:24	249:18	fdle 1:24 86:8	felt 25:3 159:8
331:22 390:6	fair 19:3 42:9 69:22	100:3	197:7 199:2 221:17
396:19	107:21 154:4	fear 290:13 380:21	334:18
extremely 91:19	174:23 179:5,7,7	fears 176:15	fence 101:14
144:19 262:2	208:15 265:21	feasibility 103:1	fences 64:10,17,20
f	306:25	271:4	fencing 65:5
f 417:1	fairly 106:8 174:14	feature 82:15	fewer 123:10
face 12:4,4 173:18	fairness 22:18 42:8	february 402:4,8	field 38:21 198:19
173:19	298:21	402:15	209:9 214:23
faced 328:14	faith 123:24	feedback 57:9,12	215:12 238:12
facilitate 197:13	fall 12:17,25	124:2 172:3 218:11	339:11,25 340:2
202:16 209:21	204:20 225:10	274:23 317:8	376:25 377:2
	falls 105:19 204:24	320:23 327:23	383:21
283:24 329:21 346:15 410:7	272:24 317:23	feel 70:5,14 76:3	fieldcrest 51:22
	familiar 58:17	113:10,13,15 122:1	fifteen 33:1 38:25
facilitated 409:17	152:23 228:20	122:4 125:16	67:13 120:13
facilitating 230:2	237:18 238:4,18	157:18 158:16	151:10 232:12
facilitator 116:18	258:5,6,12 264:3	165:11 179:13	286:15
facilities 354:16	295:8,9	183:20 191:9 194:3	fifth 64:21
356:9 365:3,6	familiarity 101:22	196:17 202:5	fifty 83:19,19
facility 8:20 348:22	237:15	203:11 226:8 235:8	122:21,25 123:18
385:2,5,10,13		239:17,18,23 240:7	125:15 228:25

[fifty - fishing] Page 447

232:18 233:14	financially 266:11	162:17 164:21,22	350:7 351:2,8
253:10,18 290:20	340:23	166:24 168:12,18	355:12 357:19
291:16,16 320:9	find 11:23 19:22	168:21 169:9,15	359:10 361:10
323:21,22,23	20:19 30:9 70:19	170:18,24 171:3,13	362:14 366:14
387:24 401:24	74:16,19 82:18	171:15,24 172:11	367:3,9 368:20
402:12	116:23 118:11,12	175:13 176:11,24	370:16 373:1,2,15
fight 29:1 51:19	154:13 157:7	179:22,22 180:5,7	376:6 377:16
61:12 76:14 214:8	174:17 273:5	180:11,15,21 181:4	378:19 380:5
365:12	281:21 284:11	181:8,20 183:5	386:22 394:8 395:8
fight's 214:9	296:25 300:6	184:1,8,15,18,21	395:10 400:3
fighting 28:7,13,18	303:18 344:15	186:8,16 187:3,7	405:22 409:15
28:18 407:7	346:22 362:5 396:6	187:11 188:15,16	fired 97:2,8
figure 9:5 23:11	398:13	189:10 190:9 191:2	firefighters 196:23
28:19 29:6 65:4	finding 118:9 119:5	192:15,15 193:4	198:21 199:1
183:13,15,16 213:6	119:5	194:2,4,8,19	firing 252:12
311:14 342:16	findings 301:17	195:19 196:7,14,20	firmly 77:16
344:2 352:18	finds 23:20 30:9	197:2,12,14 198:17	firms 196:13
373:13 377:20	262:2 312:18	198:25 199:15	first 2:4 6:9 14:9
figured 344:21	fine 21:23 284:6	201:6,13,14,23,23	34:8 37:16 67:8,22
355:23	311:3 378:1 382:1	202:3,9,9,12,15	69:1 73:5 79:25
figuring 4:5	finger 364:14	204:19,25 207:2	86:17 88:6 92:3
file 90:2,15,20 93:5	fingers 170:4	212:18 213:17	97:1,16,17,23
93:17	361:21	215:16 216:2,22	101:9 102:1 126:15
filed 231:19 249:4	finish 7:6 69:9	217:9,17 218:9,22	137:3 142:10,14,17
250:2 303:10	239:20	219:3,7,16,23	142:22 143:3 145:9
filing 233:4 248:23	finished 407:19,22	220:5,17 221:1,7	162:17 163:9 171:9
fill 70:12 305:22	408:17	221:14 223:14	181:14 186:19
330:9,10	fire 52:25 58:8	224:18 225:8,21,24	189:25 190:3 229:6
fills 361:3	94:23 99:13 102:2	226:20,25 227:3,4	230:17 232:12
filter 316:9	102:6,11 106:7	227:6,20 230:1	235:11 242:13,24
final 67:16,17 68:4	107:7 108:4 109:2	231:16 233:25	242:24 252:13,14
103:8 132:21 135:7	109:10,14,15	235:5 239:3,9,10	253:23 259:13
242:19 389:20	111:19 112:9 114:3	248:10 261:17	273:9,22 321:16,20
finally 197:9 199:4	114:17 115:20,24	267:2 285:20	324:8 344:16
199:4,13 200:3	127:9,25 128:14	286:16,20 289:5,18	351:22 399:23
210:9,24 372:4,7	129:12,17,22	299:18 319:17,18	411:25 414:9
391:15	130:21 131:2,14,16	325:3,5 326:24	fiscal 40:10 113:23
financed 368:3	132:2,24 134:7	332:9,20 333:3	324:1 354:23 362:2
financial 152:22	135:18 136:20	335:21 336:4,12,14	362:21 368:12
334:11 340:18	137:9 143:11,19	336:23 337:9	fishing 361:1
	144:1 145:7 154:11	339:15,15 341:10	

fishkind 196:14	fix 7:23 13:20,21	348:17 375:11	food 85:19
fit 46:19 287:16	29:23 116:24	floors 300:21 301:3	foot 408:24,25
fitch 107:14,16,17	117:22 118:13,20	florida 1:4,14,16	force 22:2 194:12
108:23 109:8 112:3	117.22 118.13,20	1:23 3:20 4:13	233:1 363:25
113:4 117:2 120:19	139:6 156:22	25:18 28:25 65:18	404:16
120:22 129:11	186:17 199:23,25	92:20 112:20 170:1	forced 197:21
130:16,19 134:24	200:9,10 217:22	307:23 333:24	forces 20:21
135:1 149:5 155:18	218:15,17,19 219:4	356:5 365:22 395:9	forcing 70:1 329:22
155:22,23 156:21	219:5 221:23,23	414:17 417:3	forefront 359:17
156:25 157:6,8,10	223:9 244:9 249:24	flow 133:8,10 134:3	foregoing 417:7
157:15 159:2,6,6	283:19,20 311:2	135:14 136:9,10	forget 212:23
159:10 163:23	316:20 319:15	207:3,4,7 208:17	forgive 195:25
202:15 206:25	357:6 410:14	fluid 287:11	forgot 79:25
208:11 216:21	413:14	fluidness 287:15	form 121:8 129:7
276:14,14,15,18	fixable 116:24	fly 47:16	172:4
278:8,13 281:11,13	117:20,20 244:16	focus 47:11 216:14	formal 404:7,9
281:23,25 286:11	356:19	321:17 322:16,23	formalized 248:23
286:19 296:15,16	fixed 8:10 10:12	327:23 328:3,21	format 131:2
309:23 320:5 327:9	95:7,15 119:14	371:5	formation 102:20
338:20 339:2,8	186:14 205:20,20	focused 290:24	103:2 134:8,20
340:4 346:18,18	227:25 274:22	322:24 339:4	formed 102:23
347:1,3,4	314:13	345:20 395:20	103:5 105:5 106:8
fits 52:6	flabbergasted 95:7	folk 348:6	163:10,10 206:21
five 8:18 16:3 26:22	flagler 66:24	folks 21:1 40:25	206:25 207:1
30:17 32:14,25	flagpole 19:6	172:12 267:25	former 1:19 119:24
38:12,18,22,23	flawed 293:11	follow 24:22 53:16	263:10 287:13,13
42:23 53:9 62:9	flee 60:18,24 297:4	53:17 54:12,23	318:4 406:17
64:5 65:25 66:2,6	fleeing 61:3 297:10	60:2 65:4 67:6	fort 67:9 156:9
68:25 69:4 72:1	fleet 114:5 264:25	73:22 171:22	318:19 341:13
79:8 80:20 82:19	400:14	188:20 194:14	forth 28:9 88:23
82:21 83:15,17,18	fleshing 189:1	211:2 269:2 274:15	275:11 333:19
83:20 123:19	flexibility 287:12	287:7 316:14	336:11 339:19
151:10 190:2	287:18	318:18 353:5	fortifyfl 69:6 78:7,9
200:16 220:9	flexible 288:2	411:18,20	78:14,22,23 79:3
228:25 233:14	flip 261:4	followed 136:19	79:14 80:9,11,16
236:12 252:20	floating 360:23	315:16	80:17,18,22,25
318:21 338:2 349:5	flooding 356:5	following 277:10	81:7,23 83:4,22
368:7 373:16	floor 46:22 49:16	315:22 351:12	86:7,7
392:10,17 393:21	49:25 97:23 271:10	follows 120:16	fortifyfl's 84:5
394:2 402:13	271:19 290:2	179:25 331:10	fortunate 55:9
	298:17 322:21		257:16

[forty - gals] Page 449

forty 16:5,6,13	83:15,16,16 97:21	371:9 382:19	functions 17:22
17:13 37:20,25	97:23 127:15 168:2	frontline 196:15	128:5 271:25 272:7
38:13 40:23 62:9	218:1 247:15 266:2	fruition 139:9	fund 368:20
64:5 81:1 97:22	270:20 323:17	177:17	fundamental
142:18 232:14	324:18,19	frustrated 24:24	111:22 143:2 145:8
307:11 323:18	fourteen 43:16,25	234:11 275:12,19	funded 17:12 105:8
387:24	45:24 82:5 114:14	302:17 351:15,16	145:12 175:25
forward 2:6 19:14	233:16 364:4	376:7 380:7,7	177:9,12 266:13
52:22 54:18 55:20	fourteenth 228:23	frustrates 24:13,13	funder 104:1
64:6 65:3 66:4,16	fourth 64:20	285:24	113:24 126:2
68:16 70:23 71:23	200:21 228:17	frustrating 71:14	funding 21:13 36:3
91:1 112:25 119:4	240:9 241:20	231:21 349:17	36:6,13 39:16 66:3
188:12 189:3	365:17	358:23 394:24	111:9 133:25
190:12 191:13	fractured 160:6	frustration 108:6	152:25 153:3,4
211:12 221:11,18	223:3	111:15 151:7 259:4	157:25 158:6,8
222:7 239:21 262:1	frame 233:21	274:24 290:6 350:6	171:18 177:14,19
267:13 272:5	framework 270:24	351:14 380:4,5	177:22,24 178:4
273:23,23 277:25	295:14	frustrations 234:5	211:7 212:19
278:18 280:19	frameworks 308:6	245:12 302:12	213:12,13,15 214:4
281:18 286:21	frank 180:4	303:3 337:22	217:1,14 218:13
290:11,14 291:9	franklin 66:7	fulfill 374:2	220:14 243:24
292:6 314:19	frankly 4:2 50:12	fulfilled 36:23	244:22 245:4
316:25 317:18	194:20 256:15	372:11	257:23 276:10,20
332:12,22 333:12	359:4	full 3:17 7:5,7 17:5	367:19 386:8
336:10 337:6	free 251:19 380:15	17:5 18:12 35:17	392:24 410:19
351:21 375:5,6	380:15	117:24 141:5	funds 39:25 40:11
376:13 379:8 396:7	freed 399:14	150:16 261:10	146:8 153:5 205:11
401:12 411:24	frequencies 153:7	265:24 286:19	205:12
412:8 414:15 416:5	153:11,14,16,20,24	298:14 306:1	further 4:18 91:4
forwarded 249:2	154:24 155:2,10	324:12 348:6	98:2 131:6,20
249:22	frequency 256:3,6	fully 114:2 159:5	183:14 193:11,22
forwards 316:11	frequent 303:16	244:25 323:17	403:24
foster 260:13	frequently 234:2	393:5	future 40:18 74:3
found 18:15 60:20	fresh 394:23	function 158:9	74:12 242:21
107:16 113:3,4	395:11,18	213:21 241:20	260:10
157:4 269:6 297:7	friction 112:21	282:16 333:8	fy20 40:2
314:2 399:4,23	114:20	337:13 345:4	g
four 26:21 40:23	friday 184:13	functionality	gain 16:12
42:1 43:20 48:10	321:20	116:19 274:8	gainesville 333:25
52:19 53:3 62:8	front 2:8 102:25	functioning 274:10	galiesvine 333.23 gals 220:18
65:2 77:6 80:23	110:23 349:23	275:2 339:21 349:7	Suis 220.10

[game - goes] Page 450

game 243:21	370:8,9 378:11,12	287:17 397:13	268:14 277:4,5
410:23	389:5 394:6	giving 10:22 76:10	279:8,10 284:2,4
gamut 384:18	gg 417:18	217:21 252:18	285:7 286:5 290:1
gap 26:13 346:11	girl 97:1,8 252:10	302:19 396:22	297:8,14 298:1,12
gaps 361:3 398:23	gist 221:16	glad 214:2 360:5	303:25 305:1 311:3
gate 62:19,20 352:3	give 5:13 36:6	glades 66:14	315:3 316:7,23
352:4	42:25 44:3 53:2,23	glass 48:10	319:7 321:11 326:9
gates 62:16 64:9,23	55:1 67:6 68:14	global 378:4	328:9,10 330:20
65:1,7	70:8 76:15,16 78:9	go 4:17 6:6,11	331:18 335:15
gather 329:11,16	78:18,19 100:5	10:13,13 11:22	337:6 340:2 341:2
gathered 234:13	101:2 115:23 117:2	16:18,24 21:9	341:19 342:21
302:19	118:16 121:9	24:25 27:1 29:22	344:14 345:6
gathering 225:24	127:12 134:17	30:18 34:23 35:21	355:24 356:17
gc 1:19	142:7 148:19	36:14,16 39:9	357:21 358:5,9
gear 289:21	150:16 158:25	40:19 44:10 47:1	359:1,2 360:1,21
geared 174:22	163:17,18 175:20	47:18 48:16 54:22	361:1 362:5 367:18
gearing 345:19	178:23 200:12,15	57:13 58:10,14	367:25 368:5 369:1
geez 324:5	207:20 214:3 217:6	62:17 63:14 66:12	369:24 372:20
general 1:12 48:19	220:2 224:21	69:21 72:23 73:21	373:25 376:22
59:18 141:20 157:3	238:13 250:1	79:2 80:2 92:16	380:16 383:19
157:11 232:3	256:18,21 287:8	95:1,18 101:19	384:4 385:18
397:18	320:4 327:17	107:1 110:17 116:3	390:15 391:16
generally 15:19	333:22 334:18	118:5 119:18	392:4,12,19,19,20
265:21	353:25 357:8	120:20 124:11	394:16 399:11
generator 289:5,5	363:16,17 364:9	133:9 148:2 152:20	400:21 402:25
gentlemen 191:17	365:14 375:4	153:1 155:2,15	405:13 406:14
207:11 363:22	386:15 395:12	157:6 166:17	408:4 414:22
geographical	396:24 397:15,25	169:16 174:11	415:21
228:20	412:12 413:1,10,11	177:10,12 178:18	goal 40:15 191:6
george 87:25	415:24 416:3	180:1 183:13 185:7	355:24 396:14
getting 10:3 40:25	given 11:1,14 17:16	186:21 188:3 190:4	goals 335:9
50:6,15 84:24	23:5 43:5,10 49:17	192:4 200:24	god 371:22 382:25
85:24 98:5 160:9	122:22 137:15	204:16 208:20	383:8 384:9
160:12 191:21	143:5 152:12 194:1	211:20 213:3	goes 3:12 51:7,25
192:2 196:24 199:4	215:13 222:7	215:13,24 216:17	52:10 61:4 86:7
203:16 217:14	232:22 234:12,15	220:25 224:11	137:2 142:20
232:9 250:17	300:4 308:12,22	225:23 238:22	143:23 150:7
267:18 310:6 315:9	317:6 330:13 346:6	239:19,23 242:13	178:12 213:22,23
316:19 323:8 333:2	356:12	243:9 245:5 249:16	243:10 257:2
333:5 336:19 346:8	gives 9:4,13 17:20	252:13,21 253:22	272:25 273:13
352:2 369:2 370:6	70:18 84:5 145:13	257:23,23,24	296:10 297:8

[goes - grand] Page 451

306:24 309:19	153:19,21 155:25	336:11,21 337:3,6	390:15
339:3 345:21	156:5,18 157:21	337:15,17,25 339:5	gosh 17:9
360:19	161:4,18 163:13,17	340:21 341:17,24	gotten 7:18 51:16
going 3:9,11 4:8,25	163:18 165:12	343:18 344:4 346:2	75:19 181:18,25
6:6,11 7:10,13,23	167:11 170:8 172:5	347:25 351:23	189:22 218:21
11:4 12:5,8,15	172:7 176:6,7	353:25 358:7,8	219:2 357:1 405:18
13:17,20,21 14:2,4	177:15 178:17	359:1,2 362:8	415:12
14:5,6,7,7,8,21,24	179:15 181:8 183:9	363:2,9 365:18	governance 98:13
14:25 16:12,17	185:16 190:1,3,4,7	369:18 370:4	102:8 103:9 106:2
18:5 19:13,14 20:2	190:8,24,25 195:21	371:15,25 372:1,3	106:2,5 115:8
21:18,19 22:13,24	198:6,14 199:16	372:15,23 374:9	116:14 131:25
23:15 27:9 28:3,14	200:4,5 201:16	377:11 378:4,7,8	132:11,19 136:25
28:15,20 29:1,3,4	208:6 211:16	378:21 379:7,7	137:1,5,11 144:22
30:7,10 35:7 37:6	217:19 218:15,17	380:22,24 382:17	145:13 146:4
37:22 39:4,4 41:9	218:17 221:24	383:24 386:15	170:15 171:5
41:19,22 43:7	222:16 223:2,11	389:25 394:3,10,14	208:15 221:5,9
47:13 50:10 55:17	224:7,24,25 225:2	399:11,12 404:14	272:9 275:25
58:22,24 59:15	226:5,5,25 227:24	405:14,17 406:5,8	285:16 339:9,10,11
60:1 61:2,9,10,11	237:12 239:9,11	406:9 409:10 410:5	339:14,16,17
61:12,13,19 62:14	241:8,13 244:10,11	410:9,21,22 411:17	356:21 370:6
63:3 65:13,15	244:12 246:3 250:8	411:21,24,25 413:6	governed 12:20
66:17,18 67:11	250:16 252:4 254:6	413:13,18 414:2,7	government 18:3
70:23 72:23 74:19	254:11,22,23 255:2	415:16 416:1,4,5	103:10 138:4
74:22,23 75:3,22	256:14 257:24	gonna 330:10	146:20 227:16
77:2,21 78:16	259:11 271:21	396:25	345:25 361:5,7
79:16 85:22 86:11	275:10 276:2,11,16	good 2:2 5:8 28:15	368:16
86:13 91:20 92:10	277:24 278:22	32:11 34:12,12	governmental
93:25 95:18 99:11	280:12 283:5 285:7	40:18 60:22 63:23	138:16 140:3
100:15,19 101:4,6	289:22 290:2,2,11	63:24 72:15 73:5	governor 53:15
101:19 103:12,21	290:14 291:9,25	76:25 79:4 84:8	119:23 386:17,17
104:18 106:9,23	292:6 297:15 300:5	87:10,13 120:3	386:20
107:24 108:19	300:6,17 302:3	121:19 127:1	governor's 2:18
115:14 116:1,13,25	303:5,18 304:1	174:18 194:13	387:15 412:15
117:1,2,3,25	308:2,4,7,23 311:2	201:22 209:2	414:14
118:14,16,17	312:15 313:6	228:14 259:17	governs 146:23
119:19 120:17	314:18 315:13,15	270:2 284:24 285:1	grab 214:8,9
126:14 128:25	318:2 319:2,14	288:7 291:19,20,22	grade 200:21
130:25 133:9 136:6	320:20,20 323:12	323:6 354:19 357:9	graded 322:1
138:14,24 142:8	323:13 324:25	357:9 358:2 359:9	grady 1:21 363:16
148:16,19 149:5,8	325:21 327:6	359:12 367:24	grand 21:17 120:1
149:23 151:12	333:15,19 335:15	369:17 382:20,24	

[granted - happens] Page 452

gratitude 75:6 gravesite 408:25 great 9:3 39:19 51:23 59:7 68:11 19:22 120:2 161:17 212:25 215:19 245:7 290:8 33:10,14 34:10,17 363:22 374:15,25 33:10,14 34:10,17 363:22 374:15,25 33:10,14 34:10,17 375:1 389:13 414:25 greater 107:1 123:12 256:24 green 408:15 green 408:15 green 408:15 green 408:15 green 408:15 green 408:15 green 107:3 green 408:15 green 12:11,13 ground 222:15 ground 222:15 ground 222:15 ground 222:15 ground 12:21 12:31:2 256:24 40:22 41:6 63:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:52 2 322:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 40:22 41:6 63:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:52 2 322:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 40:22 41:6 63:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:52 2 32:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 69:17 71:2 74:17 74:19,25 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 7/113,23 17/13,35 13(10 257:10 261:4 257:10 261:4 257:10 261:4 257:10 261:4 257:10 261:4 303:17:20:17 306:23 341:16,18 371:2 3344:15 345:5 9.10 332:10 364:21 376:10 376:10 376:10 257:10 261:4 333:17 340:13 333:17 340:13 370:22 23 388:12 333:10,14 34:10,17 306:23 341:16,18 371:2 333:10 364:21 377:10 95:9 102:16 102:18 128:11 138:5 14:18 291:2 308:16 371:10 38:11 7:19 366:23 341:16,18 371:10 38:11 7:19 366:23 341:16,18 371:12 330:10 344:25 333:14,21 395:17 396:25 399:8,10 400:23 38:11,13,7 77:10 95:9 102:16 102:18 128:11 138:5 14:18 291:2 308:16 371:2 330:13 364:21 330:13 363:2 330:13 364:21 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:2 330:13 363:3 330:13 363:3 330:13 363:3 330:13 363:3 330:13 363:3 330:13	granted 373:4	guardian 6:22 7:1	gun 75:19,25 77:1	164:1 175:24 176:6
gravesite 408:25 great 9:3 39:19	grants 37:16	7:11,24 11:15	77:13,23	194:13 221:3,23
great 9:3 39:19 51:23 59:7 68:11 30:20,22 31:1,3.7 119:22 120:2 161:17 212:25 31:10,15,22 32:2,6 32:8,13,22,24 33:6 33:10,14 34:10,17 363:22 374:15,25 33:10,14 34:10,17 35:1 389:13 36:19 37:6,14 414:25 greater 107:1 123:12 256:24 greatets 162:3 green 408:15 green 408:15 green 408:15 green 408:15 group 18:15 93:5 133:19,15 34:1,14 group 18:15 93:5 132:3,5 137:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 23:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 30:20,22 31:1,3,7 318:17,22 20:17 309:1,2 31:15 33:10,14 34:10,17 36:2 33:10,14 34:10,17 36:2,2 33:14:16,18 333:17,23 309:1,3 33:10,14 34:10,17 371:2 gymnasium 51:3 344:15 345:9,10 344:12 16,18 34:13 16:2 34:13 16:14 34:19 16:2 34:11 16:15 34:13 1				
S1:23 59:7 68:11	0		0	
119:22 120:2 31:10,15,22 32:2,6 181:17 220:17 309:1,2 311:15 309	0			
161:17 212:25 32:8,13,22,24 33:6 306:23 341:16,18 333:17 340:13 344:15 345:9,10 346:5 358:18 370:22,23 380:22 38:11 39:5,16 40:8 40:21 65:16 66:1,1 123:12 256:24 66:12,18 70:5,11 74:2,9 reatest 162:3 green 408:15 green 107:3 414:25 33:11,13 40:21 65:16 66:1,1 66:12,18 70:5,11 74:2,9 reatest 162:3 ground 222:15 33:12,15 34:1,14 ground 122:15 33:12,15 34:1,14 ground 122:13 13:17 1				· ·
31:19 245:7 290:8 33:10,14 34:10,17 363:22 374:15,25 35:12,14 36:6,17 375:1 389:13 36:19 37:6,14 38:11 39:5,16 40:8 40:21 65:16 66:1,1 123:12 256:24 66:12,18 70:5,11 74:2,9 14:2,10 17:25 14:2,10 17:25 14:2,10 17:25 133:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 33:12,15 34:1,14 34:19 35:23 36:1 37:10 36:10 376:10 36:10 376:10 36:10 376:10 38:14 39:13 377:19 379:14 379:1				-
363:22 374:15,25 375:1 389:13 414:25 38:11 39:5;16 40:8 greater 107:1 123:12 256:24 green 408:15 grey 107:3 grey 121:11,13 ground 222:15 ground 222:15 ground 222:15 33:12,13 43:6,1,14 group 18:15 93:5 93:18,19 109:6 132:3,5 137:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 ground 228:23 ground 2217 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 ground 228:23 ground 2217 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 28:23 ground 28:9 half 91:6 290:22 332:10 364:21 hall 71:19 hallway 52:9 hampers 144:25 hand 77:13 113:17 291:2 308:16 376:10 377:10 95:9 102:16 102:18 128:11 138:5 114:18 147:71 75:6:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 274:20,21 288:8 handing 167:4 handle 122:13,17 123:2 125:16 161:1 190:6 244:11 253:16 handled 124:1,6 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 handwritten 291:4 handwrote 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hall 71:19 hallway 52:9 hall 71:19 398:40 400:23 401:1 138:5 191:18 138:5 114:21 398:11-13:17 291:2 308:16 376:10 274:20,21 288:8 handing 167:4 handle 122:13,17 123:2 125:16 161:1 190:6 244:11 253:16 handled 124:1,6 130:10 handwrote 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hall 71:19 190:190:24 102:18 128:11 138:5 114:18 147:71 75:6:9 174:8 290:13,16 305:9 319:21 348:9 356:13 399:80 400:23 401:1 1396:25 102:18 128:11 138:5 114:18 147:71 75:6:9 17:8 245:2 252:10 274:20,21 288:8 handing 167:4 handled 124:1,6 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 handwrote 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hall 71:19 190:6 244:21 190:6 244:11 190		· ' ' ' '	1	
375:1 389:13 36:19 37:6,14 38:11 39:5,16 40:8 haggling 28:9 half 91:6 290:22 383:14,21 395:17 396:25 399:8,10 40:21 65:16 66:1,1 half 91:6 290:22 332:10 364:21 half 91:6 290:22 332:10 364:21 half 91:6 290:23 399:8,10 40:23 40:11 half 91:6 290:22 332:10 364:21 half 91:6 290:23 399:8,10 40:23 40:11 half 91:6 290:22 380:14 290:23 399:8,10 40:23 40:11 half 91:6 290:22 332:10 364:21 half 91:6 290:22 332:10 364:21 half 91:6 290:23 399:8,10 40:23 40:11 half 91:6 290:23 399:8,10 40:23 40:11 half 91:6 290:22 332:10 364:21 half 91:6 290:23 399:8,10 40:23 40:11 half 91:6 290:23 40:11 half 91:6 290:23 399:8,10 40:23 40:11 half 91:6 290:23 40:11 half 91		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
38:11 39:5,16 40:8 40:21 65:16 66:1,1 123:12 256:24 greatest 162:3 74:2,9 green 408:15 green 408:15 grey 121:11,13 ground 222:15 33:12,15 34:1,14 group 18:15 93:5 93:18,19 109:6 38:19,20 39:6,22 132:3,5 137:21 40:22 41:6 63:21 149:23 168:12,13 65:19 66:3,5,18 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 40:22 45:6 63:21 203:2,23 204:10 225:52 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 40:22 45:6 63:21 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 203:2,23 204:10 225:24 255:8 309:18 316:5 364:7 309:18 316:5 364:7 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:14 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:4 309:18 33:23 30:14 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:18 33:22 403:11 309:18 33:22	,		gymnasium 51:3	
greater 107:1 123:12 256:24 greatest 162:3 green 408:15 grew 107:3 grey 121:11,13 ground 222:15 group 18:15 93:5 93:18,19 109:6 132:3,5 137:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 28:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 group 106:23 group 128:23 gruptitieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 gruptitieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 40:21 65:16 66:1,1 66:12,18 70:5,11 74:2,9 guardians 6:16 14:2,10 17:25 14:29:21 32:15 14:18 17:19 hall vay 52:9 hampers 144:25 hand 77:13 113:17 291:2 308:16 376:10 handed 330:7 handful 83:14 94:18 handing 167:4 handled 122:13,17 123:2 125:16 161:1 190:6 244:11 253:16 handled 124:1,6 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 hall vay: 52:9 hampers 144:25 hand 77:13 113:17 291:2 308:16 376:10 handed 330:7 handful 83:14 94:18 handing 167:4 handled 122:13,17 123:2 125:16 161:1 190:6 244:11 253:16 handled 124:1,6 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 hallway 52:9 hampers 144:25 hand 77:13 113:17 291:2 308:16 376:10 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 376:10 102:18 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 376:10 102:18 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 376:10 102:18 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 376:10 102:18 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 102:18 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 102:18 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:1 28:1 33:1:3 17:1 39:2 308:16 376:10 102:18 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 100:218 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 100:218 128:11 138:5 141:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 100:218 128:11 138:5 14:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 102:18 128:11 138:5 14:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 102:18 128:11 138:11 138:5 14:18 147:77 156:9 174:8 245:2 252:10 271:3 08:16 100:21 10:10 100		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	h	·
123:12 256:24 66:12,18 70:5,11 74:2,9 may reater 107:3 14:2,10 17:25 may reater 107:3 may reater 107:3 may reater 107:3 may reater 107:3 may reater 107:1 may reater 107:1 may reater 107:1 may reater 107:1 may reater 102:3 may reater 103:1 may reater 10			haggling 28.9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
123:12 256:24 greatest 162:3 green 408:15 grew 107:3 14:2,10 17:25 21:14 29:21 32:15 33:12,15 34:1,14 29:13 35:3 36:1 33:10,364:21 hall 71:19 hallway 52:9 hampers 144:25 hand 77:13 113:17 291:2 308:16 33:19,20 39:6,22 40:22 41:6 63:21 65:19 66:3,5,18 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 225:22 232:1 225:22 232:1 230:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 groups 106:23 groups 128:11 12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarante 187:11 362:2 growing 106:23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarante 187:11 362:2 362:2 30:24 30:41 30:36:2 362:2 30:30:4 30:25 30:25 30:24 30:41 30:36:2 30:25 30:2	O	40:21 65:16 66:1,1		396:25 399:8,10
greetast 162:3 green 408:15 grew 107:3 grey 121:11,13 ground 222:15 group 18:15 93:5 93:18,19 109:6 132:3,5 137:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:23 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 203:2.4 203:2.3 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.3 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.3 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.3 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.3 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.3 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.4 203:2.3 203:2.4 203:2				400:23 401:1
green 408:15 grey 121:11,13 ground 222:15 group 18:15 93:5 grill 14:2,10 17:25 21:14 29:21 32:15 group 18:15 93:5 group 18:15 93:6,22 group 18:15 93:5 group 18	greatest 162:3	74:2,9		happened 55:13
grew 107:3 grey 121:11,13 ground 222:15 group 18:15 93:5 93:18,19 109:6 132:3,5 137:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 23:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 208:15 176:14 279:2 308:16 376:10 38:19,20 39:6,22 40:22 41:6 63:21 65:19 66:3,5,18 69:17 71:2 74:17 74:19,25 guards 37:7 guess 17:9 55:9 73:22 81:14 158:25 161:25 245:20 253:24 255:8 293:12 303:14 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 guestimate 316:4 guideline 135:2 guardnee 187:11 308:4 hampers 144:25 hand 77:13 113:17 291:2 308:16 376:10 handed 330:7 handful 83:14 94:18 handing 167:4 handle 122:13,17 123:2 125:16 161:1 190:6 244:11 253:16 handled 124:1,6 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 handwritten 291:4 handwritten 291:4 handwritten 291:4 handwritten 291:4 handwritten 291:4 handwritten 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hanging 377:6 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25		0		77:10 95:9 102:16
grey 121:11,13 21:14 29:21 32:15 hand 77:13 113:17 138:5 141:18 147:17 156:9 174:8 group 18:15 93:5 33:18,19 109:6 34:19 35:23 36:1 33:19,20 39:6,22 hand 77:13 113:17 291:2 308:16 376:10 245:2 252:10 245:2 252:10 245:2 252:10 274:20,21 288:8 290:13,16 305:9 319:21 348:9 319:21 34	grew 107:3	14:2,10 17:25		102:18 128:11
ground 222:15 group 18:15 93:5 93:18,19 109:6 132:3,5 137:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 38:19,20 39:6,22 40:22 41:6 63:21 65:19 66:3,5,18 69:17 71:2 74:17 74:19,25 guards 37:7 guess 17:9 55:9 73:22 81:14 158:25 161:25 245:20 253:24 255:8 293:12 303:14 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 guestimate 316:4 guidance 2:21 128:10 131:5 333:22 403:11 guideline 135:2 guarantee 187:11 362:2 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4	grey 121:11,13	21:14 29:21 32:15	_	138:5 141:18
group 18:15 93:5 34:19 35:23 36:1 376:10 245:2 25:210 93:18,19 109:6 38:19,20 39:6,22 40:22 41:6 63:21 40:18	ground 222:15	33:12,15 34:1,14		147:17 156:9 174:8
33:18,19 109:6 132:3,5 137:21 149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4	group 18:15 93:5	34:19 35:23 36:1		245:2 252:10
132:3,5 137:21 40:22 41:6 63:21 65:19 66:3,5,18 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 253:24 255:8 293:12 303:14 267:24 296:21 230:21 304:1 267:24 296:21 230:21 304:1 27:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 200:24 290:24 200:24 200:25 200:25 200:25 200:25 200:25 200:2	93:18,19 109:6	38:19,20 39:6,22		274:20,21 288:8
149:23 168:12,13 196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 65:19 66:3,5,18 69:17 71:2 74:17 74:19,25 guards 37:7 guess 17:9 55:9 73:22 81:14 158:25 161:25 245:20 253:24 255:8 293:12 303:14 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 guestimate 316:4 guideline 135:2 guidelines 19:10 362:2 94:18 handing 167:4 handle 122:13,17 123:2 125:16 161:1 190:6 244:11 253:16 handled 124:1,6 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 handwritten 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hanging 377:6 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25 400:5 happening 41:11 63:11 78:1,25 167:16 181:7 192:21 194:12 199:3,4 249:23 252:7 256:12 290:13 307:7,8 339:22 346:5 371:19 happens 33:4 61:19 142:8 143:24 156:20 181:6 211:16 215:11 340:17	132:3,5 137:21	40:22 41:6 63:21		290:13,16 305:9
196:15,19 199:11 203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 362:2	149:23 168:12,13	65:19 66:3,5,18		319:21 348:9
203:2,23 204:10 225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 253:24 255:8 293:12 303:14 267:24 296:21 230:21 304:1 230:21 304:1 230:21 304:1 230:21 304:1 253:22 403:11 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 202:13 203:4 202:13 203:4 202:13 203:4 202:13 203:4 202:13 203:21 304:1 202:13 203:21 202:13 203:21 202:13 203:21 202:13 203:21 202:13 203:21 202:13	196:15,19 199:11	69:17 71:2 74:17		356:13 363:1 373:1
225:22 232:1 282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 282:13 332:3 380:6 guess 17:9 55:9 73:22 81:14 158:25 161:25 245:20 253:16 handled 124:1,6 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 handwritten 291:4 handwritten 291:4 handwrote 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hanging 377:6 happening 41:11 63:11 78:1,25 167:16 181:7 192:21 194:12 199:3,4 249:23 252:7 256:12 290:13 307:7,8 339:22 346:5 371:19 happens 33:4 61:19 142:8 143:24 156:20 181:6 211:16 215:11 344:23 355:17	203:2,23 204:10	74:19,25		398:11,13,16 400:5
282:13 332:3 380:6 397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 Total a specific process	225:22 232:1	guards 37:7		400:5
397:4 399:18 404:19 415:23 groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 73:22 81:14 158:25 161:25 245:20 253:16 handled 124:1,6 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 handwritten 291:4 handwritten 291:4 handwrote 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hanging 377:6 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25	282:13 332:3 380:6	_		happening 41:11
161:25 245:20 253:24 255:8 130:10 140:15:15 155:5 176:14 293:12 303:14 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 309:18 316:4 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 309:18 316:5 364:7 309:18 3	397:4 399:18			
groups 115:15 155:5 176:14 293:12 303:14 267:24 296:21 309:18 316:5 364:7 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 309:18 316:5 364:7 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 333:22 403:11 guarantee 187:11 308:4 155:5 176:14 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 guestimate 316:4 guidance 2:21 128:10 131:5 186:21 130:10 192:21 194:12 199:3,4 249:23 252:7 256:12 290:13 307:7,8 339:22 346:5 371:19 142:8 143:24 156:20 181:6 156:20 181:6 290:13 307:7,8 186:21 186:21 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:13 190:21 190:13	404:19 415:23	161:25 245:20		167:16 181:7
155:5 176:14 267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 293:12 303:14 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 guestimate 316:4 guestimate 316:4 guidance 2:21 128:10 131:5 333:22 403:11 guideline 135:2 guidelines 19:10 362:2 130:10 hands 116:22 117:15 256:19 handwritten 291:4 handwrote 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hanging 377:6 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25	groups 115:15	253:24 255:8		
267:24 296:21 growing 106:23 230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 309:18 316:5 364:7 375:14 guestimate 316:4 guidance 2:21 117:15 256:19 handwritten 291:4 handwrote 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hanging 377:6 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25 252:7 256:12 290:13 307:7,8 339:22 346:5 371:19 happens 33:4 61:19 142:8 143:24 156:20 181:6 211:16 215:11 344:23 355:17		293:12 303:14		199:3,4 249:23
growing 106:23 375:14 handwritten 291:4 grown 228:23 guidance 2:21 gualtieri 1:12 128:10 131:5 handwritten 291:3 hang 79:18,18 happen 33:22 346:5 hanging 377:6 happen 33:4 61:19 happen 17:22 156:20 181:6 puddelines 19:10 55:17 58:22 92:8 308:4 95:11 110:25	267:24 296:21	309:18 316:5 364:7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
230:21 304:1 grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 guestimate 316:4 guidance 2:21 handwritten 291:3 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hanging 377:6 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25 339:22 346:5 371:19 happens 33:4 61:19 142:8 143:24 156:20 181:6 211:16 215:11 344:23 355:17	growing 106:23	375:14		290:13 307:7.8
grown 228:23 gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 Guidance 2:21 hang 79:18,18 186:21 hanging 377:6 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25 344:23 355:17 404:17	_			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
gualtieri 1:12 17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 128:10 131:5 333:22 403:11 guideline 135:2 guidelines 19:10 362:2 128:10 131:5 186:21 happen 33:4 61:19 142:8 143:24 156:20 181:6 211:16 215:11 344:23 355:17		0		
17:18 35:8 229:17 229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guarantee 187:11 308:4 333:22 403:11 guideline 135:2 guidelines 19:10 362:2 333:22 403:11 hanging 377:6 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25		0		
229:18 231:20,23 270:7 341:12 414:5 guideline 135:2 guidelines 19:10 362:2 guideline 135:2 happen 17:22 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25				
270:7 341:12 414:5 guidelines 19:10 guidelines 19:10 362:2 55:17 58:22 92:8 95:11 110:25 211:16 215:11 344:23 355:17				
guarantee 187:11 362:2 95:11 110:25 344:23 355:17	· ·	0		
95:11 110:25		_		
116:14 143:25				
			116:14 143:25	10111

[happy - hide] Page 453

	T			
happy 18:22,24	234:22 298:19	169:20 185:18	313:13 329:12,16	
19:8 69:18 92:1	301:25 338:19	195:23 216:19	346:15 360:6	
182:1 217:5 319:24	head's 158:5	218:10 219:23	367:12 396:10	
339:22 349:7	headache 217:2	239:2 248:9 254:14	408:11,18 410:11	
359:17 378:14,17	362:24	257:14 259:22	helped 407:5	
378:21 379:20	heads 114:15 150:1	263:21 277:19	helpful 74:12	
haprring 21:21	150:1 249:13	278:9 294:10	369:10,11	
174:12 175:9 176:4	headsets 275:5	296:19 302:9	helping 41:5	
176:10 177:4,23	headway 372:5,7	304:18 314:8 325:2	345:17	
178:13 207:11	health 403:8,9,12	331:21 334:6	henry 88:2 99:19	
208:9,23 209:11	hear 5:23 11:10	335:20 337:13	106:17 110:9,11	
210:4,24 327:7	28:16 37:24 38:5	343:10,21 345:2	111:6,18 112:6	
328:17 329:6 390:1	63:8 78:12 79:1	350:6 353:6 360:11	331:13,13,17	
391:1,5,8,15,23	99:7,11 105:21	365:7 370:20	338:10 350:19	
392:17 394:3	106:9 112:11	371:23 374:13	352:7 353:10,22	
hard 38:5 45:22	115:14,24 116:5,21	380:4 389:14	354:2 355:5,24	
46:14,25 48:9,14	117:4,10 118:17	396:15 399:19	358:9 359:22,25	
48:25 49:15 50:14	119:6,7,12 120:3	402:19 409:24,25	360:2,12 364:18	
50:16,16 196:2	126:11 155:7 162:7	411:5	365:24 366:2,4,6	
273:12 315:6	171:14 173:2	hearing 95:2	366:16 369:5,8,16	
322:19 323:5	179:13 187:5 204:1	101:23 119:4	374:6,10,22 375:16	
harden 66:14	209:17 222:19,23	217:15 218:8	376:14 379:10,25	
hardware 367:14	223:12 224:18,23	219:20,22 222:20	381:11,19 382:5,10	
harks 281:14	224:24 225:1,2,5	319:13,19 341:17	382:16 384:9,16,23	
harm 33:17 61:21	237:10 248:11	348:13 366:12	385:3,8,11,14,17	
85:7,15	251:12 252:12	369:3 377:17	387:9,12,23 388:2	
harmed 347:25	255:16 256:3	394:19,25	388:6,13,19,22,24	
harold 38:4,4	259:10,12,13 278:1	heart 93:13 94:19	389:6,12,22 390:25	
harpring 1:19	302:11 306:16	343:25 345:21	391:4,7,13,22	
174:11 207:9	318:22 320:6	hearts 344:1	392:7,19 393:18	
323:12 327:6	322:21 331:17	heat 21:6 366:11	394:11 395:25	
389:24 397:2	338:17 341:6	heavily 78:24 128:6	398:4 404:2 409:19	
hash 165:24 168:14	345:21 348:2	182:11	hero 23:2 76:2	
hate 398:6	358:24 362:3	heck 9:10 364:9	hey 29:3 72:11	
hatteras 360:24	369:24 370:14	held 150:15 151:2,2	166:21 168:4 209:2	
he'll 22:20 54:23	377:15 380:3 394:9	151:10 279:19	217:5,19 319:13,19	
188:1,2 220:13	411:18	help 87:21 96:18	333:8 347:4 348:25	
384:11 392:20	heard 33:2 44:14	98:5 123:6 169:20	363:20 377:20	
404:4	45:15 97:3 105:21	180:23 204:18	hide 51:19 60:25	
head 43:3 137:13	129:10 142:19	244:8 245:8 252:11	61:10 76:14	
158:4 162:21 222:5	159:3 162:12 165:9	254:13 296:3		
Varitant Lagal Colutions				

[hiding - identity] Page 454

hiding 60:5,21 61:1	hixon 77:12	hopefully 50:23	288:16 300:8 301:3
hierarchy 131:25	hm 368:7	179:8 189:2 191:11	302:2,5 315:13
136:22	hold 5:14 48:4	375:3	316:8 317:6,14
high 1:1 2:9 46:23	72:16,17 118:9	hopes 131:9	319:25 321:5
57:3 63:13,13	279:4,13 342:2	hoping 241:3 242:3	322:18 329:13
64:16 66:24 74:21	368:24 404:25	hopping 401:3	358:17 373:20
96:21 97:13 114:15	413:2	horns 117:22	387:21 393:22
115:5,6 123:20	holder 216:17	horrible 75:21	401:22,22,23,23
151:3 192:25 193:2	holding 234:10	220:19 253:19	402:22 408:24
235:19 395:4	302:16	horrified 348:8	hung 232:13
401:22,24 403:11	hole 25:12 289:22	398:11	hurricane 225:18
higher 121:5	hollywood 87:18	hot 21:3 265:4	288:24 289:2,6,15
133:23 281:19	87:21,22 88:6,9,17	hotel 88:16 103:20	289:20 290:25
294:19,24 324:20	88:22 89:20,22	hotline 80:7,15	356:6,6
343:9	90:3,4,11,16,24	hour 86:24 95:4	hurricanes 122:14
highest 10:5 181:15	91:11,22,25 92:9	324:16,18,19,22	123:3 288:22
182:10 183:4 299:4	92:20,21 93:6,14	364:4	i
299:10	94:8 360:7 407:8	hours 40:23,25	iaed 233:6 286:13
highlight 135:11	407:12	215:5 247:15	286:13
175:1	hollywood's 89:6	256:22 274:7	idea 15:5,18 78:19
highlighting	home 92:16,23	380:13 383:9	162:23 163:10
107:25	118:12 186:25	410:13 415:1	200:13 209:2
highlights 136:3	297:9 346:22	house 213:3 272:22	229:11 293:4 316:2
highly 132:12	homeland 67:1	402:24	318:3 389:13
highway 255:18	hometown 235:8	household 213:7	415:22
353:13,21	honest 55:6 245:18	huge 169:22 247:23	ideal 301:24
hinted 274:7	315:14 316:21	255:22 407:16	ideas 84:24 390:15
hire 141:2 160:24	346:15,16 369:14	human 17:11	410:20
256:17 299:18	369:16	315:24	identification
306:5,5,10,11	hoops 400:6	humans 315:22	45:22
hired 112:6 139:19	hope 54:10,22 81:4	humbled 259:20	identified 48:14
161:4 166:22 356:7	93:4 102:17 119:6	hundred 4:2 16:2,3	50:20 51:5 63:6
383:7	154:20 171:23,24	19:15 26:4,6 27:13	131:11 268:4
hiring 110:11	199:13 203:24	27:17 30:1,1,16	328:22 393:2,9
139:12 285:12	206:3 211:18	35:13 40:23 72:1,7	identify 33:18,21
historic 270:16	221:19 222:9,10	74:18 83:20 95:15	33:23 62:17 82:22
historical 269:4	240:2 254:3,3	104:1 121:1,6,7	162:2 279:8
history 244:20	269:17 360:7,8	212:11 233:13	identifying 23:16
hit 279:23 313:25	382:25 410:10	234:21 247:24	393:3
hits 313:24	hopeful 330:3	249:19 250:19	identity 275:12,18
		256:21 258:23	280:2
		ral Solutions	<u> </u>

[ifs - individuals] Page 455

ifs 259:1,1	implementing	improving 230:13	inclusive 113:14
ignites 51:13	114:3 265:3	325:20	incorporated 388:8
ignored 208:14	implore 92:11	inability 111:16	393:5
209:6	200:6	296:11	incorporates
illustration 360:9	importance 140:19	inaccurate 327:13	393:15
360:10	140:20	349:16	incorrect 214:25
imagine 17:10 36:5	important 49:3	inappropriately	234:18 305:24
88:25 92:9 332:14	50:3,6 72:3 77:15	130:7 163:24	increase 121:22
336:23 364:1,1	96:15 111:10 130:9	inbound 307:15	122:1 307:22 312:2
385:15	131:6 132:5 133:11	308:25	312:3 358:16
immediate 3:23	133:22 134:25	incepted 272:10	increased 31:17,24
73:3,9 138:6	146:2 157:7 158:13	inception 202:21	307:16 308:19
262:15	160:23 165:22	incident 47:12 53:7	311:24,25
immediately 61:25	171:8,15 177:19	59:6 75:21 95:13	incremental 357:25
62:1 344:20	179:11,12 181:12	142:11 143:4,5,13	inculcate 171:20
impact 112:1	182:4 188:24	144:13 151:23	incumbent 22:1
156:18 262:3 340:9	196:18 197:7	193:19 231:20	independent 96:7
340:18,21,23 341:5	222:12,13 223:6	238:7 295:1 298:9	193:8 197:8 211:3
impacted 373:17	273:1 381:1,2	312:1 321:18	238:20 247:3
impacting 376:25	395:22 398:20	343:17 344:7	270:21 276:15
impacts 156:7	importantly 78:19	380:10,11,24 381:4	281:13 282:1,3,7
378:5	96:12 185:8 186:14	398:8 400:9	282:25 318:4
impasse 374:19	impossible 161:19	incidents 77:22	330:24
impedes 182:23	277:12	122:15 143:22	indian 1:19 175:10
impediment 107:5	impression 398:7	144:5 151:17	indicate 43:20
176:12 392:5	399:18	158:18 234:2,14,17	indicated 3:14
impediments	improve 10:20 94:4	395:24 402:13	14:21 109:6 233:25
270:14	123:6 141:13	include 30:15	392:4
imperative 181:14	225:16 239:13	131:7 177:24	indicating 31:6
262:3 409:13	256:11 261:6	211:11 261:18	32:2
implement 24:4	268:10 325:12	included 131:25	indication 43:1
158:12 206:5 261:2	386:13 405:5	133:17	44:3
296:8	improvement	includes 233:17	indicative 170:11
implementation	135:4 138:22	264:22	288:8 295:17
93:10 103:3 233:5	145:24 157:3,12	including 108:13	indirectly 145:6
235:1 237:1 239:24	234:3 281:11,24	108:14 130:5	individual 50:21
268:20 277:1	282:13 325:16,17	141:21 157:5 397:5	61:8 104:2,3,3
307:21 327:25	370:11	inclusion 133:12	155:5 176:25
implemented 39:7	improvements 99:1	275:13,17 280:2	177:25 178:8
234:7	394:22	289:11	individuals 114:15
			199:14 220:7 232:1
			177.17 220.7 232.1

334:14 336:16	initiate 43:15 391:2	intense 172:8	internally 167:4
350:20 352:16	initiated 89:25	intensive 161:23	215:10
384:21 394:8	231:1,12 326:5	intensive 101.23	internet 81:18
inferior 104:20	initiative 197:6	193:12 197:4,11	internet 81.16
inflexible 344:25	198:20	220:25 260:13,24	182:8 183:11 240:9
influence 160:16		329:20 374:2	
183:8	injury 60:14 inner 169:3	intention 70:1	interpersonal
influenced 183:1	innovation 71:19	328:4	351:20 352:6,8
			interpret 269:4
influential 169:24	input 53:23 111:21	intently 341:6 interact 129:3	interpretation
196:24	133:16 171:6		206:20 269:8
information 20:17	235:17,21 339:6	interacting 178:7	317:21
27:20 41:14 73:24	inquiry 210:6	interaction 154:3,6	interrogation
74:10 83:23 84:3	insert 288:2	251:3,5 336:12	286:13 287:14
118:17 123:22	inside 76:1 193:17	interactions 129:2	interruption 266:8
128:10 150:10	390:8	359:5	266:15
151:9 152:17	insight 127:24	interest 218:23	interview 155:18
154:15 159:10	159:1	234:20 262:15	interviewed 113:1
196:1 207:7 210:12	insightful 148:4	266:7 396:6	114:14 155:21
214:25 219:22	insinuation 209:23	interested 117:13	intimate 314:7
224:22 225:25	insistent 192:9	374:23	intimately 264:3
226:6,10 228:22	353:18	interesting 300:1	intimidating
231:18 234:12,13	install 262:12	interestingly	264:21
270:16 284:7,10	installing 44:8	288:20	introspective 92:16
287:3 302:18	instance 344:8	interests 129:19	invest 241:9
308:11 315:10	instances 31:5 32:1	170:2 172:21	investigate 55:13
371:9 380:3 389:21	142:17 156:1	198:18 260:22	72:20 118:19 120:1
407:10	instant 75:24	interface 235:2	301:12,15
informative 68:10	institute 215:21	240:12 241:7,17	investigates 316:24
informed 63:20	instruction 279:4	242:2	investigation 55:15
233:10 284:24	insurmountable	interfere 142:1	investigators 113:1
infrastructure	176:12,20 177:3	interfered 347:4	113:3
102:13 182:12	intake 127:25	interference	invitation 344:16
184:6 364:22	128:15	128:23 146:1 162:5	invite 404:2
372:12 383:4 405:6	integrated 68:22	174:16,19 207:17	invited 174:2 212:4
infuriating 95:4	254:18,19,24	207:19 211:2	212:15 326:4 337:6
ingress 62:22 64:11	integrity 266:10	275:22	337:9 338:4 414:3
65:7	intend 410:16	interim 191:14	inviting 68:8
initial 79:11 121:24	intended 209:23	interlocal 104:23	invoices 65:23
122:2 287:8	260:9	107:21 335:22,23	invoke 300:10
initially 79:9,11	intending 36:19	internal 302:6	involve 76:17

[involved - judd] Page 457

involved 94:15	191:14 200:23	268:1 269:18 273:1	jeff 201:22
103:14 119:22	201:6,11 230:10,11	274:1,1,8 281:1	jefferson 251:7
128:7 129:17	237:13 246:9,24	283:11,18 302:10	392:22,22 401:10
133:14 135:22	250:2 274:18	302:24 303:20	401:25
138:11 145:5	278:20 281:3,10	304:2 305:7 306:17	job 23:6 28:12
154:13 159:22	288:12 295:4 302:1	315:1 319:8 328:25	117:24 121:24
170:19 171:3,7	303:24 306:13	328:25 331:16	123:23 162:19
185:14 222:15	308:8,15 311:20,25	338:10 342:7,12	163:3 230:8,14
239:15 264:8	317:18 319:15	344:18 347:2	282:17 284:24
342:23 346:23	336:2 343:8 348:3	351:20 352:5,6,8	322:8 323:6,6
352:11,25 359:4	354:24 360:6 364:6	354:17 355:20	347:22 354:19
391:11 400:2 410:6	365:21 368:8	358:22 362:16	360:3,25 382:20,24
involvement	369:12 371:20,21	365:7 370:3 390:22	395:20 403:12
135:23 149:21	376:9 379:20 394:6	398:19 399:21	404:23
157:24 268:14	394:6 396:10	401:7 405:2 414:16	jobs 163:2,2 183:25
328:19	399:21 400:24	415:7	320:25
involves 70:10	405:3 407:16 409:2	it'd 258:20	joe's 258:8
284:18	412:22	item 2:4 63:13	john 99:14 111:20
involving 15:7	issued 33:7 63:21	189:21 217:1	120:21 180:25
106:5	103:8	243:13,15 361:18	192:14 228:4
irma 289:2 290:22	issues 35:18 88:24	items 197:16	join 96:9 104:18
ironically 306:16	89:19 95:19 98:13	266:16 276:13	joint 90:3,5,8,14
irony 253:24	99:10 101:3,6	339:18 387:1	109:9 127:8 134:19
irrelevant 399:25	106:24 107:2,5,9	j	181:19 208:6
irrespective 216:8	108:8 112:13 115:7	jackson 66:17	jonathan 228:15
218:12	124:6 126:24	•	jones 1:12
ishmael 87:24	128:21 133:21,25	112:7,8,13 147:10 161:3,18 202:7	josh 87:23
island 241:24	136:5 142:3 144:3	203:5,8 211:22	judd 1:21 5:7,10,15
israel 248:14	145:23 147:11	·	5:16 6:2 8:21,22
304:15,21	154:20 161:11	227:7,9 251:4,7 293:16 299:10	10:9,16 11:1,5 17:1
issue 4:4,4 11:14	164:21 166:25	401:10,17 402:1,6	19:16 20:10 22:25
21:24 22:2,11 29:8	168:14,16 180:11	jacksonville 18:21	28:24 34:23,24
78:5 79:2 86:16,21	182:17,20 188:25	42:12,12	69:21,22 71:1 72:5
87:21 89:2,11	208:4,18 212:19	jacob 1:18	92:2,3 118:5,6
91:19,20 109:11	214:7 226:22	jail 366:5	166:17,18 167:25
113:8 132:20 133:3	228:13 229:24	james 1:19	168:6 169:1,5
133:13,15 142:10	230:8,21 231:9,11	january 260:3	171:9 173:2 178:18
143:1 144:9,11	233:17 234:1,10	265:22	178:19 179:6,10,17
152:9 169:5 175:4	245:8 246:9,10,21	jarring 300:10	186:21,23 207:10
175:6,7 181:12	247:1,3 248:8,12	jason 1:12	211:20,21 212:3,16
188:3 189:8,20,20	259:16 267:16,22	Jasvii 1.12	213:11 216:13
Veriteyt Legal Solutions			

[judd - know] Page 458

219:18 224:12	k	73:18,24 74:6 78:3	15:10,25 18:7,21
239:1,2,6 240:3		kept 40:14 344:8	18:21,21 19:16,23
255:14 323:10,15	k 1:18	kevin 1:23	20:11,14,20 21:18
323:19,22 324:13	kane 189:18 201:18	key 257:2 356:25	22:10,16 23:18,20
325:2,22 326:6,13	kast 63:19	356:25	23:22,22,24 26:17
326:22 327:4 329:7	keep 22:24 29:24	kick 50:9	27:14,18,23 28:2
330:5,15,21 360:1	30:6,10 41:22	kicked 105:2	28:14,22 30:3 36:7
360:2 365:21,25	45:18 56:12 64:23	kicking 346:7,7	36:8,10,10,11,12
366:3,5,7 367:11	65:1 69:12,18	kid 58:5 77:10	36:21 37:13 39:19
369:6 390:9 404:20	78:11 86:19 120:11	kidding 300:11	40:15 41:4,8 43:2,4
408:23 413:12,20	120:23 243:19	kids 10:24 17:8	43:4,9 45:18 46:5
413:24	264:22 269:12	47:14 51:7,19,24	46:24 47:5,10,12
judge 11:22 28:8	291:25 300:12	55:2 57:10,19 58:9	48:15,20 50:10,23
judgment 133:2	302:2 316:19	76:13,15 77:24	51:10,12,13,14,17
176:18	371:19 377:20	kill 77:13,14	51:19,22,22 52:21
judicial 1:14	400:24 402:20	killed 97:22	52:24 53:11,18
julie 180:18	keeping 18:13 223:15,17 413:6	killer 77:14	54:1,2,17,23 55:8
july 68:2,4 233:12	keeps 313:8	killing 380:23	55:10,16,17,22
407:19,22 412:20	keller 87:25	kind 46:14 53:10	56:9,18 57:19 58:2
jump 38:2	kelley 5:4	59:14 74:24 103:21	58:2,3,20,21,21,23
jumped 400:1,6	kelly 3:4,9,11 4:7	131:20 135:11	59:1,3,3,5 60:17,18
juncture 185:19	4:17 5:8,11 6:5	155:13 156:25	63:1 70:15 71:16
362:25 404:24	8:15 9:20 10:8	165:24 178:1 181:9	72:2,13 73:10,19
june 1:6 31:2 32:15	11:12,18 12:23	181:22 199:21	75:6,20,22 76:1,6,7
42:2,4,20 44:1 45:5	13:2,5,9,15,17,22	210:7 212:22 213:7	76:11,18,18 77:17
45:25 52:20 59:17	14:1,5,13,16,23	213:8 215:15 222:1	77:23,25 80:25
60:7 62:8 64:6,13	15:2,22 16:4,7,9,20	223:25 226:1 238:5	82:14 83:24 84:7
65:10 67:16,18	18:6,6 19:24 21:15	240:7 279:17	84:14 85:5,23
90:6 109:9 322:17	22:18,21,24 23:1,8	333:18,18 341:4	91:12 96:7 100:16
jurisdiction 155:12	24:22 25:7,19,23	354:5 361:6 390:6	100:20 117:18,19
376:21	26:1,4,8,16 27:8,19	393:23 406:2	117:19,21,23,23
jurisdictional	27:22 30:11 32:12	kinds 29:20 342:5	118:1 119:8 122:20
149:16,18 193:9	35:24 36:2,11,25	392:2	125:8 127:23 128:4
194:9 267:8	37:25 38:17 39:8	knew 48:1 144:10	129:3,10 130:13,22
jurisdictions	39:17 40:12 41:3	230:20 261:22	131:10,18 133:20
205:22 233:2	41:22,23 42:14,16	288:10 311:21	134:9 135:19 136:1
234:16 318:16	43:12 44:15,21,24	319:2 377:22 408:3	137:10 139:9
jury 21:17 120:1	47:17 48:6 52:1,16	408:15	140:21 142:10,13
justification 281:17	54:16 55:1,5,25	know 8:7 9:6,6,17	142:24 143:1,7,8
justified 310:2	59:10,16 61:22	9:18 10:1,9,17 11:6	143:18,24 144:3
	63:25 70:3 71:9	11:10 12:3 13:13	147:1,25 149:25
	Veriteyt Lea		

[know - launched] Page 459

151:15 152:5	253:7,17,24 254:10	415:2,20 416:4	land 268:6 393:25
153:21,22 154:17	257:15 258:7,8,25	knowing 54:22	landing 220:9
155:20,25 156:4,13	281:3 284:18 286:7	167:22 237:19,20	413:8
156:19,19,25	291:1,22,23 298:21	287:15 350:13	lane 353:13
157:16,20 158:2,3	298:22 299:6	396:20	language 68:21
158:15,17 159:16	302:14 311:18	knowledge 142:21	142:4 280:23 281:6
160:18,21 161:15	314:24 315:2,18,19	208:20 235:23	293:10
161:16 162:22	316:5 319:7 320:3	238:21,24 247:4	languages 83:1,5
163:7,14,24,25	320:7,9,10 321:12	314:7 336:15	lapses 398:15
164:4,14 165:8,15	329:8 331:19	known 138:1	large 71:12 73:12
165:16,17,19 166:3	332:18 335:22	287:23	122:13,17 123:2
166:4,9 167:2,3,6	336:24 337:24	knows 18:7 20:25	125:17 259:19
167:12,14,14,19,20	338:13 339:11	22:6,7 116:5	263:22 272:6 301:2
168:20,24 169:2	340:25 341:7,18,21	398:24	387:18,21
170:7,13,18,19,24	342:2,3,14 343:6	l	largely 124:22
174:5,6,9,22	343:18,20,25 345:7	lab 79:8	208:14 209:6
175:15,18,24,25	346:2,14,20 347:6	labor 161:22	largest 209:7
176:17,17,21,22	348:6 349:8,22,23	196:22	228:24 267:4
177:15 178:1,2,5,5	350:1,3,23 351:13	lack 36:16 71:7	378:10
178:7,11 181:13	352:16 354:7 355:6	109:6 111:8,8,9,20	larkin 1:16 38:7,8
188:6,19 192:11	355:25 356:5,18	112:5 123:24	39:1
193:23 195:25	357:6 358:14,16	137:18 143:2	larry 1:16
199:23,25 200:5,7	362:8,17 365:23	144:16 145:8	lastly 75:18
200:8,16 202:11	367:22 368:9	176:11 183:6 201:9	late 72:11 88:7
203:6,13 204:7	370:14,16 371:1,16	204:12,13,14 249:9	135:16 147:18
206:21,24 207:4,15	372:6 373:1,5,5,10	261:15 271:24	265:5 358:6 403:23
208:24,25 209:1,6	373:18 376:23	275:12,13,17,17,22	latitude 17:15
209:7 210:5,14,19	377:12,15 378:3	280:1,2,17 283:3	lauderdale 67:9
210:20 212:7 213:8	379:3 380:6 382:2	285:24 300:19	156:10 229:4
215:1 218:2,12	382:11 383:10	315:2,2,3,6 357:2,3	318:19 341:13
219:8 221:22	384:1,11 385:18	402:1,6	403:5 406:24
222:12 226:4,7	387:18 390:6,7,13	lacking 277:24	lauderhill 148:21
229:22 238:13	390:17 392:11,12	lacks 113:13	148:25 149:8,11,13
240:6,25 242:8	394:18 395:8,21	ladies 363:22	150:17,18 151:1
243:8,8,9 244:10	396:15,17 398:9,10	laid 340:3 358:21	153:9 155:6,18,21
244:18,23 245:7,10	398:14,18 399:19	lake 35:5 88:11,12	156:19 201:23
245:11,16,19,20,21	399:23 400:15,17	88:14,19 89:6,12	257:15
245:25 246:2,3,4,6	400:20 401:18	89:22 91:2	lauderhill's 151:1
246:10,13,13 247:9	404:13 405:2,4,7	lamberti 262:23	launch 190:1
247:12,16,18 248:8	406:20 412:13,25	lamberti's 262:24	launched 190:1
249:2,4,25 250:4	413:9,13 414:10	1a1110C1 U S 202.24	276:14,18 286:16
	Manitant I -	ral Solutions	

[launched - line] Page 460

286:18 287:22	leader 160:15	legal 36:20 68:23	288:3 299:4 310:6
294:20	173:9	82:23 328:25	324:8 328:18 333:8
launching 189:25	leaders 136:16	legislature 4:9	343:9 364:7 388:9
lauren 1:22	leadership 138:2	15:14 24:7 39:24	390:22,23,24
law 1:15 3:14,17,21	140:18 141:6 147:4	53:14,21 54:4	405:19 410:8 411:1
5:2 6:14,21,25 7:16	161:1,24 164:19	404:22	411:3
15:10 17:5,16,19	170:9 171:11	legitimate 342:11	levels 10:5 141:24
21:5 28:8 29:9,16	174:15 249:20	leisure 2:13	222:17 249:10,15
30:3 32:22,24 33:1	325:4 348:5 354:8	lend 137:13	266:14 324:6
33:11 34:9 38:14	leading 197:3	length 193:10	levy 66:7 87:23
53:14,15,16,19	263:19	lessened 156:10	189:16 201:16,22
54:4 70:4 74:8,22	leads 274:24	lessening 156:3	201:22 202:11
75:21 78:16 81:4,9	353:19	letter 3:1,15 87:17	204:2,6,22 205:6
81:10,12 82:22,23	leaking 289:8	100:8 101:8,9	206:10,24 208:17
85:23 86:8 96:23	learned 57:11	111:5,17 155:24	211:25 212:7
97:12,19 100:17	61:15 142:14,17	166:18 167:2,13,21	liable 368:24
102:3 106:6 108:4	144:10 229:18	168:19,23 174:13	licensed 403:10
109:2 127:9,15,24	lease 279:13,19,23	174:16,22 181:18	licenses 373:3
128:1,12,15 129:17	279:24,25 375:20	181:20 184:12,14	lieutenant 237:6
130:20 131:1 132:1	leased 391:19,20	184:16 207:15	life 76:5 94:24
135:19 137:1,9	leasing 265:1	338:1 407:4	182:12 199:19
142:18 145:7 148:5	leave 3:16 169:19	letters 2:22,25	241:12 373:21
151:16 156:14	239:13,19 312:22	54:21 198:4	415:9
167:15 173:9	328:5 342:19,19	letting 359:10,12	light 210:12,24
174:25 192:7	380:15 398:7	level 57:2,3,3	lightening 238:10
202:12,15 207:1	399:17 403:23	104:19 113:2	lighting 373:2
227:15,20,22 229:5	407:11	114:15 115:5,6	lightly 167:13
246:2 252:14 255:9	leaves 13:23 189:2	121:19 128:13	lights 401:22,23
255:25 256:17	358:1	132:20 133:23	limelight 198:25
263:3 267:3 285:21	leaving 98:16,19	138:13 142:12	limitation 163:5
289:18 293:8 298:6	99:16 115:10	145:24 154:16	limited 87:5,14
336:5 394:8 404:23	200:18 221:10	156:22 159:14,15	202:23 274:15,23
405:1	223:16,17 228:8	160:8 163:13 171:8	limits 356:24
laws 53:17 54:11	240:3,7,18 242:4	178:4 181:15	line 62:6 129:1
lawsuit 11:20,24	248:11 343:2	182:10 183:4	178:24 216:25
29:7 90:2 92:12	led 184:3 278:5	186:18 206:18	224:9 239:20
93:5,16	left 24:23 89:2	215:12 222:23	243:13,15 252:23
lawyer 93:16	116:16 121:10,11	235:15,19,22 236:2	256:6 265:14
lawyers 29:7 90:19	196:19 241:12	239:22 240:20,22	291:14 297:2
layout 48:8,17	348:16 407:10	255:3 257:9 260:1	298:25 312:19
348:15		265:17 268:3,13	321:16,20 322:6,8
	<u> </u>		

[line - lot] Page 461

	T		
327:10 339:18	245:19 251:3,8	331:19 339:3,3	170:15 197:19
349:23 361:18	270:16 288:1 289:7	344:12 345:6,7	240:12,19 243:1
lines 101:15 152:7	331:22 344:20	363:4 373:12 374:4	296:23 303:17
231:15 255:17	348:2 358:2 412:12	375:24 403:17	309:22 310:13,14
256:7 309:25 373:8	live 69:7 76:5 82:9	407:2	313:23 314:1,22
373:9	84:13 213:3 312:7	longer 104:7	333:17 369:13
lingering 108:7	312:20,23 313:19	199:18,18 294:22	374:11 397:20
link 182:14	313:21 314:2	308:16 413:7	looks 291:12
linton 1:24	324:25 357:25	longest 266:11	lord 364:8
list 6:15,17 19:2	362:1 379:11,12,13	look 2:12 31:4 50:3	lose 227:23 254:23
45:7 74:6 114:10	379:14	66:15 92:16,17	255:2
129:25 162:20	lived 406:21	93:13 94:19,20,20	lost 29:14 216:23
270:13 303:4	lives 57:20 75:17	98:2 119:4 157:8	216:23 254:4 289:3
314:23 315:13,14	76:2 78:1	157:15 162:14,14	347:21
listen 81:4 151:7	load 143:6	171:17 172:1,13	lot 41:3 45:11
164:24,25 190:23	local 254:15 345:25	190:4 246:5 274:5	56:17,18 57:7
249:22 250:18	located 113:18	276:16 277:5	58:25 59:4,9 60:10
352:14 370:18	193:24 407:1	288:22 294:16	65:11 72:10 73:6
listened 202:6	location 7:15 67:13	296:25 300:6,7,9	73:13 76:6,11
341:6 362:7	228:21 232:20	301:4 303:20	85:12,13,13 114:12
listening 33:9 49:2	238:16 280:4	310:18,24 311:1,9	120:3,11 130:25
50:25 151:13	297:21 300:18	313:11,22 317:1,2	150:20,21 159:10
222:10,11 379:2	393:2,4,9	317:12,13,19	162:12 177:18
listing 245:11	locations 234:14	318:10 327:9 329:8	189:6,18 199:24
lists 212:8,10	271:10,19 275:16	333:8,22 335:8	219:16 241:22
lit 373:1	279:12,15,16,19	343:23 346:14	242:5 246:12,23
literally 298:8	302:19 303:15	348:19 355:8	247:22 254:5,14
329:23	356:12	363:15 365:13,21	257:16 258:20
litigation 28:3,5	locator 193:17	367:2,20 368:10	259:1 262:9 269:18
71:14 90:25 91:24	lock 44:19	369:23 370:4,4	271:6,7 272:17
262:21 264:13	locked 43:24 64:11	371:3 375:25	277:13,13,14,14,21
304:23	64:23 65:1	387:17 392:1 396:7	277:21 278:5,8,9
little 27:12 38:5,6	locking 44:13 60:5	397:12 401:12	278:10 280:7,9,18
52:13 59:25 65:15	locks 44:8	407:16	282:2 287:19,20
65:20,21 103:24	log 370:5	looked 121:18	289:24 294:1
111:12 118:17	logic 389:1	298:24 318:13	315:23 318:1,2,25
121:4,5 127:12	long 17:17 54:22	334:1 335:16	322:22,25 331:21
138:22 155:2 159:1	83:12 141:22 145:5	348:24 349:2	333:15,19 334:11
165:22 170:21	186:7 192:11 200:2	looking 15:25	335:5,20,21 347:6
192:8,12 193:10,11	236:15 260:25	43:18 51:1 84:22	347:25 349:12,18
195:22 200:1 238:6	294:22 315:25	120:24 157:1,10	349:22,22 359:5

[lot - match] Page 462

364:24 369:10	major 108:23 111:2	161:21 164:18	406:2
374:9,15 400:5	211:6 280:23	225:9,12,17,20,25	margate 98:15
406:9 407:10 412:4	343:16 405:3	272:8 275:24 281:5	99:15 115:10
412:13,14,14,15	majority 74:25	282:11 285:4	200:18 223:12
lots 337:11 347:2	89:8 93:6 186:2,16	293:17 322:23	224:3 228:5,13,16
356:4 400:18	206:16 244:6 294:3	361:15 386:8	228:17,20,23 229:7
love 307:3 310:10	315:12,14	395:20,24	229:12,19 230:6,9
355:22 392:11	maker 187:19	manager 87:19,23	231:3,7,8 232:2,6
394:5,9	292:25	87:24 131:7 152:13	232:25 233:1,9,10
loved 310:11,21	makers 133:17	231:3 341:12,13,14	233:24 234:8
loving 29:15	167:12 245:17	341:15 360:3	238:21 241:25
low 49:24	making 2:16 19:10	managers 113:3	247:8,19 254:10,23
lower 302:7 324:9	30:8 61:9 84:20	114:17 121:13,15	258:2,13,17 295:5
lumped 53:7	113:14,16 129:16	123:16 129:24	295:6,10 302:23
lunch 172:6 178:17	131:4,9 132:13	131:10 202:13	303:25 306:18
178:20 186:25	134:11 135:3	206:13 225:23	316:1,18,23 317:17
254:6	145:15 148:10	281:8 292:18,19,25	318:18,24 319:18
lungs 408:17	149:16 155:19	334:21 350:4,5	341:25 377:9 403:5
luxury 17:15	164:2 166:6 178:21	353:19 357:20	margate's 232:19
lystad 1:23 152:20	207:25 218:23	359:21,24 378:16	235:11
152:21 153:6,15	245:17 310:2 311:8	390:18 397:10	marjorie 2:8 46:23
154:1,21 226:12,14	315:15 322:24	manages 384:21	55:13 57:22 95:9
227:8,14 379:4	329:23 340:1,1,20	385:24	395:3 398:8
m	347:15 365:15	managing 99:20	marjory 1:1
machine 312:10	372:4 375:2 377:25	124:23 146:15	marketplace
macro 156:22	383:20 399:13	325:9 363:11	336:25
magic 216:15 239:6	mall 95:14	mandate 24:2,3	marsha 1:23
363:9	man 199:22	35:10 135:6 294:18	marstiller 1:18
magnitude 166:5	manage 283:23	mandates 278:15	martin 1:23
main 8:8 23:9 87:2	304:9 330:15 365:3	mandatory 92:24	mary 1:17
280:9	366:14,15,18 368:6	294:6	mass 95:13 108:14
maintain 153:12	382:8 384:22 386:3	manned 298:7	122:14 123:3
182:6 212:10 266:9	managed 365:19	manner 82:18	142:11 143:3,3,13
335:4 366:24	368:2	manpower 297:11	143:22 144:5
maintained 365:10	management	mantra 272:10	158:18 225:18
365:19	103:18,20 104:15	manually 314:4	380:23,23
maintaining 257:9	104:25 105:6 108:8	map 114:5 400:15	massive 94:4
260:7 384:14	126:3 128:1 132:2	mapping 264:25	249:18 364:2
391:11	132:2 137:1 140:7	march 3:3 103:5	match 36:23 38:16
maintains 212:8,9	140:17,24 141:3	112:16,17 286:18	39:4
	144:25 160:25	287:21,21 294:21	

matches 148:5	212:25 222:4	meet 36:19 41:7	227:3,5 233:23
matching 150:8	mdts 191:1 209:16	130:17 165:2 172:7	288:14 290:10
materials 306:19	213:24	306:1 324:23	294:1 338:5 398:21
322:3,19	mean 5:16 16:17	325:24 336:14	406:17 407:7
matriculate 215:16	28:2 35:9,10 39:13	344:14 394:3 397:3	408:12,19 409:17
matriculates	39:20 40:22 43:6,6	413:3	414:18 415:1
217:13	43:9 53:12 55:5	meeting 1:2 2:1,3	meets 22:7 317:5
matrix 195:1	71:9 72:8,19 73:2,4	3:4 26:15 42:5	mega 383:9,22
matter 33:3 49:4	73:19 78:25 85:20	87:16 89:3,11,24	melissa 1:16
77:22 89:19 90:13	152:9 159:15	90:3,5,8,9,14 91:14	member 1:21,23
110:24 128:8	170:13 202:1 206:7	108:9 117:8 120:15	127:4 136:23,24,25
132:14 137:20	206:19 224:8	120:20 132:10	173:6 202:20
184:3 193:12	246:12,23 293:25	141:1,10,10,16,17	301:14 394:24
211:15 224:2	303:11 305:23	147:9,11 152:6	409:22
264:14 328:2	309:17 316:15	154:12 165:3,4,13	members 1:11 5:11
362:24 367:15	318:11 321:11	165:20,23 166:5,13	6:1 102:24 128:18
400:1	332:16 337:10	179:24 181:8 203:4	133:2 137:12
matters 91:16	338:23 348:10	203:20 206:16	141:23,25 165:15
127:6 128:9,24	366:23 369:17	211:22,24 212:4,23	187:12 203:22
129:4 135:8,9	375:17,24 377:15	229:17 230:23	237:10 239:10
145:6,15,20 160:20	382:12 384:17	231:20,22 247:23	259:18,20 261:16
max 1:15 406:20	387:23 390:17	248:22,25 250:16	261:23 267:12
maximum 133:16	394:17 399:1,7	250:17 277:16	288:16 316:12
mayhew 1:17 36:14	404:9,12	283:9 284:17,19,19	338:8 376:18
36:15 37:23 38:3	meaning 333:2	285:17 319:11	396:16 403:22
73:21,22 74:11	339:13 359:21,23	325:23 326:1,5	membership 183:5
mayor 87:18,23	means 170:4	331:9,11 338:7,12	184:19 185:2
99:22 100:1,4,8	245:22 291:12	338:14,18,18	261:24 280:7
102:17 110:8,21	297:5 305:25	342:15,22,24	298:24
112:18,20 114:19	307:25 356:20	344:19 352:21	memorialize
203:14 244:19	382:13 393:18	394:9,12 402:16	290:10
357:20 406:17	meant 87:4 138:7	404:2 406:12	memorials 2:11
mayors 114:17	298:7 307:5 352:13	407:25 412:10,10	memory 50:9 57:16
206:14	measurable 111:12	412:17,23 415:10	60:23
mcnamara 111:20	measure 80:7,16	416:8	men 198:18
180:25 188:19	232:5 235:20	meetings 17:18	mental 403:8,9,12
189:17 192:14,14	media 8:25 68:15	91:9 107:20 120:7	mentally 59:21
192:23 193:25	76:12 82:25 83:4	134:21 139:11	mention 39:12
194:14 195:11,17	89:7 100:1,3	173:23 174:3	296:20
205:6 207:20	medical 286:14	201:19 203:6	mentioned 51:18
208:16 209:10,18	287:1,5	206:15 212:1,2,13	76:18 137:11

209:12 253:9 299:9	mid 110:7 113:2	212:10 222:24	mitigate 142:24	
310:14 353:15	260:25	232:17 236:12	144:2	
374:19 379:5	middle 57:3 74:21	238:15 253:9,18	mitigating 33:17	
390:13 397:2	289:6 357:11,12	307:8,8 309:7,11	mitigating 33.17 mitigation 277:14	
mercy 273:8 364:8	386:12 403:11	309:13 401:14	281:16	
merely 98:19	migrate 273:3	miramar 194:24	mitigative 144:15	
279:20	320:16	209:24	mixed 50:15 102:8	
merge 229:23	migrated 138:3	mirror 280:5	394:19	
235:13	migration 137:24	284:21	mixing 215:4	
merged 155:11	242:4	mirrored 293:7	mize 105:20 125:11	
229:9	mike 1:19 99:22	mirroring 293:9	225:4 248:17	
merging 236:1	miles 229:1,1,2	miscommunication	250:25 259:10	
mess 116:25 360:4	military 329:18	352:9	269:3 270:1,3	
405:25	million 39:25 40:5	misinformation	290:23 291:2,20	
message 5:20 24:20	40:7,9 65:20,21	258:15 286:1	290:23 291:2,20 292:1,22 293:2,5	
30:12 70:19 92:6	93:11,12 223:22	misleading 136:15	293:21,25 294:5,8	
179:8,18 188:16	241:9 243:4 251:18	misreading 317:20	293.21,23 294.3,8	
221:1 227:11	252:3,3,3 253:4	317:20	294.12 293.13	
312:20,22 323:8	254:9,11 299:16		290.2,3,10 299.8	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	337:3 358:17	misrepresentation 136:8	301:14,21,25 303:4	
messages 56:2 146:12	363:21 386:12		303:16 304:6,11,25	
met 27:9 87:22	392:13 405:5	misrepresents 136:13		
186:8 226:20 227:1	392:13 403:3 millions 94:18	missed 278:5 287:4	305:4,8,12,17,20	
			306:7,10 307:2,14	
229:16 277:7	104:5,11,12 105:10	325:1 413:17	309:9,19 310:4,7	
306:12,12 320:18	380:16	414:11	311:21 312:11,14	
322:18 336:16	mims 400:13	missing 254:20,20	313:4,10,21 314:11	
metal 402:25	mind 29:15 78:11	403:22 412:4	314:17 315:5 316:3	
403:14	86:19 187:6 264:22	mission 70:24	316:9,16,21 318:17	
methodology 33:16	1	72:20	319:6,9,25 320:13	
33:17 62:17 149:6	302:2 327:20	missionary 20:16 mistake 98:18	321:4,10 323:17,21	
156:6 318:1 methods 123:5	minded 384:25		323:25 324:3,5,16	
	mindset 321:14	118:18 187:18	325:18 326:1,7,19	
126:7	mine 400:24	mistakes 300:6	327:14 330:12	
metrics 151:8	minor 187:1	302:5 303:6,7,18	353:6 354:25	
metropolitan 256:5	minute 30:5 97:15	303:22 310:21,21	moas 264:25	
miami 112:8 417:4	97:20,22 120:14	311:17 315:15,22	model 67:21 96:16	
mic 38:5	223:12 232:22	misunderstanding	103:21 146:19	
mica 1:17	239:3 250:21 251:1	136:8	297:17,19	
michael 88:1 406:6	252:15,21 346:4	misunderstandings	models 56:24 57:7	
microphone 224:21	minutes 118:18	111:23	102:4 298:18	
	127:11 151:10			
Veritant Legal Colutions				

modified 139:24	408:2,9	55:19 64:6 65:3	municipality
modify 168:11	moral 108:6	66:4,16 68:16	204:24 242:15
molotov 51:13	morally 93:17	70:23 71:23 73:8	290:1 300:14
moment 130:2	morning 2:2 5:8	123:14 189:3	303:23 335:24
138:18 171:22	79:4 84:2 127:1	191:13 197:10	343:24 377:1 389:9
213:12 387:16	208:12 247:13	222:1 267:13 301:8	409:8
392:12	250:3 356:18 396:2	332:11 362:24	murdered 47:8
monday 99:22	morphs 163:23	374:3 375:6 401:3	murderer 51:13
money 28:11 33:14	motion 9:16 271:16	401:6 411:24	75:19
34:17 35:9 39:13	272:14 396:18	414:15,18	murderers 47:12
39:23 40:8 197:25	404:7 412:16	mozur 189:18	51:16
198:1,13 199:19	414:18	msd 142:13 374:17	muscle 50:9 57:16
213:19,20 214:8,9	motions 5:25	msdhs 402:19	60:23
223:18 224:1	403:25	multiple 16:16	mutual 87:3 190:12
256:11 335:15	motive 198:22	47:25 142:7 143:21	myriad 264:17
347:16 361:14,16	motorola 94:14	207:5 234:9 275:1	n
361:25 364:16,24	235:3	276:4 280:22	
365:5 367:25	mous 209:15	319:18 390:3	naive 167:3
371:17,18 372:17	264:25	municipal 225:23	naively 164:9
372:23 374:1	mouth 210:8	243:10 271:20	name 9:9,9,9,10
393:24	move 11:8 19:14	275:15 310:23	85:17 127:2 228:15
monitor 81:18	20:9 59:13 72:23	333:8 388:9,12,17	260:2 270:3 401:16
365:8	75:3 87:3 120:24	390:18 410:25	413:18
monitored 81:23	126:14 188:12	municipalities	named 20:7 220:23
monitoring 68:15	190:7,8 221:18,25	102:2 104:24	names 9:9 18:9
month 12:3 29:16	226:12 262:1	128:17 136:17	130:1
29:17 40:24 52:19	273:22,23 286:20	170:25 175:14	naming 7:25 10:21
54:5 61:1 103:15	320:16 332:22	176:23 177:5 198:4	15:23
114:11 190:4 203:3	337:6 345:17	204:21 205:3	nathaniel 417:6,17
229:13 285:11,15	347:13 351:21	210:10,16,19 244:1	nationally 297:19
294:16 318:20	355:22 356:14	270:22 273:25	natural 137:8
324:24,25 325:23	375:5	283:14,25 285:5	290:17
326:7,9 377:19	moved 18:15,15	289:14 293:8 300:2	nature 129:1
monthly 4:11,13	89:19 295:20	318:22 332:15,17	134:19 156:14
53:7 59:7 294:14	325:10 345:18	332:25 333:10	315:8 385:20
months 10:23	movement 268:23	336:7 340:16 347:9	naysayers 94:17,18
26:24 61:15 112:16	397:21	351:6,9 354:7	near 242:21
172:8 191:12	moves 91:1 297:6	358:25 359:6	nearly 387:20
222:21 266:2	397:19	375:21 377:14	398:25
322:14 377:19	moving 4:15 7:14	381:6 388:4,5,13	necessarily 116:4
395:3 397:3 407:2	8:19 52:22 54:18	391:20 393:6,8	163:17 295:15
			302:13,22 307:2,4
	T.T. * T	ral Solutions	

311:6,8 318:8	355:19 356:1	neglect 212:20	news 402:15
necessary 34:20	358:10 363:20	negotiated 292:15	newspaper 2:9
141:11	364:13 367:13	negotiating 98:21	night 82:3 149:14
need 4:1 5:21 9:25	368:5,16,18,20	353:17	150:17 399:2,7,9
20:18 24:20 28:19	370:11 371:7 378:5	negotiations 68:17	nikolas 62:23
47:8 48:4 57:21	386:12,18 389:23	229:20 292:16	nina 369:23
65:3 72:21 74:4	393:15,19,22	neighbors 93:21	nine 6:14 31:4,25
75:14 76:3,4,7	408:12 409:5 412:7	neither 42:9,11	42:19 53:5 54:7
77:18,21,24 88:5	413:7,13	267:21	65:10 83:20 89:14
94:7,10,23,24	needed 18:24 88:7	nelson 1:13 11:11	123:18 189:11
96:18 98:2 99:7	88:8 132:3,5 133:3	11:12 168:8	288:14 293:16,24
116:21 118:3	139:5 140:12,13	nelson's 13:11	300:2 318:16
119:11 120:10	186:19 271:25	network 384:7,14	320:10 388:4
130:3 134:10	276:21 307:16	386:1	nineteen 4:12 83:3
153:23 155:12	308:9,12 322:21	neutral 116:17	102:3 185:3 186:1
161:23 162:18	363:23 374:3	121:23 122:2,10	187:5,6
163:2,4,18 168:14	406:25 408:20,22	123:12 209:4	ninety 26:5,7 30:17
168:15 169:13	needing 278:24	never 57:23 72:12	72:1 83:20 293:16
172:23 182:17	306:2	142:19 145:4 155:7	293:24 307:23,24
183:13,14,24 189:8	needle 20:9 345:17	195:1 213:4 227:23	324:17,20
189:13 190:23	397:19	227:23 272:2 283:8	nobody's 345:23
194:23 200:11,12	needs 4:1,21,22	287:16 304:18	347:14
205:23,24 213:24	19:22 25:12,13,13	319:21 332:4,18	nomenclature
213:24,25 219:11	28:19 50:19 54:14	335:7 337:2 372:25	12:14
219:11,13 222:19	54:24 61:3 75:13	415:2,2	non 3:6,15,25 7:15
244:9 252:11 272:6	78:17 130:17 145:9	new 4:19 19:9	13:1 15:7,18 25:17
272:7 274:5 278:2	147:3 152:1 163:8	32:16 44:8 66:6	39:25 128:18
278:2,3 279:2,10	170:21 175:24,24	71:18 88:16 91:6	151:15 192:7
279:20 282:15,19	175:25 189:6	111:5 139:24	227:15 231:14
285:21 290:11	200:16 221:18	140:22 144:4	234:8 263:3
297:3,3,11,20	223:7 241:5 265:12	167:19,20 209:16	nonsense 252:9
298:3,13,15 301:5	266:13,14 267:8	230:22 233:6	nope 258:23
310:24 311:9,13	268:2,8 274:17	241:10,14,15,18	normal 301:22
316:20 317:1	310:6,13 328:25	262:4 308:20	303:13,14
319:14 320:17,24	341:19 359:13,15	320:16,22 328:1,1	normally 322:9
322:7 327:8 328:23	363:7 367:17,17,17	334:11 336:19	north 105:25 229:4
330:20 340:16,23	370:10 379:17	337:12 353:2	235:25 238:1,2,4
340:24 342:21	380:25 395:16	367:13 375:11,11	258:4,18 289:9
343:14,17 344:15	negative 262:3	375:12 376:3,3,4	326:17 403:5
344:22 346:24	291:18 327:11	newly 262:5	406:24
347:9 352:25			

northeastern 194:22				
nose 347:5 390:10,10 412:6 246:1 276:8 300:2 263:14,19 265:23 note 30:20 31:4,20 24:24 30:20,23 340:22 394:18 270:19,23 270:5,11,17 174:13 410:12,15 38:9,15 39:3 62:3,6 44 noted 108:23 111:1 64:7 70:22 78:22 79:16 82:3 85:14 occupied 43:24 279:20 325:10 329:11 notes 42:21,22 43:18 44:2 46:1,4 318:15 320:7 321:3 occupied 43:24 36:21 18:29 36:31 35:21 47:29 36:31 309:3 36:31 309	northeastern	348:20 350:25	191:5 200:8 216:10	259:9 260:8,21
note 30:20 31:4,20 numbers 6:16 320:2,5 327:10,23 266:3 270:5,11,17 62:11 107:22 146:3 24:24 30:20,23 33:9 32:5 36:4,4 34:0:20 394:18 270:19,23 272:5;24 noted 108:23 111:1 64:7 70:22 78:22 occupied 43:24 270:19,23 272:5,24 43:18 44:2 46:1,4 276:9,23 300:4 318:5 320:7 321:3 occuping 50:4 341:20 366:13,18 65:11 352:21 417:9 nothing's 227:8 380:15 320:7 321:3 occuping 50:4 341:20 366:13,18 notice 107:20 113:1 223:19 occurred 118:219 378:5,24 387:16 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 81:13,16 86:2 ophotice 106:11 ophotice 106:11 ophotice 108:21 111 123:1 347:8,8 occurring 108:2 06:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:1	194:22	384:10,12,13	217:2 218:2 220:10	261:12 262:16
62:11 107:22 146:3 174:13 410:12,15 410:17 noted 108:23 111:1 notes 42:21,22 43:18 44:2 46:1,4 53:2 64:14,15 65:11 352:21 417:9 nothing's 227:8 381:2 400:5 notice 197:11 noticed 140:11 noticed 37:18 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 81:13,16 86:2 209:19 233:5 notify 58:22 81:8 notion 264:16 november 286:17 320:17 408:1 number 7:4 15:4 15:11,19,19 25:24 26:10,13 27:3 108:12 122:3 108:12 123:3 108:13 309:3 340:22 394:18 0ccupyd 43:24 0ccupy 16:43:24 0ccupy 16:43:24 0ccupy 179:20 0ccupy 279:20 0ccupy 19:20 34:18 03:24 0ccupy 179:20 0ccupy 279:20 0ccupy 179:20 0ccupy 279:20 0ccupy 279:20 0ccupy 179:20 0ccupy 279:20 0ccupy 179:20 0ccupy 19:23 134:23 309:3 385:5, 24 387:16 0ccurre 118:20 199:5 230:20 199:5 230:20 0ffice 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 17:6,10 18:10 18:20 199:5 230:20 199:5 230:20 0ffice 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 17:6,10 18:10 18:20 199:5 230:20 0ffice 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 17:6,10 18:10 18:20 199:5 230:20 0ffice 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7:16 15:21 17:1 7	nose 347:5	390:10,10 412:6	246:1 276:8 300:2	263:14,19 265:23
174:13 410:12,15	note 30:20 31:4,20	numbers 6:16	320:2,5 327:10,23	266:3 270:5,11,17
410:17 noted 108:23 111:1 notes 42:21,22	62:11 107:22 146:3	24:24 30:20,23	340:22 394:18	270:19,23 272:5,24
noted 108:23 111:1 64:7 70:22 78:22 occupying 50:4 341:20 366:13,18 notes 42:21,22 79:16 82:3 85:14 occupying 50:4 341:20 366:13,18 43:18 44:2 46:1,4 276:9,23 300:4 323:3 30:3 385:5,24 387:16 53:2 64:14,15 318:15 320:7 321:3 occurr 165:5 182:19 385:5,24 387:16 65:11 352:21 417:9 nothing's 227:8 381:2 400:5 107:20 113:1 234:15 271:11,12 390:20 395:8,9,13 notice 17:11 notice 19:5 230:20 office's 265:8,9,13 notice 19:11 nuts 29:15 312:1 347:8,8 office's 26:10,21 7:1 noticed 140:11 objective 11:17 objective 12:17 23:24 30:13 34:23 300:17 330:25 notincy 28:22 81:8 notion 26:10 33:12 300:13 34:32:3 300:13 34:32:3 300:17 330:25 notice 16:11 objective 16:17 objective 20:18 34:20 34:20 34:20 300:17 330:25 notic	174:13 410:12,15	31:9 32:5 36:4,4	occupied 43:24	279:5,14 309:24
notes 42:21,22 79:16 82:3 85:14 cecur 165:5 182:19 367:8 382:3 385:1 43:18 44:2 46:1,4 33:8:15 320:7 321:3 300:20 395:8,9,13 65:11 352:21 417:9 numerous 20:6 nothing's 227:8 318:15 320:7 321:3 cecurr 165:5 182:19 367:8 382:3 385:1 38:12 400:5 223:19 234:15 271:11,12 390:20 395:8,9,13 notice 197:11 numerous 29:15 noticed 140:11 obey 54:11 54:13,16 86:2 54:11 312:1 347:8,8 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 86:11 81:29:4,9 32:23 notify 58:22 81:8 notion 264:16 273:11 277:2,6,11 277:17 278:2 233:12,7 334:23 300:17 330:25 noting 58:22 81:8 notion 30:13 36:21 19:23 37:20 150:22 30:17 408:1 27:17 278:2 30:13 37:24 113:24 118:8 36:20 19:23 36:21 19:23 36:21 19:23 300:17 330:25 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 67:11 7:12 83:8 33:65:20 249:2 34:31 37:24 249:16 37:24 249:16 334:7,8 344:17 33	410:17	38:9,15 39:3 62:3,6	occupy 279:20	325:10 329:11
43:18 44:2 46:1,4 53:2 64:14,15 65:11 352:21 417:9 nothing's 227:8 381:2 400:5 noticed 197:11 notified 37:18 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 81:13,16 86:2 209:19 233:5 noticy 58:22 81:8 notion 264:16 november 286:17 320:17 408:1 number 7:4 15:4 15:11,19,19 25:24 26:10,13 27:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 67:11 71:12 83:8 83:16 85:5 107:23 108:12 122:3 108:12 122:3 108:12 122:3 209:19 233:21 208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 30:20 395:8,9,13 office's 265:8 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 17:6,10 0ccurring 108:2 144:6 151:23 212:17 231:24 232:2,7 334:23 0dd 374:4 0fficers 6:15 17:24 0dd 374:4 0fficers 6:15 17:24 0fficer 412:9,23 0dd 374:4 0fficers 6:15 17:24 0fficer 244:24 245:3 0dd 374:4 0fficers 6:15 17:24 0fficer 244:24 245:3 0dd 374:4 0fficer 6:10,13 27:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 17:6,10 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 17:6,10 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 347:8,8 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 7:16 15:21 347:8,8 18:11 29:4,9 32:23 144:6 151:23 212:17 231:24 232:2,7 334:23 0dd 374:4 0fficer 6:15 17:24 0fficer 6:15 17:24 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 144:6 151:23 109:2 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 144:6 151:23 100:17 300:7 169:5 230:20 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 144:6 151:23 109:2 0fficer 6:10,21 7:1 144:6 151:23 100:17 300:7 144:6 151:23 100:17 300:7 169:2 144:6 151:23 109:2 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:1 14:6 152:2 1 144:6 151:23 109:2 17 23:12 144:6 151:23 109:2	noted 108:23 111:1	64:7 70:22 78:22	occupying 50:4	341:20 366:13,18
53:2 64:14,15 318:15 320:7 321:3 occurred 118:20 390:20 395:8,9,13 65:11 352:21 417:9 numerous 20:6 199:5 230:20 390:20 395:8,9,13 381:2 400:5 notice 197:11 nuts 29:15 272:17 303:11 7:16 15:21 17:6,10 notified 37:18 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 obey 54:11 objective 116:17 objective 116:17 objective 272:19 232:23 300:17 330:25 notify 58:22 81:8 notion 264:16 november 286:17 30:13 30:13 occurring 108:2 40:19 408:10,14 nowmber 286:17 30:13 30:12 37:11 277:26,11 277:17 278:2 30:13 30:17 30:25 40:19 408:10,14 number 7:4: 2 113:24 118:8 266:5 267:15 374:3 observation 162:11 165:21 249:16 observation 154:7 offered 244:25 233:14 23:3 223:14 236:14 256:1 236:14 256:1 302:19,20 333:21 236:14 256:1 302:19,20 333:21 336:14 256:1 302:19,20 333:21 23	notes 42:21,22	79:16 82:3 85:14	occur 165:5 182:19	367:8 382:3 385:1
199:5 230:20	43:18 44:2 46:1,4	276:9,23 300:4	234:3 309:3	385:5,24 387:16
nothing's 227:8 107:20 113:1 234:15 271:11,12 officer 6:10,21 7:1 notice 197:11 nuts 29:15 312:1 347:8,8 18:11 29:49, 32:23 noticed 140:11 o occurring 108:2 70:4 74:8,23 77:19 d6:11 81:6,9,10,11 d6:11 81:6,9,10,11 objective 116:17 objective 117:24 144:6 151:23 86:21 90:23 97:13 97:20 150:22 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 97:20 150:22 106:15 106:15 106:15 106:15 106:15 106:21 106:15 106:21 106:21 106:21 106:21	53:2 64:14,15	318:15 320:7 321:3	occurred 118:20	390:20 395:8,9,13
381:2 400:5 notice 197:11 nuts 29:15	65:11 352:21 417:9	numerous 20:6	199:5 230:20	office's 265:8
notice 197:11 nuts 29:15 312:1 347:8,8 18:11 29:4,9 32:23 notified 37:18 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 8:13,16 86:2 0 144:6 151:23 70:4 74:8,23 77:19 86:21 90:23 97:13 86:21 90:23 97:13 97:20 150:22 300:17 30:25 402:19 408:10,14 97:20 150:22 300:17 30:25 402:19 408:10,14 40fficers 6:15 17:24 66:15 17:24 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 86:21 90:23 97:13 97:20 150:22 300:17 30:25 402:19 408:10,14 405:19 20:15 22 402:19 408:10,14 66:15 17:24 402:19 408:10,14 66:15 17:24 66:15 17:24 66:15 17:24 66:17 23:22,7 334:23 60:17 30:25 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 26:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 26:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 26:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 26:15 17:24 60:15 26:15 17:24 60:15 26:15 17:24 60:15 26:15 17:24	nothing's 227:8	107:20 113:1	234:15 271:11,12	officer 6:10,21 7:1
noticed 140:11 o occurring 108:2 70:474:8,2377:19 notified 37:18 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 still 3,16 86:2 30:13 48:2 144:6 151:23 70:474:8,23 77:19 209:19 233:5 notiffy 58:22 81:8 notiffy 58:22 81:8 73:11 277:2,6,11 277:17 278:2 232:2,7 334:23 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 officers 6:15 17:24 65:15 17:24 232:2,7 334:23 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 officers 6:15 17:24 29:21 34:9 47:6 51:21 69:15 occurs 137:9 169:15 occurs 61:51 17:24 29:21 34:9 47:6 51:21 69:16 73:5 73:12 87:1,8 odd 37:12 87:1,8 odd 37:12 87:1,8 offer 244:24 245:3 266:5 267:15 374:3 266:5 267:15 374:3 269:3 334:7,8 344:17 334:7,8 344:17 334:7,8 344:17 334:7,8 344:17 334:7,8 344:17 334:7,8 344:17 334:7,8 344:17 334:11 49:17 340:11 344:5 340:11 344:5 340:11 342:18 340:11 342:18 340:11 342:18 340:11 342:18 340:11 342:18 340:11 342:18 340:11 342:18 340:11 342:18	381:2 400:5	223:19	272:17 303:11	7:16 15:21 17:6,10
notified 37:18 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 obey 54:11 objective 114:6 151:23 86:21 90:23 97:13 97:20 150:22 300:17 330:25 97:20 150:22 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 97:20 150:22 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 officers 6:15 17:24 65:15 17:24 66:14 81:6,9,10,11 66:17 81:6,9,10,11 86:21 90:23 97:13 97:20 150:22 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 97:20 150:22 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 officers 6:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 17:24 60:15 20:15 374:3 60:15 17:24 60:15 20:15 374:3 60:15 17:24 60:15 20:15 374:3 60:15 17:24 60:15 20:15 374:3 60:15 17:24 20:18 73:12 87:1,8 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:16 23:15 33:24 128:16 23:15 33:24 128:16 23:15 33:24 128:16 23:15 30:1 128:16 23:15 30:1 128:16 138:2 140:5 129:17 30:27 30:25 129:17 30:27 30:25 129:17 3	notice 197:11	nuts 29:15	312:1 347:8,8	18:11 29:4,9 32:23
notified 37:18 66:11 81:6,9,10,11 obey 54:11 144:6 151:23 86:21 90:23 97:13 209:19 233:5 notify 58:22 81:8 notify 58:22 81:8 notion 264:16 273:11 277:2,6,11 232:2,7 334:23 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 officers 6:15 17:24 november 286:17 320:17 408:1 273:11 277:2,6,11 277:17 278:2 310:13 occurs 137:9 169:15 occurs 137:9 402:19 408:10,14 officers 6:15 17:24 29:21 34:9 47:6 51:21 69:16 73:5 73:12 87:1,8 26:15 17:24 29:21 34:9 47:6 51:21 69:16 73:5 73:12 87:1,8 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:13 142:18 128:14 69:7 73:12 87:1,8 128:13 142:18 128:16 69:7 73:12 87:1,8 128:13 62:3:24 128:16 69:7 73:12 87:1,8 128:16 69:16 73:5 128:16 69:16 73:5 128:16 69:16 73:5 128:16 69:16 73:5 128:16 69:16 73:5 128:16 69:16 73:5 128:16 69:16 73:5 128:16 69:17 128:16 69:17 128:16 69:17 128:16 69:17 128:16 69:17	noticed 140:11	0	occurring 108:2	70:4 74:8,23 77:19
objective 116:17 objectives 272:19 209:19 233:5 notify 58:22 81:8 notion 264:16 november 286:17 320:17 408:1 nuances 264:18 number 7:4 15:4 15:11,19,19 25:24 26:10,13 27:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 67:11 71:12 83:8 83:16 85:5 107:23 108:12 122:3 108:13 0occurs 137:9 169:15 0odd 374:4 0ds 269:7 0ffered 244:24 245:3 231:16 230:1 273:12 87:1,8 128:13 142:18 151:16 230:1 231:16 233:24 234:6,13,15,17,18 236:14 256:1 231:12 202:18 248:15 13:24 29:21 34:9 47:6 51:21 69:16 73:5 73:12 87:1,8 128:13 142:18 151:16 230:1 231:16 233:24 234:6,13,15,17,18 236:14 256:1 231:16 233:24 29:21 34:9 47:6 51:21 69:16 73:5 73:12 87:1,8 128:13 142:18 151:16 230:1 231:16 233:24 234:6,13,15,17,18 236:14 256:1 231:16 233:24 232:2,2 333:21 236:7 334:23 344:25 0odd 374:4 0ods 269:7 0ffered 244:25 334:7,8 344:17 0ffering 263:15 0fficial 23:11 109:14 101:2 102:8 109:14 109:14 100:14 101:2 102:8 109:14 109:14 100:14 101:2 102:8 109:14 109:17 100:14 101:2 102:8 109:14 109:17 100:14 101:2 102:8 109:14 109:17 100:14 101:2 102:8 109:15 109:16 109:17 109:17 109:18 109:18 109:19 109:19 109:19 109:1	notified 37:18	_	144:6 151:23	86:21 90:23 97:13
81:13,16 86:2 20:19 233:5 objectives 272:19 273:11 277:2,6,11 277:17 278:2 232:2,7 334:23 occurs 137:9 169:15 300:17 330:25 402:19 408:10,14 officers 6:15 17:24 29:21 34:9 47:6 notion 264:16 november 286:17 320:17 408:1 number 7:4 15:4 15:11,19,19 25:24 26:10,13 27:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 67:11 71:12 83:8 83:16 85:5 107:23 108:12 122:3 108:12 122:3 108:12 122:3 108:12 122:3 123:12,20 207:21 208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 332:21,23 33:21 332:21,23 33:21 332:21,23 3	66:11 81:6,9,10,11		212:17 231:24	97:20 150:22
209:19 233:5 273:11 277:2,6,11 277:17 278:2 402:19 408:10,14 notion 264:16 264:16 277:17 278:2 310:13 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12 311:12	81:13,16 86:2	-	232:2,7 334:23	300:17 330:25
notify 58:22 81:8 notion 264:16 november 286:17 320:17 408:1 nuances 264:18 number 74:2 113:24 118:8 266:5 267:15 374:3 observation 169:15 notion 264:16 november 286:17 30:13 dold 374:4 odds 269:7 offer 244:24 245:3 266:7 33:12 87:1,8 128:13 142:18 151:16 230:1 264:7 offered 244:24 245:3 264:7 offered 244:24 245:3 264:7 offered 244:25 33:16 233:24 23:16 233:24 234:6,13,15,17,18 151:16 230:1 231:16 233:24 234:6,13,15,17,18 236:14 256:1 332:24 234:6,13,15,17,18 236:14 256:1 332:24 234:6,13,15,17,18 236:14 256:1 302:19,20 333:21 302:19,20 33	209:19 233:5	•	occurs 137:9	402:19 408:10,14
notion 264:16 actober 412:9,23 29:21 34:9 47:6 november 286:17 310:13 obligation 36:20 74:2 113:24 118:8 odds 269:7 73:12 87:1,8 number 7:4 15:4 observation 162:11 15:11,19,19 25:24 observation 152:1 249:16 offered 244:25 334:7,8 344:17 234:6,13,15,17,18 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 observations 154:7 offered 244:25 302:116 233:24 310:12 83:8 33:16 85:5 107:23 observe 249:9 offering 263:15 236:14 256:1 236:14 256:1 302:19,20 333:21 308:12,122 207:21 obstruct 266:19 obstruct 266:19 obstruct 266:19 302:14,111:14 302:14,111:14 302:14,23 333:21 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 340:11 34:9 47:6 340:11 34:9 340:11 34:9 340:11 34:5 340:11 34:5 340:11 34:5 340:11 34:5 340:11 34:5 340:11 34:5 340:11 34:5 340:11 34:5 340:11 34:5	notify 58:22 81:8	· · ·	169:15	officers 6:15 17:24
november 286:17 320:17 408:1 obligation 36:20 74:2 113:24 118:8 odds 374:2 173:2 87:1,8 odds 269:7 373:12 87:1,8 odds 269:7 33:12 87:1,8 odds 269:1 7 33:12 87:1,8 odds 231:10 23:12 23:1 231:10 23:12 23:13 23:1<	notion 264:16		october 412:9,23	29:21 34:9 47:6
nuances 264:18 number 7:4 15:4 15:11,19,19 25:24 266:5 267:15 374:3 observation 162:11 165:21 249:16 observations 154:7 offered 244:25 231:16 230:1 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 observations 154:7 offered 244:25 231:16 230:1 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 observations 154:7 offered 244:25 231:16 230:1 40:20:3 observed 62:1 observed 62:1 offeren 244:25 334:7,8 344:17 234:6,13,15,17,18 236:14 256:1 236:14 256:1 236:14 256:1 236:14 256:1 302:19,20 333:21 302:19,20 333:21 302:19,20 333:21 340:11 344:5 400:20 408:19 409:14 400:20 408:19 409:14 60fices 40:16 105:3,7,19 60fices 40:16 105:3,7,19 60fices 40:16 138:2 140:5 178:12 202:18 178:12 202:18 178:12 202:18 178:12 202:18 178:12 202:18 178:12 202:18 178:12 202:18 178:12 87:1,8 188:13 142:18 188:13 142:18 188:13 142:18 188:13 142:18 188:13 142:18 188:13	november 286:17		odd 374:4	51:21 69:16 73:5
nuances 264:18 number 7:4 15:4 15:11,19,19 25:24 266:5 267:15 374:3 observation 162:11 26:10,13 27:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 observations 154:7 offered 244:25 231:16 233:24 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 observations 154:7 offering 263:15 234:6,13,15,17,18 83:16 85:5 107:23 observe 249:9 offering 263:15 236:14 256:1 230:19,20 333:21 108:12 122:3 observe 249:9 offeres 240:22 office 46:17 66:19 302:19,20 333:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 obstruct 266:19 obstruct 100:14 101:2 102:8 409:14 102:24 111:14 128:16 138:2 140:5 178:12 202:18 217:25 366:10 395:19 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 47:16 151:24 169:5 245:15 253:15 402:5 334:23 344:25 47:16 151:24 169:5 245:15 253:15 104:23 130:8 12	320:17 408:1	-	odds 269:7	73:12 87:1,8
number 7:4 15:4 observation 162:11 15:11,19,19 25:24 26:10,13 27:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 observations 154:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 67:11 71:12 83:8 83:16 85:5 107:23 observe 249:9 observe 249:9 offering 263:15 236:14 256:1 302:19,20 333:21 302:19,20 335:21 302:19,20 33:21 302:19,20 33:21 302:19,20 33:21 302:19,20 33:21 302:19,20 33:21 302:19	nuances 264:18		offer 244:24 245:3	128:13 142:18
15:11,19,19 25:24 26:10,13 27:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 67:11 71:12 83:8 83:16 85:5 107:23 108:12 122:3 108:12 122:3 123:12,20 207:21 208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 165:21 249:16 observations 154:7 269:3 observe 249:9 observed 62:1 observer 209:4 obstruct 266:19 obstruct 266:19 obstruction 142:2 obtained 196:1 obvious 285:14 obviously 47:6,11 47:16 151:24 169:5	number 7:4 15:4		264:7	151:16 230:1
26:10,13 27:7 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 67:11 71:12 83:8 83:16 85:5 107:23 108:12 122:3 123:12,20 207:21 208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 observations 154:7 269:3 observe 249:9 observed 62:1 observer 209:4 obstruct 266:19 obstruct 266:19 obstruct 266:19 obstruction 142:2 obtained 196:1 obvious 285:14 obviously 47:6,11 47:16 151:24 169:5 observations 154:7 offering 263:15 offers 240:22 office 46:17 66:19 97:6,11,14 99:17 100:14 101:2 102:8 104:16 105:3,7,19 105:24 111:14 128:16 138:2 140:5 140:6 146:15 168:9 178:12 202:18 214:18 225:3 226:20 228:19 officials 91:10,17 104:23 130:8 12	15:11,19,19 25:24		offered 244:25	231:16 233:24
30:13,14 32:5 40:3 269:3 269:3 269:3 269:3 269:3 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 30:13,14 32:5 40:3 32:14 256:1 302:19,20 333:21 302:19,20 333:21 302:19,20 333:21 340:11 344:5 340:11 344:5 340:11 344:5 400:20 408:19 400:20 408:19 400:20 408:19 409:14 409:14 409:14 66:19 76:11 76:12 76:12 76:12 76:12 76:12 76:12 76:12 76:12 76:12 76:12 <td< th=""><th>26:10,13 27:7</th><th></th><th>334:7,8 344:17</th><th>234:6,13,15,17,18</th></td<>	26:10,13 27:7		334:7,8 344:17	234:6,13,15,17,18
67:11 71:12 83:8 83:16 85:5 107:23 observe 249:9 office 46:17 66:19 302:19,20 333:21 108:12 122:3 123:12,20 207:21 observer 209:4 97:6,11,14 99:17 400:20 408:19 208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 obstruct 266:19 105:24 111:14 217:25 366:10 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 obvious 285:14 214:18 225:3 395:19 334:23 344:25 47:16 151:24 169:5 240:22 6ffice 46:17 66:19 340:11 344:5 302:19,20 333:21 340:11 344:5 340:11 344:5 400:20 408:19 409:14 6fficial 105:3,7,19 105:24 111:14 128:16 138:2 140:5 217:25 366:10 395:19 395:19 395:19 395:19 6fficially 212:15 402:5 402:5 6fficials 91:10,17 104:23 130:8 12	30:13,14 32:5 40:3	1	offering 263:15	236:14 256:1
83:16 85:5 107:23 108:12 122:3 observed 62:1 office 46:17 66:19 340:11 344:5 108:12 122:3 123:12,20 207:21 208:3 270:21 208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 270:8 100:14 101:2 102:8 409:14 409:14 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 332:21,23 333:21 285:14 140:6 146:15 168:9 395:19 332:21,23 333:21 obviously 47:6,11 47:16 151:24 169:5 245:15 253:15 6fficials 91:10,17	67:11 71:12 83:8		offers 240:22	302:19,20 333:21
108:12 122:3 123:12,20 207:21 observer 209:4 97:6,11,14 99:17 400:20 408:19 208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 105:24 111:14 official 168:1 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 obvious 285:14 140:6 146:15 168:9 395:19 332:21,23 333:21 obviously 47:6,11 47:16 151:24 169:5 226:20 228:19 officials 91:10,17 100:14 101:2 102:8 100:14 101:2 102:8 409:14 official 168:1 100:14 101:2 102:8 104:16 105:3,7,19 0fficial 168:1 <th>83:16 85:5 107:23</th> <th></th> <th>office 46:17 66:19</th> <th>340:11 344:5</th>	83:16 85:5 107:23		office 46:17 66:19	340:11 344:5
123:12,20 207:21 208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25	108:12 122:3		97:6,11,14 99:17	400:20 408:19
208:3 270:21 275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25	123:12,20 207:21		100:14 101:2 102:8	409:14
275:21 276:25 283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 obstruct 266:19 obstruct 266:19 obstruction 142:2 obtained 196:1 obvious 285:14 obviously 47:6,11 47:16 151:24 169:5 obstruct 266:19 105:24 111:14 128:16 138:2 140:5 140:6 146:15 168:9 178:12 202:18 214:18 225:3 226:20 228:19 245:15 253:15 official 168:1 217:25 366:10 395:19 officially 212:15 402:5 officials 91:10,17	208:3 270:21		104:16 105:3,7,19	offices 40:16 65:22
283:5,13 290:19 291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 283:5,13 290:19	275:21 276:25		105:24 111:14	official 168:1
291:17 300:7,9,10 303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 305:19 obtained 196:1 obvious 285:14 obviously 47:6,11 47:16 151:24 169:5 140:6 146:15 168:9 178:12 202:18 214:18 225:3 226:20 228:19 245:15 253:15 104:23 130:8 12	283:5,13 290:19		128:16 138:2 140:5	217:25 366:10
303:9 305:25 308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 308:14,19 332:12 47:16 151:24 169:5 308:14,19 332:12 47:16 151:24 169:5 308:14,19 332:12 47:16 151:24 169:5 308:12 202:18 214:18 225:3 226:20 228:19 245:15 253:15 245:15 253:15	291:17 300:7,9,10		140:6 146:15 168:9	395:19
308:14,19 332:12 332:21,23 333:21 47:16 151:24 169:5 226:20 228:19 245:15 253:15 402:5 officials 91:10,17	303:9 305:25		178:12 202:18	officially 212:15
332:21,23 333:21 334:23 344:25 47:16 151:24 169:5 245:15 253:15 104:23 130:8 12	308:14,19 332:12		214:18 225:3	402:5
334.23 344.25 47:10 131:24 109:3 245:15 253:15 104:23 130:8 12	332:21,23 333:21	,	226:20 228:19	officials 91:10,17
185:21 187:15	· ·		245:15 253:15	104:23 130:8,12
		103:21 107:13		,

[officials - operator] Page 468

	T	T	
183:17 203:14	413:23 414:21	operate 164:10	205:18 208:3
206:13 235:12	415:19	190:20 216:4	212:20 214:7,11
offs 254:17,20	old 93:23 189:11	219:17 272:7,21	215:21 219:12
oftentimes 274:23	190:18,18 367:21	275:15 280:8	239:12 247:1,2
284:10	367:21 399:1	289:16 300:3	260:7 261:11,19
oh 17:8 44:18	oliva 9:25 19:5,25	305:24 311:17	265:9,15,18 266:10
331:20 338:2,25	22:19 30:8 41:12	335:4	267:5 269:6,9
347:20 348:2	54:17	operated 145:19	270:12 271:13
358:15 383:8 384:4	olivia 1:18 19:8	297:4 311:16	272:21 275:22
384:9	41:15,19	operates 146:10	277:11,15 281:2,15
okaloosa 1:16	once 57:13 73:20	244:7	282:8 286:22 291:8
385:23 387:19	94:17 106:5 135:18	operating 164:12	299:14 302:10
okay 8:21 9:17	142:21 147:8 156:4	263:20 283:12	304:8 322:11,25
12:21 13:6 14:17	173:14 190:6,24	321:1	325:8,16 328:21
15:3 18:22 27:21	217:8 227:22 262:8	operation 111:25	329:9 342:7 362:16
38:3 39:1,18 41:18	325:23,23 326:7	146:18,18,23	364:6 365:11,15
44:23 52:15 80:2	336:16 349:14	177:22 214:4	367:4 375:4,13
86:9 124:16 126:14	352:9 364:19	225:11 231:4 247:4	397:13,15 400:24
147:19,22 148:1	373:24,25 377:19	265:1 276:17	405:24
154:1 158:24	407:20 414:12	355:12 361:4	operationally
166:17 186:12	ones 32:16 37:17	366:15 377:1 387:7	151:11 169:15
188:21,22 192:23	53:17 56:20 101:13	operational 91:7	210:1 214:1,20,22
197:10 202:11	164:3 241:21	98:13 106:13,15	215:1,6 216:5
204:3 205:2,13	413:25	107:9 109:12,14	244:8 274:16
213:12 216:14,15	ongoing 17:23	112:5 126:20 127:8	320:23 325:20
227:14 278:1	122:6,8,10 157:16	128:9,24 129:2,6	371:8
280:14 293:12	263:6 321:7	129:16 130:4,10	operations 104:14
294:4,9 295:21	online 307:17	131:3,24 133:8,16	108:3 113:13
300:16,18 306:11	onset 262:6 285:3	134:7,11 135:8,9	137:22 145:5
309:1 310:10	op 112:19	135:17,20,25 136:4	166:21 187:13
315:24 316:13,13	opaque 45:1	136:12,18 138:13	207:18 213:16
319:1 321:6,6,7	open 52:8 65:8	141:2 142:3,5	217:11 220:4,24
327:5 331:11 337:5	122:24 147:7	144:18,24,24	240:14 248:19
341:9 342:22	237:12 274:2,11,12	145:15,19,23 148:6	265:4 272:23 273:6
343:23 344:7 349:3	274:17 292:8 341:1	148:8 150:7,12	274:19 277:9
353:2 359:9 364:18	361:24	154:17,19 155:12	278:11 288:19,20
369:1 370:17 372:2	opened 65:7 322:20	156:7 162:8 164:21	288:22 339:7 363:6
374:5,10 389:22,23	356:18	177:21,24 182:19	377:2 383:21 405:8
391:5,15 398:4	opening 274:4	182:25 185:14	operator 99:18
401:12,21,24	openly 214:19	194:23 195:24	104:15 105:8,18
402:13,21 403:6,18		202:16,17 203:9	109:1 202:19 248:7
		1.0.1.1	
	Vanitarit I ac	ral Solutions	

[operator - outside] Page 469

248:13 256:18	opposing 90:18	259:16 263:4 264:9	286:6 308:10
271:11,15 272:12	opposite 49:25	265:24 266:23	309:20,22 399:9
272:15 276:21	opposition 9:8	268:15,19 269:7	orlando 67:17 68:6
281:7 282:15 285:7	88:20 89:6 91:25	272:25 273:8	ort 106:9,12 111:12
292:6,10,12 303:8	134:20	277:10 278:7 280:7	111:19 129:20
307:16 312:17,17	opted 37:6	281:18 284:17,22	130:15 132:17,21
312:18,21,23	optimal 88:18	293:18 298:20,23	132:23 133:1
313:12,23 314:2	265:17 272:18	299:5 302:23	134:19 135:13
315:12,15 330:13	optimistic 170:22	331:16 332:3,11	136:14,22,24,25
370:12	option 23:25 24:3,7	338:21,23 341:19	137:2,14 141:8,9
operators 104:8	24:8,9 40:14,17,18	345:22,22,22,22	141:10 152:6 154:2
130:18 171:18	42:22 48:21 76:15	347:4 352:10,20	154:10,12,16
280:1,20 287:12,23	160:3	391:6 395:12	173:10 201:12,12
294:17,18 297:22	options 52:5 76:10	order 2:1,3,19	201:15,17 202:2,3
299:23 301:3	77:25 116:12	16:11 143:5 153:24	202:8,11,14,21
302:25 307:15	oral 394:25	177:10,20 221:18	203:5,7,9,11,16
308:24 309:15	orange 8:8 18:25	241:2,12,19 242:2	204:4,8,11 206:9
315:21 324:7	20:12 26:20	299:1 317:1 320:24	206:11,16,21,24
opinion 28:4 136:9	orcat 105:5,6,18	331:12 352:1 386:5	207:1,5 208:10,24
140:17 146:14	106:16,21 107:8	386:13 393:6	211:22,24 268:14
147:1,2 183:18,19	109:12 110:11	412:15,20 414:14	285:10 326:2
197:24 227:17	112:5,7 114:6	organization 108:5	338:21,22,24 339:1
275:20 342:11	115:21 116:9 125:9	136:22 185:23	339:3,3,24 340:15
372:25	126:1,24 133:18	186:3 216:9 280:10	340:20 352:12
opinions 278:12	134:15 136:24	301:13 337:25	ought 29:13 169:13
opportunities	138:1,9 139:13,19	354:8	outages 111:1
273:5	140:2,14,23 141:8	organizational	outcome 139:15
opportunity 5:12	141:24 143:5	132:17 135:14	368:23
6:6 29:18 122:23	146:14,15 148:14	139:23 176:5 207:3	outlay 386:13,23
148:14 152:13	148:18 154:3	360:21	387:6,7,10
184:17 185:7	159:21 174:7,20,22	organizations	outlier 318:25
186:24 188:1	177:6 180:11	133:6 136:14	outline 397:17
197:15 225:16	190:22,22 201:9	180:23 181:24	outlined 102:16
262:1 286:2 310:11	202:23 203:22	184:24 185:3	270:14 303:11
310:22 317:9	204:5,9 206:8,10	196:22 286:20	326:24 379:8
342:25 349:6	206:18,22 207:6,17	organize 114:5	outlines 181:22
opposed 8:4 50:17	208:15 212:9,18	organized 229:17	outs 285:11
55:23 60:24 88:17	225:10 226:23	origin 102:15	outside 14:11,12
88:19 134:13,19	228:13 230:10	original 65:24	70:1 109:25 116:17
135:12 211:7	239:14 245:13	160:19 278:22	128:15,16 141:12
262:25 404:15	247:5 249:21	280:23 281:15	146:21 149:24

[outside - passionate]
172:12 199:14
273:4 275:7 283:20
289:16 308:2,5
336:16
outsiders 118:13
overall 57:12 95:25
99:19 123:7 124:8
211:17 276:17
325:16
overcome 386:5
overdue 375:24
overnight 149:1
overreported 81:25
82:4,8 83:8
overrule 23:18
oversee 103:10
198:2 292:19
oversight 105:1
111:8 127:19
128:22 138:21
143:15 183:7
235:19 275:24
282:11 285:4
368:12
oversights 368:21
overstaffing 309:24
overtime 294:7
297:8 305:21 306:3
overturn 281:20
overview 121:10
211:7 301:15
overwhelmed 9:8
308:24
overwhelming 93:6
264:21 331:23
owes 75:6
owned 138:7 332:3
391:17,19
owner 103:19
104:14 105:6
125:24 126:1 248:2

248:12 350):10
ownership	105:13
153:12 271	1:13
272:8 320:	14
391:10	
owns 103:1	7,19
146:8 153:	10

p **p.m.** 1:7 **p1** 241:14,18 **p25** 178:1 pace 376:7 413:6 page 12:22 101:9 **paid** 33:13 65:22 104:7 159:7,9 372:11 411:4 pains 106:23,24 230:21 287:1 304:2 **palm** 28:3,22 29:12 66:24 237:21.22 **pam** 1:20 panther 1:4 **paper** 45:18 71:9 paperwork 37:19 paramedic 368:19 paramount 34:13 63:11 95:22 262:13 **parcel** 261:24 264:15 **pardon** 359:22 parent 1:15,22 **parents** 22:9 57:9 58:22 75:14 park 88:11,12,14 88:19 89:6,12,22 91:2 401:18 407:13 407:14 408:4 parkland 227:5 268:18 380:11 403:6 406:18,23

407:18

parkway 1:4 part 23:24 37:24 48:6 59:17 70:17 98:3 99:23 107:17 109:24 128:11,11 129:8 130:9 138:3 153:11 159:18 161:16 164:14 169:20 170:5 177:1 194:22,25 197:13 209:4 211:17 235:9 239:14 243:8 246:20 247:4 257:7 258:22 259:3 261:24 264:15 295:10 306:19 311:4 337:11 372:11 395:22 396:2 400:15 404:22 partial 11:7 partially 139:10 195:3 participant 377:7 participants 109:7 376:19 400:3 participate 37:18 37:22 66:12,17 284:1 285:10,15 326:2,11 327:17 380:14 381:6 participated 65:25 participates 285:19 participating 31:8 32:4 37:22 105:9 128:18 132:16 261:21 267:12 271:8,21 participation 271:20 283:4

```
330:4
particular 16:15
 22:11 37:1 38:10
 49:10,14 85:18
 121:18,23 123:13
 174:16 196:19
 226:21 264:18
 269:9 276:13
 286:11 294:13
 303:2 308:8 309:14
 393:1,2,7
particularly 282:22
 300:17 321:16
 365:4
particulars 66:20
parties 107:20
 108:25 109:5 118:2
 118:9 119:22
 267:14
partly 402:1
partner 346:3
partners 144:22
 159:21 268:11
 310:23.25 311:3
 379:11
partnership 235:14
partnerships
 271:20
parts 188:17
 199:24 390:16
 403:5
party 211:4 282:2,3
 282:7,23 311:4
passed 29:16 53:14
 196:25 197:9 355:7
 401:18,19
passes 92:12
passing 71:6
passion 323:5
passionate 20:15
  198:15 269:13,19
```

285:25 317:25

	I	I		
269:20 284:23	98:5 105:16 112:11	233:14,15,16	person 35:15 50:21	
323:4 383:17	114:10 116:13	258:24 290:20	61:24 62:19 73:15	
passive 69:23	119:14,16 125:2,4	291:17 293:17,24	158:3 160:16,17,19	
path 207:3,4 221:4	125:4,14,19,21	307:24,24 315:14	160:25 164:15	
222:7 224:10 357:9	142:5,6 144:18	317:6,14 320:1,10	199:10 205:16	
376:13 412:8	158:2,5,15 162:6,8	321:5 324:17,20	207:12 215:17	
pathway 221:11	165:21 166:13,14	327:15,17 329:10	222:22,22 242:10	
patient 287:9	166:15 168:11	329:13,15 373:16	258:7,12 260:12	
patrol 233:24	172:23 182:14	373:20 387:24,24	280:15 299:4 309:5	
pattern 71:6	199:15,20 200:3	388:1,2,7 389:3	313:6 350:11 354:4	
pause 344:21	205:19 209:8 210:7	percentage 74:1	354:18 383:7,10	
pay 24:10 210:19	214:12,16 216:24	323:24 324:13	personal 92:14	
216:9 240:23	221:6,9 222:11,14	387:25	107:3 115:7 147:1	
243:12,16 244:12	223:14 227:16,16	perception 217:3	170:13 172:9	
251:17 254:11	240:17 257:12,13	353:8,8 360:22	173:11 260:1 314:9	
324:3,4,4 330:6	257:20 258:10	361:3	personalities 142:1	
334:7 347:24	259:2 284:22 285:1	percy 180:19	personally 114:14	
380:16 385:1,4	291:18 294:6 297:8	perfect 328:24	138:10 159:1	
388:20,23 389:3,3	297:20,25 298:14	perform 31:14	184:23 216:2	
411:9	300:21,22,24	163:3 356:11	266:20 288:12	
payers 256:11	301:19 308:13,14	performance 112:1	personnel 106:17	
paying 210:22	309:21 311:7	269:6 277:1,5	106:18 109:3 115:9	
244:5,5	322:24 323:3,4,5	302:3	121:2 124:24	
peace 165:13	328:15 330:6	performing 300:25	227:20 231:16	
peer 293:20	339:25 340:1	328:7	233:25 237:17	
peers 160:8	343:15 347:2 348:8	period 190:4 391:3	258:20 263:15	
pembroke 341:13	348:10 349:18,23	394:2	272:23,23 276:20	
349:1 378:9	352:20 354:10	periods 324:21	282:16 289:14	
pending 91:16	356:21 357:25	permanent 261:14	296:12 298:18	
281:1	363:2 364:13 365:8	permeated 163:12	306:1 308:15	
people 4:14 5:1	369:21 376:16	permission 194:1	314:16 328:6 355:3	
9:10 18:16 20:21	379:14 382:14	375:14	387:7	
22:13 24:1 28:19	383:18,20,22,24	permit 89:21 90:7	perspective 74:5	
29:12,17 33:24	384:4 389:18	90:9,17,20,25 91:3	146:11 158:1	
34:5,14 41:10 43:9	400:20 404:25	91:22	172:10 201:14,15	
46:23 48:4,15	405:16,24 408:3	permitting 94:13	202:3,10 221:7,8	
49:20 50:4,15 55:7	410:9	perplexing 137:6	223:7 225:8 270:10	
62:14 63:1 84:15	percent 4:2 19:15	perry 229:15	289:1 328:21 358:6	
85:14 86:5 92:15	74:18 95:15 104:1	242:12	358:10 369:1	
93:2,5,6,15,18,19	122:21,25 123:18	persist 112:21	pertaining 274:1	
94:19 96:18 97:21	125:15 212:12	114:21	276:1	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[pertinent - police] Page 472

		la 7:10 25:2 50:0		
pertinent 234:12	picked 309:11	plan 7:19 25:3 50:8	point 17:1 19:9	
302:18	313:2 314:3	50:9 69:2 70:9,10	21:23 40:7 50:1,2	
pervasive 275:20	picking 254:6,12	71:22 188:12 191:2	69:1,4 70:18 73:1	
peterson 402:13,17	314:4	240:8 271:2 298:17	101:25 109:16	
petty 1:22 10:13,15	picks 312:13,18	349:6,8 372:17,22	131:16 134:4,15	
68:12 117:5,6	331:25	374:14 379:8	140:9 141:9,20	
162:10,11 164:6,23	picture 63:13	391:24,25 392:9,18	146:13,24 151:15	
246:18,19 253:22	135:16 150:16	393:21 397:18	156:25 172:6	
253:23 255:8 259:5	206:1 402:18	399:9 411:13	176:21 198:2,9	
369:7,8 374:5,8,12	pictured 232:1,12	plane 268:6	200:8 208:23	
374:23 375:22	piece 45:18 177:24	planned 298:17	209:22 216:23	
379:1 414:22,23	213:9 219:15	planning 111:8	217:7,15 251:23	
petty's 406:21	pieces 177:18	271:7 297:13	254:9 268:2 281:1	
pharmacies 231:25	pines 341:14 349:1	plans 84:13,18	281:9 304:24	
pharmacy 232:20	378:9	234:7 265:3 266:19	311:22 316:22	
phase 189:25 190:3	pio 100:3	271:10,19 291:9	329:24 332:1	
190:7 209:21	place 19:12 32:13	348:16 349:5	334:21 338:24	
phenomenal	42:24 43:19 44:5,9	plant 88:10	345:13 350:22	
239:21 255:6	46:12 48:16 49:10	plantation 96:8	351:14,16 357:2	
phoenix 216:2	49:15,17,24 51:7	104:16 233:21	361:21 362:6 371:6	
phone 22:19 30:8	53:4,21 56:7 58:24	platform 408:22	379:19 383:5	
80:4 81:15 185:1	62:12 64:21 67:7	play 165:21 210:10	394:23 404:6 408:8	
232:11,14 236:17	68:5,18 70:10,12	210:17	408:21 409:11	
249:21 252:10	87:11 89:5 94:4	players 209:7	412:25	
254:6,12 307:10	134:1 145:13 146:4	playing 215:12	pointed 8:25 35:8	
308:16,17 309:24	154:10 161:10	321:25 322:1	46:21 152:1	
314:5 315:11	171:21 176:1	plays 212:22	pointing 170:3	
326:25	185:13 194:10	pleasant 407:4	364:15	
phones 234:8	203:14 209:20	please 2:12 200:12	points 178:3	
294:24 308:9,10,14	221:10 224:4	201:24 269:12	280:24 292:16	
309:22 409:4	225:14 256:7 308:7	284:21 312:22	poises 400:7	
photo 236:24	338:25 344:23	403:16 410:12,13	poland 67:25,25	
402:14	345:7,13,14 346:7	plenty 39:23 40:11	police 1:13,23	
photos 82:25 83:5	357:12 374:14	380:19	33:11 35:14 69:14	
physically 59:13,21	391:25 398:15	plethora 58:10	86:18,25 87:8	
physicians 287:6	407:9 408:5 409:6	plugged 25:12	94:23 98:14 99:12	
pick 35:2 53:17	placed 147:4	plus 83:20 142:18	99:15 100:7,11,21	
81:14 161:4 244:22	placement 265:5	301:3 307:9	100:22 101:1,8	
244:25 249:21	places 15:11 63:12	pocketbook 335:7	100:22 101:1,8	
308:21 353:24	181:10 390:15	pocketbook 333.7	109:10,13,15 114:5	
403:25 404:18	101.10 370.13	401:4	114:17 115:17,23	
703.23 707.10		-TU1.T	117.17 113.17,23	
Varitant Lagal Calutions				

[police - presentation]

126:16,19,24 127:3
127:5,19 129:11,21
131:13,16 132:24
134:6 135:8,10
136:20 138:10
139:21 143:11,19
144:1,20 147:3
148:9,20,21,25
149:1,8,9,11,14,21
149:22,25 150:1,22
154:10 155:13
156:10,17 157:5
159:19 160:4 161:8
161:14 162:17
164:21 166:19,24
168:2,13 169:9,12
171:16,23 175:3
176:23 178:5
181:21 184:11,14
185:5 186:10 187:4
187:8,11,20 198:25
205:17 207:14
212:17 213:17
216:22 217:10,17
217:24 218:9 219:9
219:25 220:6,17
223:14,19 228:5,13
228:15 229:15,25
230:9 231:15
233:24 235:24
237:2 239:8,11
248:10 261:16
281:5 286:17,20
287:10 325:3,4
326:24 333:3,21
335:21 336:4,12,14
336:23 337:7
339:16 341:10
350:4,6 351:2,7
355:13,15,16
357:19 359:12

361:11 362:14
366:13 367:3,8
370:15 376:6
377:15 378:14,19
380:5,14 386:21
397:7 400:3 405:23
408:10,14 409:14
409:15,15
policies 71:4 123:9
126:6 158:20 288:5
320:8,12,14,17
policing 87:6,13
150:24 164:4 234:8
254:15
policy 41:25 42:6 42:13,17 43:4,9,11 43:13,23 44:17,20
42:13,17 43:4,9,11
44:22 45:21 46:10
47:20,23 48:3,5
53:24 64:22 74:13
99:6 183:24 245:17
296:12
political 193:6
politics 199:18
polk 1:21 67:24
68:3
pollack's 406:21
poor 98:4
pop 82:12
popped 326:17
populace 262:11
popular 409:11
population 228:25
porous 373:9
portable 49:5,20,23
51:4 178:8
portables 49:22
portion 68:1
portions 158:7
263:22

position 10:2 11:19
15:13 20:1 51:24
76:21 110:16,18
112:13 139:17
140:2 160:4 161:5
167:18 175:16
184:25 185:5,24
186:9 219:9 220:19
249:20 260:5 264:5
265:7,8,11 266:3
304:13 341:18
349:21
positioned 400:8
positions 63:2
70:13 105:17
140:16 415:11
positive 57:10,14
66:22 189:3 260:14
397:22
possible 93:1 94:16
230:15 269:16
possibly 329:21
potential 144:11
304:2
potentially 87:15
151:20 324:11
power 168:10
169:7 199:7 262:5
266:24 289:4
powerful 166:20
powerpoint 83:23
101:25
powers 1:23 261:18
281:19
practical 143:10
practice 44:6,13,16
44:17,19 45:16
46:18 47:21,23
practices 3:7 71:5
301:24

```
pray 360:7
pre 312:20
precipitated 415:8
precise 287:8
precisely 287:2
predecessor 262:15
 283:10,11 319:10
 319:13
predicted 282:8
predictions 283:1
predominantly
 280:25
preface 79:15
prefer 168:24
preference 391:17
preferences 275:9
premise 160:12
preparation 157:18
prepared 121:25
 122:4,13 269:22
 290:21 291:10
 346:12 349:24
 414:1,8
preparedness
 288:25
prescribed 308:5
 308:10
present 6:22 7:17
 113:4 144:14
 206:15 220:12
 228:6 268:18 392:9
presentation 3:12
 4:8,18 5:19,24 6:3
 22:21 30:7,10 67:2
 78:12 79:3 86:16
 120:22 126:15,23
 147:6 148:4 152:16
 154:2 155:17
 161:16 171:14,14
 180:10 192:11
 199:21 228:12
```

	I	I	T
242:15 259:15	preventive 144:15	probably 16:15	246:4,16 247:22
331:15	previous 124:4	26:17 30:8 50:13	250:10 251:12
presentations	132:6 156:1 190:11	60:16 64:24 84:7,8	254:1,3,5 257:5
117:4 248:9	234:24	91:5 116:13,16	258:16 267:20
presented 66:23	previously 134:13	132:4 183:21	269:21 294:10
71:3 73:24 153:23	136:11 159:23	191:18 193:5	310:19 326:23
154:21 264:16	184:8 261:13	195:23 196:18,23	342:6 348:7,13
298:20 300:8	primarily 113:2	208:2 244:18	350:14,14,15
330:13 334:5	269:15	250:24 252:24	351:25 353:14
presenter 228:4	primary 85:11	253:14 258:20	358:20,20 374:16
presenters 99:7	211:14 260:6 263:9	299:9 304:11 314:7	413:14
101:23	293:18	318:18 324:8	procedural 315:16
presenting 136:2	prime 281:25	341:25 344:22	procedure 42:1,17
presents 5:18	principal 12:20	364:5,21 382:5	43:13,23 45:21
152:13	principals 31:13	411:25	46:11 315:17,18,19
preset 318:3	principles 335:9	probe 353:4	procedures 19:12
president 1:23	prior 58:22 63:22	problem 8:6,7	123:9 126:7 158:21
115:25 168:21	96:2 144:13 154:22	10:11 23:24 29:23	291:8 320:8,12,14
180:5,17,18 184:18	155:19 197:14	48:7 101:15 106:20	proceed 90:10
226:24 227:2	198:17,19 228:17	119:1 131:19	278:18
369:22 397:7	231:22 235:15	167:24 169:17	proceeding 61:15
press 179:1 414:4	238:25 247:8	170:5 172:13 175:2	proceedings 90:1
pressed 196:3	263:18 270:25	177:15 220:10	120:16 179:25
pressing 91:19	271:11,12 272:3,14	236:6 237:23,25	259:23 331:10
pressure 75:15	290:4 304:13	257:8 258:22	417:8,10
presumption 294:2	307:18,19 325:21	268:15,17 277:18	process 19:13 44:7
presuppose 92:8	332:2	284:6 285:2 286:4	53:21 80:21 82:24
pretend 149:6	priorities 334:4	301:1 319:16 342:4	94:3,15 96:13 98:3
pretty 84:2 86:12	349:9	342:18 361:12	98:16 106:8 131:9
101:10 106:20	priority 162:19	394:18	133:8,19 134:16
120:23 124:3 188:5	192:25 193:2 194:6	problematic 286:3	135:15 136:9,10,19
199:22 210:25	234:10 302:16	problems 99:9	153:12 194:10
251:16,21 269:11	private 37:4,4,7	101:4 108:6 115:2	199:11 201:12,12
306:24 307:6,6	141:10 146:21	117:11 118:20	201:17 207:7
396:1 408:3,25	147:11 164:7,9	124:1,3,6 131:11	209:20 213:22
409:1	165:6 360:13,23	142:25 154:13	224:8 234:12 235:1
prevent 55:2 77:25	privately 165:23	156:23 158:9	239:25 248:23
252:6 272:18	pro 191:20 209:14	159:15 165:25	253:12 275:11
311:24	proactive 87:6,13	171:20 174:23	278:3 293:3 301:5
prevention 68:1	150:24 234:7	227:18 230:10	302:18 312:2
232:5		237:17 245:21	313:14 322:16

Veritext Legal Solutions

[process - psaps] Page 475

325:10,15 330:16	27:10 31:7,22 32:3	promptly 120:13	265:17 267:16
337:4,8,10,11	32:8 33:7,10 34:17	120:13 179:20	277:13 283:15
338:25 341:3	37:6,14 52:4 59:21	331:7	285:11 306:9 332:9
351:12,22 353:17	65:17 66:1 70:11	prompts 321:24	339:22 381:20,21
361:22 367:18	215:22 300:20	proper 177:14,21	381:25 389:8
368:2,10,22	310:15,16 340:12	274:10 275:3 407:9	408:21
processed 232:17	381:6,8 392:14	properly 96:17	provided 2:24 3:4
300:13	393:13 400:2 402:9	145:12 175:25	24:8 33:11 65:23
processes 185:12		177:12 237:18,18	101:7 112:17 121:3
220:11 267:17	programmed 346:6 399:11	257:11 259:1	101.7 112.17 121.3
273:6 277:15 288:6			183:24 195:2
322:3	programming 264:24 273:14	property 392:1	236:19 261:20
		proposal 110:15	270:13 330:1 362:3
processing 96:12	296:13 392:25	295:25 298:16	386:23
98:1 233:7,7	393:11	299:7,9	
294:13,15,19,23	programs 11:3,9	propose 109:16	provider 265:12
308:20,21	31:10 32:6 39:14	110:3	266:17
procure 336:19	57:1	proposed 88:15	providers 260:23
procured 52:2	progress 45:8 61:8	110:11 139:14	262:12
procurement	64:18 182:24	354:14,16 355:11	provides 146:8
209:20 210:6	374:25 375:2	protect 9:11 10:24	222:2 247:16
264:24 275:7	415:13	75:17	387:14
procuring 80:22	progressed 107:2	protection 164:4	providing 124:7,24
1 . 220.2			1 40 10 01 6 0
producing 329:2	progresses 61:6	protests 68:18	143:13 216:8
product 239:21	progression 56:19	protocol 59:23 87:7	264:17 267:1,7
product 239:21 productive 260:16	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24 114:23 118:22	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24 promises 284:8	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4 102:5 104:25 106:3	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9 289:17 308:3,22
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24 114:23 118:22 119:8 137:19	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24 promises 284:8 promote 78:14	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4 102:5 104:25 106:3 109:3 120:17	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9 289:17 308:3,22 348:16 349:7
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24 114:23 118:22 119:8 137:19 172:14 183:1 192:7	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24 promises 284:8 promote 78:14 102:10,14	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4 102:5 104:25 106:3 109:3 120:17 121:19 145:1	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9 289:17 308:3,22 348:16 349:7 psaps 104:2 152:23
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24 114:23 118:22 119:8 137:19 172:14 183:1 192:7 194:20 269:13	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24 promises 284:8 promote 78:14 102:10,14 promoted 78:17	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4 102:5 104:25 106:3 109:3 120:17 121:19 145:1 148:14 163:20	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9 289:17 308:3,22 348:16 349:7 psaps 104:2 152:23 152:25 175:12
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24 114:23 118:22 119:8 137:19 172:14 183:1 192:7	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24 promises 284:8 promote 78:14 102:10,14 promoted 78:17 84:9 354:10	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4 102:5 104:25 106:3 109:3 120:17 121:19 145:1 148:14 163:20 164:3,4 169:24,25	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9 289:17 308:3,22 348:16 349:7 psaps 104:2 152:23 152:25 175:12 263:21 279:14
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24 114:23 118:22 119:8 137:19 172:14 183:1 192:7 194:20 269:13 361:8 program 7:11,14	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24 promises 284:8 promote 78:14 102:10,14 promoted 78:17 84:9 354:10 promoting 80:10	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4 102:5 104:25 106:3 109:3 120:17 121:19 145:1 148:14 163:20 164:3,4 169:24,25 181:15 182:12,15	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9 289:17 308:3,22 348:16 349:7 psaps 104:2 152:23 152:25 175:12 263:21 279:14 297:3,19 318:4
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24 114:23 118:22 119:8 137:19 172:14 183:1 192:7 194:20 269:13 361:8 program 7:11,14 7:24 8:18 11:15	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24 promises 284:8 promote 78:14 102:10,14 promoted 78:17 84:9 354:10	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4 102:5 104:25 106:3 109:3 120:17 121:19 145:1 148:14 163:20 164:3,4 169:24,25 181:15 182:12,15 186:18 228:9	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9 289:17 308:3,22 348:16 349:7 psaps 104:2 152:23 152:25 175:12 263:21 279:14 297:3,19 318:4 332:23 335:16
product 239:21 productive 260:16 412:17 professional 94:22 118:24 205:23 260:1 363:12 professionally 197:24 professionals 111:22 112:24 114:23 118:22 119:8 137:19 172:14 183:1 192:7 194:20 269:13 361:8 program 7:11,14	progression 56:19 project 68:19,25 69:7 189:16,24 280:12 297:18 projected 191:7 projects 280:18 promise 348:4 402:9 promised 377:11 377:11 407:24 promises 284:8 promote 78:14 102:10,14 promoted 78:17 84:9 354:10 promoting 80:10	protocol 59:23 87:7 87:11 233:6 256:20 286:12,23 287:2 315:22 328:1,2 protocols 19:11 114:4 237:2 286:14 286:21 343:15 proud 67:5 provide 2:20 28:12 82:21 83:21 102:4 102:5 104:25 106:3 109:3 120:17 121:19 145:1 148:14 163:20 164:3,4 169:24,25 181:15 182:12,15	264:17 267:1,7 268:8 269:15 288:7 328:12 337:1 380:2 384:19 provisioning 296:13 psap 127:20 152:25 153:3 198:5 229:8 240:9 263:16 279:12 281:9 289:17 308:3,22 348:16 349:7 psaps 104:2 152:23 152:25 175:12 263:21 279:14 297:3,19 318:4

[psaps - quickly] Page 476

	T	1	1
355:1	pull 86:25 211:5	303:10 307:6	162:1 168:17 176:3
psc 1:12	pulling 347:12	339:20 342:9	192:17 194:16
psychologist	348:3	344:18,20 346:20	195:8 201:25
403:10	purchase 372:21	356:1 357:24	203:24 205:14
ptts 150:10	purchased 411:5	367:23 368:13	210:23 212:11
public 1:2,18 8:3,9	purchasing 275:7	372:12 392:1	217:6 222:6 226:12
8:17 12:12,13,18	pure 151:8	393:24 405:15	226:18 239:1
12:24 13:12 14:17	purpose 34:2	410:25 411:25	242:10 243:20,25
18:9 19:21 22:5,7	146:17 282:16	412:22 415:19,20	246:20 253:8,15
25:16 26:20 30:14	326:4	415:22	286:8 288:21
35:1 72:7 75:13,15	purposes 12:16	puts 362:18 410:1	290:16,24 291:5,18
86:20 87:25 90:22	252:5	putting 45:13	304:3,16 317:10
96:24 98:6,11	purse 361:23	57:20 68:13 93:20	323:11 327:5,19
106:21 109:19	pursue 333:13	93:21 166:6 210:8	338:9 350:8,8,12
110:4,4,12 111:10	push 94:11,12	239:20 296:21	363:7,12 364:7
111:21 112:9,23	110:20 150:10	365:5 411:22	376:9 379:1 382:23
114:22 118:21	156:12 197:5	414:25	questions 69:19
129:19 130:7,12	198:20 211:5	puzzle 177:19	79:6,10 80:5 84:4
131:13 132:8	368:23	q	84:11 92:2 120:18
136:16 137:19	pushed 94:1 197:21		124:10 126:10,13
139:2,13 145:20,25	198:21 211:12	qualifications 107:10	147:7 152:21,24
146:20 163:20	pushing 59:6	qualified 354:12	154:15,20 158:20
164:7,16 165:19	281:18 371:19	qualify 161:15	159:6 162:9 180:24
178:21 181:12	398:25 400:25,25	quality 267:1 272:2	180:25 195:14
182:24 183:1,3,9	407:8	281:10,11,14,24,24	202:24,25 203:2,18
183:17,21 187:23	put 10:19,19 11:2	282:12,12 315:20	203:23 204:14
215:8 218:18	17:8 19:3,11,23	quarter 53:10	226:16,17 227:13
255:12 256:13	21:6,23 30:20	325:23	237:12 249:12
260:22 262:11	31:20 44:20,22	question 6:9,12,19	269:23 286:7,24
263:19 265:12	61:13,13 64:15	8:10 13:11 19:19	288:1 295:3 338:3
266:16 267:1,7	67:22 77:13 92:13	25:15 26:25 31:12	338:6 349:14,25
268:8 269:13,14	93:11 94:3 97:18	32:12,19,21,21	352:22 353:5 355:8
381:1 394:21	100:23 110:24	38:24 41:23 42:16	405:13 406:10
401:13,15 408:19	133:7 136:7 142:15	43:12,17,22 44:24	queue 392:15
408:22 409:12,13	143:6 150:17	45:20 46:7 48:24	quick 35:6 117:7
410:1	199:12 200:23	52:16 59:10,18	121:10 253:8 384:1
publicly 72:15,16	210:7 220:23	60:3 61:22 62:5	quickly 21:21 45:2
168:1 178:25	241:13 256:20	64:8 65:6 66:4 73:1	88:9 93:1 94:16
published 112:19	263:10 264:10	73:23 79:19 118:12	156:11,15 192:12
pulitzer 2:10	288:25 289:15		255:24 268:7
	291:4 300:21,22	121:18 123:8	356:14
		157:14 159:18	
	Veriteyt Lea	101.	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[quid - receive] Page 477

quid 191:20 209:14	63:21,21 95:3	reaction 61:18,20	350:23 351:13
quiet 18:13 29:25	111:2 143:7 144:11	61:20	350:23 351:13
quietly 214:16	178:1,9 205:21	read 45:25 102:18	370:18,19,21,21
quite 38:16 164:8	213:25 246:23	107:24 237:7	372:3,20 373:15
194:20 256:15	346:6 361:17 399:6	244:19 335:22	374:19,20 376:16
276:12 335:19	399:10	338:20 376:24	376:16 390:2 398:5
359:4 365:5 375:16	raid 58:4	readdress 20:3	403:1 404:9 407:15
quo 191:20 209:14	raised 10:5 88:6	ready 37:8 64:7	410:4 412:6
quote 3:16,18 89:7	107:12 267:23	73:15 336:19	realm 215:9
101:11,13 107:17	354:17,21,25 355:9	395:23 400:7	reapplied 37:15
179:1 221:15	370:23	415:14	66:3
338:21	raising 111:24	reaffirmed 54:3	reason 3:24 4:3,25
r	138:20	real 18:22 98:12	6:12 11:14 25:8
r 417:1	rambling 349:13	102:8 145:14 154:3	33:14 44:13 48:23
radio 32:25 35:2,9	ran 47:25 360:12	193:15 395:4 412:7	71:15 128:11 174:5
35:14 36:6 86:14	ranches 295:8	realistically 91:4	181:4 246:1 252:8
86:16,18,23 87:2,4	random 315:20	reality 139:22	280:25 284:14
87:9,21 88:6,18,24	range 83:20 190:18	353:8,9 357:11	320:21 327:11,13
90:21 91:6 92:19	302:3 324:9	realization 282:25	346:18 356:25
93:10 94:1,16,22	rank 137:10	realize 67:10 182:4	360:15 383:17
95:5,20,22 97:18	ranking 261:16	211:6 297:24	390:16 391:6,23
97:25 104:7 111:3	299:10	realized 113:22,25	reasonable 303:21
111:4 132:4,7	rarely 116:23	153:2 157:5 322:7	reasonably 264:6
142:9,13,16,24	341:3	322:15	265:16
143:6,17,23,25	rate 121:5 329:21	really 9:18 33:14	reasoning 277:14
149:1 151:5 153:19	rates 120:25 121:4	34:15 36:25 43:6	309:3
155:4,8 156:3,11	ratification 209:14	54:1 58:25 71:11	reasons 34:13
233:15 234:5 246:7	rationale 266:22	77:9 101:3 120:10	88:18 100:18 115:1
252:14 255:25	reach 184:19 319:4	128:20,25 133:12	143:16 156:4 193:6
265:5 273:16	340:17 405:15	140:11 155:4	197:18 228:9 230:4
291:11 302:14	reached 184:21	156:16 163:15	245:2 332:21
314:24 322:10	240:4 290:9 316:18	169:7 172:23,23	356:25 362:7
328:24 336:20	319:17 338:9	194:23 199:1	391:13 412:6
343:13 345:24,25	341:12 342:4,16	201:19 216:16	reassess 307:21
365:8 371:4,4	350:13,16	219:15 240:19	reassigned 232:4
375:2 383:8,8	reaches 75:25	243:22 245:7 287:8	recall 3:1 108:12
384:16 395:5	reaching 250:14	292:5 304:6 324:18	203:4 212:1 293:6
400:18	272:18 383:12	330:19,19 336:24	recap 236:5
radios 33:1,7,11,12	react 33:20,25	337:20 339:4	receive 24:21 63:5
33:13,15 34:19	52:11 59:13 62:18 63:9	342:25 343:5	64:3 80:17 122:6
36:1,3 38:13,24	05.9	344:10 345:15	131:5 163:13,14

230:24 235:18,22	170:11 171:23,24	recording 312:21	321:14,16 322:8
300:4	171:25 175:17	313:3,4,7,18	406:12
received 65:19 67:2	177:17 202:14	records 128:1	regarding 11:19
67:14 97:16 99:21	208:10,13 209:5	132:1,2 137:1	38:12 113:12 116:8
99:24,25 121:25	218:11 281:23	370:5	122:8 137:5 181:12
130:22 144:8	282:1 296:8,16	recreate 223:23	207:23 332:13
157:16 221:1	337:17 339:1	recreational 89:12	393:13 395:1
231:13 237:7 321:7	340:14,21 354:25	rectified 70:6	regardless 22:4
338:1	355:6 371:12 375:6	rectify 65:13	89:6 101:13 162:20
receives 220:14	376:12,14	recuperating	194:6 199:3 240:4
receiving 80:17	recommendations	186:25	286:25 317:8
218:11	98:25 99:6,10		regards 175:16
receptive 311:11	106:15 107:15	recurring 39:25 reduce 256:7 262:3	207:17 243:24
receptive 511.11 recess 120:15	117:17 129:15	reduction 121:21	260:10 270:8
179:24 331:9	135:3,3 156:22	reduction 121.21	274:18 281:4
399:12	157:1 204:9 206:25	356:10	290:12
recipient 382:21	207:3 211:3 215:4	refer 12:15 155:3,3	regional 86:14
recipients 130:18	273:17,21 278:9,11	208:16 334:20	95:25 96:1,2,6,9
382:21	278:14,15,18	reference 184:13	97:11 98:16 99:1,4
	281:12 286:12,19	198:9	99:16 100:12 103:1
recognition 137:18 recognize 122:16	296:17 309:23	referenced 110:22	103:11,23 104:9
133:11,24 134:25	334:4 352:24	174:15 267:22	105:3 106:4 113:9
135:13 137:7 146:6	396:17 404:8,10	referencing 319:12	113:19 115:18,21
164:6,14 206:9	415:17	referendum 110:25	116:9 122:12,17
261:5 325:15	recommended	referred 102:22	123:25 126:23
332:20 360:3	103:9 130:16,19	105:5 174:21	127:22 128:3 132:8
recognized 2:6,10	134:24 277:2	referring 155:9	136:12 138:3
130:5 139:4 154:2	300:24 407:12	208:5 283:9	139:25 140:5
297:17,19	recommending	reflect 168:6	146:16 162:3
recognizes 114:7	100:10 196:15	refreshed 101:24	180:10 182:11
136:21	367:6	refused 296:7	225:15 228:8,12
recognizing 134:6	reconciliation	regard 112:1	241:20 259:15
recommend 51:21	189:4 413:17	268:23 273:18	260:11,20 262:18
206:3 345:3 362:9	reconnaissance	274:8,12,20 275:9	263:12 266:9,18
362:11 372:15	393:23	275:23,25 276:3,19	267:5,9 268:9,12
378:24 403:10	reconsider 155:24	277:7 278:10,23	270:6,10,11,18,18
recommendation	record 99:23	280:24 282:23	270:20 271:5 301:1
73:2 76:22 129:8	101:22 224:16	288:23 289:8 291:5	322:22 331:15
143:10 148:18,23	401:25 408:9	292:2 303:4 308:8	398:22 402:3
149:20 151:19	recorded 233:20,22	309:20 311:9	regionalization
157:3,11 164:15	312:20	317:15,17 321:12	102:15 230:19
137.3,11 107.13	312.20	317.13,17 321.12	102.13 230.17

Veritext Legal Solutions

270:25 271:2 272:4	329:1,1	removal 328:18	207:1 218:1 234:1
290:4	relationships	remove 89:15,17	276:14 278:8,14
registering 151:24	131:12 138:12	298:2 386:7	281:12,13 285:11
regressing 115:11	141:23 201:4 223:3	removed 119:23	320:5 338:20 339:8
regret 260:11	260:14 283:16,18	renegotiate 279:25	352:10 394:5
regular 31:15	351:25 356:23	renewed 110:20	404:21 412:23,24
89:24 328:8	relative 207:18	reorganization	415:14 417:7
regulations 263:6	209:14 210:13	222:2	reported 6:20,24
271:19	391:10	repair 115:6	32:25 38:22 42:19
regurgitating	relatively 391:2	356:24	42:20 44:1,7 59:19
154:14	relayed 169:4	repairs 31:25	64:16,18 81:20,23
reimburse 372:21	263:9	385:19	82:7 83:18 98:20
reinforce 261:13	release 20:17	repeat 192:16	111:1,15 120:19
reiterate 34:25	relevance 404:11	201:25 217:8	230:11 231:2
168:10	relevant 210:2	repeated 234:2	reporter 417:6,17
reiterated 4:9	reliable 123:22	277:19	reporters 20:24
221:19	reluctant 266:1	repeatedly 152:1	reporting 7:12 31:2
rejected 110:14	rely 240:13	243:25	31:18 80:7,15 83:3
354:13	remain 164:12	replace 282:21	110:18 139:8
relate 209:16	178:11 269:22	366:22 375:10	160:19
related 127:7	remained 280:25	replaced 86:20	reports 85:25 89:7
128:22 129:4	remaining 7:8	95:24 189:12	109:22 130:6 218:3
138:20 158:8	22:22	191:22	reposition 273:3
181:24 193:7	remains 113:5	replacement 191:1	324:11
194:17 202:2	remarkable 23:6	192:1 213:25	repositioned
233:15,16 261:18	remedial 300:22	375:25 376:2	278:25
306:13 338:3	remediated 300:23	replacing 191:24	repository 68:23
relates 95:20	remember 39:24	375:23,23 376:1	represent 184:22
112:22 114:21	56:9 58:4,5 89:10	reply 329:20	196:21
195:23 202:8	95:19 96:14,25	report 7:8 27:12,24	representation
226:18 248:18	97:4,9 102:1	37:2 42:23 45:7	138:15 202:22
337:12	107:12 108:9	48:13 72:14,14	206:17 298:3
relation 194:15	126:18 307:23	82:5,21 87:8 103:8	representative
relations 108:25	remind 119:21	107:17 109:8,20	99:12 126:19 127:6
relationship 101:12	334:17	110:2 112:3 117:3	130:1 132:23
101:17 137:8 141:7	reminder 121:10	124:3 129:9,11,15	134:18 136:17
141:13 160:5	234:20	130:16,19 135:1	175:20 197:3
161:17 162:13,13	remiss 259:21	137:2 149:5 155:22	215:20 228:6 337:7
162:16,24 163:8,15	264:10	155:23 157:6,10	337:9,20
165:18 174:25	remotely 197:23	159:9 163:23 168:3	representatives
202:7 204:4 310:25		196:12,12 202:15	111:13 115:15

Veritext Legal Solutions

134:15 137:5	296:17 297:22	resistant 77:8	45:24,25 46:6,11
180:22 202:12	306:2	resolutely 267:10	46:12 52:20 53:5
203:21 229:14	requires 16:12 81:3	resolution 87:17	60:11 64:13,14
339:15	90:2 117:21 307:23	90:1 91:15 113:8	65:9 121:8 327:15
represented 90:19	324:23	145:22 179:5	338:4,6
207:14	requiring 43:23	189:22 396:6,13,18	respondents
representing	45:21 96:20	405:10 410:7	288:24
114:16,16 134:2	reschedule 147:16	resolve 87:21	responder 142:11
176:24 180:15	rescheduled 141:18	133:21 147:10	143:3 145:9
196:22 198:18	147:13,13,14	161:11 165:5 166:7	responders 142:22
215:23	rescue 108:4 109:3	169:20 267:16,19	162:17 181:14
represents 133:12	109:14,15 112:9	268:1 269:21	182:16 186:19
140:4	127:10,25 128:14	390:21 396:10	230:17 235:11
reprogrammed	135:18 137:9	405:2 410:10	responding 34:9
278:24	143:12 145:7	resolved 11:25	87:14 143:8,12
reprogramming	164:22 170:24	89:19 90:13 91:21	288:24
273:20 313:14	184:21 190:9	99:11 115:8 152:10	response 30:24
repurpose 282:19	192:15 196:7	175:6,8 176:13	34:8 35:11 41:16
299:3	197:12 202:13,15	182:20 240:2	41:25 42:6 43:15
request 72:12	207:2 267:3 285:20	262:21 342:6,8	43:21 45:2,3,3,4
89:23 203:7 264:1	289:19	351:20	60:24 62:2 64:4
268:19 336:17	rescue's 216:3	resolving 107:5	79:13 96:23 102:10
362:19 405:15	researching 196:17	resource 17:10,24	102:14 110:7 114:3
requested 82:23	resend 6:23	18:11 29:4,21	120:25 121:4,5
requesting 324:10	reservations	181:14	155:14 189:9,13,16
requests 65:20	214:17	resources 84:18	189:20,25 190:10
114:7 133:24 204:9	reset 116:11	184:5 187:15 386:6	190:24,25 191:3,10
273:24	reside 160:14	respect 20:14 183:7	191:23 192:2,10,20
require 22:2,3 60:4	267:17	283:6 318:9 330:22	192:24 194:18,24
110:1 133:25	resident 258:1	respected 113:25	196:8 209:15 210:1
142:12 297:1	388:17 415:5	respective 111:21	235:6 274:13,14
required 4:10	residential 205:8	133:6 193:5 197:8	300:11 311:23
89:13 192:25	residents 90:17	respond 11:19	317:5,10 321:24
294:17 305:21	91:25 109:4 145:1	38:23 48:17 73:15	322:2 327:8 329:10
307:18 389:8	181:16 188:10	80:4,24 114:6	329:15,21 335:14
requirement 64:9	197:22 235:21	122:23 143:21	371:21,23 372:14
65:6 78:13,16 84:9	236:3 239:22 243:9	150:4 159:5 225:17	372:18 373:4,6
294:24 297:7	247:11 254:10,22	349:15 352:19	375:1
305:22 328:3	381:14,21 388:17	364:18 398:5	responses 96:24
requirements 2:21	414:20	responded 42:2	121:17 123:7 231:6
109:15 202:17		43:16,19,25 45:5,9	286:9 327:12,17

** ****	202 0 202 2 204 15	0.001606710	04440464545
responsibilities	282:9 292:2 296:15	rfp 362:16 367:18	244:4 246:15,17
116:8 218:7 263:4	317:6 336:18 352:9	367:19,22	251:20 253:14,16
265:10 330:24	358:15	rfps 392:1	253:21 257:1,12,22
388:9	resulted 103:2	rhetoric 58:14	259:7 260:16 268:5
responsibility 22:8	111:3	richard 1:14 5:21	268:25 269:24
24:6 98:23 104:11	results 3:5,10 22:22	178:21	280:16 281:8 285:9
105:15 113:11,23	56:14 57:25 60:1	rid 217:2 361:10	291:23,25 292:7,9
115:1,18,22 124:22	78:15 96:11 108:10	ridiculous 28:4	292:13 293:15
140:22 158:5 175:4	108:11,15,17,20,21	29:10,11	301:7 305:17
175:23 183:16,20	230:13 250:20	rifle 62:24	306:25 311:5 313:9
185:25 186:7 187:9	274:6	right 2:2,15 5:2 9:3	314:2,14 318:12
197:14 198:1,17	resurrect 223:23	12:2,6 13:23 16:19	320:12 330:19
214:3 217:16 260:6	resurvey 216:21	16:23,24 17:21	331:5 338:11 349:9
262:16 263:25	retain 96:16	20:6,9 21:7 23:13	352:3 355:13
265:16,18,24 266:6	retained 107:13	25:17 26:19 27:20	359:20 360:8
266:6,23 314:16	retains 297:18	32:9,11 35:23 36:7	366:21 367:8,25
325:9 334:11	retaliation 211:9	36:8 39:9 41:12,15	369:14 371:9
354:23 368:13,15	retaliatory 177:7	41:18,21,21 42:15	375:19 377:7
368:24 395:15	reticence 211:2	43:12 56:23 57:13	381:19 382:5
405:16 415:11	retirement 221:21	58:11 60:24 62:15	392:14,24 393:2
responsible 30:16	retraction 213:20	63:14 66:21 68:20	394:13 400:2
71:25 99:20 105:17	return 234:6	69:20 78:2,2 80:2	401:13 402:16,17
114:2 118:9 124:23	260:20 261:10	86:10,11 94:10	402:25 404:21
125:9,23 126:2	330:2	95:17 97:4 106:25	412:5 413:15
160:17 161:20	revamp 402:9	121:14 123:16,17	rightfully 49:22
164:3 178:4,6,8	revealed 108:5	126:12 146:7 148:1	263:2
217:14 218:15	revenue 205:3	148:1 158:22	rights 153:13
314:15 364:10,11	381:7	159:12,17 160:15	362:15
366:10 389:17,19	reversion 224:6	160:24 165:17	rigid 287:5
395:19 402:1	review 41:20	168:3,23 171:5,5,6	ring 247:23 309:13
rest 15:4 86:13	106:13 109:17,18	171:6 174:10 178:2	313:10
143:14 254:24	110:10,23 126:20	178:15 179:19	ringing 232:13,15
399:12	127:9 129:2,7	180:1 181:8 184:5	307:11 313:9,9,9
restore 379:7,9	130:4,20 131:24	184:20 186:10,12	risk 10:17,19 11:2
restored 379:10	133:9 135:18,20,25	190:6 195:7 197:10	17:9 92:18 93:20
restriction 89:19	136:18 138:23	198:3,12 201:21	93:22 151:3 394:21
restrictions 89:12	141:2 203:9 230:25	204:16 206:9 210:4	410:2
89:15,17	242:19 268:19	210:4,19 216:4	river 1:19 175:10
result 60:14 110:13	reviewed 231:6	219:21 222:6,9	road 87:1 203:3
129:14 134:5 149:4	rewritten 320:18	226:11 228:2 237:9	392:5
165:14 256:13		241:11 242:10	

Page 482

roadblock 279:23	154:5,25 155:20	running 60:14	112:9,23 114:23
roadmap 135:2	156:24 157:20	190:9 192:3 214:18	118:21 129:19
roads 25:1	158:23 160:2,11	246:22 256:8	130:7,12 131:13
roadways 229:2	161:13 162:5 163:9	310:20 363:14	130:7,12 131:13
robbed 232:7,9	164:13,25 165:7,15	383:11 407:23	139:13 145:20,25
robber 232:5	166:12,15 167:1	runs 103:21 170:23	146:20 164:16
robberies 231:24	168:5,20 169:2	363:3 384:17	181:12 182:25
232:2,25	170:6 172:25 173:4	395:10,10	183:1,9,17 199:19
robbers 236:10,15	170.0 172.23 173.4	ryan 1:22 99:22	215:8 218:18
robbery 232:7	173.13,13,20 174.1	110:8,21 112:18,20	255:12 256:14
236:7,24 252:19	174.4,0,21 173.18	203:14	260:22 263:20
306:22	178:2 202:22 203:6	ryan's 100:2,4	265:12 267:2,7
robust 166:3 256:8	203:10 204:1	102:17 114:19	
rodnev 180:20	212:14 215:3	244:19	268:8 269:13,14 381:1 394:22
role 100:12 109:1	212:14 213:3		408:19,22 409:12
		S	409:13 410:2
111:23 116:8 142:10 143:2	rosa's 206:20 208:5 284:21	sadly 55:14	sailed 24:18
		safe 6:10 15:20	saleu 24:18 sake 171:21 266:23
144:17 170:9	roughly 299:16 302:1	21:13 46:5,17,24	
197:13 210:9,16		47:6 48:16 49:9	salary 324:10
339:5 348:14 351:3	round 67:9 68:2	50:14 69:13,18	sample 234:4,21
351:5 358:13	243:2	71:4 72:18 73:11	246:5 302:11
roles 196:24 351:4	routinely 231:6	75:15 85:6 124:8	sanction 4:19
rolled 40:1,2	rub 410:20	143:11 402:20	sandbox 119:3
ronald 401:14,16	ruining 408:5	416:6	sat 173:18 200:1
roof 58:7 366:23	rule 19:10	safely 184:1	248:1,19 319:11,12
375:10,24,24 376:1	ruled 11:22	safer 58:13	326:15 348:4
376:2	rules 168:11,11	safest 45:23 48:25	satisfying 74:1
room 49:3,4,5,5,6,7	271:18	49:7,8,10,15,17,24	saturday 86:24
49:8,10,12,14,18	rumblings 319:14	50:14,18,19 51:6,8	209:1
50:17,18,22 51:2	run 19:6 51:19 60:5	safety 1:2 3:24	save 76:2 78:1
51:14 97:4 118:22	60:10,12,14 61:10	10:20 22:8 24:20	94:24 126:10
348:5,6 350:17	76:14 96:17 108:3	28:12 29:8 48:20	255:22 256:10
357:13,22	168:7 169:23	50:24 51:21 52:3	335:15 371:17,18
rooms 51:6 376:3,4	179:15 187:17,17	63:19 67:12,13,20	saved 20:11 104:11
376:5	213:15 214:6	69:11 70:16 76:17	saving 105:10
rosa 106:10 111:18	276:22 297:25	77:18 79:7,12	182:12 298:13
117:5 126:17,18,21	330:25 354:16	86:21,21 87:25	savings 113:21
127:1,2 147:14,17	364:2 373:6 383:19	90:22,23 92:14	151:20 153:3
147:21,24 148:16	395:13	96:24 98:6,11	298:18 347:18
149:18 151:14	runcie 403:1	106:21 109:19	354:23 355:3
152:18 153:2,10,18	411:16 412:18	110:4,12 111:11,21	
	Veriteyt I ed		

[saw - second] Page 483

saw 55:15 57:22,25	scenario 46:20	7:6,11,17,20 9:2,13	25:22,25 26:21,22	
59:25 67:1 96:19	55:22 59:1 61:13	10:18,25 14:2,18	27:6,13,17,23 29:2	
154:2 238:12	61:14 90:22 91:5	14:20,22 15:20	29:13,19,22,23	
249:11 339:17	321:19 322:5	17:3,7,9,12,22,24	30:1,1,14,15 32:21	
saying 10:16 15:9	337:14	17:25,25 18:10,12	37:3 38:19 39:21	
27:4 29:14 60:11	scenarios 47:10	21:11,13 22:4,5,10	40:20 44:9 45:9	
71:16 79:12 149:23	52:10 61:5 337:14	22:11 23:10,11	46:17 48:8,12,13	
150:12 151:6,11	scene 232:21	24:20 27:5,6,11,18	59:11 64:17,19	
161:15 169:11	236:23 358:22	28:5 29:3,19,20	71:13,17,24 72:2,8	
170:10 174:18	368:19,20	30:25 31:5,13 33:4	72:18 73:13,13	
194:3 195:21	schachter 1:15 2:5	34:2,25 35:1,1,17	74:1,20,20,21,21	
214:24 252:2,11	2:6,7,16 9:15 35:21	41:1 42:5,25 46:23	75:15 76:17 79:8	
253:5 256:13 259:4	35:22,25 47:1,2	47:4,6 48:18,19	80:19 83:17 178:22	
278:1 284:11 285:5	51:9,10 52:15	50:24 51:15,21	178:23 414:3	
285:6 290:19,21,23	54:25 55:21 56:16	52:3,17 55:1,21	scope 21:19 197:19	
291:5 309:1,2	56:18 57:17 63:18	56:3 57:23 58:6,16	scott 67:25 402:13	
310:4,5,7,8 320:11	68:7 75:2,4 76:24	61:23 63:19,22	402:17	
344:8 358:25	77:16 78:6 82:14	64:8,10,16 66:24	scramble 346:4	
362:25 363:2	84:11,12 85:4,20	67:12,13,20 68:24	scratch 43:3	
365:23 366:9 373:4	86:9 95:1,2 119:18	69:10,11 70:6,15	338:19	
377:16 382:19	119:20 124:11,12	73:12 74:7 75:18	screaming 408:16	
403:3 408:14	124:16,19 126:5	77:18 79:22 80:6,8	screen 82:12 130:2	
sayles 180:19	155:15,16 156:21	80:14,23 81:6,8,11	135:15 236:16	
says 5:2 46:8 54:4	157:13 158:14,24	81:21 85:22 86:2,7	screenshot 236:21	
101:10 126:6	192:4,5,19 193:20	95:12 96:21 97:14	script 287:7	
157:15 169:11	194:11 195:5 206:6	117:13 120:1	scripted 286:23	
203:1 204:8 213:23	206:7,19 245:4,6	125:18 178:21,23	287:4,25	
219:23 220:2	245:24 246:15,19	290:17 346:3 395:4	se 246:7	
222:13 258:7	249:16,17 250:5,11	399:6 401:21,21	sean 260:2	
281:23 283:7	253:6,19 314:20,21	402:16 403:11	seat 145:14 202:6	
306:23 312:24	315:25 316:6,13,17	406:19 413:18	219:25 220:1,3	
320:8 351:10	318:14,24 319:7,22	414:9,16	363:4	
352:10 373:6	320:2 321:2,6	schools 1:18 7:3,4	seats 169:14 171:6	
386:12,15,17 389:7	323:9 409:18	8:3,9,12,17 10:17	second 5:14 6:19	
sb7026 2:22 3:7,21	schachter's 406:20	10:21 11:5,20,24	9:15 29:6 46:22	
6:4	scheduled 67:15	12:1,10,12,12,13	64:18 68:2 79:18	
scale 122:13,18	70:13 90:10 91:14	12:15,17,18,19,19	100:15 185:17	
123:2 125:17	141:15 147:10	12:21,24 13:12	190:7 214:19	
255:21 256:10	school 1:1,21,23	16:1,2,2,3,5,23	226:25 232:14,24	
362:4	2:9 3:2,5,19,24	17:2 19:15 20:7,25	253:22 260:5	
	4:12 6:3,10,11,20	21:2 23:13 25:16	309:10 318:18	

[second - services] Page 484

320:7 378:9 384:10	237:9 243:13 249:7	193:19,22 197:6	204:12 206:11
411:23	249:8 253:5 273:20	200:14 404:8,12	300:11 412:3,7
secondary 39:22	278:1 283:13 294:1	405:10	seriously 3:25 43:8
73:2,8	306:15 310:1	sending 184:17	54:10 55:3,9,19
secondly 201:10	314:18,24 317:3	194:5 404:10	58:18 301:10 319:3
seconds 33:3 35:4	325:20 332:19	senior 268:13	seriousness 99:8
77:22 97:15,21,23	334:15 342:9,10	406:19	serve 182:9 183:17
232:13,14,16,18	358:21,24 361:7	sense 45:13 71:7	197:15 219:14
252:15 253:10,18	366:9 370:11 374:6	72:10 162:25 171:4	served 32:22 183:3
307:9,11,24 308:3	376:19 388:6	176:19 183:2	260:3 313:16
309:7 313:2 324:14	395:18 397:16	198:11 289:1 303:1	406:22
secretary 1:17,18	398:2 404:5,10,17	327:16 335:17	service 104:19
1:19 36:14,15	411:13 413:4,6	357:21,22 379:2	121:20 145:24
37:23 38:3 73:21	416:7	sensitive 193:14	163:20 181:15
73:22 74:11 180:19	seed 101:1	263:8	182:15 194:8 197:2
section 103:7	seeing 6:13 46:3	sent 3:1 6:7 54:19	198:7 218:6 231:13
section 103.7 sector 146:21,22	82:11 85:1 245:10	79:6 87:17 100:8	235:15,18 236:2,2
sector 140.21,22 secure 265:1	246:21 254:1	111:5 168:23	239:22 240:20,22
305:21 402:20	282:24 321:24	181:18 184:12	247:8,10 251:18
	seek 131:4	198:4 234:17	255:3 257:9 262:11
securing 209:16	seeks 184:2 261:10	301:16 344:13	
security 10:21 22:1	seen 58:25 59:9		264:17 266:8,15,16 269:16 283:15
29:8 37:4,5,7 38:15 67:1 69:2 92:15	93:23 268:22	sentence 101:9 sentiment 137:3	288:7 300:13 310:6
see 3:11 4:7 22:22	275:21 277:18	138:6 167:2 259:21	328:13 343:10,11
	278:14 285:18	sentinel 112:20	′
23:19 30:13,23			368:19 380:15,17
31:10 37:11,13	302:4 310:22	separate 130:20	381:13,16 382:22 389:4 406:16 411:2
40:16,18 46:1	sees 249:5	136:14 149:2 154:9	
62:22 66:6 70:20	segue 28:15 86:11 seismic 287:22	155:8 170:24 213:4	411:3,6,6 services 93:1 102:5
70:24 71:2,6,20 73:1,7 74:25 79:16		september 104:22 111:17 280:21	102:6 109:3,16
85:2 99:9 103:24	select 281:5,8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	selected 271:12	sergeant 35:3	140:1 143:14 145:1
105:13 121:11,20	selection 293:3	108:18 117:1	145:7 164:5 172:24
122:15 123:7,23	337:8	120:17,23 123:5,17	182:13 197:12
129:6,25 131:10	self 76:14 85:7,15	123:21 124:15,17	217:24 218:19
135:5 140:8 164:8	selling 85:17	124:21 126:13	225:8 229:21
166:18 167:15	semester 53:9	232:11 236:23	256:11 261:20
169:5,17 177:4	seminar 68:9	serial 231:24	263:17 267:2,7
179:14 205:14	senator 1:22	series 278:4 286:24	268:8 270:23 328:8
210:5 211:14	send 6:12 37:19	287:25 405:13	333:1,4 364:12
212:14 221:4,19	41:6 77:10 82:10	serious 5:22 99:2	381:4,21 384:18
225:12 226:1 234:3	167:13,21 193:19	101:3,4,5 203:12	387:14 395:8,10
		<u> </u>	

[services - sheriff] Page 485

403:9,9 411:7	346:13 381:13	108:16 118:5,6	260:9,18 261:10,22
sesir 69:6	shares 381:16,16	119:12,21,24 120:6	262:2,5,7,14,23,24
set 53:24 90:6	sharing 68:24	124:14 125:3	267:21 268:15
146:4 147:22	230:4	138:25 145:17	270:1,6 281:5
213:11 236:9	shave 297:14	146:10 147:5 148:2	291:22,24 299:12
242:20 275:11	shaw 99:14 223:12	148:3 149:15	299:13,17,20,22
284:17 285:3	225:2 228:4,6,10	152:12,19 157:22	301:11,18,22
325:22 326:1 334:3	228:14,15 236:22	158:11 166:17,18	303:13 304:3,5,7
344:19 404:25	237:5 238:7 239:5	166:22 167:5,6,18	304:15,18,21,22,23
405:4	239:17 240:5 242:8	167:19,21,25 168:1	323:10,15,19,22
sets 167:20	242:18,24 243:3,8	168:6 169:1,5,10	324:13 325:2,7,7,8
setting 261:7	243:15,18 245:16	169:11,13,18	325:12,14,22 326:6
348:12	247:2 248:5,16,21	170:14,23 171:9,16	326:8,9,11,13,13
settle 414:14	249:25 250:7 253:1	172:12 173:2,5	326:17,20,22,25
settled 242:23	253:14 255:1	174:10,12 175:9,19	327:2,4,7,21
seven 7:9,23 8:15	258:23 259:6 283:8	175:21 176:4,10	328:17,20 329:6,7
8:15 16:5,6,14	294:11,12 302:9	177:4,10,12,20,23	329:17 330:5,15,18
17:13 37:20,25	310:2	178:13,18,19 179:6	330:21,22,25 332:5
38:1 40:16 42:7	shaw's 283:6	179:10,17 180:14	332:9,19 333:1,9
44:1,2 45:6,7 78:8	286:10 319:10	182:1 186:21,23,24	342:9,17,17,22
79:7,23 81:8 82:20	she'll 250:21 251:1	188:1,20 195:8	344:6 353:22,23
121:2 122:21,25	sheer 264:8	200:24 201:24	359:7 360:1,2
125:15 190:17,17	shelf 241:11,14	204:16,18 205:2,13	361:14,19 362:12
290:20 291:17	shell 290:12	207:10,11 208:9,23	362:13,18 363:3
323:18 402:12	sheriff 1:12,16,21	209:11 210:4,13,24	364:11 365:17,21
seventeen 2:11	5:7,7,10,14,16 6:2	211:20,21 212:3,16	365:25 366:3,5,7
66:10 83:21	8:21,22 10:9,16	213:11,14,16,23	367:11 369:6
seventy 79:21 80:8	11:1,5 14:14 16:25	214:3,6 215:2,14	376:11 379:24
80:14 81:2 121:2,7	17:1,18 19:16 20:5	216:10,13 217:1,6	380:1 381:13 382:2
sexually 85:25	20:10,10 21:8,9,10	217:7,25 218:8,10	382:7,15 384:5,6
sfra 302:8	21:16,21,21 22:25	218:14,14,19 219:7	384:13,20,24 385:4
shaking 222:5	22:25 28:24 30:11	219:18,19,20 220:2	385:9,12,15,22
shame 92:13	31:21 32:1,20 33:7	220:6,12,22 221:13	386:10,21 387:2,5
shape 372:20	34:23,24 35:8 37:5	224:12,14,15,19,20	387:10,14,20 388:1
share 3:9 42:23	40:19,20 41:6	225:11 229:16,18	388:3,11,15,20,23
57:6 108:19 159:10	47:18,19 48:7	229:22 231:19,22	388:25 389:10,14
189:13 208:7	52:21 66:13 69:21	239:1,2,6,8,11,17	390:1,9,12 391:1,5
228:21 231:18	69:22 71:1 72:5	240:3 242:12,14,22	391:8,15,23 392:17
298:23	79:19,20,25 92:2,3	243:1,5,19 248:14	394:3 395:15,18
shared 108:9,24	95:16 100:24	251:15,21 255:14	397:5 398:1 400:10
231:19 341:11	105:20 106:19	257:3 259:17 260:4	404:3,20 405:23

[sheriff - site] Page 486

	I	I	
408:23,23 410:22	shooting 35:4	220:6,17,17 224:18	simplest 240:18
411:19 412:18	96:20,25 97:24	224:23 225:9,20	simply 219:8 307:6
413:12,16,16,20,23	108:14 122:14	227:22 248:12,13	simultaneously
413:24 414:5,10	144:14	255:18,19 264:9	144:6 155:7
sheriff's 40:16 41:8	shootings 51:16	267:5 272:21,25	single 35:15 49:3,5
65:22 66:19 97:6	75:19 76:2 123:3	281:18 363:8	60:25 61:1 69:3
97:11,14 99:17	125:18	368:12 373:16	70:18 74:7 114:18
100:14 101:2 102:7	shop 330:6 367:13	379:23 401:2	114:19 121:13
104:16 105:7,19,23	368:14	410:19	205:16 222:22
111:14 128:16	shore 322:15	sides 48:10 200:1	267:4 386:7
138:2 171:25	short 88:3,4,5 91:9	207:24 252:22	sir 10:8 12:23
178:12 187:13	260:16 261:3,6	357:13,14,23	13:22 21:15 26:8
194:16 202:18	268:24 294:4	369:12 379:21	34:24 39:17 42:14
214:18 216:9 225:3	295:11,15,21 305:3	390:3 410:17	42:14 47:17 70:22
228:18 245:15	305:6,12,16,20,23	siegel 150:5	73:18 81:5 85:10
253:15 259:9 260:8	305:24,25 306:24	sign 69:3 153:13	123:21 124:15,17
260:21 261:12	390:22 391:3	194:17,19 351:6	173:1 180:13
262:16 263:11,14	shortcomings	signature 417:15	186:11 187:24
263:19 265:7,23	21:25	signed 104:21	197:5 207:20
266:3 270:5,11,17	shorthand 103:17	271:14 272:12,15	209:10 239:5
270:19,23 272:5,24	shortly 41:17 332:7	292:10 304:15,21	326:20 327:3
279:5,13 309:24	shot 97:22 236:16	351:7	328:22 329:6,17
341:19 366:13,18	414:6	significant 98:9	330:18
367:8 382:3 385:1	shots 97:2,8 252:12	107:18 108:6,14	sit 29:24 118:22
385:5,24 390:20	show 59:7 63:4	113:5 121:21,22	165:12 168:12,13
395:7,9,13 408:10	138:14 174:14	122:3 124:4 144:13	169:16 173:11
sheriffs 40:22	196:9,12	149:10 164:18	182:5 200:6 214:16
65:18 69:14 75:12	showed 156:12	221:11 266:2	214:20 219:12
334:25 344:4	232:10	288:18 290:16	221:15 226:4
345:11 383:6	showing 3:5 306:19	360:5	248:14 310:11,22
shift 287:22 288:9	317:22	significantly 107:4	317:19 319:19,20
shifted 295:7	shows 65:24 135:17	signs 53:15	319:21 346:10
shifts 288:15	152:5 306:18	silos 154:6,8 280:8	350:17 357:23
ship 24:18 301:4	shut 149:13	similar 3:1 64:1	362:12 364:5
shocked 290:12	shuts 297:6	82:13 136:1,6,6,7	368:25 371:6
shoes 245:9	side 29:7 63:4 88:8	250:20 265:9	390:21 397:10
shooter 43:15 52:2	88:12 101:14	329:19	404:17 412:11
52:25 53:8 60:4	105:14,18,19	similarity 241:21	site 88:9,11,12,14
67:8,16 76:9 77:11	121:11 125:10	simone 1:18	88:16,18,19 89:22
290:18	169:11 176:11	simple 142:15	90:18 270:18,20
	198:25 210:9 220:5	152:9 384:25 408:7	271:10,19 279:15

[site - speak] Page 487

279:18 280:4 289:7	sixth 1:14 64:25	snafu 289:8,10	343:10 384:3
289:9 407:12,13	sixty 38:1 40:16	snapshot 84:5	something's 357:7
sited 407:3,9	42:7 78:8 79:7,23	sobering 409:1	somethings 320:4
sites 105:24 265:2	123:19 233:13	social 68:15 411:7	somewhat 189:20
275:14,14 292:17	234:21 249:19	software 84:21	335:18
292:18 406:25	250:19 300:8 316:8	264:23 367:15	soon 99:24 137:24
407:3,20	398:3 402:22	sold 272:11	
siting 408:2	sizable 264:11	sole 34:2	181:2 224:25,25 sorely 268:4
sits 383:22	269:11		sorry 37:23 44:18
	size 52:6 94:5	solely 166:23 solidified 172:19	80:24 81:3 83:18
sitting 18:13 20:16 20:22 58:5 97:4		solution 20:21	
	161:19 223:22		136:25 265:14
118:10 216:15	287:16 348:19,20	117:14 118:10,11	266:25 315:4,5
246:5 252:19 390:9	skeptical 356:19	139:6 146:24,24	375:22 379:25
402:16 410:4	357:7 394:22	152:14 160:22	385:3
situation 14:9	skewed 82:4	167:24 169:24,25	sort 54:17 200:7
27:23,25 34:3,12	327:13,16	172:2,18 188:10	210:5,11 312:12
65:4 70:3 71:17	skills 76:5 122:7,11	206:2 211:18 219:1	396:21
101:11 102:9	160:25 161:1 321:8	219:1 239:15 240:4	sorts 263:14
161:11 168:25	skin 243:20 357:13	307:20 314:18	sought 226:21
189:14 207:23	410:23	326:23 375:4	soul 93:13 94:20
221:6 232:23 233:9	skinner 1:16 38:7,8	376:10	sound 265:1 276:2
257:21 314:14	39:1	solutions 69:1,5	sounds 179:5
340:19 343:16	sleep 289:15	119:5 131:19	194:13
356:11,20	slide 6:13 31:11	268:17 395:5	source 278:19
situational 47:15	38:10 50:6 63:3,25	solve 131:19	282:2,4,7 288:18
situations 76:9	65:24 71:25 102:1	166:25 170:12	367:20
150:15,20 151:4	104:21 105:12	171:20 253:25	sources 171:19
252:18 290:18	123:14 133:7	254:3 358:20	south 105:25
six 6:16 30:16 31:1	135:15 136:7	374:15 375:7	112:20 238:2,2
43:17,18 45:4 60:6	slides 37:13 138:14	solved 254:5	258:5,6,12 289:2
64:13,15 72:1	320:5	solving 172:13	295:7 356:5
80:13,19 83:19	slightest 397:21	somebody 4:22 8:6	southeast 181:8
190:3 233:22	small 7:4 8:18	34:10,11 51:5	southwest 194:25
272:16 297:25	23:10 93:4 231:25	60:13 62:21,22	295:8
298:14 314:17	257:10 264:19	63:6 70:18 77:12	space 50:15 279:20
323:21,23 382:6	379:18 388:4 406:3	81:10,15 97:19,25	290:3
402:12 408:2	smaller 175:11,14	103:19 117:21	span 318:20
410:13	267:24	145:19 150:23	spans 394:1
sixteen 66:2 395:2	smallest 67:11	213:2 277:24 287:1	speak 9:20 10:1,15
415:9	smoking 85:12	295:8 306:5,22	55:10 58:16 142:4
		312:6 314:4 329:22	155:21 158:10

[speak - started] Page 488

171.0 7 176.0	anandina 4.5 02.4	289:10,11 290:11	325:24 326:3
171:2,7 176:2 181:11 188:2,2	spending 4:5 92:4 120:8 252:25 253:1	291:15 305:25	328:13 374:14,21
191:17 193:9	253:4 294:3 396:1	320:19 322:6 323:4	393:12,16 395:11
195:20,21 212:5		409:20 414:25	,
/	spends 293:16,23		395:14 397:5,20
214:19,21 215:14	spent 114:12 374:1	staff's 340:3	404:5 414:19
216:11 219:19	395:21	staffed 65:8 237:2	stand 18:3 93:7
224:14 226:7	spirit 166:1	257:11,22 259:2	94:8 119:10 179:2
284:11 304:12	split 181:9	294:4 295:11,16,22	188:18 223:10,10
328:5,11 337:20	spoke 63:18 110:9	305:3,6,13,16,20	224:20 273:3
354:21 359:11,13	132:12 168:20,23	305:23,24	281:13 282:12
365:6 390:20	238:8 288:15	staffing 62:15,15	371:5 414:5,6
speakers 277:20	spoken 42:3	108:7 158:1 257:4	standard 256:19
278:10	spot 19:4,24 140:2	257:6 258:3 271:8	standards 112:1
speaking 91:4	spring 83:14 112:7	276:1,5,6,7,8,9,20	167:20 300:25
115:3 127:13	springs 96:8 97:5	285:13 294:15,24	standing 29:13
188:18 214:20	97:10 98:22 104:17	295:18 296:3,24	62:19 345:24
227:22 313:19	179:21 180:4 227:5	297:15,16,17	standpoint 150:12
314:5 327:20 374:7	229:4,16,20,23	305:22 307:19,21	175:3 176:5 177:3
speaks 43:8 336:1	230:3 233:19 235:2	307:22 308:9,19	209:3 281:2 346:9
specialists 48:20	235:13 236:1	309:20 311:24,25	381:24
50:25 52:3 67:12	239:19 240:21	312:2,4 315:3,6	stands 268:25
67:13,20 69:11	241:8,8,11,17,25	323:16	stanley 150:5 397:6
70:16 79:7 386:1,2	242:9,17 255:5	stage 68:21 75:9	staring 127:20
specialized 279:18	304:1 343:23	242:8	stark 409:1
specific 132:15	398:21 403:5	stages 107:19	start 27:5,17 30:19
158:8 207:21 208:1	406:24 409:17,19	242:19	63:22 106:22
208:10 238:24	square 229:1	staked 351:5	120:12 164:2 165:1
268:18 271:7 279:3	sro 40:21 75:23	stakeholder 115:15	178:17 179:20
279:18 340:20	sros 21:13 39:20	220:23 250:17	191:1 195:20
352:23	75:23	stakeholder's	225:24 230:2,4
specifically 108:25	stacked 255:23	113:12	276:9 291:11 301:5
148:13 153:8,19	staff 1:17,24 29:3,4	stakeholders 107:4	301:6 331:5,7
196:7 202:2 215:8	41:16 47:9 51:24	108:24 113:2,6,10	332:1 336:13 345:6
215:22 310:12	55:2 56:13 57:25	113:17,25 114:2,9	348:11 350:21,22
specter 111:24	69:11 108:2 220:6	114:16 115:4,16	359:18 360:9
spectrum 265:24	227:7 231:5,7	134:21 136:4 172:3	379:17 382:17
267:4	233:10,11,23	172:22,22 182:21	392:24 393:12
spend 337:2 341:2	235:22 256:23	188:8,13 189:19	394:23 395:11,18
349:11,11 361:25	270:11 274:25	211:15 216:20	414:18
372:16 399:12	275:6,11,16,19	217:10 224:10	started 2:4 40:24
	279:16,17 288:16	254:2 261:1 311:12	41:2,4 106:20

[started - study] Page 489

199:7 231:1 248:23	statements 390:3	395:3 398:8	strings 361:23
262:23 271:24	states 84:22,23,25	stood 17:7 363:3	strive 235:8
296:24 297:13	334:2	stop 4:1 25:13,13	strong 91:24 92:6
332:5,5 335:1	statewide 6:3 25:16	28:19 29:8 77:11	174:17 329:22
393:10	station 87:8 201:6	77:22 100:15	strongly 76:3
starting 320:17	210:20 234:6	150:23 151:17	262:25 371:13
324:4 352:3,4	297:22,24	185:16 200:12,16	struck 238:10
401:20	statistical 150:10	246:8 302:14	structural 224:11
starts 14:22 41:1	151:9	346:24	structurally 265:1
261:5 324:8 379:16	statistics 78:9 83:2	stopped 26:22 87:6	structure 103:10
startup 106:24,24	83:7,10	stops 87:9 234:5,8	105:12 115:9
stat 151:24	stats 156:12 301:18	storage 289:16	116:14 129:17
state 1:13 3:19 4:12	statute 53:24	store 232:8,9,21	130:14 131:21
15:12 18:3,12,18	307:25 324:22	236:10 252:20	132:17 134:1,23
25:18 28:25 29:9	389:7	258:8 306:24	135:14 137:14
30:15 43:14 54:4	statutes 387:2	stores 231:25 232:6	138:16 139:24
67:24 68:3 74:17	staunch 267:10	385:6	140:3 145:3,13
82:19 108:24	stay 56:13 94:12	stories 349:22	159:21 171:5 176:1
119:23 168:8 170:1	128:25 215:24	storm 225:18	221:5,10 222:8
226:3 256:17	239:14 240:1 343:3	289:23	248:8 360:21
307:23,25 333:24	347:23 395:19	story 201:2 353:25	structures 135:21
365:22 369:19	410:16	354:2 390:4	140:19 154:10
414:19 417:3	stayed 46:24	straight 85:22	361:4
stated 15:14 112:21	staying 245:23	strained 356:23	struggle 256:23
130:6 139:11 182:3	steadfast 267:15	straits 167:23	struggled 238:14
182:5 184:8 185:8	stemming 281:11	strategies 232:3	stuck 223:25 347:5
204:11 276:21	step 155:1 160:10	stream 158:7	390:8
278:12 309:23	160:14 170:17	streamlined 96:11	student 73:12
410:22	239:18 346:24	220:24	402:11
statement 99:23	351:22 397:22	street 63:14 87:12	students 8:19 17:11
100:2,5,21 109:10	402:6	232:4,19,20 236:8	21:1 25:11 43:25
110:7 114:20	stepped 199:2	236:9,14 258:9	47:9 56:12 59:13
121:19,23 123:11	steps 242:6	360:14	59:22,24 60:4,11
123:13 124:5	stern 415:17	strengthening 74:4	66:23 67:5 69:13
136:24 154:4	stewart 1:20 56:1	strenuously 266:1	69:18 76:4 402:20
174:17 185:10	sticking 280:23	stressing 47:5	403:13 406:18
194:15 195:9,16	292:16 306:23	stressors 323:1	studies 88:23
197:25 222:13	stoneman 1:1 2:9	stretch 291:6	335:14
223:7 226:17,18	46:23 49:6 55:14	strictly 174:20	study 107:15
227:15 406:7,8,11	57:22 95:9 96:21	string 231:24	120:19,22 149:5
406:14	96:25 97:13 98:24		159:2 324:10

[study - survey] Page 490

333:13 373:18	successes 357:25	150:19,21 153:9,10	190:5 192:17
stuff 150:7 178:1	successful 67:10	155:6 156:17 175:2	195:11 202:1
210:21 285:8 383:7	69:4 132:12 261:8	181:1 192:15	203:10 213:14
383:23	267:6 268:7	215:23 283:17	216:6 236:5 238:7
stumbled 117:11	successfully 69:5,5	349:1	244:14 250:12,19
stumbling 269:11	267:13	sunshine 18:15	261:22 284:2 300:8
suarez 237:6	sudden 20:24	super 89:8 100:18	311:6 313:11
sub 110:10,14	213:19	superintendent 8:4	315:21 317:5 323:7
139:11 190:13,14	suess 108:18 117:1	8:13 12:4,16,18,25	330:14 333:6 336:6
333:16 378:17,18	120:17,23 123:5,17	13:13 14:19 18:19	337:12,16 342:24
390:14	123:21 124:15,17	44:4 411:16 412:18	343:14 351:4
subject 128:8	124:21 126:13	superintendents	353:10,24 354:3,4
132:14 137:20	suffering 415:7	2:23 3:2 10:22	356:10 363:5
160:16 184:3 196:2	sufficient 294:15	69:10	364:19 365:9
196:11 238:9,12	307:19	supervisors 321:17	366:20 375:17,18
262:22 318:12	sufficiently 113:18	321:20,21	376:18 383:23
362:24 367:15	suggest 300:18	support 10:16 31:6	386:4 393:14,20
408:16	suggested 339:1	32:2 94:8 98:20	399:13,16 400:7,20
subjects 232:23	346:17	116:6 119:11 139:1	401:1 407:8 409:10
submit 274:2	suggesting 310:9	145:11 146:9,17	411:17 413:16
303:19	387:16 416:2	160:19 172:18	surge 308:6,24
submits 317:7	suggestions 144:15	177:11 183:7	309:5 311:25
386:10	suicide 68:1	184:16 185:4,24	324:21
submitted 231:4	suit 90:15,20 93:17	186:3,17,20 219:8	surgery 187:1
273:21 310:18	suited 137:21 329:5	219:9 230:2 265:17	surprise 265:25
311:22 404:21	suits 41:9	271:25 272:7	surprised 286:9
subordinate 137:14	sum 113:10	277:13 285:19,20	321:4
267:25	summarized 237:6	285:23 286:19,22	surrounding 64:10
subpoena 20:18,18	237:14	297:11 311:13	407:17
subscribers 210:20	summary 117:9	324:12	survey 3:5,8,10
subscribes 194:4	263:22	supported 197:21	5:13 6:3,7,8,23
subsequent 337:19	summer 9:4,13	supportive 186:9	22:23 30:19,24
347:19	11:3,9 17:21 190:1	267:11	31:2 32:15,20
subsequently	399:13 407:6	supposed 106:14	37:12 38:22 45:5
339:23	sums 101:10	125:25 339:24	65:14 72:14 73:1
substantial 263:17	sun 112:20	sure 9:21 18:10	73:17 78:8,13,15
substantive 352:5	sunrise 1:4 99:22	36:12 62:21 86:5	79:1,3,5,6,8,10
success 111:13	106:10 110:8	94:11 140:11	108:15,17,20
113:8 162:3 182:23	111:18,19 126:17	146:19 159:17	120:25 121:2,6,8
189:20 221:4,12	127:3,17,19,21	170:18 185:12,19	122:23,24 172:3
224:10 270:9,15	148:20,25 149:9,13	187:2,21 188:9	225:13 286:6

[survey - take] Page 491

327:10,12 329:10	138:21 139:4	297:1 308:18	t
330:8	140:13 142:13,16	309:12 311:5 312:5	
surveyed 23:14	142:25 143:6,23,25	312:13,15 313:22	t 52:4 328:6 417:1
125:2	144:4,12,18,22	313:22,23,24,25	417:1
surveys 48:11	145:4,12,16,18	314:1,8,10 315:11	tab 244:25
108:5,10,11 216:20	146:3,9,11,15,25	316:10,10 320:16	table 9:12 15:13
274:6 329:19 330:1	147:3 148:19	320:22,24 321:1	40:14 92:17,23
susceptible 56:21	151:18,25 153:5,25	322:22,25 335:10	94:21 118:3,11
suspect 176:2	156:2 157:4,12	335:13 336:20,21	145:14 146:5
sustained 266:11	160:13 161:1,21	337:13,17 338:4,16	167:11 169:14
303:6,8	163:9,11 166:2,3	339:6 340:9,22	171:6 187:19 188:8
swearingen 1:14	166:23 167:22	341:7 342:19,20	202:6,24 211:17
55:12	168:7 172:4 175:12	343:3,13 345:24,25	219:12,25 220:2,3
swing 386:4	176:4 177:9 182:13	346:21 350:10,11	329:23 357:23,24
switch 142:15	184:2 186:14	356:1 359:1,2	358:1 359:8 363:5
177:6 216:25 261:4	187:14,17 193:17	361:17 365:14,15	374:11 390:21 tables 118:23
switching 257:13	199:20 201:8	367:5,14,21 369:22	tack 298:7
sworn 263:3	202:17 205:5	371:4,16 372:20	tack 298.7 tacks 341:25
symbiotic 174:24	210:22 211:4,7	373:11 374:15	tailored 130:17
symmetric 162:16	213:15 214:18	375:8 376:8,15,19	take 2:12 3:22,24
162:24 163:8	215:18,21 216:3,22	376:25 377:3 378:1	4:25 22:23 34:11
synagogue 95:14	223:16,17 224:6,7	378:24 381:10	41:19 49:12,20
synopsis 260:9	227:24 229:10,24	382:9,11 383:8,11	55:8,19 68:5 69:19
system 69:2 78:21	230:7,13,16,20,22	383:19 384:15,22	76:8 100:22 116:25
78:23 86:18,20,23	230:23 233:19	386:3,14 396:13	117:22 119:19
87:9 91:7 93:10,23	234:23 235:9,14,20	397:13 398:14,17	149:15 150:21
94:1,4,16,22 95:23	236:1 238:22	398:22,22,24,24	170:8 175:23
95:24 96:4,17,19	239:13,15 240:10	399:6,14 400:21	194:10 198:24
96:22 98:4,11,12	241:10,12,15 243:7	405:3 407:23	206:11 213:16
98:17 99:2,3,5,17	243:11,21,22,23	408:11,12,16 410:3	225:14 239:25
99:20 104:20	244:1 247:19 248:2	systems 105:2	241:3,4 259:11
106:22 107:14	254:19 255:7	106:15 123:22	261:3 266:20 274:5
109:7 111:1 112:22	256:15 263:7	182:9 196:6 209:3	276:16 277:5 290:2
114:21,24 115:11	267:16 270:10	220:11 235:3	294:16 298:25
116:10 122:12,17	271:5,13,15,17,23	240:12 337:1	299:1 301:9 308:7
123:25 125:24	271:24 272:6,9,10	345:24 347:12	311:1,9 317:1
126:1 127:22 128:3	272:16 273:15,16	364:23 365:10	323:12 327:6
128:8,19,25 129:5	273:16 274:3	371:4 391:10 399:3	330:20 334:10
130:18 131:15	276:18,22 277:16	411:4	338:12 346:21
134:8 135:4 136:12	283:12 285:3		347:18,22 348:25
137:10,25 138:3,7	291:11,11 295:16		352:21 355:7 361:7

[take - tell] Page 492

361:14 362:18	376:21 377:8,8	target 68:9	tech 63:13 374:19
363:7,8 367:19	392:20 412:22	targeted 68:9	technical 182:18
381:22,25 383:3	talked 2:22 33:16	task 34:5 233:1	184:4 195:24
387:17 395:15	82:14 96:14 112:12	tasked 34:1 62:20	262:17 263:7
399:21 401:7 410:5	114:11 138:25	163:3 263:15	302:15,24 355:20
410:12,24 412:20	150:4,5 153:17	taught 318:6	383:13,16
413:2,9	159:1,2 161:6	tax 255:22 256:10	technically 240:15
taken 32:13 89:4	165:9 167:6 178:20	taxation 388:16	354:12
100:13 104:8	184:23 185:20	taxes 205:8 243:9	technological
135:20 138:17	201:7 219:7 222:22	381:8 388:21,23	137:25
152:1 204:11	223:15 266:12	389:3,3	technologies
277:22 285:8	267:23 291:4	taxing 204:20,25	273:15
306:21 329:24	326:15 335:11,12	taxing 204.20,23 taxpayers 371:18	technology 105:4
361:20 402:14	345:23 346:1 347:7	taylor 66:7	112:23 114:22
408:20 410:15,17	347:21 350:20,24	teach 51:19,23	123:21,24 125:7,8
taker 97:5,7 233:16	369:9 391:16	57:24,25 61:7 76:4	125:21,24 125.7,8
258:4 287:13 312:8	398:19 413:21	76:12 77:24	142:5 146:9,16,17
313:20	talkies 63:15		
		teacher 45:1,10,14	146:21,22 158:16
takers 35:7 148:10	talking 4:16 16:2	50:20 85:19 86:1,3	182:14 190:18
238:3,17 292:20	23:11 26:11 28:21	teachers 46:9 50:4	207:25 225:15
322:1 326:16 343:4	86:25 95:5,12	57:24	262:22 264:23
takes 203:12 301:9	98:19 135:11 153:8	teaching 47:8,14	272:21,25 274:13
342:14 366:11	196:10,11 215:3	61:18 76:13	283:22 315:3,6,12
386:16	221:13 250:16	team 106:13,14	321:23 332:3
talent 118:10	254:12 302:10	126:20 127:9 129:7	333:18 335:11
talk 12:13 35:2,3	319:20 334:20,24	130:4 131:24 133:9	339:9,11,12,14
47:4 49:21 65:15	338:11 344:11	136:18 141:2 203:9	343:12 344:14
76:11 86:17 95:2	349:19 350:1,21,23	203:21,22 213:22	345:14 346:8
118:4 125:13,14	362:3 364:20	217:12,13 252:19	362:17 363:6
143:20 144:9 155:5	366:19,20,25 370:7	270:12 271:4	369:17,19,23,25
155:6 156:12	373:19,20 382:16	277:10 278:7 282:5	374:12,14,16,25
162:12 185:7	396:8 401:12	282:25 284:16	382:15 401:2 409:5
186:24 188:8 189:8	405:19,21,22,23	289:19 301:15	telephone 273:16
191:19 214:12	408:21 411:12	316:12,24 344:14	306:18 316:25
233:7 257:7 268:2	talks 150:11 157:17	345:19 377:18	television 20:23
285:17 292:9 296:8	157:17 277:11	399:1 400:12	tell 7:19 8:22 10:2
296:20 318:10	339:8,9,13 357:17	team's 129:2	12:6 15:22 18:5
328:10,10 337:23	tallahassee 67:18	teams 130:20	19:18,19,20,20,20
340:7 341:23	tamarac 401:19	135:18,20,25	20:1 25:5 28:2,10
342:21,25 343:17	403:4 406:24 407:5	209:21 217:18	28:21 29:24 55:14
370:24 371:7,7	407:21 409:19		84:17 85:4,6,10

[tell - things] Page 493

86:2 100:19 101:5	tend 233:25	200:21 207:11	414:12
108:20 112:10	tens 300:13	226:14 228:1,2,14	things 51:23 71:4
114:12,23 116:1	tension 107:3	236:4 242:14 245:6	76:6 84:25 111:16
149:7 153:21	tensions 107:16	253:20 259:5,6,13	116:12,23 124:8
159:13 170:12	351:24	260:18 269:24	135:5 136:2 146:3
172:9 174:8 184:25	term 46:5,7 50:12	270:1,2 300:1	148:17 154:6,8
193:25 199:14,25	50:14 141:22	304:22 314:21	156:9 157:7,21
200:4,5,10 202:20	162:12 240:8,18	323:9 327:4 329:6	158:12 161:8 163:6
205:23 210:18	247:9 260:17,25	331:4,6,6,13,17,18	167:5,16 170:15
222:20 223:11,13	261:6,15 266:11	360:2 369:6,8,12	176:1 178:9 199:1
226:4 227:21 246:6	268:24 275:23	380:1,2 389:20,22	200:25 201:4
251:2,13 253:11	302:15 390:22	390:11 395:24	203:16 204:8
258:21 284:3	termination 300:24	401:8,9,10,12	205:17,22 210:8,20
285:12 287:2 290:1	terms 210:11,14	403:18,19,20	216:2,4 221:16
293:14 299:13	240:18 269:9	406:15,15 411:9,10	237:15,16 249:7
311:1 317:16	279:23 339:12	414:10,24 416:7	252:22 258:11
321:10,11,15 324:9	347:24 411:5	thankfully 226:15	259:3 262:9 265:19
330:7,12 346:17	test 85:13 268:16	thanking 69:9	266:12 272:1,4,17
348:1 350:24	tested 321:22	thanks 178:15	273:19 275:5,25
357:15 359:7	testimony 99:21,21	253:21 259:7	279:10 280:3
376:22 378:12	102:17 117:10	thee 99:10	285:17 292:7,8,13
387:3,5 390:9	164:11 206:8,21	theirs 379:14	293:13 306:14
396:22 403:7,13	208:5,12,12 210:25	theme 249:8,8,9	310:20 317:23
405:24	244:19 245:7	277:19 353:20	322:10,11 325:12
telling 175:10,15	253:20 306:16	thing 5:2 37:1	325:19 327:24
284:12 330:9 389:2	325:2 409:25	39:19 48:9 55:23	328:4 333:15
396:24	testing 69:3 85:14	56:22 63:24 68:12	334:22 337:16
tells 169:22 201:1	thank 2:13,15 6:5	86:1 87:10 94:10	339:18,19,20,22
214:24 286:25	23:7 38:3 39:1	116:16 117:12	340:2 341:4 344:22
297:20	41:18,21 52:15	125:19 157:9 162:2	346:5,8,23 347:25
tempting 117:25	56:16 68:7 69:14	162:4 165:17	348:3,4 349:15
ten 7:2,8,9,22 8:11	75:4,10 78:3 86:9	169:22 194:13	352:24 353:15
16:2 25:20,23,23	86:10 94:25 117:7	198:12 215:22	354:11,20 356:22
26:2 32:25 35:13	120:5,9 126:21	219:6 220:16	357:10 365:9
38:24 59:16 64:12	127:1 148:3 152:16	223:15,16 237:11	370:20 371:3 375:2
121:7 122:24	152:18,19 155:16	244:7 291:19,20	377:23 382:13
151:10 238:15	158:24 173:4	300:1 322:7 327:14	392:2 393:7 397:11
249:23 307:24	174:12 178:13,13	328:5 329:8 348:13	398:3 399:22
308:2 318:21	178:16 180:13,14	351:10 358:2 360:8	400:18 411:20
324:14 367:21	186:23 187:24	366:21 379:6	412:19 413:2
	188:4 192:5 195:18	396:12 410:23	

[think - time] Page 494

think 4:21 9:3,18	208:23 209:12	232:16	238:1,19 247:17,23
9:23 10:1,3,20 18:7	211:15 212:21,21	thirty 8:18 30:25	256:21 275:13
21:20,22 29:6 30:4	212:24 215:2	31:1,2,9,23,24 32:6	279:14 281:8
30:7 39:18 40:4	219:15 226:11	45:4 62:7,8 64:5	286:13 288:14,15
41:3 47:3,21,22	246:17,17,19 254:9	65:9 80:8,12 81:6	288:15 289:14
48:6,19 50:7 54:16	254:16,17 255:2,2	81:21 82:5,19	292:17,17,18
57:17 59:17 61:6	262:10 263:2	102:1 200:16 220:9	295:25 297:3,3,11
63:11 64:23 65:2	265:19 268:3	230:18 233:15	298:1,6,11 300:3
70:3,15 72:9 74:11	269:17,20 282:18	287:24 307:9 309:7	301:3 306:20,23
74:11,16 75:2,5	283:4 285:2 290:19	327:15,17 329:10	307:17 309:6
84:6 85:13 92:11	291:16,17 304:4	329:15 332:15	322:18 324:6
93:19 94:7 97:17	313:15 321:9	338:3 373:9 398:25	334:25 345:11
100:16 101:8	322:22 328:14	thought 21:4 26:10	348:18 355:1,22
100:16 101:8	329:4 335:20		356:14 388:7 389:2
		48:1 130:23,24 165:22 200:2 302:9	
117:16,18,20,20	344:25 345:17		401:14,22 402:22 408:24
118:8 125:18 126:9	349:14 352:7	331:23 389:12	
126:9 130:9 133:11	354:12 356:23	415:24 416:3	throttling 111:2
134:25 136:1,11,16	364:18 366:19	thousand 23:25	142:9 291:12
136:21 137:17	367:5 368:8 372:7	30:16 72:1 84:1	302:15 322:10
139:9 140:20,21	374:5,13,13,18,18	217:8 228:25 382:6	399:20
142:8 143:18,24	375:7,7,25 382:25	387:22 393:22	throw 116:22
144:16 146:2,2,23	394:19 395:5,7,10	thousands 300:13	415:24
152:14 156:14,24	395:16 396:10,11	threat 33:18,19,20	throwing 117:15
158:3,12 159:25	396:20,22 398:10	33:21,23 51:12	ticket 243:10
160:11,12,23 161:6	398:12,20 401:5	52:7 62:2 63:7,7	274:21 376:23
161:18 162:15,16	403:2 404:23 405:9	67:21	tickets 274:3,11,12
162:16 163:1,11,18	405:11 406:2,2	threatened 372:8	274:13 310:17
163:22 164:14	407:4 410:5,8	threatening 93:16	ticking 347:15
165:9,17 166:2,2	411:15 412:2,6,9	373:21	tie 302:5
166:20 167:14,22	412:16 413:7,10	threatens 98:10,11	tied 11:21 95:18
169:13 170:7,12,20	thinking 93:8	threats 85:16	ties 200:11 279:25
171:1 172:18	319:3 321:13	three 7:3,3 30:16	282:9,10 286:9
174:14,24 175:9,14	thinks 75:8 222:25	31:17 32:16 38:19	tightly 254:24
175:15,18,19	third 64:19 209:4	42:25 44:2 46:4,11	time 4:5 7:5,7 9:3
176:12 177:3,7,9	211:3 232:15 282:1	62:7 64:5 72:1 77:5	10:18,25 14:9 17:5
177:13,20 178:2,10	282:3,7,23 299:2	77:6 81:8 96:6	17:5 18:12 23:1,6
188:2,24 189:1	309:10 311:4	105:24 106:4	26:19 37:16 41:1
193:3,3,20 198:2	363:23	109:21 121:1,7	41:20 42:10 47:13
198:12 201:1	thirds 62:10 122:9	122:8 129:20,22	52:18 55:24 56:4
202:22 204:3,7	thirteen 6:19,24	175:11 224:20	56:22,22 58:19
205:9 206:1,2	24:25 45:25 83:6	230:22 236:11,11	59:19 60:17 62:22

[time - towers] Page 495

68:3 70:16,16	timeline 330:1	229:13 234:25	215:14 261:10
75:22 77:21 81:1	timelines 404:25	259:20 261:4 265:7	262:2 267:21
84:7,19 86:8 92:4	times 20:6 24:1	266:22 306:15	268:15 344:6
93:24 97:17 107:13	33:3 46:22 47:25	311:19 314:22	361:19 397:5 398:1
114:13 117:7,24	54:7 59:4 61:25	323:20 327:1 332:2	404:3 411:19
120:8 137:3 141:5	65:8 144:7 159:3	334:6 336:20 341:6	412:18
142:14,17 143:23	173:11,18 183:6	345:3 349:16,19	tony's 260:9 262:14
149:19 150:21	203:1 207:21 217:9	350:2 356:22	tool 34:21,21 46:19
167:10,17 171:22	233:8 236:11,12	360:11 363:4 369:9	68:15
172:6 177:13 179:3	248:1,6,13 257:16	369:15 374:24	toolbelt 46:19
182:3,5 184:14	259:22 274:15	376:15 378:22	top 30:13 56:14
193:14,21 194:7,21	275:1 282:4 283:14	379:5,20 380:2	88:16 125:24
195:19 198:20	296:22 308:20	387:4 396:1 409:24	162:19 249:10,15
200:3 203:16	309:6 317:19	410:13	301:25 324:4,7
217:16 226:21	319:18 336:17	today's 61:9 256:15	350:11 405:19
233:21,25 234:20	371:1 411:22	toggling 142:15	408:17 410:16
236:14 248:25	tip 81:20 82:7,12	148:7	topic 5:17 51:18
261:3,21 262:20	85:5,11,15,21	told 23:14 37:21	78:4 132:15 195:20
264:5 276:12 277:2	tips 83:13,16,17,25	53:18 93:11 95:6	265:4
293:17,24 294:3,14	84:1 85:8,13,15,24	142:22 159:23	topics 237:14
294:15,19,23	tipster 81:19 82:6	166:10 175:22	276:17 296:19
298:14,20 299:8,11	82:18,22 84:16	187:2,18 191:21,25	toro 417:6,17
300:5 301:9 306:1	today 5:12 17:20	198:14 200:12	total 15:19 26:1
306:12 308:21,22	19:17 22:3 28:16	219:19 223:18	31:9 32:5 121:1
312:3,8 313:18,25	29:18 40:24 70:8	230:24 233:8 250:3	157:2 233:13
314:1 320:7 324:19	72:15 83:24 91:18	251:2 262:25 275:1	236:12 239:12
326:14 332:1,7,11	93:13 94:8 98:11	283:11 284:16	316:5
333:5,14 334:21,24	99:7 101:24 105:13	287:24 293:14,15	totaling 65:20
335:19 336:18	105:21 106:11	293:16 295:22	totality 364:23
337:5 339:4 340:10	108:21 112:11,21	304:4 320:19 353:1	totally 29:10 150:9
341:11 344:12,16	113:5 114:20	357:16 359:8 371:2	touch 130:8 142:9
345:8 348:15	115:16,25 116:6	371:14 404:4 405:5	401:11
349:12 354:17	117:13 119:6	tomorrow 90:6,10	tower 86:16 89:5
355:11 358:4 364:3	120:12,12 125:13	91:15 261:5	89:21 90:21 92:19
364:4 366:22 368:1	128:4,20 146:4	tone 113:4	94:10 111:3 265:5
373:13 375:14	160:1 180:15,22	tonight 89:23 90:7	345:16 360:6
378:17 383:5 391:3	181:5,7,11 182:5	90:17,25 91:3,12	406:25 407:3,9,15
395:6,21 402:18	187:23 188:18	91:14,21 92:8,13	407:18,19,21,22
405:12 407:6	191:4 196:12	92:17 93:15 360:8	408:5,25
411:15 412:1,5	197:18 213:13	tony 105:20 106:19	towers 88:6 95:5,23
413:3	216:21 217:15	108:17 186:24	328:24 349:10

[towers - turning] Page 496

365:9 375:3 384:17	157:16,17,23	travel 30:12 416:6	truth 357:11
401:17 403:4 409:3	183:23 235:22	traveled 71:18,20	try 23:11 74:3
409:9	238:23 245:14	travelled 45:11	87:20 128:25
town 180:19	246:10 247:7	travels 55:6	141:12 144:2
257:10 264:19	256:19,20,22 272:1	treasurer 180:20	161:11 165:5,12
towner 91:2	285:13 287:19	treatment 88:10	166:7 250:9 252:6
track 120:11	288:12,13 291:7,14	tremendous 40:8	268:6 306:4 319:5
tracy 112:6 212:24	300:19,20,21	321:17	332:11 334:15,19
251:4,7 293:16	310:15,16 317:24	tremendously	336:8 346:11,21
338:17 352:17	318:1,5 321:7,13	264:11	351:22 398:1
401:17 402:1,6	321:18,19 322:6,17	trenches 222:15	412:21
trade 254:17,20	328:1 360:17	trend 327:10	trying 34:10 36:23
traditional 22:5	399:20 400:2,13	tri 196:13	38:9 66:20 73:4
30:14	transcript 417:8	tried 156:15 196:20	150:22,23,23,24
traffic 87:4,5	transcription 417:9	198:20 236:18	151:18 162:23
150:22 151:3	transfer 96:13,20	290:9,10	192:21 198:24
255:25	97:10 98:3 185:24	trip 60:13	199:10 205:23
tragedy 374:17	186:4,6 253:12	tropical 225:18	210:1 216:13,14
415:8	263:25 266:5	trouble 99:2	226:2 245:22
train 37:7 41:2,6	398:19	troubling 108:13	253:25 280:15
46:9 48:15,22 50:8	transferred 100:13	122:20 398:10	302:8 303:1 329:11
66:3 143:21 179:13	145:16 263:13	410:1	329:15 341:9
179:13,14,15	345:5	truck 193:14	352:17,18 355:20
246:25 315:20	transferring	true 113:21 116:17	358:19 370:17,18
trained 14:11	104:10	142:20 145:14	370:24 373:13
32:14 35:16 40:22	transfers 235:1,4	269:17 283:2	374:6 377:19
40:25 65:18 66:19	263:8 343:8 344:2	293:20 312:5 334:9	383:23 389:15
73:15 157:24 158:2	transition 128:7	339:2 351:24	396:6 398:13
158:6 237:18	261:9 348:9	353:16 370:17	turn 5:4 38:4,6
315:17 322:4	transitioned	399:24 417:8	143:6 187:9 193:18
training 32:13,17	266:15	truly 83:11 183:3	220:13 239:7
36:16,17,22 37:11	transmission	191:6,9	255:24 269:22
38:11,20 39:5	151:23	trust 88:4 91:8	280:10 285:24
46:14,14,15 47:7	transmissions	109:7 159:11	301:7 330:10
52:2,14 55:23	151:17,22	182:20 183:7	365:16 367:7,7
57:13 67:7,8,10,14	transparency	216:23 223:4	378:24 386:6
67:15,19,21,23	131:8	227:18,19,23	389:18 392:21
68:2,13 70:13	traumatized 58:4,8	360:16 379:4,5,9	turned 166:21
76:15 108:7 121:25	58:10,12	379:10,16,21 395:7	338:15
122:2,3,4,6,9,10	traumatizing 57:19	405:7	turning 185:11
124:20,24 144:1			301:6 335:6 365:1

366:7	89:17 96:7 98:14	types 234:2	241:4 242:11 244:8
turnout 68:11	102:24 121:11	typically 324:19	244:13 245:8,25,25
turnover 323:23	122:9 127:16	u	246:3,22 250:15
324:2	128:17 130:19	udine 88:1 406:7	254:14,16 255:11
turpel 180:20	135:17,25 136:14	406:13,15 410:15	266:21 284:21
twelve 45:7 59:17	138:14 140:8,9,16	ulterior 198:22	289:20 290:7 307:7
83:5 335:15 346:21	140:18 141:14	ultimately 125:22	317:13 330:18
364:4 367:21	144:5 146:7,11	126:2 164:3 170:22	343:15 374:24
twenty 31:3,18	147:18 149:2,10	220:11 273:10	375:18 382:12
32:14,16,25 38:10	154:9,22 155:8	275:10 334:4,13	383:10 384:2
38:12,18,22,23	157:20 158:18	335:2 337:16	387:12 389:1
45:6,6 52:19,21	173:10,13,14,21,25	343:25 344:17	398:16 403:16
53:3 60:6,8 62:9,10	175:13 181:10	346:2 351:3 361:13	understandable
65:10,17,25 66:2	197:18 201:4,19	361:22 362:19	31:21
81:21 82:19,20	203:3 210:8 226:17	363:19,24 364:15	understanding 8:9
83:17,18,18,19	228:7 232:10,17,24	368:12 371:1 377:5	9:19,21 10:4 74:14
97:15,21,21 102:2	233:20 239:18	404:13	99:8 141:14 143:2
102:24 127:15,16	247:24 252:22	unable 202:25	145:8,20 163:20
184:21 185:3 186:2	253:4,9,18 265:19	unarmed 77:10	164:11,20 185:20
221:15 228:17	269:8 295:24 296:1	unclear 136:16	355:9 363:7 374:24
247:14 252:15	297:6,15 298:1,12	undeniable 323:5	380:12 387:13
287:24 300:2	298:13 299:1 300:5	undercurrent	understands 29:25
303:23 318:15	302:4 307:8,8,10	159:4	214:21 236:6
324:18,19 338:2	309:7,11,13 313:12	underneath 49:16	247:14 308:1
373:12,16 374:4	326:18,20 331:19	underscore 23:1	364:20
387:21 408:25	335:25 336:25	undersheriff 1:19	understood 123:10
twice 325:1	337:24 340:11	understand 23:4	158:21 320:9
two 7:17 8:16 11:12	342:9 344:9 345:24	23:13 36:18 38:9	undone 156:3,16
13:3,4,5,11 14:17	348:1 354:25 355:2	47:6 51:11 53:13	unequivocally
16:9,9 21:11 25:4	355:22 356:15	53:25 57:14 74:12	15:15 118:21
27:11,13 29:9	362:4 371:4 377:19	75:14,14 79:21	217:22 261:23
38:13 42:3 43:19	387:21 394:15	85:22 86:5 87:20	328:7 350:15
45:9 46:9 51:15	397:3 402:10,11	93:20 95:9 124:13	376:18 413:25
62:9,10,10 68:17	406:25 409:9	126:6 127:13 149:6	unexplainable 54:9
70:7 71:12 75:18	413:18	156:6,7 158:14	unfair 300:19
75:23 76:1 77:5,6	twofold 95:19	163:7 168:18 170:7	unfolding 49:19
77:17 79:21 80:8	type 78:21 143:3,4	171:18 186:1	unfortunately 4:25
80:12,14 81:1,2,6	189:3 196:1 327:22	188:24 189:6	47:7 76:5 98:9
81:11,21,21 82:5	337:1,14 340:11	193:22 204:18	107:2 139:23 141:7
82:19 83:17,19	347:6	205:24 207:24	141:15 282:21
84:13,13 88:6		211:21 215:6 241:1	
		211.21 213.0 2 11.1	

Veritext Legal Solutions

unfunded 24:2,2	unresolved 112:14	useless 62:25	vendor 68:22,25
unhappy 18:25	132:19	user 144:11 242:15	84:24 263:14
341:10 342:20	unsettled 111:25	267:4 283:3 285:25	264:22 278:21
358:2 375:9,9	unsigned 280:21	368:3	279:2,9,9
unheard 100:24	untruthful 327:19	users 106:16,22	vendors 279:1,3
unified 210:15	unusual 295:14	107:6 109:2 144:11	386:3
uniforms 408:15	unwilling 254:2	183:24 185:13,15	verbally 282:5
unilateral 111:25	unwillingness	227:19 230:6	version 88:3,5 91:9
unincorporated	394:20	264:18 283:3 286:1	127:8 130:3 132:6
204:23 387:19	update 5:5,13	292:2 368:4 375:8	261:3
388:2,3,7	68:14 83:22 84:8	376:5 389:15	versions 135:21
unintelligible 43:2	84:10 101:22	uses 408:11	versus 113:7
union 32:18 197:3	108:15 117:2	usual 106:25	148:11,12 192:21
324:10	120:18 124:8	usually 203:3	269:9
unique 340:12	126:14 367:14	392:10	vest 403:15
unit 102:10,14	412:19 413:3	usurp 29:9	vested 218:23
114:3 189:9,13,15	updated 3:8,10	utilization 78:7,20	338:16
189:19,24 190:10	6:14 108:17,20	79:3 84:6 273:18	vesting 263:2
190:23,24 191:3,6	120:22 157:15	282:3 286:12	vet 106:14 208:18
191:10,23 192:2,9	327:9	294:18 307:17	208:18 273:9,22
192:20,23 193:8,15	updates 52:13	utilize 123:6 126:7	vetted 170:21
193:17,19 194:5,18	362:17	235:5	vetting 188:25
194:24 196:7	uploaded 83:1	utilizing 321:23	viable 40:17 48:21
209:15,25 232:4,19	upper 113:2 324:8	V	221:4
235:5 236:8 252:15	upset 316:20 345:8	vacancy 298:15	vice 180:18
272:1,2 281:25	370:19,21	valencia 68:6	victim 1:15,22
371:21,23 372:14	upsetting 95:8	validity 390:4	victims 2:12
372:17 373:4,5	urgency 71:7 72:10	value 216:23	view 75:11 115:7
375:1	usage 208:3	variance 308:1	135:1 160:6 161:7
units 143:12 197:6	use 3:17 4:21 14:2	variations 57:5	293:23,25 303:2
209:17	14:24,25 34:18	varied 56:4 61:4,5	319:22 353:16
universal 114:9	35:23 36:19 46:5,7	variety 85:16	369:11 394:21
unlimited 410:19	46:13 57:10 80:20	various 72:18	viewers 259:19
unnecessary	81:22 83:3 89:13	278:13	views 101:13
144:12	93:23 123:22	varying 58:18,19	411:14
unplanned 322:13	142:12,24 153:14	59:8 141:24	villain 266:19
unprepared 108:13	195:2 214:22,24	vast 206:16	violate 23:4
unquestionably	235:21 240:18	vehicle 178:6	violence 68:9,9
98:4	290:3 341:24 376:8	193:17 332:19	virginia 67:21
unreported 111:4	409:5	vehicles 372:13	virtually 100:24
			161:19

[vision - way] Page 499

vision 111:9 261:25		186:14 188:6,23	wanted 32:20
visit 6:23 24:25	W	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	36:15 39:12 56:3
	wait 253:22 368:7	192:17 195:9,11,18	
visited 12:3 71:10	404:17 408:1	195:20 199:9	67:4,6 150:3
216:2	waiting 58:6 63:12	200:13,24 201:4,10	187:21 188:20
visitors 109:4	203:18 298:9	217:20 219:4,6,24	225:8 226:16
145:2 181:17	400:14,22	220:1,1 228:21	229:22,22 246:20
188:10	walk 221:20 398:6	230:5 231:18	336:6 338:20,22,23
visits 7:2	401:4	234:24 236:4,5	342:24 348:17
vital 182:14 183:23	walkie 63:15	237:10,16 240:6,6	352:15 358:16
voice 9:7 97:18	walking 369:13	240:23 241:1 242:4	361:10,11 369:2
144:23 167:11	walks 18:7	243:21,22,23 244:1	398:15 403:7,13
169:14 188:13	walks 18.7 wall 49:13 58:6	245:9 247:12,19	413:10
219:11,12 252:13	walmart 63:14	253:8 265:23	wanting 11:15
276:2 293:2 312:24	wannart 03.14 wand 216:15 239:6	266:20,23 284:5	21:24 216:24
voicing 134:20	363:9	285:23 292:8,14,24	282:11 342:18,19
190:14	want 6:1 8:1 10:6	293:2 295:23	351:18
void 249:14	12:13 14:15 18:8,9	311:12 319:23	wants 2:5 40:14
volume 149:14	·	320:23 321:11	85:6 181:1 194:12
297:21 308:25	18:9,25 19:5,6,16	323:6 324:6 325:24	238:22 249:4 281:7
volunteered 348:24	24:10,16 28:14	330:16 331:3 332:1	292:23 340:5,10
vote 5:19 87:16	30:21 36:18 37:18	336:4,22 340:11	343:24 406:10,13
89:8,14,18 92:6,10	41:13 44:20 47:11	341:2 343:9,11	411:3
93:14 94:10 100:11	47:14,19 49:1	345:9,10 347:16,17	wastewater 88:10
100:22 133:5 185:1	51:11,11 56:15	347:18,24 349:11	watched 232:9
218:4 363:5 396:18	58:15 60:12 66:11	349:13 351:13	watching 50:25
voted 89:16 115:17	68:20 77:9,9,11	352:11,13,18,25	water 18:7 407:14
242:20 401:18,19	79:15 86:1,5 92:11	353:4,24 356:10	407:14 408:4
409:9	92:16,18,19 93:5,8	358:4 360:9 361:25	waterways 229:2
votes 102:11 360:8	93:18,18 95:8	364:8,19 366:20	water ways 229.2 wave 239:6 363:9
408:20 409:11	101:21,21 103:12		
	117:25 118:6,12,13	373:22,23,23	way 5:1 28:15
voting 187:12,20	119:7 127:12	375:10,11,12,17 376:19 377:4 378:6	48:24 54:7,8,13,14
213:18 215:19	128:20 131:20		54:22 56:24 59:7
217:9 219:25 220:1	141:20 146:13	382:11 388:15	61:17 63:17 71:10
220:3 239:10	147:8 151:15 155:1	392:4 393:16,19	77:25 84:13 85:23
261:18,23 362:15	158:10 159:17	396:12 398:5,5	86:12 93:19 100:20
vulnerabilities 8:1	161:15 162:1,7	399:16,17 400:23	100:25 116:24
49:21 74:4,15	163:17 166:2	401:4,17 403:1,25	125:25 150:18
vulnerability 25:11	167:10 169:19	404:7 409:5 410:24	156:19 164:10
	171:2,9,12 172:12	411:1,13,17 412:22	176:14 183:3
	176:18,21 182:4	413:11 414:6,24	193:16 194:7
	185:11,17,18		199:17 200:19
	Varitant Lag		

[way - work] Page 500

205:14 211:5 218:5	374:13,25 393:9	321:21 322:19	winterhaven 68:3
223:2,10 235:7,19	395:1 396:4 398:8	326:14 334:16	wish 73:16
241:3,24 242:2,11	399:7,19 400:5	338:6 342:22	withdraw 98:21
245:20 246:4 248:9	407:7 413:4	377:18 380:12	woke 358:15
251:10 264:14	weakness 153:18	383:6	women's 198:18
267:12 279:11	weaknesses 21:25	west 88:9,9,10,12	wondering 316:18
285:2 287:25 288:4	wealthiest 28:25	88:14,19 89:5,12	word 60:10,14
293:11 310:9	wear 29:18	89:21 91:2 401:18	words 168:22
311:14 318:11,12	wearing 29:16	whatsoever 279:7	210:8 402:21
325:21 334:22	website 81:13	wheel 301:6	work 10:10 41:9
338:25 347:20	135:17	wheels 271:15	54:13,14 61:17
352:19 353:13,21	weeds 241:2	272:13 412:16	66:20 67:3 86:23
353:22 356:22	week 2:24 3:15	wherewithal 365:2	100:25 101:17
358:3,7,18 364:3	41:5 54:21 68:8	367:4 397:15	118:7 120:3,6
364:13 365:3,19	86:24 100:7 135:16	whining 119:7	122:1,5 123:5,7
380:18 383:11	147:17,18 184:12	white 78:12 79:4	125:25 126:7 133:8
386:9 408:7	250:2 268:22 300:5	80:3 81:5 84:17	134:2 135:14 136:9
ways 30:4 344:25	weekend 184:20	85:10 86:4	136:10 149:8
we've 11:1 17:16	185:22 221:14	wholeheartedly	151:12 155:25
17:17 18:20 21:4	weeks 53:5 87:22	3:21 215:9 251:24	156:13,16 158:17
30:2,2 31:17,23	89:17 91:10 114:13	wholistic 157:2	159:24 160:5,7
35:4 39:21 46:21	115:4 147:18 165:3	wide 184:2 193:8	166:2 172:9 174:23
48:14 52:2 93:24	294:21 307:18	332:16 348:21	176:14,15 182:22
95:14 120:11	326:18,19,20	widely 130:4	207:25 209:9 214:5
133:17 137:7	weigh 53:22 377:4	wiling 391:1	218:24 219:24
141:17 144:7,10	378:5	395:13	220:1,7,8,10
161:6 163:2,3	weighing 345:16	willing 57:5 176:7	222:16,16,25 223:5
169:20 172:14	welcome 126:21	235:13 240:23	241:13 242:2,3
174:6 181:9 189:7	180:1,9 228:10	251:17 344:7	256:1,20 258:20
189:21,21,22	269:25 317:12	346:10 350:25	261:7 267:19
196:10 207:22	328:17,20 331:12	359:9 376:17	268:25 280:15
208:4,7 216:19	welcomed 327:22	379:12,13 380:15	288:6 290:3 297:21
217:20,20 219:23	went 2:22 8:24	395:14 397:12	297:23,24 319:8
220:19 260:17	38:22 42:21 52:3	willingness 164:24	322:25 323:5
262:7 263:21	64:14 72:20 79:5,9	164:25 351:18	334:16 342:10
266:12 267:23	83:8 95:3 98:24	windfall 213:19	349:3 350:5,17
275:21 283:1 290:9	107:13 174:13	window 44:25	355:21 358:7,8
311:5 314:8 322:15	226:20 236:10	windows 49:13,16	363:18 370:6,8,9
344:11 357:17,18	248:24 288:13,17	375:12	378:18 379:7 384:5
361:8,15,15 363:20	288:19 289:6	wing 375:11	393:5,23 409:14
367:22 371:22	298:21 307:17		410:18 413:4

[work - zukowsky] Page 501

414:25 415:16	worsened 123:8	36:15 40:12 44:21	393:21,21,24,25
workable 314:18	124:9	44:22 54:21 55:25	394:1,2 401:21,21
worked 20:5 22:17	worth 29:9 94:21	58:2,15 59:24	406:20 407:5,6
39:19 55:11,12	107:25 256:14	72:25 73:19 84:11	413:3 415:6
168:16 182:21	266:25 267:20	117:18 124:11	years 29:9 83:15
189:23 268:21	291:24 294:21	126:5,9 151:14	122:8 124:4 127:15
349:2 399:7,9	326:9 364:22	153:18 163:16	132:10 135:22
409:16	worthy 92:12	164:13 167:1	138:19 142:18
working 41:16	140:18 141:5,5	168:20 169:11,12	165:19 168:2
45:18 68:23 87:9	wow 26:9 338:17	170:6 176:8 177:8	173:10,13,14,21,25
92:4 114:25 125:20	369:23	178:18,19 195:6	189:11 193:1
125:21,22 132:3,5	wrap 225:1 389:23	202:1 206:7,19	200:17 216:21
141:23 168:4 174:6	389:25	219:8 225:7 244:17	218:1 230:18,22
182:7 184:9 189:17	writ 291:2	245:24 253:1	248:21 256:17
189:21 191:1,8	write 42:22 296:12	304:25 309:9,9	272:16 275:21
201:3,13 202:4	367:18	319:9 360:1 374:9	276:4 286:15
213:10 217:3 226:2	writing 109:11	377:21 382:22	287:24 295:24
234:5 246:8,23	187:10 282:5	384:4	296:14 314:17
260:14 278:23,23	320:20 338:7	year 3:20 4:23 7:6	316:4,6 355:1
302:14 329:1	written 41:24,25	7:11,20 11:1 14:20	360:24 367:21,21
344:11,18 365:10	99:21 100:5 188:16	17:16,17 20:17	368:8 373:12 374:4
368:19 380:21	244:19 287:7	21:5 23:21 27:5,6	383:24 384:2
382:24 389:16	288:21 298:16	27:18 30:3 33:3	392:10 398:25
399:2 409:7	317:3 336:8 353:23	35:18 40:10 42:25	yeoman's 413:4
workings 169:3	wrong 12:14 24:12	53:9 54:7 57:23	yep 179:16 224:24
workload 298:10	59:15 62:6 72:21	61:6 63:22 69:12	yesterday 119:25
works 117:12	98:24 159:12	69:17 71:5 80:18	179:11 249:11
215:2 267:13	199:16 200:4	84:7 91:6 112:12	284:16
282:22	234:14,16,19 246:7	112:17 161:9,10	yup 372:1
workshop 400:12	246:11 258:21	181:6,19 190:17	Z
workstation 298:4	300:17 302:19,20	191:11 211:23	zero 25:6 83:17
298:14	302:20 303:14	213:24,25 220:9	248:5,16 303:23
workstations 298:2	wrote 397:11	227:1 228:17	318:17
299:2	X	242:24 247:21,25	zones 356:6
world 17:13 76:7	x 193:3 308:13	248:7 260:3 287:21	zukowsky 125:12
287:10 304:4,5,7		290:22 300:15	187:25 225:4 259:9
402:14	y	301:20 302:2 324:1	259:17 260:2
worn 221:21	y 193:3	332:10 347:11,19	291:22 304:23
worse 108:21	ya 253:5	349:6 356:2 360:15	325:14 326:8,17,20
112:15 161:8,12,14	yeah 5:23 13:2 16:9	363:21 386:11	327:2,21 328:20
	23:19 35:20 36:11	390:10 392:17	329:17 330:18
	Varitant I as		527.11 550.10

Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School Public Safety Commission Written Testimony Michael J. Ryan, Mayor, City of Sunrise, Florida June 5, 2019

My name is Mike Ryan. I am the Mayor of the City of Sunrise. In lieu of testifying before the Commission, I have requested that the Chair accept my written comments as it relates to the historic and current situation involving Broward County's role in the regional emergency 911 ("E911") system.

By way of background, I have been a Broward League of Cities' representative designated to participate in E911 issues for nearly the past decade. The Broward League has been visionary in being able to galvanize various stakeholders focused on necessary improvements to and greater interoperability of our E911 System. The current Broward League President, Mayor Dan Stermer of Weston, has participated in this journey from the outset and has been a powerful force to drive improvements.

In 2010, I began writing publicly about the need to implement the will of the voters, as expressed through a County charter mandate, to construct a system for closest unit response for medical emergencies by implementing consolidated systems of emergency communications as well as the operational necessity to improve E911 communications to avoid the significant and persistent delays in emergency response due to call transfers amongst the numerous communications centers in the County. Thereafter, from 2011 to 2012, I served as Vice Chair of the Broward County Consolidated Communications Committee, focused on evaluating the potential for regionalization of E911 Communications. I was Co-chair of the Broward County Consolidated Communications Implementation Advisory Board in 2012 through 2013. I was Chair and Vice Chair of the reconstituted Broward County Consolidated Communications Committee, often referred to as BC4, from 2015-2018; BC4 was stood up again after I and others raised concerns related to transparency in reporting and the need for stakeholders to have a voice in the new regional system.

In addition, I am past Chair and past Vice-chair of the Public Safety Committee for the Broward League of Cities from 2012-2013; past Co-chair of the Broward County League of Cities' Joint School Resource Officers Task Force from 2012-2013; current co-chair of Broward County League of Cities' School and Community Public Safety Taskforce from March 2018 to the present, which issued a lengthy report and over 100 recommendations in the aftermath of February 14, 2018. I am also a member of Broward County After-Action Advisory Council formed to make additional recommendations related to the aftermath of the tragedy.

The journey of consolidating and improving interoperability of our E911 communications in Broward County was not easy nor was it a straight line. At the outset, there were those who told me consolidation or regionalization of E911 communications, while necessary, would never happen. There were those who participated in early discussions who openly resisted the idea of regionalization and a better system of interoperability. But, in the months that the initial Consolidated Communications Committee met, the reasons in support of the need for increased interoperability and the potential for improved E911 communications through regionalization



became more obvious. Consensus began to develop around the benefits of providing better, faster and more resilient E911 services through regionalization.

Closest unit response in medical emergencies, despite being the overwhelming mandate of the Broward County voters in 2002, had still not progressed. Some of the reasons were because of technology; some of the reasons were related to the need to lay the foundation for interoperability. Either way, it was far too slow.

Call transfers amongst the E911 centers (known as "Public Safety Answering Points" or PSAPs) were frustrating the fastest possible emergency response. With the advent of cell phones as the primary method to place E911 calls, it was well recognized that towers routinely directed E911 calls to E911centers outside of the caller's municipality; that meant, far too often the call taker and dispatchers could not send help immediately or at all in emergency situations. The caller, desperate for assistance, would provide all the information as to their emergency only to be told the call taker would have to transfer the caller to another E911 center which could actually send help. Then, after transfer, the interrogation of the caller would often start all over again, causing delays in emergency response.

There were no consistent standards for call handling, quality assurance, or implementing the latest technological improvements for all E911 calls. Remember, we may have lived in one city, worked in another, travelled to school in a different one, and found entertainment or shopped in yet another – each with a different E911 center, different standards, different call handling procedures, and differing commitments to latest innovations.

Anyone who has been involved in E911 call handling knows, whether here locally, anywhere in our country, or even internationally, no system is perfect all the time. Mistakes happen in addresses, deployment and information; sometimes callers cannot provide the best information as to location. Call taking and dispatching is a difficult and stressful job under the best of circumstances. Turnover rates locally and nationally are in the 20% per year range. Training budgets are routinely adjusted and best staffing is subject constant analysis.

So, claims that a particular center handled every E911 call perfectly prior to regionalization or that they had the best system each hour of every day is not realistic. To be sure, there was no transparent reporting of problems or even consistent standards for performance across the different E911 centers. Traditionally, problems or complaints would be handled internally and within the respective agency protocols and etiquettes.

Now, in the system of regionalization, there is greater transparency in E911 call handling than ever; in fact, there are identifiable and consistent benchmarks for performance in those centers in the regional system. There is a procedure in place to file a complaint regarding call taking or dispatching, whether the complaint comes from first responders or the public. The system is intended to be more transparent than ever. There is a process to determine outcomes from the complaints, including counseling, additional training and even progressive discipline when appropriate. Those who do not take advantage of filing a complaint when there is a reason to do so or simply rely on anecdotes actually frustrate the collective efforts to make the system better through transparent and honest assessment of performance. Every complaint or concern

should be logged, heard and addressed. There is a need to constantly and honestly evaluate performance with the goal of providing the best service possible throughout Broward County.

The system was also inefficient from a staffing and infrastructure perspective. With 10 different E911 centers, the costs to the taxpayers were unnecessarily high. Moreover, some of these E911 centers were close to the coast and/or not designed to withstand more than a category 2 hurricane.

Relevant to the focus of my comments today, despite important improvements, there has been frustration on a number of levels still today. To understand why, we must go back historically.

At the outset of evaluating the potential for regionalization, turf battles, prior resentments, and agency traditions made the discussion regarding the need for regionalization and improved interoperability difficult. In fact, those factors at times appeared to be enough to prevent regionalization despite overwhelming belief that there were significant potential benefits from regionalization.

When we began the regionalization process and analysis, we recognized there was a paramount need to ensure a governance structure in which the stakeholders have a meaningful voice. One of the other guiding principles was to ensure bureaucrats and elected officials were not running or interfering with the oversight and daily operations of the public safety system. Finally, there was also a need to ensure a predictable, consistent and stable source of funding that was not subject to being undermined through future parochial battles.

At the intersection of developing governance recommendations, the challenges began. Once the Broward County Board of County Commissioners wisely agreed to be that source of, predictable, consistent and stable funding, County Administration wanted to play a role in governance. Broward Sheriff's Office ("BSO") would be the operator, but as the funder the County Administration officials wanted, and began, to play an outsized role. The development of a County's Office of Regional Communications and Technology, known as ORCAT, was a response to the desire of the County Administration to play that role.

However, ORCAT was not directed by, overseen by or made up of those who had meaningful public safety experience in the field or running E911 centers. As a result, technology decisions which impacted public safety were being made exclusively by ORCAT, sometimes to the frustration of those operating the system, those with experience in E911 communications and public safety professionals.

The Police and Fire Chiefs issued multiple joint pronouncements objecting to the County's oversight. These joint pronouncements were unprecedented calls for action. The Chiefs spotlighted that those running the system from the County side did not have public safety experience and that the wishes of the public safety professionals in the field were being vetoed by those with no public safety experience. I took the public position that the County administrators should not be interfering with or making the final decisions involving a public safety system.

Recently, on May 29, 2019, the Broward County Chiefs of Police issued yet another strongly worded letter outlining their latest concerns and the need for change in the management and governance of the regional communications system. Whether the correct change is to embed ORCAT within BSO or some other model, it is clear that something must occur to alleviate the continued friction and refusal to ensure public safety professionals are guiding and overseeing our E911 system. So long as County Administration is ultimately responsible for decisions which affect public safety, and there is a lack of full recognition of the impact of those decisions daily, we will continue to fall short of a seamless system.

I previously proposed amendments to the Broward County Charter that would create a Director of Public Safety Communications who would answer directly to the County Commission. I also proposed a permanent Public Safety Communications Advisory Council, much like the latest iteration of Broward County Consolidated Communications Committee, before being sunset by the County, made up of community stakeholders to ensure transparency and greater voices. See attached Exhibit A.

Initially, the majority of a sub-committee of the Broward County Charter Review Committee tasked with evaluating these proposals actually voted in favor of these proposals. However, during a later meeting at which I was not present, they scuttled these ideas after based upon advocacy from County Administration. They were told by County Administration that we would finally have a person with public safety experience running ORCAT after years of interim directors with no public safety experience. In short, County Administration, in my opinion, did not want to dilute its power and control over the public safety communications system – which has been an endemic problem to this day. Eventually, the County would hire someone who had past experience, but that person was immediately tasked with other duties as well.

Perhaps a good example of the frustration is the radio throttling issue. In the aftermath of the throttling issues on February 14, and as part of my role as BCCCC chair, I pressed for a county-wide meeting to discuss the technical issues. It was at that meeting that for the first time experienced public safety professionals were told that simply turning on a radio or switching a channel was the equivalent of pushing the radio button to talk; turning on a radio or switching channels stressed and overloaded the system as if they were talking at the same time. I watched first hand as seasoned veterans expressed shock at this notion. It had long been the practice during events, mass or otherwise, to have those unrelated to the deployment, or even off-duty, to listen in on and follow the unfolding events.

However, what was frustrating is that the County's after action report involving the Fort Lauderdale Airport shooting actually contained a passage regarding radio training and discipline as it relates to throttling. Those in the County Administration who run the radio system never followed up with that issue or communicated to the public safety professionals in the field county wide what that meant – until at that meeting ... after February 14, 2018.

Since that county-wide technical meeting regarding radio throttling, there have been training modules issued and development of policy and procedures to address command and control issues involving radios and radio discipline. We may experience throttling in the future

again during in a mass event, particularly one that has multiple sites or runs across jurisdictions. But today we understand more. The question is – why was it that a mayor was raising the issue of needing a county-wide discussion and understanding of radio system throttling and not those in the County Administration who were responsible for the daily operation of the radio system after the Fort Lauderdale Airport shooting? Perhaps because they did not report to public safety professionals, but rather to County administrators responsible for other matters, such as parks and libraries.

It is absolutely true that we need to have highly skilled technical people involved in an E911 system. The issues in an E911 are technically complex. There are certainly some very talented and technically capable people in ORCAT who care deeply about the mission. However, ORCAT and County Administration should not be making decisions regarding, or fail to be responsive to, the needs of the public safety professionals. As I said, whatever the model is implemented to reduce that friction, it needs to be implemented now. In fact, it should have been resolved long ago.

Today, I offer my comments in an effort improve interoperability and move the needle towards a system that is run by public safety professionals whose only mission is to provide the best possible E911 service to all the residents, businesses and visitors of Broward County. I am thankful to the Police and Fire Chiefs Associations for their continued advocacy, publicly and privately, as well as that of the Broward League of Cities and so many elected officials who feel equally passionate about these issues. I am also grateful to Coral Springs Fire Chief Frank Babinec for his focus on interoperability and our collaborative work together.

Thank you for your consideration of these important issues.

ARTICLE V. - PUBLIC SAFETY

- § 5.03. Broward County Public Safety Communications Advisory Fire-Rescue Council
- A. The County Commission with cooperation from Municipalities shall maintainestablish a countywide emergency communications infrastructure for law enforcement, fire and emergency medical services. The County shall provide funding for the communications infrastructure and all municipalities service providers will have the opportunity to utilize the elements of the communications infrastructure. The communications infrastructure shall facilitate closest unit response for life threatening emergencies and support for regional specialty teams.
- B. There shall be established a <u>Broward County Public Safety Communications Advisory Broward County Fire-Rescue Council</u> that will be advisory and shall facilitate the coordination between the County and the Municipalities in carrying out the intent of Section 5.03(A), the regional public safety <u>radio system and the consolidated E911 Communications system</u>. The <u>Public Safety Communications Advisory Broward County Fire-Rescue</u> Council shall be comprised of <u>elevennine</u> (<u>119</u>) members appointed as follows:
- (1) One (1) member shall be appointed by the Broward County Commission, who may be a member of the County Commission.
- (2) One (1) member shall be appointed by the Broward County League of Cities who is its Chair or Vice Chair.
- (3) One (1) member shall be appointed by the <u>by the Broward County City-County Manager's</u>

 <u>AssociationBroward County League of Cities who is a current elected Municipal official.</u>
- (4) <u>Two One (21)</u> members shall be appointed by the <u>Fire Chiefs' Association of Broward County Broward County League of Cities who is a current city manager.</u>
- (5) <u>Two One (21)</u> members shall be appointed by the Broward County <u>Chiefs of Police</u>
 Association Council of Professional Fire-Fighters.
- (6) One (1) member shall be appointed by the Broward County Fire Chiefs' Association.
- (67) One (1) member shall be appointed by the Sheriff of Broward County Broward County Medical Association.
- (78) One (1) member shall be appointed by the EMS CouncilSheriff of Broward County.
- (9) One (1) member shall be appointed by the Director of the Broward County Trauma Management Agency.
- (8) One (1) member shall be appointed by the Broward County County Administrator.

(9) One (1) member shall be appointed by the Greater Fort Lauderdale Alliance so long as that organization is in existence, or alternatively, by the County Commission representing the business community in Broward County.

Such members shall be members or employees of the applicable appointing organization.

- C. The term of each member shall be two (2) years from the date of appointment.
- D. The Council shall issue recommendations from time to time and an annual report to the County Commission and Municipalities.

§ 5.05. Broward County Director of Public Safety Communications

- A. There shall be a Director of Public Safety Communications. The Director of Public Safety Communications shall be appointed by the County Commission, and may be removed by the Count Commission.
- B. The Director of Public Safety Communications shall be the responsible to the County
 Commission for the proper administration of the Office of Regional Communications and Technology,
 including providing technical support to the Operator and participating municipalities in the
 Consolidated E911 Communications System for Broward County; oversee performance metrics and
 overall operational performance of the Consolidated E911 Communications System and the
 supportive regional systems; review and analyze the emergency and public safety radio system
 performance; review and analyse the regional law and fire records management systems; review and
 analyze the regional computer aided dispatch system; coordinate and collaborate with municipalities
 to ensure maximum countywide involvement of all stakeholders; provide recommendations to
 maintain and provide advancements in the area of Public Safety Communications.
- C. The County Commission shall include in the general fund of its annual budget for each fiscal year such sum as is necessary for the Director of Public Safety Communications to carry out its duties.