	Page 1
1	MARJORY STONEMAN DOUGLAS HIGH SCHOOL
	PUBLIC SAFETY COMMISSION MEETING
2	
3	BB&T CENTER
4	CHAIRMAN'S CLUB
5	1 PANTHER PARKWAY
6	SUNRISE, FLORIDA 33323
7	
8	April 9, 2019
9	8:00 A.M 6:30 P.M.
10	
11	APPEARANCES:
12	COMMISSION MEMBERS/ATTENDEES:
1 2	SHERIFF BOB GUALTIERI - CHAIR
13	JASON JONES - PSC GENERAL COUNSEL
14	CHRIS NELSON - CHIEF OF POLICE, CITY OF AUBURNDALE
1 1	BRUCE BARTLETT - CHIEF ASSISTANT STATE ATTORNEY, SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
15	RICHARD SWEARINGEN - COMMISSIONER FLORIDA DEPARTMENT
13	OF LAW ENFORCEMENT
16	MAX SCHACHTER - VICTIM PARENT
	LARRY ASHLEY - SHERIFF, OKALOOSA COUNTY
17	MELISSA LARKIN SKINNER - CEO, CENTERSTONE OF FLORIDA
	MARY MAYHEW - SECRETARY AHCA
18	CHAD POPPELL - SECRETARY DCF (ABSENT)
	DAVID MICA - DCF CHIEF OF STAFF
19	SOMONE MARSTILLER - SECRETARY DJJ
	JACOB OLIVIA - EVC OF K-12 PUBLIC SCHOOLS
20	MIKE CARROLL - FORMER SECRETARY DCF
	JAMES HARPRING - UNDERSHERIFF/GC, INDIAN RIVER
21	COUNTY
	PAM STEWART - COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION
22	GRADY JUDD - SHERIFF, POLK COUNTY
	DOUGLAS DODD - SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, CITRUS COUNTY
23	(ABSENT)
0.4	LAUREN BOOK - SENATOR, DISTRICT 32 (ABSENT)
24	RYAN PETTY - VICTIM PARENT
2 E	MARSHA POWERS - SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, MARTIN COUNTY
25	KEVIN LYSTAD - PRESIDENT, FLORIDA POLICE CHIEF ASSOC CHRISTINA LINTON - COMMISSION STAFF, FDLE
	CUVIDITINA TIMION - COMMITODION DIALL, LOTE

1	(Thereupon, the meeting is called to order)
2	CHAIR: All right, why don't we go ahead
3	and get started. We'll all the meeting to
4	order, and welcome everybody back to the 2019
5	Marjorie Stoneman Douglas Public Safety
6	Commission meetings. As we begin, as we always
7	do, why don't we rise for the pledge and a
8	moment of silence for the victims.
9	(Thereupon, a moment of silence is had.)
10	(Thereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance is recited.)
11	CHAIR: Everyone received a copy of the
12	minutes from our January 2nd telephonic
13	meeting. Hopefully you've had a chance to
14	review it. Do we have a motion to approve
15	those minutes?
16	UNDERSHERIFF HARPRING: Motion.
17	CHAIR: Motion by Commissioner Harpring.
18	Do we have a second?
19	CHIEF NELSON: Second.
20	CHAIR: Second by Commissioner Nelson.
21	All in favor aye.
22	(Aye)
23	CHAIR: Any opposed say. Okay, said
24	motion passes, and those minutes are approved.

I'm going to just briefly turn it over to our

25

general counsel Jason Jones for just a reminder
on Sunshine Law obligations. Jason.

COMM. JONES: Good morning, everyone. This is just your annual reminder, I guess your meeting reminder that this is a meeting that is governed by the Sunshine Law, which means that all conversations that you have about business that will appear before the Commission needs to happen in the open portion of the meeting. side conversations, no conversations at lunch, or over text or anything, about what we're going to discuss here at the meeting. We will not be discussing anything in closed session this meeting, but just a reminder that anything that you do here, or receive, that is of a confidential or exempt nature, you need to keep that in a confidential status per the statute.

So if you have any questions regarding any of that please get with me at a break. And for our new members, if you do not fill out a financial disclosure form as part of your position please get with me, I will need to give you one to fill out for the purposes of this Commission. Thank you.

CHAIR: All right, thanks, Jason. As you

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

can see Senator Book is not with us. The
Legislature is in session so she will not be
able to join us for this meeting. I know
she'll be here again for our June meeting, but
that's the reason why Senator Book is not here.

2.2

2.4

I just want to welcome new members to the Commission. We have DCF Secretary Simone
Marstiller, AHCA Secretary Mary Mayhew, another ex-official member of the Commission is the Department of Education Secretary, and that of course is Commissioner Richard Corcoran, but Jacob Olivia, the Chancellor of K-12 is going to be representing Commissioner Corcoran. DCF Secretary Chad Poppell's confirmation hearing is this morning so he will not be with us today, but David Mica is here from DCF, and Secretary Poppell with be with us tomorrow.

And to all the new members, we appreciate you joining us. Anything that we can do to, you know, facilitate your knowledge base, and help you get up to speed, we're all here for you. The FDLE staff will provide you with whatever you need, but we certainly appreciate your participation, and the subject matter expertise that you bring to the table to help

1 us as we continue with this work.

Since we submitted the initial report to the legislature, we have made presentations to the House and the Senate education committees. We have spoken with the Senate President, and with the Speaker of the House, and both the President and the Speaker were very receptive to many of the Commission's recommendations, and very encouraging about the Commission's work. We're going to provide you with some details later today on the Bills that are pending, and during this current legislative session, as well as Governor DeSantis' executive order that he entered on February 13th.

Over the last couple of months I've met with, you know, Broward County Sheriff Gregory Tony, and Sheriff Tony has already fulfilled is commitment to transparency and cooperation in the work of this Commission. Our investigators have over the last month or so interviewed well over fifty deputies at the Broward County Sheriff's Office to clarify their active assailant training pre and post February 2018, and met with many other BSO personnel.

2.2

2.3

2.4

During the last couple of months I've met with and had an opportunity to present to the Florida Association of School Superintendents, including a presentation at their February School Safety Conference in Orlando. I can tell you that the dialogue with the superintendents is good. While there's room to do more, and to do it better across Florida, I believe that we've made great progress toward enhancing school safety through these meetings, and through the collaboration with the superintendents.

2.2

2.3

2.4

One thing I can tell you for sure is that the superintendents want the same thing that we want, and that is the safest schools possible, even if there may be some different lanes that we're in to get to the end zone. But I can tell you that they want safe schools, we want safe schools, and as long as we have open lines of communication, I'm confident we can get everything and everybody to the place that we all need to be.

And based on the feedback I can tell you that many of the superintendents that have been at the meetings, or attended the training, have

come up to me afterward and said that the

Commission's work, your work has informed their

views, and in some cases had changed their

views, and the facts matter, and that as they

learn the facts, and learn what happened here

and in other places, it's helping them to make

decisions, make better decisions, and in some

cases changing the way that they do business,

so all that is very positive.

At the request of the Broward County
School Board I met with them in a public
meeting, made a presentation to them. All of
you were notified of that in case you had an
opportunity or a desire to watch it live, and
it was a good several hour presentation and
discussion with the Broward County School
Board. Well, it took a year, but the week
before that presentation the Broward County
School Board passed an active assailant
response policy, and a safe spaces, or hard
corner policy. So that was passed back in
February, and while it did take a year at least
that it's in place.

And we had that discussion -- I had that discussion with them about how long it takes

2.2

2.4

2.5

for them to get some things done, and that, you know, a year to get that implemented in my view, and I think most of your views from our discussions here, is too long, but at least that it is finally in place. And while it took a year for the Broward County School Board to get an active assailant response policy in place you'll hear from Director Kelly's report this morning there are still some districts in the state of Florida that today do not have an active shooter response policy, so we still got work to do, and there's still room to make it better than what it is.

We've also done presentations for law enforcement agencies around the state to share the lessons learned, and I can tell you from the feedback that we are receiving as a result of those presentations it is making a difference, it is having an impact, and people are changing are changing the way they do business for the better.

There are some topics that we covered last year that are unfinished, and over the next two days we're going to receive updates on those issues. And we'll also hear about some new

800-726-7007

2.2

2.4

areas during the next couple of days that we were unable to consider last year. As we close tomorrow, we set aside time in the agenda to have a discussion about this Commission's path forward. We very much need your input and want to have a robust discussion about where we go from here, so please think about that as you hear these presentations today and tomorrow. And I'm sure some of you have already thought about that in the couple months that we haven't been meeting, but we really need your input on where we go for the rest of this year, so we'll have that discussion at the end of the day tomorrow.

Before we begin this morning with the presentations, I do want to follow up on some of the things investigatively that have occurred over the last couple months and get you all up to speed before we begin with the presentations. You will recall that there was an issued raised, and a question that remained lingering about the former School Board Chair Abby Friedman, and whether she had received any information from a parent about Nikolas Cruz, and the allegation was that she had received

2.2

2.3

2.4

information and failed to act on it. 1

> That was an unfinished issue. We hadn't -- we didn't have the opportunity last year to bring that in for closure, and it was in the report, but it was left hanging. So if you remember in December of 2016, and we didn't name the two kids so I'm not going to name them here now, their names are not important, but there were two kids who brought information to Jeff Morford, the then assistant principle at Stoneman Douglas, and the information they brought to Morford was that Cruz was a threat to school safety, and it was specific information that Cruz was, had violent tendencies, and that he was going to shoot up the school, that they had concerns based on things that they saw, and the things that they had heard, and if you recall Morford's response to those kids was just Google autism.

> So I'm just mentioning all of that just to refresh you as to the incident that I'm talking about. So after those kids talked to Morford and he did nothing about it they went home, and one of the kids' moms claimed that she called the school and talked to somebody at the school

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

and had also called then School Board Chair

Abby Friedman and told Abby Friedman about it.

2.3

2.4

We have since been able to interview Abby Friedman, and she denies that phone call ever happened, that the discussion never happened, and say that the only time that, and the first time that she ever heard anything about Nikolas Cruz was on February 14, 2018, and never talked to this boy's mom, and never knew anything about Cruz. So we have done our due diligence in taking that investigatively as far as we can. We re-interviewed the mom; she stands by her story. Abby Friedman stands by her story.

There's really nothing else that we can do with that other than to report back to you, you know, what the results are, and you got one person saying one thing and another person saying another thing, and there's really no way to resolve that. So I just wanted to close that out. We'll of course make that part of the minutes here, and when we decide whatever report we do this year we can include that in the supplemental report just to close out that issue.

I can tell you that in addition to the

interview with Abby Friedman is that our investigators over the last several weeks have interviewed several Stoneman Douglas teachers. Some of them were follow up interviews, and some were new interviews, and people we hadn't been able to get to last year. There's nothing that has come out of those interviews that changes any of this Commission's findings, any of this Commission's recommendations, and in fact some of the interviewed resulted in information and evidence that supports the findings, and buttresses those findings and, and the determinations that this Commission So it's all positive in that respect, there's nothing that changes anything in these new interviews that have been done.

Just to let you know the status of the Broward County Public School's internal investigation, it's still ongoing. There are no results to that. I know that they have five people that are under investigation, and they retained a law firm out of Miami to conduct that investigation. I can't tell you anything more about it other than you know who the five people are, and that they now include, that now

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

includes Ty Thompson, he's the fifth one, and they're conducting that investigation. So I don't have a timetable for when that's going to be completed, but that investigation is under way.

The Broward County Sheriff's Office regarding the deputies that are under internal investigation, that again is still ongoing, and I don't have any updates for you other than it is an ongoing investigation. I don't have any updates specifically other than it's ongoing too, FDLE's Office of Executive Investigations is still in the process of their work, and when they have a result, they'll let us know, but that is also still an ongoing investigation.

As you know Governor DeSantis on February 13th asked the Supreme Court to enter an order approving and empaneling a statewide grand jury. The Supreme Court did issue that order. We'll talk a little bit more about that specifically, but I can tell you that we have met with Nick Cox, the statewide prosecutor, and his team, and are in the process of sharing with them investigative information that we have acquired so that that grand jury doesn't

2.2

2.3

2.4

have to re-trace steps and duplicate efforts.

So we are working with them to provide them that information, and as far as I know right now that grand jury has not yet been empaneled, but we will continue to work with them, and provide them with information that they request so that that process is facilitated. Not everything in the scope of that grand jury investigation is within the scope of our investigation, so they have a little bit different scope. We'll talk a little bit more about that this morning.

One of the things that you'll recall that identified during our work last year was a significant deficiency in the Board County School's behavioral threat assessment process. And if you recall that specifically surrounded the September 2016 effort at a behavioral threat assessment of Cruz at Stoneman Douglas. And just to refresh your recollection of that, and for those of you that are not totally familiar with it, is that in September, on September 28, 2016 Broward County Schools at Stoneman Douglas, and specifically assistant principal Jeff Morford initiated a behavioral

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

threat assessment of Cruz, and, and I'm just going to sum it up in a very technical way, it was messed up, okay?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

There's no other way to describe it, it was just totally messed up, and he didn't know what he was doing, he was clueless. And if you recall he got Denise Reed, one of the other assistant principals, to help him with that process. They implemented a level one threat They then somehow morphed it into assessment. a level two, but Morford didn't even know where the paperwork was, he didn't know how to fill anything out, and it morphed into a level two, but on the 28th is when Board Sheriff's Office and Henderson Behavioral Health went out to Cruz's home that night and did a Baker Act evaluation and determined he didn't meet the criteria.

The next day, the 29th is when all the forms came back from the teachers, and there was a lot, and you remember this in closed session, there was a lot of information in those forms that identified a lot of troubling behavior on Cruz, but the behavioral threat assessment team was never made aware of it,

800-726-7007

those that did the evaluation were never made aware of it, et cetera. And then of course when we interviewed Morford about this he claimed I don't know anything, he claimed amnesia, he's got no recollection of anything, and said that this was the only behavioral threat assessment that he ever did in this thirty years in the Broward County or Miami-Dade Schools.

And then of course we interviewed Ty
Thompson, as you recall, the principal, and
asked him how many behavioral threat
assessments were done at Stoneman Douglas, and
he had no idea, and he was clueless about it,
and then when he was asked about the threat
assessment process, and whether his
administrators should report information to him
about threats on campus, and whether he would
expect that, his response was, no, not really,
so it raised the question about whether this
entire messed up behavioral threat assessment
process was unique to Stoneman Douglas, or
whether this was a problem across the entire
Broward County public school system.

And that was a question that we had

2.2

2.3

2.4

unanswered, and I think we now have an idea that it was not unique to the Broward County, or I'm sorry, to Stoneman Douglas, but it is a systemic problem across Broward County public schools. And I think we know that because the school system retained a consultant to review the threat assessment process that they had, and that consultant recently released a report, and those findings are consistent with this Commission's findings that the process is seriously lacking, people are unfamiliar with it, and it just simply was broken and didn't work.

Now, that consultant requested a sampling of six hundred forty-two behavioral threat assessments over a three-year period that ended in June of 2018, so in the three years preceding there were six hundred forty-two assessments. Of the files that they requested, the consultant requested, twenty-three percent of the files were not received at all, so you got a consultant that was retained by the district to do a review, said we want this number of files, and they didn't get the files in twenty-three percent of the asks, and that's

2.2

2.3

2.4

probably because the files don't exist, and they probably don't exist because nobody knew how to use the forms, nobody knew what they were doing, like what we saw at Stoneman Douglas.

And again this is not surprising given that the process is not automated, the process lacks district oversight, and as we learned at Stoneman Douglas was individual school based. Remember that the files stayed at the school, they never went to the district, and there was no district oversight. Now, of the files received by the consultant for review, so twenty-three percent just no-shows, they didn't exist, they didn't get anything, but sixty-five percent of the files that they did get had exceptions or missing information, and again that's on top of the twenty-three percent.

As an example of what the consultant found, for the five reviewed high school high risk assessments, so the consultant reviewed five high risk, and you got different levels of threat assessments, but of the five high risk that were reviewed from the high schools one hundred percent of those had exceptions, and

2.2

2.3

2.4

for one the school completed none of the required documentation.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So overall, the number of threat assessments I would say is relatively low for a district with 270,000 students. In '16/'17 there were a hundred sixty-seven assessments. In '17/'18 there were two hundred eighty-nine, with, as you probably can figure and guess, the majority of those were conducted after February 14, 2018. So with all of that as background on what has happened, the district has acted on our report, and the consultant's report and recommendations to implement significant changes to the district's threat assessment process and has revised its policy.

One of the things that the district has done is to contract for an automated system, and I believe the district is spending about \$600,000 to implement an automated behavioral threat assessment system. That's very important, because as you recall in our discussions last year there is no consistency with behavioral threat assessments across Florida, different districts do it different ways. Few, if any, and I say that if any, have

Veritext Legal Solutions

the automated system, and there's certainly no process in place for sharing that information either intra-district or inter- district, and that is something we identified as a recommendation, that there needs to be a uniform statewide behavioral threat assessment instrument, there needs to be a database, and all that information needs to be available to be shared.

2.2

2.3

2.4

AS you'll hear this afternoon, we'll recap the legislation that's pending, and the Senate Bill does move in that direction with specificity, and the SB7030 does provide for an instrument that is a uniform instrument to be place by this summer. The House Bill doesn't have that in it, and the Senate Bill does call for a working group to identify a path forward with a statewide database, so we'll talk more about that.

We're going to ask -- we didn't have time,

I'm just sharing this with you to update you on

those things that have happened since we

haven't been meeting here in the last couple of

months, but we'll ask Broward County Schools to

come in in the June meeting and present more

about the consultant's report, but more importantly about the changes they've made, the implementation of the database, their knew policy, their knew procedure, and how they're moving forward with this.

So to their credit they have taken this seriously, they have acted on it, and recognized that this wasn't just an isolated problem at Stoneman Douglas, that they truly had a system wide problem, a systemic problem, but they are acting on it. So we've got that on the list of agenda items for June, and we'll ask the district to come in and update us on where they are in making hopefully significant improvements to the behavioral threat assessment process.

SHER. ASHLEY: Mr. Chairman, will we have the ability to have a copy of that consultant's report?

CHAIR: We'll get it for you. And we just didn't had time to get it out. We will get you a copy of that consultant's report, absolutely we'll get that out to you. We'll e-mail it out to you soon. It was just recently released, so we'll get it out to you.

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 2

3

4 5

6

9

8

11 12

10

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

24

25

We asked you all for thoughts on anything that was in the initial report that needed clarification or fixing. We received only two responses, one from Commissioner Schachter, and Mr. Schachter asked that we clarify Section 10.1 Page 275 of the initial report where it says there were nearly seventy, and this is the quote from the report, nearly seventy incidents documented in the Broward County public school's incident system regarding Cruz. The number is actually six nine. That number is used elsewhere. And Mr. Schachter asked that we clarify that with a specific number, so we'll note that clarification. It will be part of the minutes for this meeting.

We also received a request from the Baker Act Reporting Center at the University of South Florida to clarify a statistic in the report regarding the annual number of Baker Acts in Florida. On Page 269 of the report it states that there were 199,944 Baker Act exams in 2017, and they asked us to clarify that these were all involuntary exams and the word involuntary was not used in the report.

Also for the clarification, the 199,944

involuntary exams are not 199,944 different people, some people are Baker Acted, and they receive multiple involuntary exams in a given year. Again these clarifications are noted and will be part of the minutes for this meeting. None of those clarifications have any variant on any of the findings or recommendations, and they are are just that, clarifications. don't think they're material to anything, and it doesn't change anything as far as the work is concerned.

So those are the updates that I have for you of what's been going on over the last couple months since we met. Does anybody have any questions or thoughts, comments, or anything you want to bring forward? Mr. Schachter?

COMM. SCHACHTER: Concerning former School Board Member Abby Friedman, was, was she under oath when you interviewed her?

> CHAIR: No.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Did -- did the mother call her cell phone, or call the work number; do you know the answer?

CHAIR: Yeah. And we're working on that,

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

but it goes back to 2016, you know, she claims 1 that the phone call was made from a cell phone, 3 so we are working on that, and just to see if we can identify whether that call was made, and 4 5 trying to match up those numbers; so that is 6 something that we are working on. You know, Friedman says that she didn't talk to the Ms. mother, and the mother says she did talk to Ms. 8 9 Friedman, you know, if we were able to verify 10 that there was a call made of course we'll 11 bring that forward. We are working on that. 12 But even if we show that there was a call of 13 course there's no way to identify in any 14 objective way what the content was, so --15 COMM. SCHACHTER: I understand, but she's 16 -- she's -- she's saying she never spoke to 17 her, right? 18 CHATR: Correct. 19 COMM. SCHACHTER: So I mean that would be 20 pretty easy to confirm, whether or not there 21 was a call actually made, and there was a 2.2 conversation, right?

23

24

25

looking at that. It does go back to 2016, and

probably subpoena those records, and we're

Yeah. And we're going to have to

depending upon who the cell phone carrier is, et cetera. So we are looking at that, Mr.

Schachter, and we are following up on that.

2.2

COMM. SCHACHTER: Thank you. Did she actually have her cell phone number? She said she called her cell. Did you verify that --

CHAIR: She didn't have it. She couldn't provide it to us. She said that she did call -- she said she called from her -- and that's another challenge, is going to be, is trying to identify the numbers that were in existence at that time, so our investigators are working on that.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Thank you.

CHAIR: And we're going to -- we're going to look into it, and I'll let you know.

Hopefully at the June meeting I'll be able to give you some more information on that.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Thank you. Did you find both of the parties credible?

CHAIR: Well, I can tell you that Abby
Friedman wouldn't meet in person, she would
only agree to a telephone interview, so it was
done via telephone. She found -- she said
there was no reason to meet, and she wouldn't

meet in person, so, you know, it was done over the phone.

COMM. SCHACHTER: That's strange. Why?

Did she elaborate why she wouldn't meet us in person?

CHAIR: Because she said there was no reason for it, it was useless because she can, she says unequivocally that she never received a phone call, doesn't know this woman, never talked to this woman, and the first time she ever heard anything about Nikolas Cruz was February 14, 2018, so there's nothing else to talk about. And that's what her response was.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And there's no -- and there's no -- and there's no, you know, e-mail records, no correspondence.

CHAIR: No.

COMM. SCHACHTER: I wanted to ask you about the, the threat assessment process that you talked about, and the audit. Has that, that process been fixed? You know we talked about how the threat assessment, the 2016 was completely botched. Has the district fixed the fact that those teacher forms didn't make it to, into the process at all?

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Yeah, I think it's well on the way to being fixed, and they have a pretty extensive new policy, and I think it's best left to let them present and give you all the details on that. And again, this new system that they're investing in which is automated, which is be an additional module to an existing system they have that will create a database and workflow, and they do have processes in place now where there is oversight, and that the threat assessments do have to be reviewed at certain levels, and there is district involvement.

So from what I have seen of it, what I know of it, they have made great strides toward improving it from what it was. And, you know, where it was was terrible, but they're making great strides. But I think that it's best left -- let them -- they're in the process of implementing it, and I think that the right time will be in June, when they've had a few months to implement it, and they can come in and provide detailed information about what the policy contains, what they're procedures contain, and the steps they've taken to ensure

2.2

2.3

2.4

that it's exponentially better than what it was.

COMM. SCHACHTER: You know, as -- as we've seen over the last year it's the problem with the Broward County School is not the fact that they don't have -- in a lot of cases they do not have policies and procedures, but the ones that they do have there are no fidelity checks, there's no accountability check, so I have a complete lack of confidence that even if they have a system that it will be done properly by all schools, so I would certainly love the Chair and the Commission's input on how the district makes sure that everything is being done properly.

I understand this new system will, they will not be able to advance in the electronic system unless like, you know, complete A before they go to B, but I think that needs to be addressed.

So let's hear from them. Let's --CHAIR: let's let them present, and then we'll go from there. All right, anybody have anything else before we move on? So I wanted to -- when we learned about what's going on in different

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

places in the state one of the things that shines, and I thought it would be a good way to start this meeting, is on a very upbeat and positive note, and that is to hear from some students from Flagler County schools that have really taken significant ownership in school safety with, we have a member of the school board who's here, a principal at the school, the school superintendent, teachers, and these students at this high school in Flagler County have invested, and taken ownership in making sure that they have a safe school, and taken the initiative and developed their own programs.

So I think that that's one of the things that is being done right. It's really exciting to see these kids and the staff, and the elected officials, and everybody in Flagler County, they've really stepped up, and are an example, and should be an example for all of Florida, and what is right, and what is good, and how something good can come out of a very tragic situation. So if you all would come on up, I know Flagler County has a presentation for us, and if you would introduce yourselves

2.2

2.3

2.4

and, anybody from the superintendent, or a school board member, or anybody else wants to come up, you're more than welcome, but we'll turn it over to you all for your presentation on the great work you're doing in Flagler County.

And I know -- I see Sheriff Rick Staly is here also. Sheriff, welcome.

FLAGLER COUNTY PRESENTATION

COMM. TAGER: Tim Tager, superintendent of Flagler schools. Janet McDonald is with me, who is our school board chair. And the thing that really works well for us is we have a great partnership with our Sheriff Rick Staly. I appreciate him being here, appreciate the partnership. And our students will shine for themselves. I couldn't be prouder of the students that are here before you today.

MS. MCDONALD: Good morning, everyone. I just want to thank you very much for highlighting this group of young men and women and highlight a little bit about what they've done. They've also tapped into some of our other flagship students in making larger presentations, hopefully across the district,

800-726-7007

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

and across the state, and how more than just a team of six incredibly young people can inspire a whole school. Thank you.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: All right. Sheriff, you want to say anything?

SHRF. STALY: Good morning, Commission.

Sheriff Rick Staly, Flagler County. You're going to hear from some great kids. We have a great partnership. And I think you'll be amazed, like I was when they presented to me, and the thought process and education that they went through on how to make their school safer. Thank you.

CHAIR: Great. Well, thank you for being here.

COMM. PATIN: Good morning.

CHAIR: Good morning.

STUDENT WILL: So we are Flagler Palm

Coast, Bulldog Patrol, is the name of our

group. We are a CMPS group from Flagler Palm

Coast High School. I'll just introduce each

one of us real quick so you know who you're

talking, or you're being spoken by, or to. So

this -- I'm Will. This is Sydni. This is

Gabby. This is Katia, Abby, and Nick.

Veritext Legal Solutions

So first of all, before we begin our presentation on our project, and something that's very near and dear to all of our hearts I think in this room, I want to express the thanks that we have for being invited to speak with you guys today, and we're truly grateful to be invited here, and we're humbled by this experience, and we hope that this is a learning process for all of us.

Our group, we came together to address the challenge of school safety as a project. Like I said it's near and dear to all of our hearts, and at the beginning of this past school year the administration from our school and our county challenged us with the question if not you then who, and we tried to really put this question at the core of our project, and develop our project around this.

From -- from that question we made our slogan, which is Paws Up For Safety, Paws Down For Violence, as at our school the mascot is a bulldog. So I will turn it over to the next person, and we will get started. Thank you.

STUDENT GABBY:: This project is dedicated to the families, teachers, and students that have lost

loved ones from school disasters. As what Will said before we are FPC Bulldog Patrol, a productive plan to increase safety on Flagler Palm Coast High School. We are also a community problem solving group.

STUDENT NICK: We are from Flagler County School District. We are one of two high schools. We also have two middle schools, five elementary schools, and charter and private schools. We are a Title I public school with over 2,600 students. Our district's mission is to engage, educate, and empower. These are three powerful words we have brought to our plan.

Through the Future Problem-Solving Program we were able to create our initiative, and the Future Problem Solving Program international mission is to develop the ability of young people globally to design and achieve positive futures through problem solving using critical and creative thinking. These two plans work seamlessly with the objective of our plan.

To give a more in depth look into why community problem solvers specifically is important in enabling us to take action in our

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

community and create change community problem solving taught us how to deal with local authorities, organize, and make a positive impact.

2.2

2.4

STUDENT KATIA: In the beginning we had heard of the Speak Up Initiative, which is a forum that can be accessed through our district website, so we wanted to do more. So at the beginning of the year we were challenged with a question; does knowledge of an issue bring with it the responsibility to take action? Along with that our school challenge question this year; if no you then who, we said it's going to be us.

STUDENT ABBY:: A major role I played in this project was researching data and statistics, and we were all appalled by what we found. This information helped us form the school specific plan we're working to establish. Our mission statement became, since school shootings have tripled since the year 2000, how may we increase safety measures on our campus so that our school community is more secure.

Our first step towards developing this project was creating and distributing a school

safety survey to gather unique data to our
campus. We received feedback from students,
parents, teachers, and community members.

questions to provide answers to the concerning areas that our school has from the viewpoints of others. With over four hundred responses we have obtained plenty of responses that were positive, and with some still concerns. Once we got the data from Question 3, Questions 4 and 5 are really important because they gave a layout of why students felt safe and why didn't they feel safe. The responses were helpful for guiding us to the directions that we wanted to put forth in our initiative.

STUDENT WILL: One of the questions from our survey that stood out to me was Question 9, and if you see on the screen it says; do you feel comfortable going to an administrator, faculty, or staff member, when you learn about a threat? And from the suggestions that we gathered from this survey we actually found that the students at our school were more comfortable than they weren't to go talk to an adult on our campus. And that's a very

2.2

2.3

2.4

comforting thing for us, because it's important for the students to interact with their teachers, their faculty, and the staff at their schools.

Katia and I actually had an experience of this while we were in our, one of our teacher's classrooms, and we were actually working on a report for this project, and two young ladies came to the door and they knocked and asked to speak to the teacher. And later that school day we were supposed to have an assembly in our gym, and they had reported a threat that another student had made, and they wanted to figure out who was best to, to tell about this threat.

So they came down to actually our teacher's classroom, and they specifically said that they did that because they had heard about our project and knew that we were keen on safety, and that that teacher would know what to do. And that was a really comforting thing for us, and we knew that we were starting to have an impact. And so students are actually willing to take a stand for their safety if the correct processes for them are there.

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

STUDENT GABBY:: The question that I thought had a big impact on our project was Question 10, which stated; do you feel more should be done by our school community to protect students on our campus? The majority of the students who answered yes validated our mission.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

The question that really stood out to me was do you think there are any places with easy accessibility for outsiders to enter without being seen. Then it asked the students to list all of the places that they knew how to get onto campus without being seen. This gave our district specific points that they could harden to make our campus safer.

STUDENT NICK: We conducted research, distributed our survey, and now have data specific to our school based on our area of concern. Our plan is tailored to Flagler Palm Coast High School. This plan was developed by students who walk the halls, who know our peers, and needed to have an input in our school security plan. We have a voice, and we are advocates for ourselves and our peers.

STUDENT WILL: When we began developing this project, we knew that one issue that we

needed to address was the longevity of the things that we implemented. One way that we wanted to do this was to create a school-based security council at our school so that when we graduate, as students that started the project, that it can be continued so that our school can continue to be safe no matter what the time period is.

2.2

2.3

2.4

In order to improve this we needed multiple perspectives, and as you can see on the screen is our partnerships that we've created at our school. So we have the administration of our school, we have the district safety coordinator from our district, the director of domestic and homeland security that works with our Sheriff's Office, the school board district curriculum specialist, our Embry Riddle University dual enrollment program at our school, our Air Force Junior ROTC program at our school, our Fire Academy Flagship program at our school, the Sheriff's Department, as well as our TV production class, and students.

We utilize this plethora of resources to empower students, as well as the great degree

of resources that we have. And we found that our school had a lot of resources, they just weren't bring brought together.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

STUDENT GABBY:: The four main components of our school safety plan are to order, purchase, assemble, and distribute emergency kits, to get first aid professional development training for our teachers and staff, to create a school specific PSA video with our TV production crew, and to request K-9 patrols and random sweeps.

STUDENT ABBY:: We form various connections and resources within our community in order to establish longevity, diversity, and include a variety of perspectives. And we were very thankful to each and every one of our partnerships for embracing the importance of our mission.

STUDENT KATIA: The district holds
periodic closed-door meetings with our school
board. They discuss safety issues, and
students are not permitted to sit in, but they
offered us support, and helped mesh what
overall, what the district is going to do
overall with what we wanted to develop at our
school. We typically have, will bring the
following to our meetings, our Air Force JROTC,

our Embry Riddle, our Fire Academy, our administration, a school board member, a community representative, our district safety, our school district safety consultant, and selective students and parents. This component of our project enables sustainability.

of the great resources we have on our campus is our Embry Riddle Flagship program for the duel enrollment for our students. One of the things that they can do, the students can get involved in with this program, is they can actually take duel, or excuse me, Embry Riddle courses, and receive duel enrollment credit for it. One of the courses that they offer at our school is the unmanned aerial systems, which is basically their drone class, and they teach the students how to operate drones, as well as the rules and regulations, the federal regulations of drones.

So we wanted to utilize these drones as added surveillance, especially during pep rallies, or things where a lot of traffic is moving on our campus, and students are vulnerable to critical situations. And we also use the drones to harden the perimeter of our

2.2

2.3

2.4

campus. I know that's one of the things that our district is working towards, and I think all of the districts in Florida right now are working to harden the perimeter, and this is one of the things that we want to implement as well in addition to that.

A difference with the drones is that they provide a real time feed, and instead of just a security camera system where you can play back something that happened, you know, last week, or last month, we can actually monitor a live feed of this, of what's going on on our campus. And we actually, from the pictures on the slideshow you can see we actually flew the drones with the, not only the Embry Riddle students, but also our Air Force Junior ROTC program.

Our Air Force Junior ROTC program is actually coordinated to work with the drones in the case of an emergency, and if you look at the, the two pictures on the bottom left and middle you can actually see a phone, and they, they have an app on the phone for, for the drones, and that's what the students use to monitor, and it tells them the altitude and,

2.2

you know, basically so that they know that they're staying within the rules and regulations.

2.2

2.4

We have an airport right across the street from our school so there's air space conflicts that we have to abide by when we fly the drones, but they have very good communication with the airport, and it's really cool to see that they allow the students to, to learn all about it.

STUDENT GABBY:: Part of our plan was to create, purchase, assemble, and distribute emergency kits to our faculty and staff. We met with Lieutenant Keppler of our Fire Academy, who helped us find essentials for our emergency kits. We later researched the list of supplies to get the prices, and the amount we need of each item. We took that list to our security council, where we received a generous donation of \$1,000 from our district safety coordinator and her sister. With that money were able to, we were able to purchase 210 kits for our teachers.

We distributed our kits to our teachers at a faculty and staff meeting, and more recently we applied for a social innovation grant, and we were awarded \$3,000 by United Way to advance our emergency kits.

STUDENT NICK: The first aid training that our teachers and faculty received was from Lieutenant Keppler, which is the head of our Fire Academy at our school, and he was able to facilitate this training during a professional development day for our teachers, and he basically covered basic first aid in the case of a critical situation happening on our campus. And the idea, this was to compliment the emergency kits that we implemented in all of the classes, so the teachers knew how to use them, and so they could basically mend the gap of time for first responders to get to any injuries that were on our campus.

When during our research and having meetings we learned that even if -- we actually have a fire station right across the street, actually right by the airport, and so they can get to our school within three to five minutes of something happening, but they can't actually come onto the campus until law enforcement has cleared all the campus, so that was one thing that we wanted to address, because even if

2.2

2.3

2.4

1

3

something could be prevented it might unfortunately not, you know, be sustainable in that case of that lapse of time.

4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

11 12 13

15 16

14

18

19

17

2021

22

23

2425

STUDENT KATIA: We also partnered with our Flagler County Sheriff's K-9 Unit. Because the K-9s have such a strong sense of smell they can detect narcotics, explosives, and other sorts of weapons, so we met with the K-9 units, and they agreed to do random sweeps of our campus. The first time that they did a sweep there was a lot of social media posts from our school stating that the K-9s were on campus, and if this causes people to not bring certain paraphernalia onto our campus it would definitely strengthen our, our perimeter, and our campus, so we were actually ready to pay for the K-9s before our Sheriff generously said that he would bring them on our campus free of charge.

STUDENT WILL: In order to get the word out about our plan we received a lot of media coverage so we can share our plan with other schools and students. We were featured on Fox35 Orlando News, Channel6 News, the Palm Coast Observer, Flagler Live, and the Daytona

Beach News Journal, and here we would like to show you our Channel News clip.

(Thereupon, a video clip is played in the open commission meeting.)

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

STUDENT GABBY: Currently we are working without TV production program to create a school specific public service announcement and safety videos. These safety videos are student directed with actors and actresses from our school community. The script was approved by our school and district administration, as well as law enforcement, and our school's district safety consultant. These safety videos are about what to do in a code red scenario.

STUDENT NICK: Coming up in the future we would like to administer a follow up survey to the original one that we made to see how our project has affected students' opinion and views of their safety on our campus, to see how productive and effective our initiative has been.

STUDENT KATIA: In June we will attend the international future problem solving conference hosted by the University of Massachusetts to share our initiative with students from our

state and fourteen other countries. This is important because we realize the challenges we face are a global issue.

STUDENT WILL: Before we finish today, we would like to share, each one of us would like to share a personal quote that we've come up with, and though -- of in regards to school safety. I'll begin with mine.

"Violence is not conducive to a learning environment, therefore safety is of upmost concern on our school's campus, because at the end of the day we are students, and just want to come to school to learn."

STUDENT GABBY: "Failure will never overtake me if my determination to succeed is strong enough; only we can change our life."

STUDENT KATIA: "With the number of school shootings growing it is vital that our school culture changes. Too often we believe it will not happen to us; knowing that it may is the first step. The second is being proactive and ready."

"The bigger the dream the more important the team. The harder the conflict the more glorious the triumph."

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

STUDENT NICK: If we don't prioritize safety can we focus on anything else? With this project we're able to go to school and focus on learning without worrying about being safe on our campus.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

STUDENT GABBY: This is a topic that needs to be addressed, so why shouldn't we as students be the ones to do it.

STUDENT WILL: In closing, on behalf of FPC Bulldog Patrol I would like to thank everyone here with gratitude for allowing us to come and present our safety plan with you. are humbled by this invitation and are truly grateful for the opportunity to share what's near and dear to our hearts. We hope to inspire other schools and students to stand up for their safety and create their own plans. We hope to see safety groups develop at all the schools in our district, and as I previously mentioned at the end of the day while we are empowered as young adults to make change; we are still students, and we just want to go to school to learn. Thank you.

CHAIR: Well, thank you all for being here. Certainly you all are phenomenal, and

done great work, and you are an inspiration to all. One question I have before opening up to the Commissioners for any thoughts or questions that they have is, is that do you have some juniors and some sophomores lined up for sustainability of this, and how, is there, how is that going to work once you all are gone here in a couple of months?

STUDENT NICK: Yes, sir. Actually only two of us are seniors. Me and Katia are seniors, and we have addition, in addition to use we have two freshman and two sophomores. We actually don't have any juniors on our team, so we're sustainable for a couple of years, but we're looking to implement, after all of us up here have graduated, with the security council that we made at our school to, to bring in new students and get, to get involved.

CHAIR: So that's fantastic, so some organizations can learn about succession planning from you all too, so that's great. Thank you. Commissioners, do you have any questions, comments? Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Thank you all very very much. I think you highlighted the importance

2.2

2.4

305-376-8800

of culture and climate on school campuses, and that you can be the driver of change in making sure that your school is safe. The question that I had was do you have an anonymous reporting app in your district? I know we have statewide FortifyFL, but did you have, do you have one in your district?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

STUDENT WILL: Currently we don't have one in our district. We -- we mentioned at the beginning, yeah, the Speak Up Initiative that we mentioned at the beginning, our district is implementing that into our schools.

COMM. SCHACHTER: That's the app that you're going to be using, it's called Speak Up?

STUDENT WILL: I don't think it's an actual app.

STUDENT NICK: It's actually an anonymous form that you can full out online. It's -- I don't believe it's currently an app, but it's a form on the district website that's easily accessible, so all you have to do is click on it and then you can anonymously fill out the form.

COMM. SCHACHTER: So what we found was that there were thirty different people that

800-726-7007

had prior knowledge that the murderer was going to do this. There were six different instances that Marjory Stoneman Douglas staff were told that the murderer was going to shoot at the school, and they did nothing in all these different instances, so my question is if the kids on campus know that there is a threat on social media to do something bad on campus what, how, what is the, you know, most common way that they would communicate that to the district and law enforcement?

2.2

2.3

2.4

STUDENT GABBY: We actually did have a situation recently that there was a lot of social media posts about a threat at our high school, and a lot of them were actually being forwarded to our teachers, so they were sending them all to our teachers, and from there our teachers were sharing it with school administration and law enforcement.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Are you aware of FortifyFL?

STUDENT GABBY: I am not.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay. FortifyFL is the statewide anonymous reporting app that the State has developed after the Marjory Stoneman

Douglas tragedy that is supposed to be the app that kids are supposed to use around the state. If the people that, you know, are wonderful examples of school safety are not aware of FortifyFL that is very very concerning to me, Chair.

We have a vaping problem at Marjory

Stoneman Douglas, and I'm sure it's not, you

know, just specific to Marjory Stoneman

Douglas, I'm sure it's nationwide, well, I

guess let me ask you guys, do you guys have a

vaping issue on your campus?

STUDENT WILL: We actually have another CMPS group that is working with E-cigarettes, Jules, vaping, but we're not really entitled to speak on that here. We would like to focus on school safety, but --

COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay. Well, the reason I'm bringing that up is because Marjory Stoneman Douglas, due to the vaping problem they locked the bathrooms on the first and the third floor, and because of that kids who tried to hide in those bathrooms on the third floor could not hide, and were murdered because they could not get into the bathroom. So my

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

question is, you know, I respect all of your efforts, you guys are doing a great job, do you have any ideas of ways that we could reduce vaping on campus.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: Mr. Schachter, I don't -- I don't think we're putting these kids on the spot. I think that we'll probably need to pass on that question.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay.

CHAIR: And -- and let's just focus on, on the good work they've done, and we can save those questions for others.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay. Do -- does your district teach you Run Hide Fight, or ALICE by any chance?

STUDENT WILL: Yes, sir, they -- we actually -- at the beginning of the school year one of the, the things that actually kind of sparked this project was a district wide video that taught kids about critical situation, code reds, and what, you know, those situations would kind of look like, and they, they push out the Run Hide Fight to the, to the students. And that's actually kind of how we got the inspiration for this project, is the video that

they showed us at the beginning of the year, and we thought of, hey, if the district is willing to do this let's give students some power, and see what students have to say for themselves about, and the ideas that we've had, and we've been shocked at just our, our school alone, of all the different perspectives we've been able to, to hear about school safety.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM. SCHACHTER: For districts that would say I think that, you know, we don't want to teach our kids that because that might scare the kids, do you, do you agree with that, or do you think that that, that makes your school safer?

STUDENT KATIA: I think it's important for students to be aware of their options, and to know that case of a scenario what would be their best option, and what kind of options they have, and what to do, because if they're not prepared it doesn't help them.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay, thank you.

STUDENT WILL: We are actually including the Run Hide Fight Initiative in our public service announcements, we're working with our TV production, we're including that into the

Veritext Legal Solutions

video to share that again with the students.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay, wonderful. then lastly, I think your program is so fantastic. Changing the culture and climate on campus is the most important thing, and, and giving kids the, empowering them, the confidence that, to know if that if they do report of some kind of violence that it's going to be taken seriously at administration. Would you guys be open minded to helping Marjory Stoneman Douglas initiate a program there, number one, and number two, I'd like to, you know, ask DOE if, if DOE has a program like this on a statewide level, and, you know, if they would be open minded to launching something statewide, because culture and climate is extremely, extremely important in having the bind of the children on campus.

CHAIR: Well, I think the first part of the question is probably above your pay grade, but I think it's probably one for the administrators and not for the students, as far as them being willing to help Stoneman Douglas, and also a question for the Broward school district. But, you know, to the extent -- and

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

we need to wrap this up and move on, but to the extent, Chancellor, or even if you want to respond to any of that you're welcome to.

CHANCELLOR OLIVIA: So first I want to thank the group for being here and testifying in front of us today. It's a wonderful initiative, and I think when you look at the success that you have, in my experience working with students the secret formula that you have stumbled upon is the fact that students listen to students, sometimes better than they listen to adults, so when we look at how do we take a program like yours, and use a term that we like to refer to as make it scalable, how can you help somebody like me that works at the State level connect your voice with other student voices so they can own the culture and climate in their schools as well?

STUDENT WILL: Actually it -- I really kind of like that you guys asked those questions, because one of the things that we experienced at our state competition for our CMPS group was an interview kind of similar to this, and one of the questions we asked was, or they asked us was, well, how can we share this

2.2

2.3

2.4

with other people, how can we get this out, so what we're actually working on, one of the next phases of our project is putting together a slide show, a report basically showing other, to show other schools what process we used to actually go about creating this plan, because we want to let other people understand that not everyone is going to have the same resources as our school, not everyone is going to have the same types of issues and challenges that we have, but we want them to still be able to address it, and go about it in a similar fashion, and figure out what they can utilize in their district, because as you mentioned one of things we're really looking at here is changing the culture of a school, and not, not just trying to make it safer, but we have to change the culture of the students, and the way they look at the school, and how they empower themselves.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley.

SHER. ASHLEY: Just quickly, one, I want to first and foremost say you're great ambassadors to your families, you're great ambassadors to your schools and your

communities, and all of Florida. Is -- could you make it a club, similar to where you have a sponsored teacher, so it continues on and on and on after, even after you're gone, where you have some bylaws or rules that apply to you so that we can all use this for our schools in our school districts?

STUDENT KATIA: Similar to what we're doing with the security council, by having all of those different stakeholders involved in a monthly meeting, this can continue on further than us.

CHAIR: And Mr. Petty?

COMM. PETTY: So thank you for being here. I'm not -- I'm not often at a loss for words, but I can't thank you enough for stepping up and doing what you're doing, and setting an example for students around the state, and around the nation. As I was previewing your slides, I saw the drone slide, and I was going to say you had me at drones, but there's so much more here. I really think you've got a model that needs to be replicated across the state. I think the students have an important voice in, in driving safety, and the culture

2.2

2.3

2.4

that you, you'd like to see in your schools.

And I think sometimes as adults we struggle with how to force a culture on you, and when you, when you tell us what you need to be successful, I think that's really helpful. I think the word I heard over and over and over was partnership, and I want to commend you for, for that spirit, and for taking this initiative. So thank you. And thank you for being here today.

CHAIR: Commissioner Carroll.

COMM. CARROLL: Thanks for being here.

And I can't -- I absolutely love those bulldog blazers you got too. Quick question. You did the survey, and I thought it was really well put together. You asked a question about what you perceived, or what students perceived as soft sports, which I thought was pretty insightful, because there's no one who knowns better how to sneak onto campus than the students who attend school there, right? Were you able to identify some weak spots, and was the school able to address that?

STUDENT KATIA: So we actually received close to four hundred responses on our survey

2.2

2.3

2.4

in total, and the majority of people who took our survey also listed quite a few weak spots on our campus, and they're actually working to harden those now that we know what they are.

2.2

COMM. CARROLL: Okay, great. And you also had a question on there about code reds. Has your school -- some of you are seniors so you've been through these code red drills before. Were the code red drills improved any, or is there any difference in the way you do code red drills this year as compared to last year?

working now to increase basically the situation that a code red will be called in, so that students are more informed as they go about the school day, whether they're in the hallway, they're in a classroom, they're in the cafeteria. So we're really trying to make it comprehensive so that they understand in all situations.

From our survey we actually found that students thought that we did fire drills enough but they, some of them couldn't even remember the last time that they had done a code red

drill in years past, so that's one of the things we're addressing with the videos we're making with our TV production program, is we're identifying different situations. Say you're in the hallway and a code red is called, what to do, and those, those types of situations, so that kids are empowered and can react in a faster manner.

2.2

2.5

COMM. CARROLL: Great. And on your code red drills, do they cover what's called hard corners? Is that covered as part of your code red?

STUDENT WILL: Yes. Actually our district is actually working to mark the hard corners in all of our classrooms, and we're including those in our videos for when students are in a building or a room that has hard corners.

COMM. CARROLL: Great. Do you guys plan to do a follow up survey, because it appears as though you did a lot of good work, and I would love to see what the follow up survey indicates, in terms of how students feel about the, how the level of safety has improved as a result of your work.

STUDENT WILL: Yes, sir. Actually that's

800-726-7007

one of our future plans, is to create another survey to see how we've, how far we've come, and see if students' opinions have changed on, on what we've implemented.

COMM. CARROLL: Thank you.

STUDENT WILL: Thank you.

CHAIR: Yes, Commissioner.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. MS. LARKIN-SKINNER: I think what you're doing is extraordinary, and it's an example of people accepting responsibility, and trying to share that responsibility with others. I want to acknowledge not only you and your school, but also the school district, as well as the Sheriff's Office, and the other people who are partners that you've established, as well as the security council, because I think that your model goes beyond just the students and what you've done, and really is a lesson for every community, because I know there are communities where the county government, the school district, and the local law enforcement are fighting over who should pay for what, and the reality is that it's our community, whichever one we live in, and we're all responsible for

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

the safety and the learning, and the education of our youth.

And so I think that -- I just want to commend the Sheriff of Flagler County for his role in this, and my hope is that everyone that's involved as a partner can show every other community in Florida and across the nation what you've done, and how it should be done in all of our counties.

CHAIR: Okay, anybody else? Well, certainly we thank you all for being here. We wish you much success as you move the program forward, and hopefully it grows, and they're able to expand it, not only across Flagler, but it takes hold in other areas of the state. So congratulations, and thanks for a job very well done, appreciate you. Thank you. Thank you.

We're a couple minutes ahead here so why don't we just, a couple of issues, and then we'll take an early break, we'll come back, and then we'll start when we come back from the break with Director Kelly and his report. Just one thing I want to mention, on the report that you asked for, Sheriff Ashley, on the threat assessments, is, is that I'm going to get that

2.2

2.3

sent out to you probably today as we're sitting here, but I want to make everybody clear with this, because I know when you read the first page of it it's going to meet with some angst because of the date that's on it, is that report is dated, on the front page of it it says December of 2018.

According to the district, is they were back and forth with the consultant, and that they didn't receive it, get it, it wasn't finalized until February. We just got a copy of it within the last week or so, so -- and I know there's been some reports in the media about whether the district sat on it or not.

I'm not getting involved in that, I don't know. But the December 2018 date, the district says that it wasn't received by them for publication until February, and then again, we just received it in the last week.

So when you see that December 2018 date it is what it is, you know, and whether they actually had it in December, and it was ready, or whether it was February, I don't know, but it's more the content that I think we should be focused on. But I know some of you are going

2.2

2.3

2.4

to ask questions about that when you see it, because it's going to say December 2018, and why didn't we get it in 2018, December of 2018. It's because we didn't have it until about a week ago, and they just pushed it out in February. All right, so we'll get that out to you, hopefully today we can get that out to you.

The other thing that I want to just make mention of because it's come up a lot, and Commissioner Carroll mentioned it in his comments, about the hard corners, undoubtedly, unquestionably that thing that we have referred to as hard corners are vitally important, and if they had been implemented, and we said this in the report, they would have made a difference.

And unfortunately, and as hard as it is to say, there were kids who died at Stoneman

Douglas because they were either pushed out of the hard corner or they couldn't get into the hard corner, and because those areas were not set up in the classrooms. And without rehashing all that, we know those to be the facts, and that's a sad state of the situation

2.2

2.3

2.4

but it is what it is, and we have made much of it.

And in the presentations that we've done in various areas the various components around the state in the last several months, and even I think just before the report came out, people had concerns and questions, and there was a misunderstanding to some degree about this whole hard corner concept, so I want to share with you all what I've been saying, and for anybody that's listening, watching, so that we message this correctly. Is, is that what we're really talking about when we're talking about that hard corner concept, we're talking about the safest place in any room.

It doesn't matter what label you put on it, whether you call it a safer place, whether you call it a hard corner, whatever you want to call it doesn't matter, the point is, is that, and some people say, and they push back on this and say, well, in this particular classroom, and I'll use portables as an example, that there is no opportunity for a hard corner in a portable, well, forget about that, but in every room, and I don't care if it's a portable, I

2.2

don't care if it's this room, don't care if it's a cafeteria or a gymnasium, or whatever it is, there is, in every single room there is what is the safest place, and in that area that is the safest place may not be a safe place, it may be penetrable by rounds, it may be something that people can see and access, but it is the safest place in whatever the dynamics of that room are given the dynamics of that situation.

2.2

So if you take a room and there's windows on that side to my left, and there's a door over here on the right, and it is a first floor room, if the threat is coming through those windows then the safest place is not what you would identify as the safe place if the rounds were coming through that door, because if the rounds are coming through that door then the safest place may be that heard corner, which may be over here, but if the rounds are coming through that window it puts you right in the line of sight.

So it's all fluid, is the point, and people need to understand that concept as the safest place in the room concept, and the

safest place might be a hard corner. The hard corner is a subset of that terminology, a subset of what we're calling it. So I just want to throw that out because it has caused, and I can tell you in talking about this quite a bit in the number of presentations we've done on it, it causes people to misunderstand what the point is, and that every single room has that safest place.

2.

2.2

And what that safest place is, is to make you as small and invisible as you can possibly be. And we know that that works, and we know that that would've worked at Stoneman Douglas, and there are a whole bunch of places where the hard corner is the right thing. And whether that hard corner is marked, whether it's identified in some other fashion, or it's just known, is not as material as, is that people know what the safest place is, and that the teachers know, and the teachers train, and the teachers have a plan as to how to get those kids into whatever that safest place is.

So again I don't want to beat a dead horse with it, but it keeps coming up, and up, and up, and up, and up, and I want to try and get the message out

305-376-8800

on that and clarify what we're saying. It's the safest place. And when people say, well, in this particular room we can't implement that policy, we can't do it because we don't have a hard corner, yes you do, you have the safest place, and that safest place, as an example, if it's a square room and there's nothing but windows on one side, and there is no corner in that room that is accessible, the safest place may be lying flat on the floor underneath that window if the threat is coming through that window. That's a lot safer than being on the other side of the room.

2.2

So again, people need to think, they need to have a plan, and what we do know for sure, if it's not contemplated, it's not thought through, it's not planned, and it's not drilled, then it's going to be a mess, and they're not going to do anything. Muscle memory matters, and you're going to do what you think about, and what you trained to do. So does anybody have anything you want to add to that, or any comments, or thoughts? Yeah, go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Yeah, and I think that,

800-726-7007

you know, obviously when we created this, this, you know, rule, or, you know, put it in the, in the report, we -- I think it needs to be amended to get the point across that we don't want to just prepare for this one incident, because we have to all acknowledge that we might be training our next school mass murderer, and instead of everyone saying that you go to that corner in every incident we need to be training the students and the staff to be situationally aware.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And you're absolutely right, if the threat is coming from the outside window that's not the safest place, so you know, we can't just be, you know, so laser focused and, and not be training the kids that every incident is different, and every incident might have a different safest or safer place.

CHAIR: Right. Yeah, that's the point.

Thank you. All right, unless anybody has anything else let's take a fifteen-minute break. We'll come back, and then Director Kelly is up next with his report on the Office of Safe Schools.

(Thereupon, a brief recess is had.)

CHAIR: We'll go ahead and get started again. We have with us Damien Kelly, who as you know is the Executive Director of the Office of Safe Schools at the Department of Education. Go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

2.2

2.3

2.4

just want to reiterate I'm really concerned that we had Assistant Principal Morford deny that the mother of those two boys told him, then they said they went and told Thompson, the principal of that school, he denied it, and now we've had a third individual, former School Board Member Abby Friedman, deny it also. Somebody is not being truthful in my opinion, and I anxiously await the results of the further investigation to find out if the phone call was actually made.

CHAIR: Okay. We have with us Damien

Kelly, again, the Safety Director of the Office
of Safe Schools Florida Department of

Education, and I've asked Damien to present to
you an update on SB7026's requirements, where
we are today, and at the end, we did a survey
recently of the districts on a lot of key
questions concerning compliance with the school

safety requirements, some of them are on law, and some of them are best practices.

One of the things I want to just clarify, I guess, or provide some context for in Damien's slides, and just know that in some of his presentation, and then to your questions, is, is that one of the things that we certainly don't want to do is to expose any vulnerabilities, or to potentially make any situations worse, so the data that Damien is going to present is high level statistical data, and percentages, and please, I'm just saying, just don't ask, you know, which specific district, or which specific school, et cetera.

I can tell you when you get to this, and I'll just leave it here, is, is that when we do talk about it, he's going to tell you about the schools that are not in compliance with having a safe school officer on every campus. I'm just going to say across Florida, not in total, but the majority of those are the charters, and that does warrant some clarification because we have talked in the past about the districts and the district schools themselves, and some were

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

not in compliance until recently, but the bulk of that's in the charters.

And that's probably about as specific as we should get because, again, we don't want to expose any vulnerability. So I know Damien is going to share a lot of information, a lot of good data with you, so I'll turn it over to Director Kelly.

PRESENTATION DIRECTOR KELLY

DIR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Commission Members. I really should have asked for a different spot on the schedule because that's kind of a tough act to follow. When I was fortunate enough to visit Flagler County instead of touring schools the superintendent suggested that I listen to the presentation by the group of students that you just saw, and I was wowed by everything that they were doing, and that they had planned for the future, and I just felt that last year we heard a lot of things about what went wrong, and what wasn't done right, as we sat here at these meetings, and I really was hoping we could kick this year off with something positive, so Chairman, thank you for allowing us to do that.

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

23

2.4

25

16

17

18

I'm just going to go over briefly again our, the mission of our office. Our main focus is to support school districts and providing a safe learning environment for students, and for educators. Based on the Chairman's questions, and what he wanted done for this presentation I'm going to cover three areas, SB7026, the executive orders issued by Governor DeSantis, and the eighteen survey questions that the Chairman wanted me to pose to the school safety specialists. So the list that you see on here are what we're going to cover, school safety specialist training, social media monitoring tool, centralized integrated data repository, the USSS threat assessment training, the Virginia model on suicide prevention training, education facility security grants, the guardian program, and FortifyFL.

While FortifyFL was just, was just brought up in the last presentation I just wanted to follow upon it. Every single school district has received the information provided to us to advertise FortifyFL. They -- they all participate in providing the, the data that's used in the app, and they have received posters

to be distributed to all of their campuses.

When you see a group like what we just saw
here, and they're not aware of FortifyFL, it
just lets me know that we have more work to do,
and we will keep working on that.

So we're going to go straight into the training for school safety specialists. The main thing on the slide that's important is the April 2019 bullet, which is that a vendor contract is anticipated, and Safe Plans LLC is the vendor. The training dates will be scheduled to maximize the school safety specialist participation in this, and that we have issued six FEMA independent study courses that are prerequisites. One of the things I can tell you about the training, I am just now, we were just cleared actually this morning to begin our dialogue with the vendor to, to develop how we want this training to come out.

One thing I will share with you, it will be age specific in all of the drills, and the breakdown through the age specific drills are PreK to K, first and second grade, third to fifth grade, sixth to eighth grade, and ninth to twelfth grade. What you see on the slide

2.2

2.3

2.4

here was what was in the ITN, and the follow up on what you see on here is that the vendor's approach to the active shooter response is called ALERT-T, and that stands for Access, Lockdown, Evade, Resist, and Tell. The program prioritizes situation awareness using the UTA loop, and I'm sure everyone is familiar with OODA Loop, but it stands for Observe, Orient, Decide, and Act. And I look forward to beginning out discussions with this vendor as we get this training up and running.

2.2

2.3

The social media monitoring tool, we were forced into a re-bid situation, and as a result of that the only thing I'm going to say on it is the bullet that says 4/4/19 is that an intent to award meeting took place, and that we are currently at the conclusion of the procurement process, and should be able to announce a vendor shortly.

The centralized integrated data repository, the identified vendor is SYNNEX Corporation in partnership with FivePoint Solutions. A few bullets on this. The vendor will build a centralized integrated data repository solution that allows threat

1 assessment teams to query multiple sources.

The solution will provide a secured portal for performing searches, dashboard analytics and reporting, user access, and a secured web-based platform that does not require software installation. The system will integrate with FDOE's social media monitoring data, school incident records, Baker Act data, Florida Department of Juvenile Justice data, law enforcement's data, FortifyFL data, and connect

And I spoke to the Chairman about this, and I think probably for the August commission meeting that we would make a presentation at that, so that you can see the, you know, how the system is going to work. We'll -- we'll probably have somebody from our IT team come down and, and do that presentation.

with additional data resources as identified.

CHAIR: Hey, Damien, while you're here, and just for context, because as, as opposed to doing this at the end, while we're talking about this, is, is that there is no doubt that this integrated data repository is a good thing, and a much needed thing, but I want to make sure that everyone knows that it has its

2.2

2.3

2.4

limits. It is not all encompassing of an integrated unified query tool that will cover everything that the threat assessment teams need, or that law enforcement needs, but make no mistake -- and this was in the original Bill, in 7026, and DOE was given a deadline I think if last December 1st to do it, which was probably an impossible deadline given all of what it would take to put this together. We'll talk about this afternoon.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The Governor's executive order gives them until August 1st, and while we'll pull together those sources of information in some fashion, and I'm very anxious to see what that's going to be, I think we all are, because it's so important that everything be queried. In order to make good decisions you have information, and you have to have good information, and the more one stop shopping you can do on that the better off. So this pulling together DCF information, DJJ information, DOE information, and everything in one place, but what it's not bringing together, and this is something that's still a hole, and still a void, and we'll probably bring this up because we didn't have

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

time to fit it on the agenda at this meeting, but for the June meeting, is to follow up that discussion about all of the other myriad of sources and databases that are out there.

So as an example is, is that while a threat assessment team, and again anxious to see what this is going to be, will be able to make a query and pull together all of DCF, DJJ, DOE, and all the other plethora of sources, it's not going to check the Plantation Police Department, and the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office, and the Ocoee Police Department, and all these other sources, as well as other databases, and so there is still a lack of integration of, especially local law enforcement, because of the variety of records management systems.

So again, as we sit in Broward County today there are right now seven different, seven different records management systems being used by law enforcement agencies, so if you take a cop on the street, or you take an SRO at Stoneman Douglas High School, and that SRO is on a threat assessment team, and they are charged with finding all they can on Joe

2.2

2.3

2.4

Smith, then in order to make a thorough and a complete query they would have to just check in law enforcement in Broward County they would have to check seven different systems, that means seven, having seven different accesses, seven different log insurance, seven different passwords, and they can check. If they're just checking the Broward County Sheriff's Office database, okay, that's wonderful, but you still got all these other systems out there.

And even with Coral Springs, remember

Coral Springs and Broward County Sheriff's

Office share use the same vendor for the

records management system but they don't share
a system. So there is a way that there's some
sort of a, a patch, it's called P to P, where
they can share some of that, but it's delayed,
so you could have a kid, as an example, that

Coral Springs takes a report on, or Coral

Springs completes a field interview report on,
and if Broward County Sheriff's Office checks
it today they're not going to find anything
because it's not in that system immediately.

And the whole point of this is, is that this is a great thing, but nobody should be

2.2

2.3

2.4

under the impression that this is end all, be all, fix it all, and that when this is up and running all problems are solved on data sharing, information access, and that the threat assessment teams are going to have this magic wand. It's not. And there's a lot of work that still needs to be done to close the, to close the holes, and making sure that not just the threat assessment teams but the law enforcement agencies entire threat assessment process.

Including, you know, Commissioner

Swearingen, you all are tasked with, and we'll

talk about this in the Governor's letter, a

threat assessment process beyond just what the

schools are doing, and this plays into that as

well because there is, you know, no one stop

shopping. There's a state system that's called

LINKS, and LINKS is supposed to be able to

provide that one stop shopping; but it doesn't.

And as I've had discussions with FDLE about it,

you can go to most, I'd say most law

enforcement officers, especially on the street

in this state, and you ask them about LINKS,

they don't even have a clue what it is much

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

less be able to access it, so there's still, there's still work to be done.

So I, you know, I'll stop, but we need to be very clear about this, this is an excellent step in the right direction. This is going to be a great tool I hope, but it's only one piece of a puzzle that's got a bunch of different pieces that are yet to come together. Go ahead.

COMM. SCHACHTER: The school disciplinary system and civil citation, I know this is probably not this area, but --

CHAIR: So I've had that discussion with Secretary Marstiller, and I know what you're talking about. We'll address that tomorrow, and this is the discussion we've had, and Secretary Marstiller, we'll address that tomorrow, okay? It's still -- that is another problem, you're right. But go ahead, Damien.

DIR. KELLY: All right, I want to talk
briefly about the Secret Service threat
assessment training. Before I go into it, I'd
like to thank Commissioner Petty and
Commissioner Schachter for your assistance in
getting the Secret Service to come down to

2.2

2.3

2.4

Florida. We were able to arrange three dates between February 26th and the 28th, and training took place in Manatee, Orange, and Duvall Counties, and on those three days approximately 850 people participated in the U.S. Secret Service threat assessment training.

When -- when I talk to the districts, and to the school safety specialists, which I talk to them practically on a daily basis, currently they're using a variety of threat assessment models. It's either the Secret Service threat assessment, the Virginia model, or the FBI, or in some cases a combination of all three.

I'm very excited to be able to report that with the assistance of Chancellor Olivia and his team we have been able to come up with the funding to plan for statewide threat assessment training on the Virginia threat model, and during those training the presenters will be Dr. Dewey Cornell, who is the developer of the Virginia model, and also we're going to have Dr. Scott Poland, who is a national expert on school crisis, youth violence, suicide intervention, and the delivery of psychological services in schools.

2.2

2.3

2.4

3 4

1

25

What we hope will take place here is that at each of these sessions on day one, we will have one hundred participants that will be allowed to attend for the first opening session, and then for the final two days of Dr. Cornell's, that will be limited to thirty people per session. And at the end of the three sessions that we planned our hope is that every district will have one person who has been trained by Dr. Dewey Cornell in the Virginia model, and then they will go back to the district and conduct a trained to trainer type model. As far as our records show this is the first time that a training is going to take place where both Dr. Cornell and Dr. Poland are both going to be present. They will be there separately, but it will be during the same training sessions.

The educational facilities security grant, the Department's grants management team verified that the funds were awarded, and that as these funds were awarded, they were tied to each school's FSSAT. And I can report to you that primary expenditures included security systems, which were cameras and communications, and also fencing and single point of entry.

Those were the main expenditures so far. You can see that all of the almost \$99 million, a little over \$5 million has been awarded, and that, the reason for that is most of the districts will wait until school is out to start any major fencing projects, or any major projects on campus. So we anticipate that during the summer we will start to hear back from districts, drawing down the rest of the funding for their projects.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: So I'm going to do it to you again. Since we're okay on time, as opposed to doing it at the end, just to update everybody, so in the, so that \$98 million was the \$98 million in 7026 for school hardening grants. And that's the \$98 million that had to be awarded and distributed by January 15th, correct?

DIR. KELLY: Correct.

CHAIR: And so in the current budget Bills for the FY20 fiscal year for the House and the Senate, in the House that \$98 million is zeroed out, there is no dollars for hardening grants.

The Senate current Bill has reduced it to \$50

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

million, so I know that that's at play, as it's called in state budget speak, and those numbers are at play between the House and the Senate.

But as it stands right now today as we sit here is, is that \$98 million in the house is zero, and it's \$50 million in the Senate.

I can tell you that the safe school allocation in the House is at \$161 million, which is the current allocation, and in the Senate it's \$230 million. So that's the, the current picture with budget, and as far as funding for the safe school allocation and this money. But again it's still early in that process, and there's still a lot to be done, but so I'm just telling you where, where it sits at the moment. Where it sits at the moment is not necessarily where it's going to end up at the end.

DIR. KELLY: The Coach Aaron Feis Guardian Program, currently twenty-five Sheriffs throughout Florida have trained guardians. The application requests totaled almost, just a little over \$9 million, and of that \$9 million \$4.4 million has been paid out to Sheriff's Offices based on invoices that we have

2.2

received. The districts report to me, and to our office, that the guardian program has been very successful, a very successful option for providing a safe school officer at every campus.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So along those lines, as far as CHAIR: guardian program funding, when the budget Bills first came out, on the surface, and, you know, those of you that have been involved in it know it better than I do, but the state budget is complicated, to say the least, and the budget Bills are complicated, to say the least, and it appeared that the guardian funding for FY20 had been zeroed out, and that there was no quardian funding at all, but there is, it's on the back of the Bills. And what they have done is continue that original \$67 million so whatever is left can be used again in FY20. So there is guardian funding in the FY20, but it's in the back of the Bill for just continuing to use that \$67 million. So that's where it is, but it is in there. Go ahead.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Do it every year, or -CHAIR: You know, I don't know. That -that -- you know that's certainly up to the

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

legislature, Mr. Schachter, is, is that \$67 million is non-recurring, so it has to be looked at every year, and what they're doing this year, or for FY20 is, is just to reauthorize the balance that's left. You know whether they'll do that next year, that has to be on a year by year basis.

The only thing that's recurring in the guardian funding is \$500,000. That's recurring, and that is in there. And the original intent of that was to use it for the stipends, and that's what it was to be used for. But the rest of it is all non-recurring and has to be addressed on an annual basis. Go ahead, Damien.

DIR. KELLY: Continuing on with the Coach Aaron Feis Guardian Program, letters were issued to Sheriffs by both Governor DeSantis and Commissioner Corcoran back on February 13th inviting them to continue to participate in Guardian, or for Sheriff's Offices who had not already agreed to participate to, to let us know that they were interested in participating. The new window of opportunity for the guardian grant applications closed on

2.2

2.3

April 1st.

2.2

2.3

I can report to you the slide says that twelve counties have expressed an interest in applying; that's twelve new counties. That's actually thirteen. We did have one come in right at the tail end before, right after the slide was made. Flagler County was the last one to, to express an interest. And all funds must be encumbered by September 13, 2019.

This is a list showing the current twentyfive Sheriff's Offices that are participating,
and to the right you can see the proposed
counties that have let us know that they are
interested in Guardian. As I said Flagler was
one that came in at the very end. It was
something that the Sheriff of Flagler County
and I had discussed earlier, and finally they,
they contacted us to let us know they were
officially applying.

So FortifyFL, this is just an update.

You've seen this slide before. The -- the actual total tips as of right before I started speaking are 630. And I get every single tip. I will say a lot of them are just students who are just testing the app out to see if they'll

800-726-7007

get a response to it, but we have had some serious tips that have come in, and action has been taken very quickly by school district personnel, and by Sheriff's Offices. And I'm actually pleased with -- I know the numbers could be a lot higher, but it is being used. And as I travel to some school districts, I actually see the posters in the, in the lobby of the school, or I'll see a poster outside the school saying that FortifyFL is the app that they're using, and I hope that this continues.

One addition to it is that the vendor has a Spanish translation version in development, and we'll look forward to that being available shortly.

CHAIR: Hang on one second, and then Mr. Schachter. One of the things that we need to keep in mind with this, it says 604 tips, is, is that there are a number, and I don't have the exact number, but there are a number of districts that are using, and I'll call them potentially competing apps, or other apps, such as Sandy Hook Promise. I can tell you, and I'll just Pinellas as an example, is, is that while it shows 604 tips statewide there have

2.2

2.3

only been three in Pinellas, but Pinellas is using Sandy Hook Promise, and there are over 400 that I've received through Sandy Hook Promise.

So I think that one of the follow ups, Damien, that we need to have a discussion about, is probably a survey to all sixty seven safety specialists, and find out in each district what are they using in addition to FortifyFL, and the numbers they're getting, because it appears that this number is misleadingly low as to what is being reported, and we don't have the full picture, because when you look at this being implemented October, mid-October is when Attorney, then Attorney General Bondi kicked this off in Orlando, and to only have 604 tips statewide since October seems low, but I don't think it's representative of all the information that is coming forward.

We really need to get the full picture. The only way to do that is to see which counties have other apps, and they're suing, and then of course to keep promoting it. So but I think that's necessary to get a full

800-726-7007

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 understanding. Go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

COMM. SCHACHTER: It was concerning to hear that the Flagler kids didn't even know that FortifyFL was in existence. What's even more upsetting is that yesterday at the Parkland Educational Advisory Board meeting the newly appointed School Safety and Emergency Preparedness Director of Broward County Schools Brian Katz admitted and stated that they are refusing to push out FortifyFL, and also the other third-party app that we have in Broward County which is called Safer Watch.

Former Sheriff Scott Israel adopted Safer Watch prior to FortifyFL being in existence, and then Safer Watch tried to contract with Broward County so that they could use their app as well. Broward County schools, it took them ten months to sign their contract. They only charged them \$1 but it still took them ten months to sign it. And I am concerned because do you have any idea, you know, in your discussions with Broward County, why they're refusing to advertise either Safer Watch or FortifyFL, because we all know that this is the number one most effective way to prevent the

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

next school shooting on the prevention side?

2.2

DIR. KELLY: I've had one meeting with Chief Katz, and he's actually here today. I had travelled down to Broward after he was brought in as Chief, and after Sheriff Tony was made the new Sheriff. I had very productive meetings with the both of them, and I walked away from there with a strong feeling that Broward County had an extreme sense of urgency moving forward. I mean this is our ground zero for what happened in schools, and Chief Katz did not express to me that -- we actually did not go into FortifyFL, but he didn't bring it up that that was something that they were not actively pushing out in, in the districts.

The -- the districts who have their own app, that they don't have the option to opt out of FortifyFL, we've made it clear to them that there has to be, they have to have a contact listed on the app so that when a tip does come in that the tip can get where it needs to be in a quick manner. But we also couldn't, you know, we didn't go out and say if you all, if you have an app already, and it's successful, stop what you're doing, you got to use our app.

That wasn't -- that wasn't a part of this.

But we will -- I was disturbed by what I heard from the, a group, you know, who are as safety conscious as the Flagler students are, but I will tell you that we have gotten the information out to every school district, and now it's a matter of me following up with these school districts as to whether they are in fact pushing this out in the district and letting it be known.

COMM. SCHACHTER: So the -- the gold standard in apps in Safe To Tell in Colorado, as you well know, and they've, they've stopped hundreds of suicides. As you well know we've had two horrible suicides in Broward County, and hundreds of school shootings. What would be your message to Broward County Schools upon knowing that they are not publicizing this, and are refusing to do so inside Broward County Schools in the two apps; what would be your message to them?

DIR. KELLY: Well, I mean it's clear that this is an app that was, you know, FDLE was tasked with putting this app together. It is a successful app, and it's being used elsewhere,

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and there's no excuse for it not being used when it's available in the county.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Is there anything --

CHAIR: Let's -- let's just -- you know, we need to hear from them. You know, you're getting -- your opinion, you said that Safe To Tell is the gold standard, again I'm sure that there is others that probably have their own views of it, so let's not, you know, say what is the gold standard. But, you know, in fairness to them, and we don't know, and we'll ask, and we'll ask them to come forward and explain it, but it could be there are work flow process issues, there are other things that have to be -- there was a lot work that was put into FortifyFL to make sure that everything was coming in, distributed the right way.

There could be a whole number of reasons for that. Your point is made. I noted it.

We'll ask. And let's -- as opposed to guessing let's let them explain why, and we'll ask them to do that, okay?

COMM. SCHACHTER: Thank you.

CHAIR: Okay.

DIR. KELLLY: And just -- just to follow

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

up on, Mr. Schachter, I will say again that I did feel a great sense of urgency in both meetings, and I have spoken with Chief Katz several times, and he has reached out to school safety specialists across the state to come up with, with assistance in developing what they're going to develop as they move forward here in Broward, and I look forward to our office working with them in the future.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Yeah, the -- if they had the urgency, they would be pushing out this app. That's the way to stop the next attack on the prevention side, and they haven't done it, and I'm very upset about that.

DIR. KELLY: I'm going to touch on the Governor's executive orders. We've already talked about the extension of the guardian apps, and the implementation of the data repository. On the third bullet there, DOE and DJJ audit of diversion programs, the audit of school district diversion programs began with a brief survey, and coordination with DJJ is under way. We'll be having a meeting I believe next week to move forward on, of looking at these programs.

2.2

2.4

The DOE compliance requirements for 1006.12, Commissioner Corcoran and Chancellor Olivia, and Chancellor Hall, have all reached out directly to school superintendents to discuss compliance with the requirement of the safe school officer. And the last topic on this, the best practices for school hardening and harm mitigation, the work group was formed, a project outline drafted, resource materials collected, a draft product outline has been started, and on March 28th the work group had their first conference call.

This work group was made up of federal, state, and local subject matter advisors on physical security, educational facilities, student transportation, risk management, and school district security, currently reviewing the recommendations put forth by this Commission, as well as recognized federal guidance, such as the Partner Alliance on Safer Schools, the US Department of Education, the Department of Homeland Security, and Safe School Design. The group is also researching other nationally recognized supporting documents regarding harm mitigation as it

applies to physical school security and site hardening.

Now we're going to go into the survey that the Sheriff tasked us with sending out to school safety specialists. As you can see in this slide school safety specialists are asked to list all district schools, and to identify whether there's a safe school officer on that school's campus at all times while school is in session. Remember when they were answering these questions, again they were answering the survey questions that the Chairman wanted posed to them.

The first question on there was is a safe school officer present at all times when school is in session at every school in the district. The slide says that there were -- actually 13 was, what was the slide should have had on it, and since then, I explained to the Chairman yesterday, I had one school district reach out to us and have since corrected their situation. They had one school in the district that did not have a safe school officer, and they entered into a contract with the local police department to cover that.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: So for everybody just remember when we're talking about the safe school officer there's a lot of confusion about that, because we're using a lot of terms, and a lot of terminology. As we're going to hear this afternoon, and Damien has already referenced about the school safety specialists, so there's 67 of those in the state of Florida. That's what 7026 required, is that the superintendent appoint somebody as the point person for school safety, and that's the school safety specialists.

Then each school in the state of Florida is required to have a safe school officer. A safe school officer is either a police officer employed by a police department, a deputy sheriff employed by a Sheriff's Office, or a police officer employed by a school district that has its own police department, or a guardian. So any one of those four constitute a safe school officer. And to put it in just common speak it's a good person with a gun, and each one is required to have at least one. And it applies to elementary, middle, high, and charter schools across Florida. It does not

apply to private schools. Charter schools are public schools.

And we've had significant challenge in many places, not all, over the last year, and only recently did some even large districts come into compliance with this as it related to the true public schools that are under, directly under the school board, meaning elementary schools, or other schools. Where the hole is largely today, and I said this earlier, where the void is largely today is in the charters, and so I think even over the last couple of months the districts have made great progress, because some were having one police officer cover multiple schools, et cetera.

Again, some of this is still ongoing, and I don't, certainly don't want to do anything, I know we all don't, that would expose any vulnerabilities any place, but so I'll leave it there. But just -- that's the landscape, and we still got work to do, and for the charters, you know, for some of them the challenge is from a financial standpoint, you know, and being able either to hire a police officer or a deputy Sheriff, or a dedicated guardian. But

2.2

2.3

2.4

I'll go back to, is that there's a solution, but it's a solution they don't want to use in some cases, and in some cases it may be a county where the Sheriff is part of the problem not the solution, which is what we're trying to correct in legislation, where the Sheriff won't do the Guardian Program, but the Guardian Program offers the opportunity to do this at no cost because the training is fully funded, and if you use the existing staff, and you have an existing staff member that you train as a guardian, then cost is not an obstacle, it's not a barrier.

You know, there are certain things that people talk about, unfunded mandates coming out of Tallahassee, and sometimes that's a fair criticism. This is not an unfunded mandate, is that you have an option available that is fully funded, and if you just don't like that option well then you either comply with that option, in my view, or you come up with the money to pay for it, but you don't get to just say no. And this is part of the issue with those that are not in compliance, is they don't like the funded option. They want the other option, and

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

they say they don't have the funds available.

You know, you can't do it both ways. But we're

-- we're making great progress on this.

Sheriff Ashley, go ahead.

SHER. ASHLEY: And I can't cite the case for you, but recently there is a case out of appellate court here in Florida that school districts are responsible for providing safe school officer and school resource officers or guardian for charter schools. So that is a recent ruling, and --

CHAIR: Well, that's out of Palm Beach
County, and it's, it's somewhat complicated,
and somewhat controversial. It's a decision by
an Administrative Law Judge. The Palm Beach
County School Board and the charters got into
a, are in a disagreement in Palm Beach County.
I believe it's being appealed, and it's an
Administrative Law Judge decision. I'm
familiar with it. Anyway, we probably
shouldn't get too far into that, but it is what
it is.

And -- and there may be some things, and it depends upon -- we're going to cover it this afternoon, some things that are in SB7030 and

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

HB7093 that, I think that if they pass will provide additional options, and you'll see that, again, when we talk about it, that will help some of these charters come into compliance, and maybe resolve some of these issues. But -- but it's largely that -- the Palm Beach issue is largely fighting about money, is what it is. Mr. Schachter.

2.2

2.3

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: Sheriff, can you -- and this is a big picture question, but can you explain to me why, you know, if we've developed all these best practices this does not apply to private schools, especially since the State gives money to private schools in, in situations?

CHAIR: Well, you know, the legislature did not make this applicable to private schools in 7026, so --

COMM. SCHACHTER: Do you think that they should?

CHAIR: Well, you know, I think that private schools need to be as safe as every other school, but private schools also, and there's ways to accomplish it, you know, I think it raises a question about whether, let's

800-726-7007

305-376-8800

say the Guardian Program as an example, whether sheriffs should be providing the Guardian Program training fee to the private schools, or whether the private schools should pay for it. I think there's a lot of questions along those lines that probably have to be addressed.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Some of that -- and it depends upon what the legislature does this year, might get addressed in these Bills, and how to accomplish that as it relates to private schools. One of the Bills has a provision in it that makes the Guardian Program available to the private schools, one does not. There's also a barrier with the private schools right now because of Florida's gun laws, and that the Guardian Program doesn't apply, so that means concealed carry permit holders can't carry on private school campuses because concealed carry permit holders are not permitted and using security guards is not in compliance with the guardian concept. They could hire off-duty police officers to do it at the private schools.

It's all very complicated, and but for whatever reason it wasn't included in 7026 that all of this was applicable to private schools.

800-726-7007

COMM. SCHACHTER: You know the State gives
money to a lot of religious institutions. The
Governor has been really wonderful about
providing funds for all children at all
schools. All schools should be safe, so, you
know, if there's any way that, you know, we can
try to fix, I think that that is a gap, and a
blind spot.

CHAIR: Yeah. All right, so that's the situation as far as, you know, where we are with the guardians. So go ahead and continue on, unless anybody has got anything else. Go ahead, Damien.

DIR. KELLY: They're going to try to, to reboot the slides. The -- the answers were not showing up. I don't know if you have the answers in your book --

CHAIR: Yeah, they r.

DIR. KELLY: But the -- the answer on the slide is showing no value, so --

CHAIR: Okay. Everybody's got it. So you're on Page 20 I think next. There it is right there. There's 19 --

DIR. KELLY: So I'm just going to follow on with, with, still on 19, that some of the

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

responses that were given by the school
districts that responded no, where either that
part time law enforcement is assigned there,
which means they're not there from bell to
bell, they're there for a hours a day, or they
were contracting with private security
companies, or that they had the loss of
determination of a guardian, or a loss of an
SRO and the Sheriff's Office does not have
anyone to replace them yet. Or in a lot of
cases where the lack of funds to hire a safe
school officer was the answer that was given.
And finally that there were in some instances
where we didn't receive reports on all schools
in a particular school district.

SEC. MAYHEW: Chair.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: I'm sorry, go ahead.

SEC. MAYHEW: I just wanted to ask are there any work force challenges, just an inability to fill these positions, law enforcement challenges in recruiting and retaining, that is exacerbating availability of these --

CHAIR: Yeah, so we -- is that -- and we did a survey back in December -- first of all,

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

law enforcement is challenged across the country, not just here in Florida but across the country in recruiting. There are probably somewhere around 1,500 openings today for police officers in the state of Florida. We can't hire what we need right now. And depending upon the numbers, but it's always a challenge, but we use roughly, around 4,000 schools in the state of Florida, probably a little more than half right now have SROs, and just putting it into round numbers, just to put a cop on every campus we would need 3,500 people that just simply don't exist, not to mention the cost.

So this is why the Commission has supported the Guardian, one of the reasons the Commission has supported the Guardian Program and using non-police officers in the Guardian capacity to be that good person with a gun, and because they are well trained, and they are qualified. As far as being able to hire guardians are concerned across Florida, I'd say to some degree it's a mixed bag. And I can tell you from personal experience in Pinellas County we've had no problem filling our

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

positions. We have about 110 guardians.

2.2

I think Sheriff Judd would speak to Polk County. They had, are in good shape. They've been able to hire them. It doesn't mean that you don't go through a selection process, and a weeding out process. But some districts have set the bar too high, so that they'll tell you that we can't hire guardians. Well, when you set the bar, and you require they have X number of years in military, X number of years of law enforcement, and you're making it something that is in some cases post insurmountable, then, yeah, of course you're going to have problems.

And there are -- and as Director Kelly will get to here in a second, is, is that there are some districts that have authorized existing personnel to be guardians, to be that force multiplier, because two is better than one, three is better than two, and four is better than three. And a whole bunch of districts just won't do it.

So there are plenty of options to comply with this, plenty of options. The problem with the options, some just don't like the options.

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

Veritext Legal Solutions

And there's a difference between not having options available and just not wanting to use what is available to you because you don't like it. And as I have said many times, and will continue to say, this needs to be viewed through a lens of not what you like, not what you want, not what you think should exist in a perfect world, but what can you live with, and I can't live with dead kids so we need to be realistic about it, and we need to do what is achievable, not what we think should happen in that perfect environment.

And there is no perfect in this, and there cannot be any perfect in this, but if we want a different outcome than what happened on February 14th of last year then we have to do it differently, and we cannot expect a different outcome if we don't affect change, and we're not doing it differently. You know, we all know the adage if you always do what you've always done, you're going to get what you always got. So you have choices; either you change and you move toward a different outcome, but if you don't change and you get the same, or God forbid worse outcome, don't

2.2

sit there and complain about it, and be in awe about why it happened again.

So this is the message that I know I can tell you that I have been sharing, and trying to get people to understand, especially those that are just resistant, and just don't want it because they don't like it, but they don't have another answer. And when people say it should be all cops, there are not enough, they don't exist, and it would cost north of \$400 million to put one cop on every campus. And even if the money rained out of the sky they don't exist.

And I'll stop with one more thought, is, is that one of the issues that continually comes up by the people that oppose this is they say, and I've heard them -- and 7030, which is the House Bill that, I'm sorry, the Senate Bill which expands the Guardian Program to teachers, will be up Thursday morning in the Florida Senate, and you're going to have a whole bunch of people that are going to stand up there and testify in front of the appropriations committee, and they're going to not tell the whole story, not tell the truth, not share

2.

2.2

2.5

accurate information, and they're going to say that this shouldn't be done because these people are not qualified, they're under qualified, that -- and there's one woman who stands up there all the time that says these people only get eight hours of training; well, that's is flat out not true.

Is -- is that every single one of these guardians under Florida law is required to get more firearms training, more firearms training than a police recruit is required to get in the police academy, and to qualify at a higher percentage than police recruits are required to qualify, so they are well trained, and they get continuous training. So is -- is that it's -- it is an issue as far police officers not being available, but it's not an issue as far as guardians, either dedicated guardians they'll be able to hire, or use existing personnel.

And people can come into compliance with this, it's getting over their dislike. What do you like worse, a bad situation, or at least you got somebody there where people have a fighting chance? Go ahead.

DIR. KELLY: The next slide, I have both

2.2

2.3

your district, your school district and the Sheriff authorized the Guardian Program. For some reason on the projector the numbers are not showing up. It should say 36 no and 31 yes. A question you might have as to why there are, there are 31 yes, this includes the six Sheriff's Offices who had already let us know or had let their district know that they were going to participate in Guardian. So you have six new ones included in, in this slide right here. And since this slide was actually made seven more have expressed an interest.

Does your school district authorize school employees, principals, coaches, counselors, et cetera, to perform Guardian duties in addition to their regular duties? The numbers that you should see are up there are 18 yes and 49 no, and a further breakdown of that would be that in eleven districts current staff are used as guardians, in nine districts they're monitors only, and in five districts they use a combination of both.

Has guardian training taken place in your district? 25 yes and 42 no.

CHAIR: So, Damien, just clarify that for

2.2

me for a second, what you just said. So where -- it is only eleven districts, so if you take a coach, an assistant principal, a counselor, that are all now permitted under Florida law, it's only eleven districts that are authorizing those people; is that right?

DIR. KELLY: There's eleven, and then there's five that have a combination.

CHAIR: A combination of both, right.

DIR. KELLY: Of both, yes, sir.

CHAIR: And then -- and then you said that it's nine that are dedicated quardians that are hired, they're school board employees, so when we talk about here does your district authorize employees is that, but were, the nine of them are just dedicated, they weren't employed previously as a coach or a counselor, or something, they were specifically hired for that purpose. So nine are dedicated, eleven are the collateral responsibility, and five are both; is that --

DIR. KELLY: Correct.

Okay. All right, thank you. CHAIR:

DIR. KELLY: Again, has guardian training taken place in your district? 25 report yes,

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

and 42 no. Does your district have a written active assailant response policy or procedure? The numbers appear, should be 63 yes and 4 no. And I'll drill down a little bit on the 4 districts that responded that they did not have this policy. One said that the policy was being developed for the 2019/2020 school year. The second one reported that they're in the process of writing the policy right now. third district reported that they call this a crisis and safety plan rather than active assailant response. And the fourth district said that the policy is written and goes before the school board later this month. So I feel fairly confident that if we were to survey the districts again by the beginning of the next school year it will be 67 will say yes.

CHAIR: And -- and, you know -- and one of them -- and, you know, they're not going to be happy with me about this, but I don't really care, is, is that Jacksonville is one of those, and Jacksonville is the same one last year that when it said every district will have a behavioral threat assessment team at every school, remember last summer that's the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

district that was having a discussion about whether it was a good idea to have threat assessment teams, and we called them out on it here, now they've got it. But still, that's a large school district, and they're the one, they're one of the ones that responded, is that they don't have a written active shooter policy in the Jacksonville school district. A year plus after this, that's not acceptable.

COMM. SCHACHTER: So I mean do we have any, and do you have any enforcement powers at this point? Does 7030 give you any enforcement powers? I know we talked about that at the last meeting, about being able to withhold funds; has that been implemented yet? Can you --

DIR. KELLY: What 7030 discusses is holding back the, the pay of the superintendent if they were seen to be non-compliant in any part of the statute. If -- if this was listed as a part of the statute, that every single school district had to have an active assailant policy plan in place, then funding could be withheld. But again I really feel like every district will respond to us that they do have

2.2

2.3

2.4

this in place. I think they're just in the process of writing it.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: And that requirement now, to be clear, even though it is certainly necessary and best practice, the requirement today that every district have one is not in law. It is in the Bills pending in the legislature, but it's not in law, so the answer to the question is they, they can't enforce something, even though there's no question it should be done, and we've seen the consequences of that, and we've been preaching about this for the last year, but there is no requirement, so they can't be held accountable for something that is not in law.

COMM. STEWART: So my thought is there are a few things in here that are not currently part of law. Is part of the thinking in having this as the survey so that we as a Commission can see the gap --

CHAIR: Yes.

COMM. STEWART: -- and potentially that give us more of a, of a impetus to get the legislature to put it in law so that there is enforcement authority?

CHAIR: Yeah, absolutely. And especially these Bills are pending right now, and so we're trying to make known here in why it's important, and why the Commission in our recommendations made the recommendations, they weren't just to make them, there's a reason behind them, and trying to make that known.

DIR. KELLY: The next slide, following on from the last side, if so, has that policy and procedure been distributed to all district school employees. The numbers you should see up there are 9 no and 58 yes. And there wasn't much follow up in the comment section to give us any indication as to why this hadn't take place.

And then following on; if so, does the policy or procedure clearly state that any and all employees are authorized to initiate an active shooter response, or code red, or a lockdown? The numbers that you should see there are 14 no and 53 yes.

Does your district have a policy or procedure requiring that all classroom doors be locked when occupied by students? The numbers you should see here are 14 no and 53 yes. And

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

made on this, these were some of the answers that they gave. It's being implemented next year. It's not in policy but it is a directive by the school superintendent. It's not in policy but it is not in policy but it is listed as a best practice. And some responded that it's unknown for charter schools.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Chair.

CHAIR: Yes, go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Can I just ask a question to the presenter?

CHAIR: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: Damien, going back a couple of slides in the, in the slide that says that are all school employees authorized to initiate an active shooter response, why, did you, did you follow up, or on the fourteen schools that are not instructing all their staff that they can call a code red, is there any way to -- I'm just trying to wrap my head around why they're not telling everybody that they can call a code red knowing what happened at Marjory Stoneman Douglas.

DIR. KELLY: We held a safety and security

convening that Sheriff Gualtieri came and spoke at, and he made it very clear that this Commission as a group decided that this is a very important best practice, and every school that I visited, it's one of the first questions I ask when I get there, is that if something happens on this campus who can initiate a lockdown, or call a code red, and the majority of the ones I go to, if they don't currently have it in place there it's something that they're planning to implement for, for Fall. But to answer your question as to why it hasn't already been done, sir, I don't know.

2.2

2.4

COMM. SCHACHTER: And the best practices that you're developing, you're establishing a work group to develop those best practices, right?

DIR. KELLY: Yes, sir.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Will this be, this be an example of one of the things that will be in your best practices?

DIR. KELLY: Yes, sir. Absolutely.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And so is there any way to, you know, make sure that all school districts, you know, abide by those best

practices, I guess we would need it to be in some law. Is there any plans for that?

So we're kind of jumping ahead a CHAIR: little bit, but it's okay because, but you'll see it this afternoon. What's in the Senate Bill would require that every district have an active assailant response policy that's approved by the superintendent. It's submitted to the Office of Safe Schools and would have to be approved by the Office of Safe Schools so there would be some continuity, and there would be oversight. The House Bill doesn't have that in it. We're trying to encourage the House to adopt that, go in that direction. So that's the -- that's what we're trying, trying to do with that, in making sure that --

And there's actually a very problematic piece in the House Bill. The House Bill, and I pointed this out to the House staff last week so they're aware of this, is that the House Bill actually says that the principal on every campus has to designate someone to activate the active shooter policy, and someone to call 911. That's a bad thing. It's so contrary to everything that we've talked about, every

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

accepted practice and premise that everybody needs to be empowered, so I've explained that to them.

I understand how it got in there, and why, but it is, it's a bad thing to have in the House Bill.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Adding another layer to the process, it's ridiculous.

CHAIR: It needs to -- everybody has to be empowered, and we know that. And so they're aware of that. I had a discussion with them last week about that.

DIR. KELLY: And, Mr. Schachter, following on from that, just to give you an example, I visited a school last week on a separate matter and spoke with the principal and assistant principal, and the incident that I was there to speak to them about, the day it happened they weren't on campus. And it -- I followed on from that because I thought about, about what you're asking about, and I said, well, let me ask you who, who can initiate a lockdown on this campus, and they said, well, either of us can, or the SRO. And I said, well, what would have happened the day that you weren't here,

2.2

2.3

2.4

and let's say the SRO was in the back of the, the school building, and you have somebody walk in to the front of the school with a weapon.

And you could see that their, it was something that their, their mind was starting to think on, and they were saying -- this was a charter school. And they said that they would bring it up to their charter board and have that policy changed so that anyone can initiate a lockdown.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SCHACHTER: I mean this Commission should be making recommendations to make your job easier, and so that you don't have to be the enforcer of every one of these, you know, recommendations, and have to go through to every district and hold everybody's hand and make them accountable. So if there's any way that we can do that, or, you know, you give us some recommendations that we can get to the legislature, that would be appreciated.

CHAIR: Okay. And, Commissioner Stewart, go ahead.

COMM. STEWART: And on this slide that's up there I have the same question that Commissioner Schachter does. This costs nothing. Unless that does represent charter

schools that are under a different SREF, and it may not have a lock on the door, but within our traditional public schools I can think of no reason that we would delay until next year something that does not cost anything. And it goes back to the question I had earlier, is this to draw attention to things that are best practices that cost nothing that need to be put into law.

CHAIR: Absolutely. And these responses are the, in the traditional public schools, this response. This is not just a charter issue, this is -- so it's absolutely -- and that's one of the reasons why, though, we're just telling you the numbers, and as you can tell from this why we're not sharing any specific locations, for obvious reasons.

DIR. KELLY: And just the last thing I want to mention on, on this topic, I believe Undersheriff Harpring has seen the video, Sheriff, you've seen it, and Sheriff, you've seen the video. I've studied the video of the mosque shooting in New Zealand, I've spent hours studying it, and if one thing, if there's one thing to learn from that shooting is that

2.2

anytime a door was closed the shooter bypassed it. He never ever entered a room. He did shoot into rooms where the door was open, but he never went into the room. And any door that was closed he bypassed, and he stayed in the open hall area, and then the open prayer room. And it's something that I think law enforcement that are in possession of it will use as a, as a great learning tool on how, how we deal with these active shooters moving forward.

The next slide, does each classroom door with a window have a teacher accessible opaque covering that may be quickly applied in response to an active assailant response. The numbers you should see up here are 31 yes and 36 no. And drilling down on some of the ones that answered no, some said that they were in the process of obtaining materials to cover the windows. Some responded covering the windows is left at the discretion of each teacher. And some responded that they are in the process of researching a solution to this issue.

CHAIR: And this goes -- Commissioner

Stewart, this just goes to your point, you know, you know, a piece of construction paper

2.2

2.3

2.4

handles it; what do you need to research, you know? I mean this is just -- it's the same thing, they're just not moving on these things. And you got 36 -- when you see 36 districts that don't have this in place, and provide something, anything -- we know being invisible -- we know being invisible works and is a step towards affective safety in these.

As Damien said we know in the Stoneman Douglas incident Cruz only shot those he could If he didn't shoot you, he didn't kill see. You know, what happened in the recent you. thing over in, in Newfoundland, he only shot people he saw. He didn't go into any rooms. We know from other incidents that it's line of sight, and it's what's visible, so if they can't see you -- it's certainly no downside to it, and a lot of upsides to it, so why doesn't every classroom in this state have something that can be put over the door? It's -- it's -it's mindboggling. But that's the response, so.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And -- and, Chair, we know that on the second floor nobody died, and that's one of the reasons.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIR: Right, because they were invisible, that's right, it works. These concepts work. We know the concepts work; they just have to be employed.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM. SCHACHTER: Can we mandate this?

CHAIR: We can't, you know, we can't

mandate anything, so. Sheriff Judd, yeah.

SHER. JUDD: I was going to wait until the end of Damien's presentation, but mental therapy won't let me wait any longer. We need to give every one of these districts a grade,

A-F. We need to publish it on social media.

We as a Commission. We need to publish it monthly with traditional media across this state. We need to ask the legislature to require the school districts to publish it by law on their websites, how well they're doing.

We need to not only do those things, but we need to require by law that the school districts put on their stationary that we're a failure at keeping your children safe. Put a disclaimer, we are failing, or we have a D, or we have a C of keeping your children safe.

I talked to one of the presidents of one of the colleges in our county, and he said the

number one question I'm asked when parents are evaluating my college as to whether to send children to school there are what kind of education are they going to get. The second question is what are you going to do to keep them safe. Now, I can't imagine there's much difference in those parents asking that of that college president and what our parents are asking for their children across this state.

We had pushing and mashing of teeth about this, starting this guardian program, and we got it passed through the legislature. August 13th, the first day of school, every public school in Polk County had either, had either a guardian on campus, or a school resource officer for the few charter that had, were working on putting guardians on campus but had not successfully had one pass the training.

The support from the parents from day one about seeing that guardian in the bus lane, in the drop off lane of the kids, was overwhelmingly positive, so these people that are pushing back in the school systems against this are pushing back against the parents and the children. So the only thing that works

2.2

2.3

2.4

when all else fails is laws with accountability and responsibility and penalties, and our public humiliation. Well, this Commission can publicly humiliate these school districts, and these school boards, and these superintendents that are absolutely not doing what they should, and the sooner we get about that the quicker the results will be.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And we've seen here the results of when we offer to subpoena and bring people before this microphone to explain to us why aren't you doing what you need to do. Heck, I would love one meeting where we just line the different school districts up and have the superintendent and the chairman of the commission stand there and explain to us why are you one of the percentage here that's, that's not accomplishing these things. That's a great start. And I'll take a breath, and I can make it through the rest of Damien's presentation now.

CHAIR: Yeah. Go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

COMM. SCHACHTER: So taking it a step

further, Sheriff, when I was recently in

Tallahassee I spoke to the, the President of

the Senate, you know, Galvano, I spoke to the Governor's staff, I spoke to the Director of FEMA, all about a school safety rating system, because that's what you're talking about. If we had a rating system where all the schools were graded based on the safety of their institution, do you have all these things that the Office of Safe Schools says that you're supposed to have, and if you don't you should be held publicly, a grading system knowing that.

You know we have a, when people go online to look at how, their school, they say, oh,
Marjory Stoneman Douglas is an A rated school.
Well, that -- most of the public doesn't
understand that's only academics. There's no
reason Marjory Stoneman Douglas should have an
A rating if they had never had a code red drill
for all of 2017 and 2018, they've never, they
didn't train their teachers, they didn't train
their staff on how to respond during an active
shooter incident. And until we start
publicizing the grading of their school based
on the safety of their institution, and if
they're implementing all of the best practices,

2.2

especially if the legislature is not going to mandate it, nothing will change.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

And I -- I'd like to make that motion. I don't know if you want to do it today or tomorrow, but I'd like that to be a discussion point, and a recommendation to come out of this Commission to establish a school safety rating system, because I think that would change the safety. If the district, if the legislature is not going to mandate it, we need to use that. The public need to understand how safe our institutions are.

CHAIR: Well, why don't you bring it up tomorrow? Why don't we talk about it tomorrow?

COMM. SCHACHTER: Thank you.

CHAIR: Mr. Petty, go ahead.

COMM. PETTY: I think I'm in violent agreement with Sheriff Judd. I think the only thing I didn't hear you say was, that I was hoping you would say, would be to make a motion to do something like that. But I -- I would like to ask you if you're willing to make a motion. I do think as a Commission we should publish out names of districts that are, that are not in compliance. And I think it's

information the legislature needs to have, but I also think it's a way to, to try to drive compliance. If they're -- if they're going to run away from the law, so to speak, and I think they want to do that in the cover of darkness, and I think we should sign some light on it.

2.2

SHER. JUDD: Commissioner, whenever the Chair thinks it's appropriate for that I'll be more than happy to make that.

CHAIR: So just -- just give some thought to this, because here what you all are saying, and what you want to do, but remember that some of these things that we're talking about are not now requirements in the law, they are best practices. I think that there is 100% concurrence that they are best practices, and I think that there's a little bit of a rub, and attention, and perhaps, you know, an issue with calling them out on something that they are not required to do, and that there is no regulation, law, rule, anything.

And the other thing we have to be careful about is, especially in some of the small districts, and we have some very small districts in this state, and I'm not saying

that the small districts are all the ones that are not in compliance, I'm not saying that, but in some of these, and as we looked at this data, and you can look at some of them, and just by identifying that district you create a vulnerability in letting people know that there is a huge hole, and that's something we have to be very careful of.

So if you start putting all this together, and let's say it's a particular district, and they don't have opaque coverings, they don't have locked doors, they don't have an active shooter responsibility, they don't have, they don't have, is, is that we don't want to signal to somebody who's got the thoughts that they may have that this is an easy target. So I think that all has to go into the discussion about whether and how that's done.

Perhaps, you know, is that -- again, I know that we are making progress with the superintendents, and with these districts. I know that we're in a better place today than we were even forty-five or sixty days ago. I know that they are making some progress with these things. Perhaps what it is is that maybe we

2.2

2.4

start with identifying these, and that we can send letters, or reach out to the ones that are not in compliance, do an update for June, and see how makes progress between now and then.

But I'm with you, okay, believe me, that, you know, it should have already been done, that shouldn't, we shouldn't be sitting here over a year later and not have compliance. But before we, you know, go further with the sledgehammer approach, maybe we see if we can get some of these in compliance. Because that's our goal, our goal is compliance. But -- but again, it's compliance with something that there is nothing in law to comply with. That's another problem with this. Go ahead, Sheriff.

SHER. JUDD: Mr. Chair, I would like to respectfully give you a position. We had one charter school in our county that was not going to comply, and they said, well but if you call us out you make us vulnerable, are you going to think about that tomorrow when you read the morning paper. And I called them out, and miracles never cease, they put somebody on the campus. So I think you don't call them out for

2.

2.2

things that are not mandated by law, there's, there's enough 7026 stuff here that's not being complied with that they've had now almost a year to comply with, or right at a year probably by now, so and, and I understand that you don't have to use a hammer on everything, but there's enough, there's enough record here of either passive aggressiveness or flat refusal on the law where there's a, there's an opportunity to start, and fire a few shots over the bow before we hit them broadside.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But at the end of the day, at the end of day our -- our mission is to investigate what occurred and to make recommendations. We -- I think we have the public position here that when we obtain these best practices, and we take it incrementally but we make the statement we're going to hold you publicly accountable, just so, so you will either be recognized as a safe learning environment or not, and it's your choice.

COMM. SCHACHTER: It's -- it's the carrot and the stick, and I think that a school safety rating system would be a tremendous carrot to -- and we're talking -- we're not talking about

-- we're talking about opaque covering, you know, a piece of construction paper. We're not talking about bulletproof glass here.

CHAIR: I get it, you know. Well, why don't we have that discussion more tomorrow?

Let's give this some thought, maybe we'll look at some of the things in here that are in compliance or not. You know it certainly can be, and one of the things that we need to have a discussion about tomorrow is, is that when do we issue our next report. The only thing that's in the law is we had to have the initial report in, which we complied with, by January 1st, and we can issue other reports, and supplemental reports at any time we want to.

I do think that it's something that we should give some thought to, and probably a self- imposed deadline. Remember this is a quick turnaround year for the legislature, so the legislative session ends May 3rd. Because it's an election year next year the legislature will meet in January, which means they're going to come back, and think interim committee weeks will probably start somewhere in September, October, so as soon as the session ends in May

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

they're going to start filing Bills again during the summer and they'll be right back at it.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So that's a good thing in some aspects, but I think that if we're going to see -- well, first we need to see what passes this year, and then -- but what I'm saying is we probably should have another report in time for the legislature to have the benefit of it, and maybe impose a deadline on ourselves of another report by September 1, or maybe October 1 at the latest, so that they have the benefit of these updated, and, and things like that.

I'm not sure that we could, if it's the will of the Commission, to make a recommendation about some type of a rating system. I'm not sure that we have the authority to come up with a rating system ourselves, and to implement a rating system. But we can make a recommendation the legislature could do, like you're talking about. But I'm not sure that we have the authority to, to come up with a criteria and start rating 4,000 schools.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Well, we're going to

come up with best practices, best practices, the Office of Safe Schools does, and we have a lot in here to use that we've identified as best practices.

CHAIR: Right.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: That's what they -- that's what they, they tasked us to do.

CHAIR: Right. But for us to come up with, as a Commission to come up with the criteria, and then identify those that are, quote, not in compliance with something that you're not required to do, although it's a best practice, and then start rating schools, and based on this criteria, you know, we got to make sure that we don't get outside of our lane on that.

SHER. JUDD: Mr. Chair, let's bifurcate that for a second. I would recommend first that we rate them on what 7026 requires them to do.

CHAIR: Right, I get that.

SHER. JUDD: And they're not complying with that.

CHAIR: Right.

SHER. JUDD: Then we move forward on best

Veritext Legal Solutions

practices, because I -- I agree with you,
because this Commission recommends it as a best
practices is not a mandate, we don't have that
authority. The -- the school -- the various
school districts do, and we can bring the
Chairman of the Board here and say here's your
best practices, we're going to recommend it to
the legislature for a law, are you willing to
comply with it.

But I think it's an incremental process, absolutely, but we see clearly that they're not, that some districts have not, and have not complied with the law, so start the rating system based on what's already in law, and have you complied or not, you've had a year, we've given you -- I mean we -- we didn't roll in in forty five days and start trying to hold people accountable.

CHAIR: Right.

SHER. JUDD: So it's time to hold people accountable that, that otherwise have clearly demonstrated they don't care about the children or the staff that teaches in their institutions.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley, and then

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.5

1 Commissioner Carroll.

2.2

2.3

2.5

SHER. ASHLEY: Just for this Commission's sake is I certainly agree in principal with the proposal, but I also know about unintended consequences. If we go down this road and we actually name a school as being unsafe, or grade them as being unsafe, can you actually require students to attend that school? And so I think we've got to be careful on how we go about this whole process.

CHAIR: Commissioner Carroll, go ahead.

COMM. CARROLL: Just for point of clarification, because I do support holding folks accountable, but I think this Commission ought to be focused at a systems level. I don't think we should be in the business of evaluating each individual school throughout -- we should be looking at school systems and school districts, and as a district did they put the policies and systems in place that keep kids safe, and then it's really their responsibility to make sure that every school is up to a certain par.

But I don't think that this Commission should ever get into rating each individual

school. We don't have the resources to do it, first of all. I'd be more interested in knowing is the school district following the policies and edicts that were put out, and is some of this best practice folded into some of that, because I think some of the best practice that we're talking about, it doesn't cost anything, and it's a no brainer, and should be rolled into without a lot of effort.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So I think you could do it, but I would much strongly encourage this committee to stay at a systems level, and not get into that granular level of becoming the raters of each, of all 4,000 schools en-masse.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Can I ask the, the Commissioner a question?

CHAIR: Okay, go ahead.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Because the Office of Safe Schools is having to do that exact thing, they're having to go through on a granular level and figure out, you know, how many schools are safe and not, and so, you know, to save Damien time, and instead of calling, you know, all 6,000 schools, you know, would it, would you be in favor of tasking the Office of

Safe Schools, since they are developing these best practices anyway, them developing the rating system.

COMM. CARROLL: Well, I don't want to get into the discussion of rating systems, but I'm sure on this survey that there are some school districts that answered yes to every question, and I'm sure that some have mixed responses, and some of the ones answered no to most of the questions, so I'm, I'm thinking that you have some that have answered in the affirmative on most of these, you have some where it's a mixed bag, and then you have some that are in catch-up mode.

That's the type of information I think would, I would find useful as the Commissioners, to know where about folk, where folks are in this process, because the folks who are answering mostly no to these questions, they need a kick in the butt to move forward. The ones that are a mixed bag, and they're focused, I'd like to know why they're a mixed bag. So, for instance, they may be a mixed bag because they haven't solved the problem of charter schools yet in their district. Okay,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

well, that's a very specific topic and, and that action plan to address that is very different from you just have a mixed bag with public schools within your school district.

So I do think that with the information that Damien has gathered here he has the basis to go through and give us an idea of where in those big bucket levels some of these school districts would start. I think Sheriff Gualtieri is right, we don't even have a rating system set up yet, so even before we would get that set up I do think a letter to the, if you put thee in big buckets, where you've got some that are in non-compliance, some where it's a mixed bag, and some doing real well, I think you could send letters as a, as a starting point to the ones who are doing real well saying thank you, and, and keep us the good work, and keep us apprised to the mixed bag to figure out more about what their issues and challenges are. And then to the ones that are really behind the curve here, that that should be our focus.

But to actually develop a rating tool that can be implemented, there's a lot of things

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

that have to happen before that rating tool is even developed, and we can do a lot in the interim before that happens. So I'd just rather keep this at a systems level rather than a school level.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM. SCHACHTER: Your point is well taken, but Damien needs help. He's already sending letters, and calling, and they're still not doing it. We have tasked -- you know, we can make recommendations to the legislature, but they're still not doing it. We need this information to be coming out into the public, and the public will put pressure on these schools to make them safe.

CHAIR: So -- so let's, again, have this discussion after you see, excuse me, what's in both Bills. And we really need to see what comes out of this legislative session too, because there, there's an opportunity there, and we'll see what the legislature does, to put a lot of compliance teeth in place that DOE does not now have. So a lot of that will depend upon what the legislature does as well, because it's their -- Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHER. JUDD: No, I agree with Commissioner

Carroll. It wasn't my intent to get down into each school. DOE would, once the system is created, we, we would stay at the district level and say this district is not complying, they're not moving forward, and let them say, hey, 50% of the schools are there, 75% of the schools are there, and, and put the matrix together. But I agree we stay at the district level going what are you doing a year later, and you're not even making any effort to comply with the law.

CHAIR: So -- so an example of this if you would, and look at -- and, Damien, you can come back to these, but just here's an example, okay, is what you're, is that where there's a difference between policy, practice, and what is required, and that those are not -- this is a clear example.

In Slide 29 it says does every school in your district conduct an active assailant drill at least one time per month. You have 24 districts that don't do that, and that is in the law, it is in 7026. It says that every district shall do an active shooter drill at least as often as other drills are done, and

2.2

the other drills are done monthly.

2.

2.2

People are using metal hoops, and gyrations, and spin, and everything else, that's clear, okay, it is clear, but you've got 24, that's in law, where when you look at the preceding page, which is Slide 28, and it says does your district have a policy or procedure requiring a hard corner or safest area, you have 14 no, that's not in law. That is, as we've talked about, a practice, and a best practice, undoubtedly effective, and undoubtedly necessary, but there, there can be accountability for what is in law, but there can't be accountability for what is the best practice. That's an example.

And perhaps what we can do, you know, with these -- I can tell the response is going to be from those that aren't doing drills every month is, is because some don't like it, they don't want it, they think it's too much, they're not in agreement with it. There's process in this state where Bills are filed, they go through a committee, they go to the floor, the legislature passes the law, and the governor signs it. We weigh in in that process, but

once the law is in effect, we all abide by the law. We don't pick and choose what like and don't like, what we abide by and what we don't. If we don't like it then we work to modify it.

The law is in place, it should be adhered to. The law says drills every month, and you've got 24 that aren't doing it every month. You've got some that have decided, well, we'll do it every semester, or we'll do it quarterly, or we're going to just do it our way. So that, that's a big difference though than the practices, so perhaps we can focus on some of those things that are currently in law, and that are not being complied with, and that might be the more appropriate way to go. Go ahead, Mr. Petty.

COMM. PETTY: And, Mr. Chair, that's the discussion you want to try to have tomorrow?

CHAIR: Yeah, let's -- yeah, let's just get through this, and then -- because I want you to see what's also in, in the pending Bills, because I think that will help maybe inform the discussion, and inform your views as to a direction to go in, when you see what the options are in these existing Bills that are

2.

2.2

2.5

1 pending in the legislature.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SHER. JUDD: At the appropriate time I'm ready to make a motion.

CHAIR: Okay. All right, let's see if we can get through these, Damien.

Slide 28 was our next DIR. KELLY: Okay. slide. You can see the question was does your district have a policy or procedure requiring the establishment and identification of a hard corner or other safest area in each classroom. 14 districts responded no, 53 responded yes. And looking into the comments section there were two area that, that most touched on. Any district that uses the ALICE program will not respond that they use the hard corner because ALICE training teaches them to spread out in the classroom, to barricade the door, and to prepare to counterattack, so moving everybody to the safe corner goes against what ALICE training is.

What I explained to the districts who are, who have ALICE, is that this is just another tool for your tool belt, in Marjory Stoneman Douglas on the second floor, as you said, Mr. Schachter, this is what happened, everybody

moved, they turned the lights out, they covered the windows, they moved to the hard corner, and lives were saved as a result of it. But this is one of the reasons why they respond no to this question.

And the other one you touched on it earlier, Mr. Chairman, and that was some answered no because not all classrooms have what would be considered a hard corner. So if they have classrooms in their schools in their school districts that are not laid out like they were in Building 12 then they, they answered no to this. But I think everybody is using, has, has embraced this, and will use it moving forward. I just think right now for this survey this is why they answered no to these, to this question.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And those two excuses account for all of those 14 no's?

DIR. KELLY: Yeah. Or some just didn't give an, didn't give a response, but several responded in this way.

Does every school in your district conduct an active assailant drill at least one time per month? As you can see there were 24 no's, and

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

43 that responded yes. The -- of the ones who responded the, the majority of them said that they either do four drills a year, if they responded, no, they do four year, or one per semester, was their response.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Are there schools in your district that conduct active assailant drills where the students do not physically move or react during the drill? Ten responded yes, and 57 responded no.

COMM. SCHACHTER: What is the thought process of those 10?

DIR. KELLY: Some of them are -- there wasn't a lot of comment given to this, but the ones that I have visited, they're conducting an active shooter discussion with, with class members in lieu of a drill. And it's something that I have addressed with them, and I think rather than dwell on this, when the school safety specialists' panel is here this afternoon, you'll be able to get firsthand insightful information on this from, from the panel that we have.

COMM. SCHACHTER: All right, thank you.

CHAIR: Some just disagree with it. They

Veritext Legal Solutions

just don't like it. They don't like the drill concept.

DIR. KELLY: The next slide was do you conduct active shooter drills that require the students to run, in addition to locking down, hiding. And you have 41 that answered yes, and 26 answered no. And a lot of the responses, if they gave a comment, the comment was that they do not allow students to run during any drill that they perform on campus, I would imagine to prevent any injuries to, to students during drills. So that's -- that was the reason they responded no, because that's the, the policy that they have.

CHAIR: I can tell you the reason for this question was, and they were doing it here in Broward, is, that it came up during the discussion, is, is that, and maybe we shouldn't use the word run, maybe we should have used flee, or something to that affect, because, or move, because some, they only thing that they are training, the only thing that they were training in these drills is to hide, to lock down.

We know that the first, or one of the

2.2

2.3

2.4

first decisions that need to be made as far as a reaction is do you flee, or do you hide, lockdown and stay in place. That's an initial decision that has to be made. But I think it's fair to say that if all your training is locking down that's what, the only thing that they will do, is to lock down and not think about that option. So the whole point is, because the concept of the training is, and the drills are, to make decisions, to make decisions about what is the best reaction.

2.2

2.4

It may be to run, if you will, or to flee, as opposed to staying in place and locking down. That's the whole intent behind this so, you know, to the extent that some of them answered because we don't allow running, the real, the core of question was is how many are just doing drills where it is just a lockdown drill as opposed to a get out of there drill. And so maybe it wasn't as artfully worded, so that may be a problem in the responses.

DIR. KELLY: Slide 32, the next question was does every school employee have a communication device on their person and/or a device that is immediately accessible at all

times where he or she may immediately communicate an observed threat and activate an active assailant response. Now, as you can see 33 responded yes, and 34 responded no.

CHAIR: So -- so this is a problem. One of the things that we have been I guess at this point preaching is the, the need for immediacy. And as we are looking at the long term is to have immediacy and harm mitigation, and there are three things that we have identified. The first thing you have to do is to identify the threat. The second thing is communicate the threat. And the third thing is to react to the threat.

If you can identify it, well, there's nothing to communicate, and there's nothing to react to. If you identify it but you don't have the mechanism to communicate it then people can't react. If you identify it and you communicate it, but you don't have the right policies, procedures, training, knowledge base in order to react, then you're not going to be able to mitigate the harm.

So we know we had that problem at Stoneman

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Douglas. We had Medina who saw crazy boy with a gun. We know he didn't call a code red. We know only a handful of people had radios, and we know that even if he had gotten on the radio and communicated it, we know that there are only speakers in the classrooms, not in the common areas, and we know that we didn't have a code red called until about three minutes and fifty seconds into the whole thing, when it was just about over with.

So today I know that we have, and when we get to the next, one of the next slides here about staffed and unstaffed ingress and egress points, we have ingress and egress points that are being staffed, but the people who are staffing those ingress and egress points have no communication device, so identify the threat, right, communicate the threat, no, we can't do it, so how are people going to react to it.

So what it gets back to, as I've said, is that where there's a will there's a way. And a lot of them in their responses, either with the, the survey or the responses we've had individual, well, we're working on it, and

2.2

2.3

2.4

we're looking at RFPs, we're looking at ITNs, we're looking at procurement processes, we're looking at apps, we're looking at all this stuff. Okay, that's all great, but what, what about the interim? Go to Walmart and buy a bunch of walkie-talkies, figure something out.

2.2

But if you have a whole bunch of people that can identify the threat, and they are empowered because anybody can call that active assailant response hopefully, but if they can't communicate it, and you've got a whole bunch of districts that have vulnerability, and have holes because say that not everybody has the ability if they see something to be able to communicate it, is not a good place to be.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And -- and, Chair, that's why I recommended, and correct me if I'm wrong, did we put this in the recommendation, to have every, everybody have a key fob where instantaneously, in two seconds they, the immediate notification to law enforcement happens? I think we did.

CHAIR: We put -- they need to be able to communicate it, Mr. Schachter. How -- how -- and getting back to, I think to Commissioner

Veritext Legal Solutions

Carroll's point, I really think that we have to do is stay at a level where we are making recommendations, maybe strong recommendations, you know, about what needs to be done, but they need a latitude to figure out how to do it. So it isn't one thing, it's not one device, it's not one instrument. Personally I don't care if they use cheap walkie-talkies or they use very, very expensive elaborate apps on phones, without using vendors' names, et cetera, that are all the bells and whistles. They're all good, just figure it out and get something done.

We're, you know, fourteen, fifteen months now after the incident, is, is that those are the types of things that at this juncture should be in place, because those are the types of things that are going to mitigate the harm. I don't care what you use.

DIR. KELLY: And the next slide is actually very similar to the previous slide. The only difference is it asks if they have a device where they can receive communications, and the response were identical, 33 yes and 34 no.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The next question asked was does every, very school in your district have a requirement that gates to fences surrounding the school be closed and locked when not being used for active ingress and egress. 57 responded yes, and 10 responded no.

CHAIR: So in those 10 why even have a fence? I mean if they -- if they don't have to be closed and locked why even have a fence?

DIR. KELLY: The next slide; is there a requirement that gates open for ingress and egress be staffed at all times when open? 29 responded yes, and 38 responded no.

CHAIR: Same question. If you're opening them, and like they did at Stoneman Douglas, for two hours in the morning before school, and for thirty minutes before dismissal in the afternoon, and then you're opening them, and you're just leaving them open so a guy like Cruz who went there that knows how to exploit it because he knew they were going to be open and just walk through; why even have locked gates, and they're not staffed, and you got to -- and so, you know, again, there's a will, there's a way.

2.4

What some of them will say is, is that, well, we don't have the people. Yes, you do. Yes, you do. If there's a will there's a way, so that you don't leave a gate unstaffed so that somebody can just walk through it, but --

COMM. SCHACHTER: Unfortunately we have to realize that there is the mindset that it's not going to happen on their campus. And that's why I think this, this conversation is so important.

DIR. KELLY: And that was the last question on there. Just following on from that, and the topic kind of lines up of what you were speaking about, Sheriff. This past Thursday at Taravella High School here in Broward County a student reported to the SRO that a former student was observed on campus carrying a large bag. The school was immediately placed on code red. Coral Springs PD and Broward Sheriff's Office responded. Parents were notified through parent link. The all clear was given after the event was investigated using real time video.

This data was provided to me by Chief Katz after I requested it. The reason I requested

it is I, and I believe I forwarded you the article, Sheriff, where the parents were extremely appreciative of the school's response, and the law enforcement response, and I think it's a good indicator that, that here in Broward County that things are changing. I would like to thank Sheriff Tony, and Chief Parry from Coral Springs, and Chief Katz, for all of their efforts there.

And another thing I wanted to share with you, back I the Fall I was invited to be a part of a work group of former and current SWAT operators, and former and current SROs, and this work group was put together by FDLE, and the aim of the group was to develop a new single officer response to an active shooter on campus. We met at a hotel in Tallahassee for three days, and we worked out what we wanted to see happen as we developed this new curriculum.

One of the things we agreed on was, was that tactics didn't really need to change, but a new mindset was what we were going to go after, so we, we held some training in Orlando, in Wakulla County, in Jefferson County, and then next week we'll do one in Gainesville.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I'd like to say to Commissioner Swearingen our utmost thanks for, to you, and to Director Register, and to Deputy Director Yopp, and to the entire sworn training unit, because the training is some of the best I've ever seen, and hopefully we will at some point be able to look at some video of it.

It uses a lot of different stimulus as these officers are put through the different variations of the drill. It's extremely real. There are some live fire drills, and then there are some drills using just SIM rounds inside of, of buildings, and, and I think it's something that the Commission would gain a lot from seeing moving forward.

And lastly, I'd just like to see if
there's some way that we can, I know I use this
all the time, the No Notoriety Campaign,
because I think it's not important to me who,
who conducts these mass shootings of our
students. I think that history should forget
them, and as we move forward, I would like to
see these names not be used as often as they
are, and eventually they'll, they'll fade off
into the distance.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So with that if there's any questions I'd be happy to take them.

2.2

CHAIR: Anybody have any questions for Director Kelly? Mr. Schachter, go ahead.

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Just very quickly. I know that many of us on the Commission recognize the hard work that you do, but, Damien, I just want to thank you. I know that you've pretty much run the tires on your car bald running around the state, and I think you've been a silent warrior for most of the public. But we really appreciate, and know what you've been doing in these schools, and I think the public should know that you have made a very big difference for safety in the schools, and I thank you for it.

DIR. KELLY: Thank you.

CHAIR: Sheriff Ashley, go ahead.

SHER. ASHLEY: Damien, thank you again. I just want to echo that. Do you feel like you have currently enough resources to do what we're asking you to do?

DIR. KELLY: I think we -- as we move forward our office will, initially will double, if not triple, because if all of the, the tasks

305-376-8800

that are in the upcoming Bills are in there we will have to have a division that, that basically does nothing but compliance and audits, and we will need a lot more manpower to get that done.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHER. ASHLEY: Do you feel like that's happening?

DR. KELLY: It is in the process right now, yes, sir.

CHAIR: Go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And I wanted to echo the Commissioner's comments. Thank you for all of your efforts, Damien. Since you brought up the Taravella incident I just want to make two comments that were disturbing in the after-action report. And that is, number one, there was only key in the knocks box, you know, that's, that's bad. Number two, Coral Springs Police Department still does not have access to the cameras at Taravella High School. Coral Springs Police Department still does not have access to the cameras in the Coral Springs schools, so that needs to be fixed. Thank you very much.

> CHAIR: Okay. All right, thank you,

Director Kelly, we appreciate it. It's about 12:10, so we'll break for lunch, and start again right at 1:00. Thank you.

(Thereupon the meeting is in recess.)

CHAIR: We have with us this afternoon a panel of four school safety specialists, and remember we said that these are the people in each of the sixty-seven districts that are tasked with overseeing school safety. 7026 required that there be on appointed in every district, and the school safety specialists that we have here with us today represent a cross section of districts across Florida, and were asked to join us for a number of reasons, including that they represent different areas of the state, different ways of doing it large and small.

We have David Crawford from Baker County.

Captain Rick Francis from the Seminole County

Sheriff's Office who is the school safety

specialist in Seminole County, which is again a

unique way of doing it, Daniel Hahn from Santa

Rosa County, and John Newman from the

Hillsborough County Schools.

And as you can see here, again we have big

2.2

2.3

2.4

and small, some of the differences, and I'll let them get into this and explain it, but they are very diverse in that Captain Francis is a Captain with the Seminole County Sheriff's Office but serves in that capacity. Chief Newman is retired from the Tampa Police Department, and oversees a very large security force, who are not police officers, but a security force within the Hillsborough County schools that are also cross designated as quardians. So there's a whole wide spectrum. And also some of the districts, like Hillsborough, does not use guardians, and Baker County recently started using quardians, and we'll hear from David Crawford a little bit about that.

So what I think we would do to begin this

-- and it's always good to hear from, if you
will, the boots on the ground, the people that
are actually doing it, and that's why we
decided to do this panel, so that they could
make a presentation, then you could ask them
any questions that you wanted to. But I'm
going to begin with just very briefly asking
each of them to introduce themselves, tell us a

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

little bit about your district, the size of the district, the number of schools. Then I ask you each to as you introduce yourselves, and introduce your district, is then to tell us if you're using guardians, if you are how it's going with the guardians, are there any concerns with them, any issues that you've had, if you have recently -- I know in the case of Baker County there was a recent decision, why and how that's going with the implementation of them, and any problems, or any adverse incidents.

2.2

2.4

So if you would kind of limit your initial remarks to that, and then we're going to go through and ask each of them very specific questions about their role, and what is happening in their districts. And then we'll open it all up to you for questions. So why don't we begin with Chief Newman, and we'll just go down the line.

PANEL DISCUSSION SCHOOL SAFETY SPECIALISTS

CHF. NEWMAN: Thank you, Sheriff. To put a name to a face, or a face to a name, my name is John Newman. I'm the Chief for Security

Emergency Management for Hillsborough County.

We're the third largest district in the state.

We have about 215 - 216,000 students, about 277 campuses, to include our charter campuses,

25,000 employees, 17,000 of those are educators. We do use the Guardian Program, as the Sheriff alluded to. We had an existing security division since the early '70's, that we just took those folks and got them guardian certified through the cooperation of Sheriff Chronister from Hillsborough County.

And, you know, the panel, we really appreciate the opportunity to come here, and you'll hear in this, you know, about some of the challenges that we've had with the Senate Bill, and some of the growing pains that we're going through, and be able to share that with you. So thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR: Okay. And one of the things just for clarify, you know, I want to just make sure that everybody understands as we go down the line here because this is a unique model, is, is that you all have a security department, and all of your, what are now guardians, were already in place, and they are what are known as under security guard licensing D&G licensed

2.2

1 guards.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHF NEWMAN: That's correct.

CHAIR: Which means that they are security guards, which means they also carry firearms. And if you look at the folks, is, is that they look like they're wearing a police uniform, but they are security guards, and they're D&G licensed, to then when this took effect is the Sheriff and the School Board authorized the Guardian Program, but it's only as to your existing guards, it's not for existing school personnel other than these dedicated guards, and then they went through the added guardian training that the Sheriff put out; is that correct?

CHF NEWMAN: That's correct. So all of our folks -- at the time we had 119. We have 147 elementary so we were woefully short. We still had -- we still did psych exams, prescreening to include background checks, medical, if you're a driver you're going to be OTETA certified, so we did a lot of the mandates that came out of the legislation, in terms of we wanted those folks to get that type of vetting before they came on with us, and

they had to have a Class D&G, so the Sheriff agreed to guardian certify the existing 119 people that I had.

CHAIR: Do you know of any other district in the state that has the same model that Hillsborough has?

CHF. NEWMAN: No. And I know some -- I get a lot of phone calls from of our school safety specialists asking us how we do it, how we work. You know, there was some up-front costs that we incurred, like, you know, it's, you know, for hiring, for equipment, for training.

CHAIR: Sure.

CHF. NEWMAN: But the model has worked for us, and it's been in existence. When I got the district early 2014 it was really to put a security officer in every elementary following the Sandy Hook event. The then superintendent wanted to have a four year plan to do exactly what the Senate Bill last year called for, is to have an armed ASA on every campus, so when this Bill was passed last year, and gaveled in, we just picked up where we had stopped, because had stopped after a year, new board, new

2.2

direction, they didn't want to have somebody on every campus. So we already had a template for it, which made it very easy.

CHAIR: Okay. All right, Daniel.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

DIR. HAHN: My name is Daniel Hahn. A little bit about my background. I started off as a soldier twenty-two years. I was a military policeman for five years, then I went into Special Forces, which is a name for a colloquialism known as Green Berets in the Army. I became an emergency manager in Santa Rosa County, where I served for twelve years before taking this job as the Safety Director. I give you that background so you understand that I'm not afraid of guns.

Our district is, is extra large. You might have picked that up. We are medium. We have about 32 schools. We have 30,000 students. We have about 3,000 faculty. We do not use guardians, and currently there is no plan to do so. Our Sheriff has law enforcement in every one of our schools.

CHAIR: Next we have, from Baker County we have David Crawford. David.

DIR. CRAWFORD: Hi. Thank you for having

me here today. I'm David Crawford from Baker County, and my background, I was a principal for many years, a high school principal for a lot of years, and after that going to the district level, I became responsible for the operations area, and in that area school safety. We have a small school district, and we like it that way. We have 7 schools, and between 5 - 6,000 students, and it would be very easy in your mind kind of to set us to the side, except that 30 out of the 67 school districts in the state of Florida are small school districts. There are a lot of us out there.

We were not a guardian program. We were pretty -- based on our knowledge a year ago we were pretty strong that we did not want to be a guardian program. The Sheriff was of that mind, the superintendent, the board, myself, because at that point, all we understood was an either/or model, and that was either you have a certified sworn trained law enforcement officer or a guardian. That was kind of our mindset then, and we wanted a certified trained sworn law enforcement officer at each one of our

2.2

2.4

campuses.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But we watched carefully over the past year, we learned a lot, and the work of the Commission, the report that you all sent out really made a great impact on our thinking in this area. From the report we began to learn about the model of the force multiplier model. We're still committed to having a school resource deputy. We went a trained certified sworn law enforcement officer at each one of our schools, but we want the force multiplier. We want the plus two, the plus three. We have very large campuses, spread out, and we -- we're very excited.

We just based on the governor's executive order extending the deadline for making an application we have submitted our application at the beginning of last week, and Director Kelly's office said that the application looked good, so we, we are going forward. We have identified those individuals, and we, we're real looking forward -- we're going to do the covert model. We're not going to do the overt model; we're going to do the covert model. So thank you for your work, it's made a difference

800-726-7007

1 for us.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: All right, thanks. So you're going to use existing personnel. So you'll have an SRO, a sworn officer --

DIR. CRAWFORD: That's correct.

CHAIR: -- and then that two is better than one, three is better than two concept, so you'll have, open it up to personnel under the existing law that will be guardians as a collateral responsibility, so whether it's an assistant principal, a counselor, a coach, or something, those are the people you're talking about that Baker County is now going to implement; is that right?

DIR. CRAWFORD: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIR: Are there any restrictions on the personnel, other than the teachers, because the people who are predominantly teachers under the current law are not permitted, does the district impose any other restrictions, or anybody that is interested can apply, and then they'll go through a process?

DIR. CRAWFORD: That is -- yes.

CHAIR: Anybody. Okay, there's no, no additional restrictions on it, okay.

DR. CRAWFORD: That's right, you got it down.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: Yeah, thank you, appreciate that.

And the last one from an introductory comment,
this is Captain Rick Francis from Seminole

County. Captain.

CPT. FRANCIS: Thank you very much. Seminole County School District has roughly 68,000 students, 8,000 employees that we're responsible for serving, and 66 schools. What's unique about Seminole County is three years ago the Sheriff's Office assumed the ultimate role for school safety and security, and I was very fortunate to be blessed to handle that responsibilities. We have a dedicated officer or deputy in every single one of our schools for the last three years, and we're very fortunate to be just ahead of a lot of other districts in aspects when 7026 was signed. We were in almost 100% compliance the day that Bill was signed. So we continue to look at other innovative measures to make our school safer as we move forward.

CHAIR: Okay. All right, thanks, Captain, appreciate it. So one question we want to ask

everybody, as it relates to 7026 and the many requirements that are in it, and I know that you all have some thoughts on this, so why don't we just go back down and begin with Chief Newman again, is if you would tell us from your perspective, from your district's perspective, what are the things that are working well with 7026, and the areas that you think the legislature got right, and that you have favorably implemented? So we'll just go ahead with that as the question.

CHF. NEWMAN: When school opened this year, we had an armed ASA in every campus. We've always enjoyed, and we talked about this, the four of us, and the school safety specialists at the FADS conference, what's worked well for us is partnerships. And I'm --sometimes I'm taken aback when a county calls me and they're having, how'd you get this, you know, and they're having a problem, whether it's with the school district or with law enforcement. We haven't had that problem in Hillsborough County. As a matter of fact in the Bay area we all pretty much know each other, and we all had a can-do type of

2.2

2.3

2.4

attitude, so having an armed ASA on every campus when the school opened this year was the priority, and that we, we got that done.

We had to hire a lot more law enforcement. We put them on, you know, contracts monthly, and March 5th we rebated the last of the additional law enforcement officers that we needed to cover the sites. So what's worked well for us is we already had a model with our security program, being able to get those folks guardian certified an on campus didn't, it's not that it was seamless, it was a big, it was a heavy lift, but making sure we had somebody on every campus was, was a huge win.

The other thing the Florida Safe School
Assessment Took, Hillsborough County has used
that now for almost three years. I was -- it
wasn't mandatory. We were using it with the
RTSTF with FDLE, and Sylvia allowed us to get
on that platform, so we had insider baseball
knowledge of how that platform worked before it
became a legislative mandate coming out of 7026
for vulnerabilities and site assessments. So
having some of those legacy, or pre-staged
borders, or outlines, or templates, really

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 | worked well for us.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The most difficult for us is the mental health, and I think it's, when you read it in the Bill we have a very good mental health team with the school district, but there was a lot of moving parts to it, about connecting those wrap around services both on your campus to when the student leaves the campus, self-reporting, having the courts, you know, report, you know, mental health referrals, and then the youth mental health first aid training. You know the Bill says all. I got 26,000 employees, you're going to, when you're going to go ahead and train all employees, we have to figure out how to get that done.

But I would say the strongest thing for us is that we had somebody on every campus when school opened, and we've maintained that.

CHAIR: Okay. So why don't we do this, just for ease, because you kind of touched on it instead of separating it, so at the same time is, is that the what's working well, what is not working well?

CHF. NEWMAN: You all discussed it a little bit this morning. Fidelity. You know

we have a lot of the procedures and policies in place that, you know, we want the gates to be manned, you know, I, I come from -- I have -- my wife and daughters are teachers; my Sunday afternoon dinner conversations are a bit wild. But there's always been, been those rules that you will have a safe corner, we used to call it line of sight, but whether or not it's being done with fidelity, and I think that's the challenge for us, is we have these things, we have these requirements and protocols that we want our schools to follow, but going out there to make sure that they're practicing what we're asking them to do is the lift for us.

Now, we were doing lockdown drills with our staff prior to February 14th of last year, but now they've become obviously much more frequent, but getting our campuses, each and every one of them to do what they're supposed to do, and do it the way that the we expect them to do, is a little bit of a challenge.

CHAIR: You know, it comes to mind, and it's not my intention to put you on the spot with that, but is, is that why, you know, is it the accountability thing, is it something from

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

the school board, is it something from the superintendent, I mean, you know, is that if people are being told to do it they do it, and if they don't do it there's consequences. And generally when there's consequences then people get in line.

So why -- why do you think that -- I mean is it a culture thing, what is it --

CHF. NEWMAN: Yeah, I definitely think it's a cultural thing. You know I'm reminded that, you know, teachers didn't sign up for this, and we get to them on, when they're onboarding, we talk to our, our new employees, so it's hard for them to really understand what we're talking about. I think they embrace that bubble of grace that they live in, that nothing bad is going to happen. Even when we started doing the training, probably twenty months ago, on Run Hide Fight, then following it up with some of our lockdown drills, you, you learn that they weren't doing it the way that we just got finished covering it.

What happened last year is a game changer.

I'm seeing a bigger attitude adjustment about
taking things a bit more serious. And it's not

800-726-7007

2.2

that the principals or the administrators, or the teachers don't care, I just think they're really -- it's their culture, and it's the way they're processing it. It's very good where I work. I mean, I have a lot, I get a lot of the right kind of questions after a presentation. I've got three presentations due tomorrow, but it's really, it's a cultural, for me it's a cultural shift for these educators.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: How do you shift that culture?

CHF. NEWMAN: Every day I beat the drum.

That's all I can do.

CHAIR: So would you talk a little bit about, and we'll go down this, cause as we go down with each of you, ask you to talk about this, would you talk about, because it is a requirement of 7026, how it's going whether it falls into that category of going well or not so well, the behavioral threat assessment teams, and the behavioral threat assessment process of the Hillsborough schools?

CHF. NEWMAN: So the grounders for us, what we call the district behavioral threat assessment team, is we have, we have an identified threat assessment team on every

campus. They're identified at FSSAT, because that's where we load our crisis emergency management plans for each school, so they have to identify who is on their BTAT, and then of those folks that are on there, they have to identify who's had the youth mental health first aid training. So we have somebody on every campus.

2.2

2.3

2.4

It's been a little bit of an education curve for me, because I did not realize that's not part of the student record, so until we come up with an automated system, we'll be uploading the behavioral threat assessments into FSSAT so there's some governance. Us law enforcement people, you write a report, the sergeant approved it, you know, there's certain, certain levels before it could be completely done. Here we were doing behavioral threat assessments, and the principal to hold onto them, and there wasn't a lot of information sharing, and there wasn't a lot of governance.

So by putting it into the FSSAT people would be notified that a behavioral threat assessment was done on a specific student, and

they have to open that to be able to read, to find out what's going on, so we don't have any deliberant indifference issues where recommendations were made and not followed up with. So that for us is a little bit of a challenge. We're using the FSSAT as the reporting vessel, just take a paper-based system and put it into the, into the platform, but it, it's very silo driven, right down to the intelligence and data sharing, and that's a challenge.

CHAIR: Okay. If you have any thought to, or do you support a statewide uniform minimum tool?

CHF. NEWMAN: Yes.

CHAIR: Okay. Talk -- talk to us a little bit about your compliance, and how you all are accomplishing SESIR reporting, and what challenges you have, and how it's done, especially at the school level, because we know SESIR incidents are, we're going to hear more about this tomorrow, but they're required to be reported by the schools. Do you feel like that they are being accurately reported? Is there any resistance to reporting? Is there a

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

culture problem in reporting? Do you think there's full transparency? Just talk to us from your perspective in the Hillsborough schools on SESIR.

CHF. NEWMAN: Well, SESIR falls to our director of administration, so our principal reports SESIR to that director, and they report I really think it's bad data in, bad data it. out, and there's a lot -- there needs -- there could be an improvement on the training to the principals on how to report SESIR. confuse it with criminal code all the time. only get involved with SESIR when there's some clarification on what's a weapon, you know, you know, so somebody might put a, a butter knife and call, you know, or a firearm, and call that a weapon not a firearm, so they ask me to look at it.

But when I look at it, it kind of looks like they're not terribly trained or well versed on certain definitions, but the district overall does a good job with SESIR, but it's site based, and the principals put that data in.

CHAIR: So -- so do you -- is there any --

25

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

do you hear anything, anything in the climate, and I'll get to a question later about the climate of overall security, is there any reluctance to report because of how it's going to make the district look, or the school look? Is there any of that discussion among personnel, where they're underreporting, or not reporting because of some perception issue?

CHF. NEWMAN: You know, you hear that, whether or not the district is reporting or not, but when I look at the SESIR reports there's reports in there, and they seem to accurately -- I'm not on all the campuses, so it seems to accurately reflect what's going on with the campus, but then when you look at some of your, you know, tell surveys, you'll hear, well, we're not always reporting. And we all -- our district also has a reporting mechanism for behavior, so there's reporting vessels that the district uses, and they appear to be used, you know, with a lot of frequency, I just can't speak to the accuracy of them.

CHAIR: All right, so my las question is on drills. Your district, that is doing drills every month as required?

2.2

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHF. NEWMAN: So in the beginning of the year when the governor's declaration came out the original letter was twice per semester, is what we had read, and then I, I listened and watched all these Commission hearings, and I saw Director Kelly stand up and say we're going to do it as frequently as ever other, every other drill, which would be the fire, obviously the fire drill, so starting January we started doing them every month, just like a fire drill.

CHAIR: How are they going for you?

CHF. NEWMAN: Well, you know, I look at them, because we have a lot of sites with cameras and I watch them, and we're working with the principals as to what to look for, you know, like administrators should be walking down the hallways checking the doors, looking in, and doing some, see if they're doing what they're supposed to be doing. I'd like to get to some option-based training, you all talked about it earlier, where they run, yeah, we, we evacuate them, so we actually leave the classroom, but I would like to be able to do some option based training.

We're not there yet. I would -- I'm going

to work before I can run, no pun intended, kind of guy. But they're going well. And there is a discussion, I'd like to see a blend of the drills, because, you know, sometimes, like the fire drills, we do them every month, they're almost a road exercise, so, you know, but we do them every month. They've gotten a lot better. I have parents that struggle with why we're doing it, especially at that K1-2-3 level, you know, they, their child comes home, and their parents are telling us that they want to opt the child out, but overall the district is going a good job compared to where they were maybe October of last year, with these drills being done much better.

CHAIR: So having seen really both, I guess monthly and non-monthly, what's your view, what do you support; do you think that they should be done monthly, or do you think they should be done with less frequency?

CHF. NEWMAN: I really think it's the grade level, you know, and I say that because our middle schools and high schools are much different than the elementary, so, you know, the fire drills I think are done too

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

frequently. And I know there's a lot of conversation with state fire marshals, and their, their goal, and says the reason why we haven't had fires in fifty some odd years is because we do the drills every months.

2.2

2.4

2.5

I think there could be a good blend.

Normally I would say let's leave it to the discretion of the site administrator, I've since changed my mind. But I think there's a balancing act we need to find, and I'm not exactly sure what that is. I do think once a month for a fire drill and a active shooter drill is a bit much, but I'm not sure where the balance is. I think it's worth a discussion.

CHAIR: Do you have different age appropriate drills, in other words different types of drills at the elementary schools versus the middle and high schools?

CHF. NEWMAN: No, they all -- they do the lockdown drills the same, in the same amount of number. But like I said I'd like to look at the drills, once we get used to the lockdown drill, to do some option-based drills. But we're going to get everyone used to the lock down drills first.

CHAIR: Okay, thanks, John. Appreciate it. Daniel, same question. Just begin with, you know, what's working well, what's not working well, and try and cover some of these other areas, and I'll guide you through anything you missed.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

DIR. HAHN: Sure. Absolutely. I think that one of the things that works well is the, the openness that the law leaves us to do things that aren't dictated. We're doing a lot of things in our district that are not even discussed in the law that are working well to increase safety and security, and things I, in an open forum I'd rather not talk about, but it leaves us enough discretion to innovate. Like our SWAT team came up with the recommendations last summer, said can you do XY&Z. implemented XY&Z in the schools because it speeds up response for law enforcement personnel. So not dictating every iota of what we should be doing is a positive.

I agree with everything he said about the fire drills. We haven't -- we're -- back to military analogy, and I apologize for that, we're fighting the last war. The next war

should be how to protect kids from gunfire. I think it's not fire drills that save kids now, it's, it's the fact that we build schools so they don't burn, so there's a lot of reasons why we can probably back off on some fire drills, especially at the, adjust them based on grades, so that we can do other drills.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I try and never lose fact, lose sight of the fact that schools exist to teach, and the more time we do stuff that's not teaching that's not mission specific, and we need to remember what our mission is in schools, it's to teach. That's not to say that we shouldn't drill, we should drill, but I'm with him, we probably drill a little much. Other things that work is I love having the behavioral health teams spelled out, you know, administrator, teacher, somebody in psychological first aid, or a psychologist counselor type, and law enforcement.

One of the reasons why I'm a firm advocate of the SROs is because then you have that green uniform, vested in the school, knows the students, knows the faculty, attending those behavioral health, instead of pulling somebody

in every month that might be just a street cop that was told to show up for a meeting that's mandated by law. And also it gives us the ability to teach children, if you think long term, I'm a planner, you know, a dozen years from now, they go through school seeing the green uniform as a good guy, they get out of school, cops are good guys now, and may influence behavior in post school activities, and so I think that the behavioral health teams oriented the way they are is really beneficial.

Again, the way John stated it is the mental health training requirement, I've only got 2,000, 3,000 teachers, but teaching them a six-hour block instruction; I can't imagine how he's going to do it. That can be a challenge, especially when their certification lasts so long, and we only have so many instructors. But those are some of the things that I really like with the law. There are challenges, but so far, we're complying.

CHAIR: Can you think -- can you think of any specific challenges other than what you said, anything else?

DIR. HAHN: No, it's really, you know,

2.

2.2

I've got -- I've got schools that are near chemical plants. I'd like to maybe take one of their fire drills and focus on what happens when the alarm goes off at the chemical plant, that we don't need, you know, five miles down the road ever. So being able to tailor in the district what's needed is probably more important to me.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: Is there anything that's preventing you from doing that now?

DIR. HAHN: Other than the fact that it's just adding more drills. We're already mandated to do so many.

CHAIR: So do you all differentiate between elementary school and middle and high school?

DIR. HAHN: No, but I'd love to.

CHAIR: So what, what's stopping you from doing that?

DIR. HAHN: I've been on the job since July, and I haven't had time to catch up.

CHAIR: Okay. Because there are districts that are doing it. I know that if you need to check around there are some that have different ones. And it's always nice not to reinvent the

800-726-7007

wheel, and if you can get somebody to help you out --

DIR. HAHN: Oh, I'm with you.

CHAIR: So -- okay, so on the, on the drills though, and so, you know, you've been there since July and you've seen the drills now, and you're doing the drills monthly --

DIR. HAHN: Right.

CHAIR: -- is, is that -- and maybe, you know, a theme we're hearing here is, is that maybe for the elementary schools, maybe the frequency is a little bit different than the middle and high schools perhaps. Is that -- is that what you, your thoughts?

DR. HAHN: I would -- I would say that that's so. We presented some information on this several months ago, a group of us, my peers, in that we would really like to see the changes in the grade level drills. We just feel like, I think Rick says it best, from the time they start first grade to graduating they've done over three hundred drills, you know, that, that last few years they're like, yeah, it's old hat, we're not going to take it seriously. But if we can tweak things as

2.2

2.5

1

they're growing up maybe that will work better.

2. 3

CHAIR: And -- and decision-making drills, so it doesn't have to be the same thing every

4

month, right, is the decision making drills are

5

good too. And what about as far as the, let's,

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

let's talk about it here, about your threat assessment teams, how is that working? DIR. HAHN: So far fantastic. I get every report from our school psychologist when he's called out. And law enforcement, if they do their own assessment on a child that does not have a psychologist do an assessment, I get all those reports. They -- they take everything seriously. We've had FBI contact us about an out of town new student, and, and telling us,

hey, this guy said this over in Mississippi,

you might want to watch him. So we're really

taking every, every threat is taken seriously,

and the law enforcement taking that seriously.

and I really appreciate our, our principals,

And to regress just slightly, we don't use, we don't use FortifyFL because right after Parkland we started our own. We've gotten 275 tips this year alone on our Speak Out Program. And one of our deputies in Navarre, which is on our coast, told the PIO at the Sheriff's

Office, he said that tip saved two lives, two,

two kids that were going to do a suicide pact,

we got a tip on it. So the reporting app,

regardless of what's being used, I don't care

what they use, if it's promoted properly, I

think it's working well, and I think it's very

beneficial. And I appreciate Damien's crew in

not forcing us to shift in the middle of the

school year after we promoted what we were

using, it's working.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: Do you have a database at all, or is it automated in any way? Is it a manual threat assessment instrument, process?

DIR. HAHN: Right now it's manual. I would love to build up to something different, but again, everything takes time. I got to work on that.

CHAIR: Sure. Sure. And so talk to us a little bit about SESIR and reporting. Do you have a view as far as the SESIR reports are concerned?

DIR. HAHN: I don't. And I apologize for that.

CHAIR: That's fine.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

1 DIR. HAHN: I don't have any knowledge --

CHAIR: What -- what about the FSSAT, have you been involved in that at all?

DIR. HAHN: I was. I came on three days before we mandatorily had to turn it in last year, so I saw it done. And I actually did the, the County, the District version, because it was due later. And I reviewed all the school FSSATs, and I'm preparing them to continue doing those annually, and filling them to appropriately. I think having a tool like that's appropriate.

I think there are things that don't need to be in there, like why does that state need to know what size generator a school has, you know, there's things that need to be changed, but generally I think it's not a bad program to have, and a process to go through.

CHAIR: The Commission is going to hear more about that tomorrow morning, and modifications are going to be made. One last question for you on the, on the threat assessment teams. You said you don't have an automated system. Do you have a threat assessment instrument that all the schools are

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

using, so in other words, when the team meets, are they using some type of a validated instrument to go through to ask the questions in the evaluation of the student?

2.2

2.4

DIR. HAHN: I'm not sure the determination of the need for a threat assessment, but I know you're psychologists, school psychologists have a form that they go through, and I know that law enforcement has their form, and sometimes I get one of each on a student, sometimes I get just the psychologist on a student, and I keep every one of them annotated by the school.

I've started an Excel spreadsheet to see which schools have the most, hoping that I can find trends. I know it's, it's not going to happen, but I'm a data guy, I'm going to try.

CHAIR: Okay. So there's not one instrument. It sounds like you have a combination of assessments that are being done on different forms.

DIR. HAHN: I know that the psychologist uses his instrument, and I know law enforcement used their reports, and I get both.

CHAIR: So and everything that's done at the school level -- and how many schools again

1	in Santa Rosa?
2	DIR. HAHN: Thirty-two.
3	CHAIR: Thirty-two. And so out of all
4	thirty-two schools is, is there anything that
5	sits at the school itself with principal, or
6	does everything come to you?
7	DIR. HAHN: The principal gets a copy, and
8	I get a copy.
9	CHAIR: Right. And so if you see
10	something then you can go back to the principal
11	with it?
12	DIR. HAHN: Right. Or I can notify the
13	next school year, you know, if the student is
14	moving on.
15	CHAIR: Okay. All right, anything else
16	you want to add, or
17	DIR. HAHN: No, sir.
18	CHAIR: Okay. All right, David, so same
19	questions for Baker County, what's working
20	well, what's not working well, and then we'll
21	go through the specifics.
22	DIR. CRAWFORD: If you don't mind, I'll
23	lead, since we're on the threat assessment.
24	CHAIR: Sure. Absolutely.
25	DIR. CRAWFORD: We'll lead with that. We

did -- we actually set up threat assessment teams over the course of the summer, before school ever began, and we are doing the best we could. We had identified, you know, as the law, the folks that should be sitting there. We had a method that we had cobbled together to make sure that we retained the necessary data.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But early on in the school year we made connection, and we're very thankful for the Office of Safe Schools for the abundance of information that Damien and his folks, small districts are absolutely dependent upon them, that they provide us. I made contact with Sandy Hook Promise, which as you know that organization, and they free of charge brought to, brought to, I think it's probably about seven or eight districts in our consortium, we're part of a small school district consortium, NEFEC, they brought to that consortium the Virginia model of training, which was a great blessing to our schools.

And all of our schools threat assessment teams attended, as did I and the superintendent, so that we all were able to be trained on the Virginia threat assessment

model. And it's -- it's been very, very helpful, very, very effective, and we're very grateful to Sandy Hook. And I wanted to say their name. Are you all familiar with that model; is there any more you want me to say about that?

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: We had a presentation on the Virginia --

DIR. CRAWFORD: Okay. So that's very, very beneficial to small school districts.

We're very dependent on resources outside ourselves, key partnerships like with Sandy Hook, law enforcement. One of the things that came from 7026 that's very helpful to small school districts is collaboration with guys like these. That was very helpful. 7026 forced us to collaborate and speak to each other inter- district in ways that we never had before, and we've drawn a great deal from them, and we're very thankful for that.

Things that have worked well for us in 7026, being small makes us nimble, we're able to move more quickly than an aircraft carrier like Hillsborough County, it's much more difficult. So by the time school got here, and

7026 gave us the track to run on, we had all of our exclusionary fencing in place, we had secured single points of entry in place, audio/visual buzz-in systems in place.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

By the first week of June we had a school resource deputy at each one of our schools. have a great partnership with the Sheriff's Department. It's been lockstep. We had all of our leaders trained on new active assailant, trained by the end of June, and we were able to implement all of that training to all of our staff before schools came back in session. we were able to do that because we're small, we could, you know, act far more quickly than larger districts can, where that'd be more problematic with them. We had our safety committees that already met a couple of times during the course of the summer making preparations by schools, school specific, modifying the training, so all of that went very, very well.

One of the things that was really great for us out of 7026, or kind of out of 7026, which is going to be a problem for us as small school districts, it's really going to be a

problem -- and I want to speak from my heart to yours; this is going to be an issue for us. We were able to do school hardening -- now, we did school hardening before money ever thought about coming from the state, and the money that we expended, you know, on economy of scale the money that we expended out of discretionary funds, which we have very little of, we're the third lowest funded district in the state of Florida, and getting all the exclusionary fencing, you know, cameras, the single points of entry, that was very costly for us. It would be like Duvall County spending \$36 million out of their discretionary funding.

If I was to tell you how much it was
Broward County would say, oh, that's nothing,
but to us it was a great deal, and we can't
make many of those hits. So when -- when the
school hardening, the capital outlay came along
with school hardening, that was a gift. We are
able to do things that I won't share here at
the table that we're doing to harden, but if we
don't see that again we are in trouble. We're
in trouble because what is used by -- and you
all are the tip of the spear, you can make

2.2

2.3

things happen in Tallahassee.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

If we don't continue to get at least for another three years school hardening dollars, those thirty small districts, here's what's going to happen. We use our discretionary capital outlay millage, \$1.5 million, we use that to do things like school hardening. Well, for small school districts there's not enough of that to go -- there's just not enough of that to go around. We only get, you know, \$194 per FTE, and small school districts are going to be way below -- the mean in the state is like \$613 per FTE, you know, twice as much as, as we receive. So that if we don't have school hardening dollars to come to use for the next several years we're going to be shut down.

For instance -- this is the last thing
I'll say about that. We, to build new
buildings in a small district we depend on
special facilities grants from the State of
Florida. When you get one of those your
capital outlay millage goes away for three
years. We're trying to get special facilities
funding. We need to build a school; all of our
schools are ancient. We hope that we're going

to get it, but if we get it then the money that we would have used for continuing to harden our schools, and we have many needs in that area, will go away for three years, we won't get any for the next three years.

2.2

2.5

And we need the legislature -- we're thankful safe schools money is going to continue to come to help fund our SROs, but that capital outlay money, it is critical to us, and we, we hope that maybe you can be a voice for the legislature to continue that. Thank you.

CHAIR: So do -- also just touch on drills in Baker County, are you all doing drills, and

DIR. CRAWFORD: Yes, we -- we have been doing, from the first week of school we've been doing Run Hide Fight drills. We -- our drills allow teachers to make decisions based on what's presented them in the drill as to whether they need to flee, or they need to run, whether they need to fortify or, you know, whether they need to fight. And we've -- that's the way we've been drilling all year long. We stand up our command post each time,

and again, you know, because we're small and nimble we could begin with options-based drills, whereas that's more problematic for our larger districts.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The strength in the quick changes in small districts comes from the fact that superintendents are so closely connected to schools. Our superintendent has really been driving the ship, and when guys like me, principals, teachers, hear and see principals on a very personal level all the time, and every time they see him, they're speaking about security and safety of our children being the most fundamental commitment we have. When the person who is at the strategic apex is always saying that, and you're small, and they can be seen, it makes a difference, so --

CHAIR: Remind us again, how many schools in Baker County?

DIR. HAHN: We have seven.

CHAIR: Seven, yeah, so it's very small. What do you -- what's your take on the drills being done every month; is that the right number, or should it be less, should it be done, what's your take on it?

1	DIR. HAHN: We we are, you know, we're
2	very passionate about our drills, and doing
3	them right and correct, but we are concerned
4	about drill fatigue, we are.
5	CHAIR: And at all levels, or especially
6	at one level versus another, or across the
7	board?
8	DIR. HAHN: Probably secondary.
9	CHAIR: Too much at the secondary or
10	secondary meaning middle, high?
11	DIR. HAHN: Yes, they yeah, middle,
12	high, the attitude of, of the kids, you know,
13	it's okay, we've got this, we know what Run
14	Hide Fight is, you know, we've been doing this
15	every month.
16	CHAIR: Right. Maybe back it off a little
17	bit?
18	DIR. HAHN: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIR: What about at the elementary? Are
20	you doing different drills too, at elementary
21	versus
22	DIR. HAHN: Well, we do Run Hide Fight,
23	but they speak to the children far differently
24	in Pre-K, you know

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

CHAIR:

So it is a different, it is a

different set of drills that you're doing. The other two districts didn't have different drills, but you do have a different way it's done in the elementary schools?

DIR. HAHN: No. We have a different way that we speak to the students while we're doing the drills.

CHAIR: Right. Okay. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

DIR. HAHN: The language that we use is different.

CHAIR: Okay. What about SESIR, again, you have seven schools so it's more centralized. Like you said it's, it's a little bit more intimate, if you will, so --

DIR. HAHN: It is. So I'm able, and I do look at our SESIR data. I'm responsible for that reporting, and so I try to make sure that we're all seeing is the same way, that we're all assessing it the same way, is that, is that abusive behavior, is that, you know, battery. And being smaller it's, it's easier to get that done. It'd be far more problematic for John's district to achieve that kind of same --

CHAIR: Who is responsible in your district for doing, completing the school

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

specific FSSAT? Was that done at the principal level, was that done by you; who drove that process?

That's done centrally. That's DIR. HAHN: done out of my office.

CHAIR: And was law enforcement engaged with you in doing the FSSAT?

DIR. HAHN: Yes. Yes, they did. They The undersheriff and myself spent so much time together after 7026, and before. on February 15th, on Friday morning in the superintendent's office, sheriff, the undersheriff, myself, at 7:00 in the morning we were there thinking about Parkland, of course, and every day since then. But anyway, the joke in the school district now is that the undersheriff and I are, one of us is going to claim the other one on their income tax as a dependent this year, we're just going to figure out who gets the most. Yeah, we, they were so helpful.

CHAIR: So I just want to go back for a second to Daniel, and to John, just to follow up on that too. In your FSSATs that were done school specific was law enforcement, like in

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the City of Tampa, example, you got Tampa, you got Temple Terrace, you got Plant City, you got other ones throughout the County. Were those police departments, or the sheriff's offices, were they specifically involved in doing those assessments, or was it solely done by the district?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We did -- we were the CHF. NEWMAN: primary point with principals, even though Plant City helps us out, and so does Temple Terrace, in the unincorporated, the Sheriff's Office, prior to the FSSAT being mandatory in 7026 we didn't have to worry about single user sign on protocols with DOE, so we have the 911 centers, you know, all the staff, the law enforcement agencies were able to access FSSAT. When that changed, because now it became a mandated program, we had to book them off of But they were involved. They knew what it. the FSSAT was. We -- if they were on our campus they were, they walked around and did the vulnerabilities with us.

When we would do our public safety, the thirty-six months public safety walk throughs, until next year we make some initial changes,

but they were involved, but we were actually the lead on it.

CHAIR: Okay. Daniel.

DIR. HAHN: And similar, although most of the work was done prior to my hiring I knew the Sheriff's Office was involved. I know that they were there when we were submitting them after I was hired. And since then, very similar rapport, I've got people that if I don't call them in a day they're wondering if I'm mad at them, because we talk all the time.

CHAIR: Okay. All right. Thanks. All right, Captain Francis, do you want to --

CAPT. FRANCIS: Yes, sir. First, I'll touch on threat assessments. We've been doing threat assessments for five years in Seminole County, like locking classroom doors, and having an SRD, SRO. After 7026 we did move to an online reporting system that's automated. I like to say we have a very robust system. We looked at -- we kind of had it going on in every school, no district oversight prior to the Parkland tragedy, so after 7026 the big difference for us, we made an oversight team at the district level, made that process

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

automated, and we made it -- it is very time intense for the school threat assessment teams to do, it's a five hour required block training that we put on for them to be on the team.

2.2

And, and we simply us the Google document forms, so they populate the general information about the threat, they can upload the, you know, if it's a Snapchat picture, whatever the case may be. There's certain, three key questions that we have which, which will trigger an immediate threat assessment team meeting, versus something that could maybe wait until later on in the day, law enforcement engagement, and stuff like that.

As soon as the complete that initial form it auto-populates a second form which walks them through the process A-Z. It gives any possible stressor that could be there, any type of behaviors. And one thing that we did differently that we weren't doing prior to 7026 is, and it just came to me that it was something we missed, is that we will go back and talk to -- if I have -- if that child has seven periods we're going to talk to every single teacher independently and get their

800-726-7007

assessment of that child. My belief is that in the past possibly teachers have been reluctant to support something, or simply that's just Rick being Rick, whatever the case may be, and blowing that off, and not report it, so we ask them to provide feedback into that assessment process.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Again with, you know, if there's coaches involved, counselors, we have a pretty, a very robust system to make sure that we are not missing anything. And then we walk them through that case management system. Obviously mental health is involved with that. And it is literally a check, you know, the box, and then what applies, and then it populates down there. I do not use the scoring system, and the reason for that is simply we looked at all our threats from last year, and ran them through our process, and then tried to use the score, a scoring rubric, and of the individuals that we had significant interest in did not score so well, so we do not currently have a scoring matrix.

The nice thing about it, as soon as that form is generated, I get a text, I get a

e-mail, along with the rest of the district care team, so we see every single threat that comes in, and it helps that process. I have a lieutenant that is solely responsible every day following up on these, making sure that there's nothing missed from the law enforcement standpoint, from the administration standpoint, mental health, et cetera.

2.

2.2

And that just is -- and we present the same, FADS here recently that you attended, to, you know, kind of highlight that program. And that is simply the Virginia Tech model, we've taken stuff from the FBI, Secret Service, and our, you know, we've been doing it for a number of years, we've learned what works, what doesn't work, and molded that into our model.

CHAIR: So is it -- is -- does every threat assessment report go to you, or go to somebody in the district, or is it, again is it school specific, where it stays there, and its principal --

CAPT. FRANCIS: It does not stay at the school. So the principal of that threat team, so say they have six members there, they'll meet -- they have a threat, they'll meet.

Let's say it's not an immediate threat, no firearm, whatever the case may be, and they will, the principal is our team leader for our schools, and they will give assignments. Dan -- I'm going to have Dan talk to all the teachers, I'm going to have John do this. And so they go out, go forth and conquer, and then come back and present their findings, and then we load that into that, their findings into our second form.

So as I was saying it's a live document, so their editable documents, so they're constantly adding that. So any time there's an addition made we're seeing those changes, and so we can see that live. So the minute it's originally generated we're getting notifications, and any time that there's, the document has been saved, we're getting those additional things, so we can see that. And then every month, the month following we sit down as a team, the district team, and we will go over every single threat for that month, the prior, and talk about, by level, you know, primary level, secondary level, with the executive directors, and stuff like that, and

2.2

2.3

1

make sure we didn't miss anything.

2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Our mental health, Dr. Walsh is involved with that process, so we make sure that -- and we've gone back to the schools. That lieutenant that I mentioned is reviewing these. If there's something that's not done she's sending them a message saying, hey, you know, we noticed this is not complete, can you make sure that, you know, for example, parent notification, you know, you know, the, you know, there's pretty clear language, that has to be done, so if that was not done immediately we make sure that's getting done.

A lot of times, you know, of course we're pulling secondary law enforcement, because we always felt it important, especially on something this significant, we're going out to the house, and those are handled through a different reporting format, but all that stuff is gathered back in there. It's not part of the student file, and it's confidential.

CHAIR: So I'm not trying to ask you a hard question, and you may not know the answer to this, how many -- last year, do you know how many threat assessments were done in Seminole

1 schools?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

CAPT. FRANCIS: Yes, sir, 100. Just under

100. This year we're at -- today we're at 267.

CHAIR: 267. Is that the school year or calendar year?

CAPT FRANICS: That's for school year.

CHAIR: School year. And how many

students in Seminole?

CAPT. FRANCIS: 68,000.

CHAIR: 68,000 so -- and you're at 260 --

CAPT. FRANCIS: 267 today.

CHAIR: Yeah, okay.

listed as a threat assessment.

CAPT. FRANCIS: And it was kind of interesting. Prior to Parkland we were at 19, and the rest the 80 plus we're at was post Parkland. And we have a very robust See Something Say Something, and, you know, and, you know, with the proper training, you know, the way that the law is written it say that if anybody is threat to self or other, so a simple Baker Act, and not that a Baker Act is simple, but a simple Baker Act with no other mitigating circumstances in theory should be a threat,

CHAIR: So yours have really ramped up

since Parkland, the number of threat --

2.2

CAPT. FRANCIS: Absolutely.

CHAIR: Okay. All right. All right, with that. What's your feeling about -- you said you have an automated system now, so do you have, is that something that's propriety or did you --

CAPT. FRANCIS: That was something I developed. We just simply --

CHAIR: You developed it. It's not vendor driven then.

CAPT. FRANCIS: It's not vendor driven.

We actually -- we -- we have an IS person that
works with us, and we, I said this is what I
want, we built it out. It's simply Googled
forms that we've added and made it automated.

CHAIR: So what's your -- what's your position on this, if there was a, because there's a discussion about it and I'm curious to see what your position is on it, is, is a, a validated statewide behavioral threat assessment instrument that would be a floor with minimum criteria? Not necessarily a ceiling, because I think, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, and most people would

800-726-7007

say this, is it's important to have local control, and be able to make things adaptable on a local level.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So if there was a validated minimum instrument that was consistent statewide, what's your thought about that?

CAPT. FRANCIS: I think it's a great idea. I mean I think, you know, for example I've had a threat that we've worked in that individual's parents withdrew them, took them to another school district, well, I'm on the phone with that school safety specialist from that, of that area, and making sure they're understanding what we are dealing with, or have dealt with in the past. I think it needs to be standardized. We need to have that information sharing.

The nice thing about our process is for that lay person it walks them through step by step, and, you know, what should they be asking, what they should be looking at, and ensures that we're not missing anything.

CHAIR: Are there gaps today, because there is no statewide database, and there's only a few districts that have any type of

Veritext Legal Solutions

database at all, but you said you're on the phone, and you talk, and you communicate, what's your view of that issue, of threat assessment sharing inter- district?

CAPT. FRANCIS: I think it's, it's a hundred percent better. I know it was mentioned earlier. You know right after 7026 when they identified, this is my position, you know, of course I've been in it for three years, and we went from a handful of us doing it to, you know, sixty-seven plus. We got together as a group and kind of talked about best practices, and opened up some information sharing, and there's not a day that doesn't go by normally that there's not an e-mail about, hey, what about this, anybody doing this, and so there's a constant information sharing going on.

We have -- and, you know, as is this table, we have a good representation of what works. What works in my county is definitely not going to work for David because of his size, so we're very conscious, even when we're talking holistically, we're talking about everybody inclusive, and making sure that we're

2.2

2.3

305-376-8800

1 not leaving anybody behind.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

CHAIR: So would you talk about the drills, your view, and how you guys are doing it in Seminole, and you've heard the others, and just general comments about the drills?

CAPT. FRANCIS: So I've been since day one, when the 7026 came out it said that we'll do lockdown drills as much as we do other emergency drills. There's -- in lies two problems. First of all fire codes, like mentioned earlier, the last time we lost a child in a fire was 1958. Schools don't burn. We have advanced fire suppression in most of our schools, and yet we're still bound to those fire codes, fire drills, like we do ten a month, so if you start doing the math, we do ten fire drills, we do ten lock down drills, now I'm going to sprinkle in a unification drill, I'm going to sprinkle in a weather related drill; we're going to wear our kids out.

And our kids aren't stupid, so let's say at the secondary level, they know what to do, it's evident. Now, drills work, I'm a firm believer you have to drill. You have to drill

during inconvenient times. You got to make it work. You have to have great communication when you're drilling, and stuff like that, so I think there is a balance. I love the concept. That's how we, we've met with our fire professionals and worked on some language to identify fire drills, fire training, et cetera, by levels, and I think the emergency drills should take that same lead.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: So -- so do you have a, a thought, or a recommendation on frequency, let's say at the elementary level versus the --

CAPT. FRANCIS: So primary level I would say six, one within the first thirty days. And then at the secondary level four, again a fifth being the one within thirty days. So you're doing one a quarter at the secondary level, and an additional one within the first thirty days, make sure everybody is on, on the same page. And then at the primary level we're doing a total of six, and then one additional within thirty days.

CHAIR: And do you all do any decision-making drills, or are they all the same?

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

CAPT. FRANCIS: Yes, sir. We've done a

lot of -- what's worked for us is the holistic all hazard approach when we come to emergencies, and we teach a lot about relation to the threats. So if I'm in Building 1 and the threat is in Building 7, I have a lot of options. If I'm in 7 my option is a little bit more limited. So we talk a lot about adults making adult decisions -- you know, we -- when I inherited -- and we're kind of speaking about this before, is I inherited a district that everything was broad stroked, so what worked in that high school was going to work in the elementary, and that makes no sense. And every, every school has to have its own emergency operations plan, and how it addresses things, but I do believe you have to have that flexibility within that program.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIR: All right, talk to us about SESIR, and how it's done at Seminole.

CAPT. FRANCIS: I think garbage in garbage out. I don't think we have a problem with that. I can speak for Seminole County. But I've seen it, especially with new principals, and I've heard, you know, from other peers where, you know, a simple thing like a

discipline issue, two different principals are calling that differently, and I think we have to ensure that a principal is not going to get penalized for calling a spade a spade, you know, we have this problem, let's document it, let's move on.

You know, we have a very transparent relationship with our principals, and our school board, and our superintendent, and our executives, so I think we don't have that problem, but I want to make sure that we don't penalize for reporting.

CHAIR: So you've been around and doing this for a while, so if you heard the notion, at least anecdotally, that some schools don't report some incidents because they don't want to be characterized as being problem schools, have you heard that sentiment out there?

CAPT. FRANCIS: I've heard it, yeah. I've heard it. I won't see a lot -- you know, the biggest thing is, you know, I've seen a new generation of principals. I know it was mentioned earlier that, you know, part of my normal conversation where I'm meeting with principals every year, I know none of them

2.2

2.3

2.4

signed up to be school safety specialists,
emergency managers, yet we're making them to do
that, so there's been a big change.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

The new principal groups that I'm seeing the last few years, they're more in tune to getting the job done, get a job right, school safety is their top priority, they realize I mean, when I inherited the school district, I had principals that were concerned about how their courtyard looked than, you know, perimeter fencing, I mean that's reality. Those things have changed. And it's unfortunate that a tragedy like Parkland has to -- I know it was mentioned in a Commission meeting before that that was our 9/11, and, you know, it is. And I think every day we're further from that event we lose traction in certain extents, so we just got to make sure guys like us are, and ladies are, you know, beating that drum as loud as we can.

CHAIR: So other than -- other than hearing that anecdotally you've never seen a situation, heard of situation, there's never been, nobody has ever been disciplined in Seminole County Schools for telling somebody to

underreport, non- report, or any issues with that?

CAPT. FRANCIS: No, sir.

CHAIR: Okay. Okay. And -- because we're going to talk more about SSER tomorrow, but I just want to get all of your perspectives on that. FSSAT, school specific, district wide, again we'll hear more, there's going to be some changes, how did you guys do it, was the Sheriff's Office involved, were the police departments involved, what's your process?

CAPT. FRANCIS: So obviously if I'm involved the Sheriff's Office is involved.

CHAIR: Right.

CAPT. FRANCIS: It's -- I was not a big fan of that product. I've used a lot of other models. DHS. There's a lot of great school assessment models that we've been using, you know, like Dan mentioned it was, you know, more, there was more of an emergency management asset to it, and I was fortunate enough the Office of School Safety allowed me to be part of that retooling of it, so I hope that some of those, and I know, I think they're going to talk about it tomorrow, some of those

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

suggestions are brought forward.

2.2

I think it's a good tool. I think it could be a great tool if properly applied. I just think we're missing a lot of things more geared towards, you know, I don't think for a school safety standpoint, if that's our mission with it, how, you know, my generator size is, is irrelevant.

CHAIR: Okay. And the last question I had for all of you, and then we're going to open it up to Commissioner's questions, and to the extent you may have already covered it, but I want to throw it out and see if you want to add to this, what's the current climate in the schools among administrators and staff, is one aspect of it, and the other aspect is among students. So what's the attitude towards school safety, what's the climate about school safety, what's the feeling, the sentiment through the schools? And anybody, any or all of you want to answer that?

DIR. HAHN: I'll tell you as the new guy, because obviously John and Rick have been doing this, but as the new guy anything I say at principals' meetings, and I'm given a slot at

every principal meeting monthly, and every AP meeting monthly, when I say something the superintendent will usually come up behind me, 100% support. And I don't have any pushback from principals, they, they do what I ask them to do for the most part quickly. It might not be the way I would like it done, but it gets done. I'm not going to be pushing tactics and techniques so long as it's achieved. But the culture is amazing, the support that I'm getting for school safety. I've gotten --

CHAIR: So it's embraced. It's embraced.

DR. HAHN: Absolutely.

CHAIR: Okay. John, anything you want to

CHF. NEWMAN: Same thing in Hillsborough
County, we, you know, the students this
morning, they were, they were first rate. We
actually put out a survey. Our students come,
our middle school and high schools councils,
and they're asking the right questions, you
know, what are you going to do about older
schools that, you know, the esthetic and the
design really doesn't lend itself to safety, so
they're asking the right questions. I get

2.

2.2

great support from the, you know, the superintendent, his office, his staff.

The students, the ones that really care, you can tell because they're, they're all about it. It doesn't mean that we can't do it better, but I've got nothing but support since we've had to create this position.

> What about the teachers? CHAIR:

CHF. NEWMAN: For the most part the teachers are on board. As a matter of fact I hear a little bit of, you know, we don't do that, you know, you should say that we don't do that, you know, tell somebody that you see a door propped open, or tell somebody this isn't working. And sometimes I think they're a little reluctant to say something to their principal or their staff, so they'll call me, and I'll fix it. But overall the feeling is they're very much around safety, but I just still think they have to turn that into action. I think that's where we got into that conversation about culture.

Okay. David, or Rick, you want to CHAIR: add anything to that?

DIR. CRAWFORD: Our staff, the response

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

has been, and from our community and parents, has been thankfulness. We have not had any pushback. Our community has been very grateful for the inroads made into additional security and safety measures.

CHAIR: Yeah. Rick.

CAPT. FRANCIS: The only thing I'd like to add, you know, we have a very supportive school board, superintendent. One thing that's changed by mind after the Parkland tragedy was I would get in front of a student body and talk about, you know, whatever, if you're in a hallway what are you doing in a lockdown, and even though we've said it a hundred times that message still was not getting drilled down. Because I spend a lot of time at the principal level, a lot of time at the, you know, training of our SRDs and SROs, and after Parkland the superintendent and I really went on a big PSA kick on just driving that message straight to the kids, skipping all levels and going, driving that message to them, drive it to the parents, go home, have these conversations, and making -- and also having a lot of our safety initiatives student led.

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1 I mean and that's something that we 100% embrace. I could sit there and talk all day 3 long about what's right, you know, I'm the guys who has locked community gates that have been 4 open at an elementary school for thirty years, 6 but that's the right thing to do, but we get the student base on board with it, it helps our 8 message tremendously.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIR: Okay. All right, so we'll begin with questions. I think Sheriff Ashley is first.

SHER. ASHLEY: Thank you all for what you do. And I certainly don't want to monopolize but I have a ton of questions. First on quardians, are all of you paid quardians, or the ones that do guardians, paid guardians or volunteer quardians?

CHF. NEWMAN: Ours -- our area school district employees so they are paid.

SHER. ASHLEY: Are they paid as employees doing something else, or totally guardianship?

CHF. NEWMAN: No. They were -- they were school security, so they kept their job classification. They just got the guardian certification, which made them eligible for the

1 \$500 stipend.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

SHER. ASHLEY: Is -- is that a cheaper route than hiring law enforcement?

CHF. NEWMAN: Sure. So even with a 50% FTE in Hillsborough County, my 50% FTE ran me about \$66,500 for a deputy or an officer. The FTE for a ten-and-a-half-month employee runs me about \$44,000. So the unit cost is about \$24,000 per officer was significant, and we, and that includes the package, the car, the whole nine yards. So to put those, our guys on those campuses, not only was a good idea, it's also cost effective.

SHER. ASHLEY: So was Baker County going to augment with --

DIR. CRAWFORD: Volunteers, ours are volunteers.

SHER. ASHLEY: So -- so along that line is who provides weapons in that scenario?

DIR. CRAWFORD: The Sheriff's Department will be providing the weapons.

SHER. ASHLEY: Will the Guardian Program pay a stipend towards that, or will they -- I mean who keeps track of it them, the Sheriff's Office?

1 DIR. CRAWFORD: The Sheriff's Department, that's correct. 3 CHAIR: Sheriff, I just want to clarify so there's no confusion to anybody listening to 4 5 We're talking about volunteers; we're 6 not talking about people coming in off the street and volunteering in the school. SHER. ASHLEY: Right, we're talking about 8 9 volunteer employees. 10 CHAIR: We're talking about current, 11 current employees who volunteer. I just want 12 to make -- because that will get confused so --13 DIR. CRAWFORD: They have other positions 14 15 SHER. ASHLEY: They were just adding 16 another certification to their already --17 DIR. CRAWFORD: That is correct. 18 SHER. ASHLEY: And then the school 19 district versus Sheriff's Office or Police 20 Department ratio, are most of those 50/50 on 21 your contracts to provide law enforcement 2.2 services to the school district? 23 CHF. NEWMAN: 50/50 in pay or deployment? 2.4 SHER. ASHLEY: In the contract. So you're

going to provide an SRO for your school, who

1 pays for that SRO?

2.2

CHF. NEWMAN: So law enforcement charges 50%, we pay that, the school district.

CAPT. FRANCIS: So one of the things that unfortunately at Seminole, we are a little penalized for being proactive. We could use any of our new, the new SRO funds to supplement our prior position, so all of ours are 50/50, with the exception of the added layer, we put a second one in our high schools, that's 100% paid by the school district using the new SRO money.

SRF: And I promise I won't get too -- the Baker Act, so by its very definition a Baker Act is a threat to themselves or to others.

How long -- what's the typical amount of time a Baker Act before they can come back to school, a patient?

DIR. CRAWFORD: Boy, it's real individual.

It just -- it depends. Most of ours are shorter, probably on average, they put them in a CSU for three days, and then --

CHAIR: Hey, David, if you would talk into the microphone, because that way the TV people can hear it was well.

DIR. CRAWFORD: I'm so sorry. Sure

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: That's okay. No problem.

DIR. CRAWFORD: I would say probably for us the average Baker Act, the person in a CSU would be around three days, two to three days, and then we hold a threat assessment team meeting, because we do see that either as a threat to themselves or others, and we assess, you know, when they should return to school based on the results of the threat assessment team. And that's highly individualistic as well.

SHER. ASHLEY: And the last question, so I don't monopolize, is FERPA, who determines at your school what a student record is?

CHF. NEWMAN: Ours is district based so you'll -- quite honestly, I'll get phone calls all day long, am I allowed to release this information? Yes. But usually our counsel, but it's in a director for the school district, we have a principal's package that will explain what the rules are for FERPA.

SHER. ASHLEY: So the principal at each school determines what a student record is, or the school district?

CHF. NEWMAN: For us it's the school district.

2.2

2.4

2.5

SHER. ASHLEY: And in that would a, would your security video, security monitoring, would that be a student record, un-releasable?

DIR. HAHN: By FERPA, if I read the law correctly, we can release anything that is, is

CHAIR: Hey, Dan, use the microphone, if you would please.

DIR. HAHN: Sorry. If I read the law correctly for FERPA we can release anything that's safety related. If that person is a threat to themselves or others all of that is, is shareable. It has nothing to do with our student records. Student record is more applicable to their academics.

SHER. ASHLEY: So if the Chair will let me elaborate a little bit on that, 21 districts, or at lease that I was -- 21 districts in Florida, school districts, out of the 67 allow law enforcement agencies to pipe in, funnel in, to, to view their security video at their schools. So we can pull up a school in our dispatch center wherever an incident may be

happening, wherever there's a lockdown, and we can see what's happening at that school.

The others say that that is a student record, and is not viewable by law enforcement, so I'm just trying to determine who is making these decision on what a student record is, what FERPA applies, and when it doesn't.

DIR. HAHN: All I can tell you is that the, we're getting ready to put our cameras into the Sheriff's Office dispatch so they can see everything, so it will be 22 of us, or 23 of us. And the Secret Service when I went to the Jacksonville training said no one has ever been charged under FERPA ever, ever.

SHER. ASHLEY: I would just again, I know we mentioned and discussed this at length in our initial report, but I would certainly like this commission to recommend, or make that recommendation that our legislators make it known that this is not a student record, and that it ought to be viewable by law enforcement. And I thank you all for what you're doing. Hope you all stay on top of --

I mean I gave you that number, 21, and so we

CHAIR: Yeah, and I just want to clarify.

2.2

2.4

know of 21, hopefully soon to be 22, but in the balance of them, I can't tell you for sure that in the balance of districts it's because somebody has absolutely said FERPA applies, and not one of FERPA's exceptions. It might be in some of those counties that the Sheriff or law enforcement hasn't asked, so I just want to be clear about that.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But as we know in some of the districts at least the barrier to it is, is some lawyer opining that FERPA applies, and not applying the exceptions, but, you know, most of us believe that FERPA doesn't apply to it and that it's permissible, and that it should happen. But that is still a big issue. I know Mr. Schachter just raised it. It's still an issue here on Broward County. To some degree, the Sheriff's Office is the one that has access today, the City police department don't. But okay, Commissioner Harpring, go ahead.

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Thank you, Sheriff. Gentleman, thank you for being here. I'd like to know for those -- first, do you have any municipalities that are involved in providing law enforcement officers, SROs? For the public

800-726-7007

at home, you're nodding your head yes. In that regard do any of you have a unified SRO command structure system with the municipalities, or are they for all intents and purposes operating independently on their own protocols with their own departments, and in terms of, of communication, are they involved in providing information to your SRO units?

CAPT. FRANCIS: If I may? So I have six different municipalities, so which to say the Sheriff has 65% of the schools, the rest the cities have. They still have their chain of command that they report to, but I have oversight of the school safety and security of my team, so they're, we have a standardized contract that all SRDs, SROs, the same language, the same expectations of service on the campus, and, and also the same, we have a very robust training requirement for them to be in our schools, so when we do training, you know, once a quarter, everybody, the Sheriff, the City, all participate together.

So anything we're doing, as far as, and we have a monthly meeting with the supervisors, we're all on the same page, we're talking the

2.2

same language. I have oversight over it. They still -- so there's a discipline issue, or whatever, will work through their chain of command, however that, you know, through, directly the chief, of their deputy chief, whatever the case may be, but, you know, I think it's important that we're same, we're there getting the same training, they're getting the same message, and, you know, example, what our expectations are as far as, you know, locked doors, all that, it's getting driven down the same way.

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Okay. And can -DIR. HAHN: Very similar, sir. In fact
we've got a safety round table that the Sheriff
and both police chiefs are members of, so when
we're discussing school safety they're
involved, and they, they see the same thing,
and they're getting the same information. They
might run things differently on a, on a, the
city police might have different ways of doing
things, maybe they don't want to carry long
guns where, this is an example only, where the
Sheriff will authorize it. That's immaterial
to me.

2.2

2.4

2.2

But they're all getting the same information, and they're treated the same, and they're going to be coming and training the same, they're going to be going through the same threat assessment training, the youth mental health training, it will all be the same.

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Chief.

CHF. HEWMAN: Hillsborough County, Tampa
PD and Hillsborough County Sheriff's Office are
the two primary law enforcement agencies that
we work with. We're all -- we have no
interoperability issues, we're all on the same
system, we're all part of a big, a
bidirectional amplifier project right now.
We're all faced with lousy signal strength in
some of our older buildings so we're taking
care of that this summer. We do a lot of joint
training. As a matter of fact this summer we
have several joint trainings.

We might set up -- HSO might set up a different incident command for an event than TPD, in terms of maybe style, but we're in frequent contact with each other so there's, there's no silo base response when it comes the

agencies. Even with Temple Terrace in Plant
City who are not staffed on our campus, we
still include them all the time with everything
that we're doing.

2.2

2.3

2.4

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: And you mentioned comms a little bit, at least by reference, do you have a unified regional or countywide PSAP and dispatch, or are those separate for your municipalities?

CHF. NEWMAN: No, it's countywide, and I, they, it gets piped through my office. I hear, hear all the agencies talking to each other every day, so yes, ours is countywide.

DIR. HAHN: Both of your municipalities have their own PSAP, and so does the county for EMS and Fire, and then the Sheriff, law enforcement, and the County itself. However, everybody is on 8mghz. We share -- even the City of Pensacola and Escambia County next door, we all purchased into the same program. We can all talk to each other. There are no deficiencies in communications between us. There's even a joint active assailant book that all the dispatches share, and the Sheriff's Office will assume control of any issue that

800-726-7007

takes place in the school regardless of jurisdiction, except in one city, so we work amazing well together.

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: And final question, just briefly, we've talked about information silos and communication, have you identified any issues that, that you're aware of related to students moving from school to school, and important information about that student, whether it's mental health, behavioral, criminal information, not traveling with that student either to the SRO, to the administration, or to your units generally?

CHF. NEWMAN: Multi, from county to county, if I have somebody come from an outside county in we have, and we don't get notified what that student was bringing with him, in terms of a record, our juvenile transition people are usually a couple days behind, or if a student drops out, so a student drops out, gets arrested for a felony, drops out of school, a judge might order that student to re-enroll as part of what he was going through in the courts, and the student re-enrolls at a different school, there's a gap.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.2

We've done a good job in the county closing the gap, but when we have kids that are coming either from foster care or from outside our county in, we consistently don't get all the data at the same time that we need it.

DIR. CRAWFORD: Everything that John just said, plus our greatest concern is the hesitancy of mental health professionals still to share pertinent information that could inform us about the real and credible threat; we're still having significant issues of that despite the statutory language that came out last year. There could be some additional help there, that'd really be appreciated.

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: It probably doesn't provide much solace, but I know that many of us think that FERPA and HIPAA are routinely over applied out of fear and lack of real good guidance in that regard, and we continue to struggle with it. But good luck. Thank you.

CHAIR: All right, anybody else? Yes, Commissioner Bartlett, go ahead.

ASA BARTLETT: Have any of you explored, or do you have any single points of entry schools, and how is that working?

schools are single points of entry. We've done that through exclusionary fencing and proper signage that leads everybody to the single point of entry at our frontal offices. Those are secured and hardened in appropriate ways that meet industry standards. We use audio/visual. They have to identify who they are with proper identification. Without that they cannot gain access. And then we pass them through our system, in which we certify that they're safe to be on campus as we run their ID.

2.2

2.3

2.4

One of our schools, a high school, which is more problematic, we solved that with a security booth. We installed a security booth with a person up front, and you have to come by the security booth. That allows for the constant inflow if in and off campus traffic for a high school. We were able to get that done before school started this year at each of our schools. That was critical.

DIR. HAHN: We just finished all thirty-two of our schools two weeks ago, single point access at every school. The high

schools, we put in a few extra doors for movement between buildings, because they're more campus oriented than a single building. But we've got single point access, same thing, show your ID, no ID no entry.

ASA BARTLETT: Any -- any attempt to check any packages, or carrying items, you know, like backpacks, or anything like that?

DIR. CRAWFORD: Are we wanding everybody that comes through; we are not.

CHAIR: And I imagine in Hillsborough it's about, it's a challenge. You got so many schools, and they're so, so diverse that you don't have, not every school has a single point of access in Hillsborough.

CHF. NEWMAN: No, some of the schools by design, you know, we probably, we have quite a few vestibule projects that are on, on the board right now to get done, because you can't, I mean the school itself, the entryway allows you right in the middle of it. The high schools are the most difficult ones. We are insisting on a closed campus protocol, but it's taking a lot of target hardening, a lot of work from our facilities group.

2.2

2.5

1 2

We take the FSSAT and use it every month at our, we used to call it the fence committee, now it's a safety and construction committee, so you make a capital outlay request for a safety feature, there's a number of people sitting around that room, including law enforcement, and CPTED certified employees say that's a good idea, that's not a great idea. We got a lot of vestibule requests from some of our schools that have an open floor concept that we're working on that will probably be done before the first of next year. Again that was mostly our older schools.

But we're still asking the high schools, look, you open a gate, you got to put somebody there, you know, and it's going to be a little bit more difficult for them, but they know that that's what we're going to, you know, mandate with them once all these upgrades are done on their campuses.

CHAIR: Okay. Anything else, Commissioner Bartlett? Okay, Commissioner Schachter, we've got a couple minutes, go ahead.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Thank you, gentlemen, for, for joining us today. I just have a

couple of questions, since you guys are the experts and, you know, do this for a living. Have you done anything specifically to prevent an assailant from bringing a gun onto campus in your specific districts?

CAPT. FRANCIS: We are looking at, you know, I think when you talk to lay person there's a belief that adding magnetometers to every school is going to stop an active killer. There is AI technology out there that is in my opinion more robust than a magnetometer, that uses learned, or machine learned technology to look for weapons of mass destruction, stuff like that, so we are looking into those, and currently running a beta test.

DIR. HAHN: The simple answer is no, but I'm going to tell you that I firmly believe in a concept that, again, I learned in the military, which is win their hearts and minds, and so reaching out to kids, and you've heard us talk about that, you saw kids here earlier, reaching out to them, and getting them to report when they see things, hear things, have somebody that they trust, they can talk to, that's going to be the way we solve those

2.2

2.3

2.4

issues.

2.2

2.4

We have to mitigate it up front, and, and I really do believe that's the best way to handle those situations, because as I tell people that poke holes in, in our systems, and it's easy to poke holes in all of our security systems, I tell them there is no 100% fix.

You're going to find, if you want in, you're getting in, but we're doing the best we can with the equipment we've got, the people we've got, the money we've got, and that's all we can do. The rest of it's, it's just human nature, it's winning the hearts and minds of the students to, to report things when they see it.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Hillsborough, are you doing anything specifically?

CHF. NEWMAN: No, we actually -- we explored it. I had a conversation with the chief up in Chicago, because they actually do their mags at every school, but it's a lottery. So you find out that morning one out of every six kids are going to get searched.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Random.

CHF. NEWMAN: Random. It's a random with a lottery, and they get a pre-sent number, you

know, and they have a huge school district.

But even then, they're still getting weapons in their schools. If we have a student that, you know, they have issues, you know, let's say it's a, we do a threat assessment, you can't bring a backpack in, you're not allowed to be assigned a locker, we do those type of reactionary, but in terms of preventing, or even we use the metal detectors, no, we're not going to.

CAPT. FRANCIS: Can I add something to the, to the discussion about magnetometers, metal detectors, you know, we've had this discussion, and we may eventually end up going down that road, but it's important to realize if you add that feature to a school, and you have to have staff to cover every exit/entrance to that school, because, you know, Johnny calls, says, hey, I'm running late, pop a door for me, you've defeated everything that you're doing.

You need to have law enforcement present because if you're looking for a gun you should have law enforcement present when you find a gun, and then if you're doing it right you have

2.2

unmarked, or plain clothes investigators in the school, because that kid that turns around and walks away is a good clue that you might need to talk to him a little bit more.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

DIR. CRAWFORD: And at-risk students, the students that we've identified through threat assessment that are at risk, they are handled differently. We search them. They're excluded, as John said, from bringing any items on campus at all. We've done away with lockers.

COMM. SCHACHTER: How do your teachers communicate that there's a code red on campus, or life threating emergency? If they were to see somebody with a gun how do they communicate to the rest of the campus, and to law enforcement?

CAPT. FRANCIS: We have soft panic app that uses multimedia interoperability, so every, we're at about 87% participation in our district, that so every teacher can declare an emergency, every faculty member for that matter can --

COMM. SCHACHTER: From the app?

CAPT. FRANCIS: Excuse me?

Veritext Legal Solutions

1 COMM. SCHACHTER: From the app.

CAPT. FRANCIS: From the app, which obviously ties into 911, ties into our video systems. We're getting instant notifications. We have several layers from my office to the Sheriff's executive group, Fire executive group, emergency manager, et cetera.

DIR. CRAWFORD: Our soft app, and it's on my phone right now, the RAVE app, it will, it goes, we have an agreement with the Sheriff's department, it goes to every sworn law enforcement officer on duty, off duty, they're all coming. It's geo located, and they're all coming right then. Anyone can press it.

DIR. HAHN: I'm surveying schools right now. I've gotten about half the schools surveyed. The teachers are responding, answering a question, many questions, but one of them is would you put an app on your phone. Well over 90% compliance. And that goes back to the Commissioner's, the Chairman's question about the culture. Teachers are not afraid to say I'm going to participate in this, because we're looking at some form of an app as well.

CHF. NEWMAN: We just got an RFP approved

2.

2.2

for technology very similar to what you described earlier. That will be starting by the new school year.

COMM. SCHACHTER: The key fob?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHF. NEWMAN: So everyone will have technology to be able to do that. Right now at a certain level in the school, otherwise they have to use either their own personal cell phone, or whether they can get to the PA system in their own classroom, but that, that's short lived. That was a gap that we saw, but we had some implementation, priorities first, so the RFP just got out. It will go to our Board next month, and then hopefully before the next school year that technology will be in place.

CHAIR: All right, we got time for --

SHER. ASHLEY: I missed a question.

CHAIR: Go ahead, Sheriff.

SHER. ASHLEY: I'm a parent, I just moved here, and I want to know how safe the school is that my kid is about to go to. Where do I go for that information, how many threats have been reported that year, how many robberies and fights, were do I go for that information?

DIR. CRAWFORD: In our school district

1	you're going to be directed to me, and I'll
2	make an appointment with you, and I'm going to
3	go over our safety and security protocols and
4	
5	SHER. ASHLEY: But there's no data,
6	there's no website, nowhere where I can get how
7	many incidents happened at that school?
8	DIR. CRAWFORD: It is not published on, on
9	the web.
10	COMM. SCHACHTER: I thought I was just
11	talking to Damien's assistant, either SESIR,
12	but then there's another group of information
13	where it actually talks about that. I don't
14	know the name of it, but she says it's on the
15	DOE website.
16	CHAIR: Well, we'll talk about SESIR
17	tomorrow.
18	COMM. SCHACHTER: No, it wasn't it
19	wasn't SESIR, so I wasn't
20	CHAIR: He didn't rely on that, but
21	COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay. You know, as far
22	as do you guys use dogs in your school,
23	K-9s?
24	CHF. NEWMAN: No, we don't.
25	COMM. SCHACHTER: No.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

DIR. HAHN: We have a K-9 available as 1 part of our contract, and right now the K-9 officer is an SRO, but when we replace him as 3 an SRO, we have a dog dedicated to the school 4 district that will be going around. So next

year we'll have a dog, a dedicated dog.

CAPT. FRANCIS: For us we have, obviously all the Sheriff's Officers and police department are assets, so we run K-9s through our schools. We also have a comfort K-9 program that we operate in our schools, and we have a couple of SROs that have K-9s.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Wonderful. We talked about a school safety rating system. You know, you guys talk about how do you keep the pressure up, and keep the security mindset, and you guys having to constantly beat the drum. Do you think a school safety rating system to educate the public is something that would be effective and help your job?

> DIR. HAHN: No.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Negative.

I think everyone needs to CHF. NEWMAN: stay awake at night like we do, and the ones that aren't in compliance, you know, I don't

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

understand why. I agree with Sheriff Judd on that, I don't know how you would do it without exposing a vulnerability. We all feel the frustration when we're sending out e-mails or correspondence and we're not getting compliance from some of our peers. It's a little frustrating, because like I said, that's what drives us every day.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I can't speak for them. It's not -- it's not a bad idea. I think it's got to get massaged and worked out by the very talented people sitting around this table. I just would be really mindful not to expose a vulnerability, but every now and then you're like, what the heck, you know, why are we not in compliance, or why are we not, why, where are you at moving towards that. You have to move towards that, there's no other option. I think that's some of the frustration that you all talked about this morning.

That will be some of the frustration that at least the four of us up here, and the Office of Safe Schools feels, because I offer no apologies, if we're not doing something that we're supposed to then it's on me, so I -- I'm

800-726-7007

not saying it's not a bad idea, I just want to see how you're going to deliver it, and without exposing a vulnerability. But if you're not in compliance I can't, I can't imagine why, and I appreciate your frustration. I don't know the answer.

2.2

DIR. CRAWFORD: Folks -- folks are trying to get it done, and to be real I don't think they're going to be concerned about accountability, proper accountability, whatever that looks like.

CHAIR: Okay, the last word is Daniel's, and then we've got to break, so.

DIR. HAHN: Okay. I was just going to say that John said we can't speak for our peers, but during our teleconference last week, Sheriff, we did, we did survey our peers, and Rick has a few bullet points, if you don't mind us reciting those from our peers.

CHAIR: Sure, go ahead.

CAPT. FRANCIS: There was just -- let me pull back up --

DR. HAHN: While he's pulling that up, I would say that the reason I disagree with the rating system is because there's so many of us

that are doing stuff that is not in the law that is excellent. How would you capture that? You can't, and then therefore we're not getting credit for amazing things that are not covered in the law.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: So -- so just for clarification, and what he's talking about is, is that when we had a telephone conference last week I asked them to check with, we have 4 of the 67, so we have 63 other school safety specialists not represented here, and I asked them to check with them around the state to get some feedback from their peers, meaning the other safety specialists. So, Rick, go ahead.

CAPT. FRANCIS: Yeah, I'll try to make sure I don't duplicate something that's already been discussed. And I'm just going to run through their bullets. If there's a need for further explanation, I'll do that. Distinction between a school and a program that, that the need for an SRO, or SSO, or a guardian, they look for that determination. With FSSAT due date change, make it more feasible to be completed once the revision comes out.

There's some language coming out in 7030

305-376-8800

regarding holding the administrator, school boards, and superintendents accountable, but there's no talk of due process with that, so there's a discussion about that. Greater latitude for suspension/expulsion when dealing with student threats and behavior issues, especially when dealing with ESE students.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Remove requirements to promote and make
FortifyFL the reporting app, they're looking
for, you know, all systems that others are
using. Stop discussing trade secrets, IE. hard
corners, in open forum. Sustain Guardian
training funding. Financial means to address
aging infrastructure, I know some, my average
school is thirty years old. School safety
should be a local layered approach. Eight
percent of efforts should be placed on
prevention, twenty percent response. School
funding should be left to schools.

We need to have tools and ability to identify dangerous people on our campus and be empowered to remove them from the campus. And with the new 7030 there's some language in change Florida Statute 1006.13 to remove the provision that most misdemeanors to be handled

800-726-7007

as a code of school conduct violation, the requirement is the law is not changed, or the request is the law is not changed, as most misdemeanor violations should remain under the student code of conduct, IE., fights, and the consideration for using the PREPARE model. Thank you, sir.

CHAIR: Yes. Okay.

SHER. ASHLEY: He just mentioned one part
I just wanted to ask about; the being empowered
to remove dangerous, or threats from our
schools. What, what in general or specific was
discussed there?

CAPT. FRANCIS: I think I know this. We saw this a couple times, and again not all districts responded, which I assume to be a common theme, but the, I think when we're dealing with ESE kids my opinion is, you know, getting back to calling a spade a spade, if, regardless is it's an ESE student or not if there's a threat to the school then we should be empowered. I think that's where the conversation is coming, where I think some of the districts may be having a little bit more of an issue when you're dealing with ESE

2.2

2.3

2.4

students. And obviously there's a wasted, you know, plans, and stuff like that that have to be attended, but if there's a threat there should be ability to remove that student.

SHER. ASHLEY: And ESE being a wide spectrum, is it EBD kids in general, or just the whole spectrum?

CAPT. FRANCIS: I think it would be a widespread. I think it would be widespread.

SHER. ASHLEY: All right. Well, many thanks to all of you for coming. I know that your thoughts have been insightful, and have helped us out, so we appreciate it. Why don't we take a break? It's 2:40 now. Let's start again in fifteen minutes. Well, start again at 2:55. We still got a lot to cover the rest of the day.

(Thereupon, a brief recess is had.)

CHAIR: All right, I think we got everybody. We're going to just update you all now on some of the things legislatively, et cetera, that are implemented or pending that affect school safety. So there's actually seven things. The first is Governor DeSantis' 2000, I'm sorry, February 13, 2019, his

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.5

executive order directive that he issued via letter to FDLE on the same day, the Supreme Court's order empaneling the statewide grand jury, and there are four Bills that I want to talk about that are pending in the legislature.

2.2

2.3

2.4

There's a couple of other things up there, but these are the major ones that we want to update you on. The first involves the Governor's executive order, and that directs an immediate statewide audit of all 67 school districts regarding their discipline and diversion programs. This of course includes what we had a lengthy discussion about, programs like PROMISE, and/or the PROMISE program itself. The requirement is, is to determination eligibility and impact, and whether there's evidence to support the continuation, closure, or regulation of diversion programs.

You all remember that as we talked about there are many different variations of the diversion programs, but they are all, what they're talking about here, pre-arrest diversion programs. Some call them civil citation programs. Some call them by different

names. It doesn't matter what they call it, it's a pre-arrest, pre-arrest diversion program.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Remember the PROMISE program was a, is a arrest diversion program, but it's also a school based behavioral program that deals with behavioral issues that are not criminal issues, so it's two different things. And with the PROMISE program is that it has set every year, and you got four bites at the apple, and that got re-set every year, so that's really sparked the discussion here of course, about the PROMISE program, and the Governor is directing that the Department of Education in conjunction with the Department of Juvenile Justice do this review, and the Department of Juvenile Justice is required to have a report submitted by July 1st.

So I've talked to Secretary Marstiller about that, and since the report will be due on July 1st is, is that we'll probably have somebody from DJJ to come in at our August meeting and present the results of that report so that we have benefit of it, and can have discussion, and in case, there's any further

recommendations that need to come from us regarding that, but it's an overview of all of these programs.

2.2

2.4

2.5

The other thing that it does, and Damien mentioned this, in the executive order is reopen the grant application period table first, of course that's come and gone, and there were thirteen districts that have applied for funding I believe since the grant period, and all that money has to be spent by September 13, so it's an expansion really of the opportunity to use the existing money.

DOE has to establish a best practices for school hardening and harm mitigation, and it specifically says in the EO is using the tiered approach that we recommended in our report, and ranging all the way from that harm mitigation all the way to advanced security measures, they have to have a report in by July 1st. So again we all them to come back and update us on what's in that report.

The integrated data repository we talked about, that was originally required by 7026, and that has to be up and running by August 1st. We really don't know exactly what that's

going to look like. In the letter that the Governor sent to FDLE, again it talks about the integrated data repository, and that FDLE also develop training for front line and senior officers to fully execute threat assessment strategies throughout Florida.

So this threat assessment requirement that FDLE is required to implement is beyond just the threat assessment teams that are in the schools, but is more of a threat assessment process across Florida. And then there's some other requirements in there about assisting local law enforcement with threat assessment strategies, so, and this is all pretty straightforward. Does anybody have any questions on that? Commissioner Swearingen, is there anything you want to add to that at all, or any you want to elaborate on?

COMM. SWEARINGEN: Yeah, I just would like to point out we had our first meeting April 4th to begin developing the strategy. This will be very much a local issue, so FDLE recognizes that, so we requested the FSA and the FPCA to provide three each to this strategy team, which they did, so we met on April 4th. We'll meet

2.2

2.3

2.4

again probably in May. That was to get law enforcement on the same page. We'll probably have our second meeting in May, and we will bring in the other partners that will play a role in the development of this strategy, so DCA, DCF, DJJ, DOH, DOE, the other partners that, that be involved.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

What we've decided is we want to use existing structures and not reinvent the wheel. So some agencies already have threat assessment teams, like Palm Beach County, but in those areas, the rural areas that don't have a threat assessment team probably aren't going to have the funding, let's use the regional domestic security task forces who have all of those partners already, and let's use fusion centers to share information so that we're not creating yet another repository. So I think that's pretty much where we are, Sheriff.

CHAIR: Okay. Thanks for the update.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Can you -- I'm sorry.

Can you just explain to me, so we've got the digital threat assessment that we're developing for the state so that we don't have all these written forms, and then this --

Veritext Legal Solutions

	Page 202
1	CHAIR: Who who's developing that?
2	COMM. SCHACHTER: Well, the
3	CHAIR: There's nobody developing that
4	now.
5	COMM. SCHACHTER: Broward Broward
6	County is doing one. They're paying \$600,000
7	to have one developed.
8	CHAIR: No. No, and be clear with that,
9	is, is that they, there is a module that's
10	already developed by a vendor that's going to
11	be added to an existing system that they have
12	that will be an automated threat assessment.
13	So just be clear as to what it is. That
14	that's what it is.
15	COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay. Okay. And then
16	this, this piece, this is going to tie in is
17	this going to tie in with FortifyFL?
18	CHAIR: No.
19	COMM. SCHACHTER: Or this is totally
20	CHAIR: No. Totally separate. There is
21	nothing to
22	COMM. SCHACHTER: This is going to it's
23	going to be a proactive
24	CHAIR: So so you have
25	school-based threat assessment teams that are

hopefully receiving information and being proactive, and identifying kids within the school that have behavioral issues, et cetera, that may be a threat at varying levels. That team is required by law, its composition is set forth in statute, it was required by 7026, and every school has to have one.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Then again, we know that it's a law enforcement officer, a school administrator, a teacher, et cetera. And there is no set criteria, though, for how those threat assessment teams do their work. There's no standardized behavioral threat assessment instrument. There's no validated instrument that is in use in all the districts. There is no database. There is no set process, whether you have a level one, a level two, whether it's centralized within the district, whether it can be school specific, and the records stay in the schools, there's none of that. It just says there has to be a team, this has to be on it, but there's nothing more than that.

So what we have had discussion about is, and part of the initial report in the recommendation was that there be a centralized

system, that there be a validated risk 1 assessment instrument that sets the floor, not 3 the ceiling, so you have minimums, and that the State consider a statewide database so that 4 5 there could be intra-district and inter-district sharing of information, and that 6 as these threat assessments are done, and you could have work flow set up, and you could have 8 9 accountability measures, et cetera. But that 10 was purely a recommendation, and we'll talk 11 about now here in a minute what's in 7030 in 12 that regard, but that is really different from 13 what the Commissioner and FDLE are tasked with 14 doing under the Governor's directive, in that 15 is more about threat assessments that are 16 generally done through RDSF, which is a 17 regional domestic security task force, what's 18 going on in the state, what's going on in the 19 community, and law enforcement related threat 20 assessments, but it's not focusing on the 21 schools. 2.2 It's not school centric. It can encompass

It's not school centric. It can encompass what's happening in the schools, but it's not school specific, or school centric, it's much broader than that. So, Commissioner, have I

800-726-7007

23

2.4

characterized it correctly?

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMM. SWEARINGEN: Yeah, that's correct. So what the Governor recognizes is that targeted violence can happen anywhere, school is just one location. So if you look in Florida, we've had instances of targeted violence -- Pulse was targeted violence. Lauderdale Airport was targeted violence. The deputies being shot in Gilchrist County, Sebring Bank was a targeted violence incident. So what he wants to focus on is not necessarily just the location but targeted violence in general, so what, what we are attempting to do is to bring together the same resources that are going to be in schools. It's going to be multidisciplinary, do the same thing at the state level to look at those folks that are outside of schools.

Keep in mind Nikolas Cruz had graduated, so he could just as easily have chosen to do that somewhere else rather than go back to, to his, to his school. So that's what the Governor wants to look at, is to make sure we're looking at this holistically. So schools will be involved, and the regional domestic

security task forces will probably be talking with the teams in the schools because we're going to want to know about those folks as well.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But we're not trying to reinvent the wheel, so that's why we're trying to us existing structures, you know, the Chair mentioned LINKS earlier, which is a system that sort of ties all of our RMSs together. So what we want to make sure of going forward, and I don't want to get too far in the weeds on this, there's a lot of ways that we can as law enforcement document things in a standardized way to help when we're searching, so when we enter into LINKS we're all searching the same parameters, so we know when we get back a threat, so how we code that, how we word that, if there's a violent persons filed within FCIC to enter some of these folks into.

If we choose to go that route, I mean we're not, nobody's, I'm not saying we're going that route. There are a lot of options in how we -- so we don't want to reinvent the wheel and create yet another repository that's going to be, you know, something else that people can

throw information into and create yet another silo, so we will be a part, schools will be a part of this, which is why I said the next meeting.

Damien, DOE, they will be invited to this, but we're looking at it from a holistically statewide picture, not just in schools.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Yeah, which is more law enforcement driven. Of course the threat assessment teams have a law enforcement component, but this is more law enforcement driven. All right, so I mentioned earlier about the statewide grand jury, and that the Supreme Court's order authorizes the investigation into crimes that are related but not limited to, or may investigate the things that are related to, whether refusal or failure to follow the mandates of school related safety laws such as the Stoneman Douglas Act results in unnecessary and unavoidable, or avoidable risk to students across the state. So that's the first thing.

The second thing is, is that whether entities that have and/or continue to commit

fraud, deceit by taking state funds but not implementing the safety measures that are required, and knowingly fail to act. So those are the first two things the grand jury can look at, and then the third and fourth things are whether fraud and deceit continues to be committed, or was committed by mismanaging, failing to use, or diverting multimillion-dollar funds from multimillion dollar bonds specifically for school safety initiatives.

And then the last thing is whether school officials, and we'll talk about this a lot tomorrow morning, whether school officials violated, and continue to violate law by systemically underreporting incidents involving criminal activity to the Department of Education. So those are the things that are within the scope of the grand jury investigation. As you can see from those is that some of them touch on, and may overlap to a degree, what our work has been, but the grand jury scope is also broader, and it gets into areas that we were not tasked with getting into.

2.2

2.3

2.4

So that's what the grand jury is going to investigate, and I believe in the near future, as I said, they will be empaneling a grand jury that will get under way, and we'll continue to work with them. So that's what the, the four things that are within the scope of the grand jury investigation.

So let's talk about 7030. So the provisions in 7030 are very different than the provisions in 7093, which is the House Bill.
7030 will be up in the Senate, in appropriations, its final stop in the Senate on Thursday morning, and everything that is here are the provisions that are in the current version that will be heard by the House, or Senate appropriations committee on, on Thursday.

The first is, is that, and we talked about this, this is part of the commission's recommendations, is that if the school board votes to implement the Guardian Program the Sheriff shall establish it. That takes away the issue in those places where the school board wants the Guardian Program but the Sheriff won't do the training, and as we said

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

the Sheriffs need to be part of the solution, not part of the problem, so there's a provision in there for that.

It also eliminates the prohibition on teachers from being guardians, and it says that a Sheriff who establishes and changes the terminology, I don't think it really makes that much of a difference, but the current terminology is, is that the Sheriff shall appoint, it changes appoint to shall certify as guardians employees, or contract employees. This is a new provision. Right now under the Guardian program the law requires that any guardian be an employee of the school district, so this would broaden it, and allow contract employees.

One of the things that has been heard, from the charter schools especially is, is that they need greater latitude and flexibility to ensure that there are guardians, or that good guy with a gun on the campus, and they want the ability to use private security, and be able to contract with people. So you'll see more about that here in a minute, and what that entails, but this is where it begins, allowing for

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

contract employees.

2.2

2.3

2.4

AS you see with Captain Francis is technically, technically Seminole County is not in compliance with the law currently because it says that the school safety specialist has to be employed by the district, and so the provision here --school safety specialists appointed -- in here -- and actually that's -- it says -- I'm sorry, it's a different provision. It says the superintendent's discretion a guardian may be appointed, and the guardian may not serve at the school unless he's appointed by the superintendent.

So the -- the intent behind this -- and I know we've had some discussion. I know, Sheriff Judd, we've had some discussion about this. The whole idea here is that the school superintendent should have control over who the guardians are in their district and where they go, just like for Sheriff's, is that we control where our deputies are assigned, be it a guardian at X school unless superintendent is okay with that person being at the school, since they are responsible, and they are school district employees.

800-726-7007

So that's the intent behind this, and it just says that, so in other words is that if a Sheriff certifies a guardian that's fine, and the guardian is slated for a certain school, but it's up to the superintendent as to whether that person actually works at that school, so that's the intent behind that. Promoting the FortifyFL Act, we've talked about that.

The DEO Commissioner shall review the school hardening. This -- this begins this topic, and in the Bill it's kind of hard, and I'm just taking you through this as it is set up in the Bill, but it creates a school hardening and harm mitigation work group, and by September 1, 2019, is this group is supposed to submit a report to the Governor or legislature. Well, if you go back to Governor DeSantis' executive order, is that he has instructed DOE to submit a report by July 1st on really the same thing.

So this school hardening and harm mitigation work group that's in the Senate Bill is not in the House Bill. I don't know what's going to happen with it, and because of the Governor's executive order they may do away

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

with this. I don't know, that's something that they're going to have to work out, but this does appear to be a duplication of the report that DEO has to submit by July 1st.

Line 245, the Commissioner shall oversee compliance with the requirements of the Public Safety Act, et cetera, and it goes on in here to give the Commissioner of the Department of Education significant oversight authority, and compliance authority. The difference between the Senate Bill and the House Bill is, is that the, both of them address accountability, both of them address putting teeth into what's now not there in the law, but they do it in different ways.

Is, is that the Senate Bill is very DOE commissioner centric, where the House Bill goes about it in a different path. And this is just something that they're going to have to get worked out. I'm not going to go through it here line by -- just suffice it to say, is that both have accountability measures, they just have a different path of getting it done. DOE providing training on the FSSAT, which is improved, that's a good thing. And here we're

2.2

2.3

back to again now convene the school hardening and harm mitigation work group, and this is what it is supposed to do, and the work group is supposed to under this Bill, is submit a report to the Office of Safe School Director Damien Kelly by August 1st, and then the report is supposed to go from DEO into the legislature.

So again I don't know whether this is, what's going to happen with this, you know, it may not be a bad idea to continue this anyway, but again this isn't in the House Bill so I don't know how the Senate and the House are going to reconcile this, especially against the backdrop that DOE is required to submit a report by July on these best practices and harm mitigation.

Safe Schools, it has to provide technical assistance on SESIR. If a district doesn't comply with those reports then the Commissioner shall notify the School Board, and the School Board shall withhold the superintendent's pay, and impose other sanctions that the Commissioner or State Board may impose. as I was telling, just said, is, is that this

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Bill in a number of components, a number of aspects, give a really significant oversight authority to the DOE commissioner, and as you can see here the DOE commissioner in essence would have the power to directly impose sanctions.

And you'll see when I get to the House
Bill it doesn't do that, it takes kind of a
curvy path, but it gets it to the same place.
And here if there wasn't compliance the
commissioner notifies the School Board, and it
says that if the commissioner determines and
notifies the School Board then the Board shall
withhold the pay and impose other sanctions.
We'll see how they work this out.

And this gets into the behavioral threat assessments on Line 317. So by August 1st -- and this is not in the House Bill. It says by August 1st the Office of Safe Schools shall establish a statewide behavioral threat assessment instrument, along with the necessary forms. So this provides a specific date by which this is to occur, and addresses that there will be a statewide behavioral threat assessment instrument, and again the

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

accompanying forms. And then by August 1st of next year, of 2020, is that the Office of Safe Schools is to evaluate each district's threat assessment procedures for compliance, and if they are not in compliance to notify the district superintendent. So the Office of Safe Schools would have to conduct an audit, if you will, or a compliance check, and make sure that all of the programs that have been put in place are adhering to the right protocols, and the right practices, and that they are using this standardized form.

This is what we talked about, is that the Office of Safe Schools is to put together a threat assessment database work group to make recommendations. They have to have a report in by December 31st, and it has to address restrictions and authorities on information sharing, including FERPA, HIPAA, et cetera, so a cost estimate is also to be included. So this would be I think, you all have your own opinions on it, but it very much tracks our report, this would be very consistent with what our recommendations were, that we have a statewide instrument, and that we move forward

2.2

2.3

2.4

with developing, or the State move forward with developing a statewide database. So this is what the Senate Bill does, but again the House Bill doesn't have this provision in it.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

The Office of Safe Schools monitors compliance with the requirements related to school safety, and report non-compliance to the Commissioner and the State Board of Education. Safe Schools has to review and approve each district and charter's active assailant response policy, and report deficiencies to the Commissioner and the State Board of Education. And then when you get back into other provisions of this, if they are not in compliance then the Commissioner has, again, direct compliance authority, so it's provided in a line directly to the Commissioner, so this would provide that oversight to make sure that, one, that every district has a policy, and that the policy contains the correct things. the House Bill doesn't have it.

Requires that students receive SEDNET evaluation within forty-five days after a referral, that was a direct recommendation from this commission. This is what I was talking

about before, I mixed it up with the other provision, but it says the school safety specialists must be a school administrator. That is the law today. Again, in Captain Francis' situation as an example is, is that he's a Captain in the Seminole Sheriff's Office, so this just adds must be a school administrator or a law enforcement officer employed by the Sheriff's Office, and if that is the case the person also has to be approved by the Sheriff. So it just provides greater flexibility as to who the school safety specialists could be.

Each district must have that written active assailant policy, and it must be recommended by the superintendent, and modifications on a school specific basis, and they have to be submitted by August 1st to DOE. When the statewide threat assessment instrument is developed it has to be used by all the teams, schools have to develop SESIR policies, and the State Board of Education must establish rules for SESIR reporting. It makes it clear that the safe school officer must be present at charter schools, and not -- I don't think

2.2

2.3

2.4

there's really ambiguity up to this point, but, you know, to the extent that there was this removes any ambiguity.

This gets back to who can be a guardian, and it adds contract employees licensed under Chapter 493.6301, they may receive quardian training by a Sheriff's Office. So this is exactly what Chief Newman was talking about that's going on in Hillsborough, is they have their security guards, and they are licensed under 493.6301, and they are employed though, those people are employed by the Hillsborough County Schools, so that's why that makes it lawful under the current law, because the current law requires they be school board employees, which they are, and they've also received the guardian training by the Sheriff in Hillsborough County so they are in compliance.

But what this would allow is a contract employee who is a guard who is not a school district employee to also be a guardian as long as they went through the Sheriff's training, and met all the criteria and the curriculum that is required for a guardian. So again it

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

provides flexibility, because if we got a charter that needs to fill a guardian spot, they can contract with a private security firm, and then that person would have to go through the Sheriff's guardian training, and then they would be in compliance.

It talks about the FSSAT being the primary assessment tool, provide annual training, and it makes clear that the FSSAT must be submitted by a date specific. Now, in the Senate Bill is it says by August 1st. In the House Bill it talks about October 1st. I don't think it's as explicit as it needs to be. I think that -- I know that there's a preference by many, if not all of the school safety specialists in the districts, that they use a date of October 1st as opposed to August 1st, because what's happening is, is that we're winding down on the school year now, and we'll hear more about it at the FSSAT tomorrow, but assume that they get a revised instrument up and running, and it is available for them to start entering data into in June, the school year has ended, a lot of people are on vacation, they're not there for the summer, et cetera, and then they've got to

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

work over the summer where school is not session, and scramble, especially in large districts that have a couple hundred schools, to get the assessment done on every campus, get the instrument completed, and get it in by August 1st.

I think the general consensus is, is that, to use the October 1st date, that way that they come back, they have time as the school year begins, and then they can get the FSSAT in by October 1st. So this is something that again is going to have to get worked out, and we'll try and work with the legislature on.

By December 1st DOE reports on FSSAT submission compliance. This is something that fixes, for Sheriff Ashley, this fixes it for you, it fixes it for Seminole, because under the current law for the Safe School allocation, remember the original allocation was \$65 million, then the second allocation was the \$98 million, and the second \$98 million could only be used for new SROs, well, in those districts like Okaloosa and Seminole that already had SROs in every school, they weren't able to use that second allocation. This fixes that, and

2.2

2.3

2.4

the money can be used for safe school officers, and it is retroactive back to July 1, 2018, so the entire Safe School allocation money could be used for any safe school officers, and that fixes that issue.

The same thing with what's on Line 923, any new Safe School funds can be used for new SROs, and the guardians, must be available to the district school board that chooses such an option. So that gives you just a, it's a quick overview of 7030, the important provisions of it. Let me just run through 7093. Or, Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHER. JUDD: Before you go to 7093, on Line 136 and 179, I certainly agree that the superintendent ought to be clearly in charge of where their folks work, but 136 said Sheriffs who establish a guardian program shall certify his guardians, school employees, or contract employees. During the evaluation process, and the background process, there were a few people who the school board says we don't have any problem with them, even after we did our complete background, and we said, well, we got a lot of problem with them. The only thing

2.2

2.3

2.4

that stopped me from having to certify them was the fact that I had the authority to say you may like them okay but I'm not certifying that they ought to have a gun and walk around on a school campus.

So I'm -- I fear that 136 says, look, if the superintendent sends them to you and they can pass the Guardian program you've got to certify them. And what I'm saying is that, you know, once again everything worked out find because I had the ultimate authority, and I say, and I was able to say, well, they may meet your standards but they didn't complete the background, and I'm not going to take the responsibility. So that's my fear between those two lines.

CHAIR: So -- so these -- and you can see there are some quotes up here in some of this, but this is me paraphrasing what's in there.

We'll go back and take a look at it, and in those different sections, and they're far enough apart in the lines, is that I hear you on it, I think everybody would concur with that. And the only difference, and it said shall certify, yeah, it says shall certify in

2.2

2.5

this Bill. The existing law says shall appoint, so there's not a lot of difference, the shall is still there. It's just exchanging the word certify versus appoint, and I think that's far enough apart.

So I hear you, I agree with you, and we'll take a closer look at it, and to the extent that it needs that clarification we'll ask them to do it, because it is important that these people who the districts refer for training, that the Sheriff have the ability to make sure that the people that they are certified are people who should be certified, because not only is it the responsibility, and incumbent on the superintendent, but because you are certifying them it makes it incumbent upon you as the Sheriff, and so you should have some say in that.

SHER. JUDD: And quite frankly in, in the best light for the superintendent, they look at an employee through one set of eyes. We look at them through another set of eyes. It's like do I want -- and we're the ones with the experience of whether this person should have a gun and be around kids.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: Well, and you're going to have a lot more information, because I can tell you that we, you know, the way that we're doing it, and I think you're probably doing it the same way, but you can comment on it, and others, is that the school district is doing the initial screening of the guardians, they do an initial screening then they send them over to us. But we're doing the psych, we're doing the polygraph, we're doing the drug screen, we're doing the background check, and we're doing that, so we've got exponentially more information to make an informed decision on than what they had, because we're tasked with putting them through that background process.

So this is where it's important that there be a vetting, when you have more information.

SHER. ASHLEY: Mr. Chair.

CHAIR: Yeah, go ahead, Sheriff Ashley.

SHER. ASHLEY: In that same vein still about guardians, certifying new guardians, I'm assuming as the years go on, we'll have to certify new ones, and re-certifying current guardians, when the guardian funding is gone who's responsible for paying for that training?

CHAIR: Well, you know, and that's going to be an issue. There's, you know, undoubtedly, you know, when I first looked at the budget Bills this year, you know, I made a few phone calls because I was concerned about it, and we didn't have that information. And what it looked like at first blush, because it just wasn't there, and we didn't have access to the back of the Bill, and it looked like the guardian training money had been cut. That was of great concern.

So the answer is, down the road is, is that the legislature will either have to refund once the \$67 million is gone, again this is going to have to be dealt with on an annual basis, and if they don't fund it eventually down the road at some piece, which again we have no indication they're not going to, it just has to be addressed ever year, is then it would have to be decided between the Sheriff and the school district who was going to pay for it, who's going to pay for the guns, who's going to pay for the drug screens, who's going to pay for, you know, all those things that are

required to be done, the psychs and everything else, and that's just something that would have to get decided.

Everybody has their view on it. You know my view is, is that it's up to the district to pay for it, but that's just my view. But hopefully we won't have to get there, and let's just hope that the legislature continues to fund it, and we'll, the training, and the whole selection process, and all that equipment. So the answer -- so that was my long-winded answer to an I don't know.

SHER. ASHLEY: The only reason I mention that, because it could, it could play into whether you want to go down that road to start with or not, so I think getting that question answered would be helpful.

CHAIR: Right. And I don't think we can an answer, because, you know, it's non-recurring funds, and the legislature has to visit it every year. I can tell you that, you know, certainly from my discussions with the people that are in the top leader, leadership positions today, and the ones that we've talked to that will be in in the foreseeable future

800-726-7007

2.2

are extremely supportive of this. And again, I can't speak for them certainly, but, you know, everything they've indicated to us is, is that I, I don't see them not funding the training aspect of this.

2.2

2.4

SHER. ASHLEY: And I just -- on that same, maybe increasing, or making it part of the Safe School funding.

CHAIR: Well, you know, and I don't know, you know, that's something they can have discussion about. I'm not sure that -- frankly I'm not sure that's a good idea, whether it should mix in the guardian funding in with the Safe School allocation, you know, because if you mixed it in with the Safe School allocation, right now this funding, this money is separate, it's delineated, you apply for it, and the money goes, because it is training dollars, it's background, it's polys, it's drug screens, it's all of the stuff you have to do, all the equipment, and it's going directly from DOE, and it goes directly to the Sheriff, because the Sheriff is responsible for it.

If you put it into the Safe School allocation then the money is going to go from

DOE to the school board, and not to the Sheriff, and then the school board technically, because if it's unrestricted in the Safe School allocation, and Chancellor Olivia or Commissioner Stewart can chime in on this, but if it's, if it's unrestricted, and it's in the Safe School allocation, and it goes to the district, they can use it for whatever they want, and they don't even have to use it for quardian money.

> But by keeping it separate it's got to be used for guardian money, and we're ensured of its use, as opposed to getting mixed into the pot with everything else, so I --

SHER. ASHLEY: Both of the Safe School funds, the first one is there's eight different reasons you can use Safe School dollars, and the second one is the single reason why you use Safe School dollars, so again they can certainly enumerate it in the Safe School.

CHAIR: Well, they could, yeah, so --Chief --

CHF. LYSTEAD: -- anybody else on the same Bill.

CHAIR: You're up, go ahead.

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHF. LYSTEAD: So, and just to go back to 1 Line 475 which talks about the school safety 3 specialists, I just want to make sure that law enforcement would support the fact that that 4 way it's written right now would exclude those that have their own police district, school 6 police agencies, such as Miami-Dade, Palm Beach, that have it, since it has to be an 8 employee of the Sheriff's Office that would 10 not, that would not work as a school safety 11 specialists for those districts that have their 12 own policing agencies. 13

5

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIR: Well, let's -- let's get to 7093, because 7093 addresses the issue, but it does it more broadly, and I think 7093 addresses your concern. So they're going to have to get that reconciled, because I think you have a point, and the issue, and your point is well taken, is, is that -- and it says right now it has to be a school administrator, so --

> CHF. LYSTEAD: 7030.

CHAIR: 7030 does. Right now -- right now it has to be a school administrator. What this is proposing, a school administrator or a law enforcement officer employed by a Sheriff's

Office, but your point is, is it should go further to a law enforcement officer employed by a school board police department, et cetera.

CHF. LYSTEAD: Right.

2.2

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: I think 7093 -- so it's something they're going to have to reconcile, so. Does anybody else have any questions on 7030, or anything else you want to talk about before I move on? Okay.

So very different, first is 7093, the
House Bill, keeps it discretionary for a
Sheriff to establish a guardian program, and
does not make it mandatory. It does eliminate
the ban on teachers from being guardians, and
it gets back again Sheriff's certify as opposed
to appoint. Here's a big, big shift, and we
addressed this last year, and the Criminal
Justice Standards and Training Commission
indicated that it wasn't viable, they didn't
want it, it wouldn't work for a whole host of
reasons.

And I had discussions again with the House staff last week, I asked them to reconsider this because it takes the entire Guardian Program, and all the criteria that is now in

Chapter 30, which is Chapter 30 regulates the Sheriffs, and moves it over to Chapter 943 and puts it under the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission, and it says that the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission develops the training, develops the guidelines. Now, it does set minimums, but it puts it in the entire CJSTC process.

2.2

2.3

I don't think it's good. I don't think there's a reason for that. It should stay in Chapter 30. We're not even one full year into this, and it is a huge shift. CJSTC is a commission. It takes a while to get things through CJSTC, and CJSTC exists to certify and deal with other issues concerning law enforcement officers and correctional officers and putting the Guardian Program under CJSTC is just creating bureaucratic mess as far as I'm concerned. And CJSTC, the feedback they gave us last year when we talked about this, they didn't want it, and so it's not suited for that.

So, you know, in my feedback, and you all tell me if anybody feels differently or otherwise, and cause you all should be able to

Veritext Legal Solutions

weigh in on this, but I gave them my view of it, which is leave it where it is, don't mess with this, and leave it in Chapter 30.

Commissioner Schachter.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Sheriff, I would just like a guardian to be able to, if they're working in Broward County be able to shift counties. Does that have anything to do with this issue, and are they able to do that?

CHAIR: Well you can't just shift counties. If you're working -- if you're -- if you're employed by the Broward County School Board, you can apply to the Palm Beach --

COMM. SCHACHTER: I just don't want them to go through, have to go through a whole new training.

CHAIR: Why?

2.2

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: I'd like the training to be consistent, and --

CHAIR: Well, the training -- and again the training is a, is a minimum, so right now the training is 144 hours, but there might be some that are doing more than 144 hours, and if you're going, if you're a guardian in a district that's doing the basics but the

Sheriff in the next county, and the school board in the next county are doing more than that, then I do think that they should be required to go through the training in the county they're moving to, because the training might be more enhanced and advanced, and they also have to abide by the policies, and they should get trained on those policies and, and go through that training.

So I mean others can weigh in on that, but I, I don't think it's just where you can just seamlessly go from one to another.

COMM. SCHACHTER: But you're opposed to having a standard, a minimum standard across the state.

CHAIR: No, I didn't -- listen to what I said. That's not -- there is a minimum standard. I support the minimum standard, but that is the, that's the floor, it's not the maximum, so it's the minimum. I wholeheartedly support it, and I think the 144 hours that's in there now is good, but I can tell you as an example we do much more than that with Shoot Don't Shoot scenarios, and shoot houses, and simunition (ph) rounds, and all kinds of things

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.5

that we put the grounds through to push them, to test them, to make sure that we've got the, the highest level of people. And if somebody is in another county where they don't do that, I want them to go through that in our county, so that way everybody is trained the same, and the same techniques, and all the other things that are important in that, so --

COMM. SCHACHTER: I understand. I agree.

SHER. ASHLEY: Mr. Chair, I'm afraid that
if it went through Criminal Justice Standards
of Training Commission that may be considered
auxiliary officers, which could mess with our
accreditation standard.

CHAIR: Yeah. There -- there's no -- I mean there's no talk of that, and they would have to specifically do it, they can't just become, but it definitely puts it into the CJSTC process and system, and you know how it takes to get through CJSTC, it takes a long time, and you have votes an everything and all to go with it, and, and to what end, why, what, you know, what are they accomplishing by this? I don't see anything served with it, it doesn't serve a purpose, so again I think we really

2.2

need to get this to remain where it is in Chapter 30.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: Sheriff, I agree, in regard to CJSTC not being the best entity for which this should lie, and I clearly recognize that the minimum standards are what they are as established in the statute last year that initially created the Guardian The only thing I think that should be Program. considered is standardization, because the minimum is of course what is stated, but I think some uniformity statewide should be considered, and whether that's through a combined effort of FSA and the Police Chief's Association, I think some sort of standardized generally accepted generally recognized quardian training is going to be better in the long run than just accepting the minimum. The minimum is our base line, but I think there probably should be -- and that's just my personal opinion.

CHAIR: It does -- it does now. It says
-- first of all it says that all of the
guardians have to be trained by law enforcement
instructors, so you have to be a CJSTC

instructor in order to train the guardians. It says specifically of 8 hours of precision pistol. It's got very specific requirements, and it's 96 hours of firearms, so there are, there are specific requirements here.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: No, and I -- I clearly understand what the minimums are in the statute, and what those requirements are. just making the comment that I believe that a generally accepted standardized training that is accepted by all the Sheriff's Offices that are going to do the training is probably better in the long run from a risk management standpoint, and from a training standpoint. But I agree that CJSTC is not the place that it should lie, but as always in my opinion in this scenario while the statue indicates what the minimum is we should probably outline something a little better, something a little more that is uniformly accepted, because if whatever, whatever lies in the future happens adversely to someone, or a guardian program, and something happens, I just think that probably through, as I said FSA or the Police Chief's Association, some standardized, that's

Veritext Legal Solutions

accepted, some standardized training that looks the same everywhere you go is, is a good thing to consider.

CHAIR: Yeah. Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHER. JUDD: I think as it result, as it relates to the House Bill, this does not consider the initial intent of the legislature, the Speaker of the House, the Governor last year, because they wanted someone directly accountable to the people, and to the system, and if you put it in CJSTC you've got it in a commission that is managed by FDLE, that is appointed, and it, and it wanders into the bureaucratic abyss.

The original philosophy was when we set up, and I agree with the hours being stated across the board for the whole, the entire state, the philosophy was, and it was echoed over and over and over, was we want somebody directly accountable, and the one that you can get to that's directly accountable both by, to the Governor, his authority, which we just saw here in Broward County, and ballot box, the people, was the Sheriff, so I just don't want this mandate, which I think is very important,

2.2

2.3

to be pushed off into a bureaucratic process where nobody has easily got access to it or the process.

2.2

2.3

2.5

CHAIR: Commissioner Larkin-Skinner, go ahead.

COMM. LARKIN-SKINNER: So do we -- is it appropriate for us to make motion that the commission recommend to the Senate, the author of the Bill to change that language to match the House, I mean the -- sorry, the Senate, the House to match the Senate.

CHAIR: So -- so -- so here's where -well, so here's where it is now. I mean we've
communicated this to them, you know, we could
do that, is the House Bill was a committee Bill
that came out of education, so once it was a
committee Bill that came out of education it
only got one reference in the House, and that
was to House appropriations. It was heard last
week, and now it's already on the floor, and it
was connected -- actually two weeks ago it was
up in the House on the floor. Last week it got
TP'd, temporarily postponed. It will be back
up again.

We have shared this, but if it's the

consensus of the group that this body recommends that, you know, we can share that, that it is a consensus by motion, if somebody wants to make that, that this group feels strongly that the current Guardian Program should remain in Chapter 30 and not be moved over, we can certainly share that as well with, with Representative Sullivan, who is the Chair of Education, who's the one that shepherding the Bill through the process.

COMM. LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay, I would like to do that, because I actually read this before, and because I've been tracking it, and when I first read the language I cringed, and I'm not law enforcement, and I don't have the knowledge you have, so hearing what you all had to say today made me cringe even more, so I would make a motion that the commission make known our desire for HB7093 language to be changed, or amended, or to match the Senate. I'm not sure which, what we want to go for, or just to match what's currently in SB7026 last year.

CHAIR: So I would suggest -- it's your motion, you can frame it however you want.

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

would suggest the motion to this effect, that the Guardian Program remain in Chapter 30 and not be moved to Chapter 943.

COMM. LARKIN-SKINNER: Okay, that is my motion.

CHAIR: Second on that?

2.2

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: Second.

CHAIR: Okay, all in favor?

(AYE)

CHAIR: Any opposed? Same. Okay, so that motion passes. We'll make sure that the House is aware of this commission's view on that, and ask that they consider -- I know they already are considering it, and ask they take, that it's so important to us that we've passed a motion on it and asked them to consider leaving it in Chapter 30 and the way it is. So we'll make sure that that word gets up there, and that they are aware of that. Commissioner Petty.

COMM. PETTY: And, Mr. Chair, just to echo something that Sheriff Judd said, that that was, the original intent of that was to have -- and I know we've got exceptions with certain counties, but the intent was to have an elected

official, an elected accountable official be responsible for that. So I would -- I would remind the legislature in our letter of that.

CHAIR: All right.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMM. CARROLL: Just a quick question, because --

CHAIR: Commissioner Carroll, go ahead.

COMM. CARROLL: -- I don't have any insight to this, but do you have any insight as to why the two Bills, because they're significantly different, not just on this issue, but there's a couple of issues that this committee would disagree with the House's version of that Bill. Is there any insight on how that Bill came to be so different than the Senate's?

CHAIR: No. It was -- the only thing I can say is, is that -- well, they both came out of education committees. The House Bill came out much later than the Senate Bill, but I really can't share any insight with you on that. In talking to some of the House's staff on this I think they had some things in mind that they were trying to accomplish, I'm just not sure it's the right way to accomplish some

of those. I think that they had their reasons for it, but as I explained to them, and we'll talk a little bit more as we go through some of these things, there's other ways to do it.

And I also believe that this is so new that there shouldn't be any seismic shifts, any major movement in this, let it all develop. And we know that this is a quick turnaround year for the legislature, they'll be right back at it again in the Fall, and let's let it take effect. But something like this, and another thing that we're going to get to here in a second about, as we talked, I referenced it earlier, about designating somebody to call that active assailant response, that's just so contrary, and again in talking to them I think that they were hoping to try and get it to the right place, but it just doesn't seem that it moved in that direction, so, you know, but they are very different.

The next thing that we need to just talk about here, again this is, this is consistent with the Senate version, allows Class D&G security guard licensees to be appointed as guardians, and to carry a concealed firearm if

25

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

so desired. So this gets back again, and talks more about CJSTC, and offered by -- and this is where they were going with this, because they were trying to -- again, if you go back to the very first item in the 7093 presentation it says that it keeps it discretionary for a Sheriff to establish a Guardian Program.

So they were trying to get around, or away from the notion that sheriffs should be required to do it, and so their way of getting around that, and leaving it discretionary for a Sheriff, was to move it over to CJSTC, to allow CJSTC to develop their curriculum, and here in Line 153 to allow the CJSTC training centers, the law enforcement training centers, the police academies around the state, that they could offer the training.

So it says provide that the guardian training may be offered by a CJSTC training center sheriffs -- and this is another thing that became very convoluted in the House Bill, is it says and school districts that are the employing agency for SROs. Well, as I said to the House staff last week, in reading this this is at a minimum ambiguous, at a minimum

2.2

2.3

2.4

extremely confusing, and if it's read the way

I'm reading it very problematic, because nobody

is an SRO, you're either a police officer or

you're a deputy sheriff. SRO is an assignment.

It's like the marine unit, traffic, K-9, et

cetera.

And so it's creating this new, I don't know what it is, new thing of an SRO, and it says that it provides that the guardian training be offered by a district that is the employing agency for SROs, so that could be read to mean that a district can employee an SRO even if the district doesn't have a police department. So does that mean that just school districts can go out and hire their own SROs without having a police department? It doesn't make any sense. It doesn't fit.

So I explained them that, that last week, so, again, and asked them just to keep all this intact, similar to the motion that we just passed, just leave it to where it is and don't tinker with this, for a whole host of reasons. So, because that, that's confusing. At best it's confusing, at worst is, is it creates something that shouldn't be, because you

2.2

2.3

2.4

shouldn't have an SRO employed by a school district who doesn't have a police department.

You know, Office of Safe Schools, the FSSAT, we don't need to talk about that. Coordinate with FDLE. This is the data repository. We know that has to be done under the Governor's executive order by August 1st. Grants for hardening based upon recommendations of the FSSAT, now it's kind of interesting because it says here in the policy Bill, if you will, which is this Bill, the Office of Safe Schools may award grants to districts for site hardening based upon the recommendation of the FSSAT, but in the budget Bill the House has zero dollars in there for these grants, so, but again that's still work in progress.

This is one that I think is a problem, I don't think it's a good provision, is, but I understand what they were trying to do. So it says there the Office of Safe Schools is to define the types of public schools and campuses subject to 1006.07 and 1006.12, so this puts it on the Office of Safe Schools, which I would suggest puts it on the Commissioner of Education, to make a determination of what

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

types of schools have to do drills, and what types of schools have to have that good person with a gun, or have to have that safe school officer, or have to have the cop or the guardian.

The law is clear today, and the law is clear today about the drills. There certainly can be discussion about the frequency, but it is also clear that there has to be that good person with a gun, a cop or a guardian, on every elementary, middle, high school, and charter campus. Who wants to be making the decision as to what schools get excluded, because if you have a charter school, and that charter school only has 25 kids, are those kids lives any less valuable than the school that has 1,000 kids?

Who wants to say that any school of a certain size, and there's a threshold, is that if you're at this school you don't get guardians, or cops, but if you're this size you do? Where do you draw that line? How is that done? And I think it puts, you know, the Office of Safe Schools and DOE in a very, very untenable position, almost an unworkable

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

position, and if some, if you make a decision that this school is excluded and something bad happens at that school, I don't know, how do you live with yourself? How do you -- how do you answer to the parents? How do you answer to the community?

So, you know, is that I -- anyway, what do you -- anybody think differently on that?

Yeah, Sheriff?

SHER. ASHLEY: I thought the last -- the panel discussed that, on trying to define what a school is, versus a program. I'm not sure if, if they went with that, or if they went with that or not.

CHAIR: Well, but to just -- for the Office of Safe Schools to define the types of school subject to these two requirements, you know, and there is a difference between a program and a school, and there might be some room here to tweak at the language a little bit, but to totally put it within the discretion of DOE is, I don't think it's workable. I mean I don't know, you know, Chancellor Olivia, I don't know if you want to comment on that at all, or your thoughts on

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

that. I don't want to put you on the spot,
but, you know, does DOE -- that's probably not
a great place to be, having to make that
decision. And maybe the decision is if they,
if it says you have to define the types then
the decision, we just leave it the way it is.
I don't -- I don't know.

2.

2.2

2.5

CHANC. OLIVIA: I -- I concur, I think that needs a little bit of work. And it's a lot of burden to put on the Office of Safe Schools. If anything it may be better in State Board.

CHAIR: Yeah. Commissioner Stewart, any thoughts on that, I mean you were in that seat recently?

COMM. STEWART: Yeah, if I were still in DOE and that became law everywhere that there is a student would be subject.

CHAIR: All right. And the same thing about drills. So, you know, again, it's clear today, it's unambiguous today, and, you know, law that clearly defines what needs to happen and not leaving it to individual discretions is probably a better play, so.

SHER. ASHLEY: Chair.

CHAIR: Yeah, go ahead.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SHER. ASHLEY: I think -- or at least the issue that keeps coming up is so the law says you have to have a, a school resource officer or a guardian, a good guy with a gun, but does that apply just to the school day, the school hours, or school students, or school events, or school programs, I mean --

CHAIR: Right. So -- so that, that type of stuff, you know, I think that might be fair, and you can have some discussion about further clarifying and defining that. You know from what I see around the state is that most are defining that as, is the regular school day, and it leaves them some flexibility and judgment for extracurricular events. But that -- you know, that's certainly within fairness, you know, if somebody wanted to try and define that, so I don't see that as a problem if somebody wanted to do that, but that isn't even contemplated by this. Mr. Schachter.

COMM. SCHACHTER: I mean we are -- we are leaving a huge gap, you know, where all of the after school activities and athletic events are not going to be required to be staffed by law

enforcement, we could be creating a big problem
where, you know, unfortunately somebody could
go and attack a facility that is really, you
know, a real soft target with no protection at
all. Is there any way that our commission can
address that; that's a major vulnerability in
my mind.

CHAIR: Well, you know, again, there's no perfect, and there has to be a degree of reasonableness, and you know, if you put that where do you draw the line, is that if you get a chess club that's meeting at 7:00 at night, and it's six students, and they're the only ones on campus, do they get it, do you have to have a cop there because the chess club is meeting, and that's different than the football game?

Right now it's up to each school in each district to make that determination, and they are doing it, but there's only so much you can, you can require.

COMM. SCHACHTER: I think that after school there is no security there, and so that, that's what I'm afraid of.

CHAIR: Not everywhere. There is.

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1 COMM. SCHACHTER: Really?

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

CHAIR: There is. There is in a lot of them. I know there is, you know, so, you know, it may not, it may or may not be everywhere for everybody, and some districts are doing more than others, but, no, there is, there are staffing by law enforcement or guardians after regular school hours; I can tell you that.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Not in Broward County they're not.

CHAIR: Well. So --

COMM. SCHACHTER: At football games, yeah, but that's it.

CHAIR: Moving on then on to the Line 269, verified accuracy of SESIR data, we'll talk more about that. It includes charter schools, and that's in drills, and in the safe school, so that clarifies that, to the extent that it needs clarification. Here's on that you asked about earlier, Mr. Schachter. This is not in the Senate Bill, but it says a private school may employer contract for the employment of guardians, so this is in the House Bill, but this provision is not in the Senate Bill.

This is another one that we talked about,

frequency, again this is in the House Bill that provides flexibility, active assailant drills conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Office of Safe Schools, and deletes as often as other emergency drills. You know, this again puts the Office of Safe Schools in the position of having to make a determination how frequent drills are done. I, you know, I'm not sure that, that that's a good provision. It just takes it away from what is clear now.

And again there could be discussion that maybe what's in there now is too much in certain context, but it takes the certainty and puts it in total uncertainty and puts it totally within the discretion of the Office of Safe Schools, so again this is the in House Bill, it's not in the Senate Bill.

Now getting, Chief Lystead, to your question, or comment, you know, from before, reach district is required to have that school safety specialist, but the person doesn't have to be a school administrator, so that give the district flexibility. It doesn't have to be somebody employed by a Sheriff's Office, it could be anybody, so the House version

2.2

2.3

2.4

encompasses what your concern is, so, again, that's going to have to get reconciled somewhere between the two Bills.

The FSSAT required that it be used -- now here's -- here's what I've been talking about a couple times, at Line 525, requires that each principal designates school personal who can declare an emergency, and a threat to school safety, and has to designate school personnel who must contact the primary emergency response I very, very strongly suggested to the House staff that that must come out. That is just the antithesis of everything that we've talked about, that everybody needs to be empowered, and when you have something like that in law it is going to tell these principles that they have to designate the people, and you're going to end up with individual people designated as opposed to everybody is empowered, so I don't think that that is helpful at all, and I think it hurts what we're trying to accomplish.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Yeah, that -- that's completely contrary to all best practices, I would agree with you on that.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: So Line 548 maintains that an SRO must be Florida certified -- but this gets back to what I said a few minutes ago, that an SRO may be employed by a district school board, so that doesn't make any sense. And you can split the cost of the SRO, but everybody does that now anyway, and it all has to be mutually agreed, so I don't know that that really accomplishes anything.

Again employer contract for guardians. Guardians shall have a CCW permit or be a Class D or a Class G licensee, gets back to using security personnel. A guardian may not serve unless appointed by the superintendent, that same issue that's in the Senate Bill, and by May 1st of each year DOE has to provide access to the FSSAT. We'll talk more about that tomorrow. But it does say in here, and I suggested this in the discussion I had with the House, it says DOE must review all FSSAT results submitted before October 1st. it's ambiguous. It kind of indicates that the FSSAT has to be in by October 1st, but it doesn't say that. The Senate version says it has to be in by August 1st. So this needs to

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

get reconciled, and I suggested to them it's better to use a specific date, and just say get it in by this date. It's clear, it's understandable by all, and you can't have confusion over that.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Deficiencies in reporting, non-compliance, same thing on the fix for Okaloosa, Seminole, and the other counties about the second Safe School dollars, that you can use it for existing SROs. Districts have to comply with the FSSAT before they can get their allocation money. And this is where the compliance differs. You saw a lot of the Senate Bill, and again I'm just kind of giving you excerpts from it, and paraphrasing it a little bit, what it is supposed to give in the DOE Commissioner very specific and direct sanction authority and accountability measures, is that this goes to the Educational Practices Commission, it can impose a fine for non-compliance.

So it doesn't give the Commissioner direct oversight and sanction authority, is that it refers it to this Educational Practices

Commission if a person knowingly failed to comply with the requirements. So I have no

idea, and so maybe Chancellor Olivia, or Commissioner Stewart, you can enlighten us, because I have no idea what the Educational Practices Commission is, never heard of it.

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

COMM. STEWART: So the authority of the Educational Practices Commission currently only covers those who hold a Florida Educator Certificate, so they would have to do more than just do that, they would have to into the EPC's statute and make a change.

CHAIR: Okay. So that Practices

Commission, is that a commission, obviously within DOE, that is like a regulatory on licensing, and --

COMM. STEWART: It's a -- it's a group of twenty-five volunteers made up of law enforcement, parents, school board, and five sit at each of those hearings and hear the cases of educator misconduct.

CHAIR: Okay. So anyway that's the framework that's set up in the House Bill, is to use this Educational Practices Commission as opposed to direct accountability. All right, so anybody have any, any further questions, comments? Those are the two big school safety

Bills that are pending, and the House Bill has not been set for the floor again, it hasn't been set on the agenda, but it could any day. Go ahead, Mr. Schachter.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Yeah, I totally agree with you on the Line 525 on, on the House Bill. If that was to become law that would be extremely bad for the safety of our children in schools, so I know you're our conduit to the legislature, if you think that you would like another motion to include that in your letter, or any of these other provisions that we feel extremely strong about --

CHAIR: I don't think -- I don't think it's necessary at all, so -- I don't think it's necessary. I think we made the point on that one. All right, anybody have anything else?

We'll move on. So Senator Book is the Chair of Children, Families, and Elder Affairs, and her committee introduced a Bill -- remember we talked about how mental health providers may report threats of harm to law enforcement?

This would require that they do that. This Bill is up in the Senate Rules Committee. It's the last stop on Wednesday of this week,

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

1 tomorrow, and then it will go the Senate floor.

Right now there is no House companion, but I know Senator Book is working on that, and trying to figure out a way to get it through the House, so that is a good thing. I think it was a committee, it was a commission recommendation, and Senator Book has gotten that Bill through the Senate, so we'll have to see what happens with it.

SEC. MAYHEW: I just wanted to share with the commission that there is HB361 and SB1418 that address Chapter 394 Florida Statutes, which is the mental health law, that do have a provision requiring providers, mental health providers to report to law enforcement and any potential victim that is specifically identified that may be of threat to harm, harmed by someone else. It also requires law enforcement to then respond appropriate to that report, and it also requires a release of the records that are pertinent to the threat and law enforcement's investigation.

CHAIR: Do you know where they are? Have they moved at all, those Bills?

SEC. MAYHEW: Actually the House Bill was

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.2

2.3

2.4

just passed today, but I can --

CHAIR: Yeah, that's all right. I know -- I know Senator Book is working on this, so, you know, she's, she's addressing it.

SEC. MAYHEW: So what Senator Book did, what happened is because she doesn't have a companion Bill in the House for 7048 her language was actually adopted in SB1418 to go under Chapter 394 in the mental health side, versus on the education side, which is where she was, she was working.

CHAIR: Yeah. I know she's working on it, and, you know, trying to track the web of all of these, and I just wanted to bring it to everybody's attention, that it's out there, and I've talked to her, and I know she's got a plan, so she's extremely competent, and will I'm sure figure out a way to get it through. So I just want to bring it to your attention, that this was a commission recommendation, and she's moving it along, and hopefully she can get it through because it is an important one. So she -- she knows how to navigate it through the process, so we'll rely on her to get it done.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

1

2

4 5

6

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next one we want to talk about are two Bills, 5636 and 441. So these are probably a good seque way into the next topic that we're going to discuss this afternoon, which is 911 and radio communications. So, you know, I always talk about the perfect world doesn't exist, well, it doesn't exist as it relates to this issue either, and the chances of any Bill passing, and probably shouldn't, that required consolidation of the 911 centers and the public safety answering ports across Florida, one, it's unrealistic, it would never pass, there would be a huge fiscal with it, and all kinds of reasons why, well, in the perfect world that might be a good idea, it's just not something that is feasible.

So what we wanted to do was to craft something that would take where we are to a better place, although it won't be a perfect place is, is that it would be a better place than where we are today. So both of these Bills address issues with the 911 system and radio interoperability. So the first provision of the Bill says that every 911 center that reasonably takes calls for first responder

305-376-8800

agencies for which that 911 center does not have dispatch, does not dispatch calls, that they have the ability to communicate with a first responder agency by radio.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

So we know that what happened here in Broward County was we had the calls going into the Coral Springs communication center, Coral Springs transferred the calls over the Broward County Sheriff's Office, and Coral Springs, like others, didn't have direct radio capability to dispatch the Broward Sheriff's Office deputies who were responsible for responding to Parkland. So this is no different than what we have in Pinellas County, what happens on Orange County, what happens in Palm Beach County, which happens in a whole bunch of places, is that you have PSAPs, public safety answering points, 911 centers that take calls into that call center for a whole host of reasons, and those calls coming into that 911 center, that 911 center doesn't dispatch for the police department that it's taking the call for so they have to transfer it.

And all this is saying is, is that 911 center has to have the ability -- it doesn't

800-726-7007

even say they have to do it. It says they have to have the ability to communicate directly with that agency. So what it says is, is that the Coral Springs dispatch center, the PSAP has to have the ability to communicate directly with the Broward County Sheriff's Office. It says that in Pinellas County, our 911 center which takes calls for the St. Petersburg Police Department, we don't dispatch for the St. Petersburg Police Department, we have to have the ability to come up on their channel.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

There's a variety of ways to accomplish that. One way to accomplish it is, one end of the spectrum is full consolidation. Most places, if not all, it's never going to happen. It could be by putting radio channels in the consoles in those communicate centers, or it could be as simple as having a portable radio in there where they could come up on the portable radio. The whole idea here is to make it as painless as possible, as doable as possible, and not require anybody who doesn't want to go through any extensive hoops but make sure that the ability is there to communicate.

Then at the same time is to leave it to

local control of all the stakeholders as to how that is implemented. So what the Bill says is that the Sheriff in every county will convene the stakeholders, and the stakeholders will come up with the criteria under which that this will happen. So is this going to happen in your every day run of the mill calls, or is this going to happen in only those most serious, and hopefully anomaly situations like the shooting at Stoneman Douglas?

So use us as an example, is that somebody wakes up at 3:00 in the morning in the City of St. Petersburg and they look out their back window, and they see a guy getting ready to break into their house, and the person is freaking out, and they're yelling, and they call 911. When that call comes in to our communication center we're going to take the information, we're going to say hold on, we're going to transfer them to the St. Pete Police Department, and they're going to tell their story all over again to the call taker of the St. Pete Police Department, and then that call taker is going to pass it up to the dispatcher, and then they're going to dispatch the St. Pete

2.2

2.3

2.4

Police.

2.3

2.4

So that call, that process could continue, or you could have, dependent upon what's decided by the stakeholders, is that you could have a situation where when that call comes in and the person is freaking out that our dispatcher in our communications center gets on the St. Pete channel and says you got a burglary in process at this address, get your units rolling that way, and we're going to transfer you a call.

It doesn't tell -- this Bill in the law is not going to tell any county, or any jurisdiction about how they have to do this. It says that you have to have the ability to do it, you all sit down at a table and reach consensus, and figure it out, draft an inter-local agreement and file it with FDLE demonstrating compliance. So it leaves it to local control on a county basis but requires that the ability be there.

The third thing the Bill does is, is that it says that law enforcement agencies are required to share their primary dispatch channels unless technologically prohibited. So

you can't have a situation where you've got, in a county you've got a, let's say a city police department, they want the sheriff's office primary dispatch channels, the sheriff won't give it to them, or vice-versa, it eliminates that to make sure that those primary dispatch channels are available to other law enforcement agencies.

So those are the three things the Bill It passed this morning in the House under HB441. It's got one more stop in the Senate, and then it should move to the floor. We've had a lot of discussion -- I've had a lot of discussion over the last couple weeks with the fire chiefs. The police chiefs are in support of it, the 911 groups. And we have modified this Bill quite a bit, you know, and rightfully so, to accommodate a number of requests from various associations. So when it was up this morning it was supported by everything, by everybody, and I think that this is a significant step from where we are, and will take us to a much better place, so I'm pretty confident that both these Bills will pass and, and make through both chambers.

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Anybody have any questions on, on that?

Mr. Schachter.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: I understand that the SOP for when the call came into Coral Springs was if it was law enforcement, they transferred to BSO, but did they not have the capability to place the call to, to BSO without transferring the call?

CHAIR: No. To get up on the radio channel and dispatch it, no.

COMM. SCHACHTER: No.

CHAIR: Not then. They do now, and you'll hear about that here in a few minutes, it's changed.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And then my last question is was there any consideration to putting in a transmit all button, or mandating a transmit all button?

CHAIR: What are you talking about?

COMM. SCHACHTER: I've spoken to a number of 911 operators, and they say that in their community they have on their, their module, there's a transmit all button, they push it, and that, that they're able to transmit on all, to all the agencies at the same time.

CHAIR: Well, you know -- and I don't know what they're talking about with that, but what they might be -- but -- but again that 911 center, or that PSAP, or that has to be dispatching for those agencies. Again, you have -- you have PSAPs that are taking calls for agencies they are not dispatching for.

COMM. SCHACHTER: I understand. I understand.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: So that wouldn't work. And -- and there is a problem, there is an operational problem with somebody else coming up on your channel. You've got a dispatcher on your channel for a reason. If somebody else is all the time coming onto your channel and trying to dispatch your units that's a whole other problem. That would cause -- that would -- that would be unworkable operationally.

COMM. SCHACHTER: It wouldn't be all the time; it would just be in mass casualty incidents.

CHAIR: Well, it -- well, it depends upon what the criteria is. That's why that this needs to be left to local control, let the sheriff and the police chiefs, and the fire

800-726-7007

chiefs, and all the stakeholders, sit down at the table, figure out what works in that county, because what works in Miami-Dade County ain't going to work in Lafayette County, and vice-versa. You got very different dynamics, and very different needs, and so all we're saying here is, is that let it be done locally, figure out what works, get it the best place you can, but let's move the needle slightly and get it to a better place. That's all this is saying. Okay. All right, anything else there?

2.2

2.5

COMM. PETTY: Mr. Chair, on this 441 is there a date by which this needs to be completed? I'm just looking through the bill, and I'm trying to find a date.

CHAIR: Well, they have to -- they have to submit the compliance report, I believe it's in there, by January 1st. So January 1st, because they have to submit a compliance report, or file the MOU with FDLE by January 1st. All right, any other questions on any of that, as far as the pending legislation, et cetera?

All right, so we've got probably will be, that took a little longer than I expected, but probably be about the last thing that we're

going to do today is talk about -- John, do you need this? Talk about the radio system and 911 update, so remember that the, even though they're interrelated the 911 issue is different from the Broward Sheriff's Office radio issue. The Broward Sheriff's Office radio issue is an antiquated system, resulted in the throttling, resulted in the balking, in a capacity issue on that radio system.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Remember that in Broward County is that you have a regional communication system, north, center, north, central, and south. And you have Plantation and Coral Springs that do not participate in the regional communications system. There's been some recent reports that Margate and Coconut Creek are considering withdrawing from ORCAT and the regional communications system. ORCAT, just for record, just for refreshing you all on it, is the Office of Regional Communications and Technology. Sergeant Suess is going to get into that here, and explain more about it, but it is the regional system.

So we've had discussions over the last several weeks with various stakeholders,

including those representing ORCAT, Coral Springs Police Department, Broward Sheriff's Office, and Plantation Police Department.

We've also reviewed the Fitch report, which you'll hear about, and we sent you all copies of the Fitch report from 2016 as read ahead material.

Now, most of what you're going to hear about today from Sergeant Suess in this presentation is from ORCAT members, and ORCAT member cities that we've talked with so far, and the ones we've talked about, talked with so far, are the ones that have concerns and criticisms of the system, and even criticisms of the County. The County has also expressed some concerns about the system users. But one of the things I need to stress to you is, is that we have not, and I say this again, we have not interviewed all ORCAT participants, so there are some cities that are members of ORCAT that we haven't talked to, we haven't been able to get to yet, and interviewed in this process, and I've committed to Bertha Henry, the County Administrator, that we will interview others to ensure that we have a well-rounded

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

perspective.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

But I can tell you that -- and we'll do that, but it is clear nevertheless that there is discord, and there is significant discord in this community over the communications, and whether the current system if working, and whether it is working properly under ORCAT. And give you an example, is last month Sunrise Mayor Mike Ryan authored an op-ed in the Sun-Sentinel, and this is a quote from Mayor Ryan that was in the Sun-Sentinel a couple of weeks ago.

And here's the quote: Today friction and distrust persist as it relates to our 911 system between county technology employees, which is ORCAT, and our public safety professionals, which are the participating agencies.

Well, friction and distrust are not ingredients for success, but that tone permeates among the system participants. doesn't really matter what the cause is, or why they are at this juncture, but they need to get this fixed, because if they keep going the way they are nothing is changing, and the ones that

are at risk, the ones that are suffering are the users of the system, and that's the police officers, the deputy sheriffs, the dispatchers, and really the public that's relying on an effective communications system to get them the help.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

ORCAT resolved some of these problems when it was implemented in 2014, but in some cases because of the governance structure it may even be worse than it was before this came into effect. The Fitch report from 2016 -- so remember what I just told you that Mayor Ryan said a few weeks ago in that op-ed, and this is what the Fitch report in 2016 said; is noted that the ORCAT governance structure keeps the system from achieving significant additional improvements, that the governance structure seems to be at the heart of many of these So this is not new, this has been issues. around since the Fitch report in 2016, but nothing is getting fixed with this.

So I want to highlight a couple things, and then I'm going to let Sergeant Suess go and get through his presentation here, but here's a couple things that were in the Fitch report

from 2016. Here's one of the things it says. It was a question in a survey to the dispatchers, so these are the people who are doing it, these are the boots on the ground, these are the people talking on the radio, these are the people within ORCAT, within the County's regional communications system, and there's the question that was asked.

2.2

2.3

2.4

The regional communications system, and this is December 2016, the regional communications system is equipped and prepared to handle large scale emergencies such as Hurricanes and mass shooting incidents? And 41% of the dispatchers disagreed, or disagreed strongly with that statement. So in 2016 you had 41% of the people who are doing it that said that this system was not equipped to handle a mass casualty incident.

You're going to see a slide in the presentation that gives all of the data, but again we want to highlight this because it's pretty glaring considering what happened in February of last year. Here's another one, is that 19% of the dispatchers in the 2016 survey, 19% responded that the regional communications

center's policies and procedures are easily understood and applied. So only 19% of the people understood the policies, and that they were easily applied.

So all we want to do today is bring you up to speed on what we've been doing over the last couple months, interviews, and to update you on where things are, and where things are not, as it relates to the entire 911 system, and specifically to the radio tower issue between the County and Hollywood, which is still unresolved. This is not to bring it full circle. This is to update you. Sergeant Suess will go through these slides. And then in June we will bring in all of the players, and we will in that meantime have interviewed others and be able to update you on the situation in Coconut Creek, with Margate, with others that we need to talk to.

So I didn't want to wait because this is too important of an issue, there's too much that has gone on here in the last couple of months, but we're not bringing everybody in in this meeting. We'll bring people in in the June meeting. And again, all we want to do

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

here today is get you updated on where we are.

Go ahead, Sheriff Judd.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHER. JUDD: Let -- let me make this statement just before we start the presentation for those who are not familiar with communications systems, and certainly with joint communications systems. Historically the, there's friction between the field units and the communications people inside, because the people on the communications inside are having to rely on what they're being told by people that are exercised over being victims, and they're trying to communicate to the people in the field.

The people in the field are frustrated because they're not always getting the information they're comfortable with because the people in communications can't get the information. So as we go through this if any of those issues arise you just have to say, okay, that's, that's part of the system. But here -- here's the focus we need to look at. I just came through the consolidation of a system like this, and best friends who, from the different police departments and fire

departments who all sat with my staff around the table, best friends vehemently disagreed on some topics, but they came together to get it done.

So -- so you're going to see when you look at an agency the size of Broward that only 2014, only four years ago consolidated all but a couple of agencies -- this is a monumental task of great proportion if you have everyone, if you have, if you have a representation on a merge commission, if you all agree, if there's no, and you're all friends, there's going to be a lot of angst.

If there's anything less than 100% buy-in by the representatives from the city, and everyone understands at the end of the business arguments that they're all friends, then it only gets worse from there. But under the best of circumstances a merge like this is very, very, very stressful, and changing this culture takes a long time, and they've only been into it four years. So just balance that when you, and then you see whether or not the infrastructure that's in place, or the committees that's in place, did they put the

800-726-7007

2.2

2.5

right culture change procedures and processes in place -- and I harken back to if only 19% understand there's a huge problem there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So we need to look at, at a system and a process, not at the end, end conflict that may be between dispatchers and response units.

CHAIR: Now, we'll let John get started, you know, but, but some of this is, it seems like talking to people, and from what we've heard, unfortunately I think some of this has become personal, and they've got to figure out a way around this. They've got to get -- they've got to be bigger than that, and they've got to get through this problem.

But there will be -- there'll be opportunity here for the questions, and to weigh in, but let's let Sergeant Suess get started, and then, and then we'll take questions.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Sheriff, can you just tell us why the Fitch report was started in the first place, who initiated that, and why?

CHAIR: He'll get into it.

COMM. SCHACHTER: He will? Okay.

CHAIR: Go ahead.

Veritext Legal Solutions

PRESENTATION - BROWARD COUNTY EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS

2.2

2.3

SGT. SUESS: Thank you, Sheriff. As we go through this presentation as Sheriff Gualtieri pointed out I think it's important to note you'll see the overwhelming majority of slides in here, and the texts are actual, they're quotes from either the Fitch report or letters back and forth between the government entities. Very little of what you'll see in here are conclusions or findings by the investigative team, so please keep that in mind.

So a little background, just a refresher, and then for the new commission members, there's thirty-one municipalities in Broward County, some of them have their own police and fire departments, some contract with neighboring municipalities, and others contract with BSO. And again as a reminder, they provide both police and fire services. In 2002 the voters chose to consolidate emergency communications, often referred to as regionalization; we'll get into that a little more.

In 2013 the process began to establish a

regional system, and in 2014 the formal implementation of ORCAT, or the Office of Regional Communications and Technology, took place. This consolidated eight smaller public safety answering points, or PSAPs, or communication centers, into three separate facilities, north, central, and south. It's worth noting BSO was already providing some regional services to municipalities that chose to not have their own PSAP.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

These regional communication centers are staffed by BSO personnel, and ORCAT provides technology, funding, and oversight for these systems. It is funded by the County, and often you will hear probably throughout this presentation, either my words or quotes, that the ORCAT and County are often used interchangeably, so please just be aware of that. As a reference point, the Fort Lauderdale Airport shooting took place in January of 2017.

So this slide sort of lays out the ORCAT CAD membership. You'll see on the far left column a lot of municipal police departments, and then under the umbrella of the Broward

Sheriff's Office a lot of contract cities, and then their district offices at Port Everglades, Fort Lauderdale Airport, and the courthouse.

CHAIR: John, just for the new commission members just explain what CAD is.

SGT. SUESS: Yeah, so CAD is computer aided dispatch. So essentially when a 911 call is made the call taker gets that information, they enter it into a computer. Simultaneously a dispatcher is able to see those call notes and relay that information to deputies or police officers, or firefighters, as the case may be.

And then you see the two non-member agencies of ORCAT, Coral Springs and Plantation, and we'll get more into that, and their reasons for that, shortly. Then also within ORCAT you have the RMS memberships, or records management systems, so that's, you know, any police report that is generated is going to be documented through your records management system, your RMS. And again you can just see some of the differences. There's a few exceptions there, and I'm not going to go into every single one of them right now, but

2.2

2.3

2.4

they are, are there for your reading. Just for the sake of familiarity the location of Coral Springs and Plantation, where they are within Broward County. And you can see the numerous municipal boundaries here on this map.

2.2

2.3

So we're going to talk about sort of the current status of interoperability. There have been some changes made since February 14, 2018, and some I would venture to say even since the last time we all met. So the regional system ORCAT, you'll see at the bottom of this, is largely interoperable with P25 Phase I systems, which is the system that Coral Springs Police Department uses. Plantation Police Department is on a P25 Phase II. It's my understanding that's pretty much the latest and greatest technology.

So part of the issue is on a P25 Phase II radio Plantation officers can communicate to Broward deputies on their radio. The difficulty is that the Broward deputies often time, or actually they cannot then transmit back to a P25 Phase II radio. This is largely just a technological restriction. To ask me anything more detailed than that, I don't have

that inform, but just so you understand the lay of the land.

ORCAT is working to upgrade to the P25 system. The current obstacle is the radio tower placement in Westlake Park in the City of Hollywood. This is ORCAT's primary location where they would like to erect the tower. It has already received approval from the FAA and FCC, and when we met with some of the senior staff at ORCAT they explained if they could get this location that the P25 system can be operational by December of 2019. This is the only site by where a, where the P25 system could be active. There are other locations being explored, but those are going to be, it's going to take much longer to implement.

So ORCAT was directed to conduct a site study at the Surf Hotel in Hollywood as an alternative. When we met with ORCAT they explained if they do that that the construction process is going to take longer, and it's going to cost more. There was an engineering report completed recently on March 29th. I'll share some more information on that briefly.

Concurrently, and I think in a great deal of

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

foresight, Hollywood has also initiated the Chapter 164 proceedings with the City of Hollywood, and so what that essentially would do is, it's a forced arbitration between the County and the City, and then the first step in the process really would be a meeting between the County Commission and the City Council of Hollywood to try and come to some resolution.

So ORCAT provided us with a copy of the engineering report. It is an engineering report, so there are parts of it that I certainly did not understand, but I think if nothing else this excerpt from the executive summary does not paint that as a timely, or an ideal location, stating that it is viable, but it's going to result in a sub-optimal system, would be a much higher lifetime cost, and a much longer timeline for implementation. So essentially everything, all the concerns ORCAT had were validated by this engineering report.

So as you may recall there are several non- public safety entities on the public, or on the County radio system. In 2013 it was recognized that some of those entities needed to be removed from the public safety system.

2.2

2.3

2.4

As an example, some of them, mosquito control, wastewater, aviation. There were a lot of radios purchased at that time that have not yet been implemented. They have begun the process. Some of those radios have to be reprogrammed, and they anticipate that the significant users of that system that are not public safety entities should be off by June of this year, so by the next commission meeting we should have an update on that.

> COMM. SCHACHTER: Detective, is Hollywood the only city that were remaining, is standing out and resisting?

> SGT. SUESS: That's my understanding, they're the only one, yes, sir. I think once that tower is up then it, then the process can continue.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And this is not -- I mean they, they just initiated this survey, but they've known about this for years, right, that we needed to put a tower in Hollywood?

SGT. SUESS: I couldn't answer that question. Perhaps in June one of the County employees may have a better idea. So the School Board radio system is going to require

Veritext Legal Solutions 800-726-7007 305-376-8800

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

input from both the School Board and ORCAT as a whole. The School Board has set a deadline for August of 2019, and in our meeting with ORCAT they described that timeline as very ambitious.

So BSO and ORCAT are continuing to work together to try and remedy some problems. BSO is reprogramming all their radios so there's going to be three channels, and we've done something similar in our county, but this provides deputies the opportunity, and for those of you that weren't here at that time, and just as a refresher, a lot of times if a deputy or a law enforcement officer is going from one district to another they may need to change radio channels.

That's not always very easy on portable radios, especially if you're driving at a high rate of speed in a high stress environment, so BSO is reprogramming all of theirs so with the simple rotation of a dial to the last three channels there's three separate channels they can get on, emergency operations, staging, and tactical. So at the appropriate time, which is going to vary depending on that particular response, all law enforcement officers can

2.2

2.3

2.4

change to whatever channel their specific role requires.

They're working to establish a uniform fleet map so all radios in the ORCAT system have the same fleet map. So in theory every agency's radio fleet map will mirror other, other agencies. ORCAT currently uses emergency medical dispatching EMD. They're in the process of implementing emergency police dispatching, and emergency fire dispatching. So a very quick explanation, it's basically a set script that call takers use to answer questions when a 911 call comes in. It removes certain variables. There's pros and cons to both systems, but that is the currently lay of the land. And then text to 911 was recently implemented.

So we met, and I've continued dialogue, both with Angie Mize at the Broward Sheriff's Office, she's the current acting director of communications, and Kathy Liriano, the director of communications for Coral Springs. What I can tell you about them, and to be honest everyone we've spoken with, everyone is making, or trying to make strides in improving the

2.3

situation. There is obviously some disagreements, and discord, on the best way to do that, but Ms. Mize and Ms. Liriano certainly gave the impression that the two of them have a very good working relationship and are actively working on making improvements to their interoperability.

2.2

2.3

2.4

They currently have each other's systems on their consoles, so if they need to transmit, if BSO needs to transmit on Coral Springs' channel then they have that ability to do so. The inverse is also true. Both agencies have the ability to patch each other's channels, and monthly testing of this process is performed. BSO and Coral Springs have discussed the circumstances under which that patching would take place. There is not a formalized memorandum of understanding, but they have documented training, at least on the BSO side. And I'm not saying Coral Springs does not, I just know that BSO made it a point to mention that to us.

Parkland 911 call routing remains the same as it was on February 14, 2018. Both ORCAT BSO and Coral Springs have held meetings and

engaged in the City of Parkland on that process to discuss possible alternatives.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And, John, before you move on, this is also the case with Plantation too, right?

SGT. SUESS: We're getting there.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Okay, thank you.

SGT. SUESS: Yes, sir. So Coral Springs currently broadcasts over BSO's channel for Parkland 8A when Coral Springs dispatches Coral Springs fire into the City of Parkland.

They've gotten some feedback from the deputies in Parkland, and apparently that's very well received. They appreciate having that shard information, so that's a step in the right direction.

All Parkland deputies have been issued pagers which alert them to Coral Springs fire calls in the City of Parkland. Coral Springs communications center trains to notify the patrol shift commander of any major incidents in adjoining jurisdictions. At that point the shift commander can then make the decision are we going to send our officers outside of the city to respond as well.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Coral Springs uses OSSI for their records management system. This is the same system as BSO, the same vendor, but they are not part of In meeting with Coral Springs, and I ORCAT. believe that their concern had been shared here in the November meeting as well, is Coral Springs wants to have interoperability between their records management system and their CAD system so they can put what are, at our agency we call them hot files, I think other agencies call them different things, but if we know John Doe is anti-law enforcement, and has made threats to law enforcement, when his name gets queried in the CAD then the dispatchers are aware of that, and they can notify the law enforcement officer who is with John Doe, hey, use extra precaution, this person has made threats against law enforcement, be aware of that.

The fact that they are on separate RMS systems does result in some delay for officers and deputies to see each other's reports. It depends on approval processes, name candidating, work schedules even, so there is some delay, but they are able to access each

other's records when needed.

2.2

2.3

explained earlier Plantation is on the P25
Phase II system, and then you have the ORCAT
system. There is not as much communication
interoperability. BSO is reprogramming some
radios, and I believe issuing new radios as
well, but that allows more interoperability
between those two agencies. Plantation and BSO
also have each other's channels in their
consoles, and they are able to transmit. The
Plantation channel is at only one workstation
on the regional communication center currently.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Can you elaborate? What does that mean?

SGT. SUESS: So at any communication center you'll have several areas, depending on the size, where dispatchers sit. So it's my understanding that the BSO channel was at one dispatcher, and I think it may actually be a supervisor's workstation. So it's just at that location where they can transmit onto a Plantation PD channel.

When we spoke with the communications manager for Plantation Police Department he

explained that it's nearly a daily basis that a BSO Deputy will get on their channel and transmit, he said, you know, maybe something as simple as they roll up on a crash and they'll just notify Plantation PD, hey, at this intersection there's a crash, I'm here, will you send one of your officers please. There is no currently -- or there is currently not a written policy or MOU addressing how or when the channels will be patched. And Plantation PD's records management system, they are on a separate system, they don't use OSSI so there is no interoperability with that system currently.

So some background on the ORCAT structure in their system. In 2002 the voters voted to amend the County charter, and this largely was specific to fire rescue, and establishing a closest unit response to ensure that the closest firefighter unit is able to get to the scene regardless of jurisdiction. Within law enforcement it's not as straightforward, there are more jurisdictional issues than there are with fire response. And you see these slides contain some of those changes to the charter

2.2

2.3

2.4

system.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

An outline of the nine members that should be represented on that fire rescue council, and you'll see there's various representation there. The summary of the 2002 changes to the Broward County Charter, there's a summary in the appendix. It short of outlies, I guess it's safe to say, sort of an executive summary of those changes that they made to the County Charter system.

So in 2011 the Broward County Consolidated Communications Committee, that's often referred to as the 4C's committee, was established to evaluate the feasibility of establishing the regional communications system. There were twenty-two members. That included individuals from the Board of County Commissioners, League of Cities, Police and Fire Chief's Association, and the Fire Rescue Services Council that was established in 2002. They presented a report to the County Commission on March 7, 2012, and within that report you will see that the specifically cited misdirected calls as being one of the main motivating factors for establishing this Commission. And that's

800-726-7007

exactly what happened on February 14, 2018, the misdirected calls from Parkland being routed to the PSAP that does not cover that area.

CHAIR: John, just for clarification, okay, so it's two things -- you're right, and it is -- but in that situation it isn't misdirected in that it's misdirected and unintentional. That was done by design, okay, so that is design, but the misdirected calls, the true misdirected calls would be a situation where you've got somebody that is in a specific area, I'll just use, I don't know, let me -- I'll just use Palm Beach as an example.

You've got somebody that's in the City of Delray Beach, and they have sixteen different PSAPs in Palm Beach County, so you're in the City of Delray Beach and you call 911, but because of where you are and the cell tower you hit is, is that your 911 call goes to Boca. That's a misdirected call, because you're physically in the City of Delray but it goes to the tower, and it goes to Boca, Boca gets it, and then you give the address, and Boca says not us, not it, then they got to transfer it back to Delray.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2. 3

1

4 5

8

9

6

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

That's -- when we're talking about that -and you can some that happen here. One of the calls, you all probably don't remember but we played it for you, was a call, a 911 call that came in from the school, and it went to Boca and, I'm sorry, into Palm Beach County. because of the cell towers that it hits. is when it is unintentionally going to a communication center, so it's misdirected.

But here is, is that what happened on February 14th was, it's the same affect, but it wasn't misdirected in it was inadvertent, it was the specific process that's set up. So I just want to make sure you clarify that.

SGT. SUESS: Okay, thank you. I want to point out in particular that this committee developed a consensus on principles of governance, operations, and funding, and it's important to point that out as we move along. So there were four different systems of governance which they considered. Two of them were identified as the most preferred options, the first one and the second one, the first one being run by Broward County government, and the second one being run by the Broward Sheriff's

Office. The last two were essentially ruled out in the recommendations of this committee.

2.2

2.3

The committee recommended that they be terminated, or sunset, and that a implementation board, or an I-Board be established. That took place on March of 2012. There were thirty-two members from city and county government, police and fire chief's associations were represented as well. In February of 2013 the I-Board issued their final report, and we'll cite some of their findings here shorty. They set a goal for the regional system to be active by October 1, 2013.

So from the I-Board report you will see they identified that Coral Springs and Plantation have stated they prefer to maintain their own PSAP, and Coral Springs said from the beginning their concern was that the level of service that will be provided by the countywide E-911 system will be lower than currently provided by the City of Coral Springs. In September 2013 the County and the Broward County Sheriff's Office entered into an agreement, so the contract, it's a 167-page contract signed by Bertha Henry, the County

Veritext Legal Solutions

Administrator, Sheriff Israel, and Kristin Jacobs, the County Mayor at that time.

Municipalities are able to join in this agreement through inter-local agreements, and as mentioned earlier this is a service which Broward County funds and pays for. The salaries for the communications personnel is advanced to the Sheriff's Office each month as they pay the communications employees.

So from that agreement, if we look at it, and this is a quote from the agreement, I want to draw your attention in particular to the red, it says; the County shall provide for the management, administration, and oversight of the consolidated regional E-911 system, and operator, being BSO, shall provide system services as described in this agreement, and we'll go over that here in just a second. So you'll see this puts a great deal of authority and control in the hands of the County, and on the next line; conversely BSO is largely restricted to day to day operations, specifically hiring, training, supervision and direction, and discipline of the personnel.

Within that same operator agreement there

2.2

2.3

2.4

is several pages that outlie the different responsibilities between the County and the Sheriff's Office as the operator. So just an example, that top row, you have the trunked radio system, and it talks about what the County's responsibilities are, the blue box, and then the Sheriff's Office responsibilities in the green box.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So just -- just to clarify there CHAIR: too, when we talked, what I said earlier, you'll see here in a second, when, when it was 19% of the people who said that the policies weren't easily understandable under this framework, those people were the Sheriff's Office employees who said that, not ORCAT people who said that, because the Sheriff's Office people are the ones that are employed by the Sheriff's Office for the operational side, but ORCAT has oversight authority. So I just want to point that out to you, that that's not And -- and the same people who said they weren't prepared for an active shooter, and John will get into it, because in the survey it differentiates between management personnel survey and the line people, and it's

Veritext Legal Solutions

the line people who said they weren't prepared for an active shooter situation, but those would be the BSO employees who were employed as the dispatchers.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

SHER. JUDD: So in this is the, is the County or the Sheriff responsible for the maintenance and technology side of this? I mean they'[re oversight?

SGT. SUESS: That would be the County, and Angie Mize uses the, and I'll misquote her, but she says basically, you know, if it lives and breathes it's the Sheriff's Office responsibility, if you plug it into a well it's the County's responsibility. That's sort of the shorthand phrase that she uses.

So if we move ahead, this is sort of a chain of command showing some of the relationships between the two organizations.

So on the left in the blue you'll see the County side of things, or ORCAT. So you've got the County Commission, the County Administrator Bertha Henry, the Assistant County

Administrator Alfonso Jefferson, he presented here in the summer of last year, along with ORCAT Director Tracy Jackson. Mr. -- and we'll

talk more about Mr. Jackson here shortly. He also spoke here in front of the commission. The Assistant Director Bayag, and then there's four gentlemen who answer to him that really sort of head the, the technical side of things.

And then at each of the original sites, the north, central and southern locations, they have individuals there as well that handle the technical side. So that T you see there is just sort of my shorthand to identify they're responsible for the technical side of things. On the other side Sheriff Tony, and the Colonel Sukowsky. Beneath the Colonel, Colonel Nicole Anderson under community services, oversees Angie Mize, who is the current Acting Director. She's only been the Acting Director for just a couple months I believe. They largely, Ms. Mize largely interacts with Mr. Bayag, and then the four gentlemen that handle those specific issues like CAD, radio, operations, and then BSO has site managers at each location that handle more the operations side of things.

So within the ORCAT system there is an operational review team. Currently that is chaired by Chief Rosa here at the Sunrise

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

Police Department. The members are comprised of three from law enforcement, three from fire rescue, and a City manager. And as defined on the ORCAT website they're responsible for vetting all operational issues that could impact the regional system for issue resolution, analysis, and reporting. There are also three governance boards within the ORCAT structure, one for CAD, one for law enforcement records, and one for fire rescue records. They are chaired by ORCAT staff, but those are non-voting members, and each agency receives one vote.

Part of the issue that we came across in discussion with some of these stakeholders is the fact that each member does receive one vote, so as an example, you have Hillsboro Beach, they have a population, residential population of about 2,000 people, they get the same equal vote as the Broward Sheriff's Office, which obviously serves a much larger population, and a great deal more number of employees. So you'll -- you'll hear more about that shortly, but that's something to please keep in mind.

2.2

2.3

2.5

Later today, or perhaps tomorrow morning, we're going to talk about some interviews that we did with Broward Sheriff's Office deputies that were largely focused on the active shooter training, but while we were speaking with those deputies we also spoke about some of the communications issues, and these were interviews we just did this past month.

We asked the deputies to describe the effectiveness of your radio on any given day. You'll see there's a broad range of responses here from good to horrible, not good, normally effective, pretty good, okay. A lot of individuals that work in law enforcement can attest to the fact that depending where you are there are, sometimes there are just dead spots, for reasons that I cannot necessarily explain, but some of the answers that we did get were specific instances outside of February 14th and the airport shooting.

A deputy was performing CPR in the airport and was trying to transmit but was unable to.

I am confident that airport building provides some obstacles to effective radio communication, so that's unique circumstances

there. The beaches also were identified as poor reception. There were ten deputies, and these are all independent interviews, that explained various instances where the radios would just go down for periods of time ranging from thirty minutes to five hours. These deputies said that they all know when that happens they're just supposed to go back to that district office, and at that point their dispatch then pairs by cellphone, or in person by their sergeant.

So in trying to wrap our head around this it was, there was no, this was not a mass casualty incident, this was your average day. I recall one sergeant saying that he was just, he was with a shoplifting suspect and for seemingly no reason the radios just went down, and were down for several hours. There's, as far as I know, no explanation for that, but perhaps that's something we will cover in our additional interviews. Yes, sir?

COMM. SCHACHTER: And so this was not attributed to throttling, during these instances of radio failure?

SGT. SUESS: I honestly don't know what

2.2

2.3

2.4

it's attributed to, I don't. But it was -they all sort of painted the same picture when
we spoke to them, about just sometimes hour's
long periods where the radios just went down.

CHAIR: We heard that last year too. And some of those of them going down, those are fairly recent incidents, right?

SGT. SUESS: Yes, sir. Yeah, there was one of them I think in the past couple of months.

Right, so fairly recent. But --CHAIR: but to your point, and I want to stress this, these are, from everything that we know this is in addition to the throttling problem. today is that if we had another whatever, mass casualty incident, significant response incident, and you had a tremendous amount of people responding on the BSO channel, there is nothing that's in place, and no reason to think that that same throttling capacity, barking issue wouldn't happen again, because nothing has changed. So these are daily occurrences, but there is nothing from any of this that indicates -- this is a different issue. This is just the system is poor, and they've had

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

issues with this antiquated system. But the capacity issue, the throttling issue is different than this.

COMM. SCHACHTER: And like for instance at the airport, and on February 14th, it was around the same time where school was getting out, and you have 3,000 buses keying up on the system, none of these instances are attributed to around that same time, would you say, or is there no commonality --

SGT. SUESS: We didn't get the specifics about what time of day this happened. It seems to be -- in our conversations there seem to be no rhyme or reason.

CHAIR: When -- when the system goes down, when it's down for four or five hours, as it was explained to us before, is that that's not, that's not -- the capacity issue will resolve itself once the capacity reduces, so in order for it to be down for four or five hours due to throttling or capacity you have to have four or five hours of sustained excessive use, so this, you know, again I'm no expert, but I know enough about it, and I've learned enough about it that this appears these issues are distinct

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

from the capacity issue.

2.2

2.3

COMM. SCHACHTER: And let me ask you, I mean you guys, you know, law enforcement, is this, this is anomaly, this is not like happens in all of the systems, right?

SHER. ASHLEY: I will say this though, for the commission, is I know how much money AT&T, Sprint, Verizon, and the rest of the communications companies spend trying to, to get coverage in an area, and they spend billions and done succeed, and we have far less resources to try to build a system where we can communicate in all areas, high rises, from sun spots to whatever issue it may be that creates an inability to communicate. That is not this issue. This -- this does not appear to be that.

SGT. SUESS: Right. No, this is -- you know, when we spoke with these deputies, we sort of filtered that out for presentation purposes. Pretty much every cop knows you go into a hospital or a school, your radio is not going to work that well a good number of times. This is completely different, as best as we can -- and as the Sheriff mentioned there's more

800-726-7007

305-376-8800

work to be done on this. We're going to look more into these issues and try and identify what reasoning there may be. It was shocking to us that these deputies knew there was sort of an unofficial policy; if this happens go back to the district office. I've never experienced anything like that.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: And so it's not 911 that goes down, it's the radio, the police officers' radios, so they go back to get like orders, like on like handwritten pieces of paper that say go to this address; is that what happens?

SGT. SUESS: More or less. More or less,

yes. SGT. SUESS: More or less. More or less,

UNDER SHER. HARPRING: John, is there a differentiation between handhelds and the radios in the vehicles?

SGT. SUESS: In terms of quality? We didn't get into that, sir.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Were there any instances where, you know, really bad things happened as a result of those failures during that time; did we get into that --

SGT. SUESS: Not that we learned of it.

And I'll talk more about the group that we

800-726-7007

interviewed. It was a random group of fifty-five deputies of varying years of experience. We pretty much took three from each district. And so again this wasn't really the primary focus of the interviews, but no one identified anything specific, any particular heinous consequence of this. So we'll move ahead to the --

2.2

2.3

CHAIR: Hey, John -- yes, Sheriff, go ahead.

SHER. JUDD: How often is this occurring?

I mean any system can fail for no explicable reason. Is this a, a normal occurrence, I mean six times a year, or once every six years?

SGT. SUESS: I would -- we got varying answers. There were some that were very confident about it, and, you know, when we asked them the question any examples of your radio not working I recall one deputy sort of laughing, like oh, yeah, let me tell you, and, you know, he pretty much explained over about five years it's happened three or four times that he recalled. Some of them, you know, they hadn't been here as long, so they recalled one instance. And some deputies said, no, I don't

recall that ever happening, but you know, they, this may have happened on midnights, when they were working days, so.

SHER. JUDD: I understand that you have to balance that, because not long ago, back to Sheriff Ashley's statement, the, the radios, the from not AT&T but Verizon, went down all over central Florida, everything just quit, so that can happen, cause it's an electronic system, so you have to balance that. If it's happening every other month, or six times a year, well, that's problematic, but you know, two times, three times in five years.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Yeah, I -- it's all perspective. You know when -- last year when we were investigating the whole issue here I remember I as at one of the PSAPs, and they were talking about one of the times when the system went down, I think it was for an hour and a half, there was, there was one gentleman that actually passed away during this time, and they were in the process of going to get the, the company that fixes the system when it goes down when all they had to was just flip in the backup system, and the manager just forgot to

2.2

2.4

do that, you know, so I'd like to get the dates of when that happened, or are we talking about, you're saying, you know, three, once every year, or once every couple of years, is that, it's not like it's happening every week, or every month, right?

SGT. SUESS: Correct. Yes, sir.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

CHAIR: You know, and we -- let him get going here, but you know what I'd really like to have happen, is not have any more discussion about this, is to have Hollywood approve the tower and get a new system in place by December of 2019, and then we don't have, then we don't have to rehash all this, okay, that's what really needs to happen. I don't want to sit here and continue to have this discussion about all of the problems, just fix the thing, and then we can move onto other things. But that's the hold up, and it really, it's water over the But the reason why we're having the damn. discussion is, is because it's still prevalent, it's still here, and it's not getting fixed.

SHER. JUDD: And -- and, Mr. Chair, I was going to wait until the end of the presentation, but now is the time to bring

305-376-8800

Hollywood, or send them the letter that we sent to Tamarac, and say, look, a tower is 300' tall, the grades are 6' deep, what are you doing to do to get that tower in this location. You know the County is paying the, what, \$57 million for the system. If they fail to do that, if the City Commission fails to do that then let's march all of them in here publicly and have a series of questions for them, and let them answer to us, and to the public, why they think they want to hold up a very robust, very professional system that the County is trying to install.

2.2

2.3

2.4

This -- it is absolutely unacceptable, period. What Hollywood is saying is, hey, for whatever our excuse is it's more valid than the lives of everyone in Broward County that's going to ultimately one day depend on that system for an emergency response.

CHAIR: Yeah. And no matter how you look at -- whatever all -- and the whole purpose of going through is because we're saying we got two things, one, is you've got an unstable system that has problems, and whether it's every week, every month, every year, or

whatever, and you got a whole bunch of deputies, and we've heard this before, so you got problems, and on top of that you got the big issue of throttling. So you take the, the daily issues, and ups and the downs, and it's down for four or five hours, and all this, and whatever else happening, and you go the throttling issue, the whole thing needs to be put to, put to bed, put to rest, and be gone.

And -- and that's -- and that's the whole purpose of going through this, is because it just isn't happening.

2.2

2.5

SHER. JUDD: Right. And that's why if, if Hollywood doesn't act on their own that's why we need you to line them up, and bring every one of the Commissioners here, and have a series of questions, and let them explain to the people of Hollywood that elected them, and Broward County, why their decision is more important than the safety of the people in their city.

CHAIR: Mr. Petty, go ahead.

COMM. PETTY: I would -- I would motion that we write a letter and invite them to our June meeting.

2.2

2.5

CHAIR: And -- and we will, you know, that's why I said, you know, really the goal is, you know, to get this landscape out, and, and my intention is, and consistent with what you're saying is, is to get, if it's not resolved, is to get anybody and everybody here in June and try and get this thing resolved if we can, and get them in here. So I -- I agree with you, you know.

SHER. JUDD: And I'll second that, because they have plenty of Commission meetings between now and June to direct their City Manager to get with ORCAT and say if this is where the, the engineers for Motorola says this system has to be for optimum performance figure out how to get it there.

CHAIR: And what my understanding is, and this is just, you know, is that the main opposition to the park is, is that, is the aesthetics of it, it's too big of a tower, people just don't like it, it's ugly. Well, you know, we got towers all over the place, and they're a necessity. I mean that's really all it comes down to, is they got some residents that don't like it, and this is what the, the

issue is, so we'll do it. Hey, John, why don't you keep going? Let's see if we can get through this.

SGT. SUESS: Yes, sir. So the Fitch report, there were three of them, and I'll direct you to those here in a minute. We sent you the Phase II report, it was the final report in December of 2016. So they were contracted in January of 2016 to perform an assessment of the regional system. The assessment was not to exceed \$100,000. You've got the three reports listed there. You received the Phase II report for review last week.

To access those other reports, if you go
to the ORCAT website you see that green arrow
directs you to updates, and then program
updates, if you click on that link there's
actually quite a few letters that will show
some of the dialogue between the County and the
municipalities, some of which we will address
here today. So the report included an
assessment of personnel, training facilities,
quality assurance, metrics, funding,
technology, and management. Portions of this

2.2

were highly technical, written much like an engineering report.

There were some interviews, which Sheriff Gualtieri referenced, that included surveys with the personnel, and within this letter they, or I'm sorry, within this report they made many recommendations, twenty-one of which ORCAT has said they are going to implement, and they provided the manner in which they were going to implement this to the different municipalities. So one of the things that they analyzed, and I'm just going to touch on this briefly, is some call intervals, so the time from which a 911 call rings in a communication center until the first responder is notified.

So within that there is three separate intervals, P1, P2 and P3. So you've got from when the call rings until it's answered, until it's answered until the information is sent to a dispatcher, and the time from when the dispatcher notifies the first responder, whether it's police, fire, or EMS. Fitch had some positive things to say about this. In fact that BSO, or the Broward system actually exhibits some of the best performance seen in

2.2

2.3

2.4

large 911 centers across the nation when looking at the P1 interval.

The P2 interval was problematic because of some technology restrictions at the time, but that CAD system was replaced in the year following the issuance of the December 16 report. And Fitch noted that in the P3 interval BSO performs well. So Fitch conducted some interviews, which are referred to as the level one interviews. This was senior level stakeholders from Broward County, the Sheriff's Office, law enforcement agencies, fire rescue, and municipal leaders. On the overall performance they said it is clear the majority of stakeholders believe the system has improved its overall performance, nonetheless, there remain concerns that the existing processes and governance structures keep the system from achieving significant additional improvements.

As for operational issues, and this is where a great deal of the contention seems to lie, Fitch said the County staff is essentially attempting to run operations of the law enforcement and fire rescue agencies. They identified examples in which the County's work

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

was intruding into areas that are clearly operational in nature, and that law enforcement and fire rescue agencies have in many ways acquiesced control to the County by agreeing to a somewhat limited and ambiguous role for input into the system's operations.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Interagency relationships were an area that was definitely addressed by Fitch. They identified that there's a consensus among the parties that, quote, something is broken.

Every group indicated there is a lack of trust between system participants. One of the major concerns shared by all stakeholders is that the state of relations among the various parties, and the stakeholders other than Broward County attribute much of this to the County's role in system oversight.

Fitch addressed the management by the Board Sheriff's Office and said that stakeholders have expressed concern with the quality of services being provided by the Sheriff's Office as the system operator. Some concerns revolve around dispatcher competency, and the application of policy and procedures currently used by call taker and radio operator

personnel. There was a perception the collective bargaining and labor issues also impeded effective management.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

When you look at the level one interviews, they continue to address some of the metrics versus quality issues, and there was a concern by many communities, was that too much emphasis is being placed on performance metrics in lieu of assuring quality services. BSO and the participating cities believe that the County's application of these performance measures has in some way been unreasonable and punitive. There was the perception that relevant and meaningful performance measures is an area of significant friction between the parties. County's focus on metrics and managing change processes has led the Sheriff's Office to expend extraordinary effort to address process issues rather than dealing with more substantive issues of staffing, training, and stronger regional E-911 oversight.

So Fitch went on to discuss some matters associated with level two and three interviews, so with some mid-level and supervisory personnel at the County, BSO, and some of the

end users. There was a consistent theme that there were positive attributes noted consistently, and that the E-911 personnel were dedicated, wanted to succeed, wanted to do a good job, and they felt that failure was not an option, however they consistently noted that there were problems with teamwork, personnel integration, inefficient procedures and processes, ongoing training and accountability, quality improvement, equipment failures and emergency procedures, staffing and work schedules, and work environment and respect. These are all direct quotes from that report.

Fitch spoke about some of the problems with working relationships. One theme that emerged throughout the level two and three interviews was the silos, or lack of teamwork. The expression I don't look at that, or someone else deals with that, was a common response. Policies affecting fire, law, and EMS agencies, are not communicated to field personnel in a timely manner, causing conflicts between the field and BSO, similar to what Sheriff Judd referenced earlier. Duty officers, which are supervisors within the communications center,

2.2

2.3

2.4

are often mired down in administrative duties, and not focused on supervising personnel and maintaining situational awareness, and that personnel perceive excessive involvement by the County in operational issues.

2.3

2.4

When it came to equipment and working conditions CAD operational issues, lock up, slowdowns, and reboots, were a part of daily operations. It is worth pointing out, again, this was before 2017 when a new CAD system was implemented. End users admit they don't report problems based on their experience based on no response to their prior complaints about equipment issues. Dispatch personnel expressed limited knowledge or training on manual mode procedures in the event of a CAD failure for an extended duration, and dispatchers reported that mandatory overtime was assigned, which was resulting in burn out and high stress levels.

So as Sheriff Gualtieri referenced there were surveys that were sent out to the dispatchers and management, so you'll see on the following pages there is some bar graphs here to address some of the specific issues that Fitch asked. So you'll see at the top is

the question, or statement, I believe we provide a good level of service to citizens who call 911, and you'll see on the left is the response by dispatchers, the greed is agree or strongly agree, the blue is neutral, and then the red is disagreed or strongly disagreed.

Then on the right half is the response by the managers. So you'll see overwhelmingly there is a positive response that they believe they provide a good level of service.

When I began my current job, the initial training I received prepared me well for the work. The numbers started to go up in the disagree or neutral columns about the training that they had received. The ongoing training I receive continues to enhance my skills. Similarly you'll see here there is not much positive feedback for the ongoing training that the employees were receiving. As Sheriff Gualtieri referenced this was a question asked specifically about mass shootings. regional communications system is equipped and prepared to handle large scale emergencies such as Hurricanes or mass shooting incidents. The survey was prior to the Fort Lauderdale Airport

800-726-7007

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

shooting, and of course the Stoneman Douglas shooting, but you will see that they're, among the dispatchers either disagree or strongly disagree 41%, and those that were just neutral 28%. But even among the managers 24%, a quarter of them disagree or strongly disagree.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

The work methods we utilize help improve the efficiency in our work. A very high number by the dispatcher. 58% of them disagree that the work methods improved their efficiency.

And then the managers in terms of agree or disagree, and their neutral response, are also quite high. Policies and procedures are easily understood and applied. 65% of dispatchers either disagreed or strongly disagreed regarding policies and procedures. A very high number of the managers as well, 43%.

CHAIR: So then you wonder why you got a problem. And -- and to your question, Mr. Schachter, this report, because he didn't get to it yet, but this report was commissioned by the County. The Fitch report was commissioned by the County.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Oh, it was? That's what

I was curious about. Wow.

CHAIR: Yeah, so --

2.2

2.3

2.4

SGT. SUESS: The technology and information system we use are reliable and appropriate to the job. Again very high numbers both from the dispatchers and managers as to the disagreeing, or strongly disagreeing with that statement. Again, this was in 2016, prior to the implementation of the new CAD system, but there are obviously still technology and equipment issues prevalent within ORCAT.

CHAIR: Your Honor, and, and then people ask the question, and I was one of them I guess last year that did, you know, why, why would Coral Springs and Plantation not want to join. Well, there you go, who'd want to join this, you know.

SHER. JUDD: Mr. Chair, would it be possible to resurvey these folks, we use these same exact questions?

CHAIR: Well, we'd have to -- I mean, yeah, probably have to -- we'd have to get permission from Sheriff Tony and from the County to do it again. I'm just trying to set all this out for you all now, and --

SHER. JUDD: I think that would be interesting, to compare it today to then, and

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

CHAIR: Yeah. Well, I can tell you -- I can tell you that -- and we'll get into this a little bit here, and you'll see, because the investigators in the last few weeks have talked to some people, and the tone, the sentiments, the comments, the whole dynamics is no different in the last three weeks than it was -- now, we didn't do surveys, we didn't get to malign people, but everything that the Fitch report is setting up here and characterizing, the whole climate seems to be no different today than it was in 2016 when they issued this report. So I mean we can consider that, but it would have, it would have to go out to the line, malign people, and they would have to agree to that, if we want to get in that business.

SHER. JUDD: And -- and certainly if, if did the -- if we did the survey, we send staff down there so it was done in, you know, it wasn't a supervisory handled thing. I would like to compare do they think -- and I realize

some people we surveyed would be different, or maybe we find the same ones that were surveyed before --

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIR: Yeah, we have to get with Fitch. That's another thing we're going to do is talk to Fitch, but I go back to, and, you know, where are we going to stop with this? Where -where does our job end? When are they just going to fix it down here, and stop this fighting, stop the bickering, stop everybody not getting along, stop making it personal, and fix this tower issue, and people get to the table, sit down and work out these issues they have? And that's what needs to happen, you know, and, and it is frustrating because it doesn't seem to have changed in the last few years, and you got all this butting, head butting going on, and the users, you can see by this the users and the providers of the services are the ones that are suffering from it, so.

SHER. JUDD: And that's exactly where I'm going with this. If we surveyed them now using Fitch's questions, or have Fitch come in and survey the same exact questions, I believe

Sheriff Tony would agree, I'm not speaking for him but I know, I know he's certainly making leaps and bounds of changes, and then we can go to the County, we can go the stakeholders, and go look, here is a survey that mirrors the last survey of three years ago, you're not, you're not getting better, or you are, and here's the issues that were brought up then, and you all have to get in a room and fix this.

Because if there's no trust and, then you've got, you've got to get someone, or some groups of one, in charge of this system, and say, look, let me tell you how we're going to fix this, we're all going to sit together in this room and we're going to work through these issues, and if you don't I'm going to fire you, and then I'll hire three more that will sit in this room and fix these issues. That's how you fix this.

CHAIR: Oh, I know. Yeah. Yeah.

COMM. SCHACHTER: I'm just curious, there were twenty-one recommendations on the Fitch report, were those instituted --

CHAIR: I don't know. I don't know.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

2.

2.2

305-376-8800

SGT. SUESS: Some of them are in the process. And we have documentation from, from the County about those twenty-one specific recommendations.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: And again, that's where you hear about Coconut Creek and Margate, and the recent reports about them wanting to get out of this, well, no kidding, you know.

SGT. SUESS: And they're -- some of these changes have been made, and there was a restructuring of ORCAT. They instituted that operational review team, but some of the preliminary findings, as the Sheriff referenced we're not done with this yet, but some of the preliminary findings are despite these changes in the organizational structure things still are not, things haven't improved, as the Sheriff said, and that some of the decisions made by ORCAT are at time arbitrary and --

COMM. SCHACHTER: Does it seem strange to you law enforcement gentlemen that the operational people are, are running the show, as opposed to, you know, the end users, which are you guys utilizing the system?

SHER. JUDD: Well, let -- let me

800-726-7007

characterize this in, in maybe a different way. If the County, or when the County puts this \$57 million or whatever dollars into a Motorola system, if the understanding of the policies and the procedures by 43% of the supervisors don't change, and the understanding of the policies and the procedures of 61%, or whatever it was of the operators on the ground don't change, it doesn't make any difference that you've got a new system. What you have is you have a process problem here. You have an organization problem. And what you really have here is a leadership problem.

And that's when the leaders, the leaders have to, a leader, or leaders have to come to a room, and there has to be someone who has the authority to say, look, you all are failing, and failure is not acceptable, and we're going to start seeing a remarkable improvement because, heck, we started this meeting on 8:00 Monday morning, we're going to start seeing remarkable improvement on the way we're going to do this by Friday afternoon or next Monday I'm going to have a new set of people here doing this.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

And so I applaud the County that's saying we're going to, we're going to buy a new system, but throwing \$57 million out there, and if you accept these surveys like they are, it's not that the people don't want to do the job, they just don't have the systems and processes, and supervision in place doing what needs to be done. I think the heart and soul of the person answering that phone and dispatching that, that law enforcement officer, is there. We need to look at the bosses.

CHAIR: Look at the next -- look to the next slide. 73% of the people, so --

SGT. SUESS: So equipment problems are handled appropriately, and I get feedback on the problems I report. 73% of dispatchers either disagreed or strongly disagreed. 54% of managers, same category. Only 8% of dispatchers agreed.

SHER. JUDD: And that's why I'd like to survey. Maybe they took this to heart and they've been working really, really hard to fix this, and we'll have a different end result, but if, if it mirrors this, or comes close to this, you know, I know the solution.

SGT. SUESS: So at the end of the survey there was a summary by Fitch, and it stated in there supervisory personnel felt most strongly that the initial consolidation was rushed, and this resulted in a multitude of problems that remain today, and that overall the results above highlighted an organization that has significant moral problems, and frustration with lingering staffing, training, and management issues.

So in June of 2017 the Broward County
Police Chief and Fire Chief's Associations
issued a joint statement. They opened the
statement saying their joint position is
offered in the spirit of cooperation and
collaboration, our common goal is to better
ensure the long-term stability of the original
E-911 system and all of its components. I've
seen consistently in the individuals we have
spoken with that they want to maintain this
regional system, they want to continue with it,
but things have to change, and have to improve.
The Police and Fire Chief's joint statement
cited many successes that come along with
regionalization, increased cohesion and sharing

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

of workload, structural redundancy, virtual elimination of transferred calls, quality control efforts, new CAD system, and joint police and fire operational review committee.

However, they continue, and cite the Fitch report in stating that one of their primary concerns is that ORCAT is making operational decisions when they have no operational expertise in E-911 call taking, police and fire rescue dispatching, and the operational requirements of the police and fire rescue services. It is important to note that since this time ORCAT hired Mr. Tracy Jackson. He is currently the ORCAT director. Mr. Jackson has experience as a firefighter, paramedic, and an administrator.

The joint statement by the Police and Fire Chiefs continued, stating that day to day operation of the system must be predominantly the responsibility of the public safety professionals. This is a key component of other models of consolidation. They describe that ORCAT has limited public safety experience, and the responsible, responsibility of, or I'm sorry, the responsible County

2.2

2.3

2.4

administrators have none, there is a need for significant public safety experience on the part of the County administration.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

So in response the Police and Fire Chiefs proposed the creation of a public safety communications advisory council. And I'm not going to go through each one of these, but you'll see essentially their job is to oversee the communications system and replace the current structure. The commission would be comprised of individuals from police, fire, Sheriff's office, EMS, local government officials, business community, and a director of public safety communications. This proposed position would oversee all of the regional communications system, and you'll see here a multitude of responsibilities for that position.

So this was a proposal by the Police and Fire Chiefs to restructure ORCAT, and have this individual, the director of public safety, answer directly to the County Commission. So there was some response to that proposal by the Police and Fire Chiefs. In mid-2017 Mayor Ryan here in Sunrise and Ms. Henry both spoke before

the Human Services and Public Safety Committee of the Charter Review Commission. Mayor Ryan spoke in favor of this proposal, and Ms. Henry largely spoke in opposition. She provided a letter to the Charter Review Commission listing some of her reasons for opposition, which included that the Broward County City Managers Association resoundingly rejected this proposal.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Ms. Henry did not cite any of the specifics for these reasonings. And I'm not at all contesting that's the case, I'm just letting you know there were no specific reasons for this rejection by the City Managers Association. She also cited that Fitch & Associates did not recommend the creation of the Office of the Director of Public Safety, and that such a new position, quote, will require working with many agencies under the auspices of the County Administrator, and bifurcating reporting responsibilities will inevitably be counter- productive, end quote.

Ms. Henry included in the letter her opposition to the Public Safety Commission's Advisory Counsel, stating that this would

potentially conflict with the fundamental activities and flow of decision making proposed by Fitch. She also cited the recommendation to sunset the 4C Committee and replace it with an operational review team. As I mentioned earlier this is something that has been implemented, and they do have an operational review team.

Again, in some of the discussions we've had with these public safety professionals there's a concern that despite recommendations by the Operational Review Team that ORCAT and the County still make some decisions, being the final, the final vote, the final say so to speak.

So on September 19, 2017 Ms. Henry spoke in front of the Charter Review Commission.

This is the last known action with the Charter Review Commission as it relates to the Chiefs' proposals. After the shooting, the Stoneman Douglas shooting, on April 18, 2018 Mayor Ryan e- mailed the County Commissioners here in Broward County, he cited that successful efforts were made to defeat the proposals by the Police and Fire Chiefs Associations, and

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

asked the County Commission to put these issues on the ballot, quoting reported outages of the 911 system, sometime under extraordinarily unbelievable circumstances, the throttling of radios during major events, and the radio tower failures that resulted in unreported radio failures.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

So after the shooting there's been continued dialogue between some of these agencies. In May of 2018 the County Administrator Ms. Henry sent a letter -- I'm sorry, the Police and Fire Chiefs Association sent a letter to Ms. Henry. BSO was also a part of the origin of this letter. They said, and again this is May of 2018, we believe there exists a lack of planning, a lack of oversight, a lack of funding, and no clear vision for one of the most important components of public The Fire Chiefs referenced that they safety. believe communications equipment is being held hostage until the Fire Chiefs Association delivers a written agreement on closest unit response. During the County Commission meeting it was alluded to that first responders should scale back the use of radio system during large

Veritext Legal Solutions

scale incidents, this is not practical but a great impediment to the proper handling of a large-scale incident. This letter also included twenty-eight action items for which they were seeking answers from the County.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Ms. Henry responded the Police and Fire Associations, and the Sheriff's Office. She cited ongoing work with the Police Foundation to compile various after-action assessments of the February 14th response. She pointed to work with mission critical partners to determine if there are new stopgap measures that can be implemented, and addressed the twenty-eight action items that were inquired by the public safety professionals.

In September of 2018 the Sunrise Police
Chief and Sunrise Fire Chief issued a joint
statement, or a letter to Ms. Henry. They said
they cannot support the proposed renewal of the
current contract due to uncertainty of the
outcome on a variety of unresolved issues, and
are deeply concerned about how this has been
proposed, reflecting a lack of input by the
respective public safety professionals
demonstrating fundamental misunderstandings as

Veritext Legal Solutions

to the appropriate role of the County, and raising the specter of setting unilateral operational decisions and performance standards without regard to the impact of our collective agencies.

So as Sheriff Gualtieri referenced over the early part of this year we came and met with some of the stakeholders. There are continued meetings that are going to take place. As I referenced --

CHAIR: So -- so to be clear, these are like -- these are results of discussions like in the last three weeks.

SGT. SUESS: Yes, sir.

2.2

2.3

2.4

CHAIR: So these are -- this is fresh, okay, so just put that in context.

SGT. SUESS: As I referenced earlier each of these stakeholders expressed the strong desire to improve, however as Fitch found every group indicated that there was a lack of trust between the system participants. We found that same tone to be prevalent during the times we met with these stakeholders. There was very much an us versus them mentality. In our interview, in our meeting with ORCAT and

individual in that meeting said we need to 1 improve on this, and by we, I mean they. BSO referenced that ORCAT prohibits them 3 from direct contact with the vendors. 4 5 SHER. JUDD: What -- what vendors? 6 SGT. SUESS: So, from the technology vendors. I don't recall if they cited any particular one, but the individuals we met with 8 at BSO wanted to have some communication with 10 the vendors to learn more about what 11 capabilities program ABC offers. 12 SHER. JUDD: I'm just trying to 13 understand. ORCAT prohibits Broward County 14 Sheriff's Office dispatchers from contacting vendors? 15 16 SGT. SUESS: Yes, sir. Not necessarily 17 dispatchers, but communications personnel, yes, 18 sir. I'm sure it's supervisors or managers, 19 but that was --20 SHER. JUDD: I'm sorry, under what 21 authority? 2.2 SGT. SUESS: I think more -- this is --2.3 CHAIR: I think it's probably under the 2.4 quise of the governance agreement. So you got

25

800-726-7007 305-376-8800

to, you know, look at this, that whole 160 page

governance agreement, because they get to decide on, as Sergeant Suess says they get to decide on all the stuff that is, you know, plugged in, and BSO is the operator, but they're the manager, so the --

2.2

2.3

SHER. JUDD: But ORCAT works for the County.

CHAIR: Yeah, ORCAT -- ORCAT is the Office of Regional Communication Technology. It's a County entity, and they are responsible for all the infrastructure, all the technology, all the stuff. And so BSO is really a contract operator, they employ the people to do the, to do the action, but all the equipment -- and -- and so what --

SHER. JUDD: I'm just trying to clarify.

Are they -- are they trying to prevent

communicators from contacting vendors because
they can't contract on their behalf, or is, is
there something more?

SGT. SUESS: The impression I got is more so that BSO was looking to communicate with the vendors for on technical matters, to find out what certain programs are capable of, but they had been told by ORCAT not to have

communication with those vendors.

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

SHER. JUDD: Thank you.

SGT. SUESS: When it comes to decision making -- and again this is from our meetings that we had with some of the stakeholders down here. As I referenced decisions by ORCAT often seem to be contrary to decisions made by the operational review team and the governance board. I feel these decisions are sometimes made with little or no explanation. They use the phrase that they don't know what happens, quote, behind the curtain. This results in frustration for law enforcement and fire agencies, as many decisions made by ORCAT affect operational issues.

A phrase that we heard from several of the public safety agencies was tail wagging the dog, and as I referenced earlier that one size fits all policy, where regardless of the size of the agency they are dictated to by ORCAT on how things should be performed. One of the stakeholders used the phrase, that there was a bureaucratic inefficiency in everything they, being ORCAT, do. So this next slide was attached to a letter by Ms. Henry to the Chair

of the Human Services and Public Safety
Subcommittee of the Charter Review Commission.

2.2

2.4

2.5

When I saw this chart, the first thing I thought of is that phrase that was used by a public safety professional, bureaucratic inefficiency in everything they do. So this is the operating guideline. This is a draft document for the governance workflow, so basically -- and it's difficult to see at, at the level at which it's printed on your document, but it's the work flow for how if a problem or an issue is identified, the route by which that decision is investigated and resolved, and ultimately it ends up being signed off by, by agency heads, and implemented by ORCAT.

CHAIR: So -- so John and I sat with this and tried to figure it out. We had to come up with our own tree to try and follow this. It's impossible. This is -- this is supposed to be what solves these problems in these bureaucratic inefficiencies, is this document. I have a hard time following it. It's -- SHER. JUDD: The only thing that would

SHER. JUDD: The only thing that would clear this up is about two bottles of wine.

Veritext Legal Solutions 305-376-8800

SGT. SUESS: And it's probably not legible
on your documents, and we can get a full-page
copy of this to, to anyone that would like it.

But it says it was developed based on the
recommendation of the assessed -- it was

developed based on the recommendation of --

So as we continued to meet with these stakeholders some operational issues really just largely mirrored the Fitch report. There was an encroachment by ORCAT on operational issues. There were some organizational changes made after the Fitch report, which I've referenced a couple times here, but a lot of these stakeholders reference that still not much has changed.

As I spoke earlier as of March of 2019 there were problems with the radios and the CAD going down. The media has covered some of these to a certain extent and talks about them in fairly real time. Some of the examples that were given by the stakeholders, FDLE security requirements, is that FDLE very much mandates law enforcement agencies in Florida about, we obviously have access to a great deal of very valuable information, but there is a great deal

800-726-7007

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

of restriction on how we can use that information, who gets access to it, there's logs that have to be maintained, so on and so forth.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Several of these agencies referenced how ORCAT often tries to identify themselves as having, they exercise control over this. And Commissioner Swearingen, please feel free to weigh in at any point. But this information is very much, has to be maintained by law enforcement agencies. It can't be a county government organization. And that results in large problems where an agency's credentials can be revoked if they violate some of these policies.

Commissioner, is there anything you want to add on that, or --

COMM. SWEARINGEN: Nope, you hit it on the head.

SGT. SUESS: Okay. Okay. There is currently an issue where the County wants to shut down some channels during slow hours in the night, and they cite this as a cost saving measure. Some of the police chiefs do not want to do this, for operational reasons it's a

decision that they have made, and this is an issue that is still being debated, and they're still trying to explore whether this will or will not happen.

CHAIR: Well, isn't there something there

-- because remember the County is paying for
this. But wasn't there something there where
in part, I'm just taking a step further, just
get it all it, is, is that the County wanted to
shut down and consolidate some of these
channels, and the police chiefs believe that
for operational needs that they should exist,
and then there was a reply by the County that
then they were going to start charging the
cities if they wanted to keep the channels
open, so, correct?

SGT. SUESS: Yes, sir.

CHAIR: So, you know, I mean that's the type of stuff that's going back and forth, is, is that they're saying, no, shut it down, you don't need it. They're saying we need it operationally, and they're saying, then they're going back and saying, well, if you want to keep it then, you know, we agreed to pay for all this, but if you're going to make us keep

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.5

it open then you're going to have to pay for

it. I mean this is the -- this is the type of,

I guess dialogue, it's the type of discussion

that's going back and forth, so.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

SGT. SUESS: Yes, sir. Some other examples that we were given is BSO K-9. So K-9 units in particular often go to other jurisdictions to assist. Not every agency has a dog working 24/7, so one of the examples we were given is that BSO K-9s were having to go down to Hollywood, to Hollywood PD to assist And I don't recall the nature of the them. call. Despite both BSO and Hollywood being members of ORCAT the BSO K-9 deputy is not able to see the Hollywood PD CAD notes on their computer in their car. Those CAD notes obviously contain a great deal of very valuable information that those K-9 deputies want and need to have when they're responding to the It's those types of decisions that when call. speaking with the Sheriff's Office they said we want our K-9 deputies to have this information, but the County is pushing back against us.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Why -- why would they not let them have operational intelligence so

they can go on the call and know what they're walking into?

2.2

2.5

SGT. SUESS: I don't have an answer for that, Mr. Schachter. What I can tell you is that BSO said they were able to, they came up with a workaround, and they've got a way to make that happen now, and I don't know the specifics of it --

CHAIR: Wait -- wait. But, John, doesn't it have to go back to -- and this is part of bureaucracy, it has to go back to one of the committees, and there has to be votes on it. Everything has got to be voted on, isn't that, is that part of this, or no?

SGT. SUESS: That specific avenue was explained when BSO was looking to add some call signs --

CHAIR: Okay, that's the next topic.

SGT. SUESS: Yes, sir. But I -- the impression I get is, yes, everything would have to be voted on. And as the Sheriff referenced BSO was explaining to us how they wanted to add some call signs for one of their unit, so that call sign has to be put into the system so that anybody can query it and reference it whenever

they need to. Well, that really affects just the Sheriff's Office, not anyone else, but BSO can't do that without getting approval of ORCAT. Whereas if it was BSO's system, and they maintained it, then they walk down the hall and tell the communications center supervisor add these extra call signs.

2.2

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: Yeah, so as the -- I mean the County is the gatekeeper on all this stuff.

SGT. SUESS: More or less. Yes, sir, it sounds like it. And then one of the other issues that was identified frequently in talking about just being able to make changes quickly are commonplace. So if, I'll use myself as an example, I know Broward County much better than I ever thought I would, but if I'm down here and something happens to me, and I call 911 and say I'm at Publix, a lady was just robbed, I need some help here. They ask me what's the address. I don't know what the address is. I'm at the Publix. I'm in Coral Springs. This is — this is where I need somebody.

Well, dispatchers can type in Publix, and

they can get a list of various addresses of Publix in their system. It's often called commonplaces, so it allows them to change, to just take a commonplace name and identify different addresses. That's something that the County updates once a month, whereas some of the cities, I know Coral Springs, for example, they have the ability, whenever they want to update those commonplaces, if a business changes names, it's not Albertsons now it's Publix, they can go do that immediately, and right away, whereas with ORCAT, this is one of the examples they cited, some of the bureaucracy gets in the way, and that is by no means an easy resolution.

Now, as I was saying that something popped in my mind. Both Coral Springs and Plantation, they continue to attend these meetings that ORCAT holds, the operational review teams and, and the governance board meetings. They're trying to stay involved. They're trying to communicate and continue dialogue, so it's important to think they're -- it's important for you to know that they are also actively participating in some of these meetings, and

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

continuing dialogue.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Finances became an issue, Coral Springs referenced it. The Fitch report very much is a cost saving analysis and not so much analyzing really how to improve the regional system. And we had some other agencies express concern that the County's primary concern is just the, not just, but the primary concern is the financial aspect of this.

So Coral Springs and Pinellas, or Plantation Police Department provided us with reasons for why they did not join ORCAT, and why they still do not. As I mentioned earlier, they do still attend some of these meetings, but both cited that they have superior equipment. They both have P25 systems that have greater technological capabilities. are both concerned about the frequency with which ORCAT systems go down. Plantation PD expressed a concern about placing all your eggs in one basket, and if that basket, that regional system goes down, then there is no redundancy. The failure to promptly respond to problems identified through the airport shooting were cited, and as referenced earlier

Veritext Legal Solutions

800-726-7007

their current ability to make quick changes for operational needs.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

COMM. SCHACHTER: Detective, can I just ask you, in the Marjory Stoneman Douglas shooting is it true that they tried to patch and it didn't work, and so Coral Springs helped because they were on a different system?

SGT. SUESS: I missed that last part. I'm sorry, sir?

COMM. SCHACHTER: And it helped that Coral Springs was not on the regional system because they were able to initiate the patch.

SGT. SUESS: Well, Coral Springs didn't experience any problems with their radios, so they were able to communicate without any issue.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Right. Right.

CHAIR: No. No, the patch failed because the Broward County Sheriff's Office didn't have the Coral Springs channel in their console.

That's why the patch failed, because they were relying on patching for interoperability, but you can't patch what you don't have. It wasn't installed in the Broward Sheriff's Office console. That's why the patch failed.

COMM. SCHACHTER: Was there any advantage to, on February 14th, to having Coral Springs be operational, that didn't throttle, not be on the system, as they're saying to have a --

CHAIR: Well, you're talking about a lot of different issues. But of course, and we heard about that extensively last year, is, is that the Coral Springs system nowhere near reached capacity. It didn't throttle. It wasn't even close. They had plenty of space on their system.

SHER. ASHLEY: Well, any -- if it's any indication they certainly responded better because they had more communication, and more information to do so with.

CHAIR: Right. Right.

SGT. SUESS: So in terms of
dissatisfaction with ORCAT the Sun-Sentinel in
June of 2016 issued an article about Fort
Lauderdale and Pembroke Pines were
contemplating leaving the regional system.
Currently, as you're aware, Coral Springs and
Plantation are not members. And we've gone
over their reasons for why they do not want to
join the system. Currently Margate and Coconut

Creek are actively evaluating whether to leave the regional system and contract with the City of Coral Springs, or to build their own PSAP.

And as the Sheriff referenced earlier there was the op-ed just last month by the Mayor of Sunrise addressing some of the concerns with the operation of the regional system.

That is the conclusion of the presentation.

CHAIR: So the plan -- the plan is, at this juncture is to continue with these interviews, meet with people, and I'm going to -- we've committed to the County Administrator to talking with Fitch, and talking with some of the people that she's asked us to, and we will. And the thought is, is to try and get that done over the next month or so and then bring everybody in in June, bring the County Administrator in, bring, ask Mayor Ryan to come in, ask everybody, and get as many people as we can in here in June, and try and get their responses directly, and you can ask them your questions directly, but make June as much time as we need to commit, and heavy on this issue of communications, and see what we can do the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

facilitate resolution of the multiple issues.

Again, you got the ORCAT and the operational, and everything we've talked about extensively, and then you got the radio issue over here. They're interrelated, but they're separate issues. Sheriff Judd, go ahead.

SHER. JUDD: In line with that let me reiterate my request, and that's that either we get with Fitch or we use Fitch's guestions. It's not that laborious to get folks together in that communications system. And once again, I'd like a snapshot. This survey is old. would like to see if they looked at this Fitch report, and somebody that obviously at our 30,000 foot level we don't know about, some people have been busting their butt, and in fact things are remarkably better than this, or if it mirrors what the Sergeant told us, that it really hasn't gotten any better, so that, that we have some data so that we can show these folks in June, look, here's a survey two years later, you've not, you've not improved And I believe that would, that would -and it's not that difficult to do, it's just we have to make sure that if, whether we, whether

Veritext Legal Solutions

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

we want Fitch to do it or whether we administer it, that it's, that whoever, when we go back to those that it's administered to that it's appropriately administered, or we still won't get the right survey results.

CHAIR: I'll get with Sheriff Tony on it.

They're his people. They're his employees, so

I'm hoping that he'll be receptive to that, but

I'll get with Sheriff Tony.

COMM. SCHACHTER: I'm going to be working on this between now and June, and so I just wanted your opinion on what needs to happen.

Aside from putting everybody in the room and saying figure this out what do you think?

CHAIR: Commissioner Carroll, go ahead.

COMM. CARROLL: I'm kind of dismayed at what I've just heard because up until now I thought this was pushing the locals to fix some of the infrastructure, put up the towers that they were delaying in putting up, bringing the radios up to P25 or higher status. This points more to infrastructure governance and leadership, and there's a lot of stuff that needs to be fixed that goes way beyond the technology here, and those issues are going to

800-726-7007

2.2

2.3

2.4

305-376-8800

be as difficult, or more difficult to address than the issues that I thought we were facing around technology.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Technology issues are relatively easy to fix; somebody put up the tower, somebody make an investment in a new system. This is about getting all parties to agree on how this thing should be governed, what level of input folks should have, what should be the level of responsiveness of this system to the end users. Those are fundamental questions every system has to answer, and they haven't answered them yet. So there's a -- this issue is, it's dismaying to me because it's a different issue than I thought we were looking at before.

CHAIR: Yeah. And I -- you know, I don't know if any of us know the answer, you know, the question is can it be fixed, you know, with the current players, and the relationships, and the lack of relationships and finger pointing, and the personal nature it's become in some regards, you know, I think that's a valid question. I don't know the answer to it. Go ahead.

SHER. JUDD: So number one, we agree we

800-726-7007

need to send the letter to Broward County, and if they don't resolve this issue, we march all of the commissioners in with a series of questions for them. And then the second thing is, once again, we're looking at data from this Fitch report that's old, so we need a, we need to make sure that, that really haven't embraced this, and they, and they're working hard under, under the covers of all of this other stuff to fix it.

What we're hearing is anecdotally no, but it would be nice to have that. And at that point in time we, we need to then get the, the leadership, and the decision makers, and the bosses in here, and go, look, here it is, here's the Fitch report you paid a lot of money for, it's not gotten better, you can put your \$57 million into hardware and software, but if you haven't fixed these operational issues it's not going to get any better. And I think it's one of the responsibilities of this commission, and I'll put this in Polk County vernacular, that we ride them like a rented mule until it gets better.

CHAIR: Mr. Petty, go ahead.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM. PETTY: I was trying to think of a way to make this more complicated than it is, but I just couldn't come up with one. I'm lost between commissions and committees and advisory councils. I have no idea who is charge of this, and like who would make the decision, and who we would ride like a rented mule.

COMM. SCHACHTER: It's the County

Commissioners that employ the County

Administrator Bertha Henry.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM. PETTY: Okay. I mean I agree -- I, you know, there was a motion on the table before to write letter. I think we ought to, Mr. Chair, I think we ought to vote on that. I'd be for setting up an extra table here and just bringing them all in, and we could watch them work. Perhaps that might be the way that we could get them to solve some of these problems. And if they have any questions they can turn around and ask us.

CHAIR: Yeah, I don't know -- we can. I don't know if we need -- we're all in the agreement, I don't think we need a motion, that we're going to follow up with Hollywood, we're going to bring them in if they don't get it

resolved. We're going to bring in all the stakeholders. We're going to continue this. I think that, that we've got to delve deeper into this unfortunately. I think it's sad that we have to do that. These are issues that should have been resolved a long time ago, but we're just going to have to dig into it, and babysit, and hold some hands I guess, and see if we can help facilitate it.

But ultimately, we don't have the power to do it, other than the power of the commission as a public body, but we can't require, it's nothing we can pass. This is something they should all be doing themselves, and, and we know that. Sheriff Ashley, go ahead.

SHER. ASHLEY: The twenty-one recommendations, have they implemented all of them?

SGT. SUESS: I know -- the answer is I don't know, but I have seen some documents that provide basically a progress report on each one, so we do have some documentation about that.

COMM. CARROLL: I'd be curious to see if those recommendations --

2.2

2.4

CHAIR: Again, there is so much here. 1 2. wanted to bring this to you all now, you know, understanding that this is nowhere near 3 complete. I didn't want to wait until June. 4 Ι 5 thought this was important enough to update and get to you, but this is work in progress that 6 is unfinished, and just to, again, set the stage and update you where we are. 8 9 Commissioner Bartlett, go ahead.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

ASA BARTLETT: Well, Mr. Chairman, my question was really just, I mean we've, we've made findings of fact. We've pointed out deficiencies. We've made findings of what needs to be done to fix it. I mean do we have to fix it though? How far do we go with this?

CHAIR: Well, that's what I said earlier, where, where does out --

ASA BARTLETT: You know, so --

CHAIR: Right, where's our --

ASA BARTLETT: I mean they've got problems, and they're not willing to resolve them. I don't know that us pushing on them is going to change anything, and, you know, there's other issues to look at with regard to this, so I don't know.

2.2

2.3

2.4

COMM. SCHACHTER: You know, I do -- I do want to say thank you to the Chair, and also thank you, Detective, because after you uncovered all of these 911 issues a lot of those issues were fixed between Coral Springs, Parkland, and BSO. And how that you've brought these issues to the forefront the community has to fix them and thank you for doing everything that you've done to bring these to, to the attention of all of us.

COMM. PETTY: Just one more.

CHAIR: One more, go ahead.

COMM. PETTY: Just -- and just one more comment, as a resident of Broward County I'll say this to, to our elected officials, and those that have responsibility for this, whoever they are, whatever commission or council they sit on, we deserve better than this.

CHAIR: So with that we're not obviously going to get to the last presentation for today, we'll try and get to tomorrow, and that has to do with the training, and more responses from the interviews with the deputies that our investigators did over the last few weeks. So

	Page 422
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	(STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	(COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
5	
6	I, NATHANIEL TORO, Reporter, certify that I was
7	authorized to and did report the foregoing
8	proceedings and that the transcript is a true and
9	correct transcription of my notes of the
10	proceedings.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Nathaniel Toro
16	
17	NATHANIEL TORO, Reporter
18	Commission: GG 111434
19	Expires: 06/04/2021
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

[**& - 30,000**] Page 423

&	144 293:22,23	2	215 164:2
& 393:15	294:21	2,000 187:14	216,000 164:2
	147 165:18	361:19	22 232:11 233:1
0	14th 108:16 175:16	2,600 33:11	23 232:11
06/04/2021 422:19	355:11 362:19	20 104:22	230 85:10
1	365:5 396:10 411:2	2000 34:21 256:25	24 143:21 144:5
1 1:5 91:19 135:11	153 304:14	2002 339:20 352:16	145:7 147:25 382:5
135:11 218:4	15th 84:18 204:11	353:5,20	24,000 227:9
272:15 282:2	16 19:5 376:6	2011 353:11	24/7 405:9
356:13	160 398:25	2012 353:11 2012 353:21 356:6	245 273:5
1,000 42:19 307:17	161 85:8	2013 339:25 344:23	25 111:24 112:25
1,500 106:4	164 344:2	356:10,13,22	307:15
1.5 199:6	167 356:24	2014 166:17 333:8	25,000 164:4
10 37:2 148:12	17 19:5,7	337:7 340:1	26 149:7
155:6,7	17,000 164:4	2016 10:6 14:18,23	26,000 174:13
10.1 22:6	17381 422:15	24:1,25 26:22	260 212:10
100 130:15 171:20	179 282:15	331:6 333:11,14,20	267 212:3,4,11
212:2,3 223:4	18 19:7 111:17	334:1,10,15,24	269 22:20 312:14
226:1 229:10 244:7	394:21	374:8,9 383:7	26th 82:2
337:14	19 104:23,25	384:15 411:19	270,000 19:5
100,000 374:11	212:14 334:24,25	2017 22:22 128:19	275 22:6 190:23
1006.07 306:22	335:2 338:2 358:12	340:21 380:10	277 164:2
1006.12 96:2	394:16	390:11 392:24	28 14:23 144:6
306:22	194 199:10	394:16	146:6 382:5
1006.13 254:24	1958 216:12	2018 5:24 11:8	28th 15:14 82:2
110 107:1	199,944 22:21,25	17:17 19:10 26:12	96:11
111434 422:18	23:1	63:7,16,20 64:2,3,3	29 143:19 155:12
119 165:17 166:2	1:00 161:3	128:19 282:2 342:8	29th 15:19 343:23
12 1:19 4:12 147:12	1st 77:7,12 88:1	348:24 354:1	2:40 256:14
12:10 161:2	134:14 258:18,21	394:21 395:10,15	2:55 256:16
13 88:9 97:17	259:19,25 272:19	396:16	2nd 2:12
256:25 259:11	273:4 274:6 275:17	2019 1:8 2:4 74:9	3
136 282:15,17	275:19 276:1	88:9 256:25 272:15	3 35:10
283:6	278:18 280:11,12	343:12 346:3	3,000 43:1 167:19
13th 5:15 13:17	280:16,17 281:6,8	370:13 402:16	187:14 365:7
87:19 126:13	281:11,14 306:7	2019/2020 113:7	3,500 106:12
14 11:8 19:10 26:12	315:16,21,23,25	2020 276:2	30 168:11 292:1,1
116:21,25 144:9	329:18,18,20	21 231:19,20	292:11 293:3 296:2
146:11 147:19		232:25 233:1	300:6 301:2,17
342:8 348:24 354:1		210 42:21	30,000 167:18
			413:15
		ral Calutions	

[300 - ability] Page 424

300 371:2	50/50 228:20,23	70's 164:7	9/11 220:15
31 111:4,6 123:15	229:8	7026 77:6 84:16	90 247:20
317 275:17	500 227:1	98:9 102:18 103:24	911 119:23 205:14
31st 276:17	500,000 87:9	133:2 136:19	247:3 321:4,10,22
32 1:23 150:22	525 314:6 318:6	143:23 161:9	321:24 322:1,18,20
167:18	53 116:21,25	171:19 172:1,8	322:21,24 323:7
33 151:4 154:24	146:11	173:22 177:17	324:17 326:16
33323 1:6	54 389:17	196:14,16,22 197:1	327:21 328:3 330:2
34 151:4 154:24	548 315:1	197:23,23 204:10	330:4 332:14 335:9
36 111:4 123:16	5636 321:2	205:13 206:18,23	341:7 347:13,16
124:4,4 198:13	57 148:9 155:5	207:20 215:7 216:7	348:23 354:17,19
38 155:13	371:5 388:2 389:3	259:23 263:6	355:4 356:20
394 319:12 320:9	416:18	7030 109:17 114:12	357:15 367:8
3:00 324:12	58 116:12 382:9	114:17 253:25	375:14 376:1
3rd 134:20	5th 173:6	254:23 264:11	378:21 379:3 381:3
4	6	269:8,9,11 282:11	390:18 391:9 395:3
4 35:10 113:3,4	6 371:3	290:21,22 291:7	407:19 420:4
253:9	6,000 139:24 168:9	7048 320:7	923 282:6
4,000 106:8 135:24	600,000 19:19	7093 269:10 282:12	943 292:2 301:3
139:14	262:6	282:14 290:13,14	96 297:4
4.4 85:24	604 89:18,25 90:17	290:15 291:5,10	98 84:15,15,17,23
4/4/19 75:15	61 388:7	304:5	85:5 281:20,21
400 90:3 109:10	613 199:13	73 389:13,16	99 84:3
41 149:6 334:14,16	63 113:3 253:10	75 143:6	9s 44:6,12,17
382:4	630 88:23	7:00 204:13 311:12	249:23 250:9,12
42 111:24 113:1	65 234:11 281:19	8	405:10
43 148:1 382:17	382:14	8 297:2 389:18	a
388:5	66 171:10	8,000 171:9	a.m. 1:9
44,000 227:8	66,500 227:6	80 212:15	aaron 85:19 87:17
441 321:2 329:12	67 86:17,21 87:1	850 82:5	aback 172:18
475 290:2	98:8 113:17 168:11	87 246:20	abby 9:23 11:2,2,3
49 111:17	231:21 253:9	8:30 421:1,3	11:13 12:1 23:19
493.6301 279:6,11	257:10 286:14	8a 349:10	25:21 31:25 34:15
4c 394:4	68,000 171:9 212:9	8mghz 237:18	39:11 70:13
4c's 353:13	212:10	9	abc 398:11
4th 260:20,25	6:30 1:9	9 1:8 35:17 39:9	abide 42:6 118:25
5	7	44:5,8 85:23,23	145:1,3 294:7
5 35:11 84:4 168:9	7 168:8 218:5,6	116:12 250:1,2,10	ability 21:18 33:18
50 84:25 85:6 143:6	353:21	305:5 405:6,6,14	153:14 187:4
23 323 33.0 1 13.0	555.21		254:20 256:4
227:4,5 229:3		405:18,22	270:22 284:11

[ability - added] Page 425

	I		
322:3,25 323:2,5	abyss 298:14	accountability 28:9	action 33:25 34:11
323:11,24 325:15	academics 128:16	127:1 144:13,14	89:2 141:2 160:16
325:21 348:11,13	231:17	175:25 252:10,10	224:20 394:18
408:8 410:1	academies 304:16	264:9 273:12,22	396:4,9,14 399:14
able 4:3 11:3 12:6	academy 38:20	316:18 317:23	activate 119:22
24:9 25:17 28:17	40:1 42:14 43:6	379:9	151:2
33:16 42:21,21	110:12	accountable 115:14	active 5:23 7:19 8:7
43:6 47:3 53:8	accept 389:4	121:16 133:18	8:11 75:3 113:2,11
56:11 58:22,23	acceptable 114:9	137:18,21 138:14	114:7,22 116:19
62:14 75:18 78:7	388:18	254:2 298:10,20,21	117:17 119:7,23
80:19 81:1 82:1,14	accepted 120:1	302:1	123:10,14 128:21
82:16 99:24 106:21	296:16 297:10,11	accreditation	131:12 143:20,24
107:4 110:19	297:20 298:1	295:14	147:24 148:7,16
114:14 148:21	accepting 61:10	accuracy 181:22	149:4 151:3 153:9
151:23,24 153:14	296:18	312:15	155:5 157:16
153:23 158:6	access 66:7 75:4	accurate 110:1	184:12 197:9
164:16 173:10	76:4 80:4 81:1	accurately 179:24	237:23 243:9
179:1 182:23 188:6	160:19,22 205:16	181:13,14	277:10 278:15
195:24 196:22	233:18 240:10,25	achievable 108:11	303:15 313:2
197:10,13 198:3,21	241:4,15 286:8	achieve 33:19	343:14 356:13
203:15 205:16	299:2 315:16	203:23	358:22 359:2 362:4
214:2 240:20 248:6	350:25 374:15	achieved 223:9	actively 92:15
270:22 281:24	402:24 403:2	achieving 333:16	348:5 408:24 412:1
283:12 292:25	accessed 34:7	376:19	activities 187:9
293:6,7,9 327:24	accesses 79:5	acknowledge 61:13	310:24 394:2
331:21 335:17	accessibility 37:9	69:6	activity 268:17
341:10 350:25	accessible 49:21	acquiesced 377:4	actors 45:9
351:11 352:20	68:9 123:12 150:25	acquired 13:25	actresses 45:9
357:3 405:14 406:5	accommodate	act 10:1 15:16	acts 22:19
407:14 410:12,15	326:18	22:17,21 72:13	actual 49:16 88:22
absent 1:18,23,23	accompanying	75:9 76:8 184:10	339:7
absolutely 21:22	276:1	197:14 212:21,21	adage 108:20
58:13 69:12 116:1	accomplish 102:24	212:22 229:14,15	adaptable 214:2
118:22 122:10,13	103:9 302:24,25	229:17 230:4	add 68:22 194:16
127:6 137:11 185:7	314:22 323:12,13	267:20 268:3 272:8	222:13 224:24
194:24 195:12	accomplishes 315:9	273:7 372:14	225:8 245:11,16
213:2 223:13 233:4	accomplishing	acted 19:11 21:7	260:17 403:17
371:14	127:18 179:18	23:2	406:16,22 407:7
abundance 195:10	295:23	acting 21:11	added 40:21
abusive 203:20	account 147:19	347:20 360:15,16	165:13 213:16
			229:9 262:11

[adding - ahead] Page 426

adding 120:7	administered 414:3	advocate 186:21	400:20 401:15
188:12 210:13	414:4	advocates 37:23	405:8
228:15 243:8	administration	aerial 40:16	agency's 347:6
addition 11:25 41:6	32:14 38:13 40:2	aesthetics 373:20	403:13
48:11,11 89:12	45:11 50:19 54:9	affairs 318:19	agenda 9:3 21:12
90:9 111:15 149:5	180:6 209:7 238:13	affect 108:18	78:1 318:3
210:14 364:14	357:14 392:3	149:20 256:23	aggressiveness
additional 27:7	administrative	355:11 400:15	133:8
76:11 102:2 170:25	101:15,19 380:1	affective 124:8	aging 254:14
173:7 210:19	administrator	affirmative 140:11	ago 64:5 131:23
217:18,21 225:4	35:19 184:8 186:18	afraid 167:15	168:16 171:12
239:13 333:16	254:1 263:9 278:3	247:22 295:10	176:18 189:17
363:21 376:19	278:8 290:20,23,24	311:24	240:24 299:21
address 32:10 38:1	313:22 331:24	afternoon 20:10	315:3 332:12
43:25 56:12 58:23	357:1 359:21,23	77:10 98:6 101:25	333:13 337:7 369:5
81:15,17 141:2	391:16 393:20	119:5 148:21	386:6 418:6
254:13 273:12,13	395:11 412:13,19	155:18 161:5 175:5	agree 25:23 53:12
276:17 311:6	417:10	321:4 388:23	137:1 138:3 142:25
319:12 321:22	administrators	afterward 7:1	143:8 185:22 251:1
325:9 354:23	16:17 54:22 177:1	age 74:21,22	282:15 284:6 295:9
367:12 374:21	182:16 222:15	184:15	296:3 297:15
378:5,18 380:24	392:1	agencies 8:15 78:21	298:16 314:25
407:21,22 415:1	admit 380:11	80:10 205:16	318:5 337:11 373:8
addressed 28:20	admitted 91:9	231:22 236:11	381:4,5 382:11
47:7 87:14 103:6,9	adopt 119:14	237:1,12 261:10	384:19 386:1 415:7
148:18 286:19	adopted 91:13	290:7,12 322:1	415:25 417:11
291:17 377:8,18	320:8	325:23 326:8	agreed 44:9 87:22
396:13	adult 35:25 218:8	327:25 328:5,7	157:20 166:2 315:8
addresses 218:15	adults 47:21 55:12	332:18 337:8	389:19 404:24
275:23 290:14,15	58:2 218:7	341:15 347:7	agreeing 377:4
408:1,5	advance 28:17 43:1	348:12 350:10	agreement 129:18
addressing 60:2	advanced 216:13	351:9 376:12,24	144:21 247:10
320:4 352:9 412:6	259:18 294:6 357:8	377:3 379:20	325:18 356:24
adds 278:7 279:5	advantage 411:1	393:19 395:10	357:4,10,11,17,25
adhered 145:5	adverse 163:11	397:5 400:14,17	395:22 398:24
adhering 276:10	adversely 297:21	402:23 403:5,11	399:1 417:23
adjoining 349:22	advertise 73:23	409:6	agreements 357:4
adjust 186:6	91:23	agency 304:23	ahca 1:17 4:8
adjustment 176:24	advisors 96:14	305:11 314:11	ahead 2:2 48:23
administer 45:16	advisory 91:6	322:4 323:3 337:6	62:18 68:24 70:1,5
414:1	392:6 393:25 417:4	350:9 361:12	81:9,19 86:22

[ahead - anybody] Page 427

87:15 91:1 101:4	alfonso 359:23	amnesia 16:5	360:4 371:10
104:11,13 105:17	alice 52:15 146:14	amount 42:17	392:22 406:3
110:24 117:10	146:16,19,22	184:20 229:16	415:12,17,23
119:3 121:21	allegation 9:25	364:17	418:19
127:22 129:16	allegiance 2:10	amplifier 236:15	answered 37:5
132:15 138:11	alliance 96:20	analogy 185:24	123:17 140:7,9,11
139:17 142:24	allocation 85:8,9	analysis 361:7	147:8,13,16 149:6
145:16 159:4,18	85:12 281:18,19,20	409:4	149:7 150:16
160:10 171:18	281:25 282:3	analytics 76:3	287:17 375:18,19
172:10 174:14	288:14,16,25 289:4	analyzed 375:12	415:12
233:20 239:22	289:7 316:11	analyzing 409:4	answering 97:10
242:23 248:18	allow 42:9 149:9	ancient 199:25	97:11 140:19
252:20 253:14	150:16 200:19	anderson 360:14	247:18 321:11
282:13 285:19	231:21 270:15	anecdotally 219:15	322:18 340:5 389:9
289:25 298:4 299:5	279:20 304:12,14	220:22 416:11	answers 35:5
302:7 310:1 318:4	allowed 83:4	angie 347:19	104:15,17 117:2
331:6 336:2 338:25	173:19 221:22	359:10 360:15	362:18 368:16
359:16 368:8,10	230:18 245:6	angst 63:4 337:13	396:5
372:22 413:6	allowing 47:11	annotated 193:12	anti 350:12
414:15 415:24	72:25 270:25	announce 75:19	anticipate 84:8
416:25 418:15	allows 75:25	announcement	345:6
419:9 420:12	240:18 241:20	45:7	anticipated 74:10
ai 243:10	303:23 351:8 408:3	announcements	antiquated 330:7
aid 39:6 43:3,9	alluded 164:6	53:24	365:1
174:11 178:7	395:24	annual 3:4 22:19	antithesis 314:13
186:19	alternative 343:19	87:14 280:8 286:15	anxious 77:14 78:6
aided 341:7	alternatives 349:2	annually 192:10	anxiously 70:15
aim 157:15	altitude 41:25	anomaly 324:9	anybody 23:14
ain't 329:4	amazed 31:10	366:4	28:23 30:1,2 62:10
air 38:19 39:25	amazing 223:10	anonymous 49:4	65:11 68:22 69:20
41:16,18 42:5	238:3 253:4	49:17 50:24	104:12 153:9 159:3
aircraft 196:23	ambassadors 56:24	anonymously	170:21,24 212:20
airport 42:4,8	56:25	49:22	215:16 216:1
43:20 265:8 340:20	ambiguity 279:1,3	answer 23:24	222:20 228:4
341:3 362:20,21,23	ambiguous 304:25	104:19 105:12	239:21 260:15
365:5 381:25	315:22 377:5	109:8 115:8 118:12	289:23 291:7
409:24	ambitious 346:4	211:23 222:21	292:24 308:8
alarm 188:4	amend 352:17	243:16 252:6	313:25 317:24
albertsons 408:10	amended 69:4	286:12 287:11,11	318:17 323:22
alert 75:4 349:18	300:20	287:19 308:5,5	327:1 373:6 406:25
		345:22 347:12	

[anytime - asked] Page 428

_		Ι .	Ι .
anytime 123:1	applied 42:25	appropriately	army 167:11
anyway 101:20	123:13 222:3	192:11 389:15	arrange 82:1
140:2 204:15	239:18 259:8 335:2	414:4	arrest 257:23 258:2
274:11 308:7 315:7	335:4 382:14	appropriations	258:2,5
317:20	applies 97:1 98:24	109:23 269:12,16	arrested 238:21
ap 223:1	208:15 232:7 233:4	299:19	arrow 374:16
apart 283:22 284:5	233:11	approval 343:8	artfully 150:20
apex 201:15	apply 57:5 99:1	350:23 407:3	article 157:2
apologies 251:24	102:12 103:16	approve 2:14 277:9	411:19
apologize 185:24	170:21 233:13	370:11	asa 166:22 172:13
191:23	288:17 293:13	approved 2:24	173:1 239:23 241:6
app 41:23 49:5,13	310:6	45:10 119:8,10	419:10,18,20
49:16,19 50:24	applying 88:4,19	178:16 247:25	ashley 1:16 21:17
51:1 73:25 88:25	233:11	278:10	56:21,22 62:24
89:10 91:11,16	appoint 98:10	approving 13:18	101:4,5 137:25
92:17,20,24,25	270:10,10 284:2,4	approximately	138:2 159:18,19
93:23,24,25 95:12	291:16	82:5	160:6 226:10,12,20
191:4 246:18,24	appointed 91:7	apps 89:22,22	227:2,14,18,22
247:1,2,8,9,19,24	161:10 271:8,11,13	90:23 93:12,20	228:8,15,18,24
254:9	298:13 303:24	95:18 153:3 154:9	230:13,23 231:3,18
appalled 34:17	315:14	april 1:8 74:9 88:1	232:15 248:17,19
apparently 349:13	appointment 249:2	260:20,25 394:21	249:5 255:9 256:5
appealed 101:18	appreciate 4:18,23	arbitrary 387:19	256:10 281:16
appear 3:8 113:3	30:15,15 62:17	arbitration 344:4	285:18,19,20
181:20 273:3	159:12 161:1	area 37:17 66:4	287:13 288:6
366:16	164:12 171:3,25	81:12 123:6 144:8	289:15 295:10
appearances 1:11	185:1 190:19 191:8	146:10,13 168:6,6	308:10 309:25
appeared 86:13	252:5 256:13	169:6 172:24 200:3	310:2 366:6 411:12
appears 60:19	349:14	214:13 226:18	418:15,16
90:11 365:25	appreciated 121:19	354:3,12 366:10	ashley's 369:6
appellate 101:7	239:14	377:7 378:14	aside 9:3 414:13
appendix 353:7	appreciative 157:3	areas 9:1 35:6	asked 13:17 16:12
applaud 389:1	apprised 141:19	62:15 64:22 65:4	16:15 22:1,5,12,22
apple 258:10	approach 75:3	73:7 152:7 161:15	36:9 37:10 55:20
applicable 102:17	132:10 218:2	172:8 185:5 261:12	55:24,25 58:16
103:25 231:17	254:16 259:16	261:12 268:24	62:24 70:21 72:11
application 85:22	appropriate 130:8	351:17 366:13	97:6 126:1 155:1
169:17,17,19 259:6	145:15 146:2	377:1	161:14 233:7 253:8
377:24 378:11	184:16 192:12	arguments 337:17	253:11 291:23
applications 87:25	240:6 299:7 319:19	armed 166:22	301:16 305:19
	346:23 383:4 397:1	172:13 173:1	312:19 334:8 362:9
	.		

[asked - automated] Page 429

368:18 380:25	193:6 194:23 195:1	associates 393:16	attributes 379:2		
381:20 395:1	195:22,25 207:2,11	association 6:3	auburndale 1:13		
412:15	208:1,6 209:18	296:15 297:25	audio 197:4 240:8		
asking 120:21	212:24 213:22	353:18 393:8,15	audit 26:20 95:20		
126:7,9 159:22	215:4 221:18 230:6	395:12,21	95:20 257:10 276:7		
162:24 166:9	230:10 236:5 245:5	associations 326:19	audits 160:4		
175:14 214:21	246:7 260:5,7,9,10	356:9 390:12	augment 227:15		
223:21,25 242:14	260:13 261:10,13	394:25 396:7			
asks 17:25 154:22	<u>'</u>		august 76:13 77:12 126:12 258:22		
	261:23 262:12,25	assume 237:25			
aspect 222:16,16	263:12,13 264:2	255:16 280:20	259:24 274:6		
288:5 409:9	267:11 275:21,25	assumed 171:12	275:17,19 276:1		
aspects 135:4	276:4,15 278:19	assuming 285:22	278:18 280:11,17		
171:19 275:2	280:8 281:4 374:10	assurance 374:24	281:6 306:7 315:25		
assailant 5:24 7:19	374:11,23	assuring 378:9	346:3		
8:7 113:2,12	assessments 16:13	at&t 366:7 369:7	auspices 393:20		
114:22 119:7	17:16,19 18:21,23	athletic 310:24	author 299:8		
123:14 143:20	19:4,6,23 27:11	attached 400:25	authored 332:9		
147:24 148:7 151:3	62:25 173:23	attack 95:12 311:3	authorities 34:3		
153:10 197:9	178:13,19 193:19	attempt 241:6	276:18		
237:23 243:4	205:6 206:15,16	attempting 265:13	authority 115:25		
277:10 278:15	211:25 264:7,15,20	376:23	135:18,23 137:4		
303:15 313:2	275:17 396:9	attend 45:22 58:21	273:9,10 275:3		
assemble 39:5	asset 221:21	83:4 138:8 408:18	277:16 283:2,11		
42:12	assets 250:9	409:14	298:22 316:17,22		
assembly 36:11	assigned 105:3	attended 6:25	317:5 357:19		
assess 230:8	245:7 271:21	195:23 209:10	358:19 388:17		
assessed 402:5	380:18	256:3	398:21		
assessing 203:19	assignment 305:4	attendees 1:12	authorize 111:13		
assessment 14:16	assignments 210:4	attending 186:24	112:14 235:24		
14:19 15:1,10,25	assist 405:8,11	attention 122:7	authorized 107:17		
16:7,16,21 17:7	assistance 81:24	130:18 320:15,19	111:2 116:18		
19:14,20 20:6	82:15 95:6 274:19	357:12 420:10	117:16 165:9 422:7		
21:16 26:19,22	assistant 1:14	attest 362:15	authorizes 267:15		
73:15 76:1 77:3	10:10 14:24 15:8	attitude 173:1	authorizing 112:5		
78:6,24 80:5,9,10	70:8 112:3 120:16	176:24 202:12	autism 10:19		
80:15 81:22 82:6	170:11 249:11	222:17	auto 207:16		
82:10,12,17 113:24	359:22 360:3	attorney 1:14	automated 18:7		
114:3 173:16	assisting 260:12	90:15,16	19:17,19 20:1 27:6		
177:19,20,24,25	assoc 1:25	attribute 377:16	178:12 191:13		
178:25 190:7,11,12	associated 378:23	attributed 363:23	192:24 206:19		
191:14 192:23,25		364:1 365:8	207:1 213:5,16		
Veritert Legal Solutions					

[automated - began] Page 430

262:12	126:24 134:23	bag 106:23 140:13	169:15 179:7
auxiliary 295:13	135:2 143:14	140:21,23,23 141:3	180:23 182:20,24
availability 105:22	152:21 153:25	141:15,19 156:18	184:23 186:6
available 20:8	157:11 172:4	baker 15:16 22:16	200:19 201:2
89:14 94:2 100:18	185:23 186:5	22:19,21 23:2 76:8	230:10,16 258:6
101:1 103:12 108:2	194:10 197:12	161:18 162:13	262:25 306:8,13
108:3 110:17 250:1	202:16 204:22	163:9 167:23 168:1	380:12,12 402:4,6
280:22 282:8 326:7	207:22 210:8 211:4	170:13 194:19	basic 43:9
avenue 406:15	211:20 229:17	200:14 201:19	basically 40:16
average 229:21	247:20 252:22	212:21,21,22	42:1 43:9,14 56:4
230:4 254:14	255:19 259:20	227:14 229:14,14	59:14 160:3 347:11
363:14	265:21 266:16	229:17 230:4	359:11 401:9
aviation 345:2	272:17 274:1	balance 87:5	418:21
avoidable 267:21	277:13 279:4 281:9	184:14 217:4 233:2	basics 293:25
await 70:15	282:2 283:20 286:9	233:3 337:22 369:5	basis 82:9 87:7,14
awake 250:24	290:1 291:15	369:10	141:6 278:17
award 75:16	299:23 303:9 304:1	balancing 184:10	286:16 325:20
306:12	304:4 315:2,12	bald 159:10	352:1
awarded 43:1	324:13 338:2 339:9	balking 330:8	basket 409:21,21
83:21,22 84:4,18	342:23 354:25	ballot 298:23 395:2	bathroom 51:25
aware 15:25 16:2	363:8 367:6,10	ban 291:14	bathrooms 51:21
50:20 51:4 53:16	369:5 385:6 395:25	bank 265:10	51:23
69:11 74:3 119:20	404:19,23 405:4,23	bar 107:7,9 380:23	battery 203:20
120:11 238:7	406:10,11 414:2	bargaining 378:2	bay 172:24
301:12,19 340:18	backdrop 274:15	barking 364:20	bayag 360:3,18
350:15,18 411:22	background 19:10	barricade 146:17	bb&t 1:3
awareness 75:6	165:20 167:6,14	barrier 100:13	beach 45:1 78:11
380:3	168:2 282:21,24	103:13 233:10	101:12,15,17 102:7
awe 109:1	283:14 285:11,15	bartlett 1:14	261:11 290:8
aye 2:21,22 301:9	288:19 339:13	239:22,23 241:6	293:13 322:16
b	352:15	242:22 419:9,10,18	354:13,15,16,17
b 28:19	backpack 245:6	419:20	355:6 361:18
babysit 418:7	backpacks 241:8	base 4:20 151:21	beaches 363:1
back 2:4 7:21 11:15	backup 369:25	226:7 236:25	beat 67:23 177:11
15:20 24:1,25 41:9	bad 50:8 110:22	296:19	250:17
62:20,21 63:9	119:24 120:5	baseball 173:20	beating 220:20
65:20 69:22 83:11	160:18 176:17	based 6:23 10:16	becoming 139:13
84:9 86:15,20	180:8,8 192:17	18:9 37:17 38:3	bed 372:9
87:19 100:1 105:25	251:10 252:1	73:5 76:4 85:25	began 37:24 95:21
114:18 117:14	274:11 308:2 318:8	128:6,23 136:14	169:6 195:3 339:25
121:1 122:6 126:23	367:21	137:14 168:16	381:11
	Varitant Lac	I	1

[beginning - blind] Page 431

beginning 32:13	belt 146:23	407:17 411:13	312:24 313:1,17,17
34:5,9 49:10,11	beneath 360:13	413:17,19 416:17	315:15 316:13
52:17 53:1 75:10	beneficial 187:11	416:20,24 420:18	317:21 318:1,6,20
113:16 169:18	191:8 196:10	beyond 61:18	318:24 319:8,25
182:1 356:18	benefit 135:9,12	80:15 260:8 414:24	320:7 321:8,24
begins 270:25	258:24	bickering 385:10	324:2 325:12,22
272:10 281:10	berets 167:10	bid 75:13	326:9,17 329:14
begun 345:4	bertha 331:23	bidirectional	billions 366:11
behalf 47:9 399:19	356:25 359:22	236:15	bills 5:11 84:21
behavior 15:24	417:10	bifurcate 136:17	86:7,12,16 103:9
181:19 187:9	best 27:3,18 36:14	bifurcating 393:21	103:11 115:7 116:2
203:20 254:6	53:18 71:2 96:7	big 37:2 102:10	135:1 142:17
behavioral 14:16	102:12 115:5 117:6	141:8,13 145:11	144:22 145:22,25
14:18,25 15:15,24	118:4,14,16,21,25	159:15 161:25	160:1 257:4 286:4
16:6,12,21 17:15	122:7 128:25	173:12 206:23	302:10 314:3 318:1
19:19,23 20:6	130:14,16 133:16	220:3 221:15	319:24 321:2,22
21:15 113:24	136:1,1,4,12,25	225:19 233:15	326:24
177:19,20,23	137:2,7 139:5,6	236:14 291:16,16	bind 54:18
178:13,18,24	140:2 144:10,14	311:1 317:25 372:4	bit 13:20 14:11,12
186:16,25 187:10	150:11 158:5	373:20	30:22 67:6 113:4
213:21 238:10	189:20 195:3	bigger 46:23	119:4 130:17
258:6,7 263:3,13	215:13 244:3,9	176:24 338:13	162:15 163:1 167:6
275:16,20,24	259:13 274:16	biggest 219:21	174:25 175:5,21
behaviors 207:19	284:20 296:4	bill 20:12,15,16	176:25 177:13
belief 208:1 243:8	305:23 314:24	77:6 84:25 86:20	178:9 179:5,17
believe 6:9 19:18	329:8 336:24 337:2	109:18,18 119:6,12	184:13 189:12
46:19 49:19 95:23	337:18 348:2	119:18,18,21 120:6	191:20 202:17
101:18 122:19	366:24 375:25	164:15 166:21,23	203:14 218:6
132:5 157:1 218:16	beta 243:15	171:21 174:4,12	224:11 231:19
233:13 243:17	better 6:8 7:7 8:13	269:10 272:11,13	237:6 242:17 246:4
244:3 259:9 269:2	8:21 28:1 55:11	272:22,23 273:11	255:24 303:3
297:9 303:5 329:17	58:20 77:20 86:10	273:11,16,17 274:4	308:21 309:9
350:5 351:7 360:17	107:19,20,21	274:12 275:1,8,18	316:15 326:17
376:15 378:10	131:22 170:6,7	277:3,4,21 280:10	384:6
381:1,9 385:25	183:7,15 190:1	280:11 284:1 286:9	bites 258:10
395:15,20 404:11	215:6 224:6 296:17	289:24 291:11	blazers 58:14
413:23	297:12,19 309:11	298:6 299:9,15,15	blend 183:3 184:6
believer 216:25	309:24 316:2	299:17 300:10	blessed 171:14
bell 105:4,5	321:19,20 326:23	302:14,15,19,20	blessing 195:21
bells 154:11	329:10 345:24	304:21 306:10,11	blind 104:8
	386:7 390:16	306:14 312:21,23	

[block - building] Page 432

block 187:15 207:3	237:23 318:18	261:4 265:14	339:15 340:25
blowing 208:5	319:3,7 320:3,5	320:14,19 335:5,12	342:4,20,21 347:19
blue 358:6 359:19	booth 240:16,16,18	335:15,24 370:25	353:6,11 355:24,25
381:5	boots 162:19 334:4	372:15 412:17,18	356:22 357:6
blush 286:7	borders 173:25	412:19 417:25	361:20 362:3
board 1:22,24 7:11	bosses 389:11	418:1 419:2 420:9	371:17 372:19
7:17,19 8:6 9:22	416:15	bringing 51:19	375:24 376:11
11:1 14:15 15:14	botched 26:23	77:23 238:17 243:4	377:15 390:11
23:19 29:8 30:2,12	bottles 401:25	246:9 335:23	393:7 394:23
38:17 39:19 40:2	bottom 41:21	414:20 417:16	398:13 407:16
70:13 91:6 99:8	342:11	broad 218:11	410:19,24 416:1
101:16 112:13	bound 216:14	362:11	420:14
113:14 121:8 137:6	boundaries 342:5	broadcasts 349:9	bruce 1:14
165:9 166:25	bounds 386:3	broaden 270:15	bso 5:25 327:6,7
168:19 176:1 202:7	bow 133:11	broader 264:25	339:19 340:8,12
219:9 224:10 225:9	box 160:17 208:14	268:23	346:5,6,19 348:10
226:7 241:19	298:23 358:6,8	broadly 290:15	348:15,19,21,24
248:13 269:20,24	boy 152:1 229:19	broadside 133:11	350:3 351:2,6,9,19
274:21,22,24	boy's 11:9	broken 17:12	352:2 357:16,21
275:11,13,13 277:8	boys 70:9	377:10	359:3 360:21
277:12 278:22	brainer 139:8	brought 10:9,12	364:18 375:24
279:15 282:9,22	break 3:19 62:20	33:13 39:3 73:19	376:8 378:9,25
289:1,2 291:3	62:22 69:22 161:2	92:5 160:13 195:15	379:23 395:13
293:13 294:2	252:13 256:14	195:16,19 222:1	398:3,9 399:4,12
298:17 309:12	324:15	386:8 420:6	399:22 405:6,10,13
315:4 317:17	breakdown 74:22	broward 5:17,22	405:14 406:5,16,22
345:25 346:1,2	111:18	7:10,16,18 8:6	407:2 420:6
353:17 356:5,5,10	breath 127:19	12:18 13:6 14:23	bso's 349:9 407:4
356:14 377:19	breathes 359:12	16:8,24 17:2,4	btat 178:4
400:9 408:20	brian 91:9	20:24 22:9 28:5	bubble 176:16
boards 127:5 254:2	brief 69:25 95:22	54:24 78:18 79:3,8	bucket 141:8
361:8	256:18	79:12,21 91:8,11	buckets 141:13
bob 1:12	briefly 2:25 73:1	91:16,17,22 92:4,9	budget 84:21 85:2
boca 354:19,22,22	81:21 162:24 238:5	93:15,17,19 95:8	85:11 86:7,10,11
354:23 355:5	343:24 375:13	149:17 156:16,20	286:4 306:14
body 225:11 300:1	bring 4:25 10:4	157:6 198:16	build 75:24 186:3
418:12	23:16 24:11 34:10	233:17 262:5,5	191:16 199:18,24
bondi 90:16	39:3,24 44:13,18	293:7,12 298:23	366:12 412:3
bonds 268:10	48:17 77:25 92:13	312:9 322:6,8,11	building 60:17
book 1:23 4:1,5	121:7 127:10	323:6 330:5,6,10	121:2 147:12 218:4
104:17 205:18	129:13 137:5 245:6	331:2 337:6 339:1	218:5 241:3 362:23

buildings 158:13	buy 153:5 337:14	59:15 60:5,10 75:4	234:18 237:2
199:19 236:17	389:2	79:16 80:18 85:2	240:12,19 241:3,23
241:2	buzz 197:4	91:12 114:3 132:23	243:4 246:10,13,16
built 213:15	bylaws 57:5	152:8 166:21	254:21,22 270:21
bulk 72:1	bypassed 123:1,5	190:10 408:2	281:4 283:5 307:12
bulldog 31:19		calling 67:3 130:19	311:14
32:22 33:2 47:10	C	139:23 142:8 219:2	campuses 49:1 74:1
58:13	c 125:23 422:1,1	219:4 255:19	103:18 164:3,3
bullet 74:9 75:15	cad 340:23 341:5,6	calls 166:8 172:18	169:1,13 175:18
95:19 252:18	350:8,14 360:20	230:17 245:19	181:13 227:12
bulletproof 134:3	361:9 376:5 380:7	286:5 321:25 322:2	242:20 306:21
bullets 75:23	380:10,16 383:8	322:6,8,19,20	candidating 350:24
253:18	391:3 402:17	323:8 324:7 328:6	capabilities 398:11
bunch 67:14 81:7	405:15,16	349:19 353:23	409:17
107:21 109:21	cafeteria 59:19	354:2,9,10 355:3	capability 322:11
153:6,7,11 322:17	66:2	391:2	327:6
372:1	calendar 212:5 call 11:4 20:16	camera 41:9	capable 399:24
burden 309:10		cameras 83:25	capacity 106:19
bureaucracy	23:23,23 24:2,4,10 24:12,21 25:8 26:9	160:20,22 182:14	162:5 330:8 364:20
406:11 408:14	65:17,18,19 70:17	198:11 232:9	365:2,18,19,21
bureaucratic	89:21 96:12 113:10	campaign 158:18	366:1 411:9
292:18 298:14	117:20,23 118:8	campus 16:18	capital 198:19
299:1 400:23 401:5	117.20,23 116.8	34:22 35:2,25 37:4	199:6,22 200:9
401:22	152:2 153:9 175:7	37:12,14 40:8,23	242:4
burglary 325:9	177:23 180:16,16	41:1,12 43:11,16	capt 206:14 209:22
burn 186:4 216:12	206:10 224:17	43:23,24 44:9,12	212:2,6,9,11,13
380:19	242:2 257:24,25	44:14,16,18 45:19	213:2,8,12 214:7
bus 126:20	258:1 303:14	46:11 47:5 50:7,8	215:5 216:6 217:13
buses 365:7	322:19,22 324:17	51:12 52:4 54:5,18	217:25 218:20
business 3:7 7:8	324:17,22,23 325:2	58:20 59:3 71:20	219:19 221:3,12,15
8:21 138:16 337:16	325:5,11 327:4,7,8	84:8 86:5 97:9	225:7 229:4 234:9
384:20 392:13	341:7,8,10 347:12	106:12 109:11	243:6 245:11
408:9	347:13 348:23	118:7 119:22	246:18,25 247:2
busting 413:16	350:10,11 354:17	120:19,23 126:15	250:7 252:21
butt 140:20 413:16	354:19,20 355:4,4	126:17 132:25	253:15 255:14
butter 180:15	375:13,14,18	149:10 156:8,17	256:8
butting 385:17,18	377:25 381:3 391:9	157:17 166:22	captain 161:19
button 327:17,18	405:13,20 406:1,16	167:2 172:13 173:2	162:3,4 171:5,6,24
327:23	406:23,24 407:7,19	173:11,14 174:7,8	206:13 271:2 278:4
buttresses 12:12	called 2:1 10:24	174:17 178:1,8	278:6
	11:1 25:6,9 49:14	181:15 205:21	
1			

[capture - chair] Page 434

capture 253:2	category 177:18	ceo 1:17	154:10 209:8 217:7
car 159:9 227:10	389:18	certain 27:12 44:13	247:7 256:22 263:3
405:16	cause 177:14	100:14 138:23	263:10 264:9 273:7
care 65:25 66:1,1	292:25 328:17	178:17,17 180:21	276:19 280:25
113:21 137:22	332:22 369:9	207:9 220:18 248:7	291:3 305:6 329:22
154:7,19 177:2	caused 67:4	272:4 301:24	chad 1:18 4:14
191:5 209:2 224:3	causes 44:13 67:7	307:19 313:13	chain 234:12 235:3
236:18 239:3	causing 379:22	347:14 399:24	359:17
careful 130:22	ccw 315:11	402:19	chair 1:12 2:2,11
131:8 138:9	cease 132:24	certainly 4:23 20:1	2:17,20,23 3:25
carefully 169:2	ceiling 213:24	28:12 47:25 62:11	9:22 11:1 21:20
carrier 25:1 196:23	264:3	71:7 86:25 99:17	23:21,25 24:18,23
carroll 1:20 58:11	cell 23:23 24:2 25:1	115:4 124:17 134:8	25:7,15,21 26:6,17
58:12 59:5 60:9,18	25:5,6 248:8	138:3 226:13	27:1 28:13,21
61:5 64:11 138:1	354:18 355:7	232:17 282:15	30:12 31:4,14,17
138:11,12 140:4	cellphone 363:10	287:22 288:2	47:24 48:19 51:6
143:1 302:5,7,8	center 1:3 22:17	289:20 300:7 307:7	52:5,10 54:19
414:15,16 418:24	231:25 304:20	310:17 336:6	56:21 57:13 58:11
carroll's 154:1	321:24 322:1,7,19	344:12 348:3	61:7,8 62:10 69:19
carrot 133:22,24	322:21,21,25 323:4	384:21 386:2	70:1,18 76:19
carry 103:17,17,18	323:7 324:18 325:7	411:13	81:13 84:12,21
165:4 235:22	328:4 330:12	certainty 313:13	86:6,24 89:16 94:4
303:25	349:20 351:13,17	certificate 317:8	94:24 98:1 101:12
carrying 156:18	355:9 375:15	certification	102:16,21 104:9,18
241:7	379:25 407:6	187:17 226:25	104:21 105:16,17
case 7:13 41:20	center's 335:1	228:16	105:24 111:25
43:9 44:3 53:17	centers 205:15	certified 164:9	112:9,11,23 113:18
101:5,6 163:8	261:16 304:14,15	165:22 168:22,24	115:3,21 116:1
207:9 208:4,12	321:10 322:18	169:9 173:11 242:7	117:9,10,13 119:3
210:2 235:6 258:25	323:17 340:6,11	284:12,13 315:2	120:9 121:20
278:10 341:12	376:1	certifies 272:3	122:10 123:23
349:4 393:12	centerstone 1:17	certify 166:2	124:23 125:1,6
cases 7:3,8 28:6	central 330:12	240:11 270:10	127:22 129:13,16
82:13 100:3,3	340:7 360:7 369:8	282:18 283:1,9,25	130:8,10 132:17
105:11 107:12	centralized 73:14	283:25 284:4	134:4 136:5,8,17
317:19 333:8	75:20,24 203:13	285:23 291:15	136:21,24 137:19
casualty 328:20	263:18,25	292:14 422:6	137:25 138:11
334:18 363:14	centrally 204:4	certifying 283:3	139:17 142:15
364:16	centric 264:22,24	284:16 285:21,23	143:12 145:17,19
catch 140:14	273:17	cetera 16:2 25:2	146:4 148:25
188:21		71:15 99:15 111:15	149:15 151:5

[chair - charged] Page 435

153:16,23 155:7,14	290:22 291:5	challenge 25:10	205:25 210:14
159:3,18 160:10,25	293:10,17,20	32:11 34:12 99:3	221:9 270:6,10
161:5 164:18 165:3	294:16 295:10,15	99:22 106:8 175:10	342:8 352:25 353:5
166:4,14 167:4,23	296:22 298:4 299:4	175:21 179:6,11	353:9 386:3 387:10
170:2,6,16,24	299:12 300:8,24	187:16 241:12	387:15 402:11
171:3,24 174:19	301:6,8,10,21	challenged 32:15	407:14 408:10
175:22 177:10,13	302:4,7,17 308:15	34:9 106:1	410:1
179:12,16 180:25	309:13,19,25 310:1	challenges 46:2	changing 7:8 8:20
181:23 182:11	310:9 311:8,25	56:10 105:19,21	8:20 54:4 56:16
183:16 184:15	312:2,11,14 315:1	141:21 164:14	157:6 332:25
185:1 187:22 188:9	317:11,20 318:14	179:19 187:20,23	337:20
188:14,18,22 189:4	318:18 319:23	chambers 326:25	channel 323:11
189:9 190:2 191:12	320:2,12 327:9,12	chanc 309:8	325:8 327:10
191:19,25 192:2,19	327:19 328:1,10,22	chance 2:13 52:15	328:13,14,15 347:1
193:17,24 194:3,9	329:12,16 338:7,23	110:24	348:11 349:9
194:15,18,24 196:7	338:25 341:4 354:4	chancellor 4:12	351:12,19,23 352:2
200:13 201:18,21	358:9 364:5,11	55:2,4 82:15 96:2,3	364:18 410:20
202:5,9,16,19,25	365:15 368:9 370:8	289:4 308:24 317:1	channel6 44:24
203:8,11,24 204:6	370:23 371:20	chances 321:8	45:2
204:22 206:3,12	372:22 373:1,17	change 23:10 34:1	channels 323:16
209:17 211:22	382:18 383:1,12,18	46:16 47:21 49:2	325:25 326:4,7
212:4,7,10,12,25	383:21 384:4 385:4	56:18 108:18,23,24	346:8,15,21,21
213:3,10,17 214:23	386:21,25 387:5	129:2,8 157:21	348:13 351:10
216:2 217:10,23	389:12 397:11,15	220:3 253:23	352:10 403:22
218:18 219:13	398:23 399:8	254:24 299:9	404:11,15
220:21 221:4,14	400:25 401:17	317:10 338:1	chapter 279:6
222:9 223:12,14	404:5,18 406:9,18	346:15 347:1	292:1,1,2,11 293:3
224:8,23 225:6	410:18 411:5,16	378:16 388:6,9	296:2 300:6 301:2
226:9 228:3,10	412:10 414:6,15	390:22 408:3	301:3,17 319:12
229:23 230:2 231:9	415:16 416:25	419:23	320:9 344:2
231:18 232:24	417:14,21 419:1,16	changed 7:3 61:3	characterize 388:1
239:21 241:11	419:19 420:2,12,20	121:9 184:9 192:16	characterized
242:21 248:16,18	chaired 360:25	205:17 220:12	219:17 265:1
249:16,20 252:12	361:11	225:10 255:2,3	characterizing
252:20 253:6 255:8	chairman 21:17	300:20 327:14	384:13
256:19 261:20	72:10,24 73:10	364:22 385:16	charge 44:19
262:1,3,8,18,20,24	76:12 97:12,19	402:15	195:15 282:16
266:7 267:9 283:17	127:15 137:6 147:7	changer 176:23	386:12 417:5
285:1,18,19 286:1	419:10	changes 12:8,15	charged 78:25
287:18 288:9	chairman's 1:4	19:14 21:2 46:19	91:19 232:14
289:21,25 290:13	73:5 247:21	189:19 201:5	

[charges - clear] Page 436

charges 229:2 charging 404:14 237:10 238:14 chosen 265:20 christina 1:25 297:15 298:11 304:2,12,13,14,19 297:15 298:11 304:2,12,13,14,19 297:15 298:11 304:2,12,13,14,19 chise 1:13 304:2,12,13,14,19 chise 1:13 christina 1:25 chromister 164:10 claimed 10:24 16:4	200.0	227 10 220 11	1 220 24 240 0	207.10.20.205.127
chart 401:3 247:25 248:5 chris 1:13 304:2,12,13,14,19 99:1 101:10 117:8 289:23 290:1,21 chronister 164:10 claime 204:18 121:68,825 122:12 291:4 chronister 164:10 claimed 10:24 16:4 126:16 132:19 chicago 244:19 circule 335:13 circule 335:13 claimed 10:24 16:4 270:18 278:25 2:19 92:3,5,11 95:3 22:12 23 337:19 22:14,25 71:23 312:16 352:17,25 156:24 157:7,8 394:17,18 401:2 236:8 244:19 279:8 212:23 337:19 138:13 180:14 charter's 277:10 289:22 313:18 citation 81:11 257:25 236:6 284:8 312:19 99:12,21 101:16 396:17,17 391:5 393:10 403:23 clarifics 312:18 cheap 154:8 297:24 353:18 356:8 390:12,23 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 285:11 394:24 352:18 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 395:12 403:24 404:11 303:23 315:11,12 checks 28:8 79:21 165:20 190:11 207:23 238:2 32:12 32:22 238:2 32:12 32:21 238:2 32:12 32:21	charges 229:2	237:10 238:14	chose 339:21 340:9	295:19,20 296:4,25
charter 33:9 98:25 249:24 250:23 christina 1:25 claim 204:18 claimed 10:41:16 10:41:16 11:18 20:18 289:23 290:1,21 chronister 16:41:10 circle 335:13 claimed 10:24 16:4 10:4 16:4 16:4 16:4 16:4 16:4 16:4 16:4 16:4 16:4 14:1 16:4 14:1 16:4 16:4 16:4 16:4 16:4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
99:1 101:10 117:8				
121:6,8,25 122:12				
126:16 132:19		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
140:25 164:3	' '		-	
270:18 278:25 2:19 92:3,5,11 95:3 circumstances 22:14,25 71:23 280:2 307:12,14,15 156:24 157:7,8 212:23 337:19 138:13 180:14 312:16 352:17,25 353:6,10 393:2,5 172:4 235:5,5 395:4 253:6 284:8 312:19 353:6,10 393:2,5 372:4 235:5,5 395:4 354:4 257:25 354:4 charter's 277:10 289:22 313:18 257:25 23:6,8 23:6,8 charters 71:22 72:2 360:25 390:12 391:5 393:10 clarifies 312:18 23:18 cheap 154:8 297:24 353:18 cited 353:23 390:24 221:25 164:19 221:8,22 68:1 71:3 check 28:9 78:10 356:8 390:12,23 393:15 394:3,23 228:3 232:24 228:3 232:24 check 28:9 78:10 396:18 391:18 392:4 399:15 393:10 409:15,25 399:16 255:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 335:11,20 34:11 228:23 231:11 228:3 232:24 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 266:24		0		
280:2 307:12,14,15 312:16 352:17,25 312:16 352:17,25 394:17,18 401:2 236:8 244:19 279:8 charter's 277:10 289:22 313:18 396:25 390:12 396:27,17 399:12,21 101:16 102:4 cheap 154:8 cheap 227:2 check 28:9 78:10 79:24,7 188:24 208:14 241:6 253:9 253:11 276:8 289:21 391:18 392:4 288:11 392:20,24 394:19 283:11 276:8 283:11 288:17 checks 28:8 79:21 166:7,15 172:12 165:20 chemical 188:2,4 chesic 311:12,15 chef 163:22 165:2,16 166:7,15 172:12 177:11,22 179:15 180:15 181:9 182:1 182:17 chime 289:5 180:28 392:20 choose 145:2 236:8 244:19 279:8 240:23 390:12 240:33 390:24 240:33 390:24 240:33 390:24 240:33 390:34 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 239:15 394:2,23 239:23 390:24 239:24 409:15,25 239:15 394:2,23 239:39:74 408:13 257:25 236,8 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 23:6,8 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 23:6,8 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 23:6,8 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 399:16 clarify 5:23 22:18,22 228:3 23:24 228:3 23:14 221:18,22 24:19 228:3 23:14 238:13 18:11 257:25 23:6 240:17 240:41 25:22 248:12 23:18 240:17 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 249:22 248:10 248:10 249:22 248:10 249:22 248:10 249:22 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10 248:10	140:25 164:3	chief 1:13,14,18,25	circuit 1:14	clarification 22:3
312:16 352:17,25 162:5 163:19,24 348:16 362:25 253:6 284:8 312:19 353:6,10 393:2,5 394:17,18 401:2 236:8 244:19 279:8 citation 81:11 clarifications 23:4 charter's 277:10 289:22 313:18 257:25 23:6.8 244:19 279:8 citation 81:11 23:6.8 23:4 99:12,21 101:16 396:17,17 391:5 393:10 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 22:18,22 68:171:3 395:21 403:23 409:15,25 399:16 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 22:18,22 68:171:3 355:14 358:9 399:16 228:3 23:12 37:21 355:14 358:9 399:16 228:3 23:22 40:17 248:13 24:12 248:33:13:11,20 341:1 248:16 362:25 248:33:23 22:13 28:24 240:17 248:16 362:25 249:11 248:33:23 22:13:18:24 </td <td>270:18 278:25</td> <td>2:19 92:3,5,11 95:3</td> <td>circumstances</td> <td>22:14,25 71:23</td>	270:18 278:25	2:19 92:3,5,11 95:3	circumstances	22:14,25 71:23
353:6,10 393:2,5 172:4 235:5,5 395:4 354:4 354:4 charter's 277:10 289:22 313:18 257:25 23:6,8 23:6,8 charters 71:22 72:2 360:25 390:12 cite 101:5 356:11 clarifications 23:4 99:12,21 101:16 396:17,17 396:17,17 391:5 393:10 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 cheap 154:8 297:24 353:18 cited 353:23 390:24 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 cheaper 227:2 356:8 390:12,23 393:15 394:3,23 22:8:3 232:24 check 28:9 78:10 32:15,13 28:25 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 399:16 24 399:16 28:3 39:10 253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 clarifying 310:12 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 checks 28:8 79:21 166:10 183:10,12 citzens 381:2 classes 43:13 cherical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classes 43:13 cherical 188:2,4 266:20 238:2 324:12 326:2 2123:11 124:19	280:2 307:12,14,15	156:24 157:7,8	212:23 337:19	138:13 180:14
394:17,18 401:2 236:8 244:19 279:8 citation 81:11 clarifications 23:4 charter's 277:10 289:22 313:18 257:25 23:6,8 charters 71:22 72:2 360:25 390:12 cite 101:5 356:11 32:6,8 99:12,21 101:16 396:17,17 391:5 393:10 clarifies 312:18 cheap 154:8 chief's 296:14 403:23 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 cheap 27:2 356:8 390:12,23 cited 353:23 390:24 322:8,32 32:24 cheek 28:9 78:10 chief's 235:16 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 409:15,25 399:16 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 clarifying 310:12 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 404:11 48:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 checks 28:8 79:21 105:10 1207:23 city 1:13 205:1,2,10 226:24 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 226:24 226:24 chif 163:22 165:2,16 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337	312:16 352:17,25	162:5 163:19,24	348:16 362:25	253:6 284:8 312:19
charter's 277:10 289:22 313:18 257:25 23:6,8 charters 71:22 72:2 360:25 390:12 cite 101:5 356:11 39:15 393:10 clarifies 312:18 99:12,21 101:16 396:17,17 391:5 393:10 clarifies 312:18 102:4 chief's 296:14 403:23 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 cheap 154:8 297:24 353:18 cited 353:23 390:24 112:5 164:19 cheaper 227:2 356:8 390:12,23 393:15 394:3,23 2228:3 232:24 check 28:9 78:10 chiefs 235:16 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 399:15 cities 234:12 clarifying 310:12 253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 148:16 166:1 248:16 166:1 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 404:15 408:7 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:11 citzens 381:2 classification cheeks 28:8 79:21 208:1 216:12 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 246:24 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:21 237:2,19	353:6,10 393:2,5	172:4 235:5,5	395:4	354:4
charters 71:22 72:2 360:25 390:12 cite 101:5 356:11 clarifies 312:18 99:12,21 101:16 396:17,17 403:23 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 cheap 154:8 297:24 353:18 cited 353:23 390:24 111:25 164:19 check 28:9 78:10 356:8 390:12,23 396:8 398:7 408:13 328:32:24 79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 399:15, 398:30 399:15, 398:30 285:11 392:20,24 394:19 329:1 391:18 392:4 331:11,20 341:1 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 285:11 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 clarify 5:23 22:5,13 399:16 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 class 38:22 40:17 checks 28:8 79:21 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classes 43:13 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classes 43:13 chess 311:12,15 233:13 23:21 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 137:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 177:11,22 179	394:17,18 401:2	236:8 244:19 279:8	citation 81:11	clarifications 23:4
99:12,21 101:16 102:4 chief's 296:14 297:24 353:18 cheap 154:8 cheaper 227:2 check 28:9 78:10 79:2,4,7 188:24 208:14 241:6 253:9 253:11 276:8 295:21 403:24 285:11 285:11 285:11 285:17 checks 28:8 79:21 165:20 chemical 188:2,4 chemical 188:2,4 chemical 188:2,4 chemical 188:2,4 chemical 163:22 165:2,16 166:7,15 172:12 177:11,22 179:15 180:5 181:9 182:1 180:5 181:9 182:1 chief's 296:14 403:23 291: 391:5 393:10 403:23 390:24 393:15 394:3,23 390:24 393:15 394:3,23 399:16 228:3 232:24 399:16 248:8 399:16 248:8 399:16 248:8 399:16 248:8 399:16 248:8 399:16 248:8 234:12 331:11,20 341:1 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 404:15 408:7 2404:11 2404:13 205:1,2,10 246:24 2408:1 216:12 2409:1 208:2 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2408:1 216:12 2	charter's 277:10	289:22 313:18	257:25	23:6,8
102:4 chief's 296:14 403:23 22:18,22 68:1 71:3 cheap 154:8 297:24 353:18 cited 353:23 390:24 111:25 164:19 cheaper 227:2 356:8 390:12,23 393:15 394:3,23 228:3 232:24 check 28:9 78:10 chiefs 235:16 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 399:16 79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 409:15,25 399:16 253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 class 38:22 40:17 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 148:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:11 citzens 381:2 classification 182:17 404:11 child 183:10,12 city 1:13 205:1,2,10 226:24 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classification 235:21 237:2,19 235:21 237:2,19 2518 65:21 116:23 166:7,15 172:12 126:39,25 137:22 233:15 343:5 344:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:39,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 126:10,17 182:23 17:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25	charters 71:22 72:2	360:25 390:12	cite 101:5 356:11	clarifies 312:18
cheap 154:8 297:24 353:18 cited 353:23 390:24 111:25 164:19 cheaper 227:2 356:8 390:12,23 393:15 394:3,23 228:3 232:24 check 28:9 78:10 chiefs 235:16 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 409:15,25 399:16 208:14 241:6 253:9 329:1 391:18 392:4 409:15,25 399:16 253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 class 38:22 40:17 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 148:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 thecks 28:8 79:21 child 183:10,12 citzens 381:2 classes 43:13 cheeks 28:8 79:21 190:11 207:23 city 1:13 205:1,2,10 226:24 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 237:21 37:21 59:18 65:21 116:23 <th< td=""><td>99:12,21 101:16</td><td>396:17,17</td><td>391:5 393:10</td><td>clarify 5:23 22:5,13</td></th<>	99:12,21 101:16	396:17,17	391:5 393:10	clarify 5:23 22:5,13
cheaper 227:2 356:8 390:12,23 393:15 394:3,23 228:3 232:24 check 28:9 78:10 chiefs 235:16 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 409:15,25 399:16 208:14 241:6 253:9 329:1 391:18 392:4 409:15,25 399:16 253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 class 38:22 40:17 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 148:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 thecks 28:87 79:21 child 183:10,12 citrus 1:22 classes 43:13 cheeks 28:8 79:21 190:11 207:23 city 1:13 205:1,2,10 226:24 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classification chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,1,1,9,25 cla	102:4	chief's 296:14	403:23	22:18,22 68:1 71:3
check 28:9 78:10 chiefs 235:16 396:8 398:7 408:13 355:14 358:9 79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 409:15,25 399:16 208:14 241:6 253:9 329:1 391:18 392:4 cities 234:12 clarifying 310:12 253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 class 38:22 40:17 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 148:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 thecks 28:8 79:21 child 183:10,12 citrus 1:22 classes 43:13 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classification 226:24 208:1 216:12 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 166:7,15 172:12 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 177:11,22 179:15 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 182:12 183:21 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 393:7,14 412:2	cheap 154:8	297:24 353:18	cited 353:23 390:24	111:25 164:19
79:2,4,7 188:24 326:15,15 328:25 409:15,25 399:16 208:14 241:6 253:9 329:1 391:18 392:4 cities 234:12 clarifying 310:12 253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 class 38:22 40:17 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 148:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 thecks 28:8 79:21 child 183:10,12 citrus 1:22 classes 43:13 cheeks 28:8 79:21 190:11 207:23 citrus 1:22 classification 26:20 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 choice 133:21 35:21 36:3 371:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 c	cheaper 227:2	356:8 390:12,23	393:15 394:3,23	228:3 232:24
208:14 241:6 253:9 329:1 391:18 392:4 cities 234:12 clarifying 310:12 253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 class 38:22 40:17 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 148:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 theeks 28:8 79:21 child 183:10,12 citzens 381:2 classes 43:13 chemical 188:2,4 child 183:10,12 233:19 234:22 classrioun chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 266:20 292:18 93:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 292:8,12,14	check 28:9 78:10	chiefs 235:16	396:8 398:7 408:13	355:14 358:9
253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 class 38:22 40:17 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 148:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 182:17 404:11 citizens 381:2 classes 43:13 checks 28:8 79:21 child 183:10,12 citrus 1:22 classification 165:20 190:11 207:23 city 1:13 205:1,2,10 226:24 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 29:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:	79:2,4,7 188:24	326:15,15 328:25	409:15,25	399:16
253:11 276:8 392:20,24 394:19 331:11,20 341:1 class 38:22 40:17 285:11 394:25 395:12,19 353:18 378:10 148:16 166:1 checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 thecking 79:8 404:11 citzens 381:2 classes 43:13 checks 28:8 79:21 child 183:10,12 citrus 1:22 classes 43:13 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 17:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 26:20 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22	208:14 241:6 253:9	329:1 391:18 392:4	cities 234:12	clarifying 310:12
checking 79:8 395:21 403:24 404:15 408:7 303:23 315:11,12 thecks 28:8 79:21 child 183:10,12 citrus 1:22 classification themical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 108:22 372:21 373:12 292:18 93:22 115:4 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 choose 282:9 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22 </td <td>253:11 276:8</td> <td>392:20,24 394:19</td> <td>331:11,20 341:1</td> <td>class 38:22 40:17</td>	253:11 276:8	392:20,24 394:19	331:11,20 341:1	class 38:22 40:17
182:17 404:11 citizens 381:2 classes 43:13 checks 28:8 79:21 190:11 207:23 citrus 1:22 classes 43:13 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 174:24 176:9 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 292:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4	285:11	394:25 395:12,19	353:18 378:10	148:16 166:1
182:17 404:11 citizens 381:2 classes 43:13 checks 28:8 79:21 190:11 207:23 citrus 1:22 classification 165:20 190:11 207:23 city 1:13 205:1,2,10 226:24 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 17:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 292:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4	checking 79:8	395:21 403:24	404:15 408:7	303:23 315:11,12
165:20 190:11 207:23 city 1:13 205:1,2,10 226:24 chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 174:24 176:9 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2	182:17	404:11	citizens 381:2	classes 43:13
chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 174:24 176:9 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22	checks 28:8 79:21	child 183:10,12	citrus 1:22	classification
chemical 188:2,4 208:1 216:12 233:19 234:22 classroom 36:17 chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 174:24 176:9 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22	165:20	190:11 207:23	city 1:13 205:1,2,10	226:24
chess 311:12,15 children 54:18 235:21 237:2,19 59:18 65:21 116:23 chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 174:24 176:9 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22	chemical 188:2,4	208:1 216:12	I =	1
chf 163:22 165:2,16 104:4 125:21,23 238:2 324:12 326:2 123:11 124:19 166:7,15 172:12 126:3,9,25 137:22 337:15 343:5 344:2 146:10,17 182:23 174:24 176:9 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22		children 54:18	235:21 237:2,19	59:18 65:21 116:23
174:24 176:9 187:4 201:13 344:5,7 345:12 206:17 248:10 177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 266:20 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22		104:4 125:21,23	238:2 324:12 326:2	123:11 124:19
177:11,22 179:15 202:23 318:8,19 349:1,11,19,25 classrooms 36:7 180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22	166:7,15 172:12	126:3,9,25 137:22	337:15 343:5 344:2	146:10,17 182:23
180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22	174:24 176:9	187:4 201:13	344:5,7 345:12	206:17 248:10
180:5 181:9 182:1 chime 289:5 354:14,17,21 356:7 60:15 64:23 147:8 182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22	177:11,22 179:15	202:23 318:8,19	349:1,11,19,25	classrooms 36:7
182:12 183:21 choice 133:21 356:21 361:3 371:7 147:10 152:6 184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22			354:14,17,21 356:7	60:15 64:23 147:8
184:19 205:8 choices 108:22 372:21 373:12 clear 63:2 81:4 223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22			, ,	
223:16 224:9 choose 145:2 393:7,14 412:2 92:18 93:22 115:4 226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22				
226:18,22 227:4 266:20 civil 81:11 257:24 118:2 143:18 144:4 228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22				
228:23 229:2 chooses 282:9 cjstc 292:8,12,14 144:4 156:22			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· ·			
			. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

[clear - comm] Page 437

262:13 278:23	coaches 111:14	29:22,23 30:3	239:3 247:13,14
280:9 307:6,7,9	208:8	43:23 46:6,13	253:25 255:23
309:20 313:10	coast 31:19,21 33:3	47:12 61:2 62:20	256:11 310:3
316:3 332:3 376:14	37:19 44:25 191:1	62:21 64:10 69:22	322:20 328:12,15
395:17 397:11	cobbled 195:6	74:19 76:17 81:8	comm 3:3 23:18,22
401:25	coconut 330:16	81:25 82:16 88:5	24:15,19 25:4,14
cleared 43:24	335:18 387:6	89:2 92:20 94:12	25:19 26:3,14,18
74:17	411:25	95:5 99:6 100:21	28:3 30:10 31:16
clearly 116:17	code 45:14 52:20	102:4 110:20 129:6	48:24 49:13,24
137:11,21 282:16	59:6,8,9,11,15,25	134:23 135:18,23	50:20,23 51:18
296:5 297:7 309:22	60:5,9,11 116:19	136:1,8,9 143:13	52:9,13 53:9,21
377:1	117:20,23 118:8	164:12 175:3	54:2 57:14 58:12
click 49:21 374:18	128:18 152:2,8	178:12 194:6	59:5 60:9,18 61:5
climate 49:1 54:4	156:19 180:12	199:15 200:8 210:8	68:25 70:6 81:10
54:17 55:17 181:1	246:13 255:1,5	218:2 223:3,19	86:23 91:2 93:11
181:3 222:14,18	266:17	229:17 238:15	94:3,23 95:10
384:14	codes 216:10,15	240:17 258:22	102:9,19 104:1
clip 45:2,3	cohesion 390:25	259:1,7,20 281:9	114:10 115:16,22
close 9:2 11:19,23	collaborate 196:17	314:12 323:11,19	117:9,11,14 118:14
58:25 80:7,8	collaboration 6:11	324:5 344:8 385:24	118:19,23 120:7
389:24 411:10	196:15 390:16	388:15 390:24	121:10,22 124:23
closed 3:13 15:21	collateral 112:20	401:18 412:19	125:5 127:23
39:18 87:25 123:1	170:10	417:3	129:15,17 133:22
123:5 155:4,9	collected 96:10	comes 109:16	135:25 136:6
241:23	collective 378:2	142:18 175:22	138:12 139:15,18
closely 201:7	397:4	183:10 201:6 209:3	140:4 142:6 145:17
closer 284:7	college 126:2,8	236:25 241:10	147:18 148:11,24
closest 352:19,20	colleges 125:25	253:24 324:17	153:16 156:6
395:22	colloquialism	325:5 347:13	160:11 242:24
closing 47:9 239:2	167:10	373:24 389:24	244:15,23 246:12
closure 10:4 257:18	colonel 360:12,13	400:3	246:24 247:1 248:4
clothes 246:1	360:13	comfort 250:10	249:10,18,21,25
club 1:4 57:2	colorado 93:12	comfortable 35:19	250:13,22 260:19
311:12,15	column 340:24	35:24 336:17	261:21 262:2,5,15
clue 80:25 246:3	columns 381:14	comforting 36:1,21	262:19,22 265:2
clueless 15:6 16:14	combination 82:13	coming 45:15 66:14	267:8 293:5,14,18
cmps 31:20 51:14	111:22 112:8,9	66:17,18,20 67:24	294:13 295:9 299:6
55:23	193:19	68:11 69:13 90:20	300:11 301:4,7,21
coach 85:19 87:16	combined 296:14	94:17 100:15	302:5,8 309:16
112:3,17 170:11	come 7:1 12:7	142:12 173:22	310:22 311:22
	20:25 21:13 27:22	198:5 228:6 236:3	312:1,9,12 314:23

317:5,15 318:5	138:14,24 158:14	277:15,17 289:5	commonplaces
327:3,11,15,20	159:6 169:4 182:5	293:4 299:4 301:19	408:3,9
328:8,19 329:12	192:19 220:14	302:7 306:24	comms 237:6
338:20,24 345:11	232:18 277:25	309:13 316:16,21	communicate
345:18 349:3,7	291:18 292:4,6,13	317:2 403:8,16	50:10 151:2,12,16
351:14 363:22	295:12 298:12	414:15 419:9	151:18,20 152:18
365:4 366:2 367:8	299:8 300:18 311:5	commissioner's	153:11,15,24 215:2
367:20 369:14	316:19,24 317:4,6	160:12 222:11	246:13,15 322:3
372:23 382:24	317:12,12,22 319:6	247:21	323:2,5,17,24
386:22 387:20	319:11 320:20	commissioners	336:13 342:19
403:18 405:24	337:11 339:14	48:3,22 140:17	366:13,15 399:22
407:8 410:3,10,17	341:4 344:7 345:9	353:17 372:16	408:22 410:15
411:1 414:10,16	353:21,25 359:21	394:22 416:3 417:9	communicated
417:1,8,11 418:24	360:2 366:7 371:7	commissions 417:4	152:5 299:14
420:1,11,13	373:11 392:10,22	commit 267:25	379:21
command 200:25	393:2,5 394:17,19	412:24	communication
234:2,13 235:4	395:1,23 401:2	commitment 5:19	6:20 42:7 150:24
236:22 359:17	416:21 418:11	201:14	152:17 217:2 234:7
commander 349:21	420:17 422:18	committed 169:8	238:6 322:7 324:18
349:23	commission's 5:8,9	268:7,7 331:23	330:11 340:6,11
commend 58:7	7:2 9:4 12:8,9	412:13	351:5,13,16 355:9
62:4	17:10 28:13 138:2	committee 109:24	362:25 375:14
comment 116:13	269:19 301:12	134:23 139:11	398:9 399:9 400:1
148:14 149:8,8	393:24	144:23 242:2,3	411:14
171:4 285:5 297:9	commissioned	269:16 299:15,17	communications
308:25 313:19	382:21,22	302:13 318:20,24	83:25 154:23
420:14	commissioner 1:15	319:6 353:12,13	237:22 321:5 325:7
comments 23:15	1:21 2:17,20 4:11	355:16 356:2,3	330:14,18,20 332:5
48:23 64:12 68:23	4:13 22:4 58:11	391:4 393:1 394:4	333:5 334:7,9,11
117:1 146:12	61:7 64:11 80:12	committees 5:4	334:25 336:6,7,9
160:12,15 216:5	81:23,24 87:19	197:17 302:19	336:10,18 339:2,22
317:25 384:9	96:2 121:20,24	337:25 406:12	340:3 347:21,22
commission 1:1,12	123:23 130:7 138:1	417:4	349:20 351:24
1:25 2:6 3:8,24 4:7	138:11 139:16	common 50:9	353:12,15 357:7,9
4:9 5:20 12:13 31:6	142:25 153:25	98:22 152:7 255:17	362:7 366:9 379:25
45:4 72:11 76:13	158:1 233:20	379:19 390:16	381:22 392:6,9,14
96:19 106:15,17	239:22 242:21,22	commonality	392:16 395:20
115:19 116:4 118:3	260:16 264:13,25	365:10	398:17 407:6
121:10 125:13	272:9 273:5,8,17	commonplace	412:25 413:11
127:3,15 129:7,23	274:20,24 275:3,4	407:15 408:4	communicators
135:15 136:9 137:2	275:11,12 277:8,12		399:18
	·		

Veritext Legal Solutions

communities 57:1	103:20 110:20	concealed 103:16	255:1,5 276:7
61:20 378:7	129:25 130:3 131:2	103:18 303:25	343:17
community 33:4,24	132:3,8,11,12,13	concept 65:9,14	conducted 19:9
34:1,1,22 35:3 37:4	134:8 136:11	66:24,25 103:21	37:15 313:3 376:8
39:12 40:3 45:10	141:14 142:21	149:2 150:9 170:7	conducting 13:2
61:20,24 62:7	160:3 171:20	217:4 242:10	148:15
225:1,3 226:4	179:17 247:20	243:18	conducts 158:20
264:19 308:6	250:25 251:5,16	concepts 125:3,3	conduit 318:9
327:22 332:5	252:4 271:4 273:6	concern 37:18	conference 6:5
360:14 392:13	273:10 275:10	46:11 239:7 286:11	45:23 96:12 172:16
420:7	276:4,5,8 277:6,7	290:16 314:1 350:5	253:8
companies 105:7	277:15,16 279:19	356:18 377:20	confidence 28:10
366:9	280:6 281:15 316:6	378:6 394:11 409:6	54:7
companion 319:2	316:12,20 325:19	409:7,8,20	confident 6:20
320:7	329:17,19	concerned 23:11	113:15 326:24
company 369:23	compliant 114:19	70:7 91:20 106:22	362:23 368:17
company 369:23 compare 384:2,25	complicated 86:11	191:22 202:3 220:9	confidential 3:16
compared 59:11	86:12 101:13	252:9 286:5 292:19	3:17 211:21
183:13	103:23 417:2	396:22 409:18	confirm 24:20
competency 377:23	complied 133:3	concerning 23:18	confirmation 4:14
competent 320:17	134:13 137:13,15	35:5 51:5 70:25	conflict 46:24
competing 89:22	145:14	91:2 292:15	338:5 394:1
competition 55:22	compliment 43:11	concerns 10:16	conflicts 42:5
compile 396:9	comply 100:20	35:9 65:7 163:7	379:22
complain 109:1	107:23 132:14,20	331:13,16 344:19	confuse 180:12
complaints 380:13	133:4 137:9 143:10	376:17 377:13,23	confused 228:12
complete 28:10,18	274:20 316:10,25	391:7 412:6	confusing 305:1,23
79:2 207:15 211:8	complying 136:22	conclusion 75:17	305:24
282:24 283:13	143:4 187:21	412:8	confusion 98:3
419:4	component 40:5	conclusions 339:11	228:4 316:5
completed 13:4	267:12 391:21	concur 283:23	congratulations
19:1 253:24 281:5	components 39:4	309:8	62:16
329:14 343:23	65:4 275:1 390:18	concurrence	conjunction 258:14
completely 26:23	395:18	130:16	connect 55:16
178:18 314:24	composition 263:5	concurrently	76:10
366:24	comprehensive	343:25	connected 201:7
completes 79:20	59:20	conditions 380:7	299:21
completing 203:25	comprised 361:1	conducive 46:9	connecting 174:6
compliance 70:25	392:11	conduct 12:22	connection 195:9
71:19 72:1 96:1,5	computer 341:6,9	83:12 143:20	connections 39:11
99:6 100:24 102:5	405:16	147:23 148:7 149:4	

[conquer - coral] Page 440

conquer 210:7	consortium 195:17	continue 5:1 14:5	control 214:2
conquer 210.7	195:19,20	38:7 57:11 86:17	237:25 271:18,20
conscious 93:4	constant 215:17	87:20 104:11 108:5	324:1 325:20
215:23	240:19	171:21 192:10	328:24 345:1
consensus 281:7	constantly 210:13	199:2 200:8,11	357:20 377:4 391:3
300:1,3 325:17	250:17	239:19 267:25	403:7
355:17 377:9	constitute 98:20	268:15 269:4	controversial
consequence 368:7	construction	274:11 325:2	101:14
consequences	123:25 134:2 242:3	345:17 370:16	convene 274:1
115:11 138:5 176:4	343:20	378:5 390:21 391:5	324:3
176:5	consultant 17:6,8	408:18,22 412:11	convening 118:1
consider 9:2 264:4	17:14,20,22 18:13	418:2	conversation 24:22
298:3,7 301:13,16	18:19,21 40:4	continued 38:6	156:9 184:2 219:24
384:16	45:13 63:9	347:18 391:18	224:22 244:18
consideration	consultant's 19:12	395:9 397:9 402:7	255:23
255:6 327:16	21:1,18,22	continues 57:3	conversations 3:7
considered 147:9	contact 92:19	89:11 268:6 287:8	3:10,10 175:5
295:12 296:10,13	190:14 195:13	381:16	225:23 365:13
355:21	236:24 314:10	continuing 86:20	conversely 357:21
considering 301:14	398:4	87:16 200:2 346:5	convoluted 304:21
330:16 334:22	contacted 88:18	409:1	cool 42:8
consistency 19:22	contacting 398:14	continuity 119:11	cooperation 5:19
consistent 17:9	399:18	continuous 110:15	164:9 390:15
214:5 276:23	contain 27:25	contract 19:17	coordinate 306:5
293:19 303:22	352:25 405:17	74:10 91:15,18	coordinated 41:19
373:4 379:1	contains 27:24	97:24 228:24	coordination 95:22
consistently 239:4	277:20	234:16 250:2	coordinator 38:14
379:3,6 390:19	contemplated	270:11,15,23 271:1	42:20
console 410:20,25	68:16 310:21	279:5,20 280:3	cop 78:22 106:12
consoles 323:17	contemplating	282:19 312:22	109:11 187:1 307:4
348:9 351:11	411:21	315:10 339:17,18	307:10 311:15
consolidate 339:21	content 24:14	341:1 356:24,25	366:21
404:10	63:24	396:20 399:12,19	copies 331:5
consolidated 337:7	contention 376:21	412:2	cops 109:9 187:8
340:4 353:11	contesting 393:12	contracted 374:9	307:21
357:15	context 71:4 76:20	contracting 105:6	copy 2:11 21:18,22
consolidation	313:13 397:16	contracts 173:5	63:11 194:7,8
321:10 323:14	continually 109:15	228:21	344:9 402:3
336:23 390:4	continuation	contrary 119:24	coral 79:11,12,19
391:22	257:18	303:16 314:24	79:19 156:19 157:8
		400:7	160:18,20,22 322:7
1			

[coral - couple] Page 441

322:7,9 323:4	correctly 65:12	30:6,9 31:7 32:15	346:9 352:17 353:6
327:4 330:13 331:1	231:7,12 265:1	33:6 44:5 61:21	353:9,11,17,21
341:15 342:2,13	correspondence	62:4 72:14 78:11	354:16 355:6,24
347:22 348:10,15	26:16 251:5	78:18 79:3,8,12,21	356:8,22,23,25
348:20,25 349:8,10	cost 100:9,12	88:7,16 91:8,12,16	357:2,6,13,20
349:10,18,19 350:1	106:14 109:10	91:17,22 92:9	358:2 359:6,9,20
350:4,6 356:15,17	122:5,8 139:7	93:15,17,19 94:2	359:21,21,22 371:5
356:21 383:15	227:8,13 276:20	100:4 101:13,16,17	371:12,17 372:19
407:22 408:7,17	315:6 343:22	106:25 107:3	374:20 376:11,22
409:2,10 410:6,10	344:17 403:23	125:25 126:14	377:4,15 378:25
410:13,20 411:2,8	409:4	132:19 156:16	380:5 382:22,23
411:22 412:3 420:5	costly 198:12	157:6,24,24 161:18	383:24 386:4 387:3
corcoran 4:11,13	costs 121:24 166:11	161:19,21,23,24	388:2,2 389:1
87:19 96:2	council 38:4 42:18	162:4,9,14 163:9	390:11 391:25
core 32:17 150:17	48:16 57:9 61:17	163:25 164:10	392:3,22 393:7,20
cornell 82:20 83:10	344:7 353:3,19	167:12,23 168:2	394:13,22,23 395:1
83:15	392:6 420:18	170:13 171:6,8,11	395:10,23 396:5
cornell's 83:6	councils 223:20	170:13 171:0,8,11	397:1 398:13 399:7
corner 7:21 64:21	417:5	192:7 194:19	399:10 403:11,21
64:22 65:9,14,18	counsel 1:13 3:1	196:24 198:13,16	404:6,9,13 405:23
65:23 66:19 67:1,2	230:19 393:25	200:14 201:19	407:9,16 408:6
67:15,16 68:5,8	counselor 112:3,17	205:3 206:17	410:19 412:13,18
69:9 144:8 146:10	170:11 186:20	215:21 218:22	416:1,22 417:8,9
146:15,19 147:2,9	counselors 111:14	220:25 223:17	420:14 422:4
175:7	208:9	227:5,14 233:17	county's 334:7
corners 60:11,14	counter 393:22	236:9,10 237:15,17	358:6 359:14
60:17 64:12,14	counterattack	237:19 238:14,15	376:25 377:16
254:12	146:18	238:16 239:1,4	378:10,16 409:7
corporation 75:22	counties 62:9 82:4	261:11 262:6 265:9	countywide 237:7
correct 24:18 36:25	88:3,4,13 90:23	271:3 279:13,18	237:10,13 356:19
84:19,20 100:6	233:6 293:8,11	293:7,12 294:1,2,5	couple 5:16 6:1 9:1
112:22 153:17	301:25 316:8	295:4,5 298:23	9:10,18 20:23
165:2,15,16 170:5	countries 46:1	312:9 322:6,9,14	23:14 48:8,14
170:15 202:3 228:2	country 106:2,3	322:15,16 323:6,7	62:18,19 99:13
228:17 265:2	county 1:16,21,22	324:3 325:13,20	117:15 197:17
277:20 370:7	1:22,24 5:17,22	326:2 329:3,3,4	238:19 242:23
404:16 422:9	7:10,16,18 8:6	330:10 331:15,15	243:1 250:12
corrected 97:21	12:18 13:6 14:15	331:23 332:15	255:15 257:6 281:3
correctional	14:23 16:8,24 17:2	335:11 339:1,16	302:12 314:6
292:16	17:4 20:24 22:9	340:14,17 342:4	326:14 332:11
	28:5 29:5,10,19,24	344:5,7,23 345:23	333:22,25 335:7,22

[couple - damien] Page 442

337:8 360:17 364:9	craft 321:17	292:3,5 295:11	157:12,13 170:19
370:4 402:13	crash 352:4,6	cringe 300:17	222:14 228:10,11
course 4:11 11:20	crawford 161:18	cringed 300:14	269:14 270:8
16:2,10 24:10,13	162:15 167:24,25	crisis 82:23 113:11	279:14,15 281:18
90:24 107:13 195:2	168:1 170:5,15,23	178:2	285:23 300:5 332:6
197:18 204:14	171:1 194:22,25	criteria 15:18	342:7 343:4 347:20
211:14 215:9	196:9 200:16	135:23 136:10,14	360:15 381:11
257:12 258:12	224:25 227:16,20	213:23 263:11	392:10 396:20
259:7 267:10	228:1,13,17 229:19	279:24 291:25	410:1 415:19
296:11 382:1 411:6	230:1,3 239:6	324:5 328:23	currently 45:5 49:8
courses 40:13,15	240:1 241:9 246:5	critical 33:20 40:24	49:19 75:17 82:9
74:14	247:8 248:25 249:8	43:10 52:20 200:9	85:20 96:17 115:17
court 13:17,19	252:7	240:22 396:11	118:9 145:13
101:7	crazy 152:1	criticism 100:17	159:21 167:20
court's 257:3	create 27:8 33:16	criticisms 331:14	208:22 243:15
267:15	34:1 38:3 39:8	331:14	271:4 300:22 317:6
courthouse 341:3	42:11 45:6 47:17	cross 161:13	347:7,15 348:8
courts 174:9	61:1 131:5 224:7	162:10	349:9 351:13 352:8
238:24	266:24 267:1	cruz 9:24 10:12,14	352:8,14 356:20
courtyard 220:10	created 38:12 69:1	11:8,10 14:19 15:1	360:24 377:25
cover 60:10 73:7,12	143:3 296:8	15:24 22:10 26:11	391:14 403:21
77:2 97:25 99:15	creates 272:13	124:10 155:20	411:22,25
101:24 123:18	305:24 366:14	265:19	curriculum 38:17
130:5 173:8 185:4	creating 34:25 56:6	cruz's 15:16	157:19 279:24
245:17 256:16	261:17 292:18	csu 229:22 230:4	304:13
354:3 363:20	305:7 311:1	cultural 176:10	curtain 400:12
coverage 44:22	creation 392:5	177:8,9	curve 141:22
366:10	393:16	culture 46:19 49:1	178:10
covered 8:22 43:9		54:4,16 55:17	curvy 275:9
60:11 147:1 222:12	credentials 403:13	56:16,18 57:25	cut 286:10
253:4 402:18	credible 25:20	58:3 176:8 177:3	d
covering 123:13,19	239:10	177:10 180:1	d 125:22 315:12
134:1 176:22	credit 21:6 40:14	223:10 224:22	d&g 164:25 165:7
coverings 131:11	253:4	247:22 337:20	166:1 303:23
covers 317:7 416:9	creek 330:16	338:1	dade 16:9 290:7
covert 169:23,24	335:18 387:6 412:1	curious 213:19	329:3 422:4
cox 13:22	crew 39:9 191:8	382:25 386:22	daily 82:9 352:1
cpr 362:21	crimes 267:16	418:24	364:22 372:5 380:8
cpt 171:7	criminal 180:12	current 5:12 84:21	damien 70:2,18,21
cpted 242:7	238:11 258:7	84:25 85:9,11	71:10 72:5 76:19
	268:17 291:17	88:10 111:19	81:19 87:15 90:6
	Vonitort I ac		

[damien - delayed] Page 443

98:6 104:13 111:25	date 63:5,16,20	261:6	decisions 7:7,7
117:14 124:9	253:23 275:22	dead 67:23 108:9	77:17 150:1,10,11
139:23 141:6 142:7	280:10,16 281:8	362:16	200:19 218:8
143:13 146:5 159:8	316:2,3 329:13,15	deadline 77:6,8	387:18 391:8
159:19 160:13	dated 63:6	134:18 135:10	394:13 397:3 400:6
195:11 259:4 267:5	dates 74:11 82:1	169:16 346:2	400:7,9,14 405:20
274:6	370:1	deal 34:2 123:9	declaration 182:2
damien's 71:5	daughters 175:4	196:19 198:17	declare 246:21
125:9 127:20 191:8	david 1:18 4:16	292:15 343:25	314:8
249:11	161:18 162:15	357:19 361:22	dedicated 32:24
damn 370:20	167:24,24 168:1	376:21 402:24,25	99:25 110:18
dan 210:4,5 221:19	194:18 215:22	405:17	112:12,16,19
231:9	224:23 229:23	dealing 214:14	165:12 171:16
dangerous 254:21	day 9:13 15:19	254:5,7 255:18,25	250:4,6 379:4
255:11	36:11 43:8 46:12	378:19	deep 371:3
daniel 161:22	47:20 59:17 83:2	deals 258:6 379:19	deeper 418:3
167:4,5 185:2	105:5 120:18,25	dealt 214:15	deeply 396:22
204:23 206:3	126:13,19 133:12	286:15	defeat 394:24
daniel's 252:12	133:13 171:21	dear 32:3,12 47:15	defeated 245:20
darkness 130:5	177:11 204:15	debated 404:2	deficiencies 237:22
dashboard 76:3	206:10 207:13	deceit 268:1,6	277:11 316:6
data 34:16 35:1,10	209:4 215:14 216:6	december 10:6	419:13
37:16 71:10,12	220:16 226:2	63:7,16,20,22 64:2	deficiency 14:15
72:7 73:14,24	230:18 237:13	64:3 77:7 105:25	define 306:21
75:20,24 76:7,8,9	251:8 256:17 257:2	276:17 281:14	308:11,16 309:5
76:10,10,11,23	310:6,14 318:3	334:10 343:12	310:18
80:3 95:18 131:4	324:7 357:22,22	370:12 374:8 376:6	defined 361:3
156:24 179:10	362:10 363:14	decide 11:21 75:9	defines 309:22
180:8,8,23 193:16	365:12 371:18	399:2,3	defining 310:12,14
195:7 203:16 239:5	391:18,18	decided 118:3	definitely 44:15
249:5 259:22 260:3	days 8:24 9:1 82:4	145:8 162:21 261:8	176:9 215:21
280:22 306:5	83:5 131:23 137:17	286:20 287:3 325:4	295:18 377:8
312:15 334:20	157:18 192:4	decision 101:14,19	definition 229:14
413:20 416:5	217:14,16,18,22	150:4 163:9 190:2	definitions 180:21
database 20:7,18	229:22 230:5,5	190:4 217:23 232:6	degree 38:25 65:8
21:3 27:8 79:9	238:19 277:23	285:13 307:13	106:23 233:17
191:12 214:24	369:3	308:1 309:4,4,6	268:22 311:9
215:1 263:16 264:4	daytona 44:25	349:23 372:19	delay 122:4 350:21
276:15 277:2	dca 261:6	394:2 400:3 401:13	350:25
databases 78:4,14	dcf 1:18,18,20 4:7	404:1 416:14 417:6	delayed 79:17
	4:13,16 77:20 78:8		

delaying 414:20	339:17 340:24	designate 119:22	157:19 213:9,10
deletes 313:4	depend 142:23	314:9,17	262:7,10 278:20
deliberant 179:3	199:19 371:18	designated 162:10	355:17 402:4,6
delineated 288:17	dependent 195:12	314:19	developer 82:20
deliver 252:2	196:11 204:19	designates 314:7	developing 34:24
delivers 395:22	325:3	designating 303:14	37:24 95:6 118:15
delivery 82:24	depending 25:1	desire 7:14 300:19	140:1,2 260:21
delray 354:15,17	106:7 346:24	397:19	261:23 262:1,3
354:21,25	351:17 362:15	desired 304:1	277:1,2
delve 418:3	depends 101:24	despite 239:12	development 39:7
demonstrated	103:7 229:20	387:15 394:11	43:8 89:13 261:5
137:22	328:22 350:23	405:13	develops 292:6,6
demonstrating	deployment 228:23	destruction 243:13	device 150:24,25
325:19 396:25	depth 33:23	detailed 27:23	152:17 154:6,23
denied 70:11	deputies 5:22 13:7	342:25	dewey 82:20 83:10
denies 11:4	190:25 265:9	details 5:11 27:5	dhs 221:17
denise 15:7	271:21 322:12	detect 44:7	dial 346:20
deny 70:8,13	341:11 342:20,21	detective 345:11	dialogue 6:6 74:18
deo 272:9 273:4	346:10 349:12,17	410:3 420:3	347:18 374:20
274:7	350:22 362:3,6,9	detectors 245:9,13	395:9 405:3 408:22
department 1:15	363:2,7 366:19	determination	409:1
4:10 38:22 70:4,20	367:4 368:2,25	46:15 105:8 193:5	dictated 185:10
76:9 78:11,12	372:2 405:18,22	253:22 257:16	400:20
96:21,22 97:25	420:24	306:25 311:19	dictating 185:20
98:16,19 160:19,21	deputy 98:16 99:25	313:7	died 64:19 124:24
162:7 164:22 197:8	158:3 169:9 171:16	determinations	difference 8:19
227:20 228:1,20	197:6 227:6 235:5	12:13	41:7 59:10 64:17
233:19 247:11	305:4 333:3 346:13	determine 232:5	108:1 126:7 143:16
250:9 258:14,15,16	352:2 362:21	396:12	145:11 154:22
268:17 273:8 291:3	368:19 405:14	determined 15:17	159:15 169:25
305:14,16 306:2	desantis 5:13 13:16	determines 230:14	201:17 206:24
322:22 323:9,10	73:8 87:18 256:24	230:24 275:12	270:8 273:10
324:21,23 326:3	272:18	develop 32:18	283:24 284:2
331:2,3 342:14,14	describe 15:4 362:9	33:18 39:23 47:18	308:18 388:9
351:25 361:1	391:22	74:19 95:7 118:16	differences 162:1
409:11	described 248:2	141:24 157:15	341:23
department's	346:4 357:17	260:4 278:21 303:7	different 6:16
83:20	deserve 420:18	304:13	14:11 18:22 19:24
departments 205:4	design 33:19 96:23	developed 29:13	19:24 23:1 28:25
221:11 234:6	223:24 241:17	35:4 37:19 50:25	49:25 50:2,6 53:7
336:25 337:1	354:8,9	102:11 113:7 142:2	57:10 60:4 69:17
	1	1	1

69:18 72:12 78:19	242:17 401:9	252:7,14	389:17,17
78:20 79:4,5,6,6	413:24 415:1,1	direct 277:16,24	disagreeing 383:6
81:7 108:15,18,23	difficulty 342:21	316:17,21 317:23	383:6
122:1 127:13 141:3	dig 418:7	322:10 373:12	disagreement
158:8,9 161:15,16	digital 261:23	374:6 379:13 398:4	101:17
183:24 184:15,16	diligence 11:10	directed 45:9 249:1	disagreements
188:24 189:12	dinner 175:5	343:17	348:2
191:16 193:20	dir 72:10 81:20	directing 258:13	disasters 33:1
202:20,25 203:1,2	84:20 85:19 87:16	direction 20:12	disciplinary 81:10
203:3,5,10 211:19	92:2 93:22 94:25	81:5 119:14 145:24	discipline 219:1
219:1 234:10	95:15 104:14,19,24	167:1 303:19	235:2 257:11
235:21 236:22	110:25 112:7,10,22	349:16 357:24	357:24
238:25 257:21,25	112:24 114:17	directions 35:14	disciplined 220:24
258:8 264:12 269:9	116:8 117:25	directive 117:4	disclaimer 125:22
271:9 273:15,18,23	118:18,22 120:13	257:1 264:14	disclosure 3:21
283:21 289:16	122:18 146:6	directly 96:4 99:8	discord 332:4,4
291:10 302:11,15	147:20 148:13	235:5 275:5 277:17	348:2
303:20 311:16	149:3 150:22	288:21,22 298:9,20	discretion 123:20
322:14 329:5,6	154:20 155:10	298:21 323:2,5	184:8 185:15
330:4 336:25	156:11 159:17,23	392:22 412:22,23	271:11 308:22
350:11 354:15	167:5,25 170:5,15	director 8:8 38:15	313:15
355:20 358:1	170:23 185:7	62:22 69:22 70:3	discretionary
364:24 365:3	187:25 188:11,17	70:19 72:8,9 91:8	198:7,14 199:5
366:24 375:10	188:20 189:3,8	107:15 128:2 158:2	291:11 304:6,11
384:10,14 385:1	190:8 191:15,23	158:3 159:4 161:1	discretions 309:23
388:1 389:23 408:5	192:1,4 193:5,21	167:13 169:18	discuss 3:12 39:19
410:7 411:6 415:14	194:2,7,12,17,22	180:6,7 182:6	96:5 321:4 349:2
differentiate	194:25 196:9	230:20 274:5	378:22
188:14	200:16 201:20	347:20,21 359:25	discussed 88:17
differentiates	202:1,8,11,18,22	360:3,15,16 391:14	174:24 185:12
358:24	203:5,9,15 204:4,8	392:13,21 393:17	232:16 253:17
differentiation	206:4 222:22	directors 210:25	255:13 308:11
367:16	224:25 227:16,20	directs 257:9	348:15
differently 108:17	228:1,13,17 229:19	374:17	discusses 114:17
108:19 202:23	230:1,3 231:6,11	disagree 148:25	discussing 3:13
207:20 219:2	232:8 235:14	252:24 302:13	235:17 254:11
235:20 246:8	237:14 239:6 240:1	381:14 382:3,4,6,6	discussion 7:16,24
292:24 308:8	240:23 241:9	382:9,12	7:25 9:4,6,13 11:5
differs 316:13	243:16 246:5 247:8	disagreed 334:14	78:3 81:13,16 90:6
difficult 174:2	247:15 248:25	334:14 337:2 381:6	114:1 120:11 129:5
196:25 241:22	249:8 250:1,21	381:6 382:15,15	131:17 134:5,10

			T
140:5 142:16	dispatches 237:24	131:10 138:19	82:7 84:6,10 86:1
145:18,23 148:16	349:10	139:3 140:25 141:4	89:7,21 92:15,16
149:18 163:21	dispatching 328:5	143:3,4,8,20,24	93:8 99:5,13 101:8
181:6 183:3 184:14	328:7 347:8,10,10	144:7 146:8,14	105:2 107:6,17,22
213:19 245:12,14	389:9 391:10	147:23 148:6 155:2	111:19,20,21 112:2
254:4 257:13	dissatisfaction	161:11 163:1,2,4	112:5 113:5,16
258:12,25 263:23	411:18	164:1 166:4,17	118:25 124:4
271:15,16 288:11	distance 158:25	167:16 168:5,7	125:11,16,20 127:4
307:8 310:11	distinct 365:25	170:20 171:8	127:14 129:24
313:11 315:19	distinction 253:19	172:21 174:5	130:24,25 131:1,21
326:13,14 361:15	distribute 39:6	177:23 180:21	137:5,12 138:19
370:10,16,21 405:3	42:12	181:5,10,18,20,24	140:7 141:9 143:22
discussions 8:4	distributed 37:16	183:12 185:11	146:11,21 147:11
19:22 75:10 80:21	42:23 74:1 84:18	188:7 192:7 195:18	153:12 161:8,13
91:22 287:22	94:17 116:10	196:18 198:9	162:12 163:17
291:22 330:24	distributing 34:25	199:19 203:23,25	168:12,13 171:19
394:9 397:12	district 1:23 17:23	204:16 205:7	188:22 195:12,17
dislike 110:21	18:8,11,12 19:5,11	206:22,25 209:1,19	196:10,15 197:15
dismayed 414:16	19:16,18 20:3,3	210:21 214:11	197:25 199:4,8,11
dismaying 415:14	21:13 26:23 27:12	215:4 218:10 220:9	201:4,6 203:2
dismissal 155:17	28:14 30:25 33:7	221:7 226:19	214:25 231:19,20
dispatch 231:25	34:7 37:13 38:14	228:19,22 229:3,11	231:21 233:3,9
232:10 237:8 322:2	38:14,17 39:17,22	230:16,20,25 231:2	243:5 255:16,24
322:2,11,21 323:4	40:3,4 41:2 42:19	245:1 246:21	257:11 259:8
323:9 324:25	45:11,12 47:19	248:25 250:5	263:15 280:16
325:24 326:4,6	49:5,7,9,11,20	263:18 264:5,6	281:3,22 284:10
327:10 328:16	50:11 52:14,19	270:14 271:6,19,25	290:11 304:22
341:7 363:10	53:2 54:25 56:14	274:19 276:6	305:15 306:12
380:14	60:13 61:14,22	277:10,19 278:14	312:5 316:10
dispatcher 324:24	63:8,14,16 71:14	279:22 282:9 285:6	distrust 332:14,19
325:7 328:13	71:25 73:21 83:9	286:21 287:5 289:8	disturbed 93:2
341:10 351:20	83:12 89:3 90:9	290:6 293:25	disturbing 160:15
375:20,21 377:23	93:6,9 95:21 96:17	305:10,12,13 306:2	diverse 162:3
382:9	97:7,16,20,22	311:19 313:20,23	241:13
dispatchers 333:3	98:18 105:15 111:1	315:4 341:2 346:14	diversion 95:20,21
334:3,14,24 338:6	111:1,8,13,24	363:9 367:6 368:4	257:12,19,22,24
350:14 351:18	112:14,25 113:1,10	district's 19:14	258:2,5
359:4 380:17,22	113:12,23 114:1,5	33:11 172:6 276:3	diversity 39:13
381:4 382:3,14	114:8,22,25 115:6	districts 8:9 19:24	diverting 268:8
383:5 389:16,19	116:10,22 119:6	41:3 53:9 57:7	division 160:2
398:14,17 407:25	121:15 129:9 131:5	70:24 71:24 73:3	164:7

[djj - drones] Page 447

djj 1:19 77:21 78:8	159:13 161:16,22	245:19	200:20 202:4
95:20,22 258:22	162:20 175:15	doors 116:23	216:19,20,25,25
261:6	176:18,21 178:18	131:12 182:17	drilled 68:18
doable 323:21	181:24 182:10,18	206:17 235:11	225:15
document 207:5	182:18,19 183:9	241:1	drilling 117:1
210:11,18 219:5	185:10,21 188:10	double 159:24	123:16 200:24
266:13 401:8,11,22	188:19,23 189:7	doubt 76:22	217:3
documentation	192:10 195:3	douglas 1:1,22 2:5	drills 59:8,9,11,23
19:2 387:2 418:22		10:11 12:3 14:19	60:10 74:21,22
	198:22 200:14,17		1
documented 22:9	200:18 202:2,14,20	14:24 16:13,22	143:25 144:1,18
341:21 348:19	203:1,6,25 204:7	17:3 18:5,9 21:9	145:6 148:3,7
documents 96:25	205:5 206:15	50:3 51:1,8,10,20	149:4,12,23 150:10
210:12 402:2	207:20 209:14	54:11,23 64:20	150:18 158:11,12
418:20	215:10,16 216:3,16	67:13 78:23 117:24	175:15 176:20
dodd 1:22	217:17,20 219:13	124:10 128:14,17	181:24,24 183:4,5
doe 54:13,13 77:6	222:23 225:13	146:24 152:1	183:14,25 184:5,16
77:21 78:9 95:19	226:21 232:23	155:15 267:20	184:17,20,22,23,25
96:1 142:21 143:2	234:23 235:21	324:10 382:1	185:23 186:2,6,7
205:14 249:15	237:4 244:9,16	394:21 410:4	188:3,12 189:5,6,7
259:13 261:6 267:5	245:21,25 251:24	downs 372:5	189:19,22 190:2,4
272:19 273:16,23	253:1 262:6 264:14	downside 124:17	200:13,14,18,18
274:15 275:3,4	285:3,4,6,9,9,10,11	dozen 187:5	201:3,22 202:2,20
278:18 281:14	285:11 293:23,25	dr 82:20,22 83:5,10	203:1,3,7 216:3,5,8
288:22 289:1	294:2 311:20 312:5	83:15,15 160:8	216:9,15,17,17,24
307:24 308:22	334:4,16 335:6	171:1 189:15 211:2	217:7,8,24 307:1,7
309:2,17 315:16,20	371:4 388:25 389:7	223:13 252:23	309:20 312:17
316:16 317:13	418:14 420:8	draft 96:10 325:17	313:2,5,8
350:12,16	dollar 268:9,10	401:7	drive 130:2 225:22
dog 250:4,6,6	dollars 84:24 199:3	drafted 96:9	driven 179:9
400:18 405:9	199:15 288:19	draw 122:7 307:22	213:11,12 235:12
dogs 249:22	289:17,19 306:15	311:11 357:12	267:10,13
doh 261:6	316:9 388:3	drawing 84:10	driver 49:2 165:21
doing 15:6 18:4	domestic 38:15	drawn 196:19	drives 251:8
30:5 52:2 57:9,17	261:14 264:17	dream 46:23	driving 57:25
57:17 61:9 72:18	265:25	drill 60:1 113:4	201:9 225:20,22
76:21 80:16 84:14	donation 42:19	128:18 143:20,24	346:17
87:3 92:25 108:19	door 36:9 39:18	147:24 148:9,17	drone 40:17 57:20
125:17 127:6,12	66:12,17,18 122:2	149:1,9 150:19,19	drones 40:18,19,20
141:15,17 142:9,11	123:1,3,4,11	158:10 182:8,9,10	40:25 41:7,15,19
143:9 144:18 145:7	124:20 146:17	184:12,13,23	41:24 42:7 57:21
149:16 150:18	224:14 237:20	186:14,14,15	

[drop - emergency] Page 448

drop 126:21	402:16 409:13,25	educators 73:5	382:15 389:17
drops 238:20,20,21	412:4 419:16	164:5 177:9	413:8
drove 204:2	early 62:20 85:13	effect 145:1 165:8	elaborate 26:4
drug 285:10 286:24	164:7 166:17 195:8	301:1 303:11	154:9 231:19
288:19	397:7	333:11	260:18 351:14
drum 177:11	ease 174:20	effective 45:20	elder 318:19
220:20 250:17	easier 121:12	91:25 144:11 196:2	elected 29:18
dual 38:18	203:21	227:13 250:20	301:25 302:1
due 11:10 51:20	easily 49:20 265:20	333:5 362:13,24	372:18 420:15
177:7 192:8 253:22	299:2 335:1,4	378:3	election 134:21
254:3 258:20	358:13 382:13	effectively 151:23	electronic 28:17
365:20 396:20	easy 24:20 37:8	effectiveness	369:9
duel 40:9,13,14	131:16 167:3	362:10	elementary 33:9
duplicate 14:1	168:10 244:6	efficiency 382:8,10	98:24 99:9 165:18
253:16	346:16 408:15	effort 14:18 139:9	166:18 183:24
duplication 273:3	415:4	143:10 296:14	184:17 188:15
duration 380:17	ebd 256:6	378:18	189:11 202:19,20
duties 111:15,16	echo 159:20 160:11	efforts 14:1 52:2	203:4 217:12
380:1	301:21	157:9 160:13	218:13 226:5
duty 103:21 247:12	echoed 298:18	254:17 391:3	307:11
247:12 379:24	economy 198:6	394:24	eleven 111:19
duvall 82:4 198:13	ed 332:9 333:13	eggs 409:20	112:2,5,7,19
dwell 148:19	412:5	egress 152:13,14,16	eligibility 257:16
dynamics 66:8,9	edicts 139:4	155:5,12	eligible 226:25
329:5 384:9	editable 210:12	eight 110:6 195:17	eliminate 291:13
e	educate 33:12	254:16 289:16	eliminates 270:4
e 21:23 26:15 51:14	250:19	340:4 396:4,14	326:5
209:1 215:15 251:4	education 1:21	eighteen 73:9	elimination 391:2
356:20 357:15	4:10 5:4 31:11 62:1	eighth 74:24	embrace 176:15
378:21 379:3	70:5,21 73:17	eighty 19:7	226:2
390:18 391:9	96:21 126:4 178:9	either 20:3 64:20	embraced 147:14
394:22 422:1,1	258:14 268:18	82:11 91:23 98:15	223:12,12 416:7
earlier 88:17 99:11	273:9 277:8,12	99:24 100:20 105:2	embracing 39:15
122:6 147:7 182:21	278:22 299:16,17	108:22 110:18	embry 38:18 40:1,9
215:7 216:11	300:9 302:19	120:23 126:14,14	40:13 41:15
219:23 243:21	306:25 320:10	133:8,19 148:3	emd 347:8
248:2 266:8 267:13	educational 83:19	152:23 168:21,21	emerged 379:16
303:14 312:20	91:6 96:15 316:19	230:7 238:12 239:3	emergencies 218:3
351:3 357:5 358:10	316:23 317:3,6,22	248:8 249:11	334:12 381:23
379:24 394:6	educator 317:7,19	286:13 305:3 321:8	emergency 39:6
397:17 400:18		339:8 340:16 382:3	41:20 42:12,15
371.11 700.10			

43:2,12 91:7	employing 304:23	185:19 186:20	enhancing 6:10
163:25 167:11	305:11	190:10,20 193:9,22	enjoyed 172:14
178:2 216:9 217:8	employment	196:13 204:6,25	enlighten 317:2
218:15 220:2	312:22	205:16 207:13	enroll 238:23
221:20 246:14,22	empower 33:12	209:6 211:15 227:3	enrollment 38:18
247:7 313:5 314:8	38:25 56:19	228:21 229:2	40:10,14
314:10 339:1,21	empowered 47:21	231:22 232:4,22	enrolls 238:24
346:22 347:7,9,10	60:7 120:2,10	233:7,25 236:11	ensure 27:25 219:3
371:19 379:11	153:9 254:22	237:17 242:7	270:20 331:25
empaneled 14:5	255:10,22 314:15	245:22,24 246:17	352:19 390:17
empaneling 13:18	314:20	247:12 260:13	ensured 289:12
257:3 269:3	empowering 54:6	261:2 263:9 264:19	ensures 214:22
emphasis 378:7	ems 237:16 375:22	266:13 267:10,11	entails 270:24
employ 399:13	379:20 392:12	267:12 278:8 290:4	enter 13:17 37:9
417:9	en 139:14	290:25 291:2	266:15,19 341:9
employed 98:16,17	enables 40:6	292:16 296:24	entered 5:14 97:24
98:18 112:16 125:4	enabling 33:25	300:15 304:15	123:2 356:23
271:6 278:9 279:11	encompass 264:22	311:1 312:7 317:17	entering 280:22
279:12 290:25	encompasses 314:1	318:22 319:15,19	entire 16:21,23
291:2 293:12 306:1	encompassing 77:1	325:23 326:7 327:5	80:10 158:4 282:3
313:24 315:4	encourage 119:13	346:13,25 350:12	291:24 292:8
358:17 359:3	139:11	350:13,16,18	298:17 335:9
employee 150:23	encouraging 5:9	352:22 361:2,9	entities 267:25
227:7 270:14	encroachment	362:14 366:3	339:9 344:22,24
279:21,22 284:21	402:10	376:12,24 377:2	345:8
290:9 305:12	encumbered 88:9	387:21 389:10	entitled 51:15
employees 111:14	ended 17:16 280:23	400:13 402:23	entity 296:4 399:10
112:13,15 116:11	ends 134:20,25	403:11	entrance 245:17
116:18 117:16	401:14	enforcement's	entry 84:1 197:3
164:4 171:9 174:13	enforce 115:9	76:10 319:22	198:12 239:24
174:14 176:13	enforcement 1:15	enforcer 121:13	240:2,5 241:5
226:19,20 228:9,11	8:15 43:23 45:12	engage 33:12	entryway 241:20
242:7 270:11,11,16	50:11,19 61:22	engaged 204:6	enumerate 289:20
271:1,25 279:5,16	77:4 78:16,21 79:3	349:1	environment 46:10
282:19,20 332:15	80:10,23 105:3,21	engagement 207:14	73:4 108:12 133:20
345:24 357:9	106:1 107:11	engineering 343:22	346:18 379:12
358:15 359:3	114:11,12 115:25	344:10,10,20 375:2	eo 259:15
361:23 381:19	123:7 153:21 157:4	engineers 373:14	epc's 317:9
414:7	167:21 168:22,25	enhance 381:16	equal 361:20
employer 312:22	169:10 172:22	enhanced 294:6	equipment 166:12
315:10	173:4,7 178:15		244:10 286:23
	¥7 • ~	ral Calutions	
	1/ om to t	TO L NO DITTO DO	

[equipment - existing]

287:10 288:21	estimate 276:20	everybody's 104:21	excerpt 344:13
379:10 380:6,14	et 16:2 25:2 71:14	121:15 320:15	excerpts 316:14
383:10 389:14	99:15 111:14	evidence 12:11	excessive 365:22
395:20 399:14	154:10 209:8 217:7	257:17	380:4
409:16	247:7 256:21 263:3	evident 216:24	exchanging 284:3
equipped 334:11	263:10 264:9 273:7	ex 4:9	excited 82:14
334:17 381:22	276:19 280:25	exacerbating	169:14
erect 343:7	291:3 305:5 329:22	105:22	exciting 29:16
escambia 237:19	evacuate 182:22	exact 89:20 139:19	exclude 290:5
ese 254:7 255:18,20	evade 75:5	383:20 385:25	excluded 246:9
255:25 256:5	evaluate 276:3	exactly 166:20	307:13 308:2
especially 40:21	353:14	184:11 259:25	exclusionary 197:2
78:15 80:23 102:13	evaluating 126:2	279:8 354:1 385:22	198:10 240:3
109:5 116:1 129:1	138:17 412:1	example 18:19	excuse 40:13 94:1
130:23 179:20	evaluation 15:17	29:20,20 57:18	142:16 246:25
183:9 186:6 187:17	16:1 193:4 277:23	61:10 65:22 68:6	371:16
202:5 211:16	282:20	78:5 79:18 89:24	excuses 147:18
218:23 254:7	evc 1:19	103:1 118:20	execute 260:5
270:18 274:14	event 156:22	120:14 143:12,14	executive 5:14
281:2 346:17	166:19 220:17	143:18 144:15	13:12 70:3 73:8
essence 275:4	236:22 380:16	205:1 211:9 214:8	77:11 95:16 169:15
essentially 341:7	events 310:7,16,24	235:10,23 278:5	210:25 247:6,6
344:3,19 356:1	395:5	294:23 324:11	257:1,9 259:5
376:22 392:8	eventually 158:24	332:8 345:1 354:13	272:18,25 306:7
essentials 42:15	245:14 286:16	358:4 361:17	344:13 353:8
establish 34:19	everglades 341:2	407:16 408:7	executives 219:10
39:12 129:7 259:13	everybody 2:4 6:21	examples 51:4	exempt 3:16
269:22 275:20	29:18 63:2 84:14	368:18 376:25	exercise 183:6
278:22 282:18	98:1 117:22 120:1	402:20 405:6,9	403:7
291:12 304:7	120:9 146:18,25	408:13	exercised 336:12
339:25 347:3	147:13 153:13,19	exams 22:21,23	exhibits 375:25
established 61:16	164:20 172:1	23:1,3 165:19	exist 18:1,2,15
296:7 353:13,20	215:25 217:19	exceed 374:11	106:13 108:7
356:6	234:21 237:18	excel 193:13	109:10,13 186:9
establishes 270:6	240:4 241:9 256:20	excellent 81:4	321:7,7 404:12
establishing 118:15	283:23 287:4 295:6	253:2	existence 25:11
352:18 353:14,25	312:5 314:14,20	exception 229:9	91:4,14 166:16
establishment	315:6 326:21	exception 223.3	existing 27:7
146:9	335:23 373:6	18:25 233:5,12	100:10,11 107:18
esthetic 223:23	385:10 412:18,20	301:24 341:24	110:19 145:25
	414:13	301.21311.27	164:6 165:11,11
			10.10100111,11

Veritext Legal Solutions

[existing - far] Page 451

4	00740040			
166:2 170:3,9	305:18 343:10,20	extents 220:18	facts 7:4,5 64:25	
259:12 261:9	351:3 352:1 363:4	extra 167:16 241:1	faculty 35:20 36:3	
262:11 266:7 284:1	365:17 368:21	350:17 407:7	42:13,24 43:4	
316:10 376:17	406:16	417:15	167:19 186:24	
exists 292:14	explaining 406:22	extracurricular	246:22	
395:16	explanation 253:19	310:16	fade 158:24	
exit 245:17	347:11 363:19	extraordinarily	fads 172:16 209:10	
expand 62:14	400:10	395:3	fail 268:3 368:12	
expands 109:19	explicable 368:12	extraordinary 61:9	371:6	
expansion 259:11	explicit 280:13	378:18	failed 10:1 316:24	
expect 16:19	exploit 155:20	extreme 92:9	410:18,21,25	
108:17 175:20	explore 404:3	extremely 54:17,17	failing 125:22	
expectations	explored 239:23	157:3 158:10 288:1	268:8 388:17	
234:17 235:10	244:18 343:15	305:1 318:8,13	fails 127:1 371:7	
expected 329:24	explosives 44:7	320:17	failure 46:14	
expend 378:18	exponentially 28:1	eyes 284:21,22	125:21 267:18	
expended 198:6,7	285:12	f	363:24 379:5	
expenditures 83:24	expose 71:8 72:5	f 125:12 422:1	380:16 388:18	
84:2	99:18 251:13	faa 343:8	409:23	
expensive 154:9	exposing 251:3	face 46:3 163:23,23	failures 367:22	
experience 32:8	252:3	faced 236:16	379:10 395:6,7	
36:5 55:8 106:24	express 32:4 88:8	facilitate 4:20 43:7	fair 100:16 150:5	
284:24 368:3	92:12 409:6	413:1 418:9	310:10	
380:12 391:15,24	expressed 88:3	facilitated 14:8	fairly 113:15 364:7	
392:2 410:14	111:12 331:15	facilities 83:19	364:11 402:20	
experienced 55:22	377:20 380:14	96:15 199:20,23	fairness 94:11	
367:7	397:18 409:20	241:25 340:7	310:17	
expert 82:22	expression 379:18	374:23	fall 118:11 157:11	
365:23	expulsion 254:5		303:10	
expertise 4:25	extended 380:17	facility 73:17 311:3	falls 177:18 180:5	
391:9	extending 169:16	facing 415:2 fact 12:10 26:24	familiar 14:22 75:7	
experts 243:2	extension 95:17		101:20 196:4 336:5	
expires 422:19	extensive 27:3	28:5 55:10 93:8	familiarity 342:2	
explain 94:13,21	323:23	172:23 186:3,8,9	families 32:25	
102:11 127:11,16	extensively 411:7	188:11 201:6	56:24 318:19	
162:2 230:21	413:4	224:10 235:14	fan 221:16	
261:22 330:22	extent 54:25 55:2	236:19 283:2 290:4	fantastic 48:19	
341:5 362:17	150:15 222:12	350:20 361:16	54:4 190:8	
372:17	279:2 284:7 312:18	362:15 375:24	far 11:11 14:3	
explained 97:19	402:19	413:17 419:12	23:10 54:22 61:2	
120:2 146:21 303:2		factors 353:24	83:13 84:2 85:11	
Varitant Lagal Colutions				

[far - fire] Page 452

86:6 101:21 104:10	february 5:14,24	233:4,11,13 239:17	filling 106:25
106:21 110:16,17	6:4 7:22 11:8 13:16	276:19	192:10
150:1 187:21 190:5	19:9 26:12 63:11	ferpa's 233:5	filtered 366:20
190:8 191:21	63:18,23 64:6 82:2	fidelity 28:8 174:25	final 83:5 238:4
197:14 202:23	87:19 108:16	175:9	269:12 356:10
203:22 234:23	175:16 204:11	field 79:20 336:8	374:7 394:14,14,14
235:10 249:21	256:25 334:23	336:14,15 379:21	finalized 63:11
266:11 283:21	342:8 348:24 354:1	379:23	finally 8:5 88:17
284:5 292:18	355:11 356:10	fifteen 69:21	105:13
329:22 331:11,13	362:19 365:5	154:14 256:15	finances 409:2
340:23 363:19	396:10 411:2	fifth 13:1 74:24	financial 3:21
366:11 419:15	federal 40:19 96:13	217:15	99:23 254:13 409:8
fashion 56:13	96:19	fifty 5:22 152:9	find 25:19 42:14
67:17 77:13	fee 103:3	184:4 368:2	70:16 79:22 90:8
faster 60:8	feed 41:8,12	fight 52:14,23	140:16 179:2
fatigue 202:4	feedback 6:23 8:17	53:23 176:19	184:10 193:14
favor 2:21 139:25	35:2 208:6 253:12	200:18,23 202:14	244:8,21 245:24
301:8 393:3	292:19,23 349:12	202:22	283:10 329:15
favorably 172:10	381:18 389:15	fighting 61:23	385:2 399:23
fbi 82:12 190:14	feel 35:13,19 37:3	102:7 110:24	finding 78:25
209:13	60:22 95:2 113:14	185:25 385:10	findings 12:8,12,12
fcc 343:9	114:24 159:20	fights 248:24 255:5	17:9,10 23:7 210:8
fcic 266:18	160:6 179:23	figure 19:8 36:14	210:9 339:11
fdle 1:25 4:22	189:20 251:3	56:13 139:21	356:11 387:13,15
80:21 93:23 157:14	318:12 400:9 403:8	141:20 153:6 154:5	419:12,13
173:19 257:2 260:2	feeling 92:8 213:4	154:12 174:15	fine 191:25 272:3
260:3,8,22 264:13	222:19 224:18	204:19 319:4	316:20
298:12 306:5	feels 251:23 292:24	320:18 325:17	finger 415:20
325:18 329:20	300:4	329:2,8 338:11	finish 46:4
402:21,22	feis 85:19 87:17	373:15 401:18	finished 176:22
fdle's 13:12	felony 238:21	414:14	240:23
fdoe's 76:7	felt 35:12 72:20	file 211:21 325:18	fire 38:20 40:1
fear 239:18 283:6	211:16 379:5 390:3	329:20	42:14 43:6,19
283:15	fema 74:14 128:3	filed 144:22 266:18	59:23 133:10
feasibility 353:14	fence 155:8,9 242:2	files 17:19,21,24,24	158:11 182:8,9,10
feasible 253:23	fences 155:3	18:1,10,12,16	183:5,25 184:2,12
321:16	fencing 84:1,7	350:10	185:23 186:2,5
feature 242:5	197:2 198:11	filing 135:1	188:3 216:10,12,13
245:16	220:11 240:3	fill 3:20,23 15:12	216:15,15,17 217:5
featured 44:23	ferpa 230:14,22	49:22 105:20 280:2	217:7,7 237:16
	231:6,12 232:7,14		247:6 326:15

[fire - folks] Page 453

328:25 336:25	226:11,14 233:23	368:22 369:13	213:22 242:10	
339:17,20 347:10	242:12 248:12	372:6	264:2 294:19	
349:11,18 352:18	256:24 257:8 259:7	fivepoint 75:22	299:20,22 318:2	
352:24 353:3,18,19	260:20 267:23	fix 80:2 104:7	319:1 326:12	
356:8 361:2,10	268:4 269:18 286:3	224:18 244:7 316:7	florida 1:6,15,17	
375:22 376:12,24	286:7 289:16	370:17 385:9,12	1:25 6:3,8 8:10	
377:3 379:20	291:10 296:23	386:9,14,19,20	19:24 22:18,20	
386:16,17,18	300:14 304:5	389:22 414:18	29:21 41:3 57:1	
390:12,23 391:4,9	321:23,25 322:4	415:5 416:10	62:7 70:20 71:21	
391:11,17 392:4,11	338:22 344:5	419:14,15 420:8	76:8 82:1 85:21	
392:20,24 394:25	355:23,23 375:15	fixed 26:21,23 27:2	98:8,13,25 101:7	
395:12,19,21 396:6	375:21 395:24	160:23 332:24	106:2,5,9,22	
396:17 400:13	401:3	333:21 370:22	109:20 110:9 112:4	
firearm 180:16,17	firsthand 148:21	414:24 415:18	161:13 168:12	
210:2 303:25	fiscal 84:22 321:13	416:19 420:5	173:15 198:10	
firearms 110:10,10	fit 78:1 305:17	fixes 281:16,16,17	199:21 231:21	
165:4 297:4	fitch 331:4,6	281:25 282:5	254:24 260:6,11	
firefighter 352:20	333:11,14,20,25	369:23	265:6 315:2 317:7	
391:15	338:21 339:8 374:4	fixing 22:3	319:12 321:11	
firefighters 341:12	375:22 376:7,8,22	flagler 29:5,10,18	369:8 402:23 422:3	
fires 184:4	377:8,18 378:22	29:24 30:5,9,11	florida's 103:15	
firm 12:22 186:21	379:14 380:25	31:7,18,20 33:3,6	flow 94:13 264:8	
216:24 280:3	382:22 384:12	37:18 44:5,25 62:4	394:2 401:11	
firmly 243:17	385:4,6,24 386:23	62:14 72:14 88:7	fluid 66:23	
first 11:6 26:10	390:2 391:5 393:15	88:14,16 91:3 93:4	fly 42:6	
32:1 34:24 39:6	394:3 397:19 402:9	flagship 30:24	fob 153:19 248:4	
43:3,9,15 44:10	402:12 409:3	38:21 40:9	focus 47:2,4 51:16	
46:21 51:21 54:19	412:14 413:9,13	flat 68:10 110:7	52:10 73:2 141:23	
55:4 56:23 63:3	414:1 416:6,16	133:8	145:12 188:3	
66:13 74:23 83:4	fitch's 385:24	flee 149:20 150:2	265:11 336:22	
83:14 86:8 96:12	413:9	150:12 200:21	368:5 378:16	
97:14 105:25 118:5	fits 400:19	fleet 347:4,5,6	focused 63:25	
126:13 135:6	five 12:20,24 18:15	flew 41:14	69:15 138:15	
136:18 139:2	18:20,22,23 33:8	flexibility 218:17	140:22 362:4 380:2	
149:25 150:1	43:21 85:20 88:11	270:19 278:12	focusing 264:20	
151:11 174:11	111:21 112:8,20	280:1 310:15 313:2	folded 139:5	
178:7 184:25	131:23 137:17	313:23	folk 140:17	
186:19 189:21	167:8 188:5 206:16	flip 369:24	folks 138:14 140:18	
197:5 200:17	207:3 277:23	floor 51:22,23	140:18 164:8 165:5	
206:14 216:10	317:16,17 363:6	66:13 68:10 124:24	165:17,24 173:10	
217:14,18 223:18	365:16,20,22 368:2	144:23 146:24	178:5 195:5,11	

[folks - friedman] Page 454

252:7,7 265:17	forget 65:24 158:21	forum 34:7 185:14	francis 161:19
266:3,19 282:17	forgot 369:25	254:12	162:3 171:5,7
383:19 413:10,21	form 3:21 34:18	forward 9:5 20:17	206:13,14 209:22
415:8	39:11 49:18,20,23	21:5 23:16 24:11	212:2,9,11,13
follow 9:16 12:4	193:8,9 207:15,16	62:13 75:9 89:14	213:2,8,12 214:7
45:16 60:19,21	208:25 210:10	90:20 92:10 94:12	215:5 216:6 217:13
72:13 73:21 75:1	247:24 276:12	95:7,8,24 123:10	217:25 218:20
78:2 90:5 94:25	formal 340:1	136:25 140:20	219:19 221:3,12,15
104:24 116:13	formalized 348:17	143:5 147:15	225:7 229:4 234:9
117:18 175:12	format 211:19	158:15,22 159:24	243:6 245:11
204:23 267:19	formed 96:8	169:20,22 171:23	246:18,25 247:2
401:19 417:24	former 1:20 9:22	222:1 266:10	250:7 252:21
followed 120:19	23:18 70:12 91:13	276:25 277:1	253:15 255:14
179:4	156:17 157:12,13	forwarded 50:16	256:8 271:2 278:5
following 25:3	forms 15:20,23	157:1	franics 212:6
39:25 93:7 116:8	18:3 26:24 193:20	foster 239:3	frankly 284:19
116:16 120:13	207:6 213:16	found 18:20 25:24	288:11
139:3 156:12	261:25 275:22	34:17 35:22 39:1	fraud 268:1,6
166:18 176:19	276:1	49:24 59:22 397:19	freaking 324:16
209:5 210:20 376:6	formula 55:9	397:21	325:6
380:23 401:23	fort 265:7 340:19	foundation 396:8	free 44:18 195:15
foot 413:15	341:3 381:25	four 35:7 39:4	403:8
football 311:16	411:19	58:25 98:20 107:20	frequency 181:21
312:12	forth 35:15 63:9	148:3,4 161:6	183:20 189:12
forbid 108:25	96:18 210:7 263:6	166:20 172:15	217:11 307:8 313:1
force 38:19 39:25	339:9 403:4 404:19	217:15 251:22	409:18
41:16,18 58:3	405:4	257:4 258:10 269:5	frequent 175:18
105:19 107:19	fortify 200:22	337:7,22 355:20	236:24 313:8
162:8,9 169:7,11	fortifyfl 49:6 50:21	360:4,19 365:16,20	frequently 182:7
264:17	50:23 51:5 73:18	365:21 368:22	184:1 407:13
forced 75:13	73:19,23 74:3	372:6	fresh 397:15
196:17 344:4	76:10 88:20 89:10	fourteen 46:1	freshman 48:12
forces 167:9 261:15	90:10 91:4,10,14	117:18 154:14	friction 332:13,19
266:1	91:24 92:13,18	fourth 113:12	336:8 378:15
forcing 191:9	94:16 190:22 254:9	268:5	friday 204:11
forefront 420:7	262:17 272:8	fox35 44:24	388:23
foregoing 422:7	fortunate 72:14	fpc 33:2 47:10	friedman 9:23 11:2
foremost 56:23	171:14,18 221:21	fpca 260:23	11:2,4,13 12:1
foreseeable 287:25	forty 17:15,18	frame 300:25	23:19 24:7,9 25:22
foresight 344:1	131:23 137:17	framework 317:21	70:13
	277:23	358:14	

[friends - gives] Page 455

friends 336:24	funded 100:9,19,25	gain 158:14 240:10	geo 247:13
337:2,12,17	198:9 340:14	gainesville 157:25	getting 63:15 81:25
front 55:6 63:6	funding 82:17	galvano 128:1	90:10 94:6 110:21
109:23 121:3	84:11 85:12 86:7	game 176:23	153:25 175:18
166:10 225:11	86:13,15,19 87:9	311:17	198:10 210:16,18
240:17 244:2 260:4	114:23 198:14	games 312:12	211:13 220:6
360:2 394:17	199:24 254:13,19	gap 43:14 104:7	223:11 225:15
frontal 240:5	259:9 261:14	115:20 238:25	232:9 235:8,9,11
frustrated 336:15	285:24 288:4,8,13	239:2 248:11	235:19 236:1
frustrating 251:7	288:16 340:13	310:23	243:22 244:9 245:2
385:15	355:18 374:24	gaps 214:23	247:4 251:5 253:3
frustration 251:4	395:17	garbage 218:20,20	255:19 268:24
251:19,21 252:5	funds 83:21,22	gate 156:4 242:15	273:23 287:16
390:8 400:13	88:8 101:1 104:4	gatekeeper 407:9	289:13 304:10
fsa 260:23 296:14	105:11 114:15	gates 155:3,11,23	313:18 324:14
297:24	198:8 229:7 268:1	175:2 226:4	333:21 336:16
fssat 83:23 178:1	268:9 282:7 287:20	gather 35:1	349:6 365:6 370:22
178:14,23 179:6	289:16 357:6	gathered 35:22	385:11 386:7 407:3
192:2 204:1,7	funnel 231:22	141:6 211:20	415:7
205:12,16,20 221:7	further 57:11	gaveled 166:23	gg 422:18
242:1 253:22	70:16 111:18	gc 1:20	gift 198:20
		0	0
273:24 280:7,9,20	127:24 132:9	geared 222:5	gilchrist 265:9
273:24 280:7,9,20 281:10,14 306:4,9	127:24 132:9 220:17 253:19	geared 222:5 general 1:13 3:1	gilchrist 265:9 give 3:23 25:18
· · ·		0	
281:10,14 306:4,9	220:17 253:19	general 1:13 3:1	give 3:23 25:18
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21 90:25 180:2 292:11	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21 futures 33:20	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25 210:16 341:20	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2 313:22 316:16,21
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21 90:25 180:2 292:11 323:14 335:12	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21 futures 33:20 fy20 84:22 86:13,18 86:19 87:4	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25 210:16 341:20 generation 219:22	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2 313:22 316:16,21 326:5 332:8 354:23 given 18:6 23:3 66:9 77:6,8 105:1
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21 90:25 180:2 292:11 323:14 335:12 402:2 fully 100:9,18 260:5	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21 futures 33:20 fy20 84:22 86:13,18 86:19 87:4	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25 210:16 341:20 generation 219:22 generator 192:15 222:7 generous 42:19	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2 313:22 316:16,21 326:5 332:8 354:23 given 18:6 23:3 66:9 77:6,8 105:1 105:12 137:16
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21 90:25 180:2 292:11 323:14 335:12 402:2 fully 100:9,18 260:5 fund 200:8 286:16	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21 futures 33:20 fy20 84:22 86:13,18 86:19 87:4	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25 210:16 341:20 generation 219:22 generator 192:15 222:7 generous 42:19 generously 44:17	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2 313:22 316:16,21 326:5 332:8 354:23 given 18:6 23:3 66:9 77:6,8 105:1 105:12 137:16 148:14 156:22
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21 90:25 180:2 292:11 323:14 335:12 402:2 fully 100:9,18 260:5 fund 200:8 286:16 287:9	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21 futures 33:20 fy20 84:22 86:13,18 86:19 87:4 g g 315:12 gabby 31:25 32:24	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25 210:16 341:20 generation 219:22 generator 192:15 222:7 generous 42:19 generously 44:17 gentleman 233:22	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2 313:22 316:16,21 326:5 332:8 354:23 given 18:6 23:3 66:9 77:6,8 105:1 105:12 137:16 148:14 156:22 222:25 362:10
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21 90:25 180:2 292:11 323:14 335:12 402:2 fully 100:9,18 260:5 fund 200:8 286:16 287:9 fundamental	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21 futures 33:20 fy20 84:22 86:13,18 86:19 87:4 g g 315:12 gabby 31:25 32:24 37:1 39:4 42:11	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25 210:16 341:20 generation 219:22 generator 192:15 222:7 generous 42:19 generously 44:17 gentleman 233:22 369:20	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2 313:22 316:16,21 326:5 332:8 354:23 given 18:6 23:3 66:9 77:6,8 105:1 105:12 137:16 148:14 156:22 222:25 362:10 402:21 405:6,10
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21 90:25 180:2 292:11 323:14 335:12 402:2 fully 100:9,18 260:5 fund 200:8 286:16 287:9 fundamental 201:14 394:1	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21 futures 33:20 fy20 84:22 86:13,18 86:19 87:4 g g 315:12 gabby 31:25 32:24 37:1 39:4 42:11 45:5 46:14 47:6	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25 210:16 341:20 generation 219:22 generator 192:15 222:7 generous 42:19 generously 44:17 gentleman 233:22 369:20 gentlemen 242:24	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2 313:22 316:16,21 326:5 332:8 354:23 given 18:6 23:3 66:9 77:6,8 105:1 105:12 137:16 148:14 156:22 222:25 362:10 402:21 405:6,10 gives 77:11 102:14
281:10,14 306:4,9 306:14 314:4 315:17,20,23 316:11 fssats 192:9 204:24 fte 199:11,13 227:5 227:5,7 fulfilled 5:18 full 49:18 90:13,21 90:25 180:2 292:11 323:14 335:12 402:2 fully 100:9,18 260:5 fund 200:8 286:16 287:9 fundamental	220:17 253:19 258:25 291:2 310:11 317:24 404:8 fusion 261:16 future 33:15,17 45:15,23 61:1 72:19 95:9 269:2 287:25 297:21 futures 33:20 fy20 84:22 86:13,18 86:19 87:4 g g 315:12 gabby 31:25 32:24 37:1 39:4 42:11	general 1:13 3:1 90:16 207:6 216:5 255:12 256:6 265:13 281:7 generally 176:5 192:17 238:13 264:16 296:16,16 297:10 generated 208:25 210:16 341:20 generation 219:22 generator 192:15 222:7 generous 42:19 generously 44:17 gentleman 233:22 369:20	give 3:23 25:18 27:4 33:23 53:3 114:12 115:23 116:13 120:14 121:17 125:11 130:10 132:18 134:6,17 141:7 147:21,21 167:14 210:4 273:8 275:2 313:22 316:16,21 326:5 332:8 354:23 given 18:6 23:3 66:9 77:6,8 105:1 105:12 137:16 148:14 156:22 222:25 362:10 402:21 405:6,10

[gives - going] Page 456

282:10 334:20	249:3 252:20	354:19,21,22	177:17,18 179:2,21
giving 54:6 316:14	253:14 265:21	365:15 367:9	181:4,14 182:6,11
glaring 334:22	266:20 271:20	369:23 409:22	182:25 183:2,13
glass 134:3	272:17 273:20	414:24	184:24 187:16
global 46:3	274:7 280:4 282:13	going 2:25 3:12	189:24 191:3
globally 33:19	282:14 283:20	4:12 5:10 8:24 10:7	192:19,21 193:15
glorious 46:25	285:19,22 287:15	10:15 13:3 15:2	193:16 197:24,25
go 2:2 9:6,12 24:25	288:25 289:25	20:20 23:13 24:23	198:2 199:5,11,16
28:19,22 35:24	290:1 291:1 293:15	25:10,15,15 28:25	199:25 200:7
47:3,22 48:23 56:6	293:15 294:4,9,12	31:8 34:13 35:19	204:17,19 206:21
56:12 59:16 68:23	295:5,22 298:2,4	39:22 41:12 48:7	207:24 210:5,6
69:9 70:1,5 73:1	299:4 300:21 302:7	49:14 50:1,4 54:8	211:17 215:17,22
74:6 80:22 81:8,19	303:3 304:4 305:15	56:8,9 57:20 62:25	216:18,19,20
81:22 83:11 86:22	310:1 311:3 318:4	63:4,25 64:2 68:18	218:12 219:3 221:5
87:14 91:1 92:13	319:1 320:8 323:23	68:19,20 71:11,18	221:8,24 222:10
92:23 97:3 100:1	333:23 335:14	71:21 72:6 73:1,7	223:8,22 225:21
101:4 104:11,12	336:2,19 338:25	73:12 74:6 75:14	227:14 228:25
105:17 107:5	339:3 341:24	76:16 77:14 78:7	236:3,4,4 238:23
110:24 117:10	357:18 363:5,8	78:10 79:22 80:5	242:16,18 243:9,17
118:9 119:14	366:21 367:5,10,12	81:5 82:21 83:14	243:25 244:8,22
121:14,21 124:14	368:9 372:7,22	83:16 84:12 85:17	245:10,14 247:23
127:22 128:12	374:15 381:13	95:7,15 97:3 98:5	249:1,2 250:5
129:16 131:17	383:16 384:17	101:24 104:14,24	252:2,9,14 253:17
132:9,15 138:5,9	385:6 386:3,4,5	107:13 108:21	256:20 260:1
138:11 139:17,20	392:7 405:7,10	109:21,22,24 110:1	261:13 262:10,16
141:7 142:24	406:1,10,11 408:11	111:9 113:19	262:17,22,23
144:22,23 145:15	409:19 413:6 414:2	117:14 125:8 126:4	264:18,18 265:15
145:15,24 153:5	414:15 415:23	126:5 129:1,10	265:15 266:3,10,21
157:22 159:4,18	416:15,25 418:15	130:3 132:19,21	266:24 269:1
160:10 163:14,20	419:9,15 420:12	133:18 134:22	272:24 273:2,19,20
164:20 170:22	goal 132:12,12	135:1,5,25 137:7	274:10,14 279:9
172:4,10 174:14	184:3 356:12 373:2	143:9 144:17	281:12 283:14
177:14,14 187:6	390:16	145:10 151:22,24	285:1 286:1,15,18
192:18 193:3,8	god 108:25	152:19 154:18	286:21,22,23,23,24
194:10,21 199:9,10	goes 24:1 61:18	155:21 156:8	288:21,25 290:16
200:4 204:22	113:13 122:6	157:22 162:24	291:6 293:24
207:22 209:18,18	123:23,24 146:19	163:6,10,14 164:16	296:17 297:12
210:7,7,22 215:14	188:4 199:22	165:21 168:4	303:12 304:3
225:23 233:20	247:10,11,20 273:7	169:20,22,23,24	310:25 314:2,16,18
239:22 242:23	273:17 288:18,22	170:3,13 174:13,14	321:4 322:6 323:15
248:13,18,21,21,24	289:7 316:18	175:12 176:17	324:6,8,18,19,20

[going - guardian] Page 457

324:21,24,25	273:25 288:12	graded 128:6	greatest 239:7	
325:10,13 329:4	292:9 294:22 298:2	grades 186:7 371:3	342:16	
330:1,21 331:8	306:18 307:2,9	grading 128:10,23	greed 381:4	
332:24 333:23	310:5 313:9 319:5	graduate 38:5	green 167:10	
334:19 337:5,12	321:3,15 348:5	graduated 48:16	186:22 187:7 358:8	
341:21,24 342:6	362:12,12,13	265:19	374:16	
343:15,16,21,21	366:23 379:5 381:2	graduating 189:21	gregory 5:17	
344:16 345:25	381:10	grady 1:22	ground 92:10	
346:8,13,24 349:24	google 10:19 207:5	grand 13:18,25	162:19 334:4 388:8	
355:8 362:2 364:6	googled 213:15	14:4,9 257:3	grounders 177:22	
366:23 367:1	gotten 93:5 152:4	267:14 268:4,19,22	grounds 295:1	
369:22 370:9,24	183:7 190:23	269:1,3,6	group 20:17 30:21	
371:18,22 372:11	223:11 247:16	grant 42:25 83:19	31:20,20 32:10	
374:2 375:8,10,12	319:7 349:12	87:25 259:6,9	33:5 51:14 55:5,23	
385:5,7,9,18,23	413:19 416:17	grants 73:17 83:20	72:17 74:2 93:3	
386:13,14,15,16,17	governance 178:14	84:16,24 199:20	96:8,11,13,23	
386:17 388:18,21	178:22 333:9,15,17	306:8,12,15	118:3,16 157:12,14	
388:22,24 389:2,2	355:18,21 361:8	granular 139:13,20	157:15 189:17	
392:7 397:9 402:18	376:18 398:24	graphs 380:23	215:12 241:25	
404:14,19,23,25	399:1 400:8 401:8	grateful 32:6 47:14	247:6,7 249:12	
405:1,4 412:12	408:20 414:22	196:3 225:3	272:14,15,22 274:2	
414:10,25 416:20	governed 3:6 415:8	gratitude 47:11	274:3 276:15 300:1	
417:24,25 418:1,2	government 61:21	great 6:9 27:15,18	300:4 317:15	
418:7 419:23	339:9 355:24 356:8	30:5,14 31:8,9,14	367:25 368:1	
420:21	392:12 403:12	38:25 40:8 48:1,21	377:11 397:20	
gold 93:11 94:7,10	governor 5:13	52:2 56:23,24 59:5	groups 47:18 220:4	
good 3:3 6:7 7:15	13:16 73:8 87:18	60:9,18 79:25 81:6	326:16 386:12	
29:2,21,22 30:19	104:3 144:24	95:2 99:13 101:3	growing 46:18	
31:6,16,17 42:7	256:24 258:13	123:9 127:18 153:4	164:15 190:1	
52:11 60:20 72:7	260:2 265:3,23	169:5 195:21	grows 62:13	
76:23 77:17,18	272:16,17 298:8,22	196:19 197:7,22	gualtieri 1:12	
98:22 106:19 107:3	governor's 77:11	198:17 214:7 217:2	118:1 141:10 339:4	
114:2 135:4 141:18	80:14 95:16 128:2	221:17 222:3 224:1	375:4 380:20	
153:15 154:12	169:15 182:2 257:9	242:8 286:11 309:3	381:20 397:6	
157:5 162:18	264:14 272:25	337:9 343:25	guard 164:25	
169:20 174:4 177:4	306:7	357:19 361:22	279:21 303:24	
180:22 183:13	grace 176:16	376:21 396:2	guardian 73:18	
184:6 187:7,8	grade 54:20 74:23	402:24,25 405:17	85:19 86:2,7,13,14	
190:5 215:20 222:2	74:24,24,25 125:11	greater 254:4	86:19 87:9,17,21	
227:12 239:1,18,20	138:7 183:22	270:19 278:11	87:25 88:14 95:17	
242:8 246:3 270:20	189:19,21	409:17	98:20 99:25 100:7	
Varitant Lagal Calutions				

[guardian - hard] Page 458

100 = 15 15 15		I	
100:7,12 101:10	guards 103:20	h	hang 89:16
103:1,2,12,15,20	165:1,4,7,11,12	hahn 161:22 167:5	hanging 10:5
105:8 106:16,17,18	279:10	167:5 185:7 187:25	happen 3:9 46:20
109:19 111:2,9,15	guess 3:4 19:8	188:11,17,20 189:3	108:11 142:1 156:8
111:23 112:24	51:11 71:4 119:1	189:8,15 190:8	157:19 176:17
126:11,15,20 164:5	151:6 183:17 353:7	191:15,23 192:1,4	193:15 199:1,5
164:8 165:10,13	383:13 405:3 418:8	193:5,21 194:2,7	233:14 265:4
166:2 168:15,18,23	guessing 94:20	194:12,17 201:20	272:24 274:10
173:11 226:24	guidance 96:20	202:1,8,11,18,22	309:22 323:15
227:22 253:21	239:19	203:5,9,15 204:4,8	324:6,6,8 355:2
254:12 269:21,24	guide 185:5	206:4 222:22	364:21 369:9
270:13,14 271:11	guideline 401:7	223:13 231:6,11	370:10,15 385:14
271:12,22 272:3,4	guidelines 292:7	232:8 235:14	404:4 406:7 414:12
279:4,6,17,22,25	guiding 35:14	237:14 240:23	happened 7:5 11:5
280:2,5 282:18	guise 398:24	243:16 247:15	11:5 19:11 20:22
283:8 285:24	gun 98:22 103:15	250:1,21 252:14,23	41:10 92:11 108:15
286:10 288:13	106:19 152:2 243:4	half 106:10 227:7	109:2 117:23
289:10,12 291:12	245:23,25 246:15	247:16 369:20	120:18,25 124:12
291:24 292:17	270:21 283:4	381:7	146:25 176:23
293:6,24 296:8,17	284:25 307:3,10	hall 96:3 123:6	249:7 320:6 322:5
297:22 300:5 301:2	310:5	407:6	334:22 354:1
304:7,18 305:9	gunfire 186:1	halls 37:20	355:10 365:12
307:5,10 310:5	guns 167:15 235:23	hallway 59:17 60:5	367:21 368:22
315:13	286:22	225:13	369:2 370:2
guardians 85:21	guy 155:19 183:2	hallways 182:17	happening 43:10
104:11 106:22	187:7 190:16	hammer 133:6	43:22 160:7 163:17
107:1,8,18 110:9	193:16 222:22,24	hand 121:15	232:1,2 264:23
110:18,18 111:20	270:21 310:5	handful 152:3	280:18 369:1,11
112:12 126:17	324:14	215:10	370:5 372:7,12
162:11,13,14 163:5	guys 32:6 51:11,11	handhelds 367:16	happens 118:7
163:6 164:23	52:2 54:10 55:20	handle 171:15	142:3 153:22 188:3
167:20 170:9	60:18 187:8 196:15	244:4 334:12,18	297:21,23 308:3
226:15,15,16,16,17	201:9 216:3 220:19	360:8,19,22 381:23	319:9 322:15,15,16
270:5,11,20 271:19	221:9 226:3 227:11	handled 211:18	363:8 366:4 367:5
282:8,19 285:7,21	243:1 249:22	246:7 254:25	367:12 400:11
285:21,24 291:14	250:15,17 366:3	384:24 389:15	407:18
296:24 297:1	387:24	handles 124:1	happy 113:20
303:25 307:21	gym 36:12		130:9 159:2
312:7,23 315:10,11	gymnasium 66:2	handling 396:2 hands 357:20 418:8	hard 7:20 60:10,14
guardianship	gyrations 144:3		60:17 64:12,14,18
226:21		handwritten	64:21,22 65:9,14
		367:11	, ,

	T =	T	
65:18,23 67:1,1,15	head 43:5 117:21	hearings 182:5	hey 53:2 76:19
67:16 68:5 144:8	234:1 360:5 363:12	317:18	143:6 190:16 211:7
146:9,15 147:2,9	385:17 403:19	heart 198:1 333:18	215:16 229:23
159:7 176:14	heads 401:15	389:8,21	231:9 245:19
211:23 254:11	health 15:15 174:3	hearts 32:3,12	350:16 352:5 368:9
272:11 389:22	174:4,10,11 178:6	47:15 243:19	371:15 374:1
401:23 416:8	186:17,25 187:10	244:13	hi 167:25
harden 37:13 40:25	187:13 208:13	heavy 173:13	hide 51:23,24 52:14
41:4 59:4 198:22	209:8 211:2 236:6	412:24	52:23 53:23 149:23
200:2	238:10 239:8	heck 127:12 251:15	150:2 176:19
hardened 240:6	318:21 319:13,14	388:20	200:18 202:14,22
hardening 84:16	320:9	heinous 368:7	hiding 149:6
84:24 96:7 97:2	hear 8:8,25 9:8	held 115:14 117:25	high 1:1 18:20,20
198:3,4,19,20	20:10 28:21 29:4	128:10 157:23	18:22,23,24 29:10
199:3,7,15 241:24	31:8 53:8 84:9 91:3	348:25 395:20	31:21 33:3,7 37:19
259:14 272:10,14	94:5 98:5 129:19	help 4:21,25 15:8	50:14 71:11 78:23
272:21 274:1 306:8	162:15,18 164:13	53:20 54:23 55:15	98:24 107:7 156:15
306:13	179:21 181:1,9,16	102:4 142:7 145:22	160:20 168:3
harder 46:24	192:19 201:10	189:1 200:8 239:13	183:23 184:18
hardware 416:18	221:8 224:11	250:20 266:14	188:15 189:13
harken 338:2	229:25 237:11,12	333:6 382:7 407:20	202:10,12 218:12
harm 96:8,25 151:9	243:23 280:19	418:9	223:20 229:10
151:24 154:18	283:22 284:6	helped 34:18 39:21	240:14,20,25
259:14,17 272:14	317:18 327:13	42:14 256:13 410:6	241:21 242:14
272:21 274:2,16	331:5,8 340:15	410:10	307:11 346:17,18
318:22 319:17	361:23 387:5	helpful 35:13 58:5	366:13 380:19
harmed 319:18	heard 10:18 11:7	196:2,14,16 204:21	382:8,13,16 383:4
harpring 1:20 2:16	26:11 34:6 36:18	287:17 314:21	higher 89:6 110:12
2:17 122:20 159:5	58:6 66:19 72:20	helping 7:6 54:10	344:17 414:21
233:20,21 235:13	93:3 109:17 216:4	helps 205:10 209:3	highest 295:3
236:8 237:5 238:4	218:24 219:14,18	226:7	highlight 30:22
239:15 296:3 297:6	219:19,20 220:23	henderson 15:15	209:11 333:22
367:15	243:20 269:15	henry 331:23	334:21
hat 189:24	270:17 299:19	356:25 359:22	highlighted 48:25
hazard 218:2	317:4 338:10 364:5	392:25 393:3,10,23	390:7
hb361 319:11	372:2 400:16 411:7	394:16 395:11,13	highlighting 30:21
hb441 326:11	414:17	396:6,18 400:25	highly 230:11
hb7093 102:1	hearing 4:14	417:10	375:1
300:19	189:10 220:22	hesitancy 239:8	hillsboro 361:17
he'll 338:23 414:8	300:16 416:11	hewman 236:9	hillsborough
			161:24 162:9,13

163:25 164:10	345:11,21 370:11	hotel 157:17	human 244:12
166:6 172:23	371:1,15 372:14,18	343:18	393:1 401:1
173:16 177:21	405:11,11,13,15	hour 7:15 187:15	humbled 32:7
180:3 196:24	417:24	207:3 369:19	47:13
223:16 227:5 236:9	home 10:23 15:16	hour's 364:3	humiliate 127:4
236:10 241:11,15	183:10 225:23	hours 105:5 110:6	humiliation 127:3
244:15 279:9,12,18	234:1	122:24 155:16	hundred 17:15,18
hipaa 239:17	homeland 38:15	293:22,23 294:21	18:25 19:6,7 35:7
276:19	96:22	297:2,4 298:16	58:25 83:3 189:22
hire 99:24 103:21	honest 347:23	310:7 312:8 363:6	215:6 225:14 281:3
105:11 106:6,21	honestly 230:17	363:18 365:16,20	hundreds 93:14,16
107:4,8 110:19	363:25	365:22 372:6	hurricanes 334:13
173:4 305:15	honor 383:12	403:22	381:24
386:18	hook 89:23 90:2,3	house 5:4,6 20:15	hurts 314:21
hired 112:13,18	166:19 195:14	84:22,23 85:3,5,8	i
206:8 391:13	196:3,13	109:18 119:12,13	idea 16:14 17:1
hiring 166:12	hoops 144:2 323:23	119:18,18,19,20	43:11 91:21 114:2
206:5 227:3 357:23	hope 32:8 47:15,18	120:6 211:18	141:7 214:7 227:12
historically 336:7	62:5 81:6 83:1,8	269:10,15 272:23	242:8,8 251:10
history 158:21	89:11 199:25	273:11,17 274:12	252:1 271:17
hit 133:11 354:19	200:10 221:23	274:13 275:7,18	274:11 288:12
403:18	232:23 287:8	277:3,21 280:11	317:1,3 321:15
hits 198:18 355:7	hopefully 2:13	291:11,22 298:6,8	323:20 345:24
hold 62:15 121:15	21:14 25:17 30:25	299:10,11,15,18,19	417:5
133:18 137:17,20	62:13 64:7 153:10	299:22 301:11	ideal 344:15
178:19 230:6 317:7	158:6 233:1 248:14	302:19 304:21,24	ideas 52:3 53:5
324:19 370:19	263:1 287:7 320:21	306:14 312:23	identical 154:24
371:11 418:8	324:9	313:1,16,25 314:12	identification
holders 103:17,19	hoping 72:23	315:20 317:21	146:9 240:9
holding 114:18	129:20 193:14	318:1,6 319:2,5,25	identified 14:14
138:13 254:1	303:17 414:8	320:7 324:15	15:23 20:4 67:17
holds 39:17 408:19	horrible 93:15	326:10	75:21 76:11 136:3
hole 77:24 99:10	362:12	house's 302:13,22	151:10 169:21
131:7	horse 67:23	houses 294:24	177:25 178:1 195:4
holes 80:8 153:13	hospital 366:22	how'd 172:19	215:8 238:6 246:6
244:5,6	host 291:20 305:22	hso 236:21	319:17 355:22
holistic 218:1	322:19	huge 131:7 173:14	356:15 363:1 368:6
holistically 215:24	hostage 395:21	245:1 292:12	376:25 377:9
265:24 267:6	hosted 45:24	310:23 321:13	401:12 407:13
hollywood 335:11 343:6,18 344:1,3,8	hot 350:10	338:3	409:24
. , ,			

identify 20:17 24:4	implemented 8:2	406:20	374:22 375:4 393:7
24:13 25:11 58:22	15:9 38:2 43:12	improve 38:9 382:7	393:23 396:4
66:16 97:7 136:10	61:4 64:15 90:14	390:22 397:19	includes 13:1 111:6
151:11,15,17,19	114:15 117:3	398:2 409:5	227:10 257:12
152:17 153:8 178:4	141:25 172:10	improved 59:9	312:16
178:6 217:7 240:8	185:18 256:22	60:23 273:25	including 6:4 53:22
254:21 360:10	324:2 333:8 345:4	376:15 382:10	53:25 60:15 80:12
367:2 403:6 408:4	347:17 380:11	387:17 413:22	161:15 242:6
identifying 60:4	394:7 396:13	improvement	276:19 331:1
131:5 132:1 263:2	401:15 418:17	180:10 379:10	inclusive 215:25
ii 342:15,18,23	implementing	388:19,22	income 204:18
351:4 374:7,13	27:20 49:12 128:25	improvements	inconvenient 217:1
imagine 126:6	268:2 347:9	21:15 333:17 348:6	increase 33:3 34:21
149:10 187:15	importance 39:16	376:19	59:14 185:13
241:11 252:4	48:25	improving 27:16	increased 390:25
immaterial 235:24	important 10:8	347:25	increasing 288:7
immediacy 151:7,9	19:21 33:25 35:11	inability 105:20	incredibly 31:2
immediate 153:21	36:1 46:2,23 53:15	366:15	incremental 137:10
207:11 210:1	54:5,17 57:24	inadvertent 355:12	incrementally
257:10	64:14 74:8 77:16	incident 10:21	133:17
immediately 79:23	116:4 118:4 156:10	22:10 69:5,9,16,17	incumbent 284:14
150:25 151:1	158:19 188:8	76:8 120:17 124:10	284:16
156:19 211:12	211:16 214:1 235:7	128:22 154:15	incurred 166:11
408:11	238:9 245:15	160:14 231:25	independent 74:14
impact 8:19 34:4	282:11 284:9	236:22 265:10	363:3
36:23 37:2 169:5	285:16 295:8	334:18 363:14	independently
257:16 361:6 397:4	298:25 301:15	364:16,17 396:3	207:25 234:5
impeded 378:3	320:22 335:21	incidents 22:8	indian 1:20
impediment 396:2	339:5 355:19	124:15 163:12	indicated 288:3
impetus 115:23	372:20 391:12	179:21 219:16	291:19 377:11
implement 19:13	395:18 408:23,23	249:7 268:16	397:20
19:19 27:22 41:5	419:5	328:21 334:13	indicates 60:22
48:15 68:3 118:11	importantly 21:2	349:21 364:7	297:17 315:22
135:19 170:14	impose 135:10	381:24 396:1	364:24
197:11 260:8	170:20 274:23,24	include 11:22	indication 116:14
269:21 343:16	275:5,14 316:20	12:25 39:13 164:3	286:18 411:13
375:8,10	imposed 134:18	165:20 237:3	indicator 157:5
implementation	impossible 77:8	318:11	indifference 179:3
21:3 95:18 163:10	401:20	included 83:24	individual 18:9
248:12 340:2	impression 80:1	103:24 111:10	70:12 138:17,25
344:18 356:5 383:8	348:4 399:21	276:20 353:16	152:25 229:19

309:23 314:19	324:19 336:17,19	innovation 42:25	instruction 187:15
392:21 398:1	341:8,11 343:24	innovative 171:22	instructor 297:1
individual's 214:9	349:15 375:19	input 9:5,11 28:13	instructors 187:18
individualistic	383:3 402:25 403:2	37:21 346:1 377:5	296:25
230:11	403:9 405:18,22	396:23 415:8	instrument 20:7,14
individuals 169:21	411:15	inquired 396:14	20:14 154:7 191:14
208:20 353:16	informed 7:2 59:16	inroads 225:4	192:25 193:3,18,22
360:8 362:14	285:13	inside 93:19 158:12	213:22 214:5
390:19 392:11	infrastructure	336:9,10	263:14,14 264:2
398:8	254:14 337:24	insider 173:20	275:21,25 276:25
industry 240:7	399:11 414:19,22	insight 302:9,9,14	278:19 280:21
inefficiencies	ingredients 332:20	302:21	281:5
401:22	ingress 152:13,14	insightful 58:19	insurance 79:6
inefficiency 400:23	152:16 155:5,11	148:22 256:12	insurmountable
401:6	inherited 218:9,10	insisting 241:23	107:12
inefficient 379:8	220:8	inspiration 48:1	intact 305:20
inevitably 393:22	initial 5:2 22:2,6	52:25	integrate 76:6
inflow 240:19	134:12 150:3	inspire 31:2 47:16	integrated 73:14
influence 187:9	163:13 205:25	install 371:13	75:20,24 76:23
inform 145:23,23	207:15 232:17	installation 76:6	77:2 259:22 260:3
239:10 343:1	263:24 285:6,7	installed 240:16	integration 78:15
information 9:24	298:7 381:11 390:4	410:24	379:8
10:1,9,11,14 12:11	initially 159:24	instance 140:23	intelligence 179:10
13:24 14:3,6 15:22	296:8	199:17 365:4	405:25
16:17 18:17 20:2,8	initiate 54:11	368:25	intended 183:1
25:18 27:23 34:18	116:18 117:17	instances 50:2,6	intense 207:2
72:6 73:22 77:13	118:7 120:22 121:9	105:13 265:6	intent 75:16 87:11
77:17,18,21,21,21	410:12	362:19 363:4,24	143:1 150:14
80:4 90:19 93:6	initiated 14:25	365:8 367:20	271:14 272:1,7
110:1 130:1 140:15	338:22 344:1	instant 247:4	298:7 301:23,25
141:5 142:12	345:19	instantaneously	intention 175:23
148:22 178:21	initiative 29:13	153:20	373:4
189:16 195:11	33:16 34:6 35:15	instituted 386:24	intents 234:4
207:6 214:16	45:20,25 49:10	387:11	inter 20:3 196:18
215:13,17 230:19	53:23 55:7 58:9	institution 128:7	215:4 264:6 325:18
234:8 235:19 236:2	initiatives 225:25	128:24	357:4
238:5,9,11 239:9	268:11	institutions 104:2	interact 36:2
248:22,24 249:12	injuries 43:16	129:12 137:24	interacts 360:18
261:17 263:1 264:6	149:11	instructed 272:19	interagency 377:7
267:1 276:18 285:2	innovate 185:15	instructing 117:19	interchangeably
285:13,17 286:6			340:18

[interest - itns] Page 463

interest 88:3,8	intra 20:3 264:5	involuntary 22:23	403:21 404:2 409:2
111:12 208:21	introduce 29:25	22:24 23:1,3	410:16 412:24
interested 87:23	31:21 162:25 163:3	involved 40:11	413:4 415:13,14
88:14 139:2 170:21	163:4	48:18 57:10 62:6	416:2
interesting 212:14	introduced 318:20	63:15 86:9 180:13	issued 9:21 73:8
306:9 384:2	introductory 171:4	192:3 205:5,19	74:14 87:18 257:1
interim 134:23	intruding 377:1	206:1,6 208:9,13	349:17 356:10
142:3 153:5	inverse 348:12	211:2 221:10,11,13	384:15 390:13
internal 12:18 13:7	invested 29:11	221:13 233:24	396:17 411:19
international 33:17	investigate 133:13	234:7 235:18 261:7	issues 8:25 39:19
45:23	267:17 269:2	265:25 408:21	56:10 62:19 94:14
interoperability	investigated 156:23	involvement 27:13	102:6 109:15
236:13 246:19	401:13	380:4	141:20 163:7 179:3
321:23 342:7 348:7	investigating	involves 257:8	221:1 236:13 238:7
350:7 351:6,8	369:16	involving 268:16	239:11 244:1 245:4
352:13 410:22	investigation 12:19	iota 185:20	254:6 258:7,7
interoperable	12:21,23 13:2,4,8	irrelevant 222:8	263:3 292:15
342:12	13:10,15 14:9,10	isolated 21:8	302:12 321:22
interrelated 330:4	70:16 267:16	israel 91:13 357:1	333:19 336:20
413:5	268:20 269:7	issuance 376:6	352:23 360:20
intersection 352:6	319:22	issue 10:2 11:24	361:5 362:7 365:1
interval 376:2,3,8	investigations	13:19 34:10 37:25	365:25 367:2 372:5
intervals 375:13,17	13:12	46:3 51:12 100:23	376:20 378:2,6,19
intervention 82:24	investigative 13:24	102:7 110:16,17	378:20 380:5,7,14
interview 11:3 12:1	339:11	122:13 123:22	380:24 383:10
25:23 55:23 79:20	investigatively 9:17	130:18 134:11,14	385:13 386:8,16,19
331:24 397:25	11:11	181:8 198:2 215:3	390:10 395:1
interviewed 5:21	investigators 5:20	219:1 233:15,16	396:21 400:15
11:12 12:3,10 16:3	12:2 25:12 246:1	235:2 237:25	402:8,11 407:13
16:10 23:20 331:19	384:7 420:25	255:25 260:22	411:6 413:1,6
331:22 335:16	investing 27:6	269:23 282:5 286:2	414:25 415:2,4
368:1	investment 415:6	290:14,18 293:9	416:19 418:5
interviews 12:4,5,7	invisible 67:11	302:12 310:3	419:24 420:4,5,7
12:16 335:7 362:2	124:6,7 125:2	315:15 321:8 330:4	issuing 351:7
362:8 363:3,21	invitation 47:13	330:5,6,8 335:10	it'd 203:22
368:5 375:3 376:9	invite 372:24	335:21 342:18	item 42:17 304:5
376:10 378:4,23	invited 32:5,7	361:6,14 364:21,24	items 21:12 241:7
379:17 412:12	157:11 267:5	365:2,2,18 366:1	246:9 396:4,14
420:24	inviting 87:20	366:14,16 369:16	itn 75:1
intimate 203:14	invoices 85:25	372:4,8 374:1	itns 153:1
		385:12 401:12	

[jackson - key] Page 464

j	409:12 411:25	june 4:4 17:17	53:15 57:8 58:24
jackson 359:25	joining 4:19 242:25	20:25 21:12 25:17	katz 91:9 92:3,11
360:1 391:13,14	joint 236:18,20	27:21 45:22 78:2	95:3 156:24 157:8
jacksonville 113:21	237:23 336:7	132:3 197:5,10	keen 36:19
113:22 114:8	390:13,14,23 391:3	280:23 335:14,25	keep 3:16 74:5
232:13	391:17 396:17	345:8,23 372:25	89:18 90:24 126:5
jacob 1:19 4:12	joke 204:15	373:7,12 390:11	138:20 141:18,19
jacobs 357:2	jones 1:13 3:1,3	411:19 412:18,21	142:4 193:11
james 1:20	journal 45:1	412:23 413:21	250:15,16 265:19
janet 30:11	jrotc 39:25	414:11 419:4	305:19 332:24
january 2:12 84:18	judd 1:22 107:2	junior 38:19 41:16	339:12 361:25
134:13,22 182:9	125:7,8 129:18	41:18	374:2 376:18
329:18,18,20	130:7 132:17	juniors 48:5,13	404:15,24,25
340:21 374:9	136:17,22,25	jurisdiction 238:2	keeping 125:21,23
jason 1:13 3:1,2,25	137:20 142:24,25	325:14 352:21	289:11
jeff 10:10 14:25	146:2 251:1 271:16	jurisdictional	keeps 67:24 227:24
jefferson 157:24	282:13,14 284:19	352:23	291:11 304:6 310:3
359:23	298:4,5 301:22	jurisdictions	333:15
job 52:2 62:16	336:2,3 359:5	349:22 405:8	kellly 94:25
121:12 167:13	368:11 369:4	jury 13:19,25 14:4	kelly 62:22 69:23
180:22 183:13	370:23 372:13	14:9 257:4 267:14	70:2,19 72:8,9,10
188:20 220:6,6	373:10 379:23	268:4,19,23 269:1	81:20 84:20 85:19
226:23 239:1	383:18 384:1,21	269:3,7	87:16 92:2 93:22
250:20 379:5	385:22 387:25	justice 76:9 258:15	95:15 104:14,19,24
381:11 383:4 385:8	389:20 398:5,12,20	258:16 291:18	107:15 110:25
389:5 392:8	399:6,16 400:2	292:3,5 295:11	112:7,10,22,24
joe 78:25	401:24 413:6,7	juvenile 76:9	114:17 116:8
john 161:23 163:24	415:25	238:18 258:15,16	117:25 118:18,22
185:1 187:12	judge 101:15,19	k	120:13 122:18
204:23 210:6	238:22	k 1:19 4:12 39:9	146:6 147:20
222:23 223:14	judgment 310:16	44:5,6,8,12,17	148:13 149:3
239:6 246:9 252:15	judicial 1:14	74:23 202:24	150:22 154:20
330:1 338:7 341:4	jules 51:15	249:23 250:1,2,9	155:10 156:11
349:3 350:11,16	july 188:21 189:6	250:10,12 305:5	159:4,17,23 160:8
354:4 358:23	258:17,21 259:19	405:6,6,10,14,18	161:1 182:6 274:6
367:15 368:9 374:1	272:19 273:4	405:22	kelly's 8:8 169:19
401:17 406:9	274:16 282:2	k1-2-3 183:9	keppler 42:14 43:5
john's 203:22	jumping 119:3	kathy 347:21	kept 226:23
johnny 245:18	juncture 154:16	katia 31:25 34:5	kevin 1:25
join 4:3 161:14	332:23 412:11	36:5 39:17 44:4	key 70:24 153:19
357:3 383:15,16		45:22 46:17 48:10	160:17 196:12
	Veriteyt I ed		

[key - know] Page 465

207:9 248:4 391:21	knew 11:9 18:2,3	114:13 118:13,24	211:10,11,14,23,24
keying 365:7	21:3,4 36:19,22	118:25 120:10	212:17,18,18 214:8
kick 72:23 140:20	37:11,25 43:13	121:13,17 123:25	214:20 215:6,7,9
225:20	155:21 205:19	123:25 124:2,6,7,9	215:11,19 216:23
kicked 90:16	206:5 367:4	124:12,15,24 125:3	218:8,24,25 219:5
kid 79:18 246:2	knife 180:15	125:6 128:1,12	219:7,20,21,22,23
248:21	knocked 36:9	129:4 130:18 131:6	219:25 220:11,14
kidding 387:8	knocks 160:17	131:19,20,22,23	220:16,19 221:19
kids 10:7,9,19,22	know 4:3,20 5:17	132:6,9 134:2,4,8	221:19,24 222:5,7
10:24 29:17 31:8	8:2 11:16 12:17,20	136:14 138:4	223:17,22,23 224:1
50:7 51:2,22 52:6	12:24 13:14,16	139:21,22,24,24	224:11,12,13 225:8
52:20 53:11,12	14:3 15:5,11,12	140:17,22 142:9	225:12,17 226:3
54:6 60:7 64:19	16:4 17:5 23:24	144:16 149:25	230:9 232:15 233:1
67:22 69:16 91:3	24:1,6,9 25:16 26:1	150:15 151:25	233:9,12,15,23
108:9 126:21	26:9,15,21 27:15	152:2,3,4,5,7,11	234:21 235:4,6,9
138:21 186:1,2	27:16 28:3,18	154:4,14 155:24	235:11 239:16
191:3 202:12	29:24 30:7 31:22	158:17 159:6,8,12	241:7,17 242:16,17
216:20,22 225:21	36:20 37:20 41:1	159:14 160:17	242:18 243:2,7
239:2 243:20,21	41:10 42:1,1 44:2	163:8 164:11,13,19	245:1,4,4,13,18
244:22 255:18	49:5 50:7,9 51:3,9	166:4,7,10,11,12	248:20 249:14,21
256:6 263:2 284:25	52:1,21 53:10,17	172:2,20,24 173:5	250:14,25 251:2,15
307:15,15,17	54:7,13,14,25 59:4	174:9,10,12,25	252:5 254:10,14
kill 124:11	61:20 63:3,13,15	175:2,3,22,24	255:14,18 256:2,11
killer 243:9	63:21,23,25 64:24	176:2,10,11 178:16	259:25 263:8 266:3
kind 52:18,22,24	67:12,12,19,20	179:20 180:14,15	266:7,16,25 271:15
53:18 54:8 55:20	68:15 69:1,2,2,14	180:16 181:9,16,21	271:15 272:23
55:23 72:13 119:3	69:15 70:3 71:5,13	182:12,16 183:4,6	273:1 274:9,10,13
126:3 156:13	72:5 74:4 76:15	183:10,22,24 184:1	279:2 280:14
163:13 168:10,23	80:12,17 81:3,11	185:3 186:17 187:5	283:10 285:3 286:1
174:20 177:6	81:14 85:1 86:8,9	187:25 188:5,23	286:2,3,4,25 287:4
180:19 183:1	86:24,24,25 87:5	189:5,10,23 192:15	287:12,19,22 288:2
197:23 203:23	87:23 88:13,18	192:16 193:6,8,15	288:9,9,10,14
206:21 209:11	89:5 91:3,21,24	193:21,22 194:13	292:23 295:19,23
212:13 215:12	92:23 93:3,13,14	195:4,14 197:14	299:14 300:2
218:9 272:11 275:8	93:23 94:4,5,9,10	198:6,11 199:10,13	301:13,24 303:8,19
306:9 315:22	94:11 99:18,22,23	200:22 201:1 202:1	305:8 306:3,6
316:14 414:16	100:14 101:2	202:12,13,14,24	307:23 308:3,7,18
kinds 294:25	102:11,16,21,24	203:20 205:15	308:23,23,24 309:2
321:13	104:1,6,6,10,16	206:6 207:8 208:8	309:7,20,21 310:10
kits 39:6 42:12,15	108:19,20 109:3	208:14 209:11,14	310:12,17,18,23
42:21,23 43:2,12	111:7,8 113:18,19	210:23 211:7,9,10	311:2,4,8,10 312:3

[know - law] Page 466

212 2 2 212 7 0 10	1.67 10 222 20	160 12 201 2	110 2 122 0 122 7
312:3,3 313:5,8,19	167:10 232:20	169:13 281:2	119:2 122:9 123:7
315:8 318:9 319:3	300:19 345:20	334:12 376:1	125:17,19 130:4,14
319:23 320:2,3,4	394:18	381:23 395:25	130:21 132:14
320:12,13,16 321:5	knowns 58:19	396:3 403:13	133:1,9 134:12
322:5 326:17 328:1	knows 76:25	largely 99:10,11	137:8,13,14 143:11
328:1 338:8 341:20	155:20 186:23,24	102:6,7 342:12,23	143:23 144:5,9,13
348:21 350:11	320:23 366:21	352:17 357:21	144:24 145:1,2,5,6
352:3 354:12	kristin 357:1	360:17,18 362:4	145:13 153:21
359:11 363:7,19,25	1	393:4 402:9	157:4 167:21
364:13 365:23,23	label 65:16	larger 30:24	168:22,25 169:10
366:3,7,19 367:21	labor 378:2	197:15 201:4	170:9,19 172:21
368:17,21,23 369:1	laborious 413:10	361:21	173:4,7 178:14
369:12,15 370:1,3	lack 28:10 78:14	largest 164:1	185:9,12,19 186:20
370:8,9 371:5	105:11 239:18	larkin 1:17 61:8	187:3,20 190:10,20
373:1,2,3,9,18,22	377:11 379:17	299:4,6 300:11	193:9,22 195:5
383:14,17 384:23	395:16,16,17	301:4	196:13 204:6,25
385:6,15 386:2,2	, ,	larry 1:16	205:15 207:13
386:21,25,25 387:8	396:23 397:20	las 181:23	209:6 211:15
387:23 389:25,25	415:20	laser 69:15	212:19 227:3
393:13 398:25	lacking 17:11	lastly 54:3 158:16	228:21 229:2 231:6
399:3 400:11	lacks 18:8	lasts 187:17	231:11,22 232:4,21
404:18,24 406:1,7	ladies 36:8 220:19	late 245:19	233:6,25 236:11
407:16,21 408:7,24	lady 407:19	latest 135:12	237:16 242:6
413:15 415:16,17	lafayette 329:4	342:16	245:22,24 246:16
415:17,17,18,22,23	laid 147:11	latitude 154:5	247:11 253:1,5
417:12,21,22	land 343:2 347:16	254:5 270:19	255:2,3 260:13
418:15,19,20 419:2	landscape 99:20	lauderdale 265:8	261:1 263:5,8
419:18,22,23,25	373:3	340:20 341:3	264:19 266:12
420:1	lane 126:20,21	381:25 411:20	267:9,11,12 268:15
knowing 46:20	136:15	laughing 368:20	270:13 271:4
93:18 117:23	lanes 6:16	launching 54:15	273:14 278:4,8
128:10 139:3	language 203:9	lauren 1:23	279:14,15 281:18
knowingly 268:3	211:11 217:6	law 1:15 3:2,6 8:14	284:1 290:3,24
316:24	234:17 235:1	12:22 43:23 45:12	291:2 292:15
	239:12 253:25		291.2 292.13 296:24 300:15
knowledge 4:20	254:23 299:9	50:11,19 61:22	
34:10 50:1 151:21	300:14,19 308:20	71:1 76:9 77:4	304:15 307:6,6
168:16 173:21	320:8	78:15,21 79:3 80:9	309:17,22 310:3,25
192:1 300:16	lapse 44:3	80:22 101:15,19	312:7 314:16
380:15	large 99:5 114:5	105:3,20 106:1	317:16 318:7,22
known 67:18 93:10	156:18 161:16	107:10 110:9 112:4	319:13,15,18,22
116:3,7 164:24	162:7 167:16	115:6,8,15,18,24	325:12,23 326:7

[law - lined] Page 467

327:5 346:13,25	learning 32:8 46:9	281:13 286:13	levels 18:22 27:12
350:12,13,15,18	47:4 62:1 73:4	287:8,20 298:7	141:8 178:17 202:5
352:21 361:2,9	123:9 133:20	302:3 303:9 318:10	217:8 225:21 263:4
362:14 366:3	lease 231:20	lend 223:24	380:19
376:12,23 377:2	leave 71:17 99:19	length 232:16	licensed 164:25
379:20 387:21	156:4 182:22 184:7	lengthy 257:13	165:8 279:5,10
389:10 400:13	293:2,3 305:21	lens 108:6	licensee 315:12
402:23 403:10	309:6 323:25 412:1	lesson 61:19	licensees 303:24
lawful 279:14	leaves 174:8 185:9	lessons 8:16	licensing 164:25
laws 103:15 127:1	185:15 310:15	letter 80:14 141:12	317:14
267:20	325:19	182:3 257:2 260:1	lie 296:5 297:16
lawyer 233:10	leaving 155:19	302:3 318:11 371:1	376:22
lay 214:19 243:7	216:1 301:16	372:24 375:5 393:5	lies 216:9 297:21
343:1 347:15	304:11 309:23	393:23 395:11,13	lieu 148:17 378:8
layer 120:7 229:9	310:23 411:21	395:14 396:3,18	lieutenant 42:13
layered 254:16	led 225:25 378:17	400:25 416:1	43:5 209:4 211:5
layers 247:5	left 10:5 27:4,19	417:13	life 46:16 246:14
layout 35:12	41:21 66:12 86:18	letters 87:17 132:2	lifetime 344:17
lays 340:22	87:5 123:20 254:19	141:16 142:8 339:8	lift 173:13 175:14
lead 194:23,25	328:24 340:23	374:19	light 130:6 284:20
206:2 217:9	359:19 381:3	letting 93:9 131:6	lights 147:1
leader 210:3	legacy 173:24	393:13	limit 163:13
287:23 388:15	legible 402:1	level 15:9,11,13	limited 83:6 218:7
leaders 197:9	legislation 20:11	54:14 55:16 60:23	267:17 377:5
376:13 388:14,14	100:6 165:23	71:11 138:15	380:15 391:23
388:15	329:22	139:12,13,21 142:4	limits 77:1
leadership 287:23	legislative 5:12	142:5 143:4,9	line 66:22 124:15
388:13 414:23	134:20 142:18	154:2 168:5 179:20	127:13 163:20
416:14	173:22	183:9,22 189:19	164:21 175:8 176:6
leads 240:4	legislatively 256:21	193:25 201:11	227:18 260:4 273:5
league 353:17	legislators 232:19	202:6 204:2 206:25	273:21 275:17
leaps 386:3	legislature 4:2 5:3	210:23,24,24 214:3	277:17 282:6,15
learn 7:5,5 35:20	87:1 102:16 103:8	216:23 217:12,13	290:2 296:19
42:9 46:13 47:23	115:7,24 121:19	217:15,17,20	304:14 307:22
48:20 122:25 169:6	125:15 126:12	225:17 248:7	311:11 312:14
176:20 398:10	129:1,9 130:1	263:17,17 265:17	314:6 315:1 318:6
learned 8:16 18:8	134:19,21 135:9,21	295:3 356:18	357:21 358:25
28:25 43:18 169:3	137:8 142:10,20,23	376:10,10 378:4,23	359:1 372:15
209:15 243:12,12	144:24 146:1 172:9	378:24 379:16	384:18 413:7
243:18 365:24	200:6,11 257:5	381:2,10 401:10	lined 48:5
367:24	272:17 274:8	413:15 415:8,9	

[lines - lot] Page 468

lines 6:19 86:6	339:10,13,23 384:6	155:4,9,22 226:4	371:20 378:4
103:6 156:13	400:10	235:11	379:18 386:5,13
283:16,22	live 7:14 41:11	locker 245:7	388:17 389:11,12
lingering 9:22	44:25 61:25 108:8	lockers 246:11	389:12 398:25
390:9	108:9 158:11	locking 149:5	413:21 416:15
link 156:21 374:18	176:16 210:11,15	150:6,13 206:17	419:24
links 80:19,19,24	308:4	lockstep 197:8	looked 87:3 131:3
266:8,15	lived 248:11	log 79:6	169:19 206:21
linton 1:25	lives 147:3 191:2	logs 403:3	208:17 220:10
liriano 347:21	307:16 359:11	long 6:19 7:25 8:4	286:3,7,9 413:13
348:3	371:17	151:8 187:4,18	looking 24:25 25:2
list 21:12 37:10	living 243:2	200:25 223:9 226:3	48:15 56:15 95:24
42:16,18 73:11	llc 74:10	229:16 230:18	138:18 146:12
88:10 97:7 408:1	load 178:2 210:9	235:22 279:22	151:8 153:1,1,2,3,3
listed 59:2 92:20	lobby 89:8	287:11 295:20	169:22 182:17
114:20 117:6	local 34:2 61:22	296:18 297:13	214:21 243:6,14
212:24 374:12	78:15 96:14 97:24	337:21 364:4	245:23 247:24
listen 55:10,11	214:1,3 254:16	368:24 369:5	254:9 265:24 267:6
72:16 294:16	260:13,22 324:1	390:17 418:6	329:14 376:2
listened 182:4	325:18,20 328:24	longer 125:10	399:22 406:16
listening 65:11	357:4 392:12	329:24 343:16,21	415:15 416:5
228:4	locally 329:7	344:18	looks 180:19
listing 393:5	locals 414:18	longevity 38:1	252:11 298:1
literally 208:14	located 247:13	39:13	loop 75:7,8
little 13:20 14:11	location 265:5,12	look 25:16 33:23	lose 186:8,8 220:17
14:12 30:22 84:4	342:2 343:6,11	41:20 52:22 55:7	loss 57:15 105:7,8
85:23 106:10 113:4	344:15 351:22	55:12 56:19 75:9	lost 32:25 216:11
119:4 130:17	360:21 371:4	89:14 90:14 95:8	417:3
162:15 163:1 167:6	locations 122:17	128:13 131:4 134:6	lot 15:21,22,23
174:25 175:21	343:14 360:7	143:13 144:5 158:7	28:6 39:2 40:22
177:13 178:9 179:5	lock 122:2 149:23	165:5,6 171:22	44:11,21 50:13,15
179:16 186:15	150:7 184:24	180:17,19 181:5,5	60:20 64:10 68:12
189:12 191:20	216:17 380:7	181:11,15 182:12	70:24 72:6,6,20
198:8 202:16	lockdown 75:5	182:15 184:21	80:6 85:14 88:24
203:13 218:6	116:20 118:8	203:16 242:15	89:6 94:15 98:3,4,4
224:11,16 229:5	120:22 121:9 150:3	243:13 253:22	103:5 104:2 105:10
231:19 237:6	150:18 175:15	260:1 265:5,17,23	124:18 136:3 139:9
242:16 246:4 251:6	176:20 184:20,22	268:5 283:6,20	141:25 142:2,21,22
255:24 297:19,19	216:8 225:13 232:1	284:7,20,21 324:13	148:14 149:7
303:3 308:20 309:9	locked 51:21	336:22 337:5 338:4	152:23 158:8,14
316:15 329:24	116:24 131:12	357:10 367:1 371:2	160:4 165:22 166:8

[lot - mass] Page 469

168:4,13 169:3		217:24 218:8 220:2	mandatorily 192:5
171:18 173:4 174:5	m	225:24 232:5 288:7	mandatory 173:18
175:1 177:5,5	machine 243:12	297:9 307:12	205:12 291:13
178:20,21 180:9	mad 206:11	347:24 348:6	380:18
181:21 182:13	magic 80:6	385:11 386:2 391:7	manned 175:3
183:7 184:1 185:10	magnetometer	394:2 400:4	manner 60:8 92:22
186:4 211:14 218:1	243:11	malign 384:12,18	375:9 379:22
218:3,5,7 219:20	magnetometers	managed 298:12	manpower 160:4
221:16,17 222:4	243:8 245:12	manageu 298.12 management 78:17	manual 191:13,15
· ·	mags 244:20	78:20 79:14 83:20	380:15
225:16,17,24	mail 21:23 26:15		
236:18 241:24,24	209:1 215:15	96:16 163:25 178:3	map 342:5 347:4,5
242:9 256:16	mailed 394:22	208:12 221:20	347:6
266:12,22 268:13	mails 251:4	297:13 341:19,22	march 96:11 173:6
280:23 282:25	main 39:4 73:2	350:2,8 352:11	343:23 353:21
284:2 285:2 309:10	74:8 84:2 353:24	357:14 358:24	356:6 371:8 402:16
312:2 316:13	373:18	374:25 377:18	416:2
326:13,13 337:13	maintain 356:16	378:3 380:22	margate 330:16
340:24 341:1 345:2	390:20	390:10	335:18 387:6
346:12 362:13	maintained 174:18	manager 167:11	411:25
402:13 411:5	403:3,10 407:5	247:7 351:25 361:3	marine 305:5
414:23 416:16	maintaining 380:3	369:25 373:12	marjorie 2:5
420:4	maintains 315:1	399:5	marjory 1:1 50:3
lottery 244:20,25	maintenance 359:7	managers 220:2	50:25 51:7,9,19
loud 220:20	major 34:15 84:7,7	360:21 381:8 382:5	54:10 117:24
lousy 236:16	257:7 303:7 311:6	382:11,17 383:5	128:14,17 146:23
love 28:12 58:13	349:21 377:12	389:18 393:7,14	410:4
60:21 127:12	395:5	398:18	mark 60:14
186:16 188:17	majority 19:9 37:5	managing 378:16	marked 67:16
191:16 217:4	59:1 71:22 118:8	manatee 82:3	marsha 1:24
loved 33:1	148:2 339:6 376:14	mandate 100:17	marshals 184:2
low 19:4 90:12,18	makers 416:14	125:5,7 129:2,10	marstiller 1:19 4:8
lower 356:20	making 8:18 21:14	137:3 173:22	81:14,17 258:19
lowest 198:9	27:17 29:11 30:24	242:18 298:25	martin 1:24
luck 239:20	49:2 60:3 80:8	mandated 133:1	mary 1:17 4:8
lunch 3:10 161:2	101:3 107:11	187:3 188:13	mascot 32:21
lying 68:10	119:16 121:11	205:18	mashing 126:10
lystad 1:25	131:20,24 143:10	mandates 100:15	mass 69:7 158:20
lystead 289:23	154:2 169:16	165:23 267:19	243:13 328:20
290:1,21 291:4	173:13 190:2,4	402:22	334:13,18 363:13
313:18	197:18 209:5	mandating 327:17	364:15 381:21,24
	214:13 215:25		
	211.13 213.23		

•	255 20 20 4 40		251 12 10 7 11
massachusetts	266:20 294:10	meeting 1:1 2:1,3	361:12 405:14
45:24	295:16 299:10,13	2:13 3:5,5,9,12,14	411:23
massaged 251:11	305:12,14 308:23	4:3,4 7:12 9:11	membership
masse 139:14	309:14 310:8,22	20:23,25 22:15	340:23
match 24:5 299:9	345:19 351:15	23:5 25:17 29:3	memberships
299:11 300:20,22	359:8 366:3 368:12	42:24 45:4 57:11	341:18
material 23:9 67:18	368:13 373:23	75:16 76:14 78:1,2	memorandum
331:7	383:21 384:16	91:6 92:2 95:23	348:18
materials 96:9	398:2 404:18 405:2	114:14 127:13	memory 68:20
123:18	407:9 417:11	161:4 187:2 207:12	men 30:21
math 216:16	419:11,14,20	219:24 220:15	mend 43:14
matrix 143:7	meaning 99:8	223:1,2 230:7	mental 125:9 174:2
208:23	202:10 253:13	234:24 258:23	174:4,10,11 178:6
matter 4:24 7:4	meaningful 378:14	260:20 261:3 267:4	187:13 208:13
38:7 65:16,19 93:7	means 3:6 79:5	311:12,16 335:24	209:8 211:2 236:6
96:14 120:15	103:16 105:4	335:25 344:6 345:9	238:10 239:8
172:23 224:10	134:22 165:3,4	346:3 350:4,6	318:21 319:13,14
236:19 246:22	254:13 408:15	372:25 388:20	320:9
258:1 332:22	measure 403:24	395:23 397:25	mentality 397:24
371:20	measures 34:21	398:1 421:4	mention 62:23
matters 68:20	171:22 225:5	meetings 2:6 6:10	64:10 106:14
378:22 399:23	259:18 264:9 268:2	6:25 39:18,25	122:19 287:13
max 1:16	273:22 316:18	43:18 72:22 92:7	348:21
maximize 74:12	378:11,14 396:12	95:3 222:25 348:25	mentioned 40:7
maximum 294:20	mechanism 151:18	373:11 397:9 400:4	47:20 49:9,11
mayhew 1:17 4:8	181:18	408:18,20,25	56:14 64:11 211:5
105:16,18 319:10	media 44:11,21	409:14	215:7 216:11
319:25 320:5	50:8,14 63:13	meets 193:1	219:23 220:14
mayor 332:9,10	73:13 75:12 76:7	melissa 1:17	221:19 232:16
333:12 357:2	125:12,14 402:18	member 1:22,24	237:5 255:9 259:5
392:24 393:2	medical 165:21	4:9 23:19 29:7 30:2	266:8 267:13 357:5
394:21 412:5,19	347:8	35:20 40:2 70:13	366:25 394:5
mcdonald 30:11,19	medina 152:1	100:11 246:22	409:13
mean 24:19 92:10	medium 167:17	331:11 341:14	mentioning 10:20
93:22 107:4 114:10	meet 15:17 25:22	361:16	merge 337:11,19
121:10 124:2	25:25 26:1,4 63:4	members 1:12 3:20	mesh 39:21
137:16 155:8 176:2	134:22 209:25,25	4:6,18 35:3 72:11	mess 68:18 292:18
176:7 177:5 199:12	240:7 260:25	148:17 209:24	293:2 295:13
214:8 220:8,11	283:12 402:7	235:16 331:10,20	message 65:12
224:5 226:1 227:24	412:12	339:14 341:5 353:2	67:25 93:17,21
232:25 241:20		353:16 356:7 361:1	109:3 211:7 225:15

[message - money] Page 471

225:20,22 226:8	85:6,8,10,23,23,24	miracles 132:24	mitigation 96:8,25
235:9	86:17,21 87:2	mired 380:1	151:9 259:14,17
messed 15:3,5	109:10 198:14	mirror 347:6	272:14,22 274:2,17
16:21	199:6 281:20,21,21	mirrored 402:9	mix 288:13
met 5:16,25 6:1	286:14 371:6 388:3	mirrors 386:5	mixed 106:23
7:11 13:22 23:14	389:3 416:18	389:24 413:18	140:8,12,21,22,23
42:13 44:8 157:17	mind 89:18 121:5	misconduct 317:19	141:3,15,19 278:1
197:17 204:10	168:10,19 175:22	misdemeanor	288:15 289:13
217:5 260:25	184:9 194:22	255:4	mize 347:19 348:3
279:24 342:10	225:10 252:18	misdemeanors	359:10 360:15,18
343:9,19 347:18	265:19 302:23	254:25	mode 140:14
397:7,23 398:8	311:7 339:12	misdirected 353:23	380:15
metal 144:2 245:9	361:25 408:17	354:2,7,7,9,10,20	model 57:23 61:18
245:13	mindboggling	355:9,12	73:16 82:12,18,21
method 195:6	124:21	misleadingly 90:12	83:11,13 164:21
methods 382:7,10	minded 54:10,15	mismanaging	166:5,15 168:21
metrics 374:24	mindful 251:13	268:7	169:7,7,23,24,24
378:5,8,16	minds 243:19	misquote 359:10	173:9 195:20 196:1
miami 12:22 16:9	244:13	misquote 339.10 missed 185:6	196:5 209:12,16
290:7 329:3 422:4	mindset 156:7	207:22 209:6	255:6
mica 1:18 4:16	157:22 168:23	248:17 410:8	models 82:11
microphone 127:11	250:16	missing 18:17	221:17,18 391:22
229:24 231:9	mine 46:8	208:11 214:22	modifications
mid 90:15 378:24	minimum 179:13	222:4	192:21 278:17
392:24	213:23 214:4	mission 33:11,18	modified 326:17
middle 33:8 41:22		34:19 37:6 39:16	modify 145:4
98:24 183:23	293:21 294:14,17	73:2 133:13 186:11	
	294:18,20 296:6,11		modifying 197:20
184:18 188:15	296:18,19 297:18	186:12 222:6 396:11	module 27:7 262:9 327:22
189:13 191:9	304:25,25		
202:10,11 223:20	minimums 264:3	mississippi 190:16 mistake 77:5	molded 209:16
241:21 307:11	292:7 297:7	misuake //:5 misunderstand	mom 11:9,12
midnights 369:2	minute 69:21		moment 2:8,9
mike 1:20 332:9	210:15 264:11	67:7	85:16,17
miles 188:5	270:24 374:6	misunderstanding	moms 10:24
military 107:10	minutes 2:12,15,24	65:8	monday 388:21,23
167:8 185:24	11:21 22:15 23:5	misunderstandings	money 42:20 85:13
243:19	43:21 62:18 152:8	396:25	100:21 102:8,14
mill 324:7	155:17 242:23	mitigate 151:24	104:2 109:12 198:4
millage 199:6,22	256:15 315:3	154:18 244:2	198:5,7 200:1,7,9
million 84:3,4,15	327:13 363:6	mitigating 212:22	229:12 244:11
84:16,17,23 85:1,5			259:10,12 282:1,3

[money - need] Page 472

206.10 200.16 10	monford 10.10.10	276,25 277,1 201,0	mugala 69.10
286:10 288:16,18	morford 10:10,12	276:25 277:1 291:9	muscle 68:19
288:25 289:10,12	10:22 14:25 15:11	304:12 318:18	mutually 315:7
316:12 366:7	16:3 70:8	326:12 329:9 349:4	myriad 78:3
416:16	morford's 10:18	355:19 359:16	n
monitor 41:11,25	morning 3:3 4:15	368:7 370:18	name 10:7,7 31:19
monitoring 73:13	8:9 9:15 14:12	moved 147:1,2	138:6 163:23,23,23
75:12 76:7 231:4	30:19 31:6,16,17	248:19 300:6 301:3	167:5,9 196:4
monitors 111:20	74:17 109:20	303:19 319:24	249:14 350:13,23
277:5	132:23 155:16	movement 241:2	408:4
monopolize 226:13	174:25 192:20	303:7	names 10:8 129:24
230:14	204:11,13 223:18	moves 292:2	154:10 158:23
month 5:21 41:11	244:21 251:20	moving 21:5 40:23	258:1 408:10
113:14 143:21	268:14 269:13	92:10 123:10 124:3	narcotics 44:7
144:18 145:6,7	324:12 326:10,20	143:5 146:18	nathaniel 422:6,17
147:25 181:25	362:1 388:21 421:1	147:15 158:15	nation 57:19 62:8
182:10 183:5,7	421:3	174:6 194:14 238:8	376:1
184:12 187:1 190:4	morphed 15:10,13	251:17 294:5	national 82:22
201:23 202:15	mosque 122:23	312:14 320:21	nationally 96:24
210:20,20,22	mosquito 345:1	mule 416:23 417:7	nationary 50.24
216:16 227:7 242:1	mother 23:22 24:8	multi 238:14	nature 3:16 244:12
248:14 332:8 357:8	24:8 70:9	multidisciplinary	377:2 405:12
362:8 369:11 370:6	motion 2:14,16,17	265:16	415:21
371:25 408:6 412:5	2:24 129:3,20,23	multimedia 246:19	navarre 190:25
412:17	146:3 299:7 300:3	multimillion 268:9	navigate 320:23
monthly 57:11	300:18,25 301:1,5	268:9	near 32:3,12 47:15
125:14 144:1 173:5	301:11,16 305:20	multiple 23:3 38:10	188:1 269:2 411:8
183:17,17,19 189:7	318:11 372:23	76:1 99:15 413:1	419:3
223:1,2 234:24	417:12,23	multiplier 107:19	
348:14	motivating 353:24	169:7,11	nearly 22:7,8 352:1
months 5:16 6:1	motorola 373:14	multitude 390:5	necessarily 85:17
9:10,18 20:24	388:3	392:17	213:23 265:11
23:14 27:22 48:8	mou 329:20 352:9	municipal 340:24	362:17 398:16
65:5 91:18,20	mouth 213:25	342:5 376:13	necessary 90:25
99:13 154:14	move 20:12 28:24	municipalities	115:4 144:12 195:7
176:18 184:5	55:1 62:12 95:7,24	233:24 234:3,10	275:21 318:15,16
189:17 205:24	108:23 136:25	237:9,14 339:15,18	necessity 373:23
335:7,23 360:17	140:20 148:8	340:9 357:3 374:21	need 3:16,22 4:23
364:10	149:21 158:22	375:11	6:22 9:5,11 42:17
monumental 337:8	159:23 171:23	murdered 51:24	52:7 55:1 58:4
moral 390:8	196:23 206:18	murderer 50:1,4	66:24 68:14,14
	219:6 251:18	69:8	69:9 77:4 81:3
	217.0 231.10		89:17 90:6,21 94:5
	Veriteyt Leo	1011	

[need - notify] Page 473

102 22 104 412	204 0 200 0 22	200 10 202 0	110 10 007 11
102:22 106:6,12	284:8 309:9,22	380:10 383:8	112:19 227:11
108:9,10 119:1	312:19 314:14	388:10,24 389:2	353:2
122:8 124:1 125:10	315:25 328:24	391:3 393:18	ninth 74:24
125:12,13,15,18,19	329:6,13 348:10	396:12 415:6	no's 147:19,25
127:12 129:10,11	370:15 372:8	newfoundland	nobody's 266:21
134:9 135:6 140:20	385:14 389:7	124:13	nodding 234:1
142:11,17 150:1	404:12 410:2	newly 91:7	non 87:2,13 106:18
151:7 153:23 154:5	414:12,24 419:14	newman 161:23	114:19 141:14
157:21 160:4	nefec 195:19	162:6 163:19,22,24	183:17 221:1 277:7
184:10 186:11	negative 250:22	165:2,16 166:7,15	287:20 316:6,20
188:5,23 192:13,14	neighboring	172:5,12 174:24	341:14 344:22
192:16 193:6	339:18	176:9 177:11,22	361:12
199:24 200:6,21,21	nelson 1:13 2:19,20	179:15 180:5 181:9	nope 403:18
200:22,23 214:16	neutral 381:5,14	182:1,12 183:21	normal 219:24
239:5 245:22 246:3	382:4,12	184:19 205:8	368:13
253:18,21 254:20	never 11:5,8,9	223:16 224:9	normally 184:7
259:1 270:1,19	15:25 16:1 18:11	226:18,22 227:4	215:15 362:12
296:1 303:21 306:4	24:16 26:8,9 46:14	228:23 229:2	north 109:10
330:2 331:17	123:2,4 128:18,19	230:16 231:1	330:12,12 340:7
332:23 335:19	132:24 186:8	237:10 238:14	360:7
336:22 338:4	196:18 220:22,23	241:16 244:17,24	note 22:14 29:4
346:14 348:9	317:4 321:12	247:25 248:5	339:5 391:12
372:15 389:10	323:15 367:6	249:24 250:23	noted 23:4 94:19
392:1 398:1 404:21	nevertheless 332:3	279:8	333:14 376:7 379:2
404:21 405:19	new 3:20 4:6,18	news 44:24,24 45:1	379:6
407:1,20,23 412:24	8:25 12:5,16 27:3,5	45:2	notes 341:10
416:1,6,6,13	28:16 48:17 87:24	nice 188:25 208:24	405:15,16 422:9
417:22,23	88:4 92:6 111:10	214:18 416:12	noticed 211:8
needed 22:2 37:21	122:23 157:15,19	nick 13:22 31:25	notification 153:21
38:1,9 76:24 173:8	157:22 166:25,25	33:6 37:15 40:7	211:10
188:7 344:24	176:13 190:15	43:3 45:15 47:1	notifications
345:21 351:1	197:9 199:18	48:9 49:17	210:17 247:4
needle 329:9	218:23 219:21	nicole 360:13	notified 7:13
needs 3:8 20:5,7,8	220:4 222:22,24	night 15:16 250:24	156:21 178:24
28:19 47:6 57:23	229:7,7,11 248:3	311:12 403:23	238:16 375:15
69:3 77:4 80:7	254:23 270:12	nikolas 9:24 11:7	notifies 275:11,13
92:21 108:5 120:2	281:22 282:7,7	26:11 265:19	375:21
120:9 130:1 142:7	285:21,23 293:15	nimble 196:22	notify 194:12
154:4 160:23 180:9	303:5 305:7,8	201:2	274:21 276:5
200:3 214:15	333:19 339:14	nine 19:7 22:11	349:20 350:15
250:23 280:2,13	341:4 351:7 370:12	111:20 112:12,15	352:5
, , ,		, , -	

[noting - okay] Page 474

noting 340:8	obtain 133:16	162:5 169:19	310:4 346:13
notion 219:14	obtained 35:8	171:12 191:2	350:16 389:10
304:9	obtaining 123:18	195:10 204:5,12	officers 80:23
notoriety 158:18	obvious 122:17	205:12 206:6	101:9 103:22 106:5
november 350:6	obviously 69:1	221:10,13,22 224:2	106:18 110:16
number 17:24 19:3	175:17 182:8	227:25 228:19	158:9 162:8 173:7
22:11,11,13,19	208:12 221:12	232:10 233:18	233:25 250:8 260:5
23:23 25:5 46:17	222:23 247:3 250:7	236:10 237:11,25	282:1,4 292:16,16
54:12,12 67:6	256:1 317:12 348:1	247:5 251:22 274:5	295:13 333:3
89:19,20,20 90:11	361:21 383:9	275:19 276:2,6,14	341:12 342:19
91:25 94:18 107:9	402:24 405:17	277:5 278:7,9	346:25 349:24
107:10 126:1	413:14 420:20	279:7 290:9 291:1	350:21 352:7 367:9
160:16,18 161:14	occupied 116:24	306:3,11,20,23	379:24
163:2 184:21	occur 275:23	307:24 308:16	offices 85:25 87:21
201:24 209:14	occurred 9:18	309:10 313:4,6,15	88:11 89:4 111:7
213:1 232:25 242:5	133:14	313:24 322:9,12	205:4 240:5 297:11
244:25 275:1,1	occurrence 368:13	323:6 326:3 330:5	341:2
326:18 327:20	occurrences 364:22	330:6,20 331:3	official 4:9 302:1,1
361:22 366:23	occurring 368:11	340:2 341:1 347:20	officially 88:19
382:8,17 415:25	ocoee 78:12	356:1,23 357:8	officials 29:18
numbers 24:5	october 90:15,15	358:3,7,15,17,18	268:13,14 392:13
25:11 85:2 89:5	90:18 134:25	359:12 361:21	420:15
90:10 106:7,11	135:11 183:14	362:3 363:9 367:6	oh 128:13 189:3
111:3,16 113:3	280:12,16 281:8,11	376:12 377:19,22	198:16 368:20
116:11,20,24	315:21,23 356:13	378:17 392:12	382:24 386:21
122:15 123:15	odd 184:4	393:17 396:7	okaloosa 1:16
381:13 383:5	offer 40:15 127:10	398:14 399:8	281:23 316:7
numerous 342:4	251:23 304:17	405:21 407:2	okay 2:23 15:3
0	offered 39:21 304:2	410:19,24	50:23 51:18 52:9,9
oath 23:20	304:19 305:10	officer 71:20 86:4	52:13,13 53:21
objective 24:14	390:15	96:6 97:8,15,23	54:2 59:5 62:10
33:22	offers 100:8 398:11	98:3,14,15,15,18	70:18 79:9 81:18
obligations 3:2	office 5:23 13:6,12	98:21 99:15,24	84:13 94:22,24
observe 75:8	15:14 38:16 61:15	101:9 105:12	104:21 112:23
observed 151:2	69:23 70:4,19 73:2	126:16 157:16	119:4 121:20 132:5
156:17	78:12 79:8,13,21	166:18 168:22,25	139:17 140:25
observer 44:25	86:2 95:9 98:17	169:10 170:4	143:15 144:4 146:4
obstacle 100:12	105:9 119:9,10	171:16 227:6,9	146:6 153:4 160:25
343:4	128:8 136:2 139:18	247:12 250:3 263:9	164:18 167:4
obstacles 362:24	139:25 156:20	278:8,24 290:25	170:24,25 171:24
	159:24 161:20	291:2 305:3 307:4	174:19 179:12,16

[okay - orcat] Page 475

185:1 188:22 189:4	140:9,21 141:17,21	operational 328:11	289:13 291:15
193:17 194:15,18	148:1,15 188:25	343:12 358:18	294:13 301:10
196:9 202:13 203:8	205:3 224:3 226:16	360:24 361:5	314:19 317:23
203:8,11 206:3,12	241:22 250:24	376:20 377:2 380:5	387:23
212:12 213:3 221:4	257:7 284:23	380:7 387:12,22	opposition 373:19
221:4 222:9 223:14	285:23 287:24	391:4,7,8,10 394:5	393:4,6,24
224:23 226:9 230:2	311:14 331:12,13	394:7,12 397:3	opt 92:17 183:11
233:20 235:13	332:25 333:1	400:8,15 402:8,10	optimal 344:16
242:21,22 249:21	358:17 385:2,20	403:25 404:12	optimum 373:15
252:12,14 255:8	ongoing 12:19 13:8	405:25 408:19	option 53:18 86:3
261:20 262:15,15	13:10,11,15 99:16	410:2 411:3 413:3	92:17 100:18,19,20
271:23 283:3 291:9	379:9 381:15,18	416:19	100:25,25 150:8
300:11 301:4,8,10	396:8	operationally	182:20,24 184:23
317:11,20 329:11	online 49:18	328:18 404:22	218:6 251:18
336:21 338:24	128:12 206:19	operations 168:6	282:10 379:6
349:7 354:5,8	ooda 75:8	218:15 346:22	options 53:16,18
355:15 362:13	op 332:9 333:13	355:18 357:22	102:2 107:23,24,25
370:14 397:16	412:5	360:20,22 376:23	107:25 108:2
403:20,20 406:18	opaque 123:12	377:6 380:9	145:25 201:2 218:6
417:11	131:11 134:1	operator 357:16,25	266:22 355:22
old 189:24 254:15	open 3:9 6:19 45:3	358:3 377:22,25	orange 82:3 322:15
413:12 416:6	54:10,15 123:3,6,6	399:4,13	orcat 330:17,18
older 223:22	155:11,12,19,21	operators 157:13	331:1,10,10,19,20
236:17 242:13	163:18 170:8 179:1	327:21 388:8	332:7,16 333:7,15
olivia 1:19 4:12	185:14 222:10	opining 233:11	334:6 340:2,12,17
55:4 82:15 96:3	224:14 226:5	opinion 45:18	340:22 341:15,18
289:4 308:24 309:8	242:10,15 254:12	70:14 94:6 243:11	342:11 343:3,10,17
317:1	404:16 405:1	255:18 296:21	343:19 344:9,19
onboarding 176:13	opened 172:12	297:16 414:12	346:1,3,5 347:4,7
once 35:9 48:7	173:2 174:18	opinions 61:3	348:24 350:4 351:4
143:2 145:1 184:11	215:13 390:13	276:22	352:15 358:15,19
184:22 234:21	opening 48:2 83:4	opportunity 6:2	358:21 359:20,25
242:19 253:24	155:14,18	7:14 10:3 47:14	360:23 361:4,8,11
283:10 286:14	openings 106:4	65:23 87:24 100:8	373:13 374:16
299:16 345:15	openness 185:9	133:10 142:19	375:8 383:11
365:19 368:14	operate 40:18	164:12 259:12	387:11,19 391:7,13
370:3,4 408:6	250:11	338:16 346:10	391:14,23 392:20
413:11 416:5	operating 234:4	oppose 109:16	394:12 397:25
ones 28:7 33:1 47:8	401:7	opposed 2:23 76:20	398:3,13 399:6,8,8
111:10 114:6 118:9	operation 391:19	84:13 94:20 150:13	399:25 400:6,14,20
123:16 131:1 132:2	412:7	150:19 280:17	400:24 401:16

[orcat - parry] Page 476

	I		T
402:10 403:6	283:4 417:13,14	overview 259:2	293:13 322:16
405:14 407:4	outages 395:2	282:11	354:13,16 355:6
408:12,19 409:12	outcome 108:15,18	overwhelming	pam 1:21
409:19 411:18	108:24,25 396:21	339:6	panel 148:20,23
413:2	outlay 198:19	overwhelmingly	161:6 162:21
orcat's 343:6	199:6,22 200:9	126:22 381:8	163:21 164:11
order 2:1,4 5:14	242:4	ownership 29:6,11	308:11
13:17,19 38:9 39:5	outlie 358:1	р	panic 246:18
39:12 44:20 77:11	outlies 353:7	p 79:16,16	panther 1:5
77:16 79:1 151:22	outline 96:9,10	p.m. 1:9	paper 123:25
169:16 238:22	297:18 353:2	p.iii. 1.9 p1 375:17 376:2	132:23 134:2 179:7
257:1,3,9 259:5	outlines 173:25	p1 3/3:1/3/6:2 p2 375:17 376:3	367:11
267:15 272:18,25	outside 69:13 89:9	•	paperwork 15:12
297:1 306:7 365:19	136:15 196:11	p25 342:12,15,18	par 138:23
orders 73:8 95:16	238:15 239:3	342:23 343:3,11,13 351:3 409:16	paramedic 391:15
367:10	265:18 349:24		parameters 266:16
organization	362:19	414:21	paraphernalia
195:15 388:12	outsiders 37:9	p3 375:17 376:7	44:14
390:7 403:12	overall 19:3 39:22	pa 248:9	paraphrasing
organizational	39:23 180:22 181:3	package 227:10	283:19 316:15
387:16 402:11	183:12 224:18	230:21	parent 1:16,24 9:24
organizations	376:13,16 390:6	packages 241:7	156:21 211:9
48:20 359:18	overlap 268:21	pact 191:3	248:19
organize 34:3	oversee 273:5	page 22:6,20 63:4,6	parents 35:3 40:5
orient 75:8	392:8,15	104:22 144:6	126:1,7,8,19,24
oriented 187:11	overseeing 161:9	217:19 234:25	156:21 157:2 183:8
241:3	oversees 162:7	261:2 356:24	183:11 214:10
origin 395:14	360:14	398:25 402:2	225:1,23 308:5
original 45:17 77:5	oversight 18:8,12	pagers 349:18	317:17
86:17 87:11 182:3	27:10 119:12	pages 358:1 380:23	park 343:5 373:19
281:19 298:15	206:22,24 234:14	paid 85:24 226:15	parkland 91:6
301:23 360:6	235:1 273:9 275:2	226:16,19,20	190:23 204:14
390:17	277:18 316:22	229:11 416:16	206:23 212:14,16
originally 210:16	340:13 357:14	painless 323:21	213:1 220:13
259:23	358:19 359:8	pains 164:15	225:10,18 322:13
orlando 6:5 44:24	377:17 378:21	paint 344:14	348:23 349:1,10,11
90:17 157:23	395:16	painted 364:2	349:13,17,19 354:2
ossi 350:1 352:12	overt 169:23	pairs 363:10	420:6
oteta 165:22	overtake 46:15	palm 31:18,20 33:3	parkway 1:5
ought 138:15	overtime 380:18	37:18 44:24 78:11	parkway 1:3 parry 157:8
232:21 282:16	500.10	101:12,15,17 102:7	parry 137.0
232:21 282:10		261:11 290:7	
		1	<u> </u>

[part - percentage] Page 477

part 3:21 11:20	partnered 44:4	paws 32:20,20	112:6 124:14
22:14 23:5 42:11	partners 61:16	pay 44:16 54:20	126:22 127:10
54:19 60:11 93:1	261:4,6,16 396:11	61:23 100:22 103:4	128:12 131:6
100:4,23 105:3	partnership 30:14	114:18 227:23	137:17,20 144:2
114:20,21 115:18	30:16 31:9 58:7	228:23 229:3	151:19 152:3,15,19
115:18 157:11	75:22 197:7	274:22 275:14	153:7 156:2 161:7
178:11 195:18	partnerships 38:11	286:21,22,23,24,24	162:19 166:3
211:20 219:23	39:15 172:17	287:6 357:9 404:24	170:12,18 176:3,5
221:22 223:6 224:9	196:12	405:1	178:15,23 206:9
236:14 238:23	parts 174:6 344:11	paying 262:6	213:25 228:6
250:2 255:9 263:24	party 91:11	285:25 371:5 404:6	229:24 238:19
267:2,3 269:19	pass 52:7 102:1	pays 229:1 357:6	242:5 244:5,10
270:1,2 288:7	126:18 240:10	pd 156:20 236:10	251:12 254:21
336:21 342:18	283:8 321:12	351:23 352:5	266:25 270:23
350:3 361:14 380:8	324:24 326:25	405:11,15 409:19	279:12 280:24
392:3 395:14 397:7	418:13	pd's 352:11	282:21 284:10,12
404:8 406:10,14	passed 7:19,21	peers 37:21,23	284:13 287:23
410:8	126:12 166:23	189:18 218:24	295:3 298:10,24
participants 83:3	301:15 305:21	251:6 252:15,17,19	314:18,19 334:3,5
331:19 332:21	320:1 326:10	253:13	334:6,16 335:3,24
377:12 397:21	369:21	pembroke 411:20	336:9,10,12,13,15
participate 73:24	passes 2:24 135:6	penalize 219:12	336:18 338:9
87:20,22 111:9	144:24 301:11	penalized 219:4	358:12,14,16,17,21
234:22 247:23	passing 321:9	229:6	358:25 359:1
330:14	passionate 202:2	penalties 127:2	361:19 364:18
participated 82:5	passive 133:8	pending 5:12 20:11	372:18,20 373:21
participating 87:24	passwords 79:7	115:7 116:2 145:21	383:12 384:8,12,18
88:11 332:17	patch 79:16 348:13	146:1 256:22 257:5	385:1,12 387:22
378:10 408:25	410:5,12,18,21,23	318:1 329:22	388:24 389:5,13
participation 4:24	410:25	penetrable 66:6	399:13 412:12,15
74:13 246:20	patched 352:10	pensacola 237:19	412:20 413:16
particular 65:21	patching 348:16	people 8:19 12:5,21	414:7
68:3 105:15 131:10	410:22	12:25 17:11 23:2,2	pep 40:21
346:24 355:16	path 9:4 20:17	31:2 33:19 44:13	perceive 380:4
357:12 368:6 398:8	273:18,23 275:9	49:25 51:3 56:1,7	perceived 58:17,17
405:7	patient 229:18	59:1 61:10,15 65:6	percent 17:20,25
parties 25:20	patin 31:16	65:20 66:7,24 67:7	18:14,16,18,25
377:10,14 378:15	patrol 31:19 33:2	67:18 68:2,14 82:5	215:6 254:17,18
415:7	47:10 349:21	83:7 100:15 106:13	percentage 110:13
partner 62:6 96:20	patrols 39:10	109:5,8,16,22	127:17
		110:3,6,20,23	

perception 181:8 284:24 307:2,10 342:23 351:4 374:7 66:15,16,19,25 378:1,13 313:21 316:24 374:13 66:15,16,19,25 perfect 108:8,12,13 324:15 325:6 phases 56:3 68:2,6,6,9 69:14,18 321:14,19 perform 111:15 personal 46:6 49:10 374:9 106:24 201:11 philosophy 298:18 111:23 112:25 376:14,16 378:8,11 378:14 397:3 performance 248:8 296:21 314:7 26:9 41:22,23 122:15:15 18:10 378:14 397:3 performed 348:14 415:21 70:16 166:8 214:11 382:0 142:21 362:21 performs 362:21 181:7 185:20 314:9 phones 154:9 phones 154:9 phones 154:9 164:24 175:2 197:2 175:15 15:315 154:17 performs 376:8 315:13 340:12 377:24 358:25 400:11,16,22 401:4 297:15 303:18 197:3,4 238:1 197:3,4 238:1 248:15 275:9 276:9 248:15 275:9 276:9 248:15 275:9 276:9 248:15 275:9 276:9 248:15 275:9 276:9 248:15 275:9 276:9 248:15 275:9 276:9 248:15 2				
378:1,13 313:21 316:24 374:13 67:1,9,10,19,22 68:2,66,9 69:14,18 108:14 311:9 321:6 132:114,19 350:17 363:10 389:8 phenomenal 47:25 75:16 77:22 82:3 perform 111:15 149:10 374:9 personal 46:6 106:24 201:11 248:8 296:21 314:7 242:2 25:1,5 26:2 38:1,15 99:19 111:23 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 112:25 114:23 115:1 111:23 112:25 114:23 115:1 111:23 112:25 114:23 115:1 115:13 26:9 41:2,23 170:16 166:8 214:11 138:20 142:1 125:2 230:17 247:9 145:5 150:3,13 145:51 145:51 389:14 145:21 138:13 340:12 389:9 165:12 170:3,8,17 165:12 170:3,8,17 165:12 170:3,8,17 165:12 170:3,8,17 164:24 175:2 197:2 166:24 175:0 17:9 165:14 75:0 17:9 164:24 175:0 17:0 165:12 70:3,8,17 164:24 175:0 240:1 175:0 59:27 160:13 56:49 175:0 57:3,21 175:0 57:3 <t< td=""><td>percentages 71:12</td><td>278:10 280:4</td><td>phase 342:12,15,18</td><td>65:15,17 66:4,5,5,8</td></t<>	percentages 71:12	278:10 280:4	phase 342:12,15,18	65:15,17 66:4,5,5,8
perfect 108:14,311:9,321:6 322:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14,19 323:14 323:14 323:14 323:14 323:14 323:14 323:13 324:15 325:10 323:14 323:14 323:13 324:12 323:13 324:12 323:13 <td>perception 181:8</td> <td>284:24 307:2,10</td> <td>342:23 351:4 374:7</td> <td>66:15,16,19,25</td>	perception 181:8	284:24 307:2,10	342:23 351:4 374:7	66:15,16,19,25
108:14 311:9 321:6 350:17 363:10 389:8 perform 111:15 personal 46:6 106:24 201:11 248:8 296:21 314:7 248:8 296:21 314:7 378:14 397:3 performed 348:14 400:21 performing 76:3 362:21 performs 376:8 performed 40:25 41:4 44:15 220:11 period 17:16 38:8 259:6,9 371:15 378:25 379:3,7,21 383:23 periodic 39:18 periods 207:24 permiteds 39:20 103:19 112:4 170:19 permitted 39:20 103:19 112:4 170:19 person 11:17,17 25:22 26:1,5 32:23 83:21 369:15 243:15 213:13 243:2 25:1,5 26:2 246:15 213:13 24:2,2 25:1,5 26:2 24:5 131:22 26:9 41:22,23 124:5 131:22 124:5 131:22 124:5 131:22 124:5 131:22 124:5 131:22 124:5 131:22 124:5 131:22 125:2 230:17 247:9 124:5 131:22 126:9 41:22,23 124:5 131:22 124:5 131:22 126:9 41:22,23 124:5 131:22 125:2 131:3 124:5 131:22 125:2 131:3 125:5 131:3 1	378:1,13	313:21 316:24	374:13	67:1,9,10,19,22
321:14,19	perfect 108:8,12,13	324:15 325:6	phases 56:3	68:2,6,6,9 69:14,18
perform 111:15 personal 46:6 298:18 298:18 111:23 112:25 114:23 115:1 performance 248:8 296:21 314:7 248:8 296:21 314:7 248:8 296:21 314:7 248:8 296:21 314:7 248:8 296:21 314:7 248:8 296:21 314:7 248:8 296:21 314:7 248:2 25:1,5 26:2 111:23 112:25 114:23 115:1 114:23 115:2 25:14:1 24:2,2 25:1,5 26:2 25:14:1 248:9 286:5 38:15:13 340:12 247:19 248:9 286:5 153:15 154:17 145:5 150:3,13 29:15:2 230:17 247:9 247:19 248:9 286:5 153:15 154:17 164:24 175:2 197:2 248:15 275:9 276:9 2400:11,16;22 401:4 29:15:3 03:18 <th< td=""><td>108:14 311:9 321:6</td><td>350:17 363:10</td><td>phenomenal 47:25</td><td>75:16 77:22 82:3</td></th<>	108:14 311:9 321:6	350:17 363:10	phenomenal 47:25	75:16 77:22 82:3
149:10 374:9 performance 248:8 296:21 314:7 373:15 375:25 338:11 385:11 415:21 376:14,16 378:8,11 378:14 397:3 personally 154:7 person	321:14,19	389:8	philosophy 298:15	83:1,15 99:19
performance 373:15 375:25 338:11 385:11 248:8 296:21 314:7 242:2 25:1,5 26:2 116:15 118:10 124:5 131:22 124:5 130:31 124:5 150:3,13 124:11 125:15 230:15 126:15 14:17 126:24 126:24 126:15 131:3 126:23 131:3 126:24 14:11 126:24 14:11 <td>perform 111:15</td> <td>personal 46:6</td> <td>298:18</td> <td>111:23 112:25</td>	perform 111:15	personal 46:6	298:18	111:23 112:25
373:15 375:25 376:14,16 378:8,11 378:14 397:3 performed 348:14 400:21 performing 76:3 362:21 performs 376:8 perimeter 40:25 41:4 44:15 220:11 period 17:16 38:8 259:6,9 371:15 periodic 39:18 periods 207:24 363:5 364:4 permates 332:21 permit 103:17,18 315:11 permited 39:20 103:19 112:4 170:19 persion 11:17,17 25:22 26:1,5 32:23 18:23 129:16,17 25:22 26:1,5 32:24 201:15 213:13 214:5 131:22 138:20 14:22,13 70:16 166:8 214:11 215:2 230:17 247:9 248:9 286:5 47:14 19 248:9 286:5 489:4 107:18 110:19 389:9 phones 154:9 phones 16:24 201:15 213:13 32:19 2020 326:13 32:11 329:10 337:24,25 338:222 340:420 338:221 picked 1	149:10 374:9	106:24 201:11	phone 11:4 23:23	114:23 115:1
376:14,16 378:8,11 415:21 70:16 166:8 214:11 138:20 142:21 378:14 397:3 performed 348:14 400:21 89:4 107:18 110:19 247:19 248:9 286:5 153:15 154:17 400:21 89:4 107:18 110:19 389:9 247:19 248:9 286:5 153:15 154:17 performing 76:3 362:21 181:7 185:20 314:9 phones 154:9 phones 154:9 phones 154:9 164:24 175:2 197:2 197:3,4 238:1 297:15 303:18 297:15 303:18 297:15 303:18 297:15 303:18 297:15 303:18 398:17 297:15 303:18 297:15 303:18 297:15 303:18 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 398:17 399:14 53:7 221:6 363:5 364:4 399:14 53:7 221:6 399:14 53:7 221:6 399:14 53:7 221:6 399:14 53:7 221:6 399:11 90:21 102:10 207:8 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 398:18 399:11 399:21 102:10 207:8 397:10 399:21 102:10 207:8<	performance	248:8 296:21 314:7	24:2,2 25:1,5 26:2	116:15 118:10
378:14 397:3 performed 348:14 personnel 5:25 247:19 248:9 286:5 145:5 150:3,13 153:15 154:17 400:21 89:4 107:18 110:19 performing 76:3 165:12 170:3,8,17 phones 154:9 phones 154:9 phrase 359:15 164:24 175:2 197:2 17	373:15 375:25	338:11 385:11	26:9 41:22,23	124:5 131:22
performed 348:14 400:21 personnel 5:25 89:4 107:18 110:19 165:12 170:3,8,17 247:19 248:9 286:5 153:15 154:17 164:24 175:2 197:2 197:2 197:3,4 238:1 performing 76:3 76:8 76:8 76:8 perimeter 40:25 41:14 44:15 220:11 374:23 375:5 378:1 44:44:15 220:11 374:23 375:5 378:1 period 40:11,16,22 401:4 physical 96:15 97:1 400:11,16,22 401:4 physical 96:15 97:1 physically 148:8 326:23 327:7 329:8 329:10 337:24,25 359:6,9 371:15 periodic 398:17 persons 354:21 physicall 96:15 97:1 physically 148:8 326:23 327:7 329:8 329:10 337:24,25 338:2,22 340:4,20 348:17 356:6 364:19 370:12 premissible 233:14 persons 266:18 perspective 172:6,6 167:17 picture 358:11 poicure 358:11 poicure 358:11 poicure 373:22 389:7 397:10 picture 373:21 373:22 389:7 397:10 picture 373:21 373:22 389:7 397:10 picture 373:22 389:7 397:10 picture 373:22 389:7 397:10 picture 373:21 373:22 389:7 397:10 picture 373:8,11 67:14 99:4 39:11 picture 373:11 picture 373:11 pi	376:14,16 378:8,11	415:21	70:16 166:8 214:11	138:20 142:21
89:4 107:18 110:19 165:12 170:3,8,17 181:7 185:20 314:9 phones 154:9 phones 359:15 248:15 275:9 276:9 297:15 303:18 perimeter 40:25 41:4 44:15 220:11 374:23 375:5 378:1 period 17:16 38:8 378:25 379:3,7,21 380:2,4,14 390:3 398:17 periodic 39:18 periodic 39:18 periodic 39:18 periodis 207:24 363:5 364:4 permeates 332:21 permissible 233:14 permissible 233:14 permisted 39:20 103:19 112:4 103:19 112:4 permisted 39:20 103:19 112:4 permisted 39:20 103:19 112:4 permist 332:14 permist 323:13 permist 332:14 permist 323:13 permist 332:14 permist 323:13 permist 322:14 permist 323:13 permist 322:14 permist 323:13 permist 322:14 permist 323:13 permist 322:14 permist 323:14	378:14 397:3	personally 154:7	215:2 230:17 247:9	145:5 150:3,13
performing 76:3 165:12 170:3,8,17 phones 154:9 phrase 359:15 248:15 275:9 276:9 performs 376:8 315:13 340:12 phrase 359:15 400:11,16,22 401:4 297:15 303:18 297:15 303:18 297:15 303:18 309:3 321:19,20,20 207:15 303:18 309:3 321:19,20,20 329:10 327:24,25 329:10 327:24,25 329:10 327:24,25 338:2,2,2 340:4,20 348:17 356:6 364:19 370:12 364:19 370:12 373:22 389:7 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:10 397:	performed 348:14	personnel 5:25	247:19 248:9 286:5	153:15 154:17
181:7 185:20 314:9 phrase 359:15 248:15 275:9 276:9 performs 376:8 perimeter 40:25 357:7,24 358:25 41:4 44:15 220:11 374:23 375:5 378:1 378:23 375:5 378:1 period 17:16 38:8 259:6,9 371:15 380:2,4,14 390:3 398:17 periodic 39:18 periodic 207:24 permeates 332:21 permissible 233:14 permission 383:23 permit 103:17,18 315:11 permitted 39:20 103:19 112:4 170:19 persist 332:14 persist 3	400:21	89:4 107:18 110:19	389:9	164:24 175:2 197:2
performs 376:8 315:13 340:12 400:11,16,22 401:4 297:15 303:18 perimeter 40:25 357:7,24 358:25 41:4 44:15 220:11 400:11,16,22 401:4 297:15 303:18 period 17:16 38:8 378:25 379:3,7,21 309:3 321:19,20,20 period 378:25 379:3,7,21 354:21 physically 148:8 326:23 327:7 329:8 259:6,9 371:15 380:2,4,14 390:3 398:17 persons 266:18 persons 266:18 persons 266:18 perspective 172:6,6 167:17 364:19 370:12 373:22 389:7 397:10 373:22 389:7 397:10 373:22 389:7 397:10 <t< td=""><td>performing 76:3</td><td>165:12 170:3,8,17</td><td>phones 154:9</td><td>197:3,4 238:1</td></t<>	performing 76:3	165:12 170:3,8,17	phones 154:9	197:3,4 238:1
perimeter 40:25 357:7,24 358:25 physical 96:15 97:1 309:3 321:19,20,20 41:4 44:15 220:11 374:23 375:5 378:1 physically 148:8 326:23 327:7 329:8 period 17:16 38:8 378:25 379:3,7,21 physically 148:8 326:23 327:7 329:8 259:6,9 371:15 380:2,4,14 390:3 398:17 periodic 39:18 398:17 persons 266:18 persons 266:18 perspective 172:6,6 167:17 picked 166:24 348:17 356:6 364:19 370:12 373:22 389:7 397:10 39:21 perspectives 38:10 267:7 364:2 pictures 41:13,21 placed 156:19 254:17 378:8 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 32	362:21	181:7 185:20 314:9	phrase 359:15	248:15 275:9 276:9
41:4 44:15 220:11 period 17:16 38:8 259:6,9 371:15 periodic 39:18 periods 207:24 363:5 364:4 permeates 332:21 permissible 233:14 permission 383:23 permit 103:17,18 315:11 permitted 39:20 103:19 112:4 170:19 persist 332:14 person 11:17,17 25:22 26:1,5 32:23 83:9 98:10,22 106:19 150:24 201:15 213:13 214:19 230:4 231:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6 374:23 375:5 378:1 378:23 375:5 378:1 378:25 379:3,7,21 380:2,4,14 390:3 398:17 persons 266:18 perspective 172:6,6 180:3 332:1 369:15 perspective 38:10 39:14 53:7 221:6 pertinent 239:9 319:21 pete 324:20,23,25 325:8 petersburg 323:8 354:21 pick 145:2 picked 166:24 167:17 picture 85:11 90:13 364:19 370:12 373:22 389:7 397:10 placed 156:19 254:17 378:8 placement 343:5 place 81:6 119:18 123:25 134:2 262:16 286:17 picure 85:11 90:13 373:22 389:7 397:10 placed 156:19 254:17 378:8 placement 343:5 place 81:8 367:11 pinellas 89:24 90:1 pines 411:20 plain 246:1 plan 33:2,14,22 39:5 42:11 44:21 piped 237:11 pistol 297:3 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 82:17 113:11 114:23 141:2	performs 376:8	315:13 340:12	400:11,16,22 401:4	297:15 303:18
period 17:16 38:8 378:25 379:3,7,21 354:21 329:10 337:24,25 periodic 39:18 398:17 pick 145:2 338:2,22 340:4,20 periods 207:24 persons 266:18 persons 266:18 persons 266:18 perspective 172:6,6 167:17 364:19 370:12 378:22 389:7 permeates 332:21 perspective 172:6,6 167:17 364:19 370:12 373:22 389:7 397:10 permissible 233:14 perspectives 38:10 267:7 364:2 pictures 397:10 placed 156:19 254:17 378:8 placed 156:19 254:17 378:8 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 343:5 placement 343:15 37:8,11 67:14 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 322:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 32:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 269:23 32:17 37:8,11 67:14	perimeter 40:25	357:7,24 358:25	physical 96:15 97:1	309:3 321:19,20,20
259:6,9 371:15 periodic 39:18 periods 207:24 363:5 364:4 permeates 332:21 permissible 233:14 permission 383:23 permit 103:17,18 315:11 permitted 39:20 103:19 112:4 170:19 persist 332:14 person 11:17,17 25:22 26:1,5 32:23 83:9 98:10,22 106:19 150:24 201:15 213:13 214:19 230:4 231:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6 periodic 39:18 398:17 persons 266:18 perspective 172:6,6 180:3 332:1 369:15 perspective 172:6,6 180:3 332:1 369:15 perspectives 38:10 39:14 53:7 221:6 pertinent 239:9 319:21 pete 324:20,23,25 325:8 petersburg 323:8 petersburg 323:8 323:10 324:13 petty 1:24 57:13,14 pinellas 89:24 90:1 pinellas 89:24 90:1 pinellas 89:24 90:1 pine 231:22 piped 237:11 pipe 231:22 piped 237:11 piped 237:12 piped 237:11 piped	41:4 44:15 220:11	374:23 375:5 378:1	physically 148:8	326:23 327:7 329:8
periodic 39:18 periods 207:24 398:17 picked 166:24 348:17 356:6 364:19 370:12 363:5 364:4 persons 266:18 perspective 172:6,6 167:17 364:19 370:12 373:22 389:7 397:10 373:22 389:7 397:10 373:22 389:7 397:10 393:10 393:10 267:7 364:2 364:19 370:12 397:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 267:7 364:2 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10 393:10	period 17:16 38:8	378:25 379:3,7,21	354:21	329:10 337:24,25
periods 207:24 persons 266:18 perspective 172:6,6 180:3 332:1 persons 167:17 picture 364:19 370:12 373:22 389:7 permeates 332:21 permissible 233:14 perspectives 38:10 32:15 served 90:21 102:10 207:8 397:10 perced 397:10 perced permission 383:23 permit 103:17,18 315:11 pertinent 239:14 53:7 221:6 pertinent pictures 41:13,21 picces placed 156:19 placed 156:	259:6,9 371:15	380:2,4,14 390:3	pick 145:2	338:2,22 340:4,20
363:5 364:4 perspective 172:6,6 picture 85:11 90:13 373:22 389:7 permeates 332:21 perspectives 38:10 90:21 102:10 207:8 397:10 permissible 233:14 perspectives 38:10 267:7 364:2 placed 156:19 permit 103:17,18 319:21 pettinent 239:9 picce 81:6 119:18 placed 156:19 permitted 39:20 pet 324:20,23,25 325:8 petersburg 323:8 123:25 134:2 places 7:6 29:1 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 32:15 places 81:8 367:11 323:15 places 81:33 12:17 323:15 places 81:33 12:17 323:15 places 90:1 106:24 322:14 323:15 placing 409:20 plain 24:18 37:18,19,22 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21 39:5 42:11 44:21	periodic 39:18	398:17	picked 166:24	348:17 356:6
permeates 332:21 180:3 332:1 369:15 90:21 102:10 207:8 397:10 permissible 233:14 perspectives 38:10 267:7 364:2 placed 156:19 permit 103:17,18 39:14 53:7 221:6 pertinent 239:9 254:17 378:8 permitted 39:20 319:21 pete 324:20,23,25 325:8 places 7:6 29:1 persist 332:14 petersburg 323:8 323:10 324:13 petersburg 323:8 323:10 324:13 petty 1:24 57:13,14 323:7 409:10 placing 409:20 plain 246:1 plan 33:2,14,22 33:23 40:17 plan 33:2,14,22 person 11:17,17 145:16,17 301:20 pio 191:1 plan 33:2,14,22 83:9 98:10,22 301:21 329:12 301:21 329:12 pio 191:1 plan 33:2,14,22 201:15 213:13 37:222,23 416:25 417:1,11 420:11,13 pipe 231:22 pipe 231:22 39:5 42:11 44:21 243:7 271:23 272:6 417:1,11	periods 207:24	persons 266:18	167:17	364:19 370:12
permissible 233:14 permission 383:23 perspectives 38:10 39:14 53:7 221:6 permit 103:17,18 315:11 permitted 39:20 pete 324:20,23,25 103:19 112:4 person 11:17,17 person 11:17,17 25:22 26:1,5 32:23 83:9 98:10,22 106:19 150:24 201:15 213:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6 perspectives 38:10 perspectives 38:10 person 38:10 39:14 53:7 221:6 pertinent 239:9 permit 103:17,18 person 11:17,17 person 11:17,17 petty 1:24 57:13,14 ship petry 1:24 57	363:5 364:4	perspective 172:6,6	picture 85:11 90:13	373:22 389:7
permission 383:23 39:14 53:7 221:6 pictures 41:13,21 254:17 378:8 permit 103:17,18 315:11 pertinent 239:9 piece 81:6 119:18 placement 343:5 permitted 39:20 pete 324:20,23,25 262:16 286:17 places 7:6 29:1 103:19 112:4 pete solures 323:8 petersburg 323:8 petersburg 323:8 persist 332:14 petry 1:24 57:13,14 323:7 409:10 placing 409:20 persist 329:10,322 145:16,17 301:20 pines 411:20 plain 246:1 83:9 98:10,22 106:19 150:24 301:21 329:12 pipe 231:12 pipe 231:22 39:5 42:11 44:21 201:15 213:13 372:22,23 416:25 piped 237:11 pistol 297:3 60:18 67:21 68:15 243:7 271:23 272:6 ph 294:25 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	permeates 332:21	180:3 332:1 369:15	90:21 102:10 207:8	397:10
permit 103:17,18 pertinent 239:9 piece 81:6 119:18 placement 343:5 315:11 319:21 pete 324:20,23,25 262:16 286:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 103:19 112:4 325:8 petersburg 323:8 323:10 324:13 piece 81:8 367:11 269:23 322:17 170:19 petersburg 323:8 323:10 324:13 90:1 106:24 322:14 323:15 placing 409:20 person 11:17,17 81:23 129:16,17 323:7 409:10 pines 411:20 plain 246:1 25:22 26:1,5 32:23 81:23 129:16,17 145:16,17 301:20 pio 191:1 plan 33:2,14,22 106:19 150:24 301:21 329:12 372:22,23 416:25 pipe 237:11 44:22 47:12 56:6 214:19 230:4 417:1,11 420:11,13 pistol 297:3 60:18 67:21 68:15 231:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	permissible 233:14	perspectives 38:10	267:7 364:2	placed 156:19
315:11 319:21 peter 319:21 peter 324:20,23,25 123:25 134:2 places 7:6 29:1 103:19 112:4 325:8 325:8 325:8 323:10 324:13 262:16 286:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 170:19 323:10 324:13 323:10 324:13 323:10 324:13 323:10 324:13 323:15 323:15 11217,17 323:10 324:13 323:10 324:13 323:7 409:10 323:15	permission 383:23	39:14 53:7 221:6	pictures 41:13,21	254:17 378:8
permitted 39:20 pete 324:20,23,25 262:16 286:17 37:8,11 67:14 99:4 103:19 112:4 325:8 petersburg 323:8 pieces 81:8 367:11 269:23 322:17 170:19 petersburg 323:8 323:10 324:13 pinellas 89:24 90:1 323:15 11:17,17 petty 1:24 57:13,14 323:7 409:10 placing 409:20 83:9 98:10,22 145:16,17 301:20 pio 191:1 plan 33:2,14,22 106:19 150:24 301:21 329:12 pipe 231:22 39:5 42:11 44:21 214:19 230:4 417:1,11 420:11,13 pistol 297:3 60:18 67:21 68:15 231:13 240:17 ph 294:25 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	permit 103:17,18	pertinent 239:9	piece 81:6 119:18	placement 343:5
103:19 112:4 325:8 petersburg 323:8 pieces 81:8 367:11 269:23 322:17 persist 332:14 petersburg 323:8 323:10 324:13 90:1 106:24 322:14 placing 409:20 person 11:17,17 petty 1:24 57:13,14 323:7 409:10 plain 246:1 25:22 26:1,5 32:23 81:23 129:16,17 pines 411:20 plan 33:2,14,22 83:9 98:10,22 301:21 329:12 pipe 231:22 39:5 42:11 44:21 201:15 213:13 372:22,23 416:25 piped 237:11 44:22 47:12 56:6 214:19 230:4 417:1,11 420:11,13 pistol 297:3 60:18 67:21 68:15 231:13 240:17 ph 294:25 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 82:17 113:11 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	315:11	319:21	123:25 134:2	places 7:6 29:1
170:19 petersburg 323:8 pinellas 89:24 90:1 323:15 persist 332:14 petty 1:24 57:13,14 90:1 106:24 322:14 placing 409:20 plain 246:1 plain 246:1 plain 246:1 25:22 26:1,5 32:23 81:23 129:16,17 pines 411:20 plain 246:1 83:9 98:10,22 145:16,17 301:20 pio 191:1 plan 33:2,14,22 301:21 329:12 301:21 329:12 pipe 231:22 39:5 42:11 44:21 201:15 213:13 47:1,11 420:11,13 pistol 297:3 60:18 67:21 68:15 21:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	permitted 39:20	pete 324:20,23,25	262:16 286:17	37:8,11 67:14 99:4
persist 332:14 323:10 324:13 90:1 106:24 322:14 placing 409:20 person 11:17,17 81:23 129:16,17 pines 411:20 plain 246:1 83:9 98:10,22 145:16,17 301:20 pio 191:1 34:18 37:18,19,22 106:19 150:24 301:21 329:12 pipe 231:22 39:5 42:11 44:21 201:15 213:13 372:22,23 416:25 piped 237:11 44:22 47:12 56:6 214:19 230:4 417:1,11 420:11,13 pistol 297:3 60:18 67:21 68:15 231:13 240:17 ph 294:25 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 82:17 113:11 243:7 271:23 272:6 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	103:19 112:4	325:8	pieces 81:8 367:11	269:23 322:17
person 11:17,17 petty 1:24 57:13,14 323:7 409:10 plain 246:1 83:9 98:10,22 145:16,17 301:20 pines 411:20 plan 33:2,14,22 106:19 150:24 301:21 329:12 pipe 231:22 39:5 42:11 44:21 201:15 213:13 372:22,23 416:25 piped 237:11 44:22 47:12 56:6 214:19 230:4 417:1,11 420:11,13 pistol 297:3 60:18 67:21 68:15 231:13 240:17 ph 294:25 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 82:17 113:11 243:7 271:23 272:6 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	170:19	petersburg 323:8	pinellas 89:24 90:1	323:15
25:22 26:1,5 32:23 81:23 129:16,17 145:16,17 301:20 106:19 150:24 201:15 213:13 214:19 230:4 231:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6 81:23 129:16,17 145:16,17 301:20 301:21 329:12 301:21 329:12 372:22,23 416:25 417:1,11 420:11,13 ph 294:25 pines 411:20 pio 191:1 pipe 231:22 piped 237:11 pistol 297:3 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 plan 33:2,14,22 34:18 37:18,19,22 39:5 42:11 44:21 44:22 47:12 56:6 60:18 67:21 68:15 82:17 113:11 114:23 141:2	persist 332:14	323:10 324:13	90:1 106:24 322:14	placing 409:20
83:9 98:10,22 106:19 150:24 201:15 213:13 214:19 230:4 231:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6 145:16,17 301:20 301:21 329:12 372:22,23 416:25 417:1,11 420:11,13 ph 294:25 pipe 231:22 piped 237:11 pistol 297:3 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 34:18 37:18,19,22 39:5 42:11 44:21 44:22 47:12 56:6 60:18 67:21 68:15 8:8 20:2,15 27:10	person 11:17,17	petty 1:24 57:13,14	323:7 409:10	plain 246:1
106:19 150:24 301:21 329:12 pipe 231:22 39:5 42:11 44:21 201:15 213:13 372:22,23 416:25 piped 237:11 44:22 47:12 56:6 214:19 230:4 417:1,11 420:11,13 pistol 297:3 60:18 67:21 68:15 231:13 240:17 ph 294:25 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 82:17 113:11 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	25:22 26:1,5 32:23	81:23 129:16,17	pines 411:20	plan 33:2,14,22
201:15 213:13 214:19 230:4 231:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6 272:22,23 416:25 417:1,11 420:11,13 ph 294:25 piped 237:11 pistol 297:3 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 44:22 47:12 56:6 60:18 67:21 68:15 82:17 113:11 114:23 141:2	83:9 98:10,22	145:16,17 301:20	pio 191:1	34:18 37:18,19,22
214:19 230:4 231:13 240:17 243:7 271:23 272:6	106:19 150:24	301:21 329:12	pipe 231:22	39:5 42:11 44:21
231:13 240:17 ph 294:25 place 6:21 7:23 8:5 82:17 113:11 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	201:15 213:13	372:22,23 416:25	piped 237:11	44:22 47:12 56:6
243:7 271:23 272:6 8:8 20:2,15 27:10 114:23 141:2	214:19 230:4	417:1,11 420:11,13	pistol 297:3	60:18 67:21 68:15
	231:13 240:17	ph 294:25	place 6:21 7:23 8:5	82:17 113:11
	243:7 271:23 272:6		8:8 20:2,15 27:10	114:23 141:2
		<u> </u>	1014	

[plan - positive] Page 479

166:20 167:21	plethora 38:24	110:13,16 160:19	277:11,19,20
218:15 320:17	78:9	160:21 162:6,8	278:15 306:10
412:10,10	plug 359:13	165:6 205:4 221:10	352:9 367:5 377:24
planned 68:17	plugged 399:4	228:19 233:19	400:19
72:19 83:8	plus 114:9 169:12	235:16,21 250:8	polk 1:22 107:2
planner 187:5	169:12 212:15	290:6,7 291:3	126:14 416:22
planning 48:21	215:11 239:7	296:14 297:24	polygraph 285:10
118:11 395:16	point 65:19 66:23	304:16 305:3,13,16	polys 288:19
plans 33:21 47:17	67:8 69:4,19 79:24	306:2 322:22 323:8	poor 363:2 364:25
61:1 74:10 119:2	84:1 94:19 98:10	323:10 324:20,23	pop 245:19
178:3 256:2	114:12 123:24	325:1 326:2,15	popped 408:16
plant 188:4 205:2	129:6 138:12	328:25 331:2,3	poppell 1:18 4:17
205:10 237:1	141:17 142:6 150:8	333:2 336:25	poppell's 4:14
plantation 78:10	151:7 154:1 158:6	339:16,20 340:24	populate 207:6
330:13 331:3	168:20 205:9 240:5	341:12,20 342:13	populates 207:16
341:16 342:3,14,19	240:25 241:4,14	342:14 347:9	208:15
349:4 351:2,3,9,12	260:20 279:1	351:25 353:18	population 361:18
351:23,25 352:5,10	290:18,18 291:1	356:8 361:1 367:9	361:19,22
356:16 383:15	318:16 340:19	375:22 390:12,23	port 341:2
408:17 409:11,19	348:21 349:22	391:4,9,11,17	portable 65:24,25
411:23	355:16,19 358:20	392:4,11,19,24	323:18,20 346:16
plants 188:2	363:9 364:12 403:9	394:25 395:12	portables 65:22
platform 76:5	416:13	396:6,8,16 403:24	portal 76:2
173:20,21 179:8	pointed 119:19	404:11 409:11	portion 3:9
play 41:9 85:1,3	339:5 396:10	policeman 167:8	portions 374:25
261:4 287:14	419:12	policies 28:7	ports 321:11
309:24	pointing 380:9	138:20 139:4	pose 73:10
played 34:15 45:3	415:20	151:21 175:1	posed 97:12
355:4	points 37:13	278:21 294:7,8	position 3:22
players 335:15	152:14,14,16 197:3	335:1,3 358:12	132:18 133:15
415:19	198:11 239:24	379:20 382:13,16	213:18,20 215:8
plays 80:16	240:2 252:18	388:4,7 403:15	224:7 229:8 307:25
please 3:19,22 9:7	322:18 340:5	policing 290:12	308:1 313:7 390:14
71:12 231:10	414:21	policy 7:20,21 8:7	392:15,18 393:18
339:12 340:18	poke 244:5,6	8:11 19:15 21:4	positions 105:20
352:7 361:24 403:8	poland 82:22 83:15	27:3,24 68:4 113:2	107:1 228:13
pleased 89:5	police 1:13,25	113:6,6,9,13 114:7	287:24
pledge 2:7,10	78:10,12 97:24	114:23 116:9,17,22	positive 7:9 12:14
plenty 35:8 107:23	98:15,16,18,19	117:4,6 119:7,23	29:4 33:19 34:3
107:24 373:11	99:14,24 103:21	121:8 143:16 144:7	35:9 72:24 126:22
411:10	106:5,18 110:11,12	146:8 149:13	185:21 375:23
L	1	1	1

[positive - prior] Page 480

270 2 201 0 10	175 10	70.016.70.600	4. 70.16
379:2 381:9,18	practicing 175:13	72:9,16 73:6,20	prevention 73:16
possession 123:8	prayer 123:6	76:14,18 125:9	92:1 95:13 254:18
possible 6:15	pre 5:24 173:24	127:20 162:22	previewing 57:19
207:18 323:21,22	202:24 244:25	177:6 196:7 304:5	previous 154:21
349:2 383:19	257:23 258:2,2	331:10 333:24	previously 40:7
possibly 67:11	preaching 115:12	334:20 336:4 339:1	47:19 112:17
208:2	151:7	339:4 340:16	prices 42:16
post 5:24 107:12	precaution 350:17	366:20 370:25	primary 83:24
187:9 200:25	preceding 17:18	412:9 420:21	205:9 210:24
212:15	144:6	presentations 5:3	217:13,20 236:11
poster 89:9	precision 297:2	8:14,18 9:8,16,20	280:7 314:10
posters 73:25 89:8	predominantly	30:25 65:3 67:6	325:24 326:4,6
postponed 299:23	170:18 391:19	177:7	343:6 368:5 391:6
posts 44:11 50:14	prefer 356:16	presented 31:10	409:7,8
pot 289:14	preference 280:14	189:16 200:20	principal 14:25
potential 319:16	preferred 355:22	353:20 359:23	16:11 29:8 70:8,11
potentially 71:9	prek 74:23	presenter 117:12	112:3 119:21
89:22 115:22 394:1	preliminary 387:13	presenters 82:19	120:16,17 138:3
power 53:4 275:5	387:15	president 1:25 5:5	168:2,3 170:11
418:10,11	premise 120:1	5:7 126:8 127:25	178:19 180:6 194:5
powerful 33:13	preparations	presidents 125:24	194:7,10 204:1
powers 1:24 114:11	197:19	press 247:14	209:21,23 210:3
114:13	prepare 69:5	pressure 142:13	219:3 220:4 223:1
practical 396:1	146:18 255:6	250:16	224:17 225:16
practically 82:9	prepared 53:20	pretty 24:20 27:2	230:23 314:7
practice 115:5	334:11 358:22	58:18 159:9 168:16	principal's 230:21
117:6 118:4 120:1	359:1 381:12,23	168:17 172:24	principals 15:8
136:13 139:5,6	preparedness 91:8	208:9 211:11	111:14 177:1
143:16 144:10,11	preparing 192:9	260:14 261:19	180:11,23 182:15
144:15	prerequisites 74:15	326:24 334:22	190:19 201:10,10
practices 71:2 96:7	prescreening	342:16 362:13	205:9 218:23 219:1
102:12 118:14,16	165:20	366:21 368:3,21	219:8,22,25 220:9
118:21 119:1 122:8	present 6:2 20:25	prevalent 370:21	222:25 223:5
128:25 130:15,16	27:4 28:22 47:12	383:10 397:22	principle 10:10
133:16 136:1,1,4	70:21 71:11 83:16	prevent 91:25	principles 314:17
137:1,3,7 140:2	97:15 209:9 210:8	149:11 243:3	355:17
145:12 215:13	245:22,24 258:23	399:17	printed 401:10
259:13 274:16	278:24	prevented 44:1	prior 50:1 91:14
276:11 314:24	presentation 6:4	preventing 188:10	175:16 205:12
316:19,23 317:4,6	7:12,15,18 29:24	245:8	206:5,22 207:20
317:11,22	30:4,9 32:2 71:6		210:23 212:14
,			

[prior - progress] Page 481

229:8 380:13	140:24 150:21	21:16 26:19,21,25	productive 33:2
381:25 383:8	151:5,25 172:20,22	27:19 31:11 32:9	45:20 92:6 393:22
priorities 248:12	180:1 197:24 198:1	56:5 75:18 80:11	professional 39:7
prioritize 47:1	218:21 219:5,11,17	80:15 85:14 94:14	43:7 371:12 401:5
prioritizes 75:6	230:2 270:2 282:23	107:5,6 113:9	professionals 217:6
priority 173:3	282:25 306:17	115:2 120:8 123:18	239:8 332:17
220:7	310:19 311:1	123:21 137:10	391:21 394:10
private 33:9 99:1	328:11,12,17 338:3	138:10 140:18	396:15,24
102:13,14,17,22,23	338:14 364:14	144:21,25 148:12	program 33:15,17
103:3,4,10,12,14	382:19 388:11,12	160:8 170:22	38:19,20,21 40:9
103:17,22,25 105:6	388:13 401:12	177:21 191:14	40:12 41:17,18
270:22 280:3	problematic	192:18 204:3	45:6 54:3,11,13
312:21	119:17 197:16	206:25 207:17	55:13 60:3 62:12
proactive 46:21	201:3 203:22	208:7,19 209:3	73:18 75:5 85:20
229:6 262:23 263:2	240:15 305:2	211:3 214:18	86:2,7 87:17 100:7
probably 18:1,2	369:12 376:3	221:11 254:3	100:8 103:1,3,12
19:8 24:24 52:7	problems 80:3	260:11 263:16	103:16 106:17
54:20,21 63:1 72:3	107:14 163:11	282:20,21 285:15	109:19 111:2
76:13,17 77:8,25	216:10 333:7 346:6	287:10 292:8	126:11 146:14
81:12 90:7 94:8	370:17 371:24	295:19 299:1,3	164:5 165:10
101:20 103:6 106:3	372:3 379:7,14	300:10 320:24	168:15,18 173:10
106:9 133:5 134:17	380:12 389:14,16	325:2,9 331:22	190:24 192:17
134:24 135:7	390:5,8 401:21	338:5 339:25	205:18 209:11
176:18 186:5,15	402:17 403:13	343:21 344:6 345:4	218:17 227:22
188:7 195:16 202:8	409:24 410:14	345:16 347:9	237:20 250:11
229:21 230:3	417:19 419:21	348:14 349:1	253:20 257:15
239:15 241:17	procedure 21:4	355:13 369:22	258:3,4,5,6,9,13
242:11 258:21	113:2 116:10,17,23	378:18 387:2	269:21,24 270:13
261:1,2,13 266:1	144:7 146:8	388:11	282:18 283:8
285:4 296:20	procedures 27:24	processes 27:9	291:12,25 292:17
297:12,18,23 309:2	28:7 151:21 175:1	36:25 153:2 338:1	296:9 297:22 300:5
309:24 321:2,9	276:4 335:1 338:1	350:23 376:17	301:2 304:7 308:12
329:23,25 340:15	377:24 379:8,11	378:17 379:9 389:6	308:19 374:17
355:3 383:22	380:16 382:13,16	processing 177:4	398:11
398:23 402:1	388:5,7	procurement 75:18	programs 29:14
problem 16:23 17:4	proceedings 344:2	153:2	95:20,21,25 257:12
21:9,10,10 28:4	422:8,10	product 96:10	257:14,19,22,24,25
33:4,15,17,20,24	process 13:13,23	221:16	259:3 276:9 310:8
34:1 45:23 51:7,20	14:7,16 15:9 16:16	production 38:22	399:24
81:19 100:4 106:25	16:22 17:7,10 18:7	39:9 45:6 53:25	progress 6:9 99:14
107:24 132:15	18:7 19:15 20:2	60:3	101:3 131:20,24
		I	

132:4 306:16	prosecutor 13:22	312:24 313:9	400:17 401:1,5
418:21 419:6	protect 37:4 186:1	319:14 321:23	418:12
prohibited 325:25	protection 311:4	provisions 269:9	publication 63:17
prohibition 270:4	protocol 241:23	269:10,14 277:14	publicizing 93:18
prohibits 398:3,13	protocols 175:11	282:11 318:12	128:23
project 32:2,11,17	205:14 234:5 249:3	psa 39:8 225:19	publicly 127:4
32:18,24 34:16,25	276:10	psap 237:7,15	128:10 133:18
36:8,19 37:2,25	prouder 30:17	323:4 328:4 340:10	371:8
38:5 40:6 45:18	provide 4:22 5:10	354:3 356:17 412:3	publish 125:12,13
47:3 52:19,25 56:3	14:2,6 20:13 25:8	psaps 322:17 328:6	125:16 129:24
96:9 236:15	27:23 35:5 41:8	340:5 354:16	published 249:8
projector 111:3	71:4 76:2 80:20	369:17	publix 407:19,22
projects 84:7,8,11	102:2 124:5 195:13	psc 1:13	407:25 408:2,11
241:18	208:6 228:21,25	psych 165:19 285:9	pull 77:12 78:8
promise 89:23 90:2	239:16 260:24	psychological	231:24 252:22
90:4 195:14 229:13	274:18 277:18	82:24 186:19	pulling 77:20
257:14,14 258:4,9	280:8 304:18	psychologist	186:25 211:15
258:13	315:16 339:20	186:19 190:9,12	252:23
promote 254:8	357:13,16 381:2,10	193:11,21	pulse 265:7
promoted 191:6,10	418:21	psychologists 193:7	pun 183:1
promoting 90:24	provided 73:22	193:7	punitive 378:12
272:7	156:24 277:16	psychs 287:1	purchase 39:5
promptly 409:23	344:9 356:19,21	public 1:1,19 2:5	42:12,21
proper 212:18	375:9 377:21 393:4	7:11 12:18 16:24	purchased 237:20
240:3,9 252:10	409:11	17:4 22:9 33:10	345:3
396:2	providers 318:21	45:7 53:23 99:2,7	purely 264:10
properly 28:11,15	319:14,15 385:19	122:3,11 126:13	purpose 112:19
191:6 222:3 332:7	provides 227:19	127:3 128:15	295:25 371:21
proportion 337:9	275:22 278:11	129:11 133:15	372:11
proposal 138:4	280:1 305:9 313:2	141:4 142:12,13	purposes 3:23
392:19,23 393:3,9	340:12 346:10	159:12,14 205:23	234:4 366:21
proposals 394:20	362:23	205:24 233:25	push 52:22 65:20
394:24	providing 73:3,24	250:19 273:6	91:10 295:1 327:23
proposed 88:12	86:4 101:8 103:2	306:21 321:10	pushback 223:4
392:5,14 394:2	104:4 227:21	322:17 332:16	225:3
396:19,23	233:24 234:7	333:4 340:4 344:22	pushed 64:5,20
proposing 290:24	273:24 340:8	344:22,25 345:7	299:1
propped 224:14	provision 103:11	371:10 391:20,23	pushing 92:15 93:9
propriety 213:6	254:25 270:2,12	392:2,5,14,21	95:11 126:10,23,24
pros 347:14	271:7,10 277:4	393:1,17,24 394:10	223:8 405:23
	270.2 207.10	205.10 206.15 24	111.10 110.00
	278:2 306:18	395:18 396:15,24	414:18 419:22

[put - rate] Page 483

put 32:16 35:15	a	questions 3:18	379:13
58:16 65:16 69:2	q	23:15 35:5,10,16	quoting 395:2
77:9 94:15 96:18	qualified 106:21	48:3,23 52:12	
98:21 106:11	110:3,4	55:21,24 64:1 65:7	r
109:11 115:24	qualify 110:12,14	70:25 71:6 73:5,9	r 104:18 422:1
122:8 124:20	quality 367:18	97:11,12 103:5	radio 152:4 321:5
125:20,21 132:24	374:24 377:21	118:5 140:10,19	321:23 322:4,10
138:20 139:4	378:6,9 379:10	159:1,3 162:23	323:16,18,20 327:9
141:13 142:13,20	391:2	163:16,18 177:6	330:2,5,6,9 334:5
143:7 153:18,23	quarter 217:17	193:3 194:19	335:10 342:19,20
157:14 158:9	234:21 382:6	207:10 222:11	342:23 343:4
163:22 165:14	quarterly 145:9	223:21,25 226:10	344:23 345:25
166:17 173:5	queried 77:16	226:14 243:1	346:15 347:6 358:5
175:23 179:8	350:14	247:18 260:16	360:20 362:10,24
180:15,23 207:4	query 76:1 77:2	291:7 317:24 327:1	363:24 366:22
213:25 223:19	78:8 79:2 406:25	329:21 338:16,19	367:9 368:19
227:11 229:9,21	question 9:21	347:13 371:9	377:25 395:5,6,25
232:9 241:1 242:15	16:20,25 32:15,17	372:17 383:20	413:4
247:19 276:9,14	32:19 34:10,12	385:24,25 412:23	radios 152:3 345:3
288:24 295:1	35:10,17 37:1,2,7	413:9 415:11 416:4	345:5 346:7,17
298:11 308:21	48:2 49:3 50:6 52:1	417:19	347:4 351:7,7
309:1,10 311:10	52:8 54:20,24	quick 31:22 58:14	363:4,17 364:4
337:25 345:21	58:14,16 59:6	92:22 134:19 201:5	367:10,17 369:6
350:9 372:9,9,9	97:14 102:10,25	282:10 302:5 303:8	395:5 402:17
395:1 397:16	111:5 115:8,10	347:11 410:1	410:14 414:21
406:24 414:19	117:12 118:12	quicker 127:7	rained 109:12
415:5 416:17,22	121:23 122:6 126:1	quickly 56:22 89:3	raised 9:21 16:20
puts 66:21 292:3,8	126:5 139:16 140:7	123:13 159:5	233:16
295:18 306:22,24	146:7 147:5,17	196:23 197:14	raises 102:25
307:23 313:6,14,14	149:16 150:17,22	223:6 407:15	raising 397:2
357:19 388:2	155:1,14 156:12	quit 369:8	rallies 40:22
putting 52:6 56:3	171:25 172:11	quite 59:2 67:5	ramped 212:25
93:24 106:11	181:2,23 185:2	230:17 241:17	ran 208:18 227:5
126:17 131:9	192:22 211:23	284:19 326:17	random 39:10 44:9
178:23 273:13	222:9 230:13 238:4	374:19 382:13	244:23,24,24 368:1
285:15 292:17	247:18,21 248:17 287:16 302:5	quote 22:8 46:6	range 362:11
323:16 327:17		136:11 332:10,13	ranging 259:17
414:13,20	313:19 327:16	357:11 377:10	363:5
puzzle 81:7	334:2,8 345:23	393:18,22 400:12	rapport 206:9
_	368:18 381:1,20 382:19 383:13	quotes 283:18	rate 136:19 223:18
		339:8 340:16	346:18
	415:18,23 419:11		

motod 100.14	malina 46.2 156.7		270.6 261.16
rated 128:14	realize 46:2 156:7	reason 4:5 25:25	279:6 361:16
raters 139:13	178:10 220:7	26:7 51:18 84:5	381:16
rating 128:3,5,18	245:15 384:25	103:24 111:3 116:6	received 2:11 9:23
129:7 133:24	really 9:11 11:14	122:4 128:17	9:25 17:21 18:13
135:16,18,19,24	11:18 16:19 29:6	149:12,15 156:25	22:3,16 26:8 35:2
136:13 137:13	29:16,19 30:13	184:3 208:16	42:18 43:4 44:21
138:25 140:3,5	32:16 35:11 36:21	252:24 287:13	58:24 63:17,19
141:10,24 142:1	37:7 42:8 51:15	289:18 292:10	73:22,25 86:1 90:3
250:14,18 252:25	55:19 56:15 57:22	328:14 363:17	279:17 343:8
ratio 228:20	58:5,15 59:19	364:19 365:14	349:14 374:13
rave 247:9	61:19 65:13 70:7	368:13 370:20	381:12,15
rdsf 264:16	72:11,23 90:21	reasonableness	receives 361:12
reach 97:20 132:2	104:3 113:20	311:10	receiving 8:17
313:20 325:16	114:24 138:21	reasonably 321:25	263:1 381:19
reached 95:4 96:3	141:22 142:17	reasoning 367:3	reception 363:2
411:9	154:1 157:21	reasonings 393:11	receptive 5:7 414:8
reaching 243:20,22	159:12 164:11	reasons 94:18	recess 69:25 161:4
react 60:7 148:8	166:17 169:5	106:16 122:14,17	256:18 421:3,4
151:13,17,19,22	173:25 176:14	124:25 147:4	recited 2:10
152:19	177:3,8 180:8	161:14 186:4,21	reciting 252:19
reaction 150:2,11	183:16,21 187:11	289:17 291:21	recognize 159:7
reactionary 245:8	187:19,25 189:18	303:1 305:22	296:6
read 63:3 132:22	190:17,19 197:22	321:14 322:20	recognized 21:8
174:3 179:1 182:4	197:25 201:8	341:17 362:17	96:19,24 133:19
231:6,11 300:12,14	212:25 223:24	393:6,13 403:25	296:16 344:24
305:1,12 331:6	224:3 225:19	409:12 411:24	recognizes 260:22
reading 304:24	239:14 244:3	reauthorize 87:5	265:3
305:2 342:1	251:13 258:11	rebated 173:6	recollection 14:20
ready 44:16 46:22	259:11,25 264:12	reboot 104:15	16:5
63:22 146:3 232:9	270:7 272:20 275:2	reboots 380:8	recommend 136:18
324:14	279:1 295:25	recall 9:20 10:18	137:7 232:18 299:8
real 31:22 41:8	302:21 311:3 312:1	14:13,17 15:7	393:16
141:15,17 150:17	315:8 332:22 333:4	16:11 19:21 344:21	recommendation
156:23 158:10	344:6 360:4 367:21	363:15 368:19	20:5 129:6 135:16
169:22 229:19	368:4 370:9,15,19	369:1 398:7 405:12	135:20 153:18
239:10,18 252:8	373:2,23 388:12	recalled 368:23,24	217:11 232:19
311:4 402:20	389:22,22 399:12	recap 20:10	263:25 264:10
realistic 108:10	402:8 407:1 409:5	receive 3:15 8:24	277:24 306:13
reality 61:24	413:19 416:7	23:3 40:14 63:10	319:7 320:20 394:3
220:11	419:11	105:14 154:23	402:5,6
		199:14 277:22	

recommendations	60:12 116:19	refusing 91:10,23	rehash 370:14
5:8 12:9 19:13 23:7	117:20,23 118:8	93:19	rehashing 64:24
96:18 116:5,5	128:18 152:2,8	regard 234:2	reinvent 188:25
121:11,14,18	156:19 246:13	239:19 264:12	261:9 266:5,23
133:14 142:10	357:13 381:6	296:4 397:4 419:24	reiterate 70:7
154:3,3 179:4	reds 52:21 59:6	regarding 3:18	413:8
185:16 259:1	reduce 52:3	13:7 22:10,19	rejected 393:8
269:20 276:16,24	reduced 84:25	96:25 254:1 257:11	rejection 393:14
306:8 356:2 375:7	reduces 365:19	259:2 382:16	related 99:6 216:20
386:23 387:4	redundancy 391:1	regardless 191:5	231:13 238:7
394:11 418:17,25	409:23	238:1 255:20	264:19 267:16,18
recommended	reed 15:7	352:21 400:19	267:19 277:6
153:17 259:16	refer 55:14 284:10	regards 46:7	relates 103:10
278:16 356:3	reference 237:6	415:22	172:1 298:6 321:7
recommends 137:2	299:18 340:19	regional 237:7	332:14 335:9
300:2	402:14 406:25	261:14 264:17	394:19
reconcile 274:14	referenced 98:6	265:25 330:11,14	relation 218:3
291:6	303:13 375:4	330:17,20,23 334:7	relations 377:14
reconciled 290:17	379:24 380:20	334:9,10,25 340:1	relationship 219:8
314:2 316:1	381:20 387:13	340:3,9,11 342:10	348:5
reconsider 291:23	395:19 397:6,10,17	351:13 353:15	relationships
record 133:7	398:3 400:6,18	356:12 357:15	359:18 377:7
178:11 230:15,24	402:13 403:5	361:6 374:10	379:15 415:19,20
231:5,16 232:4,6	406:21 409:3,25	378:21 381:22	relatively 19:4
232:20 238:18	412:4	390:21 392:15	415:4
330:18	referral 277:24	399:9 409:5,22	relay 341:11
records 24:24	referrals 174:10	410:11 411:21	releasable 231:5
26:16 76:8 78:16	referred 64:13	412:2,7	release 230:18
78:20 79:14 83:13	339:22 353:12	regionalization	231:7,12 319:20
231:16 263:19	376:9	339:23 390:25	released 17:8 21:24
319:21 341:19,21	refers 316:23	register 158:3	relevant 378:13
350:1,8 351:1	reflect 181:14	regress 190:21	reliable 383:3
352:11 361:10,10	reflecting 396:23	regular 111:16	religious 104:2
recruit 110:11	refresh 10:21 14:20	310:14 312:8	reluctance 181:4
recruiting 105:21	refresher 339:13	regulates 292:1	reluctant 208:2
106:3	346:12	regulation 130:21 257:18	224:16
recruits 110:13	refreshing 330:19 refund 286:13		rely 249:20 320:24 336:11
recurring 87:2,8,10 87:13 287:20	refusal 133:9	regulations 40:19 40:19 42:3	
red 45:14 59:8,9,11	267:18	regulatory 317:13	relying 333:4 410:22
59:15,25 60:5,10	207.10	regulatory 317.13	410.22
37.13,23 00.3,10			

remain 255:4 296:1	reply 404:13	reported 36:12	reprogrammed
300:6 301:2 376:17	report 5:2 8:8 10:5	90:12 113:8,10	345:5
390:6	11:15,22,23 16:17	156:16 179:23,24	reprogramming
remained 9:21	17:8 19:12,12 21:1	248:23 380:17	346:7,19 351:6
remaining 345:12	21:19,22 22:2,6,8	395:2	request 7:10 14:7
remains 348:23	22:18,20,24 36:8	reporter 422:6,17	22:16 39:9 242:4
remarkable 388:19	54:8 56:4 62:22,23	reporting 22:17	255:3 413:8
388:22	63:6 64:16 65:6	49:5 50:24 76:4	requested 17:14,19
remarkably 413:17	69:3,23 79:19,20	174:9 179:7,18,25	17:20 156:25,25
remarks 163:14	82:14 83:23 86:1	180:1 181:8,10,17	260:23
remedy 346:6	88:2 112:25 134:11	181:18,19 191:4,20	requests 85:22
remember 10:6	134:13 135:8,11	203:17 206:19	242:9 326:19
15:21 18:10 59:24	160:16 169:4,6	211:19 219:12	require 76:5 107:9
79:11 97:10 98:1	174:10 178:15	254:9 278:23 316:6	119:6 125:16,19
113:25 130:12	180:7,11 181:4	361:7 393:21	138:8 149:4 311:21
134:18 161:7	190:9 208:5 209:18	reports 63:13	318:23 323:22
186:12 257:20	219:16 221:1	105:14 134:14,15	345:25 393:19
258:4 281:19	232:17 234:13	180:7 181:11,12	418:12
318:20 330:3,10	243:23 244:14	190:13 191:21	required 19:2 98:9
333:12 355:3	258:17,20,23	193:23 274:20	98:14,23 110:9,11
369:17 404:6	259:16,19,21	281:14 330:15	110:13 130:20
remind 201:18	263:24 272:16,19	350:22 374:12,15	136:12 143:17
302:3	273:3 274:5,6,16	387:7	161:10 179:22
reminded 176:10	276:16,23 277:7,11	repository 73:14	181:25 207:3
reminder 3:1,4,5	318:22 319:15,20	75:21,25 76:23	258:17 259:23
3:14 339:19	329:17,19 331:4,6	95:19 259:22 260:3	260:8 263:5,6
remove 254:8,22	333:11,14,20,25	261:18 266:24	268:3 274:15
254:24 255:11	338:21 339:8	306:6	279:25 287:1 294:4
256:4	341:20 343:22	represent 121:25	304:10 310:25
removed 344:25	344:10,11,20	161:12,15	313:20 314:4 321:9
removes 279:3	353:20,22 356:11	representation	325:24
347:13	356:14 374:5,7,8	215:20 337:10	requirement 96:5
renewal 396:19	374:13,22 375:2,6	353:4	115:3,5,13 155:2
rented 416:23	376:7 379:13	representative 40:3	155:11 177:17
417:7	380:11 382:20,21	90:19 300:8	187:13 234:19
reopen 259:6	382:22 384:13,16	representatives	255:2 257:15 260:7
replace 105:10	386:24 389:16	337:15	requirements
250:3 392:9 394:4	391:6 402:9,12	represented 253:11	70:22 71:1 96:1
replaced 376:5	409:3 413:14 416:6	353:3 356:9	130:14 172:2
replicated 57:23	416:16 418:21	representing 4:13	175:11 254:8
	422:7	331:1	260:12 273:6 277:6

297:3,5,8 308:17	196:11 265:14	338:6 346:25	restrictions 170:16
313:3 316:25	366:12	352:19,24 364:16	170:20,25 276:18
391:11 402:22	respect 12:14 52:1	371:19 379:19	376:4
requires 136:19	379:12	380:13 381:4,7,9	restructure 392:20
270:13 277:22	respectfully 132:18	382:12 392:4,23	restructuring
279:15 314:6	respective 396:24	395:23 396:10	387:11
319:18,20 325:20	respond 55:3	responses 22:4	result 8:17 13:14
347:2	114:25 128:21	35:7,8,13 58:25	60:24 75:13 147:3
requiring 116:23	146:15 147:4	105:1 122:10 140:8	298:5 344:16
144:8 146:8 319:14	151:23 319:19	149:7 150:21	350:21 367:22
rescue 352:18	349:25 409:23	152:23,24 362:11	389:23
353:3,19 361:3,10	responded 105:2	412:22 420:23	resulted 12:10
376:12,24 377:3	113:5 114:6 117:7		330:7,8 390:5
,		responsibilities	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
391:10,11 research 37:15	123:19,21 146:11 146:11 147:22	171:15 358:2,6,7 392:17 393:21	395:6
			resulting 380:19 results 11:16 12:20
43:17 124:1	148:1,2,4,9,9	416:21	
researched 42:16	149:13 151:4,4	responsibility	70:15 127:8,9
researching 34:16	155:5,6,13,13	34:11 61:11,12	230:10 258:23
96:23 123:22	156:20 255:16	112:20 127:2	267:20 315:21
resident 420:14	334:25 396:6	131:13 138:22	390:6 397:12
residential 361:18	411:13	170:10 283:15	400:12 403:12
residents 373:24	responder 321:25	284:14 359:13,14	414:5
resist 75:5	322:4 375:15,21	391:20,24 420:16	resurvey 383:19
resistance 179:25	responders 43:15	responsible 61:25	retained 12:22 17:6
resistant 109:6	395:24	101:8 168:5 171:10	17:22 195:7
resisting 345:13	responding 247:17	203:16,24 209:4	retaining 105:22
resolution 344:8	322:13 364:18	271:24 285:25	retired 162:6
361:7 408:15 413:1	405:19	288:23 302:2	retooling 221:23
resolve 11:19 102:5	response 7:20 8:7	322:12 359:6	retroactive 282:2
365:18 416:2	8:11 10:18 16:19	360:11 361:4	return 230:9
419:21	26:13 75:3 89:1	391:24,25 399:10	review 2:14 17:6,23
resolved 333:7	113:2,12 116:19	responsiveness	18:13 258:16 272:9
373:6,7 401:14	117:17 119:7	415:10	277:9 315:20
418:1,6	122:12 123:14,14	rest 9:12 84:10	360:24 374:13
resoundingly 393:8	124:21 144:17	87:13 127:20 209:1	387:12 391:4 393:2
resource 96:9	147:21 148:5 151:3	212:15 234:11	393:5 394:5,8,12
101:9 126:15 169:9	153:10 154:24	244:12 246:16	394:17,19 400:8
197:6 310:4	157:4,4,16 185:19	256:16 366:8 372:9	401:2 408:19
resources 38:24	224:25 236:25	restricted 357:22	reviewed 18:20,21
39:1,2,12 40:8 56:8	254:18 277:11	restriction 342:24	18:24 27:11 192:8
76:11 139:1 159:21	303:15 314:10	403:1	331:4

[reviewing - ryan] Page 488

reviewing 96:17	161:3 167:4 170:2	river 1:20	route 227:3 266:20
211:5	170:14 171:1,24	rms 341:18,22	266:22 401:12
revised 19:15	172:9 177:6 179:9	350:20	routed 354:2
280:21	181:23 189:8 190:4	rmss 266:9	routinely 239:17
revision 253:24	190:22 191:15	road 138:5 183:6	routing 348:23
revoked 403:14	194:9,12,15,18	188:6 245:15	row 358:4
revolve 377:23	201:23 202:3,16	286:12,17 287:15	rtstf 173:19
rfp 247:25 248:13	203:8 206:12,13	robbed 407:20	rub 130:17
rfps 153:1	213:3,3 215:7	robberies 248:23	rubric 208:20
rhyme 365:14	218:18 220:6	robust 9:6 206:20	rule 69:2 130:21
richard 1:15 4:11	221:14 223:21,25	208:10 212:16	ruled 356:1
rick 30:7,14 31:7	226:3,6,9 228:8	234:19 243:11	rules 40:18 42:2
161:19 171:5	236:15 239:21	371:11	57:5 175:6 230:22
189:20 208:4,4	241:19,21 245:25	role 34:15 62:5	278:23 318:24
222:23 224:23	247:9,14,15 248:6	163:16 171:13	ruling 101:11
225:6 252:18	248:16 250:2	261:5 347:1 377:5	run 52:14,23 53:23
253:14	256:10,19 267:13	377:16 397:1	130:4 149:5,9,19
riddle 38:18 40:1,9	270:12 276:10,11	roll 137:16 352:4	150:12 159:9
40:13 41:15	287:18 288:16	rolled 139:9	176:19 182:21
ride 416:23 417:7	290:5,19,22,22	rolling 325:10	183:1 197:1 200:18
ridiculous 120:8	291:4 293:21 302:4	room 6:7 8:12 32:4	200:21 202:13,22
right 2:2 3:25 14:4	302:25 303:9,18	60:17 65:15,25	235:20 240:12
24:17,22 27:20	309:19 310:9	66:1,3,9,11,14,25	250:9 253:17
28:23 29:16,21	311:18 317:23	67:8 68:3,7,9,13	282:12 296:18
31:4 41:3 42:4	318:17 319:2 320:2	123:2,4,6 242:6	297:13 324:7
43:19,20 58:21	329:11,21,23 338:1	308:20 386:9,15,19	355:24,25 376:23
64:6 66:13,21	341:25 345:20	388:16 414:13	running 75:11 80:3
67:15 69:12,19,20	349:5,15 354:5	rooms 123:3	150:16 159:10
72:22 78:19 81:5	364:7,11 366:5,18	124:14	243:15 245:19
81:19,20 85:4 88:6	370:6 372:13 381:7	rosa 161:23 167:12	259:24 280:21
88:6,12,22 94:17	408:12 410:17,17	194:1 360:25	387:22
103:14 104:9,23	411:16,16 414:5	rotation 346:20	runs 227:7
106:6,10 111:10	419:19	rotc 38:20 41:16,18	rural 261:12
112:6,9,23 113:9	rightfully 326:18	roughly 106:8	rushed 390:4
116:2 118:17 125:1	rings 375:14,18	171:8	ryan 1:24 332:9,11
125:2 133:4 135:2	rise 2:7	round 106:11	333:12 392:24
136:5,8,21,24	rises 366:13	235:15	393:2 394:21
137:19 141:10	risk 18:21,22,23	rounded 331:25	412:19
146:4 147:15	96:16 246:5,7	rounds 66:6,16,18	
148:24 151:20	264:1 267:22	66:20 158:12	
152:18 160:8,25	297:13 333:1	294:25	

[sad - scale] Page 489

S	144:8 146:10	344:22,25 345:7	363:15 370:3
sad 64:25 418:4	safety 1:1 2:5 6:5	372:20 391:20,23	371:15,22 373:5
	6:10 10:13 29:7	392:2,5,14,21	389:1 390:14
safe 6:18,19 7:20	32:11,20 33:3	393:1,17,24 394:10	404:20,21,22,23
29:12 35:12,13	34:21 35:1 36:20	395:19 396:15,24	408:16 411:4
38:7 47:5 49:3 66:5	36:24 38:14 39:5	400:17 401:1,5	414:14
66:16 69:24 70:4	39:19 40:3,4 42:19	sake 138:3 342:2	says 22:7 24:7,8
70:20 71:20 73:4	45:8,8,13,13,19	salaries 357:7	26:8 35:18 63:7,16
74:10 85:7,12 86:4 93:12 94:6 96:6,22	46:8,10 47:2,12,17	sampling 17:14	75:15 88:2 89:18
1	47:18 51:4,17 53:8	sanction 316:17,22	97:17 110:5 117:15
97:8,14,23 98:2,14 98:15,21 101:8	57:25 60:23 62:1	sanctions 274:23	119:21 128:8
102:22 104:5	70:19 71:1 73:10	275:6,14	143:19,23 144:6
102.22 104.3	73:12 74:7,12 82:8	sandy 89:23 90:2,3	145:6 174:12 184:3
125:21,23 126:6	90:8 91:7 93:4 95:5	166:19 195:14	189:20 245:19
128:8 129:11	97:5,6 98:7,11,11	196:3,12	249:14 259:15
133:20 136:2	113:11 117:25	santa 161:22	263:20 270:5 271:5
138:21 139:19,22	124:8 128:3,6,24	167:11 194:1	271:9,10 272:2
140:1 142:14	129:7,9 133:23	sat 63:14 72:22	275:12,18 278:2
146:19 173:15	148:20 159:15	337:1 401:17	280:11 282:22
175:7 195:10 200:7	161:6,9,11,20	save 52:11 139:23	283:6,25 284:1
240:12 248:20	163:21 166:9	186:2	290:19 292:4
251:23 274:5,18	167:13 168:7	saved 147:3 191:2	296:22,23 297:2
275:19 276:2,6,14	171:13 172:15	210:18	304:6,18,22 305:9
277:5,9 278:24	185:13 197:16	saving 403:23	306:10,20 309:5
281:18 282:1,3,4,7	201:13 205:23,24	409:4	310:3 312:21
288:7,14,15,24	214:12 220:1,7	saw 10:17 18:4	315:20,24 321:24
289:3,7,15,17,19	221:22 222:6,18,19	57:20 72:17 74:2	323:1,3,7 324:2
289:20 306:3,11,20	223:11,24 224:19	124:14 152:1 182:6	325:8,15,23 334:1
306:23 307:3,24	225:5,24 231:13	192:6 243:21	354:23 357:13
308:16 309:10	234:14 235:15,17	248:11 255:15	359:11 373:14
312:17 313:4,6,16	242:3,5 249:3	298:22 316:13	399:2 402:4
316:8 353:8	250:14,18 253:10	401:3	sb1418 319:11
safer 31:12 37:14	253:13 254:15	saying 11:17,18	320:8
53:14 56:17 65:17	256:23 267:19	24:16 65:10 68:1	sb7026 73:7 300:22
68:12 69:18 91:12	268:2,10 271:5,7	69:8 71:13 89:10	sb7026's 70:22
91:13,15,23 96:20	273:7 277:7 278:2	121:6 130:11,25	sb7030 20:13
171:23	278:12 280:15	131:2 135:7 141:18	101:25
safest 6:15 65:15	290:2,10 313:21	201:16 210:11	scalable 55:14
66:4,5,8,15,19,25	314:9 317:25 318:8	211:7 252:1 266:21	scale 198:6 334:12
67:1,9,10,19,22	321:11 322:18	283:9 322:24 329:7	381:23 395:25
68:2,5,6,9 69:14,18	332:16 340:5	329:11 348:20	396:1,3
	Veriteyt I ed	1014	

[scare - school] Page 490

scare 53:11	310:22 311:22	54:24 56:9,16,19	171:13,23 172:12
scenario 45:14	310:22 311:22 312:1,9,12,20	57:7 58:21,23 59:7	171:15,23 172:12
53:17 227:19	314:23 318:4,5	59:17 61:13,14,21	174:5,18 176:1
297:17	327:2,3,11,15,20	69:7 70:11,12,25	174:3,18 170:1
scenarios 294:24	328:8,19 338:20,24	71:14,20 73:3,10	186:23 187:6,8,9
scene 352:21	345:11,18 349:3,7	73:12,21 74:7,12	188:15,16 190:9
schachter 1:16	351:14 363:22	76:7 78:23 81:10	191:10 192:9,15
22:4,5,12 23:17,18	365:4 366:2 367:8	82:8,23 84:6,16	193:7,12,25 194:5
23:22 24:15,19	367:20 369:14	85:7,12 86:4 89:3,7	194:13 195:3,8,18
25:3,4,14,19 26:3	382:20,24 386:22	89:9,10 91:7 92:1	196:10,15,25 197:5
26:14,18 28:3	387:20 405:24	93:6,8,16 95:4,21	197:19,25 198:3,4
48:23,24 49:13,24	406:4 407:8 410:3	96:4,6,7,17,23 97:1	198:19,20 199:3,7
50:20,23 51:18	410:10,17 411:1	97:5,6,8,9,15,15,16	199:8,11,14,24
52:5,9,13 53:9,21	414:10 417:8 420:1	97:20,22,23 98:2,7	200:17 203:25
54:2 68:24,25 70:5	schedule 72:12	98:10,11,13,14,15	204:16,25 206:22
70:6 81:10,24	scheduled 74:12	98:18,21 99:8	207:2 209:20,23
86:23 87:1 89:17	schedules 350:24	101:7,9,9,16	212:4,6,7 214:11
91:1,2 93:11 94:3	379:12	102:23 103:18	214:12 218:12,14
94:23 95:1,10	school 1:1,22,24	105:1,12,15 111:1	219:9 220:1,6,8
102:8,9,19 104:1	6:3,5,10 7:11,16,19	111:13,13 112:13	221:7,17,22 222:6
114:10 117:9,10,11	8:6 9:22 10:13,16	113:7,14,17,25	222:18,18 223:11
117:14 118:14,19	10:25,25 11:1	114:5,8,22 116:11	223:20 225:8 226:5
118:23 120:7,13	16:24 17:6 18:9,10	117:5,16 118:4,24	226:18,23 228:7,18
121:10,24 124:23	18:20 19:1 23:18	120:15 121:2,3,7	228:22,25 229:3,11
125:5 127:22,23	28:5 29:6,7,8,9,10	125:16,19 126:3,13	229:17 230:9,15,20
129:15 133:22	29:12 30:2,12 31:3	126:14,15,23 127:4	230:24,25 231:1,21
135:25 136:6	31:12,21 32:11,13	127:5,14 128:3,13	231:24 232:2
139:15,18 142:6	32:14,21 33:1,4,7	128:14,23 129:7	234:14 235:17
146:25 147:18	33:10 34:12,18,20	132:19 133:23	238:1,8,8,22,25
148:11,24 153:16	34:22,25 35:6,23	137:4,5 138:6,8,17	240:14,20,21,25
153:24 156:6 159:4	36:10 37:4,17,19	138:18,19,22 139:1	241:14,20 243:9
160:10,11 233:16	37:22 38:3,4,6,12	139:3 140:6 141:4	244:20 245:1,16,18
242:22,24 244:15	38:13,17,19,20,21	141:8 142:5 143:2	246:2 248:3,7,15
244:23 246:12,24	39:2,5,8,18,24 40:2	143:19 147:11,23	248:20,25 249:7,22
247:1 248:4 249:10	40:4,15 42:5 43:6	148:19 150:23	250:4,14,18 253:10
249:18,21,25	43:21 44:11 45:7	155:2,3,16 156:15	253:20 254:1,15,15
250:13,22 261:21	45:10,11 46:7,13	156:18 160:20	254:18 255:1,21
262:2,5,15,19,22	46:17,18 47:3,23	161:6,9,11,20	256:23 257:10
267:8 293:4,5,14	48:17 49:1,3 50:5	163:21 165:9,11	258:6 259:14
293:18 294:13	50:15,18 51:4,17	166:8 168:3,6,7,11	262:25 263:3,7,9
295:9 301:7 310:21	52:17 53:6,8,13	168:13 169:8 171:8	263:19 264:22,24

Veritext Legal Solutions

[school - security] Page 491

261.24 265.4 22	49:12 55:18 56:5	240:24 241:1,13,16	cohring 265:10	
264:24 265:4,22 267:19 268:10,12	56:25 57:6 58:1	240:24 241:1,13,16	sebring 265:10 sec 105:16,18	
· 1		· ·	,	
268:14 269:20,23	69:24 70:4,20	242:14 245:3	319:10,25 320:5	
270:14 271:5,7,12	71:19,25 72:15	247:15,16 250:10	second 2:18,19,20	
271:17,22,23,24	80:16 82:25 91:8	250:11 251:23	46:21 74:23 89:16	
272:4,6,10,13,21	91:17 92:11 93:17	254:19 255:12	107:16 112:1 113:8	
274:1,5,21,21	93:20 96:21 97:7	260:10 263:20	124:24 126:4	
275:11,13 277:7	98:25 99:1,1,2,7,9	264:21,23 265:15	136:18 146:24	
278:2,3,7,12,17,24	99:9,15 101:10	265:18,24 266:2	151:12 204:23	
279:15,21 280:15	102:13,14,17,22,23	267:2,7 270:18	207:16 210:10	
280:19,23 281:1,9	103:3,4,10,13,14	274:18 275:19	229:10 261:3	
281:18,24 282:1,3	103:22,25 104:5,5	276:3,7,14 277:5,9	267:24 281:20,21	
282:4,7,9,19,22	105:14 106:9 117:8	278:21,25 279:13	281:25 289:18	
283:5 285:6 286:21	117:19 119:9,10	281:3 306:3,12,20	301:6,7 303:13	
288:8,14,15,24	122:1,3,11 128:5,8	306:21,23 307:1,2	316:8 355:23,25	
289:1,2,3,7,15,17	135:24 136:2,13	307:13,24 308:16	357:18 358:11	
289:19,20 290:2,6	139:14,19,22,24	309:11 312:16	373:10 416:4	
290:10,20,23,24	140:1,25 141:4	313:4,6,16 318:9	secondary 202:8,9	
291:3 293:12 294:1	142:14 143:6,7	scope 14:8,10,11	202:10 210:24	
304:22 305:14	147:10 148:6	268:19,23 269:6	211:15 216:23	
306:1 307:3,11,14	159:13,16 160:23	score 208:19,21	217:15,17	
307:15,16,18,20	161:24 162:10	scoring 208:16,20	seconds 152:9	
308:2,3,12,17,19	163:2 167:18,22	208:22	153:20	
310:4,6,6,7,7,8,14	168:8 169:11	scott 82:22 91:13	secret 55:9 81:21	
310:24 311:18,23	171:10,17 175:12	scramble 281:2	81:25 82:6,11	
312:8,17,21 313:20	177:21 179:23	screen 35:18 38:11	209:13 232:12	
313:22 314:7,8,9	180:4 183:23,23	285:10	secretary 1:17,18	
315:4 316:9 317:17	184:17,18 185:18	screening 285:7,8	1:19,20 4:7,8,10,14	
317:25 345:25	186:3,9,12 188:1	screens 286:24	4:17 81:14,17	
346:1,2 355:5	189:11,13 192:25	288:20	258:19	
365:6 366:22	193:14,25 194:4	script 45:10 347:12	secrets 254:11	
school's 12:18	195:10,21,22 197:6	seamless 173:12	section 22:5 116:13	
14:16 22:10 45:12	197:12,19 199:25	seamlessly 33:22	146:12 161:13	
46:11 83:23 97:9	200:3,7 201:8,18	294:12	sections 283:21	
157:3	203:4,12 210:4	search 246:8	secure 34:23	
schools 1:19 6:15	211:4 212:1 216:12	searched 244:22	secured 76:2,4	
6:18,19 14:23 16:9	216:14 219:15,17	searches 76:3	197:3 240:6	
17:5 18:24 20:24	220:25 222:15,20	searching 266:14	security 37:22 38:4	
28:12 29:5 30:11	223:20,23 229:10	266:15	38:15 41:9 42:18	
33:8,8,9,10 36:4	231:24 234:11,20	seat 309:14	48:16 57:9 61:17	
44:23 47:16,19	239:25 240:2,14,22		73:17 83:19,24	
Veritext Legal Solutions				

[security - series] Page 492

96:15,17,22 97:1	198:23 201:10,12	375:25 390:19	sending 50:16 97:4
103:19 105:6	209:2 210:15,19	418:20	142:8 211:7 251:4
117:25 162:7,9	212:16 213:20	segue 321:3	sends 283:7
163:24 164:7,22,25	219:20 222:13	seismic 303:6	senior 260:4 343:9
165:3,7 166:18	224:13 230:7 232:2	selection 107:5	376:10
171:13 173:10	232:11 235:18	287:10	seniors 48:10,11
181:3 185:13	243:23 244:14	selective 40:5	59:7
201:13 225:4	246:15 252:2	self 134:18 174:9	sense 44:6 92:9
226:23 231:4,4,23	268:20 270:23	212:20	95:2 218:13 305:17
234:14 240:16,16	271:2 275:4,7,15	semester 145:9	315:5
240:18 244:6 249:3	283:17 288:4	148:5 182:3	sent 63:1 169:4
250:16 259:18	295:24 310:13,19	seminole 161:19,21	244:25 260:2 331:5
261:15 264:17	319:9 324:14	162:4 171:5,8,11	371:1 374:6 375:19
266:1 270:22	334:19 337:5,23	206:16 211:25	380:21 395:11,13
279:10 280:3	339:6,10 340:23	212:8 216:4 218:19	sentiment 219:18
303:24 311:23	341:10,14,23 342:4	218:22 220:25	222:19
315:13 402:21	342:11 350:22	229:5 271:3 278:6	sentiments 384:8
sednet 277:22	352:24 353:4,22	281:17,23 316:7	sentinel 332:10,11
see 4:1 24:3 29:17	356:14 357:19	senate 5:4,5 20:11	411:18
30:7 35:18 38:10	358:11 359:19	20:16 84:23,25	separate 120:15
41:14,22 42:8	360:9 362:11 374:2	85:3,6,10 109:18	237:8 262:20
45:17,19 47:18	374:16 380:22,25	109:21 119:5 128:1	288:17 289:11
53:4 58:1 60:21	381:3,8,17 382:2	164:14 166:21	340:6 346:21
61:2,3 63:20 64:1	384:6 385:18 392:8	269:11,12,16	350:20 352:12
66:7 73:11 74:2,25	392:16 401:9	272:22 273:11,16	375:16 413:6
75:2 76:15 77:14	405:15 412:25	274:13 277:3	separately 83:17
78:7 84:3 88:12,25	413:13 418:8,24	280:10 299:8,10,11	separating 174:21
89:8,9 90:22 97:5	seeing 126:20	300:20 302:20	september 14:18
102:2 111:17	158:15 176:24	303:23 312:21,24	14:22,23 88:9
115:20 116:11,20	187:6 203:18	313:17 315:15,24	134:24 135:11
116:25 119:5 121:4	210:14 220:4	316:13 318:24	259:10 272:15
123:15 124:4,11,17	388:19,21	319:1,8 326:12	356:22 394:16
132:4,10 135:5,6	seeking 396:5	senate's 302:16	396:16
137:11 142:16,17	seemingly 363:17	senator 1:23 4:1,5	sergeant 178:16
142:20 145:21,24	seen 27:14 28:4	318:18 319:3,7	330:21 331:9
146:4,7 147:25	37:10,12 88:21	320:3,5	333:23 335:13
151:3 153:14	114:19 115:11	send 126:2 132:2	338:17 363:11,15
157:19 158:16,23	122:20,21,22 127:9	141:16 285:8	399:2 413:18
161:25 182:18	158:5 183:16 189:6	349:24 352:7 371:1	series 371:9 372:17
183:3 189:18	201:17 218:23	384:22 416:1	416:3
193:13 194:9	219:21 220:22		

[serious - sheriff] Page 493

serious 89:2 176:25	263:5,10,16 264:8	79:13,14,17 109:25	368:11 369:4
324:9	272:12 284:21,22	157:10 164:16	370:23 372:13
seriously 17:11	292:7 298:15	198:21 237:18,24	373:10 383:18
21:7 54:9 189:25	317:21 318:2,3	239:9 261:17 300:2	384:1,21 385:22
190:14,18,20	346:2 347:12	300:7 302:21	387:25 389:20
serve 271:12	355:13 356:12	319:10 325:24	398:5,12,20 399:6
295:25 315:13	383:24 388:24	343:23	399:16 400:2
served 167:12	419:7	shareable 231:15	401:24 411:12
295:24	sets 264:2	shared 20:9 299:25	413:7 415:25
serves 162:5	setting 57:17	350:5 377:13	418:16
361:21	384:13 397:2	sharing 13:23 20:2	sheriff 1:12,16,22
service 45:7 53:24	417:15	20:21 50:18 80:4	5:17,18 30:7,8,14
81:21,25 82:6,11	seven 19:6 78:19,20	109:4 122:16	31:4,7 44:17 56:21
209:13 232:12	79:4,5,5,6,6 90:7	178:21 179:10	62:4,24 88:16
234:17 356:19	111:12 161:8	214:17 215:4,14,17	91:13 92:5,6 97:4
357:5 381:2,10	195:17 201:20,21	264:6 276:19	98:17 99:25 100:4
services 82:25	203:12 207:24	390:25	100:6 101:4 102:9
174:7 228:22	215:11 256:24	she'll 4:4	107:2 111:2 118:1
339:20 340:9	seventy 22:7,8	shepherding 300:9	122:21,21 125:7
353:19 357:17	sgt 339:3 341:6	sher 21:17 56:22	127:24 129:18
360:14 377:21	345:14,22 349:6,8	101:5 125:8 130:7	132:16 137:25
378:9 385:20	351:16 355:15	132:17 136:17,22	141:9 142:24
391:12 393:1 401:1	359:9 363:25 364:8	136:25 137:20	156:14 157:2,7
serving 171:10	365:11 366:18	138:2 142:25 146:2	159:18 163:22
sesir 179:18,21	367:13,18,24	159:5,19 160:6	164:6,9 165:9,14
180:4,5,7,11,13,22	368:15 370:7 374:4	226:12,20 227:2,14	166:1 167:21
181:11 191:20,21	383:2 387:1,9	227:18,22 228:8,15	168:18 204:12
203:11,16 218:18	389:14 390:1	228:18,24 230:13	226:10 228:3 233:6
249:11,16,19	397:14,17 398:6,16	230:23 231:3,18	233:21 234:11,21
274:19 278:21,23	398:22 399:21	232:15 233:21	235:15,24 237:16
312:15	400:3 402:1 403:20	235:13 236:8 237:5	248:18 251:1
session 3:13 4:2	404:17 405:5 406:3	238:4 239:15	252:17 261:19
5:13 15:22 83:5,7	406:15,19 407:11	248:17,19 249:5	269:22,25 270:6,9
97:10,16 134:20,25	410:8,13 411:17	255:9 256:5,10	271:16 272:3
142:18 197:12	418:19	282:14 284:19	278:11 279:17
281:2	shape 107:3	285:18,20 287:13	281:16 282:12
sessions 83:2,8,18	shard 349:14	288:6 289:15	284:11,17 285:19
set 9:3 64:23 107:7	share 8:15 44:22	295:10 296:3 297:6	286:20 288:22,23
107:9 141:11,12	45:25 46:5,6 47:14	298:5 308:10	289:2 291:12 293:5
168:10 195:1 203:1	54:1 55:25 61:11	309:25 310:2 336:3	294:1 296:3 298:4
236:21,21 258:9,11	65:9 72:6 74:20	359:5 366:6 367:15	298:24 301:22
		317.10 2 33.0 23,110	

[sheriff - single] Page 494

304:7,12 305:4	410:19,24	shorter 229:21	significant 14:15
308:9 324:3 326:4	sheriffs 85:20	shorthand 359:15	19:13 21:14 29:6
328:25 336:2	87:18 103:2 270:1	360:10	99:3 208:21 211:17
338:20 339:3,4	282:17 292:2 304:9	shortly 75:19 89:15	227:9 239:11 273:9
357:1 359:6 360:12	304:20 333:3	341:17 360:1	275:2 326:22 332:4
366:25 368:9 369:6	shift 177:9,10	361:24	333:16 345:6
375:3 379:23	191:9 291:16	shorty 356:12	364:16 376:19
380:20 381:19	292:12 293:7,10	shot 124:10,13	378:15 390:8 392:2
383:23 386:1	349:21,23	265:9	significantly
387:13,18 397:6	shifts 303:6	shots 133:10	302:11
406:21 412:4 413:6	shine 30:16	show 24:12 45:2	signs 144:25
414:6,9 418:15	shines 29:2	56:4,5 62:6 83:13	406:17,23 407:7
sheriff's 5:23 13:6	ship 201:9	187:2 241:5 374:19	silence 2:8,9
15:14 38:16,21	shocked 53:6	387:22 413:20	silent 159:11
44:5 61:15 78:11	shocking 367:3	showed 53:1	silo 179:9 236:25
79:8,12,21 85:24	shoot 10:15 50:4	showing 56:4 88:10	267:2
87:21 88:11 89:4	123:3 124:11	104:16,20 111:4	silos 238:6 379:17
98:17 105:9 111:7	294:23,24,24	359:17	sim 158:12
156:20 161:20	shooter 8:11 75:3	shows 18:14 89:25	similar 55:23 56:12
162:4 171:12 191:1	114:7 116:19	shrf 31:6	57:2,8 154:21
197:7 205:4,11	117:17 119:23	shut 199:16 403:22	206:4,9 235:14
206:6 221:10,13	123:1 128:22	404:10,20	248:1 305:20 346:9
227:20,24 228:1,19	131:13 143:24	side 3:10 66:12	379:23
232:10 233:18	148:16 149:4	68:8,13 92:1 95:13	similarly 381:17
236:10 237:24	157:16 184:12	116:9 168:11 320:9	simone 4:7
247:6,10 250:8	358:22 359:2 362:4	320:10 348:19	simple 212:20,21
271:20 278:6,9	shooters 123:10	358:18 359:7,20	212:22 218:25
279:7,23 280:5	shooting 92:1	360:5,9,11,12,22	243:16 323:18
290:9,25 291:15	122:23,25 324:10	sight 66:22 124:16	346:20 352:4
297:11 313:24	334:13 340:20	175:8 186:8	simply 17:12
322:9,11 323:6	362:20 381:24	sign 91:18,20 130:6	106:13 207:5 208:3
326:3 330:5,6	382:1,2 394:20,21	176:11 205:14	208:17 209:12
331:2 341:1 347:19	395:8 409:25 410:5	406:24	213:9,15
355:25 356:23	shootings 34:20	signage 240:4	simultaneously
357:8 358:3,7,14	46:18 93:16 158:20	signal 131:14	341:9
358:16,18 359:12	381:21	236:16	simunition 294:25
361:20 362:3	shoplifting 363:16	signature 422:15	single 66:3 67:8
376:11 377:19,22	shopping 77:19	signed 171:20,21	73:21 84:1 88:23
378:17 392:12	80:18,20	220:1 356:25	110:8 114:21
396:7 398:14	short 165:18	401:15	157:16 171:16
405:21 407:2	248:10 353:7		197:3 198:11

[single - sophomores]

	I		I
205:13 207:25	325:5 326:1 335:17	146:7 149:3 150:22	solution 75:25 76:2
209:2 210:22	348:1 354:6,10	154:20,21 155:10	100:1,2,5 123:22
239:24 240:2,4,24	359:2	334:19 340:22	270:1 389:25
241:3,4,14 289:18	situational 380:3	389:13 400:24	solutions 75:23
341:25	situationally 69:11	slides 57:20 71:5	solve 243:25
sir 48:9 52:16	situations 40:24	104:15 117:15	417:18
59:13 60:25 112:10	52:21 59:21 60:4,6	152:12 335:14	solved 80:3 140:24
118:13,18,22 160:9	71:10 102:15 244:4	339:6 352:24	240:15
194:17 202:18	324:9	slideshow 41:14	solvers 33:24
206:14 212:2	six 17:15,18 22:11	slightly 190:21	solves 401:21
217:25 221:3	31:2 50:2 74:14	329:9	solving 33:4,15,17
235:14 255:7	111:6,10 187:15	slogan 32:20	33:20 34:2 45:23
345:15 349:8	205:24 209:24	slot 222:25	somebody 10:25
363:21 364:8	217:14,21 234:9	slow 403:22	55:15 70:14 76:17
367:19 370:7 374:4	244:22 311:13	slowdowns 380:8	98:10 110:23 121:2
397:14 398:16,18	368:14,14 369:11	small 67:11 130:23	131:15 132:24
404:17 405:5	sixteen 354:15	130:24 131:1	156:5 167:1 173:13
406:19 407:11	sixth 1:14 74:24	161:17 162:1 168:7	174:17 178:7
410:9	sixty 18:15 19:6	168:12 195:11,18	180:15 186:18,25
sister 42:20	90:7 131:23 161:8	196:10,14,22	189:1 209:19
sit 39:20 78:18 85:4	215:11	197:13,24 199:4,8	220:25 224:13,14
109:1 210:20 226:2	size 163:1 192:15	199:11,19 201:1,5	233:4 238:15
317:18 325:16	215:23 222:7	201:16,21	242:15 243:24
329:1 351:18	307:19,21 337:6	smaller 203:21	246:15 258:22
370:15 385:13	351:18 400:18,19	340:4	295:3 298:19 300:3
386:14,19 420:18	skills 381:16	smell 44:6	303:14 310:18,20
site 97:1 173:23	skinner 1:17 61:8	smith 79:1	311:2 313:24
180:23 184:8	299:4,6 300:11	snapchat 207:8	324:11 328:12,14
306:12 343:13,17	301:4	snapshot 413:12	354:11,14 407:24
360:21	skipping 225:21	sneak 58:20	413:14 415:5,5
sites 173:8 182:13	sky 109:12	social 42:25 44:11	somewhat 101:13
360:6	slated 272:4	50:8,14 73:13	101:14 377:5
sits 85:16,16 194:5	sledgehammer	75:12 76:7 125:12	somone 1:19
sitting 63:1 132:7	132:10	soft 58:18 246:18	soon 21:24 134:25
195:5 242:6 251:12	slide 56:4 57:20	247:8 311:4	207:15 208:24
situation 29:23	74:8,25 88:2,7,21	software 76:5	233:1
43:10 50:13 52:20	97:6,17,18 104:20	416:18	sooner 127:7
59:14 64:25 66:10	110:25 111:10,11	solace 239:16	sop 327:4
75:6,13 97:21	116:8 117:15	soldier 167:7	sophomores 48:5
104:10 110:22	121:22 123:11	solely 205:6 209:4	48:12
220:23,23 278:5	143:19 144:6 146:6		

[sorry - sro] Page 496

sorry 17:3 105:17	speakers 152:6	specifically 13:11	sponsored 57:3
109:18 230:1	speaking 88:23	13:21 14:17,24	sports 58:18
231:11 256:25	156:14 201:12	33:24 36:17 112:18	spot 52:6 72:12
261:21 271:9	218:9 362:5 386:1	205:5 243:3 244:16	104:8 175:23 280:2
299:10 355:6 375:6	405:21	259:15 268:10	309:1
391:25 395:12	spear 198:25	295:17 297:2	spots 58:22 59:2
398:20 410:9	special 167:9	319:16 335:10	362:16 366:14
sort 79:16 266:9	199:20,23	353:23 357:23	spread 146:16
296:15 340:22	specialist 38:17	381:21	169:13
342:6 353:8 359:14	73:13 74:13 161:21	specificity 20:13	spreadsheet 193:13
359:16 360:5,10	214:12 271:5	specifics 194:21	springs 79:11,12,19
364:2 366:20 367:4	313:21	365:11 393:11	79:20 156:19 157:8
368:19	specialists 73:11	406:8	160:18,21,22 322:7
sorts 44:7	74:7 82:8 90:8 95:5	specter 397:2	322:8,9 323:4
soul 389:8	97:5,6 98:7,12	spectrum 162:11	327:4 330:13 331:2
sounds 193:18	148:20 161:6,11	256:6,7 323:14	341:15 342:3,13
407:12	163:21 166:9	speed 4:21 9:19	347:22 348:10,15
sources 76:1 77:13	172:16 220:1	335:6 346:18	348:20,25 349:8,10
78:4,9,13	253:10,14 271:7	speeds 185:19	349:11,18,19 350:1
south 22:17 330:12	278:3,13 280:15	spelled 186:17	350:4,7 356:15,17
340:7	290:3,11	spend 225:16 366:9	356:21 383:15
southern 360:7	specific 10:13	366:10	407:23 408:7,17
space 42:5 411:10	22:13 34:18 37:13	spending 19:18	409:2,10 410:6,11
spaces 7:20	37:17 39:8 45:7	198:13	410:13,20 411:2,8
spade 219:4,4	51:9 71:14,14 72:3	spent 122:23 204:9	411:22 412:3 420:5
255:19,19	74:21,22 122:17	259:10	sprinkle 216:18,19
spanish 89:13	141:1 163:15	spin 144:3	sprint 366:8
sparked 52:19	178:25 186:11	spirit 58:8 390:15	square 68:7
258:11	187:23 197:19	split 315:5	srd 206:18
speak 32:5 34:6	204:1,25 209:20	spoke 24:16 76:12	srds 225:18 234:16
36:10 49:10,14	221:7 243:5 255:12	118:1 120:16	sref 122:1
51:16 85:2 98:22	263:19 264:24	127:25 128:1,2	srf 229:13
107:2 120:18 130:4	275:22 278:17	351:24 360:2 362:6	sro 78:23,24 105:9
181:22 190:24	280:10 297:3,5	364:3 366:19	120:24 121:1
196:17 198:1	316:2,17 347:1	379:14 392:25	156:16 170:4
202:23 203:6	352:18 354:11	393:3,4 394:16	206:18 228:25
218:22 251:9	355:13 360:19	402:16	229:1,7,11 234:2,8
252:15 288:2	362:19 368:6	spoken 5:5 31:23	238:12 250:3,4
394:15	380:24 387:3	95:3 327:20 347:24	253:21 305:3,4,8
speaker 5:6,7 298:8	393:13 406:15	390:20	305:13 306:1 315:1
			315:3,6

[sros - stepping] Page 497

sros 106:10 157:13	400:22 402:8,14,21	190:23 193:13	statewide 13:18,22
186:22 200:8	418:2	240:21 338:7,18,21	20:6,18 49:6 50:24
225:18 233:25	staly 30:7,14 31:6,7	381:13 388:20	54:14,16 82:17
234:16 250:12	stand 36:24 47:16	starting 36:22	89:25 90:17 179:13
281:22,24 282:8	109:22 127:15	121:5 126:11	213:21 214:5,24
304:23 305:11,15	182:6 200:25	141:16 182:9 248:2	257:3,10 264:4
316:10	standard 93:12	state 1:14 8:10,15	267:7,14 275:20,24
sser 221:5	94:7,10 294:14,14	29:1 31:1 46:1	276:25 277:2
sso 253:21	294:18,18 295:14	50:25 51:2 55:15	278:19 296:12
st 323:8,9 324:13	standardization	55:22 57:18,24	stating 44:12
324:20,23,25 325:8	296:10	62:15 64:25 65:5	344:15 391:6,18
stability 390:17	standardized	80:18,24 85:2	393:25
staff 1:18,25 4:22	214:16 234:15	86:10 95:5 96:14	station 43:19
29:17 35:20 36:3	263:13 266:13	98:8,13 102:13	stationary 125:20
39:8 42:13,24 50:3	276:12 296:15	104:1 106:5,9	statistic 22:18
69:10 100:10,11	297:10,25 298:1	116:17 124:19	statistical 71:11
111:19 117:20	standards 240:7	125:15 126:9	statistics 34:16
119:19 128:2,21	283:13 291:18	130:25 144:22	statue 297:17
137:23 175:16	292:3,5 295:11	159:10 161:16	status 3:17 12:17
197:12 205:15	296:6 397:3	164:1 166:5 168:12	342:7 414:21
222:15 224:2,17,25	standing 345:12	184:2 192:14 198:5	statute 3:17 114:20
245:17 291:23	standpoint 99:23	198:9 199:12,20	114:21 254:24
302:22 304:24	209:7,7 222:6	253:12 261:24	263:6 296:7 297:8
314:12 337:1	297:14,14	264:4,18 265:17	317:10
343:10 361:11	stands 11:12,13	267:22 268:1	statutes 319:12
376:22 384:22	75:4,8 85:4 110:5	274:24 277:1,8,12	statutory 239:12
staffed 152:13,15	start 29:3 62:21	278:22 294:15	stay 139:11 143:3,8
155:12,23 237:2	84:7,9 127:19	298:18 304:16	150:3 154:2 209:22
310:25 340:12	128:22 131:9 132:1	309:11 310:13	232:23 250:24
staffing 152:16	133:10 134:24	377:14 422:3	263:19 292:10
312:7 378:20	135:1,24 136:13	stated 37:3 91:9	408:21
379:11 390:9	137:13,17 141:9	187:12 296:11	stayed 18:10 123:5
stage 419:8	161:2 189:21	298:16 356:16	staying 42:2 150:13
staged 173:24	216:16 256:14,15	390:2	stays 209:20
staging 346:22	280:22 287:15	statement 34:19	step 34:24 46:21
stakeholders 57:10	336:4 388:19,21	133:17 334:15	81:5 124:7 127:23
324:1,4,4 325:4	404:14 421:1	336:4 369:6 381:1	214:19,20 326:22
329:1 330:25	started 2:3 32:23	383:7 390:13,14,23	344:5 349:15 404:8
361:15 376:11,15	38:5 70:1,6 88:22	391:17 396:18	stepped 29:19
377:13,15,20 386:4	96:11 162:14 167:6	states 22:20	stepping 57:16
397:8,18,23 400:5	176:17 182:9		

[steps - subset] Page 498

1 1 1 07 07	4 4 • 201 17	20 17 40 7 42 11	106 24 202 6 212 0
steps 14:1 27:25	strategic 201:15	39:17 40:7 42:11	186:24 203:6 212:8
stewart 1:21	strategies 260:6,14	43:3 44:4,20 45:5,8	222:17 223:17,19
115:16,22 121:20	strategy 260:21,24	45:15,22 46:4,14	224:3 238:8 244:14
121:22 123:24	261:5	46:17 47:1,6,9 48:9	246:5,6 254:7
289:5 309:13,16	street 42:4 43:19	49:8,15,17 50:12	256:1 267:22
317:2,5,15	78:22 80:23 187:1	50:22 51:13 52:16	277:22 310:7
stick 133:23	228:7	53:15,22 55:16,19	311:13
stimulus 158:8	strength 201:5	57:8 58:24 59:13	studied 122:22
stipend 227:1,23	236:16	60:13,25 61:6	study 74:14 343:18
stipends 87:12	strengthen 44:15	96:16 156:16,17	studying 122:24
stoneman 1:1 2:5	stress 331:17	174:8 178:11,25	stuff 133:2 153:4
10:11 12:3 14:19	346:18 364:12	190:15 193:4,10,11	186:10 207:14
14:24 16:13,22	380:19	194:13 211:21	209:13 210:25
17:3 18:4,9 21:9	stressful 337:20	225:11,25 226:7	211:19 217:3
50:3,25 51:8,9,20	stressor 207:18	230:15,24 231:5,16	243:13 253:1 256:2
54:11,23 64:19	strides 27:15,18	231:16 232:3,6,20	288:20 310:10
67:13 78:23 117:24	347:25	238:9,12,17,20,20	399:3,12 404:19
124:9 128:14,17	stroked 218:11	238:22,24 245:3	407:10 414:23
146:23 151:25	strong 44:6 46:16	254:6 255:5,20	416:9
155:15 267:20	92:8 154:3 168:17	256:4 309:18	stumbled 55:10
324:10 382:1	318:13 397:18	students 19:5 29:5	stupid 216:22
394:20 410:4	stronger 378:21	29:10 30:16,18,24	style 236:23
stood 35:17 37:7	strongest 174:16	32:25 33:11 35:2	sub 344:16
stop 77:19 80:17,20	strongly 139:11	35:12,23 36:2,23	subcommittee
81:3 92:25 95:12	300:5 314:11	37:4,5,10,20 38:5	401:2
109:14 243:9	334:15 381:5,6	38:23,25 39:20	subject 4:24 96:14
254:11 269:12	382:3,6,15 383:6	40:5,10,11,17,23	306:22 308:17
318:25 326:11	389:17 390:3	41:16,24 42:9	309:18
385:7,9,10,10,11	structural 391:1	44:23 45:18,25	submission 281:15
stopgap 396:12	structure 234:3	46:12 47:8,16,22	submit 272:16,19
stopped 93:13	333:9,15,17 352:15	48:18 52:23 53:3,4	273:4 274:4,15
166:24,25 283:1	361:9 387:16	53:16 54:1,22 55:9	329:17,19
stopping 188:18	392:10	55:10,11 56:18	submitted 5:2
story 11:13,13	structures 261:9	57:18,24 58:17,21	119:8 169:17
109:25 324:22	266:7 376:18	59:16,23 60:16,22	258:17 278:18
straight 74:6	struggle 58:3 183:8	61:3,18 69:10	280:9 315:21
225:20	239:20	72:17 73:4 88:24	submitting 206:7
straightforward	student 31:18	93:4 116:24 138:8	subpoena 24:24
260:15 352:22	32:24 33:6 34:5,15	148:8 149:5,9,11	127:10
strange 26:3	35:4,16 36:13 37:1	158:21 164:2	subset 67:2,3
387:20	37:15,24 39:4,11	167:19 168:9 171:9	220000 07.2,0
207.20	27,12,27,1,11	10,.17 100.7 171.7	

substantive 378:20	suicides 93:14,15	superior 409:15	80:8 94:7,16
succeed 46:15	suing 90:23	supervising 380:2	118:24 119:16
366:11 379:4	suited 292:21	supervision 357:23	135:14,17,22
success 55:8 62:12	sukowsky 360:13	389:7	136:15 138:22
332:20	sullivan 300:8	supervisor 407:7	140:6,8 164:19
successes 390:24	sum 15:2	supervisor's	166:14 173:13
successful 58:5	summary 344:14	351:21	175:13 184:11,13
86:3,3 92:24 93:25	353:5,6,8 390:2	supervisors 234:24	185:7 191:19,19
394:23	summer 20:15 84:9	379:25 388:5	193:5 194:24 195:7
successfully 126:18	113:25 135:2	398:18	203:17 208:10
succession 48:20	185:17 195:2	supervisory 378:24	209:5 211:1,3,9,13
suess 330:21 331:9	197:18 236:18,19	384:24 390:3	214:13 215:25
333:23 335:13	280:25 281:1	supplement 229:7	217:19 219:11
338:17 339:3 341:6	359:24	supplemental	220:18 227:4 230:1
345:14,22 349:6,8	sun 332:10,11	11:23 134:15	233:2 252:20
351:16 355:15	366:13 411:18	supplies 42:16	253:16 265:23
359:9 363:25 364:8	sunday 175:4	support 39:21 73:3	266:10 276:8
365:11 366:18	sunrise 1:6 332:8	126:19 138:13	277:18 284:11
367:13,18,24	360:25 392:25	179:13 183:18	288:11,12 290:3
368:15 370:7 374:4	396:16,17 412:6	208:3 223:4,10	295:2 300:21
383:2 387:1,9	sunset 356:4 394:4	224:1,6 257:17	301:11,18 302:25
389:14 390:1	sunshine 3:2,6	290:4 294:18,21	308:12 313:9
397:14,17 398:6,16	superintendent	326:16 396:19	320:18 323:24
398:22 399:2,21	29:9 30:1,10 72:15	supported 106:16	326:6 355:14
400:3 402:1 403:20	98:9 114:18 117:5	106:17 326:20	398:18 413:25
404:17 405:5 406:3	119:8 127:14	supporting 96:24	416:7
404:17 403:3 400:3	166:19 168:19	supporting 90.24 supportive 225:8	surf 343:18
410:8,13 411:17	176:2 195:24 201:8	288:1	surface 86:8
, and the second			
418:19 suffering 333:1	219:9 223:3 224:2 225:9,19 271:13,18	supports 12:11 supposed 36:11	surprising 18:6 surrounded 14:17
385:20	271:22 272:5 276:6	51:1,2 80:19 128:9	surrounding 155:3
suffice 273:21	278:16 282:16	175:19 182:19	surveillance 40:21
		251:25 272:15	survey 35:1,17,22
suggest 300:24 301:1 306:24	283:7 284:15,20 315:14	274:3,4,7 316:16	37:16 45:16 58:15
		363:8 401:20	58:25 59:2,22
suggested 72:16 314:11 315:19	superintendent's 204:12 271:10		′
314:11 313:19	274:22	suppression 216:13 supreme 13:17,19	60:19,21 61:2 70:23 73:9 90:7
		257:2 267:15	95:22 97:3,12
suggestions 35:21 222:1	superintendents 6:3,7,12,14,24 96:4	sure 6:13 9:9 28:14	105:25 113:15
suicide 73:16 82:23	127:5 131:21 201:7	29:12 49:3 51:8,10	115:19 140:6
191:3	254:2	68:15 75:7 76:25	147:16 152:24
171.3	\(\alpha \)\(\alpha \).\(\alpha \)	00.13 /3./ /0.23	147.10 132.24
	I .	1	I .

[survey - talk] Page 500

223:19 252:17	133:24 135:17,18	407:4 408:2 409:5	69:21 77:9 78:22
334:2,24 345:19	135:19 137:14	409:22 410:7,11	78:22 83:1,14
358:24,25 381:25	140:3 141:11 143:2	411:4,8,11,21,25	112:2 116:14
384:22 385:25	178:12 179:8	412:2,7 413:11	127:19 133:17
386:5,6 389:21	192:24 206:19,20	415:6,10,11	159:2 179:7 188:2
390:1 413:12,21	208:10,12,16 213:5	system's 377:6	189:24 190:13
414:5	234:3 236:14	systemic 17:4	201:22,25 217:9
surveyed 247:17	240:11 248:9	21:10	242:1 256:14
385:1,2,23	250:14,18 252:25	systemically	283:14,20 284:7
surveying 247:15	262:11 264:1 266:8	268:16	301:14 303:10
surveys 181:16	295:19 298:10	systems 40:16	321:18 322:18
375:4 380:21	321:22 330:2,7,9	78:17,20 79:4,10	324:18 326:23
384:11 389:4	330:11,15,18,23	83:25 126:23	338:18 343:16,21
suspect 363:16	331:14,16 332:6,15	138:15,18,20	348:17 372:4 397:9
suspension 254:5	332:21 333:2,5,16	139:12 140:5 142:4	408:4
sustain 254:12	334:7,9,11,17	197:4 244:5,7	taken 21:6 27:25
sustainability 40:6	335:9 336:21,23	247:4 254:10 336:6	29:6,11,12 54:9
48:6	338:4 340:1 341:22	336:7 340:14	89:3 111:23 112:25
sustainable 44:2	342:10,13 343:4,11	341:19 342:12	142:7 172:18
48:14	343:13 344:16,23	347:15 348:8	190:18 209:13
sustained 365:22	344:25 345:7,25	350:21 355:20	290:19
swat 157:12 185:16	347:4 350:2,2,8,9	366:5 389:6 409:16	taker 324:22,24
swearingen 1:15	351:4,5 352:11,12	409:19	341:8 377:25
80:13 158:1 260:16	352:13,16 353:1,10	t	takers 347:12
260:19 265:2 403:8	353:15 356:13,20	t 75:4 360:9 422:1	takes 7:25 62:15
403:18	357:15,16 358:5	422:1	79:19 191:17 238:1
sweep 44:10	360:23 361:6	table 4:25 198:22	269:22 275:8
sweeps 39:10 44:9	364:25 365:1,8,15	215:20 235:15	291:24 292:13
sworn 158:4	366:12 368:12	251:12 259:6	295:20,20 313:10
168:22,24 169:10	369:10,19,23,25	325:16 329:2 337:2	313:13 321:25
170:4 247:11	370:12 371:6,12,19	385:13 417:12,15	323:8 337:21
sydni 31:24 35:4	371:24 373:14	tactical 346:23	talented 251:11
sylvia 173:19	374:10 375:24	tactics 157:21	talk 13:20 14:11
synnex 75:21	376:5,15,18 377:12	223:8	20:18 24:7,8 26:13
system 16:24 17:6	377:17,22 380:10	tager 30:10,10	35:24 71:18 77:10
19:17,20 20:1	381:22 383:3,9	tail 88:6 400:17	80:14 81:20 82:7,8
21:10 22:10 27:5,8	386:12 387:24	tailor 188:6	100:15 102:3
28:11,16,18 41:9	388:4,10 389:3	tailored 37:18	112:14 129:14
76:6,16 79:14,15	390:18,21 391:3,19	take 7:22 33:25	176:13 177:13,15
79:23 80:18 81:11	392:9,16 395:3,25	34:11 36:24 40:12	177:16 179:16,16
128:3,5,10 129:8	397:21 406:24	55:12 62:20 66:11	180:2 185:14 190:6
	Varitant I a		

[talk - tell] Page 501

191:19 206:11	228:8,10 234:25	teacher 26:24	192:23 195:2,23
207:23,24 210:5,23	237:12 249:11	36:10.20 57:3	207:2 260:9 261:11
215:2 216:2 218:7	253:7 257:23 266:1	123:12,20 186:18	262:25 263:12
218:18 221:5,25	277:25 279:8	207:25 246:21	266:2 267:11
225:11 226:2	302:22 303:16	263:10	278:21 408:19
229:23 237:21	314:5 327:19 328:2	teacher's 36:6,17	teamwork 379:7,17
243:7,21,24 246:4	334:5 338:9 355:1	teachers 12:3 15:20	tech 209:12
249:16 250:15	369:18 370:2	29:9 32:25 35:3	technical 15:2
254:3 257:5 264:10	407:14 411:5	36:3 39:7 42:22,23	274:18 360:5,9,11
268:13 269:8 291:8	412:14,14	43:4,8,13 50:16,17	375:1 399:23
295:16 303:3,21	talks 249:13 260:2	50:18 67:20,20,21	technically 271:3,3
306:4 312:15	280:7,12 290:2	109:19 128:20	289:2
315:17 321:1,6	304:1 358:5 402:19	170:17,18 175:4	techniques 223:9
330:1,2 335:19	tall 371:3	176:17,16 173.4	295:7
342:6 360:1 362:2	tallahassee 100:16	187:14 200:19	technological
367:25 385:5	127:25 157:17	201:10 208:2 210:6	342:24 409:17
talked 10:22,25	199:1	224:8,10 246:12	technologically
11:8 26:10,20,21	tamarac 371:2	247:17,22 270:5	325:25
71:24 95:17 114:13	tampa 162:6 205:1	291:14	technology 243:10
119:25 125:24	205:1 236:9	teaches 137:23	243:12 248:1,6,15
144:10 172:14	tapped 30:23	146:16	330:21 332:15
182:20 215:12	taravella 156:15	teaching 186:10	340:3,13 342:17
238:5 250:13	160:14,20	187:14	359:7 374:25 376:4
251:20 257:20	target 131:16	team 13:23 15:25	383:2,10 398:6
258:19 259:22	241:24 311:4	31:2 46:24 48:13	399:9,11 414:25
269:18 272:8	targeted 265:4,6,7	76:17 78:6,24	415:3,4
276:13 287:24	265:8,10,12	82:16 83:20 113:24	teeth 126:10
292:20 303:13	task 261:15 264:17	174:4 177:24,25	142:21 273:13
312:25 314:14	266:1 337:9	185:16 193:1	teleconference
318:21 320:16	tasked 80:13 93:24	206:24 207:4,11	252:16
331:11,12,12,21	97:4 136:7 142:9	209:2,23 210:3,21	telephone 25:23,24
358:10 384:7 413:3	161:9 264:13	210:21 230:6,11	253:8
talkies 153:6 154:8	268:24 285:14	234:15 260:24	telephonic 2:12
talking 10:21 31:23	tasking 139:25	261:13 263:5,21	tell 6:6,13,18,23
65:13,13,14 67:5	tasks 159:25	339:12 360:24	8:16 11:25 12:23
76:21 81:15 98:2	taught 34:2 52:20	387:12 394:5,8,12	13:21 25:21 36:14
128:4 130:13	tax 204:18	400:8	58:4 67:5 71:16,18
133:25,25 134:1,3	teach 40:17 52:14	teams 76:1 77:3	74:16 75:5 85:7
135:21 139:7	53:11 186:9,13	80:5,9 114:3	89:23 93:5,12 94:7
170:12 176:15	187:4 218:3	177:20 186:17	106:24 107:7 109:4
215:24,24 228:5,6		187:10 190:7	109:24,25 122:16

[tell - things] Page 502

	T		
144:17 149:15	terribly 180:20	thee 141:13	things 8:1 9:17
162:25 163:4 172:5	test 243:15 295:2	theirs 346:19	10:17,17 14:13
181:16 198:15	testify 109:23	theme 189:10	19:16 20:22 29:1
222:22 224:4,13,14	testifying 55:5	255:17 379:1,15	29:15 38:2 40:10
232:8 233:2 243:17	testing 88:25	theory 212:23	40:22 41:1,5 52:18
244:4,7 285:2	348:14	347:5	55:21 56:15 60:2
287:21 292:24	text 3:11 208:25	therapy 125:10	71:3,7 72:21 74:15
294:22 312:8	347:16	thing 6:13,14 11:17	89:17 94:14 100:14
314:16 324:21	texts 339:7	11:18 30:12 36:1	101:23,25 115:17
325:12,13 332:2	thank 3:24 25:4,14	36:21 43:24 54:5	118:20 122:7 124:3
338:21 347:23	25:19 30:20 31:3	62:23 64:9,13	125:18 127:18
368:20 384:4,5	31:13,14 32:23	67:15 74:8,20	128:7 130:13
386:13 406:4 407:6	47:10,23,24 48:22	75:14 76:24,24	131:25 133:1 134:7
telling 85:15	48:24 53:21 55:5	79:25 87:8 119:24	134:9 135:13
117:22 122:15	57:14,16 58:9,9	120:5 122:18,24,25	141:25 145:13
183:11 190:15	61:5,6,8 62:11,17	124:3,13 126:25	151:6,10 154:16,18
220:25 274:25	62:17 69:20 72:10	129:19 130:22	157:6,20 164:18
tells 41:25	72:24 81:23 94:23	134:11 135:4	172:7 175:10
template 167:2	112:23 129:15	139:19 149:21,22	176:25 185:8,10,11
templates 173:25	141:18 148:24	150:6 151:11,12,13	185:13 186:15
temple 205:2,10	157:7 159:8,16,17	152:9 154:6 157:10	187:19 189:25
237:1	159:19 160:12,23	173:15 174:16	192:13,16 196:13
temporarily 299:23	160:25 161:3	175:25 176:8,10	196:21 197:22
ten 91:18,19 148:9	163:22 164:17	190:3 199:17	198:21 199:1,7
216:15,17,17 227:7	167:25 169:25	207:19 208:24	210:19 214:2
363:2	171:3,7 200:12	214:18 218:25	218:16 220:12
tendencies 10:15	226:12 232:22	219:21 223:16	222:4 229:4 235:20
term 55:13 151:8	233:21,22 239:20	225:7,9 226:6	235:22 243:23,23
187:5 390:17	242:24 255:7 267:8	235:18 241:4 259:4	244:14 253:4
terminated 356:4	339:3 349:7 355:15	265:16 267:23,24	256:21,24 257:6
terminology 67:2	400:2 420:2,3,8	268:12 272:20	258:8 266:13
98:5 270:7,9	thankful 39:14	273:25 282:6,25	267:17 268:4,5,18
terms 60:22 98:4	195:9 196:20 200:7	296:9 298:2 302:17	269:6 270:17
165:24 234:6	thankfulness 225:2	303:12,21 304:20	277:20 286:25
236:23 238:18	thanks 3:25 32:5	305:8 309:19 316:7	292:13 294:25
245:8 367:18	58:12 62:16 158:2	319:5 325:22	295:7 302:23 303:4
382:11 411:17	170:2 171:24 185:1	329:25 370:17	326:9 331:17
terrace 205:2,11	206:12 256:11	372:8 373:7 384:24	333:22,25 334:1
237:1	261:20	385:5 401:3,24	335:8,8 350:11
terrible 27:17	that'd 197:15	415:7 416:4	354:5 359:20 360:5
	239:14		360:11,22 367:21

[things - threats] Page 503

270 10 271 22	107 10 00 00	41.1. 22.21	10 14 20 22 22 5
370:18 371:23	187:10,22,22	thinking 33:21	19:14,20,23 20:6
375:11,23 387:16	189:20 191:7,7	115:18 140:10	21:15 26:19,22
387:17 390:22	192:11,13,17	169:5 204:14	27:11 35:21 36:12
400:21 413:17	195:16 213:24	thinks 130:8	36:15 50:7,14
think 8:3 9:7 17:1,5	214:7,8,15 215:5	third 51:22,23	62:24 66:14 68:11
23:9 27:1,3,18,20	217:4,8 218:20,21	70:12 74:23 91:11	69:12 73:15 75:25
28:19 29:15 31:9	219:2,10 220:16	95:19 113:10	77:3 78:6,24 80:5,9
32:4 37:8 41:2	221:24 222:2,2,4,5	151:13 164:1 198:9	80:10,15 81:21
48:25 49:15 52:6,7	224:15,20,21	268:5 325:22	82:6,10,11,17,18
53:10,13,15 54:3	226:10 235:7	thirteen 88:5 259:8	113:24 114:2 151:2
54:19,21 55:7	239:17 243:7	thirty 16:8 49:25	151:12,13,14
57:22,24 58:2,5,6	250:18,23 251:10	83:6 155:17 194:2	152:18,18 153:8
61:9,17 62:3 63:24	251:19 252:8	194:3,4 199:4	177:19,20,23,25
65:6 68:14,21,25	255:14,17,22,23	205:24 217:14,16	178:13,19,24 190:6
69:3 76:13 77:7,15	256:8,9,19 261:18	217:18,22 226:5	190:18 191:14
90:5,18,25 99:12	270:7 276:21	240:24 254:15	192:22,24 193:6
102:1,19,21,25	278:25 280:12,13	339:15 356:7 363:6	194:23 195:1,22,25
103:5 104:7,22	281:7 283:23 284:4	thompson 13:1	206:15,16 207:2,7
107:2 108:7,11	285:4 287:16,18	16:11 70:10	207:11 209:2,18,23
115:1 121:5 122:3	290:15,17 291:5	thorough 79:1	209:25 210:1,22
123:7 129:8,17,18	292:9,9 294:3,11	thought 9:9 29:2	211:25 212:20,23
129:23,25 130:2,4	294:21 295:25	31:11 37:1 53:2	212:24 213:1,21
130:6,15,17 131:17	296:9,12,15,19	58:15,18 59:23	214:9 215:3 218:5
132:22,25 133:15	297:23 298:5,25	68:16 109:14	229:15 230:6,8,10
133:23 134:16,23	302:23 303:1,16	115:16 120:20	231:14 236:5
135:5 137:10 138:9	306:17,18 307:23	130:10 134:6,17	239:10 245:5 246:6
138:14,16,24 139:6	308:8,22 309:8	148:11 179:12	255:21 256:3 260:5
139:10 140:15	310:2,10 311:22	198:4 214:6 217:10	260:7,9,10,13
141:5,9,12,15	314:20,21 318:10	249:10 308:10	261:10,12,23
144:20 145:22	318:14,14,15,16	401:4 407:17	262:12,25 263:4,11
147:13,15 148:18	319:5 326:21	412:16 414:18	263:13 264:7,15,19
150:4,7 153:22,25	338:10 339:5	415:2,15 419:5	266:17 267:10
154:1 156:9 157:5	343:25 344:12	thoughts 22:1	275:16,20,24 276:3
158:13,19,21	345:15 350:10	23:15 48:3 68:23	276:15 278:19
159:10,14,23	351:20 364:9,19	131:15 172:3	314:8 319:17,21
162:17 172:8 174:3	369:19 371:11	189:14 256:12	threating 246:14
175:9 176:7,9,15	384:1,25 389:8	308:25 309:14	threats 16:18
177:2 180:1,8	398:22,23 408:23	threat 10:12 14:16	208:17 218:4
183:18,19,21,25	414:14 415:22	14:19 15:1,9,24	248:22 254:6
184:6,9,11,14	416:20 417:1,13,14	16:7,12,15,21 17:7	255:11 318:22
185:7 186:2 187:4	417:23 418:3,4	17:15 18:23 19:3	350:13,18
100.1			

[three - touring] Page 504

three 17:16,17,20	59:25 78:1 83:14	397:22 402:13	319:1 362:1 420:22
17:25 18:14,18	84:13 105:3 110:5	timetable 13:3	421:1,3
33:13 43:21 73:7	134:15 135:8	tinker 305:22	ton 226:14
82:1,4,13 83:8 90:1	137:20 139:23	tip 88:23 92:20,21	tone 332:20 384:8
107:20,21 151:10	143:21 146:2	191:2,4 198:25	397:22
152:8 157:18	147:24 156:23	tips 88:22 89:2,18	tony 5:18,18 92:5
169:12 170:7	158:18 164:17	89:25 90:17 190:24	157:7 360:12
171:11,17 173:17	165:17 174:22	tires 159:9	383:23 386:1 414:6
177:7 189:22 192:4	180:12 186:10	title 33:10	414:9
199:3,22 200:4,5	188:21 189:21	today 4:16 5:11	tool 73:14 75:12
207:9 215:9 229:22	191:17 196:25	8:10 9:8 30:18 32:6	77:2 81:6 123:9
230:5,5 260:24	200:25 201:11,12	46:4 55:6 58:10	141:24 142:1
326:9 340:6 346:8	204:10 206:11	63:1 64:7 70:23	146:23,23 179:14
346:20,21 361:2,2	207:1 210:13,17	78:19 79:22 85:4	192:11 222:2,3
361:8 368:3,22	216:11 225:16,17	92:3 99:10,11	280:8
369:13 370:3 374:5	229:16 237:3 239:5	106:4 115:5 129:4	tools 254:20
374:12 375:16	248:16 281:9	131:22 152:11	top 18:18 220:7
378:23 379:16	295:21 323:25	161:12 168:1 212:3	232:23 287:23
384:10 386:6,18	327:25 328:15,20	212:11 214:23	358:4 372:3 380:25
397:13	337:21 342:10,22	233:19 242:25	topic 47:6 96:6
threshold 307:19	345:3 346:11,23	278:4 287:24	122:19 141:1
throttle 411:3,9	357:2 363:5 365:6	300:17 307:6,7	156:13 272:11
throttling 330:7	365:9,12 367:22	309:21,21 320:1	321:3 406:18
363:23 364:14,20	369:21 370:25	321:21 330:1 331:9	topics 8:22 337:3
365:2,21 372:4,8	375:13,20 376:4	332:13 335:5 336:1	toro 422:6,17
395:4	387:19 391:13	362:1 364:15	total 59:1 71:21
throughs 205:24	401:23 402:20	374:22 384:2,15	88:22 217:21
throw 67:4 222:13	412:23 416:13	390:6 420:22	313:14
267:1	418:6 421:2	told 11:2 50:3 70:9	totaled 85:22
throwing 389:3	timeline 344:18	70:10 176:3 187:2	totally 14:21 15:5
thursday 109:20	346:4	191:1 333:12	226:21 262:19,20
156:15 269:13,17	timely 344:14	336:11 399:25	308:21 313:15
tie 262:16,17	379:22	413:18	318:5
tied 83:22	times 95:4 97:9,15	tomorrow 4:17 9:3	touch 95:15 200:13
tiered 259:15	108:4 151:1 155:12	9:8,14 81:15,18	206:15 268:21
ties 247:3,3 266:9	197:17 211:14	129:5,14,14 132:22	375:12
tim 30:10	217:1 225:14	134:5,10 145:18	touched 146:13
time 9:3 11:6,7	255:15 314:6	177:7 179:22	147:6 174:20
20:20 21:21 25:12	346:12 366:23	192:20 221:5,25	tough 72:13
26:10 27:21 38:7	368:14,22 369:11	249:17 268:14	touring 72:15
41:8 43:15 44:3,10	369:13,13,18	280:20 315:18	

transcription 42:29 transfer 322:23	225 10 242 5	747111610	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	4 1 010206
354:18,22 370:12 82:18,19 83:14,18 324:20 325:11 trunked 358:4 trunk 243:24 377:11 386:10 397:20 trunk 109:25 trunk 109:25<	tower 335:10 343:5	74:7,11,16,19	transcription 422:9	truly 21:9 32:6
371:2,4 373:20 100:9 103:3 110:6 354:24 trust 243:24 377:11 386:10 397:20 towers 355:7 111:23 112:24 373:22 414:19 trust 12:31 12:24 373:22 414:19 truth 109:25 truth 107:14 try 67:25 104:7,14 try 67:25 104:7,14<		,		
385:12 395:5 415:5 110:10,10,15 transferred 322:8 386:10 397:20 towers 355:7 111:23 112:24 327:5 391:2 truth 109:25 28 100:30:13 187:13 174:12 323:13 348:9,10 318:11 130:21 23:11 28:11 28:13 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
towers 355:7 373:22 414:19 126:18 146:16,20 149:22,23 150:5,9 151:21 157:23 151:21 157:23 151:21 157:23 151:21 157:23 158:4,5 165:14 166:13 174:12 176:18 178:7 320:13 180:10 182:20,24 17acks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 17acks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 17acks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 17acks 276:22 17acks 17ack 17acks 17	'			
373:22 414:19 126:18 146:16,20 transferring 327:7 truthful 70:14 70:14 try 67:25 104:7,14 try 67:25 104:34 try 12:22 281:14 try 13:14 try 14:14 tr		· '		
town 190:15 149:22,23 150:5,9 transition 238:18 try 67:25 104:7,14 tp'd 299:23 151:21 157:23 transition 238:18 try 67:25 104:7,14 tpd 236:23 158:4,5 165:14 transmit 327:17,18 186:8 193:16 trace 14:1 166:13 174:12 327:23,24 342:22 231:13 186:8 193:16 track 197:1 227:24 180:10 182:20,24 352:3 362:22 281:13 303:17 348:9,10 351:11,22 281:13 303:17 320:13 187:13 195:20 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 366:12 367:2 373:7 401:19 412:16,21 track ack 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 180:2 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 401:19 412:16,21 track 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 180:2 transportation 180:2 401:19 412:16,21 420:22 42:22 420:22 42:5 25:10 400:51 99:4 116:3 420:22 42:5 25:10 420:22 42:5 420:22 42:5 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 116:7 117:21 119:13,15,15 116:7 117:21 119:13,15,15 116:7 117:21 119:13,15,15 116:7 117:21 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15				
tp'd 299:23 151:21 157:23 translation 89:13 130:2 145:18 185:4 tpd 236:23 158:4,5 165:14 transmit 327:17,18 186:8 193:16 track 197:1 227:24 176:18 178:7 348:9,10 351:11,22 203:17 253:15 track 197:1 227:24 180:10 182:20,24 352:3 362:22 281:13 303:17 tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 track 225:17 232:13 234:19,20 235:8 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 trade 254:11 236:3,5,6,19 travel 89:7 travel 89:7 100:5 109:4 116:3 traffic 40:22 297:71,7,23 280:5 traced 236:2 137:17 199:23 tragedy 5:1 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 280:24,5,6 36:13 142:2 trage 29:1 292:14,5,6		′		
tpd 236:23 158:4,5 165:14 transmit 327:17,18 186:8 193:16 trace 14:1 166:13 174:12 327:23,24 342:22 203:17 253:15 track 197:1 227:24 176:18 178:7 348:9,10 351:11,22 281:13 303:17 320:13 180:10 182:20,24 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 tracking 300:13 187:13 195:20 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 180:2 401:19 412:16,21 traction 220:17 212:18 217:7 transparent 21:7 420:22 421:2 traction 220:17 236:3,56,19 transportation 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 trade 254:13 260:4 traveling 238:11 116:7 117:21 traveling 238:11 116:7 117:21 traveling 238:11 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21 116:7 17:21				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
trace 14:1 166:13 174:12 327:23,24 342:22 203:17 253:15 track 197:1 227:24 176:18 178:7 348:9,10 351:11,22 281:13 303:17 320:13 180:10 182:20,24 352:3 362:22 310:18 344:8 346:6 tracking 300:13 187:13 195:20 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 transparent 219:7 401:19 412:16,21 traction 220:17 212:18 217:7 transparent 219:7 420:22 421:2 trying 24:525:10 391:13 234:19,20 235:8 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 travelled 29:7 trowled 56:17 59:19 61:11 travelled 29:4 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 travelled 22:4 119:13,15,15 116:7 117:21 travelled 22:4 119:13,15,15 116:7 117:21 travelled 22:4 119:13,15,15 116:7 117:21 117:21 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 119:13,1	_			
track 197:1 227:24 176:18 178:7 348:9,10 351:11,22 281:13 303:17 320:13 180:10 182:20,24 352:3 362:22 310:18 344:8 346:6 tracking 300:13 187:13 195:20 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 180:2 401:19 412:16,21 401:19 412:16,21 tracking 309:25 225:17 232:13 transparent 219:7 420:22 421:2 trusping 245:25:10 391:13 234:19,20 235:8 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 trade 25:11 236:3,5,6,19 travel 89:7 100:5 109:4 116:3 trusping 245:25:10 29:18 116:7 117:21 travelled 92:4 116:7 117:21 116:7 117:21 travelled 92:4 119:13,15,15 treated 236:52 240:19 305:5 280:8 284:10 treated 236:2 137:17 199:23 21:12 232:5 252:7 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 120:2 232:5 252:7 120:2 232:5 252:7 120:2 232:5 252:7 120:2 232:5 252:7 120:2 232:5 252:7 120:2 232:5 252:7 120:2 232:5 252:7 120:2 232:5 252:7 12	_	158:4,5 165:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
320:13 180:10 182:20,24 352:3 362:22 310:18 344:8 346:6 tracking 300:13 187:13 195:20 17:11,20 207:3 180:2 401:19 412:16,21	trace 14:1	166:13 174:12	327:23,24 342:22	203:17 253:15
tracking 300:13 187:13 195:20 transparency 5:19 366:12 367:2 373:7 tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 180:2 401:19 412:16,21 traction 220:17 212:18 217:7 transparent 219:7 420:22 421:2 trying 24:5 25:10 391:13 234:19,20 235:8 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 trainsportation 56:17 59:19 61:11 trying 24:5 25:10 391:13 234:19,20 235:8 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 trainsportation 56:17 59:19 61:11 trying 24:5 25:10 401:19 236:3,5,6,19 travel 89:7 travelled 92:4 100:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 117:21 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 117:21 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 117:21 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 117:21 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 117:21 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 117:21 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 119:13,15,15 1	track 197:1 227:24	176:18 178:7	348:9,10 351:11,22	281:13 303:17
tracks 276:22 197:11,20 207:3 180:2 401:19 412:16,21 traction 220:17 212:18 217:7 transparent 219:7 420:22 421:2 trying 24:5 25:10 391:13 234:19,20 235:8 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 trade 254:11 236:3,5,6,19 travel 89:7 100:5 109:4 116:3 traveling 238:11 116:7 117:21 traveling 238:11 116:7 117:21<	320:13	180:10 182:20,24	352:3 362:22	310:18 344:8 346:6
traction 220:17 212:18 217:7 transparent 219:7 420:22 421:2 tracy 359:25 225:17 232:13 transportation 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 trade 254:11 236:3,5,6,19 travel 89:7 100:5 109:4 116:3 traditional 122:3 254:13 260:4 traveling 238:11 116:7 117:21 traffic 40:22 279:7,17,23 280:5 travelled 92:4 119:13,15,15 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tree 401:19 21:122 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tremendous 133:24 266:5,6 302:24 206:23 220:13 287:9 288:4,18 364:17 304:4,8 306:19 304:4,8 306:19 225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 tremendously 308:11 314:22 tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 traine 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 tried 32:16 51:22 336:13 347:25 297:1 297:14 298:1 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:	tracking 300:13	187:13 195:20	transparency 5:19	366:12 367:2 373:7
tracy 359:25 225:17 232:13 transportation trying 24:5 25:10 391:13 234:19,20 235:8 96:16 56:17 59:19 61:11 trade 254:11 236:3,5,6,19 travel 89:7 100:5 109:4 116:3 traditional 122:3 254:13 260:4 traveling 238:11 116:7 117:21 122:11 125:14 269:25 273:24 travelled 92:4 119:13,15,15 traffic 40:22 279:7,17,23 280:5 280:8 284:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 285:25 286:10 291:18 292:45,6 291:18 292:45,6 293:16,18,20,21,22 226:8 308:11 314:22 308:11 314:22 308:11 314:22 226:8 319:4 320:13 328:15 329:15 328:15 329:15 328:15 329:15 328:15 329:15 328:15 329:15 328:15 329:15 336:13 347:25 336:13 347:25 336:13 347:25 366:9 371:13 336:13 347:25 366:9 371:13 383:14 398:12 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 383:24 398:12 408:21,21 417:1	tracks 276:22	197:11,20 207:3	180:2	401:19 412:16,21
391:13 trade 254:11 trade 254:11 122:11 125:14 269:25 273:24 travelled 92:4 119:13,15,15 traffic 40:22 279:7,17,23 280:5 240:19 305:5 280:8 284:10 295:25 286:10 295:25 286:10 295:18 225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 297:1 297:1 297:14 298:1 297:14 298:1 304:14,15,17,19,19 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 106:20 110:14 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 179:9,10 294:8 295:6 296:24 179:10,12 170:5 109:4 116:3 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 110:5 109:4 116:3 116:7 117:21 119:13,15,15 119:	traction 220:17	212:18 217:7	transparent 219:7	420:22 421:2
trade 254:11 236:3,5,6,19 travel 89:7 100:5 109:4 116:3 traditional 122:3 254:13 260:4 traveling 238:11 116:7 117:21 122:11 125:14 269:25 273:24 travelled 92:4 119:13,15,15 traffic 40:22 279:7,17,23 280:5 treated 236:2 137:17 199:23 240:19 305:5 280:8 284:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tremendous 133:24 266:5,6 30:224 206:23 220:13 287:9 288:4,18 364:17 304:4,8 306:19 304:4,8 306:19 225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 tremendously 308:11 314:22 226:8 319:4 320:13 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 <td>tracy 359:25</td> <td>225:17 232:13</td> <td>transportation</td> <td>trying 24:5 25:10</td>	tracy 359:25	225:17 232:13	transportation	trying 24:5 25:10
traditional 122:3 254:13 260:4 traveling 238:11 116:7 117:21 122:11 125:14 269:25 273:24 travelled 92:4 119:13,15,15 traffic 40:22 279:7,17,23 280:5 treated 236:2 137:17 199:23 240:19 305:5 280:8 284:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tree d01:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tree d01:19 21:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tree d01:19 21:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 286:19 304:4,8 306:19 308:11 314:22 <tr< td=""><td>391:13</td><td>234:19,20 235:8</td><td>96:16</td><td>56:17 59:19 61:11</td></tr<>	391:13	234:19,20 235:8	96:16	56:17 59:19 61:11
122:11 125:14 269:25 273:24 travelled 92:4 119:13,15,15 traffic 40:22 279:7,17,23 280:5 treated 236:2 137:17 199:23 240:19 305:5 280:8 284:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tremendous 133:24 266:5,6 302:24 206:23 220:13 287:9 288:4,18 364:17 304:4,8 306:19 225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 tremendously 308:11 314:22 tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 226:8 319:4 320:13 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 train 67:20 100:11 296:17 297:10,12 tried 32:16 51:22 336:13 347:25 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 305:10 348:19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 tripled	trade 254:11	236:3,5,6,19	travel 89:7	100:5 109:4 116:3
traffic 40:22 279:7,17,23 280:5 treated 236:2 137:17 199:23 240:19 305:5 280:8 284:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tremendous 133:24 266:5,6 302:24 206:23 220:13 287:9 288:4,18 364:17 304:4,8 306:19 225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 tremendously 308:11 314:22 tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 226:8 319:4 320:13 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 383:24 398:12 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 triple 34:20 tume 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 295:6 296:24 <td>traditional 122:3</td> <td>254:13 260:4</td> <td>traveling 238:11</td> <td>116:7 117:21</td>	traditional 122:3	254:13 260:4	traveling 238:11	116:7 117:21
240:19 305:5 280:8 284:10 tree 401:19 211:22 232:5 252:7 tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tremendous 133:24 266:5,6 302:24 206:23 220:13 287:9 288:4,18 364:17 304:4,8 306:19 225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 tremendously 308:11 314:22 tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 tremendously 308:11 314:22 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 tried 32:16 51:22 336:13 347:25 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 383:24 398:12 383:24 398:12 166:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5	122:11 125:14	269:25 273:24	travelled 92:4	119:13,15,15
tragedy 51:1 285:25 286:10 tremendous 133:24 266:5,6 302:24 206:23 220:13 287:9 288:4,18 364:17 304:4,8 306:19 225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 tremendously 308:11 314:22 tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 226:8 319:4 320:13 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 32:22 72:7 192:5 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19	traffic 40:22	279:7,17,23 280:5	treated 236:2	137:17 199:23
206:23 220:13 287:9 288:4,18 364:17 304:4,8 306:19 225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 tremendously 308:11 314:22 tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 226:8 319:4 320:13 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 tried 32:16 51:22 336:13 347:25 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 383:24 398:12 106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 true 99:7 110:7 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 303:8	240:19 305:5	280:8 284:10	tree 401:19	211:22 232:5 252:7
225:10 291:18 292:4,5,6 tremendously 308:11 314:22 tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 226:8 319:4 320:13 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 tried 32:16 51:22 336:13 347:25 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 383:24 398:12 106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10	tragedy 51:1	285:25 286:10	tremendous 133:24	266:5,6 302:24
tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 226:8 319:4 320:13 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 tried 32:16 51:22 336:13 347:25 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 383:24 398:12 106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19	206:23 220:13	287:9 288:4,18	364:17	304:4,8 306:19
tragic 29:23 293:16,18,20,21,22 226:8 319:4 320:13 train 67:20 100:11 294:4,5,9 295:12 trends 193:15 328:15 329:15 128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 tried 32:16 51:22 336:13 347:25 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 383:24 398:12 106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19	225:10	291:18 292:4,5,6	tremendously	308:11 314:22
128:20,20 174:14 296:17 297:10,12 tried 32:16 51:22 336:13 347:25 297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 357:23 362:5 383:24 398:12 106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 303:8 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	tragic 29:23	293:16,18,20,21,22	_	319:4 320:13
297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 383:24 398:12 106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	train 67:20 100:11	294:4,5,9 295:12	trends 193:15	328:15 329:15
297:1 297:14 298:1 91:15 208:19 362:22 363:12 trained 68:21 304:14,15,17,19,19 401:18 410:5 366:9 371:13 83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 383:24 398:12 106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	128:20,20 174:14	296:17 297:10,12	tried 32:16 51:22	336:13 347:25
83:10,12 85:21 305:10 348:19 tries 403:6 383:24 398:12 106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8		297:14 298:1	91:15 208:19	362:22 363:12
106:20 110:14 357:23 362:5 trigger 207:11 399:16,17 404:3 168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	trained 68:21	304:14,15,17,19,19	401:18 410:5	366:9 371:13
168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	83:10,12 85:21	305:10 348:19	tries 403:6	383:24 398:12
168:22,24 169:9 374:23 378:20 triple 159:25 408:21,21 417:1 180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	106:20 110:14	357:23 362:5	trigger 207:11	399:16,17 404:3
180:20 195:25 379:9 380:15 tripled 34:20 tune 220:5 197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	168:22,24 169:9		00	·
197:9,10 294:8 381:12,14,15,18 triumph 46:25 turn 2:25 30:4 295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	<u>'</u>		_	·
295:6 296:24 390:9 420:23 trouble 198:23,24 32:22 72:7 192:5 trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8			_	
trainer 83:12 trainings 236:20 troubling 15:23 224:20 417:20 training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8	,		_	
training 5:24 6:25 trains 349:20 true 99:7 110:7 turnaround 134:19 39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8				
39:7 43:3,7 69:7,10 transcript 422:8 348:12 354:10 303:8				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

turned 147:1	413:21	undersheriff 1:20	186:23 187:7 347:3
turns 246:2	tv 13:1 16:10	2:16 122:20 204:9	uniformity 296:12
tv 38:22 39:9 45:6	type 83:13 135:16	204:13,17	uniformly 297:20
53:25 60:3 229:24	140:15 165:24	understand 24:15	unilateral 397:2
tweak 189:25	172:25 186:20	28:16 56:7 59:20	unincorporated
308:20	193:2 207:18	66:24 109:5 120:4	205:11
twelfth 74:25	214:25 245:7 310:9	128:16 129:11	unintended 138:4
twelve 88:3,4	404:19 405:2,3	133:5 167:14	unintentional
167:12	407:25	176:14 251:1 295:9	354:8
twenty 17:20,25	types 56:10 60:6	297:7 306:19 327:3	unintentionally
18:14,18 85:20	154:16,17 184:17	328:8,9 338:3	355:8
88:10 167:7 176:18	306:21 307:1,2	343:1 344:12 369:4	unique 16:22 17:2
254:18 317:16	308:16 309:5	398:13	35:1 161:22 164:21
353:16 375:7	405:20	understandable	171:11 362:25
386:23 387:3 396:4	typical 229:16	316:4 358:13	unit 44:5 158:4
396:14 418:16	typically 39:24	understanding	227:8 305:5 352:19
twice 182:3 199:13	u	91:1 214:14 342:15	352:20 395:22
two 8:23 10:7,9		345:14 348:18	406:23
15:11,13 17:15,18	u.s. 82:6	351:19 373:17	united 43:1
19:7 22:3 33:7,8,21	ugly 373:21	388:4,6 419:3	units 44:8 234:8
36:8 41:21 48:10	ultimate 171:13	understands	238:13 325:10
48:12,12 54:12	283:11	164:20 337:16	328:16 336:8 338:6
70:9 83:5 93:15,20	ultimately 371:18	understood 168:20	405:7
107:19,20 146:13	401:14 418:10	335:2,3 382:14	university 22:17
147:18 153:20	umbrella 340:25	undoubtedly 64:12	38:18 45:24
155:16 160:14,18	un 231:5	144:11,12 286:3	unknown 117:7
167:7 169:12 170:6	unable 9:2 362:22	unequivocally 26:8	unmanned 40:16
170:7 191:2,2,3	unacceptable 371:14	unfamiliar 17:11	unmarked 246:1
194:2,3,4 203:2		unfinished 8:23	unnecessary
216:9 219:1 230:5	unambiguous 309:21	10:2 419:7	267:21
236:11 240:24,24	unanswered 17:1	unfortunate 220:13	unofficial 367:5
258:8 263:17 268:4	unavoidable	unfortunately 44:2	unquestionably
283:16 299:21	267:21	64:18 156:6 229:5	64:13
302:10 308:17	unbelievable 395:4	311:2 338:10 418:4	unrealistic 321:12
314:3 317:25 321:1	uncertainty 313:14	unfunded 100:15	unreasonable
341:14 348:4 351:9	396:20	100:17	378:12
353:16 354:5	uncovered 420:4	unification 216:18	unreported 395:6
355:21 356:1,7	underneath 68:10	unified 77:2 234:2	unresolved 335:12
359:18 369:13	underreport 221:1	237:7	396:21
371:23 378:23	underreport 221.1	uniform 20:6,14	unrestricted 289:3
379:16 401:25	181:7 268:16	165:6 179:13	289:6
		ral Solutions	

[unsafe - view] Page 507

[unsure view]
unsafe 138:6,7
unstable 371:23
unstaffed 152:13
156:4
untenable 307:25
unworkable 307:25
328:18
upbeat 29:3
upcoming 160:1
update 20:21 21:13
70:22 84:14 88:20
132:3 256:20 257:8
259:20 261:20
330:3 335:7,13,17
345:10 408:9 419:5
419:8
updated 135:13
336:1
updates 8:24 13:9
13:11 23:12 374:17
374:18 408:6
upgrade 343:3
upgrades 242:19
upload 207:7
uploading 178:13
upmost 46:10
ups 90:5 372:5
ups 90.5 372.5 upset 95:14
-
upsetting 91:5
upsides 124:18
urgency 92:9 95:2 95:11
, , , , , ,
use 18:3 40:25
41:24 43:13 48:12
51:2 55:13 57:6
65:22 79:13 86:20
87:11 91:16 92:25
100:2,10 106:8
108:2 110:19
111:21 123:8
129:10 133:6 136:3

146:15 147:14 149:19 154:8,8,19 158:17 162:13 164:5 167:20 170:3 190:22,22 191:6 199:5,6,15 203:9 208:16,19 229:6 231:9 240:7 242:1 245:9 248:8 249:22 259:12 261:8,14,16 263:15 268:8 270:22 280:16 281:8,24 289:8,9 289:13,17,18 316:2 316:9 317:22 324:11 347:12 350:17 352:12 354:12,13 365:22 383:3,19 395:25 400:10 403:1 407:15 413:9 **useful** 140:16 useless 26:7 user 76:4 205:13 users 331:16 333:2 345:6 379:1 380:11 385:18,19 387:23 415:10 uses 146:14 158:8 181:20 193:22 243:12 246:19 342:14 347:7 350:1 359:10,15 usss 73:15 usually 223:3 230:19 238:19 uta 75:6 **utilize** 38:24 40:20 56:13 382:7

utmost 158:2 V **vacation** 280:24 **valid** 371:16 415:22 validated 37:6 193:2 213:21 214:4 263:14 264:1 344:20 valuable 307:16 402:25 405:17 **value** 104:20 vaping 51:7,12,15 51:20 52:4 variables 347:14 variant 23:6 variations 158:10 257:21 variety 39:13 78:16 82:10 323:12 396:21 various 39:11 65:4 65:4 137:4 326:19 330:25 353:4 363:4 377:14 396:9 408:1 vary 346:24 varying 263:4 368:2,15 vehemently 337:2 vehicles 367:17 vein 285:20 **vendor** 74:9,11,18 75:10,19,21,23 79:13 89:12 213:10 213:12 262:10 350:3 vendor's 75:2 **vendors** 154:10 398:4.5.7.10.15 399:18,23 400:1

venture 342:9 verified 83:21 312:15 verify 24:9 25:6 verizon 366:8 369:7 vernacular 416:22 versa 326:5 329:5 **versed** 180:21 version 89:13 192:7 269:15 302:14 303:23 313:25 315:24 versus 184:18 202:6,21 207:12 217:12 228:19 284:4 308:12 320:10 378:6 397:24 **vessel** 179:7 **vessels** 181:19 **vested** 186:23 vestibule 241:18 242:9 **vetting** 165:25 285:17 361:5 **viable** 291:19 344:15 vice 326:5 329:5 victim 1:16,24 319:16 victims 2:8 336:12 **video** 39:8 45:3 52:19,25 54:1 122:20,22,22 156:23 158:7 231:4 231:23 247:3 videos 45:8,8,13 60:2,16 view 8:3 100:21 183:18 191:21

utilizing 387:24

[view - watch] Page 508

			•
215:3 216:3 231:23	vote 361:13,17,20	want 4:6 6:14,15	309:1 320:19 321:1
287:4,5,6 293:1	394:14 417:14	6:18,18 9:5,16	323:23 326:3
301:12	voted 352:16	17:23 23:16 30:20	333:22 334:21
viewable 232:4,21	406:13,21	31:4 32:4 41:5	335:5,20,25 355:14
viewed 108:5	voters 339:21	46:12 47:22 53:10	355:15 357:11
viewpoints 35:6	352:16	55:2,4 56:7,11,22	358:20 364:12
views 7:3,4 8:3	votes 269:21	58:7 61:12 62:3,23	370:15 371:11
45:19 94:9 145:23	295:21 406:12	63:2 64:9 65:9,18	383:15,16 384:19
violate 268:15	voting 361:12	67:4,23,25 68:22	389:5 390:20,21
403:14	vulnerabilities 71:9	69:5 70:7 71:3,8	403:16,24 404:23
violated 268:15	99:19 173:23	72:4 74:19 76:24	405:18,22 408:8
violation 255:1	205:22	81:20 99:17 100:2	411:24 414:1 419:4
violations 255:4	vulnerability 72:5	100:25 108:7,14	420:2
violence 32:21 46:9	131:6 153:12 251:3	109:6 122:19 129:4	wanted 11:19
54:8 82:23 265:4,7	251:14 252:3 311:6	130:5,12 131:14	26:18 28:24 34:8
265:7,8,10,12	vulnerable 40:24	134:15 140:4	35:14 36:13 38:3
violent 10:14	132:21	144:20 145:18,20	39:23 40:20 43:25
129:17 266:18	W	159:8,20 160:14	73:6,10,20 97:12
virginia 73:16	wagging 400:17	164:19 167:1	105:18 157:10,18
82:12,18,21 83:11	wait 84:6 125:8,10	168:17 169:11,12	160:11 162:23
195:20,25 196:8	207:12 335:20	171:25 175:2,12	165:24 166:20
209:12	370:24 406:9,9	183:11 190:17	168:24 196:3
virtual 391:1	419:4	194:16 196:5 198:1	255:10 298:9
visible 124:16	wakes 324:12	204:22 206:13	310:18,20 319:10
vision 395:17	wakes 324.12 wakulla 157:24	213:15,24 219:11	320:14 321:17
visit 72:14 287:21	walk 37:20 121:2	219:16 221:6	379:4,4 398:9
visited 118:5	155:22 156:5	222:13,13,21	404:9,15 406:22
120:15 148:15	205:24 208:11	223:14 224:23	414:12 419:2
visual 197:4 240:8	283:4 407:5	226:13 228:3,11	wanting 108:2
vital 46:18	walked 92:7 205:21	232:24 233:7	387:7
vitally 64:14	walkie 153:6 154:8	235:22 244:8	wants 30:2 265:11
voice 37:22 55:16	walking 182:16	248:20 252:1 257:4	265:23 269:24
57:25 200:11	406:2	257:7 260:17,18	300:4 307:12,18
voices 55:17	walks 207:16	261:8 266:3,10,11	350:7 403:21
void 77:24 99:11	214:19 246:3	266:23 270:21	war 185:25,25
volunteer 226:17	walmart 153:5	284:23 287:15	warrant 71:23
228:9,11	walsh 211:2	289:9 290:3 291:8	warrior 159:11
volunteering 228:7	wand 80:6	291:20 292:21	wasted 256:1
volunteers 227:16	wanders 298:13	293:14 295:5	wastewater 345:2
227:17 228:5	wanding 241:9	298:19,24 300:21	watch 7:14 91:12
317:16		300:25 308:24	91:14,15,23 182:14

Veritext Legal Solutions

[watch - withhold] Page 509

190:17 417:16	235:21 240:6	weapons 44:8	197:20 215:10
watched 169:2	266:12 273:15	227:19,21 243:13	225:19 232:12
182:5	303:4 323:12 377:3	245:2	279:23 295:11
watching 65:11	we've 6:9 8:14	wear 216:20	308:13,13 355:5
water 370:19	21:11 28:3 38:11	wearing 165:6	363:17 364:4 369:7
way 7:8 8:20 11:18	46:6 53:5,6,7 61:2	weather 216:19	369:19 378:22
13:5 15:2,4 24:13	61:2,4 65:3 67:6	web 76:4 249:9	westlake 343:5
24:14 27:1 29:2	70:12 81:16 92:18	320:13	wheel 189:1 261:9
38:2 43:1 50:10	93:14 95:16 99:3	website 34:8 49:20	266:6,23
56:18 59:10 79:15	102:11 106:25	249:6,15 361:4	whichever 61:24
90:22 91:25 94:17	115:11,12 119:25	374:16	whistles 154:11
95:12,23 104:6	127:9 136:3 137:15	websites 125:17	wholeheartedly
117:21 118:23	138:9 144:10	wednesday 318:25	294:20
121:16 130:2	152:24 164:14	weeding 107:6	wide 21:10 52:19
145:10,15 147:22	172:14 174:18	weeding 107.0	162:11 221:7 256:5
152:22 155:25	190:14,23 196:19	week 7:17 41:10	widespread 256:9
156:3 158:17	200:17,23,24	63:12,19 64:5	256:9
161:22 168:8	200:17,23,24 202:13,14 206:15	95:24 119:19	wife 175:4
175:20 176:21	1		wild 175:5
	209:12,14,15 211:4	120:12,15 157:25	
177:3 187:11,12	213:16 214:9 217:5	169:18 197:5	willing 36:24 53:3
191:13 199:12	217:25 221:18	200:17 252:16	54:23 129:22 137:8
200:24 203:3,5,18	224:7 225:14	253:8 291:23	419:21
203:19 212:19	235:15 238:5 239:1	299:20,22 304:24	win 173:14 243:19
223:7 229:24	240:2 241:4 242:22	305:18 318:25	winded 287:11
235:12 243:25	244:10,10,11	370:5 371:25	winding 280:18
244:3 259:17,18	245:13 246:6,10	374:14	window 66:21
266:14 269:4 281:8	252:13 261:8,22	weeks 12:2 134:23	68:11,12 69:13
285:3,5 290:5	265:6 271:15,16	240:24 299:21	87:24 123:12
295:6 301:17	272:8 285:12	326:14 330:25	324:14
302:25 304:10	287:24 295:2	332:12 333:13	windows 66:11,15
305:1 309:6 311:5	299:13 301:15,24	384:7,10 397:13	68:8 123:19,19
319:4 320:18 321:3	314:13 326:13	420:25	147:2
323:13 325:10	329:23 330:24	weigh 144:25 293:1	wine 401:25
332:24 338:12	331:4,11,12 335:6	294:10 338:17	winning 244:13
348:2 378:12 388:1	338:9 346:8 347:24	403:9	wish 62:12
388:22 406:6	372:2 394:9 411:23	welcome 2:4 4:6	withdrawing
408:14 414:24	412:13 413:3 418:3	30:3,8 55:3	330:17
417:2,17	419:11,11,12,13	went 10:23 15:15	withdrew 214:10
ways 19:25 52:3	weak 58:22 59:2	18:11 31:12 70:10	withheld 114:24
101:2 102:24	weapon 121:3	72:21 123:4 155:20	withhold 114:14
161:16 196:18	180:14,17	165:13 167:8 169:9	274:22 275:14
Veritant Legal Colutions			

[woefully - year] Page 510

0.11		1070111	I
woefully 165:18	276:15 281:1,13	405:9 414:10 416:8	y
woman 26:9,10	282:17 290:10	workload 391:1	yards 227:11
110:4	291:20 306:16	works 30:13 38:16	yeah 23:25 24:23
women 30:21	309:9 328:10 329:4	55:15 67:12 124:7	27:1 49:10 68:23
wonder 382:18	346:5 350:24	125:2 126:25 185:8	68:25 69:19 95:10
wonderful 51:3	362:14 366:23	209:15 213:14	104:9,18 105:24
54:2 55:6 79:9	367:1 376:25	215:21,21 272:6	107:13 116:1 125:7
104:3 250:13	379:11,12 381:13	329:2,3,8 399:6	127:22 145:19,19
wondering 206:10	382:7,8,10 385:13	workstation 351:12	147:20 171:3 176:9
word 22:23 44:20	386:15 396:8,11	351:21	182:21 189:24
58:6 149:19 252:12	401:11 410:6	world 108:8 321:6	201:21 202:11
266:17 284:4	417:17 419:6	321:14	204:20 212:12
301:18	workable 308:23	worry 205:13	219:19 225:6
worded 150:20	workaround 406:6	worrying 47:4	232:24 253:15
words 33:13 57:15	worked 67:13	worse 71:10 108:25	260:19 265:2 267:9
184:16 193:1	157:18 166:15	110:22 333:10	283:25 285:19
213:25 272:2	172:17 173:8,21	337:18	289:21 295:15
340:16	174:1 196:21 214:9	worst 305:24	298:4 308:9 309:13
work 5:1,10,20 7:2	217:6 218:1,11	worth 184:14 340:8	309:16 310:1
7:2 8:12 13:13 14:5	251:11 273:20	380:9	312:12 314:23
14:14 17:13 23:10	281:12 283:10	would've 67:13	318:5 320:2,12
23:23 30:5 33:21	workflow 27:9	wow 382:25	341:6 364:8 368:20
41:19 48:1,7 52:11	401:8	wowed 72:18	369:14 371:20
60:20,24 74:4	working 14:2 20:17	wrap 55:1 117:21	383:1,22 384:4
76:16 80:7 81:2	23:25 24:3,6,11	174:7 363:12	385:4 386:21,21
94:13,15 96:8,11	25:12 34:19 36:7	write 178:15	399:8 407:8 415:16
96:13 99:21 105:19	41:2,4 45:5 51:14	372:24 417:13	417:21
118:16 125:3,3	53:24 55:8 56:2	writing 113:9	year 7:17,22 8:2,6
141:19 145:4	59:3,14 60:14 74:5	115:2	8:23 9:2,12 10:3
157:12,14 159:7	95:9 126:17 152:25	written 113:1,13	11:22 12:6 14:14
166:10 169:3,25	172:7 174:22,23	114:7 212:19	17:16 19:22 23:4
177:5 183:1 186:16	182:14 185:3,4,12	261:25 278:14	28:4 32:13 34:9,13
190:1 191:18 206:5	190:7 191:7,11	290:5 352:9 375:1	34:21 52:17 53:1
209:16 215:22	194:19,20 224:15	395:22	59:11,12 72:20,23
216:24 217:2	239:25 242:11	wrong 72:21	84:22 86:23 87:3,4
218:12 235:3	293:7,11 319:3	153:18	87:6,7,7 99:4 103:8
236:12 238:2	320:3,11,12 332:6	X	
241:24 263:12	332:7 343:3 347:3		108:16 113:7,17,22
264:8 268:22 269:5	348:5,6 368:19	x 107:9,10 271:22	114:8 115:13 117:4
272:14,22 273:2	369:3 379:15 380:6	xy&z 185:17,18	122:4 132:8 133:4
274:2,3 275:15	389:22 393:19		133:4 134:19,21,21
,			135:6 137:15 143:9
	Veriteyt I ed	sal Caludiana	

[year - zone] Page 511

yopp 158:3 148:3,4 166:20,21 166:23,25 168:16 young 30:21 31:2 169:3 172:13 173:2 33:18 36:8 47:21 **youth** 62:2 82:23 175:16 176:23 182:2 183:14 174:11 178:6 236:5 190:24 191:10 Z 192:6 194:13 195:8 **z** 207:17 200:24 204:19 **zealand** 122:23 205:25 208:18 zero 85:5 92:10 211:24 212:3,4,5,6 306:15 212:7 219:25 **zeroed** 84:23 86:14 239:13 240:21 **zone** 6:17 242:12 248:3,15,23 250:6 258:9,11 276:2 280:19,23 281:9 286:4,19 287:21 291:17 292:11,20 296:8 298:9 300:23 303:9 315:16 334:23 345:8 359:24 364:5 368:14 369:12,15 370:4 371:25 376:5 383:14 397:7 411:7 years 16:8 17:17 48:14 60:1 107:10 107:10 167:7,8,12 168:3,4 171:12,17 173:17 184:4 187:5 189:23 199:3,16,23 200:4,5 206:16 209:15 215:10 220:5 226:5 254:15 285:22 337:7,22 345:20 368:2,14,22 369:13 370:4 385:17 386:6 413:22 **yelling** 324:16 yesterday 91:5 97:20