Subgrantee: **Grant #:**

 Reporting Period:

The following pages outline general questions and performance measures for the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) *Violent Gang and Gun Crime Reduction (also known as Project Safe Neighborhoods; PSN) Grant Program.*

A PSN evaluation, funded by the National Institute of Justice and conducted by Michigan State University (MSU), found that PSN target cities achieved a 4.1 percent decline in violent crime compared to a 0.9 percent decline in non-target cities and of the PSN sites for which case studies were conducted, 8 out of 10 experienced statistically significant reductions in violent crime, ranging from 2 percent to 42 percent. Key factors for success included U.S. Attorney's Office leadership, cross-agency buy-in, and the flexibility of the program to adjust to the realities of individual jurisdictions.

Drawing on the results of MSU's evaluation, PSN's goal is to reduce gun and gang violence by the most violent individuals in target neighborhoods by employing a research-driven, intelligence-led, and problem-solving approach to reducing firearm and gang violence through enforcement, deterrence, and prevention.

PROGRAM OBJECTIVES
- OBJECTIVE 1: Establish and expand evidence-based programming in PSN task forces so that they can effectively and sustainably prevent and respond to gun and gang crime.
- OBJECTIVE 2: Create and maintain coordination among Federal, State, local, and tribal law enforcement and prosecution officials; researchers; social service providers; and community members with an emphasis on prevention, tactical intelligence gathering, more vigorous and strategic prosecutions of gun and gang crimes, and enhanced accountability.

STRUCTURE OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE
The *PSN Grant Program* questionnaire contains performance measures and narrative (goals and objectives) questions. OCJG completes the performance measures in the BJA Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) four times per year to report on your activity during the prior 3 months. Subrecipients are required to complete the goals and objectives questions twice each year.

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES FOR COMPLETION
OCJG's expectation is that the person completing these questions will know the status and progress of all aspects of your PSN program. Therefore, your agency's PSN coordinator/grantee point of contact (or another designated person with working knowledge of the PSN project) should complete these questions on your PSN initiative's behalf. Your agency should also consult with your research partner and other partner agencies to complete these responses.

FAILURE TO SUBMIT THE PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE BY YOUR AGENCY'S REPORTING DEADLINE WILL RESULT IN THE WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS ON YOUR SUBAWARD.

Please contact the Office of Criminal Justice Grants at (850) 617-1250 for any questions regarding this report.

AWARD ADMINISTRATION

Is this the last reporting period for which the award will have data to report? *For example, all funds have been expended, and the award is in the process of closing out in the Grants Management System (GMS). If you select "Yes," you will be directed to answer the question in the Closeout section. This is a one-time-only question that you will answer prior to report closeout.*

    A. Yes/No

    B. If Yes, answer the **Closeout** question, and create a final repor*t.*

GRANT ACTIVITY

1. Was there **grant activity** during the reporting period? *There is grant activity when the grantee has obligated, expended, or drawn down grant funds to implement objectives proposed in the BJA-approved grant application. If you select "Yes," the program becomes Operational and should remain so until the grant closes out.*

    A. Yes/No

    B. If No, please select from the following responses:

Reason(s) for no grant activity during the reporting period.	Select all that apply
In procurement	◯
Project or budget not approved by agency, county, city, or State governing agency	◯
Seeking subcontractors (Request for Proposal stage only)	◯
Waiting to hire project manager, additional staff, or coordinating staff	◯
Paying for the program using prior Federal funds	◯
Administrative hold (e.g., court case pending)	◯
Still seeking BJA budget approval	◯
Waiting for partners or collaborators	◯
Other	◯
If Other, please explain	

2. Please complete the following table indicating the percentage of your PSN award allocated for each of the following categories. *Percentage allocations should represent the entire life of the award but can be updated by reporting period as needed. Please enter whole numbers only. Total must be equal to 100%.*

Allocation category	Percent of overall program funding
Police agency	
Research partner	
All other partners/agencies	
Total	<AutoSum> = 100

    A. If Other, please explain_____

SITE/PROJECT INFORMATION

> This section's purpose is to collect baseline information about your PSN project. All of these questions are required during the first reporting period and will carry forward into subsequent reporting periods. You responses can be updated as needed.

3. What was the start date (month/year) of your PSN program? *Your program's start date may be different from the date when you received your BJA award.* *(Baseline)*
   A. Month/Year: _____

4. Please provide the **primary** point of contact (POC) for the PSN initiative. *If there has been a change in the POC, please update. (Carry-forward)*
   A. Name: _____
   B. PSN POC information:
      1. Telephone number: _____
      2. E-mail: _____
      3. Address: _____

5. Has there been a change in **agency or project leadership** during the reporting period? *(Carry-forward)*
   A. Yes/No
   B. If Yes, please explain _____

6. Please provide the **primary** POC for the research partner that your agency will be working with as part of this PSN program. *If there has been a change in the research partner POC, please update. (Carry-forward)*
   A. Name: _____
   B. Research partner POC information:
      1. Telephone number: _____
      2. E-mail: _____
      3. Address: _____

7. Has there been a change in your PSN research partner or a significant change in the research partner team members during the reporting period? *(Carry-forward)*
   A. Yes/No
   B. If Yes, please explain_____

8. How does your site plan to sustain the PSN program post-funding completion, possibly without subsequent PSN funding? *Select all that apply. (Carry-forward)*
   A. _____ Continue to invest in research partnerships
   B. _____ Continue to use data analysis to inform and improve strategic and tactical responses
   C. _____ Foster community partnerships through systematic public outreach
   D. _____ Systematically offer in-service training
   E. _____ Replicate the PSN programs, if applicable
   F. _____ Plan to seek long-term funding (grants, local funding, foundation funding)
   G. _____ Other (please describe**)**

9. Which of the following partners did you consult when completing the performance measures during the reporting period? *(Carry-forward)* *Select all that apply.*

    A. ___ Law enforcement partner

    B. ___ Research partner

    C. ___ United States Attorney's Office representative

    D. ___ Community partner

    E. ___ Prosecutors

    F. ___ Corrections/community corrections

    G. ___ Other (please describe)

PLANNING AND UNDERSTANDING THE PROBLEM

> This section's purpose is to measure the establishment of sustainable research activities and analysis that PSN task force community agencies have integrated into their strategic and tactical operations. Overall OJP program measures related to this section include:
> - Percentage of PSN sites with completed strategic action plans, and
> - Percentage of PSN sites that have completed a problem analysis.

10. Has your PSN task force completed all activities that were defined and outlined in your site's Strategic Action Plan?
    A. Yes/No
    B. If Yes, skip to question 12

11. Based on the Strategic Action Plan template, please select the development status of each of the following PSN Strategic Action Plan activities during the reporting period.

Action plan activities	Not applicable	Not started	In progress	Completed
Analyzed most recent violent crime, gun, and gang crime data in the target area, based on Uniform Crime Reporting or other local source(s) information	◉	○	○	○
Identified the problem and research methods based on specific data elements and analysis	◉	○	○	○
Utilized the Violence Reduction Assessment Tool	◉	○	○	○
Identified target areas for the PSN initiative	◉	○	○	○
Identified goals for the PSN initiative	◉	○	○	○
Identified an approach (evidence-based, promising, and/or innovative strategies to be employed to address the target problem)	◉	○	○	○
Established a research design or plan (describing special experience of the research team and how the plan defines a dynamic problem-solving process that will periodically use data analysis)	◉	○	○	○
Identified community partners/members that will help with implementing the PSN initiative	◉	○	○	○
Identified collaboration and outreach strategies to accomplish project objectives	◉	○	○	○
Developed a sustainability plan for the PSN initiative	◉	○	○	○
Submitted Strategic Action Plan to BJA	◉	○	○	○

12. Did you complete the problem analysis during the reporting period? *Problem analysis is an approach/method/process conducted within the police agency in which formal criminal justice theory, research methods, and comprehensive data collection and analysis procedures are used in a systematic way to conduct in-depth examination of, develop informed responses to, and evaluate crime and disorder problems.*[1]
    A. Yes/No
    B. if No, skip to Data Tracking and Data Analysis section

13. In which of the following activities did your research partner assist with the problem analysis during the reporting period? *Select all that apply.*
    A. ____ Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysts
    B. ____ Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with problem response
    C. ____ Collected data for the problem analysis
    D. ____ Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis
    E. ____ Interpreted analysis results
    F. ____ Provided recommendations on program strategies
    G. ____ Presented analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or PSN working group partners
    H. ____ Communicated analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or PSN project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)

[1] Boba, R. (2003). *Problem analysis in policing.* Washington, D.C.: Police Foundation.

DATA TRACKING AND DATA ANALYSIS

Data tracking and analysis are important to assist in targeting responses appropriately. Data tracking and analysis are also used to assure the program is being implemented as planned so the targeted response can be improved if needed. This section asks specific questions about analysis you conducted as part of your PSN program and how you use this analysis in the response/implementation process. Overall OJP program measures related to this section include:
- Percentage of PSN sites performing data analysis, and
- Percentage of PSN sites using certain data.

14. Which of the following groups performed data analysis for the PSN program during the reporting period? *Data analysis includes reviewing data to find information and support decision making. Select all that apply.*
    A. _____ Data was not analyzed this reporting period (skip to question 18)
    B. _____ Sworn employees of the police agency
    C. _____ Civilian employees of the police agency
    D. _____ Research partner
    E. _____ Outside contractor
    F. _____ Community partners
    G. _____ Employees of the prosecutor's office
    H. _____ Other (please describe)

15. Which of the following data sources did your site use for your PSN project during the reporting period? *Select all that apply.*
    A. _____ Official police call, crime and arrest data (e.g., calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)
    B. _____ Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (e.g., citizen complaints)
    C. _____ Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, or other community data)
    D. _____ Corrections data (probation and parole data)
    E. _____ Prosecution data (pretrial data)
    F. _____ Court data (case outcomes, convictions, sentences)
    G. _____ Social service provider data (number of clients, number of sessions)
    H. _____ Client risk assessments
    I. _____ Focus group data (focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)
    J. _____ Survey data (surveys of community members, officers, clients, or victims)
    K. _____ Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)
    L. _____ Intelligence from confidential or other informants
    M. _____ Youth-focused data (juvenile justice system data, gang-related data, school data)
    N. _____ National Integrated Ballistics Intelligence Network
    O. _____ Other (please describe)

16. What types of data analysis did you conduct to inform the work of your PSN site task force during the reporting period? *Select all that apply.*

    A. ____ Trend analysis
    B. ____ Crime mapping
    C. ____ Risk terrain mapping
    D. ____ Social network analysis
    E. ____ Gang audits
    F. ____ Repeat violent persons analysis
    G. ____ Crime pattern analysis
    H. ____ Gunshot detection and location analysis (e.g., shot spotter)
    I. ____ None of the above
    J. ____ Other (please describe)

17. Please indicate whether your task force used analysis to inform the following PSN program activities during the reporting period. *Analysis includes the review of crime data, disorder data, objective criteria, or other systematic data sources (e.g., systematic observations of place, survey data) to inform task force activities and decision making. If you answer "Not applicable," this indicates that you do not perform this activity at your site. If you answer "No," this indicates that your site performed this activity but did not use analysis to inform the activity.*

	Not applicable	Yes	No
Working group activities	◉	○	○
Prosecution partner activities	◉	○	○
Law enforcement partner activities	◉	○	○
Call-in meetings for at-risk people	◉	○	○
Adjustment to the target area	◉	○	○
Compilation/adjustments to at-risk person list/population	◉	○	○
Corrections, reentry, or community corrections interventions	◉	○	○
Criminal investigations	◉	○	○
Crime-prevention activities	◉	○	○
Hospital-based interventions	◉	○	○
Community empowerment through information dissemination	◉	○	○
Other	◉	○	○
If Other, please describe			

18. In which of the following activities did your research partner assist with the PSN program response during the reporting period? *Select all that apply.*
    A. _____ Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysts
    B. _____ Introduced new partners to the PSN working group to assist with problem response
    C. _____ Collected data for the problem response analysis
    D. _____ Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis
    E. _____ Interpreted analysis results
    F. _____ Provided recommendations on program strategies
    G. _____ Presented analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or PSN working group partners
    H. _____ Communicated analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or PSN project working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media)
    I. _____ Other (please explain)

19. Has your research partner provided you with any PSN project products (e.g., community survey findings, defined gun violence hot spots and comparison areas, progress reports, presentation slides, final report) during the reporting period?
    A. Yes/No
    B. If Yes, how many products were provided? _____
    C. If Yes, please list and briefly describe the products you received
    _____

TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

This section's purpose is to measure training availability on PSN initiatives during reporting periods. This section also focuses on the frequency and quality of training and technical assistance (TTA) provided by BJA-funded training assistance partners. The overall OJP program measures related to this section are:
- Number of personnel trained on methods used for the PSN initiative (gun and gang violence),
- Number of TTA requests during the reporting period, and
- Quality of the TTA interaction during the reporting period.

20. Did PSN task force members receive training during the reporting period?
    A. Yes/No
    B. If No, please skip to question 22
    C. If Yes, how many types of trainings did your task force members attend during the reporting period? _____

21. For each of the trainings task force members received, please indicate the number of task force members who attended the training and the length of the course in hours during the reporting period. *Count each person only once per training topic, regardless of how many times he/she attended the training.*

Training name	Number of training sessions received	Number people trained	Length of course	Training provided by
[Open text]			[Open text]	[Open text]
[Open text]			[Open text]	[Open text]
[Open text]			[Open text]	[Open text]

22. Did you/your agency/entire task force receive any technical assistance from a BJA-funded provider during the reporting period? *Technical assistance can be defined as using a partner for assistance implementing programs, strategic planning, curriculum development, data analysis, meetings, fostering relationships, trainings, research and information requests, and other technical areas that would supplement your PSN program.*
    A. Yes/No
    B. If No, skip to Task Force Partnerships section.
    C. If Yes, how many TTA providers did you work with during the reporting period? _____

23. For each of the technical assistance engagements with a TA provider during the reporting period, please enter the following questions. *The number of entries should equal the number you entered in question 22 C.*

Name of technical assistance provider	Nature of contact (*select all that apply*)	Number of engagements	Satisfaction	Feedback on your encounters with this TA Provider
[Open text]	Phone call In-person meeting Video conference Site visit Conference Other (if Other, please explain)	[Positive whole number]	Very satisfied Satisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Dissatisfied Very dissatisfied	[Open Text]

TASK FORCE PARTNERSHIPS

The PSN task force partnerships (or working groups) are an important component of the PSN program. The task force is defined as personnel within the law enforcement agency, the research partner, and any other external partner(s) who are involved in the project's strategic operations. This group may also include task force subcommittees and any other agency staff who will experience an impact as a result of the PSN program implementation. The task force and other partners should collaborate throughout the PSN program to help with planning and implementation activities.

This section asks questions about your PSN task force and other partnership activity during the reporting period. Overall OJP program measures related to this section include:
- Frequency of PSN task force partnership meetings,
- Number of partners involved in PSN task force partnerships, and
- Number of activities PSN task force is conducting.

24. How often did your PSN task force hold organized meetings during the reporting period?
   Select the answer that best approximates how often you met.
   A. _____ We did not meet this quarter (skip to Response to Problem section)
   B. _____ Daily
   C. _____ Weekly/biweekly
   D. _____ Monthly
   E. _____ Quarterly

25. Please rate the following PSN task force partners based on this statement: "This partner was actively involved in the PSN initiative this reporting period." *Please rate your partners on a scale of 1–5 as indicated below. If you have multiple partners in a category, please rate them as a whole. If a partner fits in more than one category, please rate it in the one category that fits the best for that partner. Please do not rate yourself.*

This partner is actively involved in the PSN program	NA	Strongly disagree 1	Disagree 2	Neither agree nor disagree 3	Agree 4	Strongly agree 5
State leadership (e.g., governor's office)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Tribal leadership	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Federal law enforcement agencies	◉	○	○	○	○	○
State law enforcement agencies	◉	○	○	○	○	○

Local law enforcement agencies	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Community-based victim services	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Pretrial service organizations	◉	○	○	○	○	○
U.S. Attorney's Office	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Prosecutor (District attorney's office or State's attorney's office)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Public defense	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Courts	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Community corrections (probation/parole)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Corrections	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Health care providers	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Mental health providers	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Substance use disorder treatment providers	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Child protective services	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center, community representatives)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Faith-based organizations	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Subject-matter experts	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Foundations/philanthropic organizations	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Researcher, evaluator, or statistical analysis center	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Training and technical assistance provider(s)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Tribal criminal justice agencies	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Businesses	◉	○	○	○	○	○
K–12 schools	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Public services (e.g., trash collection, public works)	◉	○	○	○	○	○
Other (please describe)	◉	○	○	○	○	○

26. Please indicate activities the task force carried out during the reporting period. *Select all that apply.*

      A. ____ Briefed agency leaders

      B. ____ Conducted planning meetings

      C. ____ Discussed group membership/participation

      D. ____ Discussed resources needed/resource sharing

      E. ____ Invited outside partners to speak with the members (e.g., faith based)

      F. ____ Planned related activities for group members

      G. ____ Reviewed initiative responses (e.g., directed patrol, prosecution status, at-risk persons list)

      H. ____ Planned prevention programs (e.g., school-based enrichment programs, Citizens on Patrol)

      I. ____ Planned at-risk persons call-in meetings

      J. ____ Reviewed analysis products

      K. ____ Reviewed project progress

      L. ____ Engaged in project-sustainability planning

      M. ____ Other (please describe)

27. Does your taskforce have any subcommittees that work on assigned PSN tasks?

      A. Yes/No

      B. If Yes, please enter the number of subcommittees ____

RESPONSE TO PROBLEM

> This section addresses activities that specifically focus on a response to a crime, disorder, or other community problem (e.g., mental health problems, community satisfaction, community engagement) as determined by your PSN task force's problem analysis. Overall OJP program measures related to this section include:
> - Percentage of PSN sites using a targeted response model based on their problem analysis, and
> - Percentage of PSN sites providing direct services as part of the PSN initiative.

28. Does your PSN task force focus efforts around any of the following models? *Check all that apply.*
    A. ____ Community-oriented approach (e.g., community policing, community prosecution)
    B. ____ Problem-solving approach (e.g., problem-oriented policing, prosecutor as problem solver)
    C. ____ Geographic focus (e.g., hot spots policing)
    D. ____ High-rate persons focus (e.g., focused deterrence)
    E. ____ High-rate group/gang focus (e.g., pulling levers)
    F. ____ Procedural justice (ensuring individuals feel law enforcement is fair and just)
    G. ____ Violence interrupters, gang interventions, conflict mediators (e.g., Cure Violence)
    H. ____ Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design strategies (CPTED)
    I. ____ Situational crime prevention
    J. ____ Unsure/don't know
    K. ____ Other (please describe)

29. How often did your task force conduct the following activities as part of your PSN program during the reporting period?

	Not applicable	Don't know	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Quarterly
Created or reviewed at-risk persons list	●	○	○	○	○	○
Utilized directed/hot spots patrol	●	○	○	○	○	○
Utilized at-risk persons call-in/notification meetings	●	○	○	○	○	○
Conducted public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, employed focused-media outreach)	●	○	○	○	○	○
Conducted community engagement (e.g., chief's roundtables, community advisory boards)	●	○	○	○	○	○
Engaged in community problem solving (e.g., partnerships with businesses, faith-based institutions, community groups)	●	○	○	○	○	○
Provided or referred individuals to community	●	○	○	○	○	○

services, assistance, or counseling (e.g., mental health assistance, victim services)						
Referred cases for Federal prosecution	⦿	○	○	○	○	○
Referred cases for State/local prosecution	⦿	○	○	○	○	○
Advocated for the diversion of individuals from prosecution to alternative sanctions or programs	⦿	○	○	○	○	○
Diverted those with mental health or substance abuse problems from arrest to treatment/drop-off centers	⦿	○	○	○	○	○
Provided group or classroom instruction for at-risk population	⦿	○	○	○	○	○
Provided direct services for at-risk population	⦿	○	○	○	○	○
Utilized intervention teams (e.g., crisis intervention, violence interrupters, gang interventions, conflict mediators)	⦿	○	○	○	○	○
Other	⦿	○	○	○	○	○
If Other, please explain						

30. If you have provided direct services during this reporting period, which of the following services were provided to your at-risk population? (*Select all that apply*)

   A. ___Cognitive based. These services include therapeutic programs used to change criminal thinking and behavior, such as moral reconation therapy, Think for a Change, or aggression replacement training.

   B. ___Educational. These services foster knowledge by helping participants develop daily life skills that can enhance their opportunities.

   C. ___Employment. These services are designed to help people find and obtain suitable job opportunities.

   D. ___Health care/Medicaid eligibility. These services are designed to help individuals or families find, obtain, or retain health care.

   E. ___Housing. These services are designed to help individuals or families find, obtain, or retain suitable housing, including transitional housing

   F. ___Mental health. These services are provided in correctional facilities or in the community for those participants under supervision and may include counseling programs or group self-help programs.

   G. ___Pro-social. These services use directed skill building to help participants interact in a positive way with others.

   H. ___Substance abuse. These services include substance abuse education, treatment, or aftercare.

   I. ___Transportation. These services include assistance with public transportation costs or help in finding other reliable transportation.

   J. ___Vocational. These services help participants learn a trade and enhance their job opportunities.

   K. ___Individualized case planning. These services help participants set goals, objectives, and conditions for reentering society.

   L. ___Family engagement. These services focus on involving family members in the treatment process to help provide support and encouragement

   M. ___Other (please describe)

Prevention and Community Empowerment

This section's purpose is to measure your program's development and promotion of community outreach and empowerment efforts to support other gun and gang violence reduction strategies. Overall OJP program measures related to this section include:
- Percentage of PSN sites incorporating prevention methods into their task force activities, and
- Frequency of outreach conducted in the target area.

31. How often was your PSN task force involved in the following community activities as part of your PSN program during the reporting period?

	Not applicable	Daily	Weekly/ Biweekly	Monthly	Quarterly
Parent-focused programs (e.g., parenting skills)	◉	○	○	○	○
Youth-focused programs (e.g., Boys and Girls Club, children of incarcerated parents programs, school enrichment programs)	◉	○	○	○	○
Media outreach (e.g., TV ads, billboards, news spots)	◉	○	○	○	○
Community-leadership programs (e.g., community mobilization)	◉	○	○	○	○
Public meetings (e.g., joint problem solving, presentations)	◉	○	○	○	○
Community events (e.g., National Night Out,™ block parties, and other programs to promote police-community relations)	◉	○	○	○	○
Distributing a newsletter, e-mail, or other bulletin	◉	○	○	○	○
Social media activities (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)	◉	○	○	○	○
Outreach to target populations (e.g., street outreach, gang contacts)	◉	○	○	○	○
Other	◉	○	○	○	○
If Other, please describe					

32. Which of the following crime prevention models/strategies, if any, did your PSN site use during the reporting period? *Select all that apply.*
   A. _____ Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design strategies (CPTED); situational crime prevention (approaches that change the perceived opportunities for a crime, such as leading individuals to believe the crime is more difficult or risky; for example, access control to parking lots or improved lighting on a walkway)
   B. _____ Youth development (programs that promote positive behavior and decrease negative behavior in youth; for example, any of the Blueprints programs)
   C. _____ Crime awareness (programs aimed at increasing the awareness of a crime problem, including solutions to prevent crime; for example, a "lock it or lose it" program)
   D. _____ Increase personal safety (programs that provide instruction on increasing personal safety; for example, a rape aggression defense class)
   E. _____ Community building (programs that promote community cohesion, including public safety partnerships between the community and elements of the criminal justice system; for example, National Night Out™)
   F. _____ At-risk persons call-in/notification meetings
   G. _____ None of the above
   H. _____ Other crime prevention model/strategy (please explain)

Program Impact

> This section's purpose is to measure the impact and organizational integration of the PSN initiative to support gun and gang violence reduction strategies. Overall OJP program measures related to this section include:
> - Percentage of PSN sites using data to measure the impact of their PSN initiative, and
> - Percentage of sites with a measurable/identifiable addition to their community due to the integration of PSN.

33. Since the beginning of your program, has it demonstrated a measurable impact on the problem of focus? *When answering this question, please consider your comparison areas and/or implementation design and analysis findings to this point.* **Please consult with the research partner when answering this question.**
   A. Yes, positive impact
   B. Yes, negative impact
   C. No measurable impact
   D. Not yet been measured
   E. Please explain your response, using data measures, such as percentage and raw-number increases or decreases in gun or gang crime, where possible.
      _____

34. What data did you use to support your conclusion about your PSN program's impact?
    A. _____ Official police call, crime, and arrest data (e.g., calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports)
    B. _____ Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (e.g., use of force reports, citizen complaints)
    C. _____ Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, or other community data)
    D. _____ Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data)
    E. _____ Prosecution data (e.g., pre-trial data)
    F. _____ Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences)
    G. _____ At-risk persons risk assessments
    H. _____ Focus-group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims)
    I. _____ Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, clients, or victims)
    J. _____ Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder)
    K. _____ Intelligence from confidential or other informants
    L. _____Youth-focused data (e.g., juvenile justice system data, gang-related data, school data)
    M. _____ NIBIN (National Integrated Ballistics Intelligence Network
    N. _____ Other (please explain)

35. Please indicate what new resources, practices, or other features were *new* this reporting period and made possible due to the funding provided to your PSN initiative.
    A. _____ Crime data analyst
    B. _____ Increase in crime analysis capacity
    C. _____ Data housing, sharing, and networking across agencies
    D. _____ Integration of data analysis into the culture of partner agencies
    E. _____ Identified target/focus areas
    F. _____ Partnerships across organizations that impact the community
    G. _____ Partnerships between Federal and local law enforcement and/or prosecutors
    H. _____ Increased involvement of noncriminal justice entities (e.g., community organizations, social service providers, community leaders)
    I. _____ Community mobilization aimed at reducing/preventing crime
    J. _____ Targeted crime reduction/prevention strategies
    K. _____ Collaboration with a research partner
    L. _____ Other (please explain)

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES MODULE

> This module should be completed in January and July by all grantees that had any activity during the reporting period or at the close of the grant, based on the previous or next 6 months.

1. Please identify the goal(s) you hope to achieve with your funding. If you have multiple goals, please report on each separately (one at a time), and repeat questions 1–4 for each goal.
   _____

2. What is the current status of this goal?
   A. _____ Not yet started
   B. _____ In progress
   C. _____ Delayed
   D. _____ Completed
   E. _____ Goal no longer applicable

3. During the past 6 months, please describe any progress you made or barriers you encountered related to this goal.
   _____
   _____

4. In the next 6 months, what major activities are planned for this goal?
   _____
   _____

Please answer the following questions based on your overall activity during the previous 6 months.

5. Did you receive or do you desire any assistance from BJA or a BJA-funded technical assistance provider? *Check all that apply.*
   A. Yes, we received assistance (please describe)
   B. Yes, we would like assistance or additional assistance (please describe)
   C. No
   _____
   _____

6. BJA likes to showcase grantees who are working on successful, innovative, and/or evidence-based programs. Do you have any noteworthy accomplishments, success stories, or program results from this reporting period that you would like to showcase?
   A. Yes (Please share your story at: https://www.bja.gov/SuccessStoryList.aspx.)
   B. No